Annex 2. Key results – FAOR survey
1. **Respondent profile**

   - **Region**
     - RAP: 23%
     - RLC: 23%
     - RAF: 34%
     - RNE: 12%
   - **Country Income**
     - Upper-middle income: 28%
     - Low-income: 31%
     - Lower-middle income: 35%
     - High-income: 6%
   - **Gender**
     - Male: 76%
     - Female: 24%

2. **Extent to which TCP and TCPF projects are strategic**

   - TCP:F
     - Highly Strategic: 8%
     - Quite Strategic: 20%
     - Somewhat Strategic: 26%
     - Not Strategic: 66%
   - TCP
     - Highly Strategic: 6%
     - Quite Strategic: 2%
     - Somewhat Strategic: 71%
     - Not Strategic: 26%

---

1 This annex presents key results of findings from FAOR survey cited in Section 3.1 and 3.5 of the main report. Paragraph 11 of the main report explains the coverage of the survey.
### Evaluation of the FAO Technical Programme

#### By Region

- **RAP - Asia and the Pacific**
- **RNE - Near East and North Africa**
- **RAF - Africa**
- **RLC - Latin America and the Caribbean**
- **REU - Europe and Central Asia**

#### 3. Factors that enable TCP projects to achieve catalytic effect

**By Income Level**

- **Synergies with bilateral agencies/development partners’ interest/priorities for investment**
- **Government policy changes/strategies and plans (developed by TCP) implemented backed with funding**
- **Government budget allocation to scale up**
- **Potential investors (including donors/development partners) considered and consulted**
- **Proposals to attract global funds**
- **Ideas/concepts/models from TCP attract investments from multi-lateral development banks (World Bank, IFAD, ADB, AfDB, IDB, CDB)**
- **Private sector interest to invest**
- **Informs/attracts donors/development partners during emergencies**
- **Non-traditional donor interest to invest**

**By Income Level:**

- **Low-income (LIC)**
- **Lower-middle income (LMIC)**
- **Upper-middle income (UMIC)**
- **High-income (HIC)**
4. Factors that hinder TCP projects to achieve catalytic effect

By Region

- Synergies with bilateral agencies/development partners’ interest/priorities for investment
- Government policy changes/strategies and plans (developed by TCP) implemented backed with funding
- Government budget allocation to scale up
- Potential investors (including donors/development partners) considered and consulted
- Proposals to attract global funds
- Ideas/concepts/models from TCP attract investments from multi-lateral development banks (World Bank, IFAD, ADB, AfDB, IDB, CDB)
- Private sector interest to invest
- Informs/attracts donors/development partners during emergencies
- Non-traditional donor interest to invest

By Income Level

- Lack of government budget to scale-up/replicate
- Turnover/change of government officials (champions)
- Change in government priorities
- Change in government/reorganization of departments
- The political situation in the country
- Lack of interest/support from the private sector for uptake/investment
- Few donors/development partners active in the country
- Inadequate donor/development partner interest in the topic
- Donor funding mainly for emergencies
- Stand alone development project focused on a small area in the country
- Poor project design including inadequate defining of catalytic effects
- Poor implementation
- The project was more an FAO interest
- The project designed to ensure political relationship (fulfil political interests)
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