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A REVIEW OF THREATS TO RAMSAR SITES AND ASSOCIATED BIODIVERSITY OF NEPAL

by Gandhiv Kafle, Mohan K. Balla and Bimal K. Paudyal

Introduction

Asia is a vast continent stretching almost halfway around the world. It is estimated that there are at least 120 million hectares of wetlands of international importance in South and East Asia. Asia comprises less than 14% of the world’s land surface but supports 56% of its population, thus the population density is eight times higher than the rest of the world and is increasing at a rate of 55 million per annum (Hussain, 1994). The high population density of this region had led to a long historical dependence of people on wetland resources. Thus, wetlands in the region are characterized by their close interaction with local human communities (Parish, 1996). Inland waters and freshwater biodiversity constitute a valuable natural resource, in economic, cultural, aesthetic, scientific and educational terms. Their conservation and management are critical to the interests of all humans, nations and governments (Dudgeon et al., 2005). Despite the protection afforded to some wetland sites in certain Asian countries, the great majority of the natural wetland ecosystems in Asia are under threat. Wetlands in South Asia (93%) and South East Asia (94%) are considerably more threatened than those in East Asia (66%). Most of these threats are a direct consequence of immense population pressure (Hussain, 1994). Threats to the wetlands are quite variable between sub-regions and between sites, but taking the region as a whole, the threat most frequently reported from sites is hunting and associated disturbance, closely followed by general disturbance from human settlement/encroachment and drainage for agriculture (Parish, 1996). A major portion of the wetland area in settled areas has been converted from its natural state to support alternative land uses including agriculture, urbanization, industry, and recreational pursuits. Wetlands have also been degraded by land use practices that have resulted in vegetation destruction, nutrient and toxin loading, sedimentation, turbidity, and altered low regimes. Dredging, intensive aquaculture, logging and acid rain have also affected the natural balance of wetlands (Ramsar Convention Secretariat, 2006). In many parts of the world, fresh water is subject to severe competition among multiple human stakeholders. Fresh waters are experiencing...
declines in biodiversity far greater than those in the most affected terrestrial ecosystems (Dudgeon et al., 2005).

Wetlands cover roughly five percent of Nepal’s land area (DOAD, 1992). Nepal has approximately 6,000 rivers and rivulets, including permanent and seasonal rivers, streams and creeks (WECS, 2002). IUCN has identified 163 wetlands in 19 Terai districts covering 724,257 hectares in these districts (Bhandari, 1998a). An inventory carried out by ICIMOD (International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development) and UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme) listed 2,323 glacial lakes (75.70 km²) above 3,500 m. in Nepal. These include 182 lakes of 8 hectares or more, and 2,141 with areas less than 8 hectares (ICIMOD, 2002). As in the rest of the world, wetlands in Nepal have significant human use values. The wetlands of Nepal also provide important habitats of biodiversity including several globally threatened and migratory species. However, the wetlands of Nepal are threatened by natural and anthropogenic causes. Major threats to wetlands and associated biodiversity in Nepal are habitat destruction and degradation, loss of ecosystem integrity and depletion of species abundance and diversity.

Biodiversity value of wetlands in Nepal

Nepal’s wetlands support significant species diversity and populations of globally threatened flora. Of the 862 bird species found in Nepal (pers. com. with Dr. Hem S. Baral), 193 or 22.5 % are known to be dependent on wetlands (IUCN Nepal, 2004a). Seventeen out of twenty endemic vertebrates found in Nepal – including eight fish and nine herpetofauna species – are wetland-dependent. The vulnerable relict Himalayan Dragonfly (Epiophlebia laidlawi) is the only globally threatened wetland-dependent species known to occur in Nepal (IUCN Nepal, 2004a). A total of 182 fish species have been recorded in Nepal, including eight endemic species (Shrestha, 2001). Wetland-dependent flora includes the plants that flourish well in wetland habitats such as marshes, swamps, floodlands, in rivers or river banks (Chaudhary, 1998). Nepal’s wetlands are equally important to flora. About 25% of Nepal’s estimated 7,000 vascular plant species are wholly or partly wetland dependent. Twenty-six of the 246 angiosperm species are wetland dependent (Shrestha and Joshi, 1996). Of the 91 nationally threatened plants found in Nepal, ten are dependent on wetlands. Nepal’s wetlands hold several species of wild cultivators and wild relatives of cultivated crops. At least 318 wetland-dependent plant species have been recorded in Terai wetlands alone. At least 254 amphibious/emergent species are found exclusively in aquatic habitats (IUCN Nepal, 2004a). Selected threatened plant species that are found in wetland habitats include Saccharum williamsii, Eulaliopsis sykesii, Cyperus trisulcus, Carex rufulistolon, Eriocaulon kathmanduense, Spiranthes sinensis, Cyathea spinulosa, Sphagnum nepalensis and Pandanus nepalensis (Shrestha, 1998).

Ramsar sites of Nepal

Nepal became a signatory to the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands on 17 April 1988. Nepal presently has 4 sites that have been designated as Wetlands of International Importance, with a surface area of 23,488 hectares. The basic details of these Ramsar Sites are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Ramsar Sites of Nepal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beeshazar and Associated Lakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghodaghodi Lake Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jagadishpur Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koshi Tappu Wetland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Beeshazar and Associated Lakes
Beeshazar and Associated Lakes lies in Bharatpur and Ratnagar Municipalities, 15 km south of Narayangadh town, Chitawan District, in the zone of Narayani, central Nepal. It falls inside the buffer zone of Royal Chitwan National Park, a world heritage site. It is an extensive, typical oxbow lake system situated between the Mahabharat mountain range to the north and the Siwalik range to the south. It is a forested wetland. It provides excellent habitat as a water hole and corridor for endangered wildlife species, including the critically endangered White-rumped vulture (Gyps bengalensis), endangered tiger (Panthera tigris), one-horned rhinoceros (Rhinoceros unicornis), Gharial (Gavialis gangeticus), vulnerable Smooth-coated otter (Lutra perpiscillata), Sloth bear (Melaurus ursinus), Marsh crocodile (Crocodylus palustris), Lesser adjutant stork (Leptoptilos javanicus), Ferruginous duck (Aythya nyroca) and Band-tailed fish eagle (Haliaeetus leucoryphus).

Ghodaghodi Lake Area
The site falls in the Village Development Committees of Darakh, Ramshikharjhala and Sandepani within Kailali District, in the Zone of Seti, western Nepal. It is a large and shallow oxbow lake with associated marshes and meadows. It is surrounded by tropical deciduous forest on the lower slopes of Siwalik. There are around 13 associated lakes and ponds in the area. Some streams are separated by hillocks situated on the site’s periphery. The forest and wetlands serve as a wildlife corridor between the lowland and the Siwalik. They support critically endangered Red-crowned roofed turtle (Kachuga kachuga), the Tiger (Panthera tigris), Leopard (Panthera pardus), Three-striped roof turtle (Kachuga dhongka), Smooth-coated otter (Lutra perpiscillata), Common otter (Lutra lutra), Swamp deer (Cervus duvaucellii), Lesser adjutant stork (Leptoptilos javanicus) and Marsh crocodile (Crocodylus palustris). Threatened plant species include the endangered Orchid (Aerides odorata), religiously important and threatened Lotus (Nelumbo nucifera), and rare Wild rice (Hygrorhiza aristata). They support six threatened bird species, including Critical: White-rumped vulture (Gyps bengalensis) and Slender-billed vulture (Gyps tenuirostris); Vulnerable: Lesser adjutant (Leptoptilos javanicus) and Indian-spotted eagle (Aquila hastate); and Near-threatened: Oriental darter (Anhigna melanogaster) and Ferruginous pochard (Aythya nyroca). The resident population of Nettapus coromandelianus comprises nearly 1% of the total Asian population.

Jagadishpur Reservoir
The site lies 10 km north of Taulihawa city, in Kapilvastu District and Lumbini Zone, central Nepal. It is a reservoir that was constructed in the early 1970s over Jakhira lake and agricultural lands for irrigation purposes. The water is fed from Banganga lake in the Churia hills catchment. The reservoir is surrounded by cultivated land and a few smaller lakes. These serve as a buffer zone for bird movements. The site provides shelter for an assemblage of some rare, endangered species of conservation importance. These include plants such as endangered Serpentine (Rauvolfia serpentine), rare Pondweed (Potamogeton lucens), threatened and religiously important Lotus (Nelumbo nucifera), rare Wild rice (Hygrorhiza aristata), as well as the IUCN Red Book-listed and tallest flying bird species – the Indian Sarus Crane (Grus antigone).

Koshi Tappu Wetland
The site is located about 8 km northeast of the town of Hanumammagar, on the border with the Indian state of Bihar, in southeast Nepal. It is the first Ramsar site that was declared in Nepal. It lies within the Koshi Tappu Wildlife Reserve and is a section of the Sapta Koshi River and its floodplain. It offers an important habitat for a large variety of wildlife. The threatened crocodile Gavialis gangeticus, bird species such as Eupodotis bengalensis and Pelecanus philippensis, and leopard Panthera pardus occur in the site. Koshi Tappu forms an ideal habitat for resident as well as migratory waterbirds and substantial numbers of waders.

Threats to Ramsar sites of Nepal
The biodiversity value and socio-economic use of Ramsar Sites of Nepal is very high. There is close interaction between local communities and lake ecosystems in these sites. This interaction has caused significant disturbances and threats to the
wetland ecosystem and associated biodiversity. The threats to Ramsar Sites of Nepal are presented in Table 2.

Table 1: Major Threats to Ramsar Sites of Nepal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wetlands</th>
<th>Major Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ghodaghodi lake</td>
<td>High dependency of local people on forest and wetland resources, encroachment of lake shores, overgrazing, poaching, eutrophication, haphazard infrastructure development, erosion on upstream areas, sedimentation, aquatic invasion, drainage, vegetation succession, traditional fishing, immigration from adjacent hills, smuggling of Sal (Shorea robusta) and Khair (Acacia catechu) timber, illegal tree felling, unplanned infrastructure development, highway traffic at the southern edge, use of agricultural chemicals, fish poisoning, insufficient environmental education among locals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beeshazar and Associated Lakes</td>
<td>Unsustainable use of water resources, aquatic invasion, leaching of inorganic fertilizer and pesticide from farmlands, eutrophication, haphazard infrastructure development, water pollution, weak earthen embankment of the reservoir, siltation, illegal collection of forest products, illegal hunting, pollution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jagadishpur reservoir</td>
<td>Aquatic invasion, exploitation of wetland birds, water pollution from fertilizers and pesticides, drainage, over-fishing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koshi Tappu</td>
<td>High dependency of local people on forest and wetland resources, excessive extraction of resources, overgrazing, poisoning, poaching, illegal hunting, bird trapping, haphazard development projects, flooding and siltation, aquatic invasion, over-fishing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threats to Ghodaghodi Lake area

The Ghodaghodi lake area is beset with multiple environmental problems, which are the manifestation of continued unplanned and haphazard human interventions occurring in the area (Gurung, 2003). The growing human and livestock population, immigration from the adjoining hilly areas and easy accessibility has further compounded these problems (IUCN, 1998a). The lake area has a dense population of around 6,700 inhabitants, of whom about 50% are illegal immigrants from adjoining hilly areas. These populations intensively use the lake resources for traditional fishing and agriculture. The local people are highly dependent on forest and wetland resources. Hill migrants use fodder collected from the forests more than Tharus do, but the opposite is true in the case of many non-timber forest products (Sah and Heinen, 2001). Other factors putting pressure on the site’s ecology include highway traffic at the southern edge, construction of unplanned new temples, overgrazing, poaching and hunting, as well as illegal tree felling and smuggling of Sal (Shorea robusta) and Khair (Acacia catechu) timber, and natural eutrophication accelerated by human religious and agricultural activities (Ramsar Convention Secretariat, 2004).

The lake area is severally affected by natural eutrophication, although agricultural run-off is also affecting Nakhrodi Lake. The extensive proliferation of macrophytes causes a shift in the balance of bird species, favoring egrets, storks and jacanas at the expense of those migratory waterfowl that require some open water for feeding. Ultimately, these plants die and contribute to the organic material on the lake bottom, raising it and accelerating seral succession towards dry land. In Nakhrodi Lake, the succession is rapid due to shallow, eutrophic, macrophyte-rich waters, and the lake is changing into marshland where Ipomoea fistulosa and Salix species are prominent (IUCN Nepal, 2004a). A recent study shows that over 12,600 cattle regularly graze the shoreline forests at Ghodaghodi, where the composition of wetland vegetation is gradually changing into terrestrial communities as a result of over-grazing. Intensive year-round grazing in forests disrupts the regeneration of trees and impoverishes the ground flora (IUCN Nepal,
OBSERVATIONS ON BATS IN THREE NATIONAL PARKS IN THAILAND

by M.T. Abdullah, Puttipong Jusanit, Prakwat Wo Han Di, Mohammad Zabani Ariffin and L.S. Hall

Introduction

Of the 263 species of mammals recorded from Thailand, Lekagul and McNeely (1977) list 35% from the order Chiroptera, 26% Rodentia and 13% Carnivora. Biogeographically, Thailand lies in both the Sundaic and Indochinese subregions. The region south of the Isthmus of Kra (latitude 11°40’N) and peninsular Malaysia is considered as the Malayan faunal division, while the northern part is within the Indochinese subregion (Corbet and Hill, 1992). This report presents the results of bat surveys conducted in protected areas in Thailand and also provides additional knowledge on the status of bats in certain areas.

Study areas & methods

Information on the study sites was obtained mainly from Gray et al. (1994), Lekagul and McNeely (1977), Lekagul and Round (1991) and unpublished reports from the superintendent’s office at Taleban National Park.

Two sites were sampled near Ranee Waterfall and Loop A between 26 March to 4 April 1997. The netting station was located in an area with 71% mean canopy cover and understory vegetation cover of between sparse to moderate with seedlings, saplings, poles and large trees. Heavy fruiting of Ficus sp. was observed in the park. A 9 m understory net was hoisted in a Ficus tree in a length-wise manner. Some mist nets were set up near flowering Musa sp. clumps, fruiting Ficus sp. and in palm tree (Palmae) dominated sites. Durio were flowering in surrounding areas.

The survey was conducted in Doi Suthep-Pui National Park between 18 to 20 May 1997. The park was established in 1980 and is covered mostly by rainforest on limestone hills. Altitude ranges between 20 and 756 m a.s.l. The average annual rainfall is between 2,000-3,000 mm, peaking between August to October (Lekagul and McNeely, 1977; Taleban National Park unpublished records). The average annual temperature in the peninsula remains constant between 27°C and 28°C.

...
km² park is situated at latitude 18°51’N and longitude 098°52’E, and the elevation is 1,020 m a.s.l. The park is covered with hill mixed deciduous forest comprising more than 2,000 species of flowering plants and ferns (Gray et al., 1994). The climate is seasonal with a minimum temperature of 6°C and maximum of 41°C, with an average annual mean temperature of 25°C, and a mean annual rainfall of 1,268 mm. Netting stations were located in tree plantations and disturbed habitats with partially open, sparse to moderate undergrowth, saplings and trees. There was some flowering and fruiting of trees in the park as well as flowering and fruiting of fruit orchards in nearby villages.

Sri Nakarin National Park is located in Kanchanaburi Province in the central region, at 14°38’30”N and longitude 098°57’13”E. The elevation is 220 m a.s.l. The 1,534 km² park is near the Myanmar border to the west and is covered with dry dipterocarp forest. The Karen villages practice slash-and-burn agriculture and graze cattle in the park. About 30% of the park is disturbed forest, agriculture land and inundated with water. Netting stations were set up in disturbed habitat and bamboo thickets with canopy cove between 1 to 69%. Mist-netting was conducted only once.

Standard ground level bat mist netting followed the technique of Abdullah and Hall (1997) and Hall et al. (2004); mammals were identified according to Lekagul and McNeely (1977) and Medway (1978). Hand-netting was conducted in Tondin Cave and at a bridge under-passageway located about two km from the Taleban National Park headquarters. Bats were tagged for other studies before being released; external morphological measurements and weight were taken following Nagorsen and Peterson (1980). Most of the external measurements were taken from live bats in the field by using Mitutoyo™ digimatic callipers calibrated to 0.01 mm and weighed using a Pesola™ spring scale. The degree of fusion of the epiphyseal plates on the phalanges was used to distinguish bats in different age classes (Kunz, 1988). Before release, a large majority of the bats were tagged with No 4 nickel-plated bead chain necklaces and 2.8 mm serially-numbered metal bands imprinted with the Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Kuching return address. Geographical co-ordinates were located by using a Magellan GPS NAV 5000 PRO™ and the altitude by Casio Alti-Meter™. A few bats were collected, chloroformed and preserved as voucher specimens in 75% alcohol and deposited at the Taleban National Park in Thailand.

Mist netting capture success was used as a relative population index which is associated with the abundance of animals in a sampling site (Abdullah and Hall, 1997). The number of bat species netted in a particular site indicates the species richness. During the survey (March to April 1997), the weather was rather unusual with slight to heavy convectional rainfall in the afternoon on many days.

Results

The species composition from different habitats in Taleban, Doi Suthep-Pui and Sri Nakrin are shown in Table 1. Seventy-six bats were recorded, representing 14 species in four families. The family Pteropodidae was the best represented by six genera and nine species. Mist-netting favors the capture of non-echolocating megachiropterans, and the presence of flowering and fruiting trees near the survey sites also increases the possibility of capturing this group of bats. Nine species were captured in mist nets in Taleban, six species in Doi Suthep-Pui and four in Sri Nakrin. About 84% of the bats were captured in Taleban National Park. C. brachyotis and C. horsfieldi represented 57% of the bats
recorded from the three habitats. In terms of trophic structure, 57% of the species netted were frugivorous and the remaining were insectivorous. In terms of zoogeography, 64% of the bat species were recorded within the Malayan subregion that is closely related to the Malaysian fauna. Netting efforts were not equal among the three sites, with the highest (22.5 net-nights) being in Taleban and lowest in Sri Nakarin (3 net-nights). The highest netting success was recorded at Taleban (2.4 animals/net-night), and the lowest in Doi Suthep-Pui (0.7 bats/net-night). In terms of species richness, Taleban primary forest has nine species, while Doi Suthep-Pui and Sri Nakarin have six and four species respectively. The bat species diversity was relatively higher at Taleban ($H' = 1.158$) and lowest at Sri Nakarin ($H' = 0.577$).

**Discussion**

In Thailand, the chiroptera are the most diverse mammalian group with 10 families, 33 genera and 92 species (Lekagul and McNeely, 1977). The microchiroptera represent 83% of the bat fauna (Lekagul and McNeely, 1977). However, in continental Asia, north of the Kra Isthmus where most of Thailand is located, there are 127 bat species and 27 are endemic (Koopman, 1989). Thirteen fruit bat species are shared with peninsular Malaysia; on the other hand, only 11 species are shared with Borneo (Koopman, 1989; Lekagul and McNeely, 1977; Medway, 1978; Payne et al., 1985). Comparatively speaking, bat diversity in Thailand is slightly lower than Malaysia (101 species, including 20 megachiropterans).

During the present survey, ecological observations were recorded for 57% of the individuals netted (comprising nine bat species) regarding their breeding status and development. About 28% of the total number of bats from seven species were at various stages of reproduction. At Taleban National Park, the sympatric *C. brachyotis*, *C. sphinx*, and *C. horsfieldi* were pregnant while two female *C. brachyotis* were carrying juveniles. From the present study, it can be suggested that the *Cynopterus* reproduction period in Thailand might begin as early as January and last up until June. Ten other individuals from five species were immatures and 11 bats from four species were subadults. The endemic *Sphaerias blanfordi* was represented by an immature and a subadult.

Wade (1958) suggested that breeding is seasonal among most mammals in the tropical rain forest and the onset is associated with the period of lowest precipitation. According to Lim (1970), in the lowlands of peninsular Malaysia, most pregnancies in *C. brachyotis* occur from March through June and coincide with the peak of fruiting in April and June. Two other small peaks in pregnancies are linked to times of high rainfall. However, during the months of heaviest rainfall in October to November, the pregnancy rate was found to be low. In the mountains of Berinchang, Pahang, the breeding period for *C. brachyotis* was February to April, which coincided with the major local fruiting season from March through June (Lim, 1973). In Negro Island of the Philippines, there were two annual birth peaks among *C. brachyotis*, the first one between February and March and the second in June (Heideman, 1987). In secondary habitat of north Luzon, Heideman (1987) observed that females experienced parturition in July and August. In contrast to the seasonal peaks observed by Lim (1970) and Heideman (1987), Start (1974) noted that *M. minimus*, *E. spelaea*, *C. horsfieldi* and *R. amplexicaudatus* were found to be breeding throughout the year. The availability of food resources explained the lack of seasonal variation in reproduction. Early pregnancies in the Macroglossinae may be due to a postpartum oestrus which overlapped with lactation. Start (1974) also observed that very few of the lactating females of *M. minimus*, *M. sobrinus* and *E. spelaea* that were netted carried young. In Brunei, Kofron (1997) observed that *C. brachyotis* experienced two birthing seasons annually, which coincided with the season of less rainfall and abundance of mangoes. The first birthing season was from mid-January to mid-April and the second from mid-June to early October.

In Thailand, the flowering and fruiting season for mangoes, lychee, longan, durian and rambutan is usually from February through September (Ketsa, 1995). In the northern Malaysian state of Perlis bordering Taleban, the annual fruiting season for mango is between April to June, for durian between May to August, for *Artocarpus* species
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The postpartum oestrus in *Penthetor lucasi* and presence of juveniles, immatures and pregnant females of other megachiropteran species suggests two birthing seasons similar to the observations by Lim (1970), Kofron (1997) and Sandhu (1984). There is also evidence suggesting that the breeding period of certain species of bats coincided with the flowering of durian (*Durio zibethinus*) and other fruit trees.

The lack of food resources (forest trees bearing flowers and fruits) might also encourage some bats to disperse into resource-rich habitats. Pteropodids are known to migrate seasonally to take advantage of fruiting seasons (Findley, 1993).

Specimens of *M. sobrinus* were mainly netted in Taleban in mist nets situated close to banana (*Musa* spp) clumps. We also suspect that some of the fruit bats around our sampling sites had moved closer to villages to take advantage of the seasonal flowering and fruiting of durian, mangoes, longan and lychee. The abundance of continuously flowering, or aseasonal, *Musa* sp. and *Cocos nucifera* found in the villages in Thailand also provided important food resources for fruit bats. The movement of bats to other areas might affect the rate of capture and netting success. Differential netting effort, equipment, weather and moon phases might also contribute to poor results during this study.

Unlike the more traditional museum work, which is primarily based on preserved specimens, the challenge of capture, mark and release research work is to accurately identify the animal alive in situ, as well as to encourage non-destructive species conservation. However, anomalies in morphological measurements, phenotypic and geographic differences create greater difficulties for a field biologist in handling live specimens in relatively short periods of time. For example, there were some difficulties in separating the congeneric, especially between *C. sphinx* and *C. horsfieldi*. According to Lekagul and McNeely (1977) *C. sphinx* has a longer forearm length (65-74 mm) and normal lower cheek teeth, while *C. horsfieldi* has a peg-like central cusp on the 3rd and 4th lower cheek teeth and a forearm length of 70 to 80 mm. The forearm measurements for *C. horsfieldi* (70, 70, and 78 mm) overlapped with *C. sphinx* (65-76 mm) in peninsular Malaysia (Medway, 1978). In Borneo, *C. horsfieldi* is distinguished by the broader and squarer lower cheek teeth (Payne et al., 1985). In Kalimantan Barat, Indonesian Borneo, *C. horsfieldi* had a forearm length of 78.54 to 77.01 mm and weighed between 59.5 to 64.0 g (Abdullah et al 1997). However, we observed that for old adults with worn molars on the lower mandible, both species appeared to be morphologically similar and the forearm measurements overlapped. In the case of *C. brachyotis*, the morphological anomaly was due to the fact that there is more than one species in the population (Abdullah, 2003). During this field survey, we also found that *M. sobrinus* can be distinguished from *M. minimus* by the longer forearm length (43.35 to 46.95 mm vs 40 to 43 mm) and head length (30.05 to 30.54 mm vs 26 to 28 mm) and heavier body weight (17 to 23 g vs 13 to 19 g) (Medway, 1978).

An adult pregnant and lactating female *P. lucasi* that was captured and released in Doi Suthep-Pui, is a new distributional record for Thailand. The species is distributed from the lowlands up to about 2,000 m altitude in Borneo, peninsular Malaysia, the Riau archipelago and Singapore (Mickleburg et al., 1992; Payne et al., 1985). In Malaysia, the species roosts in caves, rocks, crevices and between boulders (Hall, 1997; Medway, 1978; Payne et al., 1985). The presence of caves in the hilly Chiang Mai area should provide suitable and protected habitat for the species. Further surveys are needed to collect more specimens and to determine the full distribution of the species.

*C. brachyotis*, *C. sphinx* and *C. horsfieldi* were netted sympatrically within the Taleban National Park study site. To have three sympatric species of *Cynopterus* is an unusual occurrence and may be a result of a lack of resource partitioning, diet overlap, or similar roosting, emergence time and flight behavior of the species. The three species were netted at a site located in vegetation dominated by palms. Palm fronds are known to provide suitable roosting sites for these species of...
bats (Medway, 1987; Lekagul and McNeely, 1977).

Despite the relatively short sampling period, the population index from this study is comparable to other studies. The netting success rate in Taleban (2.49 bats per net-night) is higher compared to some protected areas in Malaysia (1.16 bats per net-night) (Abdullah and Hall, 1997) and suggests higher numbers of bats in the Thailand rainforest. Relatively speaking, the species richness in Taleban is comparable to some protected areas in peninsular Malaysia (10 species in Taman Negara), but slightly lower than that in Borneo (Abdullah and Hall, 1997). Although our total netting effort (44.5 net-nights) is lower than Zubaid’s (1993) work in Bangi, peninsular Malaysia (185 net-nights), the number of species netted is similar (pooled 14 species vs 13 species in Bangi).

The limestone outcrops around Taleban and the hilly region of Chiang Mai may have greater potential to document more cave-roosting species of bats. Since many of the protected areas in Thailand have not been thoroughly surveyed for the bat fauna there is a high probability that more new distributional records for chiroptera are awaiting to be discovered in the future.
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Observations on bats in three national parks in Thailand


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Taleban</th>
<th>Doi Suthep-Pui</th>
<th>Sri Nakarin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pteropodidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynopterus brachyotis</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynopterus sphinx</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynopterus horsfieldi</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penthetor lucasi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphaerias blanfordi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megasaops ecaudatus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eonycteris spelaea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacroGLOSSUS SOBRINUS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megadermatidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megaderma spasma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinolophidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinolophus affinis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinolophus pusillus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinolophus coelophyllus</td>
<td>3*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinolophus yunanensis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipposideridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipposideros bicolor</td>
<td>5*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. family</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species richness</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effort (net-night)</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capture rate (bat per net-night)</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Hand netted in Tondin Cave and a bridge underpassageway
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STUDY OF HUMAN CASUALTIES BY BENGAL TIGERS (Panthera tigris tigris L.) IN THE SUNDARBANS FOREST OF BANGLADESH
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Introduction

Once, the Bengal tiger (Panthera tigris tigris Linnaeus 1758) had a wide range of occurrence in the forests of Bangladesh. It was seen in all the major forests of Bangladesh until the late 1940s (Anonymous, 2000). Now, however, there are no authentic reports of the existence of Bengal tiger in the forests of Bangladesh other than in the Sundarbans. The Sundarbans has an age-old history of man-tiger conflict (Corbett, 1946; Khan, 1961; Mountfort, 1969; Chakrabarty, 1992). From the Management Plan (1931) records it is known that the Forest Department killed 452 Bengal tigers from 1912 to 1921 (Curtis, 1933) and 269 tigers were killed between 1947 and 1971, or an average of 11.2 tigers per year (Salter, 1984). Not only were tigers killed by man, but there were many human casualties by tigers in the nearby Sundarbans. It was reported that between 1975 and 1999, tigers killed a total of 544 people – an average of 22 people per year (Reza, 2000). Over the last fifty years, tigers in the Sundarbans have taken a toll of about 1,000 people. These are only the reported cases (official data); the actual number (unofficial data) may be 30% higher than that. The victims are mostly poor villagers viz., Bawalies (i.e., woodcutters), Mualies (i.e., honey collectors) and fishermen (JJS, 2003). Many of the human casualties occurred when the tiger was being attacked by the villagers, who would assemble from all directions with sticks and spears, giving the animal no space to escape. So the tiger would jump on the mob, killing and injuring some people (Gani, 2002).

The man-eating propensity of the Sundarbans tigers is a subject of traditional debate amongst naturalists, ecologists and wildlife enthusiasts all over the world. The terrain has an age-old history of man-tiger conflict, which has turned the situation into a survival competition between man and tiger. The Sundarbans tigers are popularly branded as hereditary man-eaters (Chakrabarti, 1992). Certain factors may cause tigers to become man-eaters (Jackson, 1990; Tilson and Ulysses, 1987). Some examples include the following:

- A tiger may be desperate for food because of old age or injury, and humans are relatively easy prey.
- Tigers living in habitats where native prey is scarce may be forced to hunt humans for food. Likewise, a mother tiger with cubs may hunt humans to provide enough food for her young. Consequently, the young tigers learn that human are prey.
- As tiger habitats become smaller and more isolated, tigers and people come in contact with each other more often. Tigers may venture into surrounding agricultural lands to hunt or to find dens for their cubs. A chance attack on a human may become routine behavior for some tigers. Fortunately, not all tigers that attack humans become habitual man-eaters.
- Some tigers may learn to associate humans with food if they have had the opportunity to scavenge human corpses.

The main objectives of the current study were: 1) to assess the present status of human-tiger interaction in the Sundarbans; 2) to assess the time and seasonal variation of tiger attacks on humans in the Sundarbans and its periphery; 3) to identify the critical areas of tiger entry into localities; and 4) to make recommendations to minimize tiger-human conflicts in the Sundarbans.

Materials and methods

The study area covered the entire Sundarbans of Bangladesh and its vicinity. The Sundarbans forest covers about 10,000 km² and is located in southwest Bangladesh and in the southeast of the
Indian state of West Bengal. The Bangladesh part of this forest covers about 6,017 km² (68.85% land area and 31.15% water body) (Haider, 2004). The Sundarbans is located south of the Tropic of Cancer and at the northern limits of the Bay of Bengal. It is mangrove forest and broadly classified as tropical moist evergreen forest.

The study was based on both literature reviews and field surveys. Data covering 1995-2004 was collected through surveys of villagers in the impact zone, Sundarbans resource users (Bawalies, Mualies and fishermen), from Sundarbans East Forest Division, Sundarbans West Forest Division and Khulna Circle Office, Bangladesh. A survey questionnaire form was prepared to get primary data from villagers and Sundarbans resource extractors. Stratified random sampling was used in this survey.

To begin, the Sundarbans was divided into four areas according to four forest ranges and data was collected from the resource extractors of each range. In the case of the villagers’ survey, four villages (one in each range) near the periphery of Sundarbans were selected and eight representative samples (Bawalies, Mualies, Fishermen and village people) were picked at random from each of those villages. Another survey collected data about humans killed by tigers in the Sundarbans from different offices of the Forest Department of Khulna Circle. The data was collected between November 2004 to 31 January 2005. For the analysis of this study it was targeted to collect 15 years of data, but in some cases the data was not available up to the target limit and in other cases more data was collected according to its availability.

**Results and discussion**

A total of 582 people were killed or injured by tigers in the Sundarbans during the 25 years from 1980 to 2004. Of this number, 553 (96%) people were killed and 29 (4%) were injured in tiger attacks. The highest number of human casualties (52) killed by tigers occurred in 1988 and the lowest number of casualties (1 person killed) was recorded in 1997. According to the Forest Department and the local people, there is no discernible reason for the difference in the number of casualties occurring in those specific years. The number of human casualties gradually dropped after 1994, increased again in 2000, and dropped again after 2000, thereafter staying steady in the following years.

A large number of people utilize the forest for their livelihoods and some of them fall victim to tiger attacks. It is a repeated occurrence in the Sundarbans, but why the number of attacks fluctuates from year to year is unknown. Differences in the number of people entering the forest each year may be one of the reasons. Deficient data may also affect the results. From the statistics it seems that people seldom survive tiger attacks, which indicates the ferociousness and accuracy of the tigers. According to the Forest Department, on an average, 22 people are killed by tigers each year in the Sundarbans, but this figure may be 30% higher according to the people who reside around and work in the forest. Chakrabarti (1992) claimed that the salinity of the water is probably the most important factor for as much as 25% of tigers turning man-eater.

The maximum number of casualties by tiger attack were recorded in Satkhira Range. Between 2000-2004, out of a total 110 people attacked by tigers, 87 persons (79.09%) were killed in Satkhira Range. The total number of casualties at Khulna, Chandpai and Sarankhola Ranges within the same period of time are 21 (19.09%), 0 and 2 (1.82%) respectively. One of the reasons that more people are killed in Satkhira Range is that more people work in this range for collection of forest products, especially honey and fish. That there were no casualties in Chandpai Range within the time frame may be due to the fact that it is the smallest sized range among the four and so the least number of people work there. Data deficiency may also be another reason for the result. The most critical areas on the borders of the Sundarbans which are used by tigers as corridors to enter into the villages are at Satkhira Range - Kaikhali Forest Station to Burigoalini Range Office; at Khulna Range - Shekbaria to Koyra Forest Patrol Post; at Chandpai Range - Chandpai Range Headquarter to Baiydamari Forest Patrol Post and at Sarankhola Range - Bogi Forest Station to Sarankhola Range Headquarter and Nangli to Gulisakhali Forest Patrol Post. Compartments nos. 47, 48, 49, 46, 55, 40, 36 and
38 (there are 55 compartments) of Satkhira Range are the most tiger infested areas in the Sundarbans. From 2000-2004, the total human casualties recorded were 23, 21 and 14 in compartments no. 47, 48 and 49 respectively. More people work in these regions for fishing and to collect honey, goran and other non-timber forest products. These regions have a higher salinity, which has been speculated to make tigers more ferocious.

From 1990-2004, 334 people of different occupations were killed by tigers in the Sundarbans, with fishermen comprising the highest number of casualties (168 or 50.3% of the total casualties). The other occupations of casualties are: goran collectors (54 or 16.2%), honey collectors (38 or 11.4%), nipa collectors (32 or 9.6%), fuelwood collectors (8 or 2.4%), phoenix collectors (2 or 0.6%) and other types of labor (32 or 9.6%). It is seen that almost half of the number of casualties are fishermen; therefore, the question is why this number is so high. There are higher numbers of fishermen than any other profession in the Sundarbans and they enter the forest more frequently to collect fuelwood and other forest products. There may be other reasons for their higher casualty rate, so it requires further research. The second highest casualties by profession is goran collectors (16.2%), which are close to honey collectors and nipa harvesters. These are more risky jobs than fishing but the casualties are less in number because there are fewer people of these professions in comparison to fishermen engaged in the Sundarbans. Most of the casualties took place in 1990 (14.7% of total), followed by 1993 (13.8%), 1991 (12.6%), 1994 (10.8%), etc. No definite cause has been identified for the difference in the number of accidents in different years. No tourists have been killed by tigers in the Sundarbans since 1990. The reason may be increased awareness of the tourists and that they do not enter into the deep forest.

From 2000 to 2004, 45% of the total tiger attacks on humans took place between 3-6 pm; 30% took place between 10 am-3 pm and 21% took place between 6-10 am. The tiger is a nocturnal animal; during the first half of the day it rests and during the second half they come out to seek prey. This may be one of the reasons for more people being attacked in the afternoon, but more research is needed to confirm this. Most tiger attacks take place during the day and very few at night. This may be because few people work at night in the Sundarbans.

Tiger attacks on resource extractors in the Sundarbans take place all year round. The monthly trend of tiger attacks shows that during the rainy season in July to October attacks are low (24.6%). The frequency of attacks increases during the summer months March to June (35%) and during the winter months November to February (40.2%). This is because in the wet season only fishermen work in the forest; in the dry and winter seasons various non-wood products are harvested, which brings more people into the forest area. More casualties are recorded during December to April because during that period more people work in the forest. Less people work in the forest during June to August due to the rains and difficult conditions in the river and sea.

From the study it was evident that afternoon is the most critical time for tiger attacks. The percentage of tiger attacks on humans in the afternoon is 59%, in the morning 22% and at noon 19%. It was also found that about 89% of the attacks occurred during high tide when the water current is static, which helps tigers cross the river more easily. Tigers also avoid muddy areas so they choose the high tide to cross the river or canals to patrol the periphery or to catch the prey species.

According to the villagers, tigers generally enter the villages at night, especially during the high tide period, with 55.56% of the forays occurring at between 10 pm-3 am, 29.63% between 3-6 am and 14.81% between 6-10 pm.

In the Sundarbans mangrove forest, high and low tides are continuous phenomena occurring alternately every 6 hours, twice a day. Tigers can swim and that is why at the high tide they can easily avoid the muddy banks of the water bodies which are seen clearly at low tide. The Sundarbans is separated from the surrounding locality by narrow canals; some are filled with silt which makes it easier for the tigers to leave the forest.
Tigers may become confused about the location of the periphery of forest at night and enter into the villages. During the day, the forest boundary is clear and that may be the reason why no tigers leave the forest area during the day.

To overcome the problem of human-tiger interaction in the Sundarbans, proper action should be taken immediately. There are short- and long-term remedies that can be followed to improve the human-tiger conflict in the Sundarbans. If these are properly implemented, there is a greater chance of successfully reducing negative human-tiger interaction in the Sundarbans.

Short-term recommendations include:
- Fencing of critical areas in the vicinity of villages;
- Establishment of water-harvesting ponds to ensure the supply of fresh water for the wild animals;
- Seeking the cooperation of the local administration;
- Strengthening existing rules and regulations;
- Excavation of canals along the boundary adjacent to the locality; and
- Wearing of masks on the backs of the heads of resource extractors to discourage the tigers from attacking from behind.

Long-term recommendations include:
- Starting a community awareness program;
- Introducing a participatory wildlife management approach;
- Patrolling the most critical borders of the Sundarbans;
- Introducing a compensation program for the villagers;
- Undertaking a wildlife rescue, treatment and relief program;
- Management of grassland/vacant areas for wildlife; and
- Training and human resource development.

Conclusion

The Sundarbans is abundant with valuable resources. People going to the Sundarbans to extract major and minor forest products sometimes fall under tiger attacks. The records show that 22 people are killed by tigers in each year, but according to the local people the actual number may be around 50-75 per year. The tiger-human interaction is still in an alarming state, so the Forest Department should adopt and implement an effective work plan to deal with the problem.
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Table 1. Humans killed/injured by tigers in the Sundarbans of Bangladesh from 1980 to 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. killed</th>
<th>No. injured</th>
<th>No. of casualties</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>% of deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>553</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
<td><strong>582</strong></td>
<td><strong>96</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Forest Department, 2004*
Introduction

A number of activities have been launched to foster biodiversity research in Irian Jaya by conducting training in collaboration with research institutes to strengthen the research capacity of local institutions (NGOs, government and young lecturers from universities) (Conservation International, 1999). Yongsu, in the northern site of Cyclops Mountains, and the Mamberamo River have been selected to represent the coastal and marshland areas in the northern part of Papua as locations for such training sessions by the Conservation International Irian Jaya program.

McPhee (1988) reported that habitat modification by human activity has radically affected the diversity and relative abundance of rodent species, although it is difficult to discern any relationship between diet and habitat. Research conducted by Dwyer from 1979 to 1980 in Papua New Guinea indicated that altitudinal effects and long term modification of forest at the latter locality might have contributed to the differences in the mammals’ diversity.

From previous studies in Papua, several factors have been identified that may have contributed to the low capture rate of small mammals, such as the fact that they occur in low densities, have uneven (patchy) distribution, the local abundance of food resources in the forest (where traps were set), that perhaps the baits used were not appropriate, and/or other unknown factors. Therefore, further study is important to compare the capture results in different habitat types/conditions in the northern region of Papua Province.

Study area

Cyclops Mountain is one of the mountain sites officially protected in the Cyclops Mountains Nature Reserve in close proximity to Jayapura, a capital city of Papua Province. Due to its location near Jayapura, the reserve has been exposed to severe pressure from other land use purposes (e.g., road construction, conversion to agriculture, settlements and logging concessions).

In the northern part of Cyclops Mountain near Yongsu (02°26S; 140°29E) is a village, reachable from Jayapura by boat, where the study was carried out in the primary rainforest with small areas of secondary forest along the coastal site. In Yongsu, the driest month is September (with an average rainfall of 260 mm) and the wettest month is April (mean rainfall: 1,075 mm). Temperatures range between 17.2°C – 35.6°C with a mean annual temperature of 21.7°C. Humidity is between 81.8%-85%.

The Mamberamo River watershed, one of the wetland sites in West Papua, covers 7,711,602 hectares and includes part of the central mountains of New Guinea, as well as forests, coastal forests, and tropical marshlands. This potential marshland area in West Papua is currently classified as production forest (31.6%), conversion forest (29.6%), protected forest (29.6%) and other categories (1.4%). The Department of Research and Technology has decided to develop the area by building a dam for the center of the industrial and agricultural program in West Papua. The value of this system in terms of biological conservation is obvious, and if the proposed dam proceeds to fruition at its point of passage through the Foja/Van Rees Mountains, it will be an ecological catastrophe for Papua’s biodiversity (Polhemus and Richards, 2002).

The study site near the town of Dabra (03° 16.220’S & 138° 36.938’E), an hour’s trip by airplane from Sentani Jayapura, was described as lowland swamp surrounded by primary rain forest and close to the small stream that leads to the
Mamberamo River Basin, which is the major river in this area. The vegetation found in this area is much more varied, ranging from tall, mixed species forest near rivers through low, open forest of mixed pandanus species, to herbaceous swamp dominated by sedges, reeds and floating grasses. The dry season (May-September) is slightly drier than the rainy season. Year-round temperatures reach into the high 80s (°F) during the day. The survey was conducted during the dry season when water levels in the main river channel and small streams that branch from the main channel were relatively low.

Methodology

The survey was conducted during August 2000 in Yongsu and continued during September at the Dabra sites. In Yongsu, small mammals were sampled using locally made wire mesh live traps (10x20x10cm). This trap is like a small cage with a spiral spring door and is commonly used to catch house rats. Twenty-four traps were randomly set in 2 trap stations per habitat (garden and forest). Trap stations were 10m apart in each habitat. Each trap station had three traps with 3 kinds of bait (roasted coconut, ripe banana and smoked fish), with each trap set 5m apart, roughly in a circle. The general habitat features were noted. All traps were located at ground level, and were baited and put in position just before sunset (16:00-17:00 pm). They were checked and collected the following morning (08:00-09:00 am). Rodent captures were extremely rare in the afternoon; nevertheless, trap rounds were necessary to replace bait which was often consumed by ants. When an animal was captured, it was removed and the trap was washed and replaced. Trapping took place on four consecutive nights during the survey dates.

In Dabra, Mamberamo, thirty-six Elliot traps were installed in a 50x 50 m grid, and 35 were set at 2 m intervals along a 70 m transect. A variety of baits were used, including bananas, fish and biscuits. Traps were opened in the evening (4:00-5:00 p.m.) and were checked and closed the next morning between 8:00-9:00 a.m.

Captured bats were identified according to Flannery (1995), and Menzies and Dennis (1979). Each specimen was weighed and measurements were recorded for ear length (EL), body length (BL), tail length (TL), hind foot (HF) and forearm (FA). After identification and measurement, several species were collected as representatives and the rest were released at the point of capture.

Results and discussions

The morphometrics of some species captured during the survey are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Bait</th>
<th>W (g)</th>
<th>HB (cm)</th>
<th>TL (cm)</th>
<th>HF (cm)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murexia longicaudata</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>229.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pogonomelomys mayeri</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>139.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. mayeri</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melomys lutillus</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. platyops</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. leucogaster</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>130mm</td>
<td>120mm</td>
<td>35mm</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahydromis asper</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distoechurus pennatus</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The survey team recorded at least 8 visits (traps were closed) during the trapping period in Yongsu site. Two traps baited with smoke fish that were set up in the garden’s ground adjacent the secondary forest (under the tree) were broken and thus the animals could not be identified.
Only one mammal, the Short-furred dasyure (*Murexia longicaudata*), was captured at this particular site which was baited with smoked fish. This area was described as dense habitat with some *Pandanus* sp., moderate leaf litter and no ground cover. In the rainy season, this area is flooded. As cited by Flannery (1995), the Short-furred dasyure appears to be more abundant at lower elevations throughout the island of New Guinea.

The other four mammals (all rodents) were captured in the center of the garden, three of which were baited with roasted coconut and one with ripe banana. Shaw Mayer’s *Pogonomelomys mayeri* was recorded in new localities from the mainland of New Guinea Island. Grassland Melomys (*Melomys lutillus*) has a very patchy distribution in the southern New Guinea (Flannery, 1995). This species was always reported in grassland areas, but the site it was captured at in Yongsu (garden plot) supported the fact that it also invaded old garden plots (Flannery, 1995).

Flannery (1995) noted that lowland *Melomys platyops* was primarily a terrestrial species, assumed to be present in the disturbed habitats. Our trapping results supported this when it was found in a garden described as “disturbed habitat.” The garden in this study supported a variety of native and exotic crops, including *Ipomoea batatas*, *Manihot utilisima* and *Saccharum edule* and some fruit trees such as *Musa* sp. and *Papaya* sp.

At the Mamberamo site, two rodent species – the Waterside rat (*Parahydromis asper*) and the White-bellied Melomys (*Melomys leucogaster*) – were captured in the secondary forest relatively close to the stream, using roasted coconut as bait. The Waterside rat has a wide distribution across New Guinea from elevations ranging from 530m to 1,450m (Flannery, 1995) and usually inhabits stream banks, but it has also been found in forests in streamside vegetation (Menzies and Dennis, 1979). It has been categorized as an aquatic insectivore due its being constantly found in the vicinity of water.

The White-bellied Melomys was previously known only from southern New Guinea (Flannery, 1995). Therefore, our finding in Mamberamo suggests that this species also occurs in the northern part of New Guinea, and it was evident that the White-bellied Melomys has a wide distribution throughout the New Guinea Island.

One marsupial species, *Distoechurus pinnatus*, captured by a local hunter using a traditional trap, was carrying embryos in her pouch. The collection of the Feathered-tailed possum (*Distoechurus pinnatus*) in Mamberamo is important as it fills a wide gap in its known distribution in New Guinea and is one of few records for this species in Papua, particularly from the Indonesian part (Flannery, 1995).

This site was characterized by the presence of pioneer species such as *Macaranga mappa*, *Nauclea orientalis*, *Endospermum peltatum*, *Cananga odorata* and *Duabanga mollucana*. The area is used by the Dabra community for hunting and gathering activities (e.g., collecting forest products). The situation gave evidence that the forest at the study site has suffered from low to medium levels of disturbance. The riverbank is dominated by *Mitragyna speciosa*, *Planchonia cf. validia*, *Leucosyke capitelata*, *Dracontomelon da’o*, *Homalium foetidum* and *Croton* spp. (de Fretes et al., 2002).

Conclusions in relation to the catch results in both study sites, and the diversity of small mammals were as follows:

- Habitat modification had an effect, because the study sites had been converted to a garden location (Yongsu) and were utilized by local people for hunting and other extraction activities from the forest (Mamberamo).
- The animals’ activities were limited because of the rainy season during our survey in Yongsu, and the smell of the baits did not carry well to the whole part of the study area.
- The territory and home ranges of small mammals were diverse among the species, particularly in the disturbed areas.

It was suggested to use a greater number and more suitable traps and longer trapping periods, as well as using more diversified baits (e.g. easily portable and longer lasting) in future studies. The studies should also be designed for different
seasons in order to see whether or not the capture rate is also influenced by the seasons.
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DISTRIBUTION, STATUS AND CONSERVATION OF BLACKBUCK (Antelope cervicapra) IN THE THAR DESERT OF RAJASTHAN (INDIA)

by Hemsingh Gehlot and Jakher G.R.

Introduction

The increasing trend of human population has been affecting the antelope numbers and distribution, principally through the gradual contraction of their grazing and foraging areas. Indian blackbucks were once dispersed locally throughout India wherever conditions were favorable (Jerdon, 1874), and their numbers may have once approximated four million (Groves, 1974). The Thar Desert is a zoologist’s paradise with respect to the types of animal habitats and in the nature and man-animal relationship. The Thar Desert is the best potential habitat for Indian blackbuck (Antelope cervicapra) in Rajasthan. In the 1920s blackbucks were so abundant in the Thar region that if a gun was fired, one could joyfully watch
the fleeing blackbucks for an hour across the road (Prakash, 1977).

As a result of almost continuous hunting and poaching, and due to the gradual degradation of its preferred natural habitats, the present population of the Indian antelope may not be more than 4.6% of its earlier strength (Mukherjee, 1976). During the late 19th century, the Thar Desert, in the northwestern part of India, supported excellent mammalian faunal diversity (Blanford, 1888-91; Jerdon, 1874) due to low human density. The blackbuck is one of the most endangered mammals in the Indian subcontinent. Compared with the situation even a few decades ago, the present distribution of blackbuck in India is drastically reduced and the surviving populations are fragmented and usually very small. The blackbuck thrived in isolated pockets in western Rajasthan in or around closed areas or sanctuaries where Bishnois are populated, (Hall, 1936). Great changes have taken place in the landscape of the Thar in the last century. There has been a fast decline in the population of blackbuck around Jodhpur due to indiscriminate poaching and habitat loss.

**Study area**

The Thar Desert is situated in the west of the Aravali range and lies between 24° and 35°5' N and 70°7' and 76°2' E. The present human population of this arid region is 22.57 million and the density is 133 people/per km², making the Thar one of the most densely populated deserts in the world. Along with the human population increase, there has also been a steady increase in the presence of livestock and the present density is 145 animals/km².

The climate conditions of the intensive study areas of Jodhpur and Nagaur districts are typically hot and arid, characterized by extreme high and low temperatures during summer and winter, respectively. The other characteristics are high wind velocity (markedly in summer), low relative humidity and high evapo-transpiration exceeding the precipitation. January is the coldest month and the dry hot summer sets in after mid-March, continuing up to June, with the onset of the monsoon. Variation in rainfall from year to year is wide and failure of the monsoon is quite frequent. The study area falls under the arid zone where the annual rainfall varies between 200 to 400 mm and mainly occurs during the months of July to September. Rainfall is mainly restricted to the monsoon season.

The population of the cultivated land is low in the arid districts of Rajasthan. The intensity of cropping is low in the districts of Bikaner, Jaisalmer, Barmer and Jodhpur, which form the major part of the Indian Thar desert in Rajasthan. The cropping patterns of this region reveal the predominance of millets (such as Bajra and Jowar) and pulses. The Thar Desert supports good numbers of trees and shrub species. The thorny type of vegetation is the most dominant and consists of three series, namely: i) *Calligonum polygonoides-Clerodendrum phlomidis*; ii) *Prosopis-Capparis-Ziziphus*; and iii) *Acacia-Capparis*. Over 60 species of mammals have been recorded from this region of desert. Apart from ungulates like Indian gazelle (*Gazella bennetti*), Blackbuck (*Antelope cervicapra*) and nilgai or Bluebull (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*), other wild animals in the are include wolf (*Canis lupus*), Indian Fox (*Vulpes bengalensis*), Desert fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) and Indian porcupine (*Hystrix indica*). Among the bird species Indian peafowl (*Pavo cristatus*) are found in this region.

Three sites, all supporting good blackbuck populations, were identified for detailed study of blackbuck. Out of the three, two sites, namely Guda Bishnoi II (in the closed area) and Surpura village (outside the closed area) near Mandore are in Jodhpur district, whereas the third one at Ren village in Jaroda was in the closed area of Nagaur district.

**Material and methods**

A 10x30x50 mm prismatic field binocular was used throughout the study for direct observations of the animals in the field. Scan and focal sampling methods were followed for recording various activities of the animals.

**Line transect**

The line transect method, as suggested by Anderson *et al* (1979) was followed for evaluation
of the population density of study animals inside and outside the study areas. Three line transects, of one km each, were randomly placed in each study site. The number of individuals and perpendicular sightings of the animals at a distance from the point were recorded.

Road transect
Road transects were marked 5 km to 20 km in length and observed from 2-or 4-wheel vehicles. Density was estimated according to Sale, Berkmullar (1988), and Rodgers (1991); in the behavioral study data was collected on Ad Libitum as per Altmann (1974), as well as by Scan and Focal sampling methods (Simpson and Simpson, 1977).

Results and discussion
The blackbuck in Western Rajasthan are restricted to limited pockets in Jodhpur, Nagaur, Bikaner and Churu districts; however, small numbers were also sighted in the adjoining areas of Pali and Barmer districts in the south and western parts of Jodhpur district. To discover the present distribution and abundance of blackbuck in 12 districts of western Rajasthan in the Thar Desert region and in selected study sites, several visits were made. Since the distribution of blackbuck is limited to some specific areas, the district-wise population density was not estimated in present study. However, the population density of blackbuck was estimated from only specific areas where they are present in good numbers.

During the study, the highest population density of 3.07 individuals/km² was found in Jodhpur district, followed by Nagaur district (2.33 individuals/km²), which may be due to the availability of good habitat and protection from the local people. Furthermore, the population density of each intensive site was also estimated. The selected intensive study site of Ren village was supported with the highest numbers (11.71 individuals/km²), whereas at the Guda bishnoi and Surpura sites it was comparatively low (9.6 and 5.15 individuals/km²). Data obtained from the Wildlife Department of different closed areas of Jodhpur showed a higher density than the noted closed areas of Nagaur. The population of blackbucks in three closed areas during 1999 was 3,165 individuals, whereas in 2005 it fell to 2,186 as per census data obtained from the Forest Department. However, earlier, the population of blackbuck of Jodhpur district was estimated at 6,550 animals by Sharma (1982), whereas Ghosh and Goyal (1981) reported 12,000 animals in Jodhpur district. During 1981 in Gajner Sanctuary, the number of blackbuck recorded was 575, which dropped to only 82 in 1991 according to the Forest Department of Bikaner. Ojha and Ajay Kumar (1993) reported 100 individuals in Gajner Sanctuary. In Talchhapar Sanctuary of Churu district, the total number of blackbuck was 1,800 in 1986, but after four years they had decreased to 1,305 in 1990.

Some workers have conducted studies on the behavior, ecology and distribution of blackbuck in this region (Ajay Kumar, 1993; Rahamani, 1990; Goyal et. al., 1986; Ghosh et. al., 1988; Krishnan, 1972; Kunhunu, 1989; Sharma, 1981; Groves, 1972). The decrease in populations of blackbuck may be due to the destruction of natural habitats such as scrub land areas, increases in human populations and livestock numbers, and increased hunting and poaching. On the other hand, some protected closed areas or sanctuaries are too small to meet the entire needs of the wildlife, and animals wandering outside in search of food come under increased hunting pressure (Seshadri, 1969). In addition, sanctuaries are often open to grass gathering, tree cutting and over-grazing by livestock.

Conservation
In Rajasthan, the blackbuck population density was once very high in comparison to the present day. This animal has been restricted to only sanctuaries and closed areas with very low population densities. The population of blackbucks declined in Jodhpur, Nagaur, Bikaner and Churu, where earlier they were plentiful. The blackbucks are now restricted to Bishnoi-dominant villages. An alarming increase of feral dogs in habitats of blackbuck has also caused heavy death tolls on this animal.

The following recommendations are made:
1. The favoured grassland habitats of the blackbuck must be conserved or protected
by State Government agencies with the cooperation of the local people.

2. The provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act should be strictly imposed against illegal hunting of these animals. The trials of wildlife poachers can be speeded up by creating separate fast track courts for these cases only.

3. Presently, these ungulates have hardly any natural predators of significance but feral/pariah dogs probably account for more deaths of blackbuck and chinkara than any other creature after man. So, a proper action plan is needed to control the increasing numbers of feral dogs near habitats of the ungulates.

4. The rapid increase of the exotic Prosopis juliflora would convert the habitat to one with tree shrub cover which is less suitable for the blackbuck because it reduces the total productivity of the grass. Therefore, the spread of this exotic plant should be controlled because a high density of this plant helps feral dogs and other predators.

If appropriate steps for conservation are not immediately taken, then the blackbuck is likely to soon become extinct from Great Indian Thar Desert.
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Introduction

The state of Arunachal Pradesh in northeast India occupies a unique place in the eastern Himalayas as a biodiversity hot spot because of its rich biodiversity. Different species of the taxon Primates form a major component of this biodiversity. Out of 15 primate species found in India, 7 species viz. Slow loris, Rhesus macaque, Assamese macaque, Stump-tailed macaque, Pigtail macaque, Capped langur and Western Hoolock gibbon are found in Arunachal Pradesh (Borang and Thapliyal, 1993; Chetry, 2002; Chetry et al., 2003a). Choudhury (2002) tentatively reported the occurrence of Pere David’s macaque from this region. There is a report of another group of macaques from the state which is yet to be identified properly (Choudhury, 2002; Chetry, 2002; Chetry et al., 2003b). Mishra et al. (2004) and Sinha et al., (2005) reported a species of macaque from Tawang district of Arunachal Pradesh and have named it Tawang macaque/Arunachal Macaque (Macaca munzala), which is new to science. Moreover, Das et al. (2006) reported the Eastern Hoolock gibbon (Hoolock leuconedys) in Lohit District. Including Macaca munzala and Hoolock leuconedys, the total number of primate species in Arunachal Pradesh rises up to 9. However, the taxon Primates still remains one of the least documented groups in various parts of the state. The primate diversity of the Debang Wildlife Sanctuary in the state is still unknown, as no scientific studies on primates have so far been conducted in this area, which is biogeographically linked with China and Myanmar. Therefore, a survey of primates was conducted in the sanctuary during 2005-2006. This report summarizes the observations made on the status of primates and the threats affecting the primate community and other wildlife of the area.

Study site

Debang Wildlife Sanctuary (4,149 km²) is located in the Upper Debang Valley in the district of Arunachal Pradesh, India. The area is located in the Himalayan range at the junction of the eastern end of Arunachal Pradesh between 95°25’18" to 96°36’12" E longitude and 28°35’35" to 29°29’07”N latitude. The vegetation in the area is a mosaic of sub-tropical broad leaf forest,
Himalayan moist temperate forest, sub-alpine forest and alpine moist scrub forest.

Methods

Direct methods
A modified line transect method (Burnham et al., 1980; NRC 1981, Struhsaker 1997, Indo-US Primate Project, 1995, Chetry et al., 2003a) was followed, depending upon the habitat and the forest condition. Transects were laid in a stratified random manner to cover all representative areas of the park (Mueller-Dombois et al., 1974; Kent et al., 1994). Three observers walked randomly along existing forest trails and occasionally without forest tracts, covering an average of 10-15 km per day. The walk transect was initiated in the morning and terminated in the evening. The observers would walk slowly through the transect, pausing at regular intervals of 500 m. Upon sighting primates, the group structure and individual details such as age, sex and the number of individuals were recorded. Sightings and signs of other wild animals were also recorded.

Indirect methods
The presence of primates was also recorded from indirect sources such as grunts, branch shaking, sounds associated with locomotion and feeding, etc. All such indications were used to trace the animals. Secondary information was gathered through interviews with the local people from the fringe areas.

Results and discussion

The survey was carried out mainly in the Dri river valley and its adjacent areas. The current study confirms the occurrence of 4 species in the surveyed part of the sanctuary. Rhesus macaque (Macaca mulatta), Assamese macaque (Macaca assamensis) and another macaque that could not properly identified were sighted directly, while presence of slow loris (Nycticebus bengalensis) was confirmed on the basis of indirect information. The sighting rate is very low and animals were found to be very shy. Moreover, they were highly sensitive to the presence of humans, even at a distance, and fled at the slightest pretext. Not a single call of gibbon was heard during the survey period. There was no indication of occurrence of Hoolock gibbon from indirect records. Similarly, for capped langur there were also neither direct sightings nor indirect clues.

Altitudinal records were maintained during the survey for every direct sighting. The study records the distribution of primates from 1,700 m asl to 1,814 m asl.

Besides non-human primates, the area supports a high mammalian diversity and the study confirmed the presence of 27 mammal species. Avifaunal diversity is also high and important bird species include Red breasted hill partridge (Arborophila mandellii), Blyth’s tragopan (Tragopan blythii), Sclater’s monal (Lophophorus sclateri), Beautiful nuthatch (Sitta formosa), Ward’s Tragon (Harpactus wardi) and Khalij pheasant (Lophura leucomelana).

Hunting was identified to be the pre- eminent threat factor to primates and other wildlife in the study site. Animals are hunted mainly for meat, skin, teeth, feathers and beaks, which are used as a part of traditional dress. Bears (Ursus thibetanus) are hunted mainly for their gall bladder, teeth and skin. Another major sought after species is Musk deer (Moschus moschiferus), which is killed for the musk pods. It has the highest demand in the illegal wildlife market. People from all age groups hunt – teenagers, youths, middle-aged men and women. Hunting is mainly carried out with firearms (guns) but other traditional techniques (traps) are also in use. Recently, the hunters and poachers in this remote corner have established links with the international network of illegal trade in wildlife products. The problem of hunting has become aggravated due to the increase in the human population in the fringe area of the sanctuary. At the same time, the issuing of more gun licenses has emerged as a great threat to the wildlife population. If this trend continues, then the larger mammals will be in more danger in coming years. Indiscriminate and widespread hunting may be a possible explanation for the lower sighting rate of primates in the sanctuary. The condition may be different in other areas of the sanctuary which are yet to be covered. Trans-boundary hunting is another threat to the wildlife of the sanctuary. Every year at least 20-25 hunters cross the China border to the Indian side to hunt musk.
deer. This is an alarming situation and needs timely intervention from the concerned authorities. Loop holes in the administrative system also add to the problem of conservation. A distinct boundary demarcation for the sanctuary is still lacking and there is not even a sign board displaying the name and area of the sanctuary. A communication gap between the administration and local communities is also creating problems. People in the fringe areas still feel the sanctuary is land that they have inherited from their ancestors. The majority of the people (96%) at Anini (District Headquarters) do not even know that the area has been declared a sanctuary. The sanctuary does not have a sufficient number of staff to carry out regular patrolling duties and the vast boundary of the sanctuary is yet to be brought under the patrolling network. Jhum cultivation (slash and burn shifting cultivation) in the fringe areas is a major factor in the loss of habitat.

**Conclusion**

The threat to the habitat and the wildlife in Debang Wildlife Sanctuary needs careful handling as the problems are intricately associated with the tribes and cultural prospectus and their understanding about the wildlife and its habitat. The police and the Forest Department should take care to strictly implement the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972. The setting up of anti-poaching and monitoring camps at strategic sites in each of the fringe areas may be helpful in reducing illegal activities. Recruitment of additional staff is an urgent need. Better infrastructural facilities such as vehicles, motorbikes and modern firearms should be provided to the field staff. Conservation education and public awareness programs should be conducted in all the educational institutions as well as at the community level in the district where the protected area exists. Further surveys for primates and other mammals should be carried out in the near future to collect detailed data on the species and habitats covering all areas of the sanctuary. It is essential to develop a better understanding of the diverse aspects of the sanctuary in order to formulate an effective conservation action plan.

**Table 1: Primates of Debang Wildlife Sanctuary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sighting</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assamese macaque</td>
<td><em>Macaca assamensis</em></td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Very Shy &amp;Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>???</td>
<td><em>Macaca</em>?</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Very Shy &amp;Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhesus macaque</td>
<td><em>Macaca mulatta</em></td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Very Shy &amp;Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow loris</td>
<td><em>Nycticebus bengalensis</em></td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>Yet to be find out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capped langur</td>
<td><em>Trachypithecus pileatus</em></td>
<td>No sightings</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoolock gibbon</td>
<td><em>Hoolock hoolock</em></td>
<td>No sightings/No calls</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: List of Mammals recorded during the survey:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No.</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Sl No.</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tiger</td>
<td>Panthera tigris</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Sambar</td>
<td>Cervus unicolor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Leopard</td>
<td>Panthera pardus</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Goral</td>
<td>Nemorhaedus goral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Clouded leopard</td>
<td>Neofelis nebulosa</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Serow</td>
<td>Capricornis sumatraensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Snow leopard</td>
<td>Panthera uncia</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Takin</td>
<td>Budorcas taxicolor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Leopard cat</td>
<td>Felis bengalensis</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Himalayan tahr</td>
<td>Hemitragus jemlahicus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Jungle cat</td>
<td>Felis chaus</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Wild boar</td>
<td>Sus scrofa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Wild dog</td>
<td>Cuon alpinus</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Large Indian civet</td>
<td>Viverra zibetha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td>Canis aureus</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Small Indian civet</td>
<td>Viverra indica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Red panda</td>
<td>Ailurus fulgens</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Indian porcupine</td>
<td>Hystrix indica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Himalayan black bear</td>
<td>Ursus thibetanus</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Pangolin</td>
<td>Manis crassicaudata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Binturong</td>
<td>Arctictis binturong</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Common mongoose</td>
<td>Herpestes edwardsi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Gaur</td>
<td>Bos gaurus</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Indian fox</td>
<td>Vulpes bengalensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Musk deer</td>
<td>Moschus moschiferus</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Jungle rat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Barking deer</td>
<td>Muntiacus muntjak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**STUDY ON THE PHYSIOGRAPHY AND BIODIVERSITY OF CHURDHAR WILDLIFE SANCTUARY OF HIMACHAL HIMALAYAS, INDIA**

by Anil K. Choudhary, Punam, Parveen K. Sharma and Suman Chandel

**Introduction**

Himachal Pradesh is a hill province of India which is situated in the northwestern Himalayas. Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary is situated in the higher Himalayan region of Sirmour and Shimla Districts of Himachal Pradesh. The area lies between 30°48'37" to 30°54'39" north latitude and 77°23'32" to 77°29'49" east longitude. Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary is endowed with a rich biodiversity including higher plants, grasses, shrubs, medicinal, aromatic and dye plants, as well as a variety of wildlife. Churdhar and Nauradhar fall within the boundary of Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary. Nauradhar, the headquarters of Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary, is situated on the Rajgarh-Haripurdhar motor road in Sirmour district and is covered with dense pine forests. Nauradhar is situated in the lower vicinity of Chur Peak (Churdhar). Chur Peak is the highest point in the Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary (3,647 m). Churdhar comprises the reserve and demarcated protected forests and other areas of Sirmour and Shimla districts around the Chur Peak presently known as Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary under the Nohra wildlife range.

**Materials and methods**

Information about Churdhar Wildlife sanctuary was gathered from the concerned forest officials, revenue officials, local village panchayats, local
inhabitants and personal visits to the study area. Information regarding geographic features, agro-climatic conditions, flora and fauna as well as management issues of this wildlife sanctuary was systematically collected through surveys in the study area.

Significance of Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary

The focal point of the sanctuary is the famous Chureshwar Mahadev temple, which is located near Chur Peak in the heart of the sanctuary. The residents of Sirmour, Chopal and Jubbal area consider it a main deity. Many people visit Churdhar temple all the year around, except when it is covered with snow from December to April. The altitude of Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary ranges between 2,000-3,647 m. The area falls in the upper Himalayan region and supports a rich variety of flora in the form of oaks, spruce, fir forests and alpine pastures and a large variety of herbs. This sanctuary is home to a variety of wildlife of the Himalayan region.

Results and discussion

Based on the intensive surveying of the study area as well as secondary information collected from concerned forest officials, revenue officials, village panchayats, local inhabitants and personal visits, the following information has been collected from Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary:

Fig. 1: Map of Sirmour district showing Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary.
Geology

Physiologically, the tract forms part of the lesser Himalayan zone, which varies in altitude from 900 m to 3,000 m. The major rock formations include Chur granite and Jutogh formation. Chur granite consists of coarse granite to prophyritic and graniferous granites and gneiss. It is exposed along the Chur. Soil resulting from granite terrain is clayey loam and it supports fir, spruce forests and alpine pastures. Jutogh formation is exposed around Chur peak and extends to Nohra. The formation consists of carbonaceous schist, phyllitic with hands of carbonaceous limestone. It supports deep mica ions clayey loam soils, which are fertile and support dense oak, fir and spruce forests. The terrain ranges from moderate towards the northern aspect to very steep towards the southern aspect.

The soil is clayey loam to loam, with the depth usually varying with the slope. Well developed soil profiles are found only at the higher reaches under spruce and fir forests. The soil on ridges, spurs, precipitous slopes and southern aspects tends to be shallow and dry. On easier slopes and in sheltered places it is deep, fertile and moist enough to support tree growth. The lower areas devoid of tree cover suffer from intense erosion. In spruce and fir forests, heavy accumulations of raw humus are a characteristic feature and constitute the main inhibiting factor to their natural regeneration.

The tract lies between the sub-tropical and temperate zones. The average annual rainfall is about 1,200 mm, 75% of which is received from south-west monsoons. The south-west monsoons usually begin by the end of June and last until the end of September. The area receives snow during the south-east monsoons in mid-December until March in the higher elevations, decreasing with the elevation. The lower reaches receive only rain. Summers are pleasant with mild temperatures. Winters experience erratic temperature variations, even dropping below freezing point for considerable periods. Some peaks above 3,000 m have an alpine climate. Frost is experienced from November until March and the intensity varies with the altitude.

The tract drains into the Giri and Tons rivers through smaller streams which are snow-fed for most of the year, and by perennial springs coming from vast stretches of forests. The water supply for local habitations in the tract is adequate except in the summer months. Agriculture lands in the tract are mostly rain-fed, except at lower elevations where kuhls have been drawn from adjoining nallahs for irrigation. The water supply for the inhabitants of the region has been arranged through water supply schemes with proper water management so that the irrigation and drinking water requirements of the tract can be fully met.

Wildlife of Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary

According to the local inhabitants, the area was very rich in wildlife up to the recent past. The spruce, fir and kharso forests were rich in musk deer, serow, panther, monal pheasant and tragopan. Now, musk deer and tragopan have become almost extinct. The lower areas were rich in black bear, goral, barking deer, koklash, kalij, chakor, partridges and red jungle fowl. However, the population of these animals and birds is much reduced at present. There has been some improvement in the control of illegal hunting and poaching since the area was transferred to the Wildlife Wing in 1987.

The rich variety of flora and wide variation in altitude supports a variety of fauna which is typical of the higher Himalayan region, including Himalayan black bear, Himalayan goat or Goral (Nemorhaedus goral), Musk Deer (Moschus moschiferus), Barking Deer (Muntiacus muntjak), Koklass (Pucrasia macrolopha), Red Jungle fowl (Gallus gallus), Hill partridge (Arborophila spp.), Rock partridge (Alectoris graeca), Snow partridge (Lerwa lerwa), Wedge-tailed pigeon (Treron sphenura) and Himalayan pit viper (Agkistrodon himalayanus).

Threats to Wildlife

Some of the major threats to the wildlife of Churdhar wildlife sanctuary are described below:

- Frequent wild animal hunting for fur, meat and other economic benefits is causing a major threat of extinction of the target animals and should be stopped with legal enforcement.
- Competition for food by the livestock of migratory and local graziers is a major threat to the wildlife in the area.
Fires, though common in the area, should be checked and controlled by adopting strict fire protection measures.

Adequate forest cover for providing shelter to wildlife should be maintained in the area by planting wherever necessary.

**Flora of Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary:**

According to revised survey of forest types of India by Champion and Seth, the forests of this sanctuary can be classified into two major groups, i.e., Himalayan moist temperate forests and moist alpine scrub.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Forest types and plant biodiversity of Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forest type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moru Oak forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moist temperature deciduous forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kharsu oak Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Himalayan upper oak-Fir Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moist Alpine Scrub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwarf Rhododendron Scrub Forest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Plants of traditional importance from Churdhar**

Churdhar Wildlife Sanctuary is a rich repository of medicinal and aromatic plants, but many of the species are at the verge of extinction due to unscientific extraction and over-exploitation, both in the past and at present (Chauhan, 1999). Some of the plants of the area having traditional importance are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Plants of traditional importance from Churdhar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scientific name</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aconitum heterophyllum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acorus calamus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Allium govanianum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plant</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Angelica glauca</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arisaema flavum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Artemisia vulgaris</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bergenia ciliata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Betula utilis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Boenninghausenia albiflora</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cedrus deodara</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cotoneaster microphylla</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dactylorhiza hatagirea</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dioscorea deltoidea</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fagopyrum esculentum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Juniperus recurva</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Jurinea dolomiaeae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucas lanata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mentha longifolia</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Picrorhiza kurroa</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Plantago depressa</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleurospermum brunonis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podophyllum hexandrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheum moorcroftianum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhododendron arboreum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex nepalensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selinum vaginatum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swertia chirata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swertia purpurascens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymus serpyllum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeriana jatamansi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viola serpens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ASIA-PACIFIC FORESTRY WEEK
Forestry in a Changing World

First-ever Asia-Pacific Forestry Week, bringing together 500 key forestry officials and specialists from governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), research institutions, regional and international networks, UN agencies and the private sector.

Largest and most important forestry event in the region in 2008.

A unique opportunity for diverse stakeholders and forest managers to share perspectives and seek solutions to the most challenging issues facing forests and forestry today.

Leading regional and international natural resource organizations will convene special plenary sessions each morning of the week, focusing on:

- contribution of forests and forestry to human well-being;
- forests and climate change (including bioenergy); and
- trade, forestry investment and forest law enforcement and governance.

In addition, numerous organizations, networks and projects are planning important events and activities throughout the week.

There will also be an “Information Market”, featuring organizational displays and posters to show case forestry-related activities in the region.

Asia-Pacific Forestry Week will be anchored around the 22nd Session of the Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission. The Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission (APFC) currently has a membership of 33 countries, making it the region’s most inclusive inter-governmental body dealing with forestry.

If you are interested in participating in the Asia-Pacific Forestry Week, please visit http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/44755/en/ for more details and updated information. Inquiries can be sent by e-mail to AP-Forestry-Week@fao.org.
OUTLOOK CONFERENCE CONSIDERS THE FUTURE OF FORESTS IN ASIA AND THE PACIFIC

Chiang Mai, Thailand’s northern capital, is booming. Construction cranes, new hotels and high-rise buildings clutter the skyline. The city is rapidly sprawling outward from its historic walled center. Shopping malls and amusement parks provide entertainment for the increasingly wealthy residents and tourists who come from near and far. The air is often clogged with the exhaust fumes of the burgeoning numbers of cars and trucks. Yet, a quick glance to the west from the city’s center provides a refreshing view of green mountains and thick forests. But for how much longer?

Chiang Mai clearly exhibits the growing pains that afflict so many areas of the Asia-Pacific region, raising questions about what the surrounding forested areas will look like in years to come. The city was thus a very appropriate venue for the Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission’s (APFC) international conference on The Future of Forests in Asia and the Pacific: Outlook for 2020.

The conference, held 16-18 October 2007, attracted more than 250 participants from over 40 countries. Conference participants analyzed the major driving social, economic, environmental and technological forces of today and tomorrow, and how these forces are likely to shape our perception and use of Asia-Pacific’s forests in the coming years. The result was a unique glimpse into the future with a focus on what tomorrow’s forests might look like. Opportunities and constraints to implementing sustainable forest management in the years to come were critically reviewed, revealing mixed perspectives of pessimism and optimism. In general, the conference affirmed that the future of forests and forestry in the region will continue to be driven by an array of factors largely outside the forestry sector.

As a significant milestone for the Asia-Pacific Forestry Sector Outlook Study (APFSOS), the conference also provided a chance for APFSOS national focal points and thematic study authors to present their preliminary findings.

Conference participants represented a cross section of forest-related stakeholders from across the region and beyond. Foresters, students, educators, researchers, government officials, project managers, and representatives from the private sector, NGOs and multilateral organizations mingled freely to debate the pressing issues of the day and speculate on the future.

Themes included macroeconomic prospects, environmental change, institutional transition, urbanization, technological development and application, international trade, land-use trends, poverty alleviation, and the growing importance of planted forests in the region. Plenary sessions were interspersed with smaller, concurrent “break-out” sessions.

Complementing the plenary presentations, a poster session provided participants an opportunity to engage in informal discussion on focused topics. In all, 55 posters were displayed – 27 thematic posters and 28 country posters highlighting future prospects at the national level. Also adding great value to the event were the 20 organizations that showcased their programmatic work in the form of leaflets, brochures, publications, posters and various other displays.

The conference was further enhanced by the presence of the five winners of the “Young Professionals Essay Competition” who had eloquently provided their views on the future of forests in the region.

The Future of Forests in Asia and the Pacific conference also provided an opportune arena in which to acknowledge FAO’s World Food Day and this year’s theme, The Right to Food. In concert with festivities around the world, World Food Day was brought to participants’ attention during the opening remarks, a large banner was
Hung in the Grand Hall, and World Food Day information and materials were available at the FAO organizational display over the course of the three-day event.

Proceedings

The opening session of the conference set the tone of what was to be a thought-provoking three days. It featured Jan Heino, Assistant Director-General of the FAO Forestry Department, and J.P.L. Srivastava, Chairperson of the Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission and Director-General of Forests, India. Both spoke of the timeliness of convening a conference to better plan for the future, given the significant influences of globalization and climate change. Welcome addresses were also provided by Pyroj Sangpuvong, Deputy Governor of Chiang Mai Province, and Paisal Kuwalairat, Deputy Permanent Secretary of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Thailand.

In his keynote address, Jagmohan Maini, former Coordinator of the United Nations Forum on Forests, sounded an even louder clarion call for those in forest-related fields to engage in more far-reaching planning processes. He urged foresters to move more aggressively into the arena of decision-making, to assume a more influential role in shaping the future forests agenda.

Subsequent introductory presentations took stock of the current status of the region’s forests and offered projections of many of the pressures expected to impact forests in the coming years. Don Koo Lee, President of the International Union of Forestry Research Organizations, advocated a more systematic approach to pooling and applying information from across all relevant disciplines and sectors affecting forestry. Without such an approach, he warned we can expect continued loss of biodiversity, increased occurrence of forest fires and floods, severe water shortages, rapid soil erosion, river siltation, mudslides, and desertification.

The second day of the conference further highlighted the major driving forces affecting the region’s forests, and the concomitant challenges facing sustainable forest management. Andrew Ingles, Regional Group Head of the Ecosystems and Livelihoods Programme of the World Conservation Union (IUCN), painted a challenging picture of the future of forest landscapes, protected areas and livelihoods. But he also was cautiously optimistic, stating that “our knowledge has improved a lot, the capacity to address the problems is much better than in the past, and there are some signs of improvement in governance.” He highlighted the importance of strengthening management effectiveness, working at broader scales, and working with (and effectively involving) local people.

To make forestry investments in Asia more attractive to overseas fund managers, Dennis Neilson, Director of DANA, Ltd., stated that concerted efforts should be devoted to the improvement of governance structures and the strengthening of legal systems. Neilson emphasized that fund managers still perceived forestry investments in most developing Asian countries to be far too risky, resulting in the region missing out on the tidal wave of forestry investment capital flooding into more stable and attractive countries elsewhere.

Frances Seymour, Director-General of the Center for International Forestry Research, highlighted the importance of influencing policy outside the forestry sector and beyond the Asia-Pacific region. A restructuring of the role and strategy of forestry agencies should be complemented by a similar restructuring of institutional and sectoral linkages. Seymour emphasized that globalization, climate change, and increasing competition of land for food, fuel and fiber have dramatically increased expectations and demands on forests. Accordingly, forestry agencies need to expand their roles from generating revenue to delivering services, and decision-making processes need to be integrated across sectors and at the landscape level.

Thematic topics were complemented by a series of presentations with a specific geographic focus. Many of the APFSOS national focal points provided country-by-country snapshots of the future of Asia-Pacific forests.
A side event on biodiversity and poverty modeling was convened on the evening of the second day of the conference. Organized by Tonnie Tekelenberg and Wilbert van Rooij of the Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (MNP), the workshop introduced participants to tools and techniques for capturing information on the status of biodiversity, ecosystem goods and services, and poverty.

The final day of the conference began with two concurrent sessions – one providing private sector perspectives on the future of the region’s forests, and the other on civil society perspectives. These sessions were followed by a penultimate session on adapting institutions to the future. While highly divergent in many respects, the mutual commitment to sustainable futures for forests was apparent among both groups.

To conclude the conference, Neil Byron, Australian Productivity Commissioner, summarized key perspectives and themes. He noted that, as many of Asia-Pacific’s societies move from subsistence to consumer economies, most societies will want more – not less – from forests in the future. The range of uses and demands will also increase. Acknowledging that corruption was not unique to the forestry sector, Byron highlighted that corruption would likely continue to hinder sustainable forest management efforts unless dramatic action is taken across all sectors to address the problem. Byron conceded that being more prepared for the future will not ensure that all the future shocks to forests are averted. Difficult, complex questions will have to be met with difficult, complex answers, but in some cases, threats might become opportunities.

Byron’s summary was capped by shorter statements from a select panel, and a vibrant open floor discussion which revealed many divergent views about the future of forests in the region and how best to address emerging challenges.

**Recurrent messages**

Although all 250+ participants brought different crystal balls to Chiang Mai, *The Future of Forests in Asia and the Pacific* conference illuminated several perceptions common to all. From presentations and discussions, it became clear that demands on forests – both in kind and intensity – will continue to increase. This will require much more sophisticated thinking and new partnerships to successfully address the challenges. Expanding populations, increasing wealth and economic activity, and new markets will increase overall demand, while growing environmental pressures will require that “new” forest values be captured for the benefit of mainstream segments of society. In the future, forestry agencies will need to retool their mandates and reinvent themselves to remain relevant and effective.

The importance of flexible governance structures and actively working with other sectors and regions was another common message emerging from the conference. As the numbers and kinds of demands placed on forests increase, it is anticipated that so too will the numbers and kinds of stakeholders concerned with how forests are managed. This highlights the immense challenge of balancing competing demands. “Sustainable forest management will be a wishful dream in the absence of significant improvements in governance,” said distinguished Fijian scholar, Suiliana Siwatibau. For equitably resolving the demands of various stakeholders, for eradicating illegal logging and trade, and for making forestry investment in the region more attractive, it was predicted that emphasis will have to continue to be placed on governance both within forestry agencies and among sectors and regions.

The conference ultimately corroborated what many of the participants already suspected – that many of the problems facing the future of forests in the region will be complex and exogenous in origin. Viable solutions for the future challenges will entail nuanced, interdisciplinary and international thinking and cooperation. The conference was thus an important step in the direction of such needed exchange and collaboration.

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE HIGHLIGHTS ROLE OF AGROFORESTRY IN UPLAND DEVELOPMENT

Lives and landscapes in the uplands of Southeast Asia are rapidly being transformed by major societal changes and emerging global environmental issues, evolving perspectives and demands of society on forestry and agroforestry, and new actors and institutional arrangements in governance and policy-making processes. To examine these transformations and identify ways to better develop the uplands through agroforestry, 130 scientists, educators and practitioners gathered during a 3-day International Agroforestry Education Conference, 24-26 October 2007, in Chiang Mai, Thailand.

With the theme “Integrating Conservation in the Upland Agriculture in Southeast Asia,” the conference covered three main sessions, namely:
- Striking a balance between food security and environmental conservation in the uplands;
- Making more sense of past and present upland development programs and policies; and
- Redefining the niche of learning institutions in agroforestry education for upland development.

Invited keynote speakers kicked off each of the sessions while 37 participants shared project experiences through oral (16) and poster (21) presentations.

The conference revealed that upland stakeholders in the region have different perspectives on balancing food security and environmental conservation. For some, the route of market-based intensification of vegetables and flowers for export has worked and provided an alternative to unsustainable shifting cultivation. In other contexts, intensified rice production can still play this role. For others, complex agroforests have provided a long-term approach that still allows flexibility in responding to changing market conditions.

Ecotourism, empowerment of minority groups and re-appraisal of indigenous knowledge in conservation are major influences in the more accessible parts of montane mainland Southeast Asia. The shift toward market-oriented livelihoods leads to social stratification depending on the level of comfort with risk, access to suitable land and transportation. Secure tenure and appropriate policy and market reforms provide an underpinning for effective lowland – upland linkages, benefit sharing, co-investment and reward mechanisms.

The conference also noted that development and policy problems in the uplands reflect the need to:
- challenge knowledge uncertainties, myths, and overly simplistic perceptions;
- expand the sustainability focus from ecology to include social and economic dimensions;
- understand households and communities as managers of asset portfolios;
- accept and understand diverse interests and needs of local stakeholder groups;
- address institutional challenges for co-management and stakeholder alliances; and
- understand processes at different levels/scales and the interactions among them.

It also highlighted the importance of using scientific tools and approaches beyond agriculture and forestry (e.g., psychology, economics, geography, anthropology, landscape ecology, political science, regional planning, etc.) to investigate local perceptions and decision making in policy development, and to build strategic alliances among stakeholders.

The conference highlighted several needs and challenges in clarifying and strengthening the niche for agroforestry education, including the following:
- more academic institutions in Southeast Asia offering curricular programs in agroforestry;
- broadening agroforestry education beyond the narrow forestry discipline;
- recognizing opportunities arising from the expanding scope of agroforestry due to the emerging social, economic, and environmental concerns;
- identifying what constitutes agroforestry as a science; and
• the need for more empirical data to critically assess the general romanticizing about the potential benefits of agroforestry and trees.

In four working group sessions, participants considered the relations between agroforestry and four large policy concerns, i.e., market-based economic development, poverty, climate change and environmental services, and decentralization/governance. In all four areas, agroforestry was considered as being potentially relevant, at tree, farm, landscape and governance scales. Knowledge gaps, uncertainties and controversies in each of these four relations should stimulate relevant research – which will require disciplinary strengths and tools that go beyond what has so far been the focus of agroforestry education. A substantial broadening of the approach is thus called for.

The conference was sponsored and co-organized by the World Agroforestry Centre-SEA, the Southeast Asian Network for Agroforestry Education (SEANAFE), Chiang Mai University (CMU), the University of Hohenheim’s Uplands Program, and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Additional funding was provided by the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA) and the German Research Foundation (DFG).

ADVANCING THE AGENDA ON FOREST LAW COMPLIANCE AND GOVERNANCE IN SOUTHEAST ASIA

Background

Illegal activities in the forest sector are a major problem in many Southeast Asian countries. They include illegal logging, timber smuggling and trade of illegally sourced timber. Weak forest law compliance and enforcement contribute to severe forest degradation and deforestation, as well as loss of tax revenue, and loss of livelihoods for rural populations dependent on forest resources. To address those problems, the governments of East Asian countries have undertaken several initiatives, including the Ministerial Declaration and Action Plan in 2001, launching of the Asia Forest Partnership in 2002, and voluntary partnership agreements between some countries and the European Union in the context of their Forest Law Enforcement Governance and Trade (FLEGT) action plans. While progress has been made in some countries, overall, much still needs to be done to achieve good governance and compliance with the law in the forest sector.

With the above background, the regional workshop was jointly organized by the Philippines Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR), FAO and the International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO). The aim of the workshop was to enhance forest law compliance and governance in the region by taking stock of the relative successes and failures of past and current regional and national initiatives, identifying obstacles and recommending concrete steps to move forward. The objective of the workshop was to promote multi-stakeholder dialogue and the exchange of views among countries in Southeast Asia on the challenges related to improving forest law compliance and governance, and to agree on tangible and deliverable actions to enhance progress on the ground.

The expected outcomes of the workshop were:
• exchange of experiences and perspectives;
• promotion of dialogue among different stakeholders;
• identification of major obstacles; and
• recommendations for concrete actions among the different stakeholders.
Summary of Workshop

A total of 51 participants attended the workshop. They included representatives of regional and international organizations, development partners, national forest authorities, programmes and projects involved in forest law, civil society, and the private sector.

The workshop included presentation of background papers, panel discussion of ongoing initiatives, and breakout working groups. The background presentations included review of actions taken to address illegal activities (H.C. Thang), introduction to “Best Practices” (E. Muller), perspectives from the private sector (A. L. Abdullah), social dimension of illegal logging (B. Setiono), regional cooperation on illegal trade (H.K. Chen), and the work of VERIFOR (R. Oberndorf) and Transparency International (L. Elges). The background presentations were further augmented with a panel discussion on achievements and challenges related to improving forest law compliance and governance in the region carried out under several initiatives.

The following initiatives were discussed: EA-FLEG (W. Magrath and N. Andin), ASEAN (P. Wibowo), Asia Forest Partnership (Y. Rahayu), and Combating Illegal Logging in Indonesia (R.T. Nugraha).

The presentations and panel discussions set the background for working group sessions on identifying challenges and formulating recommendations. Three strategic elements were identified, and for each of them, the participants identified the key challenges as well as concrete recommendations, as follows:

- **Policy and legal framework**
  There is an urgent need for commitment by governments to review and amend outdated or conflicting laws, harmonize them at national and sub-national levels, and enforce them equitably. Mechanisms are also needed for regional collaboration among countries. Workshop recommendations included:
  - arresting and prosecuting major illegal loggers;
  - eliminating illegal trade syndicates;
  - public disclosure of assets of government officials; and
  - anti-money laundering laws and mechanisms to the forest sector.

- **Institutional capacity**
  There is a great need to strengthen institutional capacity for better forest law compliance and governance, and to promote multi-stakeholder processes to ensure transparency and conflict avoidance. Regional and international organizations can provide support for these processes. Workshop recommendations included:
  - strengthening forest law compliance and governance through multi-stakeholder processes;
  - developing reporting formats and key performance indicators for FLEG; and
  - using effective audit systems to avoid conflicts of interest and monitoring performance.

- **Knowledge and information**
  To implement the recommendations of the workshop effectively, generating and proper usage of knowledge and information is crucial. Ensuring relevant and updated information that is accessible, reliable and timely is equally important. Workshop recommendations included:
  - harmonizing (where possible) each country’s customs and trade laws and regulations related to forestry;
  - access to reliable forestry data; and
  - establishing a protocol for acquisition and sharing of such data at the regional level.

The participants further identified specific roles for the different stakeholders in implementing the recommended actions. One critical action for all identified stakeholders will be to develop strategic alliances for effective resource mobilization to support the implementation of future actions.

Conclusions

The workshop reiterated the aspirations and commitments of the Bali Ministerial Declaration (September 2001) to address illegal logging and its associated trade, and took stock of the ongoing initiatives carried out by the East Asia Forest Law Enforcement and Governance (EA-FLEG)
An international workshop on biological control of forest invasive species was held in Beijing, China, 21-24 September 2007, under the auspices of the USDA Forest Service, the Chinese Academy of Forestry, the Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission, the Asia-Pacific Association of Forest Research Institutes (APAFRI) and the Asia-Pacific Forest Invasive Species Network.

The main objectives of the workshop were to:
- Plan future cooperation among the USDA Forest Service and various organizations in China as well as other countries in the Asia-Pacific region to manage invasive species threats;
- Develop/strengthen strategies for minimizing the introduction of forest invasive species in China, the U.S.A. and other countries in the world; and
- Document the biology, life history, natural enemies, etc. for a prioritized list of potential forest invasive species between the U.S.A. and China, and other Asian countries.

Three concurrent sessions were held on arthropods, plants and phytopathogens and biopesticides. The session on arthropods dealt with the main forest invasive arthropods such as emerald ash borer, Asian long-horned beetle, etc. Research papers on biocontrol of mile-a-minute weed, kudzu and *Ailanthus altissima* were the main attractions of the session on plants. Notable papers presented in the session on Phytopathogens were those on pine wood nematode and *Phytophthora* spp. Other sessions dealt with biopesticides, and strategies and technologies for monitoring and controlling invasive species for a Green Olympics 2008. The main recommendation of the workshop was to continue collaborative efforts among the U.S.A., China and the other countries in the Asian region to combat forest invasive species threats and to prevent new incursions.

The Asia-Pacific region has some of the world’s highest diversity of ethnicities, languages and cultures and is the home of very rich ancient wisdom that has been passed down through the generations. A major portion of this is directly or indirectly linked to the region’s forests, which have been the lifeline for the millions of people living in and around them.

Traditional knowledge, a combination of ancient indigenous practices and techniques, locally adapted and distinctive to a territory or a community, has greatly contributed to the world’s natural and cultural heritage by sustaining the production of multiple goods and services that enhance livelihood security and quality of life. Together with its cultural...
values and historical perspectives, traditional knowledge has gained an increasingly important role in shaping policies towards achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) of alleviating poverty and ensuring economic, social and environmental sustainability. Although many of these age-old techniques and practices have previously been discarded as being outdated and no longer relevant to present day forestry, increasingly they are being re-discovered and explored for solving current problems, including those related to the management of water, soil and forests, and for organizing rural and urban communities.

Traditional forest-related knowledge (TFRK) has long been known to have important implications for forest management and conservation of forest biodiversity, as well as identification of valuable genetic resources. The political commitments to increasing the role of TFRK and practices in the protection of landscapes and conservation of biological diversity have recently been reaffirmed by member states of the United Nations Forum on Forests in 2006. The increasing emphasis on sustainable forest management has prompted greater emphasis on all relevant knowledge about forest ecosystems and approaches for their management. Related issues such as equitable sharing of benefits and protection of intellectual property rights have also surfaced.

It was thus highly appropriate that an international conference covering this unique knowledge be organized in Asia and the Pacific, to gather stakeholders and interested parties to share and exchange information and experiences related to the various aspects of TFRK.

The International Conference on Sustainable Forest Management and Poverty Alleviation: Roles of Traditional Forest-related Knowledge, was held 17-20 December 2007. The conference was jointly organized by the International Union of Forest Research Organizations (IUFRO), Chinese Academy of Forestry (CAF), Korea Forest Research Institute (KFRI), Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Chinese State Forestry Administration (SFA), Asia Pacific Association of Forestry Research Institutions (APAFRI), Seoul National University (SNU), and the United Nations University (UNU). The Conference was hosted by the Sustainable Forestry Research Center, Chinese Academy of Forestry. The Korean Government, through KFRI, contributed the major portion of funds for organizing the conference, and FAO provided travel support for many of the resource speakers.

A total of 125 participants, 70 from outside China and 55 from China, participated in the four-day conference in the beautiful “Spring City” of Kunming, Yunnan Province, China.

Following a General Overview Session, the Conference was organized in five technical sessions covering the following topics:

- Traditional knowledge contributions to achieving Millennium Development Goals;
- Traditional knowledge in forest management;
- Traditional knowledge in utilization of forest resources;
- Traditional knowledge contribution to sustainable livelihoods; and
- Traditional knowledge shaping forestry policies.

These sessions were supplemented with a panel discussion on the final day. The panel discussion, chaired by Dr John Parrotta, Coordinator of the IUFRO Task Force on Traditional Forest Knowledge, invited the following as panelists:

- Mr. Patrick Durst, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations;
- Prof. Pei Shengji, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences;
- Prof. P.S. Ramakrishnan, School of Environmental Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University;
- Dr. Lim Hin Fui, Forest Research Institute Malaysia; and
- Dr. Liu Jinlong, Sustainable Forestry Research Center, Chinese Academy of Forestry.

More than 30 posters were on display during the conference, including some portraying the activities of organizations and agencies that are active in related areas.

For more information, please contact Dr. Sim Heok-Choh (sim@apafri.org) or Dr. John Parrotta (parrotta@fs.fed.us).
COMMUNITY FORESTRY AGREEMENTS SIGNED IN CAMBODIA

On 19 November 2007, a ceremony to celebrate the formal signing of the first 10 Community Forestry Agreements (CFAs) in Cambodia took place in Tbeng Lech Village, Tbeng Commune, Banteay Srey District in Siem Reap Province. The CFAs were signed by the Chief of the Forestry Administration Siem Reap Cantonment and the Chairmen of the 10 Community Forestry Management Committees (CFMCs). The signing took place in the presence of Their Excellencies Lim Sokun (Secretary of State for the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries), Ty Sokhun (Director General of the Forestry Administration) and the Provincial Governor of Siem Reap. Other distinguished attendees represented various Communes, Districts and Provinces, CFMCs, donor organizations and NGOs.

Background

In Cambodia, community forestry has been developing since the early 1990s with the support of both governmental and non-governmental organizations. Learning from early practical experience of working with communities to develop community forestry and from international experience, the Royal Government of Cambodia embarked on the promulgation of a legal framework to clearly define the rights, roles and responsibilities of the state and of communities in implementing community forestry. The result of this process was the recognition of community forestry as one of the modalities for sustainable forest management in Cambodia through the Forest Law (2002). This paved the way for the subsequent Sub-Decree on Community Forestry Management in 2003 and the final piece of the regulatory framework, the Guidelines for Community Forestry in 2006.

Simultaneously, the Department of Forestry and Wildlife (later to become the Forestry Administration), and partner organizations continued to develop community forestry on the
ground with interested communities through a number of specific donor-supported projects. There are now, across all Provinces in Cambodia, more than 264 community forests at various stages of development. These cover approximately 179,020 hectares of forest and involve the participation of around 57,252 families who are beginning to realize direct benefits. Most have carried out at least the initial steps required by the Guidelines for their formal recognition, and the Forestry Administration and partners are working together to complete the remaining steps.

**Where did it start?**

The “Community Forestry in North-Western Cambodia” project is the final phase of one of the longest running community forestry projects in Cambodia. Initially supported by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations and the Government of Belgium, for 12 years, it is now managed by the Forestry Administration, with support from FAO and New Zealand AID.

Over the years the project has supported the development of 37 community forests and 6 Community Protected Areas in Siem Reap Province. The Siem Reap Cantonment was the first to submit to the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries a list of potential community forestry areas, and the first to receive approval for these areas. This subsequently led to the formalization of community forests through the signing of Community Forestry Agreements, and development of formal Community Forestry Management Plans.

In addition to the 10 communities now recognized by formal Community Forestry Agreements, the project supports several other communities in their quest for formal recognition.

*For further information on community forestry, please contact the Community Forestry Office, Forestry Administration, 40 Norodom, Phnom Penh, Cambodia.*

---

*Offering a traditional dance (Apsara) for the successful implementation of the Community Forestry Agreement*
STRENGTHENING FOREST POLICY CAPACITY IN THE SOUTH PACIFIC

At the 21st Session of the Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission, held in Dehradun, India in April 2006, the delegates recommended that FAO enhance “capacities for forest policy analysis, development and implementation.” In response, the FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific developed the first “Executive Education – Forest Policy Short Course.”

The course was designed in collaboration with the University of Otago, New Zealand, which prepared a detailed needs assessment and drafted a curriculum and lessons plan. The first short course was held 22 April – 4 May 2007 in Nonthaburi, Thailand. Based on lessons learned during the course conducted in Thailand, the course was further refined and the Pacific Forest Policy Short Course was held 19-30 November 2007 in Nadi, Fiji. The Pacific short course was organized in collaboration with the Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC), the National Forest Programme Facility (NFPF), FAO, the Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission, the USDA Forest Service and the German Agency for Technical Cooperation (GTZ).

The core group of trainees comprised mid- to upper-level forestry professionals who are playing important roles in policy processes in their respective countries. There were also several participants from non-forestry departments or ministries, NGOs and the private sector. Resource persons and facilitators included experienced international foresters, forest policy makers, and professional educators.

Based on the four phases of the policy process, the course facilitators integrated topics related to analytical and communication skills with an in-depth exploration of the economic, environmental and social issues that make forestry a uniquely challenging area for effective policy making and implementation.

The rigorous course was put into a real-world context through the use of case studies and presentations by experienced practitioners from a range of areas, who led discussions on fundamental and topical issues related to forestry and land-use policies.

Overall, the participants judged the course successful and the following are some of the recommendations for future courses:

- Interaction among participants had been increased through more exercises and case studies compared to the previous course. The number of presentations, as introduction to various subject matters should not be dropped, but an attempt should be made to have presentations cover less than half of each day.
- Ensure that presentations are made available in printed form as part of the course material.
- Revise the participant selection process so that the number of participants with little or no background in the policy process and no responsibilities or support roles in forest policy processes can be reduced.
- Ensure that there is a balance between participants from the forestry sector and other economic sectors, NGOs and the private sector.
- Thoroughly prepare field visits and visit the sites with resource persons before hand. Provide background material and handouts as inputs to exercises in the field.
- Consider increasing the time spent on effective communication and condensing the time spent on policy evaluation, especially on economic assessment tools.
- Insist that participants suggest before the start of the course practical policy-related problems that could be discussed during the course.
ASIA-PACIFIC FORESTRY CHIPS AND CLIPS

INDONESIAN REFORESTATION TO PROVIDE LIVELIHOODS FOR 360,000 FAMILIES
Villagers living in remote areas of Sumatra and Kalimantan of Indonesia would earn extra income by participating in reforestation schemes funded by low-interest loans from the Reforestation Finance Agency (BPPH). The agency, established by the Ministry of Forestry, has earmarked 5.4 million hectares of land badly affected by illegal logging for the project.
– ITTO TTM Report 12:13 –

MORE FORESTS TO THE PEOPLE IN NEPAL
The Nepalese government has decided to resume the managerial handover of community forests to the Community Forest User Groups (CFUGs) in the Terai region. In May 2007, officials suspended the handover of the management of forests to communities, saying that community forests failed to benefit the underprivileged groups and needed policy revision. However, the CFUGs had denied excluding any particular groups from the process of community forestry.
– South Asian Media Net –

CHINA’S RECYCLING HELPING SAVE FORESTS
China’s paper industry imported almost 20 million tons of waste paper in 2006, primarily from the U.S., Europe and Japan, according to Forest Trends. China is the world’s largest consumer of this material, and about 60 percent of the fiber used in producing paper is recycled.
– BBC News –

FOREST CARBON OFFSETS COULD EARN MYANMAR US$1 BILLION
Myanmar could earn US$1 billion in carbon trading by protecting its forests based on an initiative under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. If implemented successfully, the initiative could raise Myanmar’s per capita income by 25 percent. However, such a move to protect large forest areas would affect the forest products industry and require consultations between industries and the government.
– Myanmar Times and Business Review –

INDONESIA SPURS INITIATIVES ON REFORESTATION
The Indonesia government continues to spearhead its reforestation initiatives, moving to plant two million hectares of forest areas a year, as the country moves towards industrial forest estates. This continues recent government work on reforestation in rural areas, such as initiatives offering low interest loans to villagers to plant trees.
– ITTO TTM Report 12:14 –

CHINA’S FOREST COVER SOARS
China says its reforestation efforts over the last two decades have it on track to increase forest cover to 20 percent of its land area by 2010, compared to just 8.6 percent in 1949. The government also plans to devote a large tract of land to bioenergy production, which will see trees and crops planted to produce biofuels.
– http://www.carbonpositive.net –

CONCERNS OVER THAILAND’S PLAN TO INCREASE TRAFFIC LANES IN UNESCO WORLD HERITAGE SITE
The UNESCO World Heritage Committee expressed concerns about Thailand’s plan to increase traffic lanes on a road that runs past the Dong Phayayen-Khao Yai Forest Complex in northeastern Thailand. The World Heritage Forest Complex spans 230 kilometers and is recognized as a globally important conservation area.
– The Nation –
Michael P. Cañares, a national of the Philippines, joined the RAP forestry group in October 2007 for a four-month contract related to the Asia-Pacific Forestry Sector Outlook Study. His primary responsibility is to prepare a thematic paper on the impact of macro-economic trends on forests and forestry in the region. Mr. Cañares recently completed his master degree in development studies from the London School of Economics and Political Science as a Ford Foundation Fellow, focusing on economic development and poverty reduction.

Alisa Wacharasetkul joined FAO as a secretary for the Strengthening Monitoring, Assessment and Reporting (MAR) on Sustainable Forest Management (SFM) in Asia (GCP/INT/988/JPN) project. Ms. Wacharasetkul has a bachelor degree in economics from Thammasat University (Thailand) and a master degree in business administration from Marshall University (USA).

Veeranun Srisarkayamunee first joined the RAP forestry group in September 2007 on a short-term assignment to provide administrative and secretarial support to workshops/meetings. She has now been recruited as the project assistant for the Mangroves for the Future: Gap analysis of existing knowledge and data sources as compared to the needs of coastal managers for information project (GCP/RAS/234/UCN). Ms. Srisarkayamunee was formerly Senior Secretary of the Resources Development Division (RDD) for the Mekong River Commission Secretariat (MRCS) in Thailand and Cambodia.

Sansiri Visarutwongse joined the RAP forestry group as a Statistical Clerk in December 2007. Ms. Visarutwongse formerly worked in the Conference Management Unit at the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP) as a Meeting Services Staff.

Chanida Chavanich, joined the RAP forestry group in August 2007 to assist with preparations for meetings and publications. Ms. Chavanich graduated from the Faculty of Fine and Applied Arts, Chulalongkorn University (Thailand) and is currently using her design skills for the graphics and layouts of publications in preparation of the upcoming Asia-Pacific Forestry Week in April 2008.

Martin Candell joined FAO-RAP in November 2007 for a 6-month internship under the Swedish International Development Agency (SIDA) fellowship programme for recent graduates. During his internship Mr. Candell will primarily support the organization of Asia-Pacific Forestry Week, and the 22nd Session of the Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission, to be convened in Hanoi, Vietnam.

Keith Forbes joined FAO-RAP in December 2007 as Lead Consultant for the Gap analysis of existing knowledge and data sources as compared to the needs of coastal managers for information project (GCP/RAS/234/UCN). Mr. Forbes, a Canadian national, has a BA in Economics and BSc in Forestry from the University of Toronto (Canada), and a MSc in Forestry from the University of Oxford (UK). His main focus of work will be to: i) collect, analyze and report on existing information systems needed by coastal zone management to protect and sustainable use nature resources; ii) facilitate improved regional dialogue on harmonized storage and sharing of information between regional and international experts in coastal ecosystem management; iii) identify current gaps in information required for coastal management; iv) strengthen the capacity of local coastal managers to access critical information in a timely manner; and v) prepare recommendations for coastal zone management information collection, storage and sharing.
MANGROVE GUIDEBOOK FOR SOUTHEAST ASIA

A joint publication of FAO and Wetlands International, the Mangrove Guidebook represents the first attempt to identify all mangrove plant species in Southeast Asia in one volume. The book is divided into two parts, the first dealing with mangrove habitat in Southeast Asia and the second focusing on the mangrove plants themselves. The core of the book is formed around skillfully drawn black-and-white drawings. This publication should be a useful tool for mangrove forest managers, foresters, coastal resource managers, scientists, students and anyone interested in mangrove forests.

TENURE SECURITY FOR BETTER FORESTRY

This brief is based on the results of an intensive study carried out by FAO, in collaboration with the Asia Forest Partnership, to elicit data and indicators that would give more quantifiable evidence of the impact of various tenure regimes in South and Southeast Asia. The study analyzed forest tenure according to two variables: type of ownership and level of control or access to resources. It also looked at the combination of ownership – legal or customary – and arrangements for the management and use of resources. The full study is available online at: www.fao.org/forestry/site/33871/en .
Forests as Food: Humans Bite Back: A Workshop Focused on Asia-Pacific Resources and Their Potential for Development. Contact: Ken Shono, Associate Professional Officer, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 39 Phra Atit Road, Bangkok 10200, Thailand; Tel.(662) 697-4254; Fax: (662) 697-4445; E-mail: Kenichi.Shono@fao.org

3-7 March 2008. Rome, Italy. **FAO-Global Forest Resources Assessment (FRA) Coordination Workshop.** Contact: M. Kashio, Forest Resources Officer, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 39 Phra Atit Road, Bangkok 10200, Thailand; Tel.(662) 697-4141; Fax: (662) 697-4445; E-mail: Masakazu.Kashio@fao.org

18-20 April 2008. Hanoi, Vietnam. **Pacific Sub-regional Workshop for FRA 2010.** Contact: M. Kashio, Forest Resources Officer, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 39 Phra Atit Road, Bangkok 10200, Thailand; Tel.(662) 697-4141; Fax: (662) 697-4445; E-mail: Masakazu.Kashio@fao.org

19-20 April 2008. Hanoi, Vietnam. **Asia-Pacific Forest Invasive Species Network (APFISN): Risk-based targeted surveillance for forest invasive species.** Contact: K. Sankaran, APFISN Coordinator, E-mail: sankaran@kfri.org

20 April 2008. Hanoi, Vietnam. **National Forest Programme Facility Workshop.** Contact: S. Appanah, National Forest Programme Advisor (Asia-Pacific), FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 39 Phra Atit Road, Bangkok 10200, Thailand; Tel.(662) 697-4136; Fax: (662) 697-4445; E-mail: Simmathiri.Appanah@fao.org

21-26 April 2008. Hanoi, Vietnam. **First Asia-Pacific Forestry Week and the 22nd Session of the Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission.** Contact: P. Durst, Senior Forestry Officer, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 39 Phra Atit Road, Bangkok 10200, Thailand; Tel.(662) 697-4139; Fax: (662) 697-4445; E-mail: Patrick.Durst@fao.org; AP-Forestry-Week@fao.org or visit the website http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/44755/en/

19-23 May 2008. Islamabad, Pakistan. **29th FAO Regional Conference for Asia and the Pacific.** Contact: B.K. Nandi, Secretary APRC, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 39 Phra Atit Road, Bangkok 10200, Thailand; Tel.(662) 697-4143; Fax: (662) 697-4445; E-mail: Patrick.Durst@fao.org

14-18 October 2008. Beijing, China. **23rd Session of the International Poplar Commission.** Contact: Jim Carle, Senior Forestry Officer, FOMR, FAO Forestry Department, Via della Terme di Caracalla, 00100, Rome, Italy; E-mail: Jim.Carle@fao.org
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FORESTRY PUBLICATIONS: FAO REGIONAL OFFICE FOR ASIA AND THE PACIFIC (RAP)

- APFC - The unwelcome guests: Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Forest Invasive Species Conference (RAP Publication 2005/18)
- Helping forests take cover (RAP Publication 2005/13)
- Elephant care manual for mahouts and camp managers (RAP Publication 2005/10)
- Forest certification in China: latest developments and future strategies (RAP Publication 2005/08)
- Waves of hope – report of the regional coordination workshop on rehabilitation of tsunami-affected forest ecosystems: strategies and new directions (RAP Publication 2005/07)
- Forests and floods – drowning in fiction or thriving on facts? (RAP Publication 2005/03)
- In search of excellence: exemplary forest management in Asia and the Pacific (RAP Publication 2005/02)
- What does it take? The role of incentives in forest plantation development in Asia and the Pacific. Executive summary (RAP Publication 2004/28)
- What does it take? The role of incentives in forest plantation development in Asia and the Pacific (RAP Publication 2004/27)
- Forests for poverty reduction: opportunities for Clean Development Mechanism, environmental services and biodiversity (RAP Publication 2004/22)
- Forests for poverty reduction: can community forestry make money? (RAP Publication 2004/04)
- Advancing assisted natural regeneration (ANR) in Asia and the Pacific (RAP Publication 2003/19) - 2nd edition
- Bringing back the forests: policies and practices for degraded lands and forests (RAP Publication 2003/14) out of print
- Community forestry – current innovations and experiences (CD-ROM included)
- Community-based fire management: case studies from China, The Gambia, Honduras, India, the Lao People’s Democratic Republic and Turkey (RAP Publication: 2003/08)
- Practical guidelines for the assessment, monitoring and reporting on national level criteria and indicators for sustainable forest management in dry forests in Asia (RAP Publication: 2003/05)
- Giants on our hands: proceedings of the international workshop on the domesticated Asian elephant (RAP Publication: 2002/30)
- Communities in flames: proceedings of an international conference on community involvement in fire management (RAP Publication: 2002/25)
- Applying reduced impact logging to advance sustainable forest management (RAP Publication: 2002/14)
- Monograph on benzoin (Balsamic resin from Styrax species) (RAP Publication: 2001/21)
- Proceedings of the International Conference on Timber Plantation Development, 7-9 November 2000, Manila, Philippines
- Trash or treasure? Logging and mill residues in Asia-Pacific (RAP Publication: 2001/16)
- Regional strategy for implementing the Code of Practice for forest harvesting in Asia-Pacific: executive summary (RAP Publication: 2001/10)
- Forest out of bounds: impacts and effectiveness of logging bans in natural forests in Asia-Pacific (RAP Publication: 2001/08)
- Regional strategy for implementing the Code of Practice for forest harvesting in Asia-Pacific (July 2000)
- Development of national-level criteria and indicators for the sustainable management of dry forests of Asia: background papers (RAP Publication: 2000/08)
- Development of national-level criteria and indicators for the sustainable management of dry forests of Asia: workshop report (RAP Publication: 2000/07)
- Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission: the first fifty years (RAP Publication: 2000/02)
- Decentralization and devolution of forest management in Asia and the Pacific (RAP Publication: 2000/01)
- Asia-Pacific Forestry Towards 2010 - report of the Asia-Pacific Forestry Sector Outlook Study
- Trees commonly cultivated in Southeast Asia: an illustrated field guide - 2nd edition (RAP Publication: 1999/13)
- Code of Practice for forest harvesting in Asia-Pacific (RAP Publication: 1999/12)

For copies please write to: Senior Forestry Officer for Asia and the Pacific, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 39 Phra Atit Road, Bangkok 10200, Thailand.
Or visit the FAO website for an electronic version: http://www.fao.or.th/publications/publications.htm