Views, Experiences and Best Practices as an example of possible options for the national implementation of Article 9 of the International Treaty

Note by the Secretary

At its second meeting of the Ad hoc Technical Expert Group on Farmers’ Rights (AHTEG), the Expert Group agreed on a revised version of the template for collecting information on examples of national measures, best practices and lessons learned from the realization of Farmers’ Rights.

This document presents the updated information on best practices and measures of implementing Article 9 of the International Treaty submitted by Indonesia on 31 July 2019.

The submission is presented in the form and language in which it was received.
**MEASURE/PRACTICE 21**

**BASIC INFORMATION**

- **Title of measure/practice**: Training for Farmers on Utilization of Local Genetic Resources on the Basis of Specific Agroecology
- **Date of submission**: 31 July 2019
- **Name of country in which the measure/practice is taking place**: Indonesia
- **Responsible institution/organization Name**: Serikat Petani Indonesia (SPI)
  
  **Address**: Jl. Mampang prapatan XIV/5 Jakarta Selatan 12790 Indonesia
  
  **Website**: www.spi.or.id
  
  **E-mail address**: anank_myself@yahoo.com
  
  **Telephone number**: 085232995307
  
  **Contact Person**: Kusnan, SP

- **Type of Institution/organization**: Non-Government (NGO)

**Collaborating/supporting institutions/organizations/actors**: 

**DESCRIPTION OF THE EXAMPLE MANDATORY INFORMATION**

- **Short summary of the measure/practice**: Providing knowledge to farmers on how to utilize genetic resource along with biodiversity, so that farmers able to produce organic foods free from chemicals. Farmers also trained how to make their own fertilizer, produce their own seeds, how to store the seeds, and use the seed for next plantation. Activities were carried out from 2017 until now under the coordination of this Peasant community (Serikat Petani Indonesia)
- **Brief history**: -
- **Core components of the measure/practice**: Agroecology is a model or alternative agricultural practice in accordance with the local conditions and local wisdom of each region
- **Description of the context and the history of the measure/practice is taking place**: This practice was well received by local stakeholder particularly farmers or farmers community and also indigenous peoples who have been practicing natural agriculture over generations
- **To which provision(s) of Article 9 of the International Treaty does this measure relate**: Art. 9.2b
  
  Art. 9.3
OTHER INFORMATION, IF APPLICABLE

- Please indicate which category of the Inventory is most relevant for the proposed measure, and which other categories are also relevant (if any):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Most relevant</th>
<th>Also relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Recognition of local and indigenous communities’, farmers’ contributions to conservation and sustainable use of PGRFA, such as awards and recognition of custodian/guardian farmers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Financial contributions to support farmers conservation and sustainable use of PGRFA such as contributions to benefit-sharing funds</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Approaches to encourage income-generating activities to support farmers’ conservation and sustainable use of PGRFA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Catalogues, registries and other forms of documentation of PGRFA and protection of traditional knowledge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>In-situ/on-farm conservation and management of PGRFA, such as social and cultural measures, community biodiversity management and conservation sites</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Facilitation of farmers’ access to a diversity of PGRFA through community seed banks, seed networks and other measures improving farmers’ choices of a wider diversity of PGRFA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Participatory approaches to research on PGRFA, including characterization and evaluation, participatory plant breeding and variety selection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Farmers’ participation in decision-making at local, national and sub-regional, regional and international levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Training, capacity development and public awareness creation</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Legal measures for the implementation of Farmers’ Rights, such as legislative measures related to PGRFA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Other measures/practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Objective(s) :
- Target group(s) and numbers of involved and affected farmers : 1,000 farmers, all members of Serikat Petani Indonesia
- Location(s) and geographical outreach :
- Resources used for implementation of the measure/practice :
- How has the measure/practice affected the conservation and sustainable use of PGRFA? :
- Please describe the achievements of the
measure/practice so far (including quantification)

- Other national level instruments that are linked to measure/practice

- Are you aware of any other international agreements or programs that are relevant for this measure/practice?
  : Yes

- Other issues you wish to address that have not yet been covered to describe the measure/practice

LESSONS LEARNED

- Describe lesson learned which may be relevant for others who wish to do the same or similar measures/practices

- What challenges encountered along the way (if applicable)

- Link to further information about the measure/practice