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Fresh fruits and vegetables contribute significantly to food and nutrition security, poverty reduction and to economic development in the countries belonging to the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC). Smallholders who make little use of post-harvest technologies produce most of the fresh fruits and vegetables supplied to mass markets in the Region. The high qualitative and quantitative post-harvest losses sustained in these traditional fruit and vegetable supply chains negatively impact all stakeholders in the supply chain, with farmers and retailers being the most affected.

Mechanical damage and decay resulting from poor bulk packaging and transportation practices and rough handling along the supply chain are the main cause of qualitative and quantitative loss between harvest and market. High levels of weight loss, particularly at the retail level, also contribute significantly to losses. Underlying causes include the lack of knowledge and awareness about good post-harvest management practices as well as the limited availability of simple post-harvest technologies.

To address these issues, technical innovations and good practices were introduced through pilot demonstrations and training in six fresh produce supply chains in three South Asian countries: The People’s Republic of Bangladesh, The Federal Democratic Republic of Nepal and The Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka. These demonstrations resulted in the dramatic reduction of qualitative and quantitative losses during transportation, and improved produce quality for wholesale and retail, where produce shelf-life was also improved. Cost and returns analysis revealed the technologies introduced into the supply chains provided financial benefits to users and stakeholders.

The findings documented in this publication provide an evidence base for the cost-effective management of the quality of fresh produce and the reduction of quantitative loss in fresh horticultural supply chains in SAARC countries.

Rosa S. Rolle
Lead Technical Officer
TCP\RAS\35
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Introduction

Fruits and vegetables contribute significantly to nutrition, poverty reduction and to generating economic development in the countries belonging to the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC). Poor quality, questionable safety and high levels of post-harvest losses are major bottlenecks faced in traditional fruit and vegetable supply chains that feed the mass markets in the SAARC region (Rolle, 2014). Farmers and retailers are the most adversely affected stakeholders in the supply chain.

Improper preharvest handling, unsuitable and inappropriate harvesting, post-harvest handling, packaging and transportation practices coupled with poor logistical operations in the chains negatively impact on the quality of fresh produce. These incorrect and inadequate practices limit market opportunities and result in reduced incomes for small-scale farmers and other stakeholders in the supply chain.

Fresh produce safety is compromised by preharvest practice, production and post-harvest practices and by poor hygienic management in the supply chain. Chemical and microbiological hazards can pose a safety threat to human health with immediate and long-term effects. It is, therefore, imperative that stakeholders in traditional fruit and vegetable supply chains focus on improving post-harvest handling to enhance the quality and safety of fruits and vegetables.

Stakeholder capacity-development is warranted to address the above-mentioned safety issues and losses in traditional fresh produce supply chains. Training would encourage the application of good practices in harvesting and in post-harvest systems management in order to maintain quality, ensure safety and reduce the levels of post-harvest losses. Appropriate levels of cost effective post-harvest technologies must also be introduced, which will effectively contribute to quality management.

Quality deterioration and quantitative loss results in reduced income for all stakeholders in the chain, particularly farmers who often bear the cost of losses at the wholesale and retail levels in terms of low farmgate pricing. Losses, likewise, increase the risks for traders and result in high prices to consumers. Moreover, the deterioration of quality negatively impacts on the edibility of produce as well as consumer acceptance.

This publication documents case studies on quantifying the levels of post-harvest loss in fresh produce supply chains in three countries: the People’s Republic of Bangladesh, the Federal Democratic Republic of Nepal and the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka and on piloting the implementation of good post-harvest management practices in harvesting, handling and in bulk packaging for improved quality, safety and shelf-life. The data collected through the pilot demonstrations generated an evidence base for reducing post-harvest losses in countries across the region.

POST-HARVEST LOSS

*Post-harvest loss* refers to the decrease in quantity or quality of produce between harvest and the market.

*Post-harvest losses* in fruits and vegetables result from their inherent perishability, which is aggravated by environmental conditions such as high temperature and relative humidity that enhance deteriorative changes in the produce.

Rough handling, poor, inappropriate and inadequate packaging and lack of infrastructure and logistical support contributes to post-harvest losses in fresh fruit and supply chains.
Chapter 1
Case study of bananas in Sri Lanka

1.1 OVERVIEW OF THE BANANA SUPPLY CHAIN

Banana (*Musa sapientum*) is one of most important fruit crops produced and consumed in Sri Lanka. Though the crop can be grown across the country, commercial cultivation is limited to a few areas such as Embilipitiya and Thambuttegama. While two main banana varieties are grown, the Embul variety is preferably cultivated by farmers because of its comparably high level of disease resistance and high demand in local markets.

Ripe bananas are mainly consumed fresh as a dessert fruit. Immature, green bananas are processed into chips or into ketchup. Nutritionally, bananas are a rich source of vitamins and minerals such as potassium, phosphorus, calcium and magnesium.

Bananas are perishable and are highly susceptible to abrasion and compression damage because of their thin fruit wall. Rough handling, particularly during transport and market distribution, leads to unsightly damage on the fruit, which detracts from its consumer appeal. More importantly, once damaged, the fruit undergoes rapid decay as a result of the enhancement of biological and physical processes such as ripening and senescence, which results in water loss and decay.

Sources: field data.
Good practices from harvest to market distribution must be employed to maintain quality, extend shelf-life and assure safety of the fruit offered to consumers.

The traditional banana supply chain
A schematic of the traditional banana supply chain for those produced in Embilipitiya, and transported to Colombo, Sri Lanka is shown in Figure 1. Farmers generally harvest the fruit, however, when fruit supply is low and demand is high, immature fruit is harvested by smallholders.

Harvest method – Bananas are manually harvested using a knife. Two people are employed in harvesting, one to cut the pseudostem and the other to catch the bunch to prevent it from falling to the ground.

Field handling – Banana bunches are carried on the shoulder (two bunches at a time) to the collection centre (Figure 2A) if the collection centre is near the farm. If further away, the bunches are loaded onto trucks or on to three-wheeled carts (Figure 2B). Most often, the collection centres are not protected from sun or rain (Figure 2C).

Transportation – Bunches of bananas are loaded on trucks or vans for transport to the wholesale market in Colombo, which is 176 km away from the collection centre. Some collectors or transporters use fresh or dried banana leaves as cushioning between bunches of bananas to reduce mechanical damage. Poor ventilation in the vehicles during transportation results in heat building-up within the piles of banana bunches.

Wholesale – At the wholesale market, banana bunches are unloaded, piled vertically and displayed in stalls. Buyers including retailers in wet markets, supermarkets and institutional buyers such as from hotels and restaurants, purchase and transport bananas in bunches. Bananas are dehanded in retail markets and are displayed either
on shelves or by hanging in front of retail stores (Figure 1.2D).

Bananas sold to supermarkets are dehanded at collection centres and are packed in plastic crates and transported.

Losses in the traditional banana supply chain
Losses in the traditional banana supply chain in Sri Lanka average around 28.8 percent distributed among the farmer (9 percent), wholesaler (5.4 percent) and retailer (14.4 percent) (Figure 3). Losses amounting to 8 percent were incurred at the farm largely as a result of insect damage. Defects caused by improper harvesting were relatively low at 1 percent. At the wholesale level, losses amounted to 5.4 percent attributed to mechanical damage (2 percent) during transportation of bunches. Weight loss was high at 3.4 percent and was made worse by the high temperatures during transport because of the absence of ventilation.

Although bananas are harvested at the green stage, their external appearance in retail markets was poor because of excessive mechanical damage, which became increasingly apparent as the fruit ripened. At the retail markets, bananas were dehanded and displayed on shelves or by hanging in hands. Losses from deteriorative changes during the six-day retail period amounted to 5.4 percent. A weight loss of 9.02 percent was recorded because of the high ambient temperature.

At the farm gate, bananas were priced in Sri Lankan rupees (LKR) at 65/kg. At the wholesale level, the price increased to LKR 80/kg owing to transportation and handling cost and the losses incurred during transport. Retailers incurred the highest levels of loss at 14.4 percent, and, therefore, sold their bananas at the comparably higher price of LKR 100 per kg to the consumers. In effect, it is the consumer who pays for the losses and is the one who loses. It is the same for the farmer as the low buying price compensates for the loss incurred during transportation and in wholesale.

1.2 TECHNICAL INNOVATIONS AND PRACTICES INTRODUCED FOR BANANAS

Harvest maturity – Bananas exhibiting fullness of fingers – an indication of correct status of maturity – were harvested from a farm in Embilipitiya.

Harvesting method – The correct method of harvesting involved two people, one to cut the
bunch using a sharp knife, and the other to catch the falling bunch onto his padded shoulder. This method prevented the bunch from falling to the ground thus reducing mechanical damage. This method also reduced the risk of contamination of the bananas through contact with the ground.

Handling – The harvested bananas were transferred to a small on-farm packinghouse, where bunches were dehanded using a dehanding tool, which is curved to fit the banana bunch. With a downward motion, the hands were easily separated from the bunch (Figure 4A). Dehanded bananas were washed in chlorinated water for cleaning, removing latex and disinfecting.

Packaging – After washing, dehanded bananas were packed in plastic crates (24 kg capacity). A thin layer of polystyrene foam was placed between each layer of banana hands to minimize abrasion damage to the banana fingers (Figure 4B).

Transportation – Bananas packed in plastic crates were loaded on to trucks alongside bunches prepared according to traditional practice (Figure 4C) and transported to the wholesale market. Bananas delivered to retail markets were evaluated over a six-day retail period under ambient conditions.

The traditional and improved post-harvest handling operations employed in the banana supply chains are summarized in Table 1 while Figure 5 shows the improved banana supply chain from Embilipitiya to Colombo.

1.3 BANANA: ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Reduction of losses in the improved banana supply chain

The introduction of improved practices in the banana supply chain resulted in reduced losses from 28.8 to 19.05 percent (Figure 6). At the farmer level, preharvest and harvest defects amounted to 3 percent. Packing the dehanded bananas between layers of thin polystyrene foam significantly minimized mechanical damage from abrasion during transportation with minimal loss (0.2 percent) on arrival at the wholesale market. Weight loss was also reduced from 3.4 percent to 1.9 percent.
The total loss on arrival at the wholesale market was 2.10 percent using the improved practices as against a 5.4 percent loss using traditional practices. Reduced loss at the wholesale level resulting from improved packaging was 61 percent (Table 2).

At the retailer level, weight loss during the six-day retail period was high at 11.95 percent because the cut portion of the dehanded bananas caused a comparably high level of moisture loss as compared to when bananas were transported in bunches (Table 2). At the retail level, there was an overall 17 percent reduction in weight loss, equivalent to loss in marketable weight (Table 2). Packing of dehanded bananas between thin layers of polystyrene foam resulted in zero loss from mechanical deterioration during retail.

The overall reduction in losses and improved quality and shelf-life of bananas benefitted all stakeholders in the supply chain. The wholesaler can buy larger quantities and pay a higher price to the farmer for better quality, while the retailer can sell more bananas because of their improved quality and longer shelf-life. The retailer can also demand a higher price for better quality bananas. These benefits trickle down to the farmer if he/she makes use of plastic crates during handling and transportation of the dehanded bananas to the wholesaler or retailer.

**Shelf-life**

The recovery of marketable bananas handled using the traditional practice was 94.6 percent during the six-day retail period. Losses in the traditionally handled bananas arose from deterioration caused by severe abrasion and compression damage that resulted in poor appearance; accelerated ripening and senescence of fruits because of wounding, resulting in reduced shelf-life.

With improved handling practice, deteriorative changes did not occur during retail hence the fruits were 100 percent marketable. Likewise, because of the absence of mechanical damage, ripening and senescence were retarded thus extending the shelf-life of the fruit.

**Produce safety**

Care was taken in the method of harvesting bananas so that bunches did not touch the ground, which prevented microbial and chemical contamination from contact with the soil. Washing in chlorinated water after dehanding the bananas reduced, if not totally eliminated, any spoilage and pathogenic micro-organisms and dirt adhering to the fruit thus further ensuring fruit safety. Packing in clean plastic crates using layers of thin polystyrene foam between layers provided added protection from mechanical damage such as abra-
sion, cuts and punctures that could serve as entry points for micro-organisms.

Economic benefits derived from improved practices
Farmers who adopted the improved practices benefitted from dehanding the bananas and bulk packaging and transportation in plastic crates, as they were able to sell greater actual weights of bananas as a result of the removal of the stalk, which is equivalent to 2 kg per bunch (Table 3). Moreover, banana quality was improved because of the low incidence of mechanical damage during hauling and transportation. The use of improved practices in the supply chains resulted in a net return of LKR 64.33/kg compared with only LKR 56.80/kg with the traditional practice. Overall, farmers will realize higher profits when selling directly to the wholesaler who will pay a higher price for good quality. Further, the long utility life (10 years) of plastic crates provides for added benefit to the user over the long term.

The wholesaler and retailer benefitted from dehanding of the banana bunches as well as from the use of plastic crates for bulk transportation as the number of unmarketable bananas (because of mechanical damage) was substantially reduced upon arrival at the wholesale market. With the improved practice the wholesaler had a net return of LKR 82.61/kg, while with the traditional practice, the return was only LKR 72.68/kg (Table 4). These benefits trickle down to the farmer through...
increased selling price for less damaged produce of good quality if farmers make use of plastic crates during handling and transportation of their produce to the wholesaler. At the retail level, bananas do not incur loss from deterioration, and thus have a longer shelf-life. The retailer obtains a net return of LKR 100.06/kg for bananas handled using the improved packaging and transportation method.

### TABLE 3
**Farmer: benefits of dehanding and using plastic crates for bulk packaging and transportation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>Improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross returns (volume sold – losses x selling price)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 8 kg/bunch) x LKR 65.00/kg</td>
<td>LKR 5,980.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg) x LKR 70.00/kg</td>
<td>LKR 7,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation cost of plastic crates</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on capital (plastic crate)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport cost</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>567.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net returns (Gross returns – Costs)</strong></td>
<td>LKR 5,680.00</td>
<td>LKR 6,433.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LKR 56.80/kg</td>
<td>LKR 64.33/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field data.

### TABLE 4
**Wholesaler: benefits of dehanding and using plastic crates for bulk packaging and transportation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>Improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross returns (volume sold – losses x selling price)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 5.4 kg) x LKR 80.00/kg</td>
<td>LKR 7,568.00</td>
<td>LKR 8,811.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 2.1 kg) x LKR 90.00/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport cost</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net returns (Gross returns – Costs)</strong></td>
<td>LKR 7,268</td>
<td>LKR 8,261.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LKR 72.68/kg</td>
<td>LKR 82.61/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field data.

### TABLE 5
**Retailer: benefits of dehanding and using plastic crates for bulk packaging and transportation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>Improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross returns (volume sold – losses x selling price)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 14.41 kg) x LKR 100.00/kg</td>
<td>LKR 7,759.00</td>
<td>LKR 10,556.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 11.94 kg) x LKR 120.00/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport cost</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net returns (Gross returns – Costs)</strong></td>
<td>LKR 7,459.00</td>
<td>LKR 10,006.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LKR 74.59/kg</td>
<td>LKR 100.06/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field data.
practice and only LKR 74.59/kg for bananas handled using traditional practices (Table 5).

1.4 RESPONSE OF STAKEHOLDERS IN THE BANANA SUPPLY CHAIN

On the basis of the evidence documented through the pilot demonstrations, stakeholders in the supply chain were convinced that good handling practices such as dehanding and bulk packaging in plastic crates greatly reduced losses during transportation, and maintained the quality of bananas from harvest to market. They also acknowledged the simplicity, ease of use and adoption of the improved post-harvest management practices. In some cases, however, farmers were apprehensive about the use of plastic crates because of the high initial investment for the purchase price.

The participation of representatives from supermarkets during the pilot demonstration of improved practices facilitated the adoption of the introduced improved practices. Farmers were encouraged by the supermarket manager to adopt the improved practices and to supply quality bananas to the supermarkets using the plastic crates supplied by the supermarket. Farmers who began to supply bananas to the supermarket through these direct links benefitted accordingly, and increased their incomes by 20 to 40 percent.

Moreover, the stakeholders’ initial apprehension regarding the use of plastic crates as transport containers to one of the largest government-managed markets (Dambulla Market), which did not encourage the use of plastic crates as transport containers for fresh produce has been resolved. The Director for the project’s national counterpart, the Institute of Post-harvest Technology (IPHT), presented evidence generated by the project, to the Ministry of Agriculture, which resulted in permission being granted to use plastic crates at the wholesale market.

1.5 BANANAS: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Significant levels of post-harvest loss are incurred when bananas are transported in bunches to the wholesale market. Losses at the wholesale market resulted primarily from abrasion and compression damage because of detached fingers when bananas were transported in bunches. Evidence collected through the pilot demonstrations highlighted the considerably reduced losses when bananas were dehanded and packed in plastic crates during transport to the wholesale market. With their improved appearance, bananas fetched a higher price both at the wholesale and retail levels. Deterioration related to poor appearance was absent at the retail level with the improved practice thus extending the saleable shelf-life of the bananas. The improved practices likewise reduced the risk of contamination thus contributing to the safety of the produce.

The adoption of the improved practices by smallholders was greatly facilitated by links with supermarkets, which resulted in the creation of an enabling environment for the employment of improved practices. Plastic crates were provided to smallholders to be used together with applying the improved practices learned to ensure the supply of better-quality bananas. Increasing consumer awareness of quality and safety will facilitate adoption of these simple and low-cost techniques.
Chapter 2

Case study of cauliflower in Nepal

2.1 OVERVIEW OF THE CAULIFLOWER SUPPLY CHAIN

Cauliflower is one of the most important vegetable crops grown in Nepal. It is produced on small and scattered farms in hilly areas and accounts for about 16 percent of the total vegetable production, with Dhading district alone contributing 1.35 percent of cauliflower production in the country. Cauliflower is popularly consumed in both rural and urban areas.

The quality attributes of cauliflower desired by retailers and by consumers are: good curd size, cleanliness and compactness of the curds, white to creamy-white curd colour, few leaves, short stem, and freedom from physical injury. Stakeholders in the supply chain are aware of the factors that contribute to the safety of cauliflower including proper use of pesticides, strict adherence to the recommended preharvest interval and no-pesticide use after curd emergence.

The traditional cauliflower supply chain

Harvesting – Farmers in Dhading district manually harvest cauliflower at mixed stages of maturity using scythes or knives. The cauliflower is harvested with a long stem and retains about 5 to 7 leaves attached to the curd (Figure 7A), as the leaves serve as cushioning materials during bulk packaging and transportation.

Handling – Following harvest, cauliflower is transferred to large bamboo baskets referred to as ‘doko’, which are used as field containers (Figure 7B). The filled baskets are manually hauled to the collection centre, which is located some 50 to 100 m from the farm. At the collection centre, the cauliflower is sorted on covered ground.

Packaging and transportation – Cauliflower curds along with the leaves are packed into 50 kg capacity mesh, jute or plastic sacks (Figure 7C). The bulk packaged cauliflower is subsequently

FIGURE 7
Traditional handling practices for cauliflower: harvesting leaving long stems and leaves attached (A); field packing in large bamboo baskets referred to as ‘doko’ (B); and packaging in 50 kg plastic sacks (C) for transport to the wholesale market

©E. Serrano
loaded onto trucks for transport to the Kalimati Fruit and Vegetable Wholesale market in Kathmandu, about 85 km from Dhading district.

Marketing – At the wholesale market, buyers from supermarkets, hotels and restaurants or wet market retailers purchase cauliflower. Retailers display cauliflower in the wet market in open stalls and those that are not purchased within the day are temporarily stored in the retail stalls. Steps in the traditional cauliflower supply chain from Dhading district to Kalimati wholesale market in Kathmandu are summarized in Figure 8.

Losses in the traditional cauliflower supply chain
The traditional practice of leaving 5 to 7 leaves attached to the long stem, results in 28 to 30 percent loss at the farm level (Figure 9). The leaves account for 25 percent of the weight of cauliflower sold. Bulk packaging of cauliflower with leaves and long stems in either 50 kg plastic sacks or plastic bags results in 6 percent loss from damage related to transport and packaging (abrasion and compression) and 5 percent weight loss at the level of the wholesaler.

Considerable losses occur in retail, since the cauliflower remains in retail stalls for more than three days during which deterioration becomes evident. After the fourth day in retail, deterioration and weight loss were high at 20 and 21 percent, respectively (Figure 9). Weight loss was largely because of moisture loss from the high surface area of the leaves, which wilted. Curd deterioration was evident in the form of discoloration resulting from mechanical damage.

Total loss in the traditional supply chain amounted to 52 percent distributed as follows: farmer level 28 to 30 percent; transportation and wholesale 11 percent, and retail 41 percent (Figure 8). Because of the high levels of losses at the retail level, the retail price was high at Nepalese rupee (NPR) 40/kg while the farm price was low at NPR 22/kg. At the wholesale level, the price was also low at NPR 25/kg very likely because of the relatively lower level of loss at that level of the supply chain when compared with the losses at the retail level.

2.2 TECHNICAL INNOVATIONS AND PRACTICES INTRODUCED FOR CAULIFLOWER
The pilot demonstration was conducted in Dhading district and cauliflower was transported to the Kalimati wholesale market and to retail markets in Kathmandu. Innovations introduced included harvesting at the correct stage of maturity, trim-
FIGURE 9
Key stakeholders in the cauliflower supply chain and losses incurred using traditional practices for handling and marketing
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FIGURE 10
Improved cauliflower supply chain from Dhading district to Kalimati wholesale market
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Trimming of leaves and stems, culling of insect- and disease-damaged curds, bulk packing in plastic crates for transportation and film wrapping of the curd at the retail market (Figure 11).

**Harvesting and field preparation** – Fully mature and compact curds were harvested using a scythe, they were then trimmed, leaving only four wrapper leaves intact (Figure 11A). Cauliflower stems were also trimmed short. Curds exhibiting ‘riciness’ (an indication of over-maturity) and damage from insects or disease were culled.

**Packaging and transportation** – Good quality curds were packed in plastic crates (15 kg capacity), layered between sheets of plastic to minimize abrasion (Figure 11B) damage. The crates were subsequently hauled to the collection centre in Dhading and then transported to the wholesale market. For retail display, curds were wrapped with low-density polyethylene film to minimize weight loss and other deteriorative changes (Figure 11C).

A summary of the traditional and improved post-harvest practices during the pilot demonstrations is presented in Table 6.

**2.3 CAULIFLOWER: ANALYSIS OF RESULTS**

**Loss reduction in the improved cauliflower supply chain**

Preharvest defects in cauliflower attributed to insect, rat and disease damage remained the same at 5 percent.

At the wholesale level, the incidence of mechanical damage was reduced from 6 percent to 3.4 percent with the use of plastic crates for bulk packaging (Figure 12) and weight loss was reduced from 5 to 1.1 percent. With improved practices in the chain, the loss incurred was substantially reduced. Losses arising from deteriorative changes such as curd discoloration and decay were reduced from 20 to 10 percent while weight loss was reduced from 20.1 to 3.8 percent. Cauliflower displayed in open stalls in high temperatures during retail, resulted in rapid water loss and eventually curd discoloration. With individual film wrapping, water loss was reduced as the film provided a physical barrier to water loss. One disadvantage of wrapping each cauliflower with film led to a high incidence of decay in the curd because of high temperature and high humidity.
Losses incurred in the traditional and improved supply chains are summarized in Table 7. At the farmer level, the reduction in loss amounted to 83 percent with the good practice of trimming. On the other hand, the decrease in loss from 11 to 4.5 percent at the wholesale level translated to a 59.1 percent reduction in loss because of the reduced incidence of mechanical damage as plastic crates were used in transportation and weight loss was reduced as a result of trimming. Retailers also benefitted from the improved practice with a 66.3 percent reduction in losses at this level. Losses in the supply chain were reduced from 52 percent with the traditional practice, to 18.3 percent with the improved practice.

**Shelf-life**

The five to seven leaves left attached to the curd using the traditional practice resulted in heightened water loss manifested as wilting of the leaves and eventually curd discoloration, which detracted from consumer appeal. Curds handled using traditional practice, therefore, remained marketable for only 2 to 3 days. When the leaves were trimmed and the curds were individually film-wrapped for retail, weight loss was minimize, which substantially increased the shelf-life of cauliflower to 6 days.

**Produce safety**

Leaves potentially harbour spoilage and pathogenic micro-organisms that can contaminate the
curd since the leaves are used to cover the curd and serve as a cushion. The source of contamination is eliminated when the leaves are trimmed.

Individual film wrapping of the curd also prevented cross-contamination since the film served as a physical barrier between the environment and the curd. It also eliminated contamination of the curd by consumers who handle and press the curds to test for compactness.

**Economic benefit derived from the introduction of improved practices**

Trimming the stem to about 1 to 2 cm in length and leaving only 4 small wrapper leaves attached to the curd benefits the farmer, the wholesaler and particularly the retailer (Tables 8 to 10). The farmer sells a larger volume at a higher price to the wholesaler; the wholesaler sells the trimmed curd at a higher price to the retailer while the retailer has the greatest benefit of the trimming intervention because of better quality, which can be sold to consumers at a higher price with substantial reduction in weight loss. With the benefits spreading across the three sectors, in the end, the consumer also benefits as well in terms of getting the best quality.

The wholesaler benefits from the use of plastic crates for bulk packaging as the number of unmarketable curds resulting from mechanical damage was substantially lowered upon arrival at the wholesale market (Table 11). The farmer benefits from the higher price paid by the wholesaler, for the better quality cauliflower when plastic crates and films are used for bulk packaging and transportation of produce directly to the wholesaler.

With the current system of marketing cauliflower grown in Dhading district, farmers do not, however, deliver their produce directly to the wholesaler. Instead, they sell to a collector who provides logistic services and packaging containers (plastic crates) to the wholesaler. The wholesaler pays the collector for transport services that also...

---

**TABLE 8**

**Farmer: benefits of trimming**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>No Trimming</th>
<th>Trimming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross returns</strong> (Volume sold – losses) x selling price</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 26 kg opportunity loss) x NPR22.00/kg</td>
<td>NPR 628.00</td>
<td>NPR 500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 0 kg) x NPR25.00/kg</td>
<td>NPR 628.00</td>
<td>NPR 500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation cost of trimming knife (NPR150/pc)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(useful life = 3 yr x 60 use/yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour cost for trimming @NPR 2/curd or kg</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>1 628.00</td>
<td>2 299.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net returns (Gross returns – total cost)</strong></td>
<td>NPR 16.28</td>
<td>NPR 22.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net returns per kg sold</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: field data*

**TABLE 9**

**Wholesaler: benefits of trimming**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>No Trimming</th>
<th>Trimming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross returns</strong> (Volume sold – losses) x selling price</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 11 kg) x NPR 26/kg, Untrimmed</td>
<td>NPR 2 225.00</td>
<td>NPR 2 865.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 4.5 kg) x NPR 30/kg, Trimmed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of cauliflower = NPR 22/kg, Untrimmed</td>
<td>2 200.00</td>
<td>2 500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of cauliflower = NPR 25/kg, Trimmed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross Returns – Total cost</strong></td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>365.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net return (NPR/kg sold)</strong></td>
<td>NPR 0.25</td>
<td>NPR 3.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: field data*
include ‘renting’ the use of the plastic crate. If farmers owned the plastic crates, they would benefit from using them to sell produce directly to the wholesale market as they could add the benefit of using the plastic crate in terms of reduced weight loss and fewer unmarketable curds, and would retain the cost of the packaging material/transport container, which is paid by the wholesaler to the collector. Further, the long utility life (10 years) of plastic crates would be an added benefit to the farmer in the long run.

The retailer benefits greatly from wrapping the curd during retail as weight loss is substantially minimized and the shelf-life of the cauliflower curd is extended by three days, as compared to unwrapped curds. The retailer would have net returns of NPR22.32/kg of wrapped cauliflower sold versus NPR6.00/kg of unwrapped curd (Table 12).

### 2.4 Response of Stakeholders in the Cauliflower Supply Chain

Feedback and impressions from the stakeholders were obtained during the pilot demonstrations and training of stakeholders in the supply chain, when the results of the pilot demonstration were presented. One participant stated that whether or not the cauliflower was trimmed, it would be purchased at the same price. However, this was not the case when wholesalers were interviewed. Wholesalers stated their willingness to purchase trimmed curds at a higher price as they would no longer need to trim cauliflowers at the Kalimati market. Moreover they stated customers paid a higher price for trimmed curds.

There was no negative impression concerning the use of plastic crates. This was perhaps because the cost benefit analysis highlighted the long-term benefit of the use of crates, especially if cooperatives would sell their produce directly at the Kali-
A Chair of the cooperative stated that the cooperative has a designated selling area at the Kalimati market and that several trials would be initiated and conducted following the improved post-harvest practices to persuade cooperative members of the benefits of the improved system as compared to traditional methods of handling and marketing produce.

2.5 CAULIFLOWER: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The good post-harvest practices introduced under the project were as follows: harvesting at the correct stage of maturity late in the morning to prevent leaf breakage, trimming of the stem and leaves; bulk packaging in plastic crates for transportation; use of low density plastic film to wrap the curd during retail marketing. These innovations in the supply chain reduced total loss (farm to retail) from 52 percent (incurred using traditional handling practices) to 18.3 percent with improved practices.

Trimming of the cauliflower leaves and stems benefitted the farmer, wholesaler and retailer. The wholesaler benefits the most from the use of plastic crates for bulk packaging as the proportion of unmarketable curds (physically damaged) was substantially reduced upon arrival at the wholesale market. If farmers own the plastic crates, they would benefit from their use by being able to sell directly to the wholesale market. Further, the long utility life (10 years) of the plastic crate would provide added benefits to the farmer over the long term.

The retailer benefits substantially from wrapping the curd during retail as weight loss is reduced. There is a resulting increase in the shelf-life of the cauliflower curds up to 3 days or more compared to unwrapped curds.

The benefits enjoyed by the farmer, wholesaler and retailer from the improved practices would also benefit the consumer who would receive the best value for their money when buying good quality produce that is available in greater volume.

The traditional marketing system in retail markets for cauliflower does not reward quality with premium price. However, with the rise of modern markets that require quality and safe produce and with buyers wanting a shorter supply chain, stakeholders can take advantage of this development. If the stakeholders become organized, they can link directly to institutional buyers that require consistent volumes of quality produce and who would be willing to pay a premium price.

### TABLE 12
Retailer: benefits of wrapping cauliflower curds with plastic film

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>No Wrapping</th>
<th>Wrapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross returns</strong> (Volume sold – losses) x selling price</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 21 kg weight loss) x NPR40 kg, Untrimmed</td>
<td>NPR 3 160.00</td>
<td>NPR 5,772.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 3.8 kg weight loss) x NPR60/kg, Trimmed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of cauliflower = NPR 25/kg, Untrimmed</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of cauliflower = NPR 30/kg, Trimmed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of plastic film, NPR 170/50 ft (51.8/15.24 m); one curd needs</td>
<td></td>
<td>340.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one foot (30.48 cm) for wrapping</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour cost for wrapping</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,540.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross returns – total cost</strong></td>
<td>660.00</td>
<td>2,232.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net return</strong> (NPR/kg sold)</td>
<td>NPR 6.00</td>
<td>NPR 22.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field data
Chapter 3
Case study of mandarin in Nepal

3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE MANDARIN SUPPLY CHAIN

Mandarins include a diverse group of citrus fruits characterized by brightly coloured peel and pulp, excellent flavour, easy-to-peel rind, and segments that separate easily. The mandarin orange is the most important fruit crop produced in the mid hills of Nepal. It covers 72 percent of the total area under citrus cultivation contributing 68 percent to citrus production.

Mandarins are valued for their vitamin C content. The quality attributes of mandarins desired by consumers include: freshness, large size, smooth peel (absence of injury and decay), full peel colour, good taste, juicy and thin, loose skin. In Kavre, however, thick-skinned mandarins are grown.

As to safety requirements, consumers are particular about pesticide residues on the mandarins. Farmers and preharvest contractors similarly identified pesticide contamination as a safety risk factor together with insect and bird infestation. Stakeholders in the supply chain are aware of these desired quality and safety attributes.

One of the marketing practices in Kavre that seriously impacts mandarin quality is the prevalence of preharvest contractors who set the harvest date and the price. In situations where mandarins are harvested during the peak season when the price is low, harvesting is delayed, resulting in over maturation of the fruit.

The traditional mandarin supply chain

Mandarins are produced on small farms in the uplands of Kavre with each farmer producing an average of 5.7 tonnes per season. The peak harvest season is January to February. Farmers are responsible for taking care of the trees until fruiting.

Harvesting – Mandarins are harvested at different stages of maturity depending on market destination and market demand. Therefore, the buyer will often find mixed maturity fruit. Preharvest contractors provide the labour for harvesting. Low hanging fruit is generally harvested manually by pulling the fruit resulting in the rind tearing. High hanging fruit are harvested by shaking the trees or by hitting the fruit with a stick. The fruit falls to the ground and is collected.

Field preparation – Preharvest contractors sort mandarins primarily on the basis of size, for example: large, medium and small. Fruit is sorted on the ground on a tarpaulin sheet or underlay. Sorted mandarins are packed into 20 kg capacity plastic crates. Preharvest contractors and collectors state the crates minimize post-harvest losses and facilitates handling and stacking for transport.

Transportation – Depending on the distance to the market, different types of vehicles are used to transport mandarins but trucks are most common. From the production areas in Kavre, the truck travels over approximately 52 km on good road to the Kalimati wholesale market in Kathmandu, where the mandarins are distributed to the wet market or to buyers from supermarkets. The traditional supply chain for mandarins produced in Kavre district and marketed in Kathmandu is shown in Figure 13.

Losses in the traditional mandarin supply chain

At the farm level, preharvest loss amounted to 5 percent. The main cause of farm level rejection was fallen fruit and damage by birds and insects. At the wholesale level, losses amounted to 7.25 percent distributed as follows: transport and packaging-related damage 4.35 percent; poor quality 2.20 percent and weight loss 0.70 percent (Figure 14). While the distance between Kavre and the wholesale market was relatively short, only 52 km from the collection centre to the wholesale market, losses resulting from poor packaging were high. Damage from compression (resulting from
over packing) and abrasion resulted in poor quality fruit. Mandarins have a relatively long shelf-life and can be stored under ambient conditions for almost 11 days. The highest loss was incurred at the retail level amounting to 13.04 percent distributed almost equally between weight loss (6.84 percent) and loss from deterioration (6.20 percent) after 11 days (Figure 14).

At the level of the wholesaler and retailer, the total post-harvest loss was 20.29 percent. As noted in Figure 14 there was a significant difference between the retail price and farmgate price. The retail price for mandarin was NPR90/kg, which was almost double the farmgate price of NPR45/kg. This difference in price is always attributed to losses incurred during retail. In effect, both the farmer and the consumer lose with farmers obtaining a low price for their produce and consumers paying a high price.

3.2 TECHNICAL INNOVATIONS AND PRACTICES INTRODUCED FOR MANDARIN

Mechanical damage and the onset of decay resulting from faulty harvesting were the major causes of loss after harvest. Improved post-harvest practices introduced through pilot demonstrations included: use of harvesting tools with a bag attached at the end; harvesting fruits at the correct stage of maturity; sorting and grading; coating with vegetable oil in combination with detergent; and proper bulk packaging in plastic crates (Figure 15 and Table13).

Harvesting – Mandarins were harvested using a harvesting device equipped with holding scissors and a bag (Figure 16A) to minimize injury during harvesting. The holding scissor at the end of the bag greatly reduced injury at the point of fruit detachment. Fruit was sorted and graded on the ground covered by a tarpaulin (Figure 16B). Fruits damaged by insects and birds and over-mature fruit were culled.

To enhance the external appearance of the fruit, mandarins were coated with a mixture of vegetable oil and detergent (Figure 16C). The vegetable oil was intended to reduce weight loss and enhance the glossy appearance of the fruit.

Packaging and transportation – During packing, a plastic sheet was placed between layers of mandarins (Figure 16D) to minimize abrasion damage. The bulk-packaged mandarins in plastic crates were then hauled to the collection centre for transport to the wholesale market in Kathmandu.
FIGURE 14
Major stakeholders in the mandarin supply chain and losses incurred using traditional practices for handling and marketing
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FIGURE 15
Improved mandarin supply chain from Kavre district to Kathmandu
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3.3 MANDARIN: ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Reduced losses with innovations introduced into the mandarin supply chain

Preharvest losses remained at 5 percent and were not included in the calculation of total loss. Improved packing of the mandarins using plastic sheets between the layers during bulk packaging, reduced transport and packaging-related losses and the recovery of poor quality fruits was reduced to 4.1 percent with the improved practice, as compared to 7.25 percent loss with the traditional practice (Figure 17 and Table 14). Weight loss was low at 0.7 percent and was the same for both traditional and improved practice.

At the retail level, loss as a result of deterioration was absent. Weight loss on the eleventh day at the retail level was 6.8 percent for mandarins handled using the improved practice of waxing. Treatment with vegetable oil coating in combination with detergent retarded weight loss and other deteriorative changes in mandarins during the eleven-day holding in retail under ambient conditions.
At the wholesale level, there was a 43.4 percent reduction in loss with improved practices (Table 14). Loss reduction was highest at the retailer level at 64.6 percent.

**Shelf-life**

Excessive moisture loss causing shrivelling is a major cause of losses in retail. Hence, retailers purchase mandarins from wholesalers that are adequate for the 11-day period. With the improved practices of waxing and layering of plastic sheets between layers of fruit, abrasion damage was reduced and retailers could extend the shelf-life of mandarins beyond the 11-day period and sell a reasonable volume of good quality fruit. The applied wax or coating also provided a barrier to moisture loss hence shrivelling was retarded.

**Produce safety**

The coating material applied protected the fruit from invasion of spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms thus contributing to the safety of the mandarin.

**Economic benefits from improved post-harvest practices**

A cost-benefit analysis was completed to assess the effect of fruit maturity on returns to the wholesaler and the retailer. The benefit to the wholesaler was relatively small if mandarins were

---

**TABLE 14**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supply chain level</th>
<th>Traditional Losses (%)</th>
<th>Improved Losses (%)</th>
<th>Reduction in loss (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>&gt;5.0</td>
<td>&lt;5.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesaler</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retailer</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total loss</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>56.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 RESPONSE OF STAKEHOLDERS IN THE MANDARIN SUPPLY CHAIN

During the feedback session after the pilot demonstration, the stakeholders were impressed with the harvesting tool with scissors and harvesting bag, which reduced fruit damage. The stakeholders further realized the benefits of harvesting mandarins at the correct stage of maturity in terms of reduced weight loss and delayed deterioration during retail. The stakeholders asserted that in the coming harvest season, the fruit will only be harvested at the correct stage of maturity using the improved harvesting tool.

3.5 MANDARIN: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The simple innovations introduced in the supply chain particularly when using the harvesting tool with scissors and harvesting bag, which reduced fruit damage. The stakeholders further realized the benefits of harvesting mandarins at the correct stage of maturity in terms of reduced weight loss and delayed deterioration during retail. The stakeholders asserted that in the coming harvest season, the fruit will only be harvested at the correct stage of maturity using the improved harvesting tool.
tool with scissors and bag attached; harvesting at correct stage of maturity; sorting and grading; coating with vegetable oil; and use of plastic sheet liners between layers of mandarins in plastic crates reduced total losses from 20.2 to 8.7 percent. The greatest reduction in losses occurred at the retail level because of the increased shelf-life where mandarins are held for 11 days under ambient condition. During that period, quality deterioration was more apparent in the form of decay and mechanical damage when fruit was handled using the traditional practice. Moreover, since mandarins were sold by weight, the reduction in weight loss brought about by waxing resulted in higher recovery of marketable fruit and extended shelf-life.

Lack of knowledge about good handling practice is a key contributor to losses. Relevant institutions should give priority to capacity-building of stakeholders through training and provision of technical assistance in the implementation of good practice in harvesting and handling.

It is highly recommended that the prevailing practice of preharvest contractors dictating harvesting schedules should be discouraged. Stakeholders who are preharvest contractors in the supply chain should be made aware of the implications of their practice. They must also be trained in good harvest and post-harvest practices. Another strategy for minimizing the influence of the preharvest contractors is by having an organized group of farmers who are able to forge market links with buyers. Being better organized, farmers can improve their bargaining power because, they would be able to supply the same quantities to buyers through cooperative marketing.
Chapter 4
Case study of mango in Bangladesh

4.1 OVERVIEW OF THE MANGO SUPPLY CHAIN

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) is one of the most important and popularly grown fruits in Bangladesh. In 2013, mangoes were produced on 30.80 thousand ha with an average yield of 31.07 tonnes (BBS, 2013 as cited by Miah *et al.*, 2015). The introduction of improved varieties, production techniques and greater market demand have led to an increase in the area and volume of mango production by 1.5 and 5.3 percent, respectively over the last few years.

Mangoes are harvested from mid-May until mid-August with different varieties harvested each season. The varieties that can be harvested in mid-May until mid-June, referred to as the early varieties, are Gopalbhog, Himsagor, Khirsapat, Brindabhan, and BARI Aam-1. The mid-season or peak season varieties harvested in mid to late June are Langra, Krisanbhog, Daseri, Lakhanbhog, and BARI Aam-2-3. The late varieties harvested in July until mid-August are Fazli, Ashina, Chausa and BARI Aam-4.

Mangoes are mainly sold on the domestic market in Bangladesh. Mangoes are supplied by wholesalers to institutional buyers such as supermarkets and processors. Since 2015, small quantities of mangoes have been exported to Europe, in particular to the United Kingdom and Italy.

The traditional mango supply chain

Farmers in Chapai Nawabganj have been producing a range of mango varieties for over 30 years. The main insect pests associated with mango production are the leafhopper, weevil, fruit fly, stem borer, and mango defoliator. The main diseases are dieback, gummosis, gall, anthracnose, and powdery mildew. Because of these insect and disease problems, pesticides are sprayed from 16 to 20 times per cropping season, application starts with flowering through to fruiting. Some 27 types of pesticides are frequently applied causing a major safety concern. According to the growers, the reason for this practice is to render the mangoes disease free. Growers believe that if mangoes are disease free, then the fruit is safe to eat. Plant growth regulators are generally applied from flowering until fruit development and maturation to increase the size of the fruit.

**Harvesting** – Maturity indices followed are change in peel colour, flattening of the shoulder, and falling of fruit to the ground. Mangoes are harvested either by hand followed by throwing the harvested fruit to another person holding a jute sack to catch the fruit (Figure 18A and B) or using a traditional picking tool equipped with a net at the end to catch the fruit. Using this traditional tool, however, a long stem is left, which may cause puncture damage to the fruit. The time of the day for harvesting is not a concern for farmers except mangoes are not harvested when it rains.

**Field handling** – Field sorting is done immediately after harvest. Mangoes with prominent damage caused by insects and diseases (like scab) or are deformed are culled. Sorted mangoes are packed in bamboo baskets with newspaper or rice straw cushioning (Figure 18C and 18D) for delivery to the collection centres in Volarhat, Chapai Nawabganj.

**Packaging and transportation** – At the collection centre, mangoes in bamboo baskets are bulk packaged in 20 kg capacity plastic crates lined with newspaper at the bottom, on all sides and on top. Recycled fibreboard cartons are also used (Figure 18E). Traditional bamboo baskets with rice straw linings are still used for local markets.
Different types of vehicles are used to transport mangoes to the market depending on the destination. Bicycles, rickshaws and carts are used to transport mangoes from the farm to the collection centre. Trucks transport the fruit to distant wholesale markets. The major wholesale markets for mangoes produced in Chapai Nawabganj are Gazipur and Dhaka, which are located approximately 450 km from the collection centre.

At the wholesale market, mangoes are distributed to retailers and to other buyers that supply institutional markets such as supermarkets. The traditional supply chain for mangoes from Volarhat, Chapai Nawabganj to Gazipur wholesale market is shown in Figure 19.

Losses in the traditional mango supply chain
With the traditional method of harvesting, which employs the ‘pick and throw’ method, harvest defects include cracking (when uncaught fruit falls to the ground) were high at 16.6 percent (Figure 20). At the wholesale level, loss was low at 1.9 percent, mainly from weight loss. No losses were evident from mechanical damage during transportation as plastic crates are traditionally used as transport containers.

Loss at the retail level was high wherein weight loss was 10.9 percent and loss from decay during the five-day retail period was 25.1 percent (Figure 20) with a high incidence of stem-end rot as compared with anthracnose. Stem-end rot and anthracnose are the major post-harvest mango diseases. Anthracnose is a latent infection and symptoms become apparent only as the fruit ripens. Post-harvest loss in mango from decay was high, particularly when fruit was harvested during the rainy season from May to July.

The retailer’s high losses are one of the reasons for the big difference between the retail price in Bangladesh Taka (BDT) 60/kg and farm gate price (BDT 35/kg) for mangoes.

4.2 TECHNICAL INNOVATIONS AND PRACTICES INTRODUCED FOR MANGO
On the basis of the results of loss measurements in the traditional supply chain, key steps targeted for improvement in the supply chain were: harvest-
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FIGURE 19
Traditional mango supply chain from Chapi Nawabganj to Gazipur

Source: field data.

FIGURE 20
Major stakeholders in mango supply chain and losses incurred using traditional practices for handling and marketing

Source: field data.
TABLE 17
Traditional and improved post-harvest handling operations and technologies during the pilot demonstration in the mango supply chain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Traditional practice</th>
<th>Improved practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvesting</td>
<td>Farmer’s picking pole</td>
<td>BARI improved picking pole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field packing</td>
<td>Bamboo basket</td>
<td>Plastic crate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimming of stem</td>
<td>Hand pulling of stem</td>
<td>Trimming with scissors. The person doing the trimming wears gloves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delatexing</td>
<td>No delatexing</td>
<td>Use of a delatexing tray with trimmed fruit placed stem end down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease control</td>
<td>No hot water treatment</td>
<td>Hot water treatment (53°C, 5 minute dip) followed by 5 minutes hydrocooling in tap water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaging for transport</td>
<td>Plastic crate</td>
<td>Plastic crate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field data.

FIGURE 21
Improved mango supply chain from Chapai Nawabganj to Gazipur

Source: field data.
Two types of harvesting tools were fabricated by BARI, one for mangoes on high branches (tall trees), and the other for mangoes within easy reach. Once detached, mangoes were transferred to the collection basket, which was then lowered to the ground.

Field level handling – Mangoes were sorted on the basis of their external appearance (free of mechanical injury and insect damage), and were transferred to plastic crates as field containers (Figure 22B).

The crated mangoes were transported to the collection centre where the long stem was trimmed using scissors, the mangoes were then delatexed, the fruit is placed stem end down for approximately 10 minutes on the delatexing tray (fabricated by BARI), to allow the latex to drain (Figure 22C). The delatexed mangoes were transferred to plastic crates for the hot water treatment (HWT) for 5 minutes at 53°C (Figure 22D). Langra variety mangoes are thin skinned, hence the choice of this protocol to prevent fruit damage. Following the hot water treatment, the crated mangoes were transferred to another tank containing tap water under ambient conditions and allowed to hydrocool for five minutes, they were then air dried on a stainless steel sorting table.

Mangoes were bulk packed in plastic crates (Figure 22E) having a 30 kg capacity with newspaper liner at the bottom and between the layers of fruit. Mangoes were transported in the evening of the same day together with a commercial load in a 12-tonne truck and reached Gazipur wholesale market the following evening. The distance from Volarhat to Gazipur is 450 km.

4.3 MANGO: ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

When the improved BARI harvester was used, no harvest defects such as fruit cracks occurred. This is due to the fact that the harvester is equipped with a net attached at the end to catch the harvested fruit, it also has a collecting basket that is lowered to the ground when half-filled with harvested mangoes. Moreover, the improved BARI harvesting tool reduced the length of the stem left on the fruit, and as a result, hand cutting of the stem was not required. This further reduced injury to the fruit.

At the wholesale level, there was no incidence of mechanically damaged mangoes as the plastic crates lined with newspaper on all sides are effec-
tive as field and transport containers. The only loss at the wholesale level was in weight, which was minimal at 1.6 percent (Figure 23).

During the five-day retail period under ambient conditions, weight loss was high at 9.8 percent with the mangoes exhibiting slight shrivelling. The predominant form of decay during retail of Langra mangoes was stem-end rot, which developed both in unripe and ripe fruit.

With the traditional practice, where there is no HWT, stem-end rot was apparent even on the first day of retail and was almost negligible in mangoes treated using the improved practice of HWT. The incidence of stem-end rot progressively increased with extended holding in retail. On the fifth day, the proportion of fruit with stem-end rot was 7 percent (Figure 23) for fruit handled using the improved practice, while 25 percent of fruit were infected when traditional practices were employed. These fruit were already unmarketable and thus represented a total loss.

Unlike the case for stem-end rot, anthracnose infections developed only as the mangoes ripened, coinciding with the time when mangoes are in retail or with the consumers. As in the case of stem-end rot, HWT dramatically retarded the onset of anthracnose and reduced the incidence to a very low level and also reduced the severity of infection. Mangoes exhibiting slight anthracnose infection were still marketable although the price was low.

Another benefit of the improved practice of HWT was the rapid and uniform ripening of the mangoes since heat accelerates physiological processes such as ripening. Moreover, the Langra variety does not undergo colour change during ripening but with HWT, peel colour change from green to light yellow was observed. The change in peel colour can therefore be used as an index of ripeness, thus preventing damage to the fruit when consumers touch it to determine ripeness.

Another secondary benefit of HWT is that the visual appeal of the fruit is enhanced when fresh latex has been washed off.

Unmarketable mangoes include fruit that exhibit moderate to severe anthracnose and stem-end rot infection. On the third day, when mangoes were almost ripe, unmarketable fruit amounted to 11.4 percent of those handled using traditional practices as compared to only 3.4 percent for
HWT mangoes. Extending the retail period to five days resulted in a very high percentage of unmarketable mangoes (25.1 percent) for the traditional practice as compared to only 7 percent for the improved practice. These unmarketable mangoes were considered as post-harvest loss.

Because of the simple interventions introduced in the supply chain, losses in mangoes were greatly reduced. The simple intervention of using an improved harvesting tool reduced losses related to fruit cracks at harvest by 100 percent (Table 18). At the wholesale level, loss was very low because of the absence of mechanical damage related to the use of plastic crates as transport containers. Moreover, wholesalers retained mangoes for one to two days.

The HWT provided a low cost treatment as a means to reducing losses, amounting to only BDT 0.63/kg of mangoes. This physical method of controlling decay reduced stem-end rot and anthracnose infection from 25.1 percent employing the traditional practice to 7 percent with the improved practice, equivalent to a 72.1 percent reduction in decay and ultimately loss (Table 18).

With the application of improved practice, better quality mangoes obtained commanded a comparably high retail price of BDT 80/kg. Mangoes with slight shrivelling but no anthracnose infection, commanded a retail price of BDT 60/kg, traditionally handled mangoes have a shelf-life of one to three days in retail, with a lower price for marketable mangoes on the third day. With the improved practice, in particularly HWT, the onset of decay was delayed, the incidence of decay was reduced and the severity of infection was only slight, resulting in an extended shelf-life in retail of two to three additional days or more. Beyond the five-day retail period mangoes were already overripe but still edible.

Shelf-life was also extended because of the effect of HWT in enhancing peel colour change thus consumers were less likely to touch the fruit to determine ripeness. With constant finger pressure on the fruit, stress is induced, which leads to an enhanced rate of fruit ripening; fruit becomes overripe on the third day thus reducing marketable life.

Produce safety

Hot water treatment is a physical method and no chemicals are added thus it is a safe method of controlling decay. If adopted on a wider scale, especially for the mass market, HWT will eliminate consumers concern regarding the safety of mangoes because of reports that chemicals such as formalin and even fungicides are used after harvest to control decay. Fungicides, especially the systemic, have a long preharvest interval. Considering that mangoes ripen within 3 to 5 days after harvest, high levels of chemical residue in the fruit becomes a problem.

The improved harvesting tool, and the method of harvesting, prevented fruit from falling to the ground, thus reducing the risk of contamination. Fruit that falls to the ground, without cracks, are included in packing and if not thoroughly cleaned can pose a health risk.

### TABLE 18
Losses in the traditional and improved mango supply chain. (Source: field data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supply chain level</th>
<th>Losses (%)/Handling practice</th>
<th>Reduction in loss (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>(16.6)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesaler</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retailer</td>
<td>36.0 (25.1 % decay)</td>
<td>16.8 (7.0 % decay)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total loss</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Excluding harvest loss)

Source: field data.
Economic benefits from improved practice
With HWT, mango traders and exporters can minimize if not totally control decay depending on the growing season (dry or rainy). Hot water treatment results in increased returns or income resulting from the increased volume of mangoes of marketable quality or higher-priced produce. Costs are also reduced as a result of labour savings for sorting decayed mangoes.

Since the onset of decay occurs at the trader level particularly during retail when mangoes begin to ripen, the economic benefits were computed at the retail level on two occasions: on day three when mangoes were almost ripe and of good quality, and on day five, simulating extended holding in retail especially during the peak month of June.

Gross returns – An 11.4 percent loss with the traditional practice (No HWT) on day 3, was equivalent to 114 kg of unmarketable mangoes. At the retail price of BDT 80/kg, the estimated gross returns would be BDT 70 880/week. With the improved practice (HWT), the loss was only 3.4 percent hence the estimated gross returns would be BDT 77 280/week. The additional weekly gross income as a result of the improved practice (HWT) would be BDT 6 400/week.

On day 5 representing an extended retail period, some mangoes exhibited shrivelling and were almost overripe. For mangoes handled using the improved practice (HWT), decay was absent and fruit were of better quality than those handled using the traditional practice (No HWT) hence the retail price was BDT 60/kg. With fruit handled using the traditional practice, slight anthracnose infection was apparent in some fruit and the retail price was lower at BDT 50/kg. On day 5, losses related to decay increased to 25.1 percent for fruit handled using the traditional practice equivalent to 251 kg of unmarketable mangoes. The estimated gross income then would be BDT 37 450. With the improved practice, losses amounted to only 7 percent equivalent to 70 kg of unmarketable mangoes. At a retail price of BDT 60/kg, the estimated gross income would be BDT 55 800. The additional gross income per week with the improved practice would be BDT 18 350.

The summary of added gross returns using the improved practice particularly HWT that would be obtained on days 3 and 5 of retail is shown in Table 19.

Added cost – With the improved practice of HWT, additional costs incurred, include the depreciation cost of the HWT tank and the cooling tank, use of electricity and water, labour cost during HWT and the opportunity cost of capital. The total added cost with HWT is BDT 0.63/kg of mangoes.

The retailer would benefit from the improved practice of HWT (Tables 20 and 21). On the third day of retail, the net returns are however lower than on day five, when a higher volume of mangoes would be sold because of the low incidence of decay and therefore higher recovery of marketable mangoes.

4.4 RESPONSE OF STAKEHOLDERS IN THE MANGO SUPPLY CHAIN
The pilot demonstration was conducted in a major mango production area. Moreover, the building of the Mango Foundation where the HWT demonstration was conducted, is located in the central collection centre in Volarhat. Thus, both members of the Mango Foundation and a range of other stake-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumptions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume of mangoes handled during the 5-day retail period:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail price of mango on day 3:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail price of mango on day 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For HWT mangoes with slight shrivelling, some overripe but no decay:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For non-HWT-treated mangoes with slight shrivelling, slight anthracnose, overripe:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour cost for sorting decayed mangoes:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Mangoes were of good quality on day 3 for both the IP-HWT and TP – No HWT
<sup>2</sup> Based on data received from BARI on 23 August 2016
TABLE 19
Summary of gross returns obtained with improved practice of HWT versus the traditional practice of no HWT during the five-day retail period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss (%)</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarketable volume (kg)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable volume (kg)</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross income (BDT)</td>
<td>70 880.00</td>
<td>77 280.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional gross income (BDT)</td>
<td>6 400.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field data.

TABLE 20
Retailer: benefits on day three after applying HWT improved practice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Traditional No HWT</th>
<th>Improved With HWT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross returns (Volume sold – losses) x selling price</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 000 kg – 114 kg) x BDT 80/kg; No HWT</td>
<td>BDT 70 880.00</td>
<td>BDT 77 280.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 000 kg – 34 kg) x BDT 80/kg; With HWT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of HWT (BDT 0.63/kg of mangoes)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>630.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of sorting during retail</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>630.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross returns –Total cost</td>
<td>70 380.00</td>
<td>76 650.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net returns (BDT/kg)</td>
<td>70.38</td>
<td>76.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field data

TABLE 21
Retailer: benefit on day five after applying HWT improved practice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Traditional No HWT</th>
<th>Improved With HWT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross returns (Volume sold – losses) x selling price</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 000 kg – 251 kg) x BDT 50/kg; No HWT</td>
<td>BDT 37 450.00</td>
<td>BDT 55 800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 000 kg – 70 kg) x BDT 60/kg; With HWT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of HWT (BDT 0.63/kg of mangoes)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of sorting during retail</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>530.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross returns –Total cost</td>
<td>36 950.00</td>
<td>55 170.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net returns (BDT/kg)</td>
<td>36.95</td>
<td>55.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field data

holders participated in the demonstration of HWT. The discussions with the stakeholders and the demonstrations of the effects of the good post-harvest practices such as the use of an improved harvesting tool, and delatexing to reduce latex stains on the fruit enhanced the awareness of the stakeholders that fruit rejection, if not losses, can be reduced with the application of these simple techniques.
During the training of stakeholders in the supply chain, the results of the pilot demonstration were presented. The benefits derived from reducing the incidence of decay (both stem-end rot and anthracnose) through HWT, which included reduced losses, enhanced ripening and peel colour change (for the Langra variety that does not change colour), reduced latex staining, and enhanced marketable life of the mango fruit, created interest among the members of the Mango Foundation as well as the stakeholders from the districts of Kansat and Chapai Sardar. Some of the stakeholders present during the pilot demonstration and training, particularly those engaged in mango trading, expressed an interest in adopting the improved practices to supply mangoes to institutional buyers such as supermarkets or as suppliers to exporters based in Dhaka.

4.5 MANGO: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Innovations introduced during the project which demonstrated their beneficial effects in terms of reducing losses from decay, enhancing peel colour, reducing latex stains on the peel, which detracts from consumer appeal would be most useful if adopted by organized groups of stakeholders. A price premium for mangoes subjected to improved practices can be earned, by organized groups that link to the market. These groups might further add value through branding of their produce.

The mango industry in Bangladesh is a flourishing industry where the area under production is expanding to meet high domestic demand. Moreover, the rise of modern markets such as supermarkets, hotels and other institutional buyers as well as the increasing volume of exports necessitates that emphasis is placed on quality and safety management along the supply chain.

Several varieties of mangoes flower and fruit in different seasons from May until mid-August, which is also the rainy season. As such, mangoes are prone to decay since the warm and humid conditions during flowering until fruit maturation are conducive to infection. The incidence of decay after harvest, particularly stem-end rot and anthracnose, has been identified as a major contributing factor to high post-harvest losses, which was verified in the loss assessment study and in the pilot demonstration.

The following are further recommendations to ensure sustained interest and adoption of the improved post-harvest practices:

- BARI through the Post-harvest Technology Section and the Regional Horticultural Research Station (RHRS) and the Department of Agricultural Extension should pursue a vigorous information campaign on the benefits of improved post-harvest practices through seminars and production of information materials. The Mango Foundation in Volarhat is strategically located in the central mango collection centre and buying stations. Posters illustrating the improved practices and the technical and economic benefits can be printed and posted in the collection centre and outside the Foundation building.

- Since the members of the Mango Foundation who are engaged in the mango business are interested in forging market links with institutional buyers such as supermarkets and in supplying the exporters, where they will make use of the facilities provided by FAO, BARI should provide technical assistance to the Foundation. A packinghouse facility that adopts good manufacturing practices (GMP) needs to be established particularly if the locality will be a major supplier of mangoes for export and modern markets. The Mango Foundation should assume responsibility for the management and operation of the facilities provided by FAO. Non-members of the Mango Foundation, who are interested in adopting the improved practices, can use the facilities provided by FAO on condition they pay a service fee.

- BARI through the Post-harvest Technology Section should conduct studies on the optimization of HWT protocol for different varieties of mango grown during the early, peak and late seasons. The optimized protocol should provide a high degree of disease control without affecting fruit quality.
Chapter 5
Case study of snap beans in Sri Lanka

5.1 OVERVIEW OF THE SNAP BEAN SUPPLY CHAIN
The snap bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) ranks as the sixth most consumed vegetable in Sri Lanka. Snap beans are high in protein and soluble fibre and are low in calories. They are also an excellent source of vitamins, minerals, omega-3 fatty acids and contain valuable quantities of silicon in an absorbable form. Although snap beans can be grown throughout the country, commercial cultivation is limited to two districts Badulla and Matale. Snap bean is, however, produced mostly on small farms.

Being an immature legume, the skin of the snap bean is thin and very sensitive to even slight physical pressure, which results in mechanical damage such as bruising and cuts. Damaged portions of the pod serve as entry points for disease-causing organisms as well as an avenue for water loss that eventually results in shrivelling and pod toughening. The respiration rate of snap beans at harvest is also high as the pods are harvested at a relatively immature stage with seeds that are still underdeveloped. Because of these characteristics snap beans undergo rapid deterioration post-harvest.

The traditional snap bean supply chain
An overview of activities within the traditional supply chain for snap beans in Sri Lanka is shown in Figure 24.

Harvesting – Snap beans are mainly harvested when traders or collectors are ready to collect the crop. Harvested pods are partially mature, elongated and filled and undergo toughening 2 to 3 days after harvest. Pods are harvested at this stage of maturity because of the high yield per harvest. Traders or collectors visit cultivated areas twice per week and harvest over-mature pods. Beans are generally harvested in the morning.

Field level handling – Field sorting is done while harvesting the beans. The harvesters discard pods (in the field) that are damaged by insects or diseases and that exhibit other defects. Harvested pods are tightly packed into woven poly-sack bags (Figure 25A), weighing approximately 50 kg each. The filled sacks are transported to collection centres using two-wheeled tractors, three wheelers, motorbikes or are carried by men on their backs (Figure 25B). Sometimes, beans are again sorted at the collection centre where the level of handling is increased.

Packaging and transportation – The sorted beans are bulk packed in 50 kg capacity poly-sacks (Figure 25C). Snap beans are marketed in two major wholesale markets: Dambulla and Colombo. They are transported to the wholesale markets (172 km of good road from the collection centre to Colombo) mainly by open trucks and are covered with either a tarpaulin or polyethylene sheet during transportation. They are often transported immediately after mid-day under hot sun, most trucks do not have a roof to protect the produce from sunlight during transportation.

Losses in the traditional supply chain
At the farm, preharvest loss amounted to 8.05 percent attributed to pod immaturity or over-maturity, small pod size, insect and disease damage and pod breakage. Packing of snap beans in 50 kg poly-sacks and piling the sacks on a truck, resulted in a high level of mechanically damaged (14 percent) pods at the wholesale level. Even with the short duration of holding at the wholesale level, weight loss of 3.96 percent occurred. This high level of weight loss was likely the result of heat build-up within the pile of poly-sacks of beans owing to the high respiration rate of the pods since they were harvested at a relatively immature stage. At the wholesale level, total loss was 17.90 percent.
FIGURE 24
Traditional snap bean supply chain from Walimada/Naula to Colombo

Source: field data.

FIGURE 25
Traditional handling practices for snap beans: poly-sack as harvest or field container (A); sacks of snap beans carried on shoulder at the collection centre (B); and 50 kg capacity poly-sacks of snap beans in the wholesale market (C)

©E. Serrano
Poly-sacks are used for transporting snap beans from wholesale to retail. During retail, snap beans are displayed loose on tables without any retail packaging. Thus, during the six-day holding in retail, weight loss was 11.74 percent and deterioration loss was 14 percent resulting in a total loss of 35.74 percent at the retail level (Figure 26). Deterioration loss was in the form of pod toughening and yellowing brought about by high weight loss, and discoloration of the pods because of abrasion damage. Total loss from the farm up to six-days in retail using the traditional handling practice was 51.69 percent.
5.2 TECHNICAL INNOVATIONS AND PRACTICES INTRODUCED FOR SNAP BEANS

Harvesting – During the pilot demonstration only pods at the correct stage of maturity were manually harvested (Figure 27A). The harvested pods were transferred to poly-sacks because they are easy to carry along the rows of snap beans.

Field level handling – After field packing, the sacks were manually hauled to the collection centre located about 50 to 100 m from the farm. At the collection centre, the beans were sorted based on external appearance such as freedom from defects and damage, maturity (Figure 27B).

Packaging and transportation – Sorted beans were packed in plastic crates having a 15 kg capacity (Figure 27C), loaded in trucks and transported to the wholesale market in Colombo where they were purchased by retailers and institutional buyers.

The improved handling practices in the supply chain and the operations during the pilot demonstration are shown in Figure 28 and Table 22.

5.3 SNAP BEANS: ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Reduced losses in the improved supply chain

Preharvest loss resulting from insect and pest damage remained at 8.05 percent at the farm level. At the wholesale level, transport and packaging-related damage amounted to 4.40 percent with the
use of plastic crates (Figure 29). In the traditional packaging practice of using 50 kg poly-sacks as the transport container, mechanical damage to the snap beans was high at 14.40 percent. Weight loss was reduced from 3.90 percent in the traditional practice to 2.90 percent in the improved practice. Total loss at the wholesale level was 7.30 percent.

At the retail level, weight loss was 7.31 percent during the four-day retail period. During retail, the beans were displayed in a loose format under ambient conditions resulting in a high level of weight loss. Loss from deterioration was also high at 13.30 percent (Figure 29) with only very slight reduction from 14 percent deterioration loss in the improved practice. At the retail level, total loss was 20.61 percent during the four-day retail period. At this level, weight loss and loss from deterioration was still high because of the manner of retail display that results in moisture loss from snap beans, which eventually leads to discoloration and shrivelling of pods. Retail packaging of snap beans into convenient sizes (250 gr to 1 kg pack) in mesh or plastic bags of suitable thickness with small perforations/holes to allow for transpiration of moisture and gas, would reduce the rate of weight loss and associated deteriorative changes.

Table 23 shows the reduction in losses when employing improved practices in the supply chain.
The greatest loss reduction was obtained at the wholesale level at 59.2 percent as a result of the reduction in mechanical damage when 15 kg capacity plastic crates are used for bulk packaging and transportation. At the retail level, reduced loss during the four-day retail period was only 19.9 percent and largely because of how the produce was displayed, which resulted in moisture loss. In the traditional practice, the total loss was 51.69 percent, which was reduced to 35.96 percent when improved practices were employed resulting in 30.4 percent reduction in total losses.

**Shelf-life**

As previously highlighted, snap beans are immature vegetables with a thin skin that is highly susceptible even to slight physical damage. Snap beans generally remain highly marketable for two days in retail owing to their rapid rate of deterioration. With the improved practice of using 15 kg capacity plastic crates, the rate of deterioration of snap beans was retarded because of the reduction or prevention of damage. Pods that are not damaged have a longer shelf-life (more than three to four days) on retail shelves than have damaged pods. Moreover, a longer shelf-life will be attained if snap beans are sold in retail packs than when they are sold loose.

**Produce safety**

Improper sorting during the traditional practice rendered the snap beans totally unmarketable. Moreover, damage incurred with the rough handling provided avenues for the entry of pathogenic and spoilage micro-organisms that may pose health risks. With improved packaging, pods were susceptible to minimal damage, resulting in reduced infection.

**Economic benefits from applying improved practice**

Farmers would benefit from the use of plastic crates for bulk packaging, as they would be able to sell larger quantities of beans because of the reduced damage or physical losses during harvest. With the use of plastic crates, farmers would have net returns of LKR 113.74/kg compared with only LKR 95.40 when using poly-sacks as transport containers (Table 24). A greater level of profit is realized if the farmer sells directly to the wholesaler as the wholesaler would purchase the beans at a higher price because of the good quality. Further, the long utility life (10 years) of plastic crates provides added benefit to the user over the long term.

The wholesaler and retailer benefitted from the use of plastic crates as the proportion of unmarketable pods, resulting from physical damage, was substantially reduced on arrival at the wholesale market. The wholesaler obtained a net return of LKR 111.06/kg with the improved practice and LKR 93.80/kg with the traditional practice (Table 25). At the retailer’s end, the pods incurred less decay and reduced weight loss. Thus, the retailer’s net returns were LKR 158.00/kg with the improved practice and only LKR 143.92/kg with the traditional practice (Table 26). These benefits will trickle down to the farmer through an increase in the buying price paid by the wholesaler for good quality produce if the farmer uses plastic crates for bulk packaging and transporting produce to the wholesaler.

### 5.4 RESPONSE OF STAKEHOLDERS IN THE SNAP BEANS SUPPLY CHAIN

The stakeholders realized the benefits of using plastic crates for the bulk packaging of snap beans to reduce damage during transportation. Moreover, the pilot demonstration and training in harvesting at the correct stage of pod maturity and proper sorting increased stakeholder confidence in applying good post-harvest principles and practice in the supply chain.

A significant accomplishment of the pilot demonstration and training was the forging of a market link between the operations manager of the packinghouse/collection centre that supplies snap beans to two major supermarket chains in Sri Lanka.

### 5.5 SNAP BEAN: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The traditional method of handling snap beans in Sri Lanka results in 51.69 percent loss spread among the farmer (8.05 percent), the wholesaler (18 percent) and the retailer (25.74 percent). The retailer incurs the highest losses because beans have to be sold at a high price (LKR 200-240/kg) to consumers. In effect, it is the consumer who pays for the losses and is the one who loses out. With improved supply chain management, including the use of plastic crates, the levels of loss were substantially reduced (36.46 percent). Losses at the wholesale level were reduced to 7.3 percent, and at the retail level were reduced to 20.6 percent. The retailer can reduce the selling price because larger quantities of snap beans can be sold as the shelf-life has been improved. With lower losses and better quality beans, the wholesaler can buy...
TABLE 24
Farmer: benefits of using plastic crates for bulk packaging and transportation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Plastic sack</th>
<th>Plastic crate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross returns (Volume sold x selling price)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 kg x LKR 100.00/kg</td>
<td>LKR 10 000.00</td>
<td>LKR 11 392.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg + 8.5) x LKR 105.00/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation cost of packaging material</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>14.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on capital of packaging material</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>460.00</td>
<td>17.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net returns (Gross returns – costs)</td>
<td>9 540.00</td>
<td>11 374.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: field data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 25
Wholesaler: benefits of using plastic crates for bulk packaging and transportation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Plastic sack</th>
<th>Plastic crate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross returns (Volume sold – losses x selling price)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 18 kg) x LKR 120.00/kg</td>
<td>LKR 9 840.00</td>
<td>LKR 11 124.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 7.3 kg) x LKR 120.00/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation cost of packaging material</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>14.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on capital of packaging material</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>460.00</td>
<td>17.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net returns (Gross returns – costs)</td>
<td>9 380.00</td>
<td>11,106.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: field data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 26
Retailer: benefits of using plastic crates for bulk packaging and transportation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Plastic sack</th>
<th>Plastic crate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross returns (Volume sold – losses x selling price)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 25.74 kg) x LKR 200.00/kg</td>
<td>LKR 14 852.00</td>
<td>LKR 15 878.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 kg – 20.61 kg) x LKR 200.00/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation cost of packaging material</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>14.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on capital of packaging material</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>460.00</td>
<td>17.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net returns (Gross returns – costs)</td>
<td>14 392.00</td>
<td>15 860.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: field data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, the farmer, the wholesaler and the retailer benefit from the use of plastic crates as a bulk-packaging container. With the improved practices the consumer will also benefit from a larger quantity from the farmer at a higher buying price. Therefore, the farmer benefits the most from using plastic crates at harvesting and transportation through to the wholesaler.
receiving the best value for money as they can buy higher quality produce at the lower price offered by the retailer.

Losses at the retail level would further be reduced if the beans were sold in retail packs to reduce weight loss that eventually leads to pod discoloration, shrivelling and toughening. During training, some stakeholders suggested the following:

- People involved in the handling of produce in the collection centres and packinghouses should also be trained in good post-harvest practices.

- Farmers should also be trained in good agricultural practices, particularly pest management so production costs can be lowered and the safety of snap beans ensured.

- As marketing is still a problem, the Ministry of Agriculture could make market linking or market matching one of its top priorities.
Chapter 6
Case study of winter tomato in Bangladesh

6.1 OVERVIEW OF THE WINTER TOMATO SUPPLY CHAIN

Tomatoes (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) are popularly vegetables consumed in Bangladesh. They are a rich source of vitamins A and C and are an excellent source of lycopene (a powerful antioxidant). Over the past three years, tomato production in Bangladesh has increased as a result of the introduction of improved varieties and production techniques as well as because of increased market demand and growth of modern market outlets, in particular supermarkets, hotels and restaurants. Quality attributes desired by consumers in a tomato are: attractive colour, mature status, clean and fresh, firm, large, and free of ripening chemicals.

Tomato production in Bangladesh is, however, beset with many pre and post-harvest problems such as the indiscriminate use of pesticides and the use of various ripening agents that pose health risks to humans. Moreover, after harvest, losses are high because of the inherently perishable nature of tomatoes, which is increased by rough handling practices and unfavourable environmental conditions that enhance produce deterioration.

The traditional winter tomato supply chain

Bogra is a major production area for winter tomato and is a preferred source for the fruit. The Sulop variety, which is grown in the region, meets the quality attributes desired by consumers. Increasing tomato production and the practice of monoculture has resulted in pest and disease infestation in production. To address these problems, farmers apply 29 types of pesticides in one cropping season beginning with flowering and ending with harvesting. Considering that tomatoes are harvested two to three times a week, pesticide residues on tomatoes pose major safety risks.

Harvesting – Tomatoes are harvested at different stages of maturity or ripeness depending on the intended use or market. Tomatoes are harvested at the mature green stage if intended for use in curry dishes, at turning, more green than pink or orange peel colour, or at 50 percent ripe stage when intended for nearby markets. The fruit is manually harvested and the harvested fruit placed in bamboo collecting baskets (Figure 30A).

Field level handling – The filled collecting baskets are manually hauled or are loaded in three-wheeled carts and transported to collection centres for sorting. Tomatoes are poured onto the ground that has been covered by a canvass underlay. After sorting, tomatoes are bulk packaged in 50 kg red plastic mesh sacks (Figure 30B and C).

Transportation – Bulk packaged tomatoes are transported to wholesale markets in Gazipur and Dhaka, which is about 173 km from Bogra, in the evening. Tomatoes are loaded onto trucks together with other commodities. On arrival at the wholesale market, tomatoes are distributed to retailers or are purchased by supermarkets and institutional buyers.

Figure 31 shows the traditional supply chain for winter tomato from Bogra to Gazipur wholesale market.

Losses in the traditional supply chain

Preharvest losses in winter tomato were estimated at about 5 percent because of bird and insect damage and deformation. However, these defects occurred during production and are therefore not considered part of post-harvest loss.

Even with a relatively shorter distance and duration of transport, losses at the wholesale level reached 16.7 percent mainly attributed to mechanical damage (Figure 32). The red plastic mesh sacks used for the bulk packaging of tomatoes did not provide adequate protection during transportation. Given their advanced stages of ripeness,
FIGURE 30
Operations in the traditional winter tomato supply chain: manual harvesting and use of bamboo collecting basket (A); packing in red mesh sacks after sorting (B); and tomatoes packed in 50 kg red plastic mesh sack (C)

FIGURE 31
Traditional winter tomatoes supply chain from Bogra to Gazipur

- **Production Farms (Bogra)**
  - **Harvesting**: manual (hand picking)
  - **Harvest container**: bamboo basket; no liner
- **Collection center/area near the farm**
- **Transportation by truck**: 173 km; good road
- **Loading in truck**: mixed load
- **Packaging**: 50 kg red plastic mesh sack
- **Manual Hauling**: or in cart 200 m to 300 m

- **Wholesale Market**
  - **Transportation by truck**
- **Retail Markets**
- **Supermarkets**

*Source: field data.*
Tomatoes are highly susceptible to mechanical damage during transport. All forms of mechanical damage such as abrasion, bruising, compression and cracks were evident. Abrasion damage was particularly observed on fruits that were in direct contact with the rough red mesh sack. Hence, upon arrival at the wholesale market, tomatoes at the bottom of the pack suffered the greatest degree of damage with some fruits already exhibiting cracks (non-marketable) and severe deformation. Deformed tomatoes were still marketable but at a low price.

At the retail level, deteriorative changes became more apparent with the ripening of the tomatoes. Losses amounted to 29.3 percent because of severe mechanical damage (Figure 32). Tomatoes with cracks were also observed in the retail market. Moreover, fruit with cracks and punctures developed fungal growth and eventually decayed. Because of the high level of loss at the retail level, the price of tomato was relatively high at BDT 15.00 to BDT 20/kg to compensate for the losses incurred. On the other hand, the farm gate price was low at BDT 5/kg. It is therefore apparent that the farmer and the consumer bear the cost of the losses incurred both by the wholesaler and retailer.

### 6.2 TECHNICAL INNOVATIONS AND PRACTICES INTRODUCED FOR WINTER TOMATO

Tomatoes produced during the winter season are grown under protected cultivation in raised beds with the use of nets to protect fruits from birds.

- **Harvesting** – Tomatoes were harvested at an advanced stage of maturity for nearby wholesale markets such as Dhaka and Gazipur, that is, they had turned 30 percent pink to half-ripe 50 percent.

- **Handling** – For the improved practice, harvested tomatoes were placed in plastic pails and when half-filled, the harvested fruit was emptied into the plastic field crates (Figure 33A). The plastic crates used for field collection were loaded into three-wheeled carts and taken to the collection centre. Plastic crates were easily stacked on the carts for transportation, while bamboo baskets could not be stacked properly or placed one on top of the other.

At the collection centre, the tomatoes were sorted on the ground, which was covered by a canvas liner under a pitched tent to protect harvested fruit from exposure to the sun. Rejected
Operations and improved practices in the winter tomato supply chain: harvested tomatoes in plastic pail and in field plastic crates (A); trimming of long stem (B); washing in chlorinate water (C); and bulk packaging in 25 kg plastic crates (D)

FIGURE 33

Winter tomato: traditional and improved post-harvest operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Traditional practice</th>
<th>Improved practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvesting</td>
<td>Bamboo basket as harvesting container</td>
<td>Plastic pail or bucket as harvesting container</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>container and plastic crates as field containers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimming of stem</td>
<td>No trimming</td>
<td>Trimming using scissors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washing</td>
<td>No washing</td>
<td>Washing in chlorinated water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaging</td>
<td>50 kg red plastic mesh sack</td>
<td>25 kg plastic crate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field data.

Tomatoes included those at the green to breaker stage, fully ripe, and those with pre-harvest damage such as growth cracks and deformation. The long stems attached to the fruit were trimmed with scissors close to the shoulder keeping the calyx intact (Figure 33B). Tomatoes were then washed in chlorinated water (150 ppm) and air-dried prior to packing (Figure 33C).

**Bulk packaging** – Sorted tomatoes were bulk packaged in 25 kg capacity stackable plastic crates lined with double layers of newspaper at the bottom and on all sides. Once filled, the crates were covered with two layers of newspaper (Figure 33D). Tomatoes were then transported from the collection centre to the main road for loading on to the truck in the evening.

Crated tomatoes were transported to Konabari vegetable wholesale market in Gazipur in the evening and arrived at the market the following morning. Tomatoes were then distributed to the retailers and supermarket buyers. Operations in the improved winter tomato supply chain are shown in Figure 34 while the traditional and improved operations in the chain are shown in Table 27.

6.3 WINTER TOMATO: ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Losses at the farm level remained at 5 percent, this was attributed to bird and insect damage and deformation. In view of the fact that these defects were incurred during production they were not considered as post-harvest loss.
The use of plastic crates as field containers and as bulk packaging containers for transport, dramatically reduced the incidence of mechanical damage since no damage was observed at the wholesale level translating to 100 percent marketable fruit (Figure 35). This was in contrast to 16.7 percent mechanically damaged tomatoes when red mesh sacks were used as traditional packaging containers.

During the three-day retail period, loss from mechanical damage was only 2.6 percent (Figure 35) for tomatoes transported in plastic crates. Tomatoes that were bulk packaged in plastic crates also suffered mechanical damage in the form of compression. As the incidence of damage was low, the proportion of total marketable tomatoes that were bulk packaged in plastic crates remained high and fetched a comparably higher price (BDT 20/kg) than tomatoes that exhibited slight damage (BDT 15/kg). Puncture damage was evident in tomatoes that were not trimmed (traditional practice) but was absent with trimmed fruit. Thus, the improved practice of trimming contributed to the reduction in losses.

Table 28 shows the extent of reduction in losses brought about by improved post-harvest practices. The greatest reduction in losses amounting to 100 percent occurred at the wholesale level where there was no mechanical damage as tomatoes were bulk packaged in plastic crates for transportation. At the retail level, losses were reduced by 91.1 percent, which was also attributed to the use of plastic crates. With the traditional practice, total loss was 46.3 percent, this high level of loss was reduced to 2.6 percent in the improved practice.

**Shelf-life**

Mechanical damage in the forms of abrasion, bruising and compression are stress factors that enhance biological and physical processes such as ripening, senescence, and moisture loss, ultimately leading to decay and reduced shelf-life. This was observed in tomatoes handled using the traditional practice of bulk packaging and transportation using red mesh sacks.

On the other hand, with the use of plastic crates as bulk packaging tomatoes were protected from damage during transportation hence even during the three-day retail period, the proportion of marketable fruits remained high and the shelf-life in retail increased by more than three days. The Sulop tomato variety does not readily soften even
at the ripe stage and remains marketable for a comparably longer period as compared to softening varieties.

Wounds such as punctures created by long stems (left on the fruit that eventually dry out and harden) serve as entry points for decay-causing organisms and also as avenues for water loss and eventually deteriorative changes that shorten shelf-life. With trimming, puncturing is avoided and quality maintained, resulting in an extended shelf-life.

**Produce safety**

The use of collecting containers such as plastic pails and plastic crates in the field prevented injury to, which can serve as entry points for spoilage and pathogenic micro-organisms. In contrast, the rough edges and pointed ends of the collecting bamboo baskets tend to injure the fruits resulting in decay.

Plastic crates lined with double layers of newspaper minimize the risk of cross-contamination during transport in mixed loads of commodities, unlike the red plastic mesh sack where tomatoes may easily become contaminated.
Washing in chlorinated water removed or reduced chemical residues on the peel of the fruit and also disinfected the fruit.

**Economic benefits from improved practices**

Assuming the wholesaler handled 1 000 kg of winter tomato per week and the tomatoes were bulk packaged in red mesh sacks, since the Gazipur wholesale market is relatively close to Bogra (about 173 km): On the basis of the results of the pilot demonstration, loss resulting from mechanical damage in the form of cracks amounted to 16.7 percent, which is equivalent to 167 kg of non-marketable tomatoes per week. However, with the use of plastic crates for bulk packaging there was no damage and therefore the loss was equivalent to 0 percent.

- **Added returns**: At a wholesale price of BDT 10/kg of tomatoes, the estimated gross income using red mesh sacks would be:
  - 1 000 kg – 167 kg = 833 kg x BDT 10/kg = BDT 8 330.00 per week.
  - Using plastic crates, the loss was 0, hence the estimated gross income would be:
  - 1 000 – 0 = 1 000 x BDT 10 = BDT 10 000 per week. The additional weekly gross income, as a result of using plastic crates for bulk packaging, (with 100 percent reduction in loss) would amount to: BDT 10 000 – BDT 8 330.00 = BDT 1 670.00.
- **Added cost**: Use of plastic crates entails a depreciation cost of plastic crates and opportunity cost of capital invested in purchasing plastic crates. Forty plastic crates are needed
to pack 1,000 kg of tomatoes; at BDT 320 (US$4) per crate, total cost is BDT 1,280. Plastic crates normally last for 10 years, at 10 percent depreciation per year; depreciation cost is BDT 1,200/year or BDT 24.62 per week (BDT 1,280 per year/52 weeks per year). Salvage value is 0.

With the improved practice of using plastic crates, the wholesaler will have a net return of BDT 9.95 per kg and only BDT 8.08 employing the traditional practice (Table 29).

The retailer on the other hand will receive a higher return than the wholesaler when plastic crates are used. The net return employing the improved practice is BDT 19.43/kg and only 13.89/kg with the traditional practice (Table 30).

### 6.4 RESPONSE OF STAKEHOLDERS IN THE WINER TOMATO SUPPLY CHAIN

At the outset, although the farmers and collectors realized the benefits of using plastic crates, they were reluctant to use plastic crates during the winter season. According to them, the low farm gate price (BDT 3 to 5/kg) for tomatoes would not offset the cost of the plastic crates, which is about BDT 320/crate. Moreover, according to the collectors, only 10 tonnes of tomatoes could be packed into plastic crates and loaded onto a truck, while with traditional containers such as bamboo baskets and red mesh sacks, up to 13 tonnes could be loaded, resulting in lower transport cost than with the use of crates. When it was explained that the low farm price was because of the poor quality of the fruit and the large quantities of unmarketable fruit arriving at the wholesale and retail levels because of mechanical damage caused by poor packaging, they began reconsidering the adoption of plastic crates for bulk packaging. Stakeholders reported having seen an increasing use of plastic crates at the wholesale markets, which were an indication of their benefits. Accordingly, they requested FAO’s assistance in providing them with plastic crates for their use.

Regarding the comparably higher transport cost using plastic crates, it was reiterated that sound fruit resulted from improved bulk packaging, which could be sold at a higher price and with 100 percent reduction in loss at the wholesale level; the increased income obtained would offset the high transport cost. Moreover, it was also stressed that while the initial investment cost of the plastic crate was high, with proper care and maintenance, it could be used for approximately 10 years.

### 6.5 WINTER TOMATO: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The improved practices of using plastic buckets as collecting containers, plastic crates as field and transport containers, trimming of long stems left on the fruit and washing in chlorinated water dramatically reduced the levels of losses in the supply chain. The greatest reduction occurred during transport, and at the wholesale level when there was no loss. This is in contrast to 16.7 percent loss employing the traditional practice of packing in a red plastic mesh sack.

From the results of the pilot demonstration and the training of stakeholders in the supply chain, the following are recommended:

- **HRC-BARI** in collaboration with the Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE) could propose a project nationwide, in different districts, to train stakeholders not only for tomato but also for other commodities where post-harvest losses are high. Other stakeholders such as buyers from institutional markets should be invited to the training so they become aware of post-harvest losses and how losses can be reduced with simple post-harvest practices. Having the private group as participants in the training could serve as a venue for a market linkage.

- **HRC-BARI** in collaboration with the farmers’ association should prepare a proposal for external funding such as from FAO Bangladesh in post-harvest systems improvement with the establishment of a packing house facility as one of the components.
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