Views, Experiences and Best Practices as an example of possible options for the national implementation of Article 9 of the International Treaty

Note by the Secretary

At its second meeting of the Ad hoc Technical Expert Group on Farmers’ Rights (AHTEG), the Expert Group agreed on a revised version of the template for collecting information on examples of national measures, best practices and lessons learned from the realization of Farmers’ Rights.

This document presents the updated information on best practices and measures of implementing Article 9 of the International Treaty submitted by Japan on 1 August 2019.

The submission is presented in the form and language in which it was received.
3. Construction of a Database for Farmer’s Varieties/Landraces in Japan

- Name of Country in which the Measure/Practice is Taking Place: Japan
- Responsible Institution/Organization: The Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan
- Collaborating Institutions: The National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO)
  
  
  +81-29-838-7051
  genebankdirector@gene.affrc.go.jp

  Hiroshi KATO, Ph. D. (Director, Genetic Resources Center, NARO)
  2-1-2 Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan

- Brief History
  
  2018-2023

- Core Components of the Measure/Practice
  
  MAFF started to create a database for farmer’s varieties/landraces in Japan.

- Short Description of the Context and the History of the Measure/Practice
  
  The database will store information on agricultural and consumption-related traits, origin, traditional usage and current conditions, of farmer’s varieties/landraces.

- Objective
  
  We aim to collect information on agricultural and consumption-related traits, origin, traditional usage and current conditions, of farmer’s varieties/landraces and utilize the information for conservation, use and breeding.

Basic information

- Title of measure/practice: Construction of a Database for Farmer’s Varieties/Landraces in Japan
- Date of submission: 31/07/2019
- Name(s) of country/countries in which the measure/practice is taking place: Japan
- Responsible institution/organization (name, address, website (if applicable), e-mail address, telephone number(s) and contact person): The Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan
- Type of institution/organization (categories): Government of Japan
- Collaborating/supporting institutions/organizations/actors, if applicable (name, address, website (if applicable), e-mail address, telephone number(s)): The National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO)
  
  
  +81-29-838-7051
  genebankdirector@gene.affrc.go.jp
Description of the examples
Mandatory information:

• Short summary to be put in the inventory (max. 200 words) including:
  The Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan, in collaboration with the National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), has started to create a database for farmer’s varieties/landraces in Japan. The database will store information on agricultural and consumption-related traits, origin, traditional usage and current conditions of farmers’ varieties/landraces, with the aim to utilize the information for conservation, utilization and breeding purposes.

• Brief history (including starting year), as appropriate
  2018-2023

• Core components of the measure/practice (max 200 words)
  MAFF started to create a database for farmer’s varieties/landraces in Japan.

• Description of the context and the history of the measure/practice is taking place (political, legal and economic framework conditions for the measure/practice) (max 200 words)
  The database will store information on agricultural and consumption-related traits, origin, traditional usage and current conditions, of farmer’s varieties/landraces.

• To which provision(s) of Article 9 of the International Treaty does this measure relate
  Art. 9.1 □
  Art. 9.2a ☑
  Art. 9.2b □
  Art. 9.2c □
  Art. 9.3 □

Other information, if applicable
• Please indicate which category of the Inventory is most relevant for the proposed measure, and which other categories are also relevant (if any):

---

1 This mandatory information is required in order for the measure/practice to be included in the Inventory.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Most relevant&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Also relevant&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Recognition of local and indigenous communities’, farmers’ contributions to conservation and sustainable use of PGRFA, such as awards and recognition of custodian/guardian farmers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Financial contributions to support farmers conservation and sustainable use of PGRFA such as contributions to benefit-sharing funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Approaches to encourage income-generating activities to support farmers’ conservation and sustainable use of PGRFA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Catalogues, registries and other forms of documentation of PGRFA and protection of traditional knowledge</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>In-situ/on-farm conservation and management of PGRFA, such as social and cultural measures, community biodiversity management and conservation sites</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Facilitation of farmers’ access to a diversity of PGRFA through community seed banks&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;, seed networks and other measures improving farmers’ choices of a wider diversity of PGRFA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Participatory approaches to research on PGRFA, including characterization and evaluation, participatory plant breeding and variety selection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Farmers’ participation in decision-making at local, national and sub-regional, regional and international levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Training, capacity development and public awareness creation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Legal measures for the implementation of Farmers’ Rights, such as legislative measures related to PGRFA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Other measures / practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- In case you selected ‘other measures’, would you like to suggest a description of this measure, e.g. as a possible new category?

- **Objective(s)**
  
  We aim to collect information on agricultural and consumption-related traits, origin, traditional usage and current conditions, of farmer’s varieties/landraces and utilize the information for conservation, use and breeding.

---

<sup>2</sup> Please select only one category that is most relevant, under which the measure will be listed.

<sup>3</sup> Please select one or several categories that may also be relevant (if applicable).

<sup>4</sup> Including seed houses.
• Target group(s) and numbers of involved and affected farmers
• Location(s) and geographical outreach
• Resources used for implementation of the measure/practice
• How has the measure/practice affected the conservation and sustainable use of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture?
• Please describe the achievements of the measure/practice so far (including quantification) (max 200 words)
• Other national level instruments that are linked to the measure/practice
• Are you aware of any other international agreements or programs that are relevant for this measure/practice?
• Other issues you wish to address, that have not yet been covered, to describe the measure/practice

Lessons learned
• Describe lessons learned which may be relevant for others who wish to do the same or similar measures/practices (max 250 words).
• What challenges encountered along the way (if applicable) (max 200 words)
• What would you consider conditions for success, if others should seek to carry out such a measure or organize such an activity? (max 100 words)

Further information
• Link(s) to further information about the measure/practice