

The CFS Bureau and Secretariat would appreciate feedback from the delegates who attended the 41st Session of CFS. The purpose of asking for feedback is to help improve future sessions. Please answer the questions below and provide us with as much information as you can. The completed form should be returned by Friday, 31 October:

- Handed in to the Documents Desk (First floor Building A)
- By email to cfs@fao.org by Wednesday 29th October
- By fax to 0039 06 5705 5522 by Wednesday 29th October

Thank you in advance for helping to improve future sessions of CFS.

Category – please indicate which category your delegation belongs to:

Member State	
UN agencies or other UN bodies	
Civil society and non-governmental organizations	
International agricultural research institutions	
International or regional financial institutions	
Private sector associations or philanthropic foundations	

If applicable, which region does your delegation belong to?

Name: [Optional]

Organization/Affiliation: [Optional]

1 The agenda: The agenda was designed to be topical, interactive and inclusive. On a scale of 1 to 5, do you think the agenda met this objective? (1 means it did not meet the objective and 5 means it fully met the objective.)

1 –Did not meet the objective	2	3	4	5 – Fully met the objective

Comments:



2 Coordination and Linkages with CFS: The objective of this session was to update delegates on global, regional and national food security initiatives and strengthen linkages between CFS and these initiatives. On a scale of 1 to 5, do you think it met this objective? (1 means it did not meet the objective and 5 means it fully met the objective.)

1 –Did not meet the objective	2	3	4	5 –Fully met the objective

Comments:

3 Policy Round Tables: Two policy round tables were held. “Food Losses and Waste in the Context of Sustainable Food Systems” and “The Role of Sustainable Fisheries and Aquaculture for food security and nutrition”. The objective of these sessions was to promote policy convergence and provide concrete policy recommendations. On a scale of 1 to 5, do you think the round tables met this objective? (1 means they did not meet the objective and 5 means they fully met the objective.)

1 –Did not meet the objective	2	3	4	5 – Fully met the objective

Comments:

4 Side events: There were a number of side events during the session. On a scale of 1 to 5, do you think side events are a useful contribution to the overall session? (1 means they were not a useful contribution and 5 means they were an extremely useful contribution.)

1 –Not a useful contribution	2	3	4	5 – An extremely useful contribution

Comments:

5 Conference facilities: What was your impression of the conference facilities (meeting rooms, document distribution, interpretation, etc)? Please rate them on a scale of 1 to 5 with 1 meaning they were unsatisfactory and 5 meaning they were excellent.

1 – Unsatisfactory	2	3	4	5 – Excellent

Comments:

6 Electronic registration: Please rate your experience of the electronic registration procedure on a scale of 1 to 5 with 1 meaning it was unsatisfactory and 5 meaning it was excellent.

1 – Unsatisfactory	2	3	4	5 – Excellent

Comments:

7 Security arrangements: Please rate your impression of the security arrangements on a scale of 1 to 5 with 1 meaning they were unsatisfactory and 5 meaning they were excellent.

1 – Unsatisfactory	2	3	4	5 – Excellent

Comments:

8 Exhibit in the Atrium: There was an exhibit of materials related to the CFS Agenda on display in the Atrium. On a scale of 1 to 5, do you think this exhibit was a useful contribution to the CFS session? (1 means it was not useful and 5 means it was extremely useful.)

1 –Not a useful contribution	2	3	4	5 – An extremely useful contribution

Comments:

09 Other suggestions: What other suggestions or feedback do you have?

Thank you again for your helping to improve future sessions of CFS.