

Project Evaluation Series

**Evaluation of the project
“Increasing smallholder productivity
and profitability”**

Project code: GCP/KEN/082/USA

Annex 2. Data analysis tables

To what extent has the Project contributed to the overall goal of reduction of rural poverty and food and nutrition insecurity of smallholders in the ASALs?

	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	Total	% of Total Q count
Blank	13	19	5	4	41	79%
No Response						0%
Responses	7	4			11	21%
Total	20	23	5	4	52	100%

LEARNING AND DOCUMENTATION:

Which lessons have been documented and packaged to inform future programming?

How has the collaboration, learning and adaptation aspect of the project worked?

How much has the partnership contributed to the results?

	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	Total	% of Total Q count
Blank	55	57	10	8	130	87%
No Response		2			2	1%
Responses	1	10	6	-	17	11%
Total	56	69	16	8	149	100%

IMPACT OF HOLISTIC APPROACH:

How has the holistic approach (production + nutrition) worked?

Can this approach bring insights for future implementation and formulation?

	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	TOTAL	% of Total Q count
Blank	40	44	8	9	101	94%
No Response		4			4	4%
Responses		2			2	2%
Total	40	50	8	9	107	100%

CHANGE IN DIETARY HABITS: To what extent has farmers and their families changed dietary habits due to project influence?

	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	Total	% of Total Q count
Blank	5	14	3	1	23	43%
No Response	2				2	4%
Responses	16	9	2	1	28	53%
Total	23	23	5	2	53	100%

To what extent did the project contribute to "Improved livelihoods through enhanced productivity, market linkages and better nutrition?"

PROGRAMME EFFECTIVENESS

	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	Total	% of Total Q count
Blank	153	149	41	21	364	52%
No Response	1	25	-	-	26	4%
Responses	120	115	65	12	312	44%
Total	274	289	53	33	702	100%

Was the project design appropriate for delivering the expected outcome of “Improved livelihoods through enhanced productivity, market linkages and better nutrition?”						
RELEVANCE						
	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	Total	% of Total Q count
Blank	136	94	21	5	256	51%
No Response	4	8			12	2%
Responses	68	129	28	6	231	46%
Total	208	231	49	11	499	100%

To what extent management arrangements were appropriate to deliver efficiently the programme?						
EFFICIENCY						
	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	TOTAL	% of Total Q count
Blank	187	129	23	12	351	69%
No Response	9	9			18	4%
Responses	24	86	19	8	137	27%
Total	220	224	42	20	506	100%

COST EFFECTIVENESS: What cost saving mechanisms were employed and to what extent were these effective in reducing the overall project costs?						
	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	TOTAL	% of Total Q count
Blank	19	14	1	5	39	76%
No Response		1			1	2%
Responses		7	4		11	22%
Total	19	22	5	5	51	100%

To what extent are the results achieved by the project sustainable?						
Response distribution across the data collection sample						
	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	Total	% of Total Q count
Blank	75	46	7	3	131	52%
No Response	3	6			9	4%
Responses	36	53	14	7	110	44%
Total	114	105	21	10	250	100%

CAPACITY BUILDING: To what extent has the project responded to identified capacity needs across the three CD dimensions, and how have they capitalized on existing capacities?						
	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	TOTAL	% of Total Q count
Blank	125	111	26	8	270	75%
No Response	4	6			10	3%
Responses	30	37	9	6	82	23%
Total	159	154	35	14	362	100%

GENDER MAINSTREAMING: To what extent did women participate equally with men as decision-makers in rural institutions and in shaping laws, policies and programmes?						
	FGD	IDI	KII	Stakeholder Workshop	Total	% of Total Q count
Blank	90	101	15	21	227	76%
No Response	1				1	1%
Responses	17	31	15	6	69	23%
Total	108	132	30	27	297	100%

Office of Evaluation
evaluation@fao.org
www.fao.org/evaluation

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
Rome, Italy



Some rights reserved. This work is available
under a CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO licence.