

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

REPORT OF THE TECHNICAL CONSULTATION ON THE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR FOOD LOSS AND WASTE PREVENTION AND REDUCTION

16 DECEMBER 2019 CAIRO, EGYPT

REPORT OF THE TECHNICAL CONSULTATION ON THE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR FOOD LOSS AND WASTE PREVENTION AND REDUCTION

16 DECEMBER 2019 CAIRO, EGYPT

1. INTRODUCTION

At its 26th Session of October 2018, the FAO Committee on Agriculture (COAG) requested FAO to take the lead, in collaboration with relevant actors, to develop voluntary code of conduct (CoC) on the reduction of food loss and food waste. In response to that request, FAO launched a global process for developing a CoC that would present voluntary, global, internationally agreed, guiding principles and practices, which different stakeholders can adopt and apply in order to achieve food loss and waste (FLW) reduction and prevention, while yielding positive outcomes in terms of the environment, natural resources, livelihoods, food security and nutrition in alignment with the 2030 Agenda.

In order for the CoC to represent a global consensus on internationally accepted principles and practices for FLW reduction, that takes into consideration and reflects country diversities and the views of all key stakeholders related to FLW, an inclusive consultative process has been launched to develop the CoC.

It is in this regard that a regional workshop was organized on 16 December 2019 in Cairo. The workshop had the following objectives:

- 1. Provide the rationale and background of the CoC and raise awareness about it among NENA region stakeholders;
- 2. Develop an understanding of the different elements of the CoC;
- 3. Discuss, gather inputs, and build consensus from different stakeholder groups on the content of the principles and practices that should be covered by the CoC;
- 4. Obtain guidance on the applicability of the CoC with specific focus on the NENA context;
- 5. Strengthen the network of FLW focal points in NENA Region;
- 6. Exchange field experiences among FLW experts.

Additionally, the occasion of this workshop was seized to discuss the status of food loss in the region, learn from our experience and strengthen the network of country focal points and experts. This regional technical discussion was held on a second day, December 17th, 2019 and outcomes reported in a seperate dedicated report.

Thirty-one participants were in attendance, made up of two main categories. First, country focal points who were nominated by their respective governments to participate and represent the member countries. Second, individual "experts" invited for their experience in FLW-based

projects and initiatives implemented in the NENA region by FAO or other organizations. The remaining participants represented organizations with headquarters in Egypt or other NENA countries who work on FLW-related matters in complement to FAO; representatives of UNIDO, IFPRI and AOAD were in attendance. The programme of the event is presented in Annex I, and the list of participants is in Annex II.

2. MAIN CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1. The need for and applicability of the code of conduct in the NENA context

The CoC sets an umbrella over which country-level planning, policy, strategy takes place. The participants generally agreed on the importance of having a code of conduct on FLW prevention and reduction, and appreciated the thorough effort to develop the draft CoC document. How exactly it would be applied was a subject for discussion, and the participants recognized that vetting the document is a precursor to being responsible for implementing it.

It was suggested to be inclusive of all facets of the FLW issue and understandable to people working in any involved ministry. A concise, well-structured and straightforward document is needed that is easy to digest, particularly for decision-makers. The roles and responsibilities of each actor (private, public, civil society, academia, and international organizations) need to be made clear, noting that the draft CoC put very much emphasis on the state. A benchmarking would be useful to see how the NENA region and countries fare in terms of implementing FLW reduction policy and programs. Finally, it was suggested that the document may consider including a principal on ethics.

2.2. Considerations when planning for principles and actions to reduce FLW

As a foundation for efforts toward preventing and reducing FLW, participants discussed what factors to take into consideration when planning for these efforts in the NENA region.

The response reflected the range of diverse food and agriculture contexts among the countries that make up the NENA region. First, diversity of population in terms of gender, ethnicity, and nationalities present in the country noting that many NENA countries house ethnic groups, refugee populations, migrant workers, expatriate communities. Other considerations are, level of economic development; political stability; natural resource base and climactic conditions, scarcity of land or water; agriculture sector status and whether import or exporting (or self-sufficient); status of infrastructure (electricity, road networks); diets, food style and traditions; education level and human capital; population densities and rural-urban divides; threat of climate change; level of technology and innovation, and availability of expertise in-country; agricultural production systems; social inclusiveness particularly of youth, women, and smallholder farmers; and any relevant laws and legal frameworks for land, industrialization, or agriculture sector in general.

More specifically related to FLW, it is important to consider if there exists data on FLW and how it is recorded, or if there are data gaps. Likewise, if monitoring and reporting mechanisms exist. Who the stakeholders are related to FLW policies and actions in countries and what governance mechanism or regulatory system may apply.

The participants were asked about the overarching principles and main actions that should be followed for FLW reduction in the NENA region, while respecting the three dimensions of sustainability and taking the SDGs into consideration. Emphasis was placed on the fact of natural resource scarcity in the NENA region, therefore consideration should be given to agricultural planning according to regional specificities and natural resources availability, with environmentally sustainable actions. Climate smart policies in agriculture should be developed that contribute positively to food security. Investment opportunities and FLW solutions in green technology should be sought.

Finally, innovative partnerships and collaboration models are needed (such as public-private partnerships 'PPP') that link the public sector with private sector and financing. Coordination among actors at national levels is critical because of the multi-disciplinary nature of the FLW problem, however this is clearly considered to be a challenge. Whereas FAO counterpart ministries are usually agriculture, other ministries tend to have equal or greater authority over food systems.

2.3. The main FLW issues in NENA food systems and measures to address them

2.3.1 Awareness

Although awareness about FLW in NENA is risen significantly in recent years, deeper understanding is needed about the types and locations of FLW, the drivers of FLW, and the downside risk to environment, society and the economy. A more thorough and common understanding of FLW is needed for all actors to be on the same page. Awareness raising about FLW should target all the different actors for purposes, for example raising farmer and consumer awareness about FLW innovations or action that can increase incomes or reduce expenditure.

2.3.2 Definition of FLW

The definition of FLW varies among institutions and stakeholders, initiatives and can be subject to interpretation. Without a standard or uniformly adopted definition, measurement of FLW can be misguided and the levels misinterpreted. This has a knock-on effect for FLW strategy, policy and intervention design.

It was generally agreed that there are insufficient information and data about FLW causes and levels along specific food value chains and within food systems. Participants stated the need for data collection, studies and monitoring of FLW along value chains, and it was explained that better data and statistics at national level will help to implement the CoC. A question was raised about which methodology for FLW measurement to use, and it was explained that a variety of methodologies are available depending on the level (macro, meso or micro) and purpose. It was recommended that countries invest in strengthening their data and evidence base on FLW.

2.3.4 Policies and strategies

The need for policies and strategies that encourage good agricultural and postharvest practices was made clear. NENA countries have a regional strategic framework to serve as a guiding tool, but need to adapt this to the national-level within the each country's context. Strategies to upgrade specific value chains are also needed. Above all, it takes commitment and better cooperation between stakeholders, and it was often noted that involvement of stakeholders is insufficient. The continuous support of the public sector remains vital.

2.3.5 Food Security

Most NENA countries face major challenges to their food security, characterized by a limited natural resource base, large and growing populations, rising malnutrition, and reliance on imports to meet local food needs. Several comments were made about linking food security planning with the goal of reducing FLW. For example, the need to optimize the basket of food goods that a country produces as per natural resource endowment, value, or strategic food security needs. This would entail prioritization of specific food value chains, i.e. cereals, fruits and vegetables.

2.3.6 Political stability and political economy

Many countries in the region are facing political instability and some are in protracted crises. While FLW is certainly a problem in these contexts, the analyses of FLW levels, strategy and actions require a different approach. The question was asked whether the CoC apply for countries in conflict. Certain NENA countries lack the stability to implement initiatives such as the CoC, and it was put forward that stability can be a prerequisite for addressing FLW reduction. The issue of political will for investing in FLW reduction activities was also raised, noting that there could be broader political circumstances that prevent it from taking place.

2.3.7 Urbanization, rural-urban divide, and role of municipalities

Many NENA countries have high levels of urbanization and growing cities, and growing slums within these cities, and this has a major effect on food systems. The role of municipalities in reducing FLW was highlighted frequently as often cities are more in tune with the needs of

populations, local food systems and losses and waste within. It is important to devolve authority to take action on FLW from national to local and municipal levels, and building capacities and providing guidance for cities to tackle FLW.

2.3.8 Climate change

In the NENA region, climate change is extremely important and needs to be reflected in the CoC document. FLW impacts on climate change mainly through CO₂ emissions, but climate change has an impact on FLW that needs to be studied such as, incidence of pests and disease, effects of rising temperatures on pre and post-harvest handling, crop resistance and so on. Support is needed especially to smallholder farmers in climate change adaptation and innovative climate smart technologies.

2.3.9 Natural resources

Scarcity of natural resources, water and land in particular, is a defining feature of agriculture and food systems in NENA. Whereas FLW is viewed as a postharvest matter, it is important to recognise the natural resources used in producing food that is lost or wasted at later stages along the value chain. The association of FLW and cultivation practices or food production is important for capturing the issue of natural resource scarcity.

2.3.10 Smallholder agriculture

FLW reduction planning, policy and strategy must be inclusive of smallholder farmers and smallscale value chain actors who are largely resource-poor, operating in a traditional manner, lack access to information, technology or infrastructure, and located in marginalized rural areas. The point was raised that there may be tradeoff between activities that reduce food losses and that support income generation – for example, reducing losses can yield larger supply which puts downward pressure on prices. Interventions that presumably reduce food loss need to be studied carefully for their macro-level impact on smallholders, and the cost-benefit analysis at the micro level.

2.3.11 Markets and value chains

Lack of market strategies and poor understanding of the concepts concerning supply chain organization, particularly for local and traditional markets in which smallholders participate. Agricultural markets and commodity market systems to be improved and the participants noted that a systems approach is needed. Finally, modern market systems must be inclusive of smallholders and women.

2.3.12 Quality standards and regulations

Quality standards themselves were noted to be often related to causing FLW, particularly if they are too stringent or not applied properly. Therefore, we should take into consideration how quality standards are designed, communicated to stakeholders, and enforced.

2.3.13 Infrastructure

Many participants noted weak infrastructure as being a cause of FLW, all along the value chain. The infrastructure and management of wholesale markets need improvement; postharvest infrastructure for storage, packing, handling and distribution; cold chain technology and infrastructure; market infrastructure; road and transportation networks.

2.3.14 Technology, Innovation, Research & Development

The need for modernization was noted in NENA food systems, with the status of technological innovation being insufficient to upgrade practices and transform the food systems. Strengthening innovation systems; research in postharvest and complementary fields; applied research in packaging, storage, processing technologies were among the gaps mentioned. Importantly, is the need for investment in applied research, institutions and people (human capital), and international collaboration for knowledge exchange.

2.3.15 Training and extension

Training was widely referred as a gap and need for reducing FLW. While capacity building was generally agreed as necessary action, the who and what were questioned in the sense of where to invest our efforts. FLW in education systems and curriculum was suggested, and an example given of considering to develop a masters degree program. For value chain actors, access to good quality information through a revitalized extension service was called for.

2.3.16 Food Waste and Circular Economy

Management of food waste in a more productive way is needed in the NENA region, particularly the countries with dense urban populations and given the regional food traditions and customs that impact levels of food waste. A circular bio-economy approach should be encouraged whereby composting, producing energy from organic waste, and redistributing food to people and animals is encouraged. Innovation in packages and packaging systems is needed to both prevent FLW and reduce the negative environmental impact of some packaging. It was noted that civil society plays a key role in tackling waste, through spreading awareness and taking action such as food banking.

2.3.17 Multi-stakeholder platforms

The role of various ministries, disciplines and stakeholders was recognized and noted as a challenge especially as it comes to coordination. Whereas the entry-point for the present discussion is from the agriculture sector, in fact ministries of health, industry, supply, subsidy,

trade, planning and others play a role in reducing FLW. Effective strategies, policies and action require the collaboration of all, however in practice is not simple and few successful examples exist of cross-ministry coordination. Likewise, food loss and food waste are highly related but divert in terms of the approaches to reduction.

2.4. How to make the CoC practical?

Participants were asked how the CoC can be made practical for implementation in their respective countries, considering the risks for failure and the role of public sector in influencing behaviour and actions along the value chain. The full response of the breakout groups is provided in the Annex III proceedings, but a brief summary is provided here of the main points.

First, it is expected that there is accountability with regards to taking action towards prevention and reduction of FLW. This presumes transparent, fair, and inclusive processes wherein coherent policies and actions are developed. Regulations related to FLW reduction should be applicable to all and progressive enforcement was suggested, in parallel with raising awareness and understanding of FLW levels, causes and solutions.

Dialogue among stakeholders was seen to be critical for reducing FLW, and effective cooperation between ministries, institutions, organizations necessary for the CoC to be implemented successfully. Given the challenges inherent in cooperation, it was suggested to create a structure or innovative means of facilitating cooperation. Examples given were to create a platform, use PPP, or create a dedicated institution (or unit or national council) for CoC and FLW. This structure would help elicit the win-win in FLW reduction for private, public, NGOs.

Implementation would require facilitating access to finance, particularly for smallholder farmers and small-scale value chain actors. The public sector can also facilitate action by easing procedures related to FLW reduction activities, and developing programs to incentivize actors to make changes in technology or practice. Finally, engaging the role of municipalities and decentralizing actions was considered a promising way forward.

2.5 Areas of contention that need to be negotiated

Some of the solutions to FLW reduction can actually have negative environmental consequences, for example use of energy for cooling, or use of non-renewable packaging materials. The NENA region is especially vulnerable to climate change and thus the various trade-offs between environmental, social, and food security objectives need to be considered carefully.

Stakeholders need to be on board with efforts towards FLW reduction and the CoC, especially since they entail changes in behavior, non-sustainable agricultural practices and technologies, and potentially new laws and regulations. Customs in the NENA region can inhibit participation of women in value chains and food systems, and therefore the social implications of FLW reduction on women need to be studied.

While regulations were considered an integral part of food systems and FLW reduction, it was noted that there can be trade-offs or conflict between objectives. Examples were given of trade laws and food safety regulations that can serve to protect or facilitate activities along the value chain, but if designed a certain way can actually exacerbate FLW. This is an important consideration for most of the NENA which are net food importers.

Annex I: Programme of the side event (actual)

December 16th – Consultation on global voluntary Codes of Conduct for FLW reduction

Time	Session
08:30 - 09:00	Registration
09:00 - 09:10	Opening remarks Jean-Marc Faures, Regional Programme Leader, FAORNE
09:10 – 09:20	Goals and objectives of the workshop Jozimo Santos Rocha, Agro-Industry Officer, FAORNE
09:20 - 10:15	Presentation of the concept and content of the code of conduct for food loss and waste reduction
10:15 – 10:45	Maryam Rezaei, Program Advisor, Strategic program on food systems, FAO/HQ Coffee break
10:45 - 12:45	Break-out Groups: identification of gaps and prioritization of principles and actions by thematic area – Assigning a rapporteur per group 10:45 – 11:45 Question 1 – individual group discussion and reporting from each group 11: 45 – 12:45 Question 2 – individual group discussion and reporting from each group
12:45 – 13:30	Lunch
	Presentation of recommendations from break-out groups
13:30 – 15:30	13:30 – 14:30 Question 3 – individual group discussion and reporting from each group
	14:30 – 15:30 Q&A plenary discussion on the recommendations
15:30 – 16:15	Day 1- Closing remarks – next steps and follow-up

Annex II: Participants

COUNTRY FOCAL POINTS

ALGERIA

Mohamed Lehadi Sakhri Director General Institute Techniques de Grandes Cultures (ITGC) Algeria, Algiers Tel : 00 213 238 28553 Mobile : 00 213 561 243 232 Email : ml.sakhri19@gmail.com

EGYPT

Ehab Essawy Prof. Dr. of Food Technology Research Institute Agricultural Research Center (ARC) Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation Cairo, Egypt Tel: 00 202 35718324 Fax: 00 202 35684669 Mobile : 00 2 0100 5734897 Email: <u>ehab_essawy2000@yahoo.com</u>

IRAQ

Ahmed Hussain Al-Badeery Director of Journal Sciences Department Ministry of Agriculture Baghdad, Iraq Mobile: 00 964 770 650 2807 Email: <u>ahmed_gili@yahoo.com</u>

KUWAIT

Ahmad Alshemari Research and Studies Head Department Public Authority for Food and Nutrition (PAFN) Kuwait, State of Kuwait Mobile: 00 965 9987 8881 Email: <u>ahmadnouri1973@outlook.com</u>

LEBANON

Nadine Abdul Khalek

Head of Refrigeration & Packaging Service Ministry of Agriculture Beirut, Lebanon Tel: 01 849 620 Mobile: 766 72721 Email: <u>nadabdelkhalek@yahoo.com</u>

LIBYA

Abdulsalam AlSnousi Nasr Member of Wadi Elod Project Committee Ministry of Agriculture Sebha, Libya Mobile : 00 218 925 130570 Email : <u>abdulslamiq67@gmail.com</u>

MOROCCO

Larbi Toumi Chief Engineer in Charge of Food Security Secretariat General Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Rural Development Water and Forests Rabat, Morocco Mobile : 00 212 657 831 851 Email : <u>l.toumi@agriculture.gov.ma</u>

OMAN

Nasser Al Nuaimi Agricultural Engineer Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries Muscat, Oman Tel : 246 93183 Mobile : 99479164 Email : <u>nasser2alnuaimi@gmail.com</u>

PALESTINE

Samer AlTeeti Director of Policies and Planning Ministry of Agriculture Ramallah, West Bank, Palestine Tel : 00 970 224 03304/6/7 Mobile : 00 970 598 931063

Email : <u>Samer.titi@moa.pna.ps</u> <u>Samert02@yahoo.com</u>

SAUDI ARABIA

Musaad Saeed Aljadani Quality Assurance Manager Saudi Grains Organization (SAGO) Jeddah, Saudi Arabia Tel : 00 966 12 648 1835 Mobile : 00 966 560 046008 Email: <u>msj@sago.gov.sa</u>

SUDAN

Mahasin Mohamed Ahmed Saad General Department of Planning and Agricultural Economic Food Security Department Federal Ministry of Agriculture & Natural Resources Khartoum, Sudan Mobile: 00 214 912 904 769 Email: <u>mahasinsaad@yahoo.com</u>

SYRIA

Haitham Haidar Director of Planning and International Cooperation Ministry of Agriculture and Agrarian Reform Damascus, Syria Tel: 00 963 11223 8220 Mobile: 00 963 94438 8822 – 09 443 999 22 Email: <u>enghithamhaidar@gmail.com</u>

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

Abdulla Al Jneibi Business Development Section Manager Abu Dhabi Agriculture and Food Safety Authority Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates Tel: 00 971 281 81393 Mobile: 00 971 5511 33000 Email: <u>abdulla.aljeneibi@ADAFSA.GOV.AE</u>

Amal Hassan Ahmed Al Ahmadi

Senior Analyst Ministry of Climate Change and Environment Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates Tel: 009714 214 8530 Mobile: 00 9715 0739 7997 Email: ahalahmadi@moccae.gov.ae

Fatema Al Mulla Senior Research Analyst Food Security Office Prime Minister's Office Dubai, United Arab Emirates Mobile: 00 97150 404 8218 Email: <u>fatema.almulla@foodsecurity.gov.ae</u>

Sayed Essam Al Hashimi Head of Section Dubai Municipality Dubai, United Arab Emirates Tel : 045 218998 Mobile : 050 6252425 Email : <u>Sehashimi@dm.gov.ae</u>

YEMEN

Abdullah Omar Othman Bakhawar Food Research, Post Harvest Technology Center Aden, Yemen Mobile: 00 967 777 242 260 Email: <u>dr.a.bakhawar@gmail.com</u>

INVITED EXPERTS

Amira Ben Mosbah Sfaxi Chef de Service Developpement Technologique Pole de Competitivite de Bizerte Tunis, Tunisia Tel : 00 216 725 72443 Mobile : 00 216 986 73201 Email : <u>benmosbahamira@yahoo.fr</u> <u>technopole@polebizerte.com.tn</u>

Bouali Saaidia Professor of Food Technology Tunisian National Institute of Agronomy Tunis, Tunisia Tel : 00 216 97 674 018 Mobile : 00 216 55 674018 Email : <u>boualisaaidia@planet.tn</u> s.bouali@planet.tn

Dalia Yassin Senior Researcher Director of FLW M&E Unit Agriculture Economic Research Institute (AERI) Agriculture Research Center (ARC) Cairo, Egypt Tel: 002 0100 1200189 Email: <u>dalia.yassin@hotmail.com</u>

ElHoussine Bartali Professor, Consultant in Post-Harvest Agricultural Engineering IAV Hassan II Rabat, Morocco Tel : 00 212 5376 23219 Mobile : 00 212 661152805 Email : <u>bartali.h@gmail.com</u>

Hala Chahine Associate Professor Postharvest, Packaging, FLW Faculty of Agronomy, Lebanese University Beirut, Lebanon Tel : 00 961 3 371967 Email : <u>hala.chahine@gmail.com</u> <u>hala.chahine@ul.edu.lb</u>

Jamil Harb Professor of Plant Biology Dept. Biology and Biochemistry, Birzeit University, Birzeit, West Bank, Palestine Tel: 00 970 2 298 2162 Mobile: 00970 599 350 713 Emails: jharb@birzeit.edu jharb11@yahoo.com

Leila Assa Head of Technical Studies Services Office National Interprofessional Des Céréales Et De Légumineuses Rabat, Morocc Tel : 05 37 21 73 02 Mobile : 06 60 84 26 89 Email : <u>assa@onicl.org.ma</u> <u>Assaleila9@gmail.com</u>

Nacira Chourghal Dr en sciences agronomique Changement climatiques et impacts sur les cultures Université Mohamed El Bachir El Ibrahimi Bordj Bou Arreridj Algeria, Algiers Tel : 00 213 305 72584 Mobile : 00 213 541 337132 Email : <u>chourghaln@gmail.com</u> n.chourghal@univ-bba.dz

Raoudha Khaldi Professor Consultant in Agriculture and Agri-business Economy, FLW and Value Chain Development National Institute of Agricultural Research of Tunisia (INRAT) Tunis, Tunisia Tel : 00 216 230 239/230 024 Mobile : 00 216 9881 8031 Email : <u>raoudha.khaldi@yahoo.com</u> khaldi.raoudha@iresa.agrinet.tn

Salim Etsouri Agricultural machinery and equipment, Rural Engineering department National Higher School of Agronomy (ENSA) Algeria, Algiers Tel : 00 213 23 721 282 Mobile : 00 213 550 412 876 Email : <u>s.etsouri@ensa.dz</u> <u>Salim.etsouri@edu.ensa.dz</u> s.etsouri@gmail.com

ORGANISATIONS

AOAD

Hashim Hassan Salim Food Security Specialist Arab Organisation for Agricultural Development (AOAD) Khartoum, Sudan

Reda Rizk Plant Genetic Resources Expert Arab Organisation for Agricultural Development (AOAD) Cairo, Egypt Tel : 00 202 333 65795 Mobile : 00 2 010 924 99692 Email : Dr. <u>Redarizk@gmail.com</u>

IFPRI

Lina Alaaeldin Abdelfattah Senior Research Assistant International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) Cairo, Egypt Tel: 00 202 25778603 (ext. 123) Mobile: 00 20 1016608877 Email: <u>l.abdelfattah@cgiar.org</u>

UNIDO

Sara Berlese Project Officer UNIDO Regional Office in Egypt Ministry of Industry building Cairo, Egypt Tel: 00 20 2 27943477-27941993 Email: S.BERLESE@unido.org

FAO

Jozimo Santos Rocha Agro Industry Officer Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Regional Office for the Near East & North Africa (FAO/RNE) Cairo, Egypt Tel: 00 202 333 1 6000 (ext. 2824) Email: <u>Jozimo.SantosRocha@fao.org</u>

Maryam Rezaei Program advisor, SP4 Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Rome, Italy Tel: 00 390 657 054859 Email: <u>Maryam.Rezaei@fao.org</u>

Jennifer Smolak Agri-business and value chain specialist Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Regional Office for the Near East & North Africa (FAO/RNE) Cairo, Egypt Tel: 00 202 333 1 6000 (ext. 2926) Email: Jennifer.Smolak@fao.org

Ahmed Abdalla Agriculture and Agribusiness Specialist Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Regional Office for the Near East & North Africa (FAO/RNE) Cairo, Egypt Tel: 00 202 333 1 6000 (ext. 2924) Email: <u>Ahmed.abdalla@fao.org</u>

Heba Tokali Technical Programme Assistant Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Regional Office for the Near East & North Africa (FAO/RNE) Cairo, Egypt Tel: 00 202 333 1 6000 (ext. 2819) Email: <u>Heba.tokali@fao.org</u>

Annex III: Proceedings

The opening remarks were given by Mr. Jean Marc Faures, and introduction and objectives presented by Mr. Jozimo Santos Rocha. Following this was a presentation given by Ms. Maryam Rezaei, with the background and explanation of the CoC context and content.

It is important to note that a full zero draft of the CoC document was circulated to the participants prior to the meeting, and on hand during the consultation. This differs from the consultations in other regions where the full document was not yet available; in those meetings, participants were only presented the concept of the CoC and an annotated outline of the document.

Ms. Rezaei explained that the CoC must be comprehensive of all aspects affecting FLW reduction strategy, policy and actions. However, it is a global document that will be implemented at country level and therefore should be relevant and feasible for member countries. The participants, particularly the country focal points, gave high importance to implementing the CoC in their comments.

Presentation of the concept and content of the CoC for FLW reduction

Ms. Rezaei introduced the CoC as the result of a request in 2018 from the Committee on Agriculture (COAG), FAO's highest governing body for technical matters in agriculture. Following this request, a consultative process was put into place starting with face-to-face meetings such as the present occasion. The next steps of the will electronic consultations in early 2020 and participants were assured that they will be invited to provide inputs at that time. These consultations will inform the preparation of the final version of the code, which will be submitted to COAG for consideration in October 2020.

It was emphasized that the CoC are a collection of principals and guidelines, serving as an overarching umbrella to the plethora of tools, measures, and documents from the field that now exist since FLW has risen as a priority. They are relevant for all stakeholders at all levels of activity, however hold particular importance for the public sector for planning and implementing FLW reduction policy and actions.

The sections of the CoC document were presented, 1) General guiding principles, 2) Actions to be implemented, and 3) Regional specificities, noting that the final section is not yet drafted and will be available once all regional consultations are concluded.

Ms. Rezaei proceeded to go through the general guiding principles for FLW reduction and prevention and the actions to be implemented pertaining to each principle:

- Contributing to the 2030 Agenda;
- Leave no one behind (being inclusive);
- Right to food;

- Three Pillars of sustainability;
- Healthy people (ensuring food security and nutrition);
- Healthy planet reduce the food system's impact on the environment;
- Systemic and holistic approach;
- An enabling environment of adequate policies, regulations and institutions;
- Committed and socially responsible private sector;
- Innovation for sustainable development;
- Chain actors with capacity to take action;
- Infrastructure (roads, energy, water).

Before the breakout groups session, an open discussion with comments, questions and answers about the presentation of the CoC took place:

- The issue of the definition of food loss and waste was raised, in particular how it varies according to institution and makes measurement variable as well. Related to this is the need to develop a common understanding of FLW among stakeholders, so they are on the same page when it comes to implementing the CoC or any action related to FLW reduction.
- It was asked if there is a prioritization or hierarchy in which to view the CoC guiding principles. The response given was to emphasize the role of countries in adopting and implementing the CoC. Each country needs to apply the CoC to their context, giving priority to the principles that are deemed more relevatn for FLW to them (for example, the situation in Sudan is very different from that in UAE). One thing that is common to all is the hierarchy of FLW reduction, with priority given to preventing FLW from happening in the first place, followed by food recovery and redistribution for people, then for animals, recycling organic waste for productive use in a circular bio-economy, and only as a last resort to incineration or landfill.
- Several participants noted that the contributions toward FLW reduction are from different expertises focussed at different levels of the value chain, for example, farmlevel, distribution, processing, and consumer-level. Likewise, food loss and food waste themselves are different in terms of the principles and actions needed to reduce them. As such, the different types and levels of FLW require different expertise and it was suggested to split the CoC policies and actions accordingly.
- Biotechnology is not mentioned in the document; it is important in terms of usage and conduct, as can offer tools for FLW reduction.
- NENA is experiencing severe problems with water scarcity. This should come through more strongly in the CoC to be relvant for the region.

- In relation to the principle on food security and nutrition, it was suggested to reflect through the document the fact that regional and local food styles vary.
- Countries where the agriculture sector is large and a major contributor to the economy, like Sudan, must focus on reducing losses beginning at farm level. Modern agriculture technologies are not easily accessible especially in remote areas; pest and disease pose major risk to crops; untrained labour or labourers moving into other industries causing shortages.

Breakout group questions and reporting:

Five groups were created to provide answers and recommendations to a series of three questions stated above. The groups were formed randomly through an ice-breaker exercise. Each group was assigned a rapporteurs, selected by the FAO team from among the participants and known to be knowledgeable about FLW and capable to lead group discussions.

The formed groups discussed each of the questions for about 20-25 minutes and immediately afterwards Ms. Rezaei moderated inputs from each group and discussions to clarify issues that were raised.

The questions posed are stated below and the breakout group responses in the following tables.

- 1. What do we need to consider when planning for principles and actions? What are the overarching principles and main actions that should be followed for FLW reduction in the NENA region, while respecting the three dimensions of sustainability and taking the SDGs into consideration?
- 2. How to make the CoC practical? Considerations for the risks for failure.

→ Indicate areas of disaccord that need to be negotiated to seek convergence of the views of different stakeholder groups.

Example 1: Making contract farming a win-win for small producers and retailers. Example 2: Coordination between public sector and processors to reduce FLW for instance by reducing tax on packaging materials, etc.

 \rightarrow Provide recommendations to make the CoC useful for the public sector to effectively influence the behavior and practices of different stakeholders.

3. What exactly is needed in food systems to make a reduction on FLW?

 \rightarrow What are the main FLW needs and gaps in the NENA region?

 \rightarrow Looking at the actions in the document, what could be the key actions to be implemented to address FLW in the NENA region?

Question 1. What do we need to consider when planning for principles and actions?

What are the overarching principles and main actions that should be followed for FLW reduction in the NENA region, while respecting the three dimensions of sustainability and taking the SDGs into consideration?

GROUP 1 (Hala Chahine)	GROUP 2 <mark>(Raoudha Khaldi)</mark>	GROUP 3 (Nadine)	GROUP 4 <mark>(Jamil Harb)</mark>	GROUP 5 <mark>(Prof. Bartali)</mark>
• Diversity of population (#,	 Level of economic 	 Climate smart policies in 	 Prioritization of specific 	 Awareness raising,
gender, ethnic groups &	development of countries	agriculture that	food value chain, i.e.	target all sectors and
nationalities in the	impacts how they deal	contribute positively to	Wheat, fruit & vegetable	target all actors
countries)	with FLW	food security	 different common 	 Approach and
• Estimates of FLW, who are	 Level of political stability 	 Encourage PPP for 	understanding of FLW	methodology; quality
involved, stakeholders	 Governance mechanism 	innovative FLW initiatives	 Considering the impact 	evaluation
 Mapping of stakeholders 	and regulatory system	 Agricultural planning 	of infrastructure on levels	 Availability and
responsible for addressing	within the country to take	according to regional	of FLW (lack of electricity,	reliability of data.
FLW activities in your	suitable actions	specificity and resource	roads)	Identification of issues
countries	 Laws and legal 	availability, with	 Structure of production 	across different value
 Existing knowledge, R&D 	framework; for land title,	environmentally	systems (ex in PAL	chains
capacity, innovation, level	industrialization, penalties	sustainable actions	intensive and extensive)	 Coordination among
of technologies, availability	for waste	 Adopt policies and 	 Fragmentation of land 	all entities; private
of expertise	 Events, festivals and 	regulations or standards	 Status of natural 	sector as key player
 Economic status of the 	occasions when food is	that encourage good	resources and time of	 Impact of FLW on the
country. Is investing in FLW	wasted	agricultural and	climate change	economy and the
reduction a priority? Are	 Climate change 	postharvest practices	 Water scarcity and soil 	environment. It
there funds available?	 Economic status 	 Financial facilities for 	conditions, latter deeply	depends on the country
• Status of infrastructure,	 Innovation, 	women producers and	related to fertilizers	and their situation;
and is there room for	technological level	processors	 Governance of food 	importing vs. producing
improvement?	 Population density and 	 Raise farmer and 	systems for more	 Social inclusiveness;
• Data management, is the	democratic status. Rural	consumer awareness	sustainable and inclusive	gender, youth,
country measuring and	vs. urban may differ	about FLW with	development	smallholders
recording data? Is there	 Diet, quality of food 	innovations that are		• Food style, consider
existing data and what are	available	profitable		the diet, tradition, and
gaps?	 Educational levels 	 Boost coordination at 		diversity of population
	 Natural resources 	national and regional level		 Value chain specific
		with clear-cut roles		strategies and solutions

Green technology	 Import/export, in 	• Financial facilities for	 Climate change
opportunities for	relation to food security	infrastructure for smart	resilience; state of
investment	 Linkage between 	packaging and	infrastructure, inputs,
 Geographic location, and 	private, public sector and	refrigeration	and capacity of
natural resource base and	financial sector (banks)		stakeholders
climactic conditions	 Inclusion of civil society 		
 Monitoring & reporting 	in tackling waste, or		
mechanisms.	spreading awareness		
	 Data management 		

Question 2. How to make the CoC practical? Considerations for the risks for failure.

 \rightarrow Indicate areas of disaccord that need to be negotiated to seek convergence of the views of different stakeholder groups.

Example 1: Making contract farming a win-win for small producers and retailers.

Example 2: Coordination between public sector and processors to reduce FLW for instance by reducing tax on packaging materials, etc.

 \rightarrow Provide recommendations to make the CoC useful for the public sector to effectively influence the behavior and practices of different stakeholders.

GROUP 1 (Hala Chahine)	GROUP 2 <mark>(Raoudha Khaldi)</mark>	GROUP 3 (Nadine)	GROUP 4 <mark>(Jamil Harb)</mark>	GROUP 5 (Prof. Bartali)
Regulation fairness and	Areas of disaccord:	 Recommendations: 	Risks:	Areas of disaccord:
transparency of	 Environmental 	Create a national council	 Lack of dialogue 	 Free trade laws
information for all	One health not applicable	to develop the strategy for	between stakeholders	signed and mass
involved	for food insecure	enabling and follow-up the	 Lack of information 	production weaken
 Regulations must be 	countries, need to help	CoC & FLW. Identify action	 Lack of incentives 	smallholders position
applicable to all	them first	plan, roles and interaction	 Lack of financial 	 Lack of prioritization
• Ease of procedure, ex	 Tradition and Customs 	 Capacity building for all 	coverage for the	of FLW
land tenure and	inhibit participation of for	stakeholders, through	needed program and	 Limited capacity to
contract farming &	example women	extension	actions	manage food waste
government support in	 Political stability a 		 Unrealistic laws and 	
this	prerequisite		regulations	

 Access to finance Ensure inclusivity, reduce dependency on state and include other actors Decentralization of action to municipalities (through collaboration, call for tenders, proposals) who are closer to areas and needs Investment in applied research, institutions and people (human capital), international collaboration for knowledge exchange Coherent policies and strategies Platform that validates the CoC for the country end arignizing actions 	 Recommendations: International incentive to reward government to apply CoC and reduce FLW Apply PPP Create institution responsible for the CoC. Removes conflict between other institutions, ministries in applying CoC Help adopt new technologies, in case of reluctance to change Raise awareness of all groups, other than capacity building Cooperation between institutions, organizations Quality standards to ensure proper food 	 Awareness raising such as media campaign for CoC so that all are aware Launch incentive support for farmers, processors, etc., to help them move closer to CoC objectives Make laws, standards, controls (quality or administrative) Launch a finance program to support all above points Go from national level to local level; decentralize 	 Acceptance of stakeholders Recommendations: Effective dialogue between government bodies and other stakeholders, esp. private sector. Win-win for private, public, NGOs Awareness programs for better understanding of CoC Better extension services Sufficient financial support for training, awareness, research, projects on FLW; Gather smallholders in specialized cooperatives 	 Food safety regulation that can harm FLW efforts Non-sustainable agricultural practices Recommendations: Alternative solutions to smallholders; production planning, agricultural calendar, taxes, contract planning Progressive law enforcement Subcontracting to private sector, foundations, food banks, recycling Government support to smallholders Establish and implement standards
			•	

Question 3. What exactly is needed in food systems to make a reduction on FLW?

 \rightarrow What are the main FLW needs and gaps in the NENA region

 \rightarrow Looking at the actions in the document, what could be the key actions to be implemented to address FLW in the NENA region?

GROUP 1 (Hala Chahine)	GROUP 2 <mark>(Raoudha Khaldi)</mark>	GROUP 3 (Nadine)	GROUP 4 <mark>(Jamil Harb)</mark>	GROUP 5 (Prof. Bartali)
Awareness of	Needs and gaps:	• Right to food comes in	Gaps:	 Poor adaptation of
importance of FLW and	• Political stability /security	first priority	 Insufficient information 	climate change
cost to economy	Food security issues	Research elaboration on	and data about FLW	 Infrastructure
 Monitoring of sources 	Commitment and	sources of FLW along the	causes and levels	Improve Access to
of FLW along the VC	cooperation between	VC	 Emphasis on 	information
 Policies + regulations 	stakeholders	 Enhance agricultural 	marginalized Rural areas	Efficient comprehensive
implementation	Enabling environment	extension services for	 Lack of emphasis on 	agriculture extension
 Improvement of post- 	 Involvement of 	small holders	postharvest technology,	 Taxing system on FLW
harvest practices and	stakeholders insufficient	 Modern technologies to 	mainly storage	 Funding for R&D in
infrastructure	• Extension methods need	be adopted	 Lack of concept 	sustainable value chain
 Management of food 	innovation	• Eco friendly and efficient	concerning organization	Circular economy
waste in a more	Lack of continuous	sources of energy	of supply chains	 Marketing
productive way; circular	support of Public sector	 Fairtrade exhibitions 	Better coordination and	 Less restraining
economy (compost,	• Lack of data & knowledge	 Market and commodity 	collaboration between	regulations
energy, people,	sharing across countries	market systems to be	actors	
animals); reusable	• Lack of Market strategies	improved	Needs:	
packages and	• Include FLW in education	Research for better	 Invest in cold chains 	
innovation of packaging	systems and curriculum	packaging and storage	 Improved varieties and 	
systems	 Boosting postharvest 	technologies	genotypes	
CoC for countries in	research		 Market linkages for 	
conflict contexts?	Key actions:		smallholders	
Wholesale market	 Inclusiveness 		Access to capacity	
losses - improve	 Food security and 		building, financial	
infrastructure and	nutrition		services, and markets	
management	 System approach 		for smallholders and	
Accountability,	 Innovation 		women	
implement system	 Chain actors with 		Food security and	
across supply chain	Capacity building to take		nutrition - need national	
 Flow and access of information along the 	action		road map	
information along the food value chains	 Infrastructure 		Food safety issue	
	 Collaborative 		Pillars of sustainability	
	interventions		Economic aspects	

Environment / climate	Social aspects and
change adaptation and	gender equality
innovative climate smart	 Good agricultural
technologies	practices

Wrap-up and summary of the day:

A rich discussion was held and many inputs were received to be incorporated in the first draft and future iterations of the document. A few points that really stood out in the consultation among NENA country participants were summarized in the wrap-up. For one, bringing the global ideas to national level, and then to the municipal-level for action. Two, the importance of political instability, as well as political economy, may have been overlooked. Three, we need to understand the urban-rural demographics and how different levels of urbanization affects the levels and drivers of FLW.

As for the next steps, a workshop report workshop will be produced in English and Arabic and will be annexed o the CoC. The CoC will itself be revised to a first draft and will be made available for an online consultation in early 2020. Following this, another revision will take place and then the final draft will be reviewed in a technical workshop with member states for a page-by-page review before presenting the document to COAG in October 2020.

Annex IV: Breakout group notes

THE TECHNICAL CONSULTATION ON THE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR FOOD LOSS AND WASTE PREVENTION AND REDUCTION 16 DECEMBER 2019 CAIRO, EGYPT GROUPS WORK

Q 1 What do we need to consider when planning for principles and actions? What are the overarching principles and main actions that should be followed for FLW reduction in the NENA region, while respecting the three dimensions of sustainability and taking the SDGs into consideration?

- Prictorization of Strategic tool value chain (Whent's Fand V) branhal levels of Common understanding of FLW 3. Considering the impact of infrastructure on FLW

on duction Fraquentation Jo 5m natural resources How of Chimatrich Soil 6. Crovernauloury food systems for more suchashelle Vinclume

1