

**Project Evaluation Series**

**Terminal evaluation of project “Climate  
change adaptation of the Eastern Caribbean  
fisheries sector” (CC4FISH)**

**Project code: GCP/SLC/202/SCF  
GEF ID: 5667**

**Annex 5. E-survey questionnaire**

**Block: Introduction (1 Question)**

**Standard: Stakeholders and relation with the projects (6 Questions)**

**Branch: New Branch**

**If**

**If Are you, or do you consider yourself as: (Select one answer. These activities can be carried out...**

**<span style="color:#000000;">A fisher or a fish processor</span> Is Selected**

**Or Are you, or do you consider yourself as: (Select one answer. These activities can be carried out...**

**<span style="color:#000000;">Both a fisher (or fish processor) and an aquaculturist</span> Is Selected**

**Standard: For fishers (19 Questions)**

**EndSurvey:**

**Branch: New Branch**

**If**

**If Are you, or do you consider yourself as: (Select one answer. These activities can be carried out...**

**<span style="color:#000000;">An aquaculturist (traditional aquaculture / aquaponics / seamoss)</span> Is Selected**

**Or Are you, or do you consider yourself as: (Select one answer. These activities can be carried out...**

**<span style="color:#000000;">Both a fisher (or fish processor) and an aquaculturist</span> Is Selected**

**Standard: For aquaculturists (5 Questions)**

**EndSurvey:**

**Standard: Project design and approach (6 Questions)**

**Standard: Project outcomes (based on the TOC) (1 Question)**

**Standard: Changes (2 Questions)**

**Standard: Partnerships (1 Question)**

**Standard: Potential sustainability (2 Questions)**

**Standard: Monitoring and evaluation, knowledge management (2 Questions)**

**Standard: Conclusion (4 Questions)**

Page Break

**Start of Block: Introduction**

Q1.1 The FAO Office of Evaluation is currently undertaking the terminal evaluations of the FAO-implemented, GEF-funded projects "Climate change adaptation of the Eastern Caribbean fisheries sector" (CC4Fish) and "Developing Organizational Capacity for Ecosystem Stewardship and Livelihoods in Caribbean Small-Scale Fisheries" (StewardFish).

The chief objective of the CC4Fish project is "to increase resilience and reduce vulnerability to climate change impacts in the Eastern Caribbean fisheries sector". The StewardFish project aims to build organizational capacity for ecosystem stewardship and improved livelihoods.

You have been sent this questionnaire because you have participated in, or benefited from, either or both projects and we are interested in learning from your experience with, and perspectives on, either or both projects. We understand that you may not have been involved throughout the entire projects, so we kindly ask you to reflect and report on your own experience with the project(s), regardless of how brief it may have been.

The questionnaire is individual and anonymous. Your responses will be treated as strictly confidential. We invite you to answer honestly and openly. To move through the survey, click on the forward arrow icon at the bottom of the page. You can go back to review your answers by clicking on the back arrow. The survey should take approximately 15-20 minutes of your time. You may withdraw from it at any point. Your answers will be saved and you can resume later to complete the survey.

If you have any technical issues with the survey, please contact Cecile Brugere at [cecilebrugere@gmail.com](mailto:cecilebrugere@gmail.com). Thank you for providing your responses, your contribution is important to us.

Are you accepting to take part?

- Yes, I want to participate in the survey (1)
- No, I do not wish to participate in the survey (2)

*Skip To: End of Survey If The FAO Office of Evaluation is currently undertaking the terminal evaluations of the FAO-impleme... = No, I do not wish to participate in the survey*

**End of Block: Introduction**

---

**Start of Block: Stakeholders and relation with the projects**

Q2.1 How do you identify yourself? (select 1 answer only)

- As a man (1)
- As a woman (2)
- Other (4)

Q2.2 In which country are you based, or is the majority of your work carried out? (multiple answers are possible)

- Antigua & Barbuda (4)
  - Barbados (16)
  - Belize (13)
  - Dominica (5)
  - Grenada (6)
  - Guyana (14)
  - Jamaica (15)
  - St Kitts & Nevis (7)
  - St Lucia (8)
  - St Vincent & The Grenadines (9)
  - Trinidad & Tobago (10)
  - Regional (11)
  - Global (12)
- 

Q2.3 Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer)

- The CC4Fish project (1)
  - The StewardFish project (2)
  - Both projects (more or less equally) (3)
  - I don't know (4)
-

Q2.4 Are you an employee or member of...? (select 1 answer only)

- A national or local fisherfolk organization (FFO) (17)
  - A Government authority (1)
  - A private fishing and/or fish processing company (19)
  - A private aquaculture company (20)
  - An academic, regional organization (e.g. UWI-CERMES, UWI-CIRP) (24)
  - A regional organization (e.g. CANARI, CNFO, CRFM, etc.) (11)
  - An international organization (e.g. FAO-WECAFC, FAO HQ and SLC, GEF, TNC) (13)
  - Other (e.g. consultants) (4)
  - None of the above (26)
- 

Q2.5 Overall, how would you rate your involvement in, or interactions with, the CC4Fish or the StewardFish project(s)? (select 1 answer only). If you were involved in both, please give a general appreciation.

- Extremely satisfying (1)
  - More satisfying than what I had anticipated (2)
  - As I had anticipated (3)
  - Less satisfying than what I had anticipated (4)
  - Not satisfying (5)
  - Prefer not to comment (6)
- 

Q2.6 Are you, or do you consider yourself as: (Select one answer. These activities can be carried out on a part-time basis)

- A fisher or a fish processor (2)
- An aquaculturist (traditional aquaculture / aquaponics / seamoss) (3)
- Both a fisher (or fish processor) and an aquaculturist (4)
- Neither (5)

End of Block: Stakeholders and relation with the projects

---

Start of Block: For fishers

Q3.1 Would you say that the National Fisherfolk Organization in your country: (Tick all statements that apply)

- Is now a stronger organization in general than before? (1)
  - Provides more informational activities on livelihood issues? (2)
  - Provides more training on livelihood issues? (3)
  - Represents the fishers more effectively than before? (4)
  - Has an active Board and leadership? (5)
  - The Fisherfolk organization has not changed over the period of the project. (6)
  - I don't know / can't answer (7)
- 

Q3.2 Which of these statements apply to yourself as a fisher? (Tick all statements that apply)

- The fisherfolk organization helps me to **learn more about stewardship of the sea and coastal environment** (1)
  - The fisherfolk organization helps me to **engage in sustainable fishing, so that the resources will be available to the next generation** (2)
  - The fisherfolk organization helps me to **participate in marine or coastal stewardship activities** (3)
  - My way of working **has not changed** (4)
  - I don't know / can't tell (5)
-

Q3.3 Would you say that the Fisheries Department/Division/Authority in your country: (Tick all statements that apply)

- Provides more support to the fisherfolk organizations than before the project (1)
  - Provide sufficient information to the fishers (2)
  - Have a stronger ecosystem approach to fisheries than before the project (3)
  - Is a stronger representative of the fishing industry than before the project (4)
  - Promotes participation of fishers in monitoring the environment (5)
  - The Fisheries Department/Division/Authority has not changed compared to before the project (6)
  - I don't know / can't tell (7)
- 

Q3.4 Have you received safety at sea (and related) training from the project?

- Yes (1)
  - No (2)
- 

Q3.5 Have you received safety at sea equipment from the project?

- Yes (1)
  - No (2)
- 

*Display This Question:*

*If Have you received safety at sea equipment from the project? = Yes*

Q3.6 Today, how confident do you feel in using the equipment (for ex. VHF radio and other ICT tools) you have received?

- Very confident (1)
- Somewhat confident (2)
- Neither more confident, nor less confident (for ex, you knew how to use the equipment before) (3)
- Still not confident (for ex. you still require help to use the equipment) (4)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Have you received safety at sea equipment from the project? = Yes*

Q3.7 Today, how confident do you feel in applying communication protocols for safety at sea that you have received?

- Very confident (1)
- Somewhat confident (2)
- Neither more confident, nor less confident (for ex, you knew the protocols before) (3)
- Still not confident (for ex. you still require help to apply the communication protocols) (4)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Have you received safety at sea equipment from the project? = Yes*

*Or Have you received safety at sea (and related) training from the project? = Yes*

Q3.8 Today, how often are you taking the safety at sea equipment you have received on your fishing trips?

- Always (1)
- Occasionally (2)
- Rarely (3)
- Never (4)
- Does not apply / I don't know (5)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Have you received safety at sea equipment from the project? = Yes*

Q3.9 Do you know where to go / who to reach out to, if there is an issue with your safety at sea equipment?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Have you received safety at sea equipment from the project? = Yes*



Q3.10 How likely are you to continue using safety at sea equipment and safer fishing and seafaring practices?

- Very likely (1)
- Somewhat likely (2)
- Somewhat unlikely (3)
- Very unlikely (4)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Have you received safety at sea (and related) training from the project? = Yes*

Q3.11 Compared to before you received the safety at sea training, how vulnerable do you feel when you go out fishing?

- Less vulnerable than before (1)
- No difference compared to before (2)
- More vulnerable than before (3)
- I don't know (4)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Have you received safety at sea (and related) training from the project? = Yes*

Q3.12 On a 1 to 5 scale, how would you rate the training you have received? From 1: least useful and lowest quality, to 5: most useful and highest quality)

- 1 (4)
  - 2 (5)
  - 3 (6)
  - 4 (7)
  - 5 (8)
  - I don't know (9)
-

Q3.13 What would encourage you to attend more capacity building events? (Score each option from 1: lowest incentive, to 5: highest incentive)

	1 (1)	2 (2)	3 (6)	4 (3)	5 (4)
If the meeting was held face-to-face (while being Covid-safe) (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
If the meeting time was different (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
If the trainers were people I know (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
If equipment was provided and could be kept (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
If other topics were covered, e.g. business and organizational skills (9)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
If the targeted participants were different (8)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
If credit for data was provided when the training is virtual (ex. for Zoom access) (10)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
If food was provided (in the case of face-to-face meetings) (5)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
If a financial compensation for attendance was provided (6)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please explain) (7)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q3.14 What use are you making of the training materials produced by the project? (ex. manuals, course notes etc.). Please select the statement that best applies to you.

- I know how to access training materials and I systematically refer to them (1)
  - I know how to access training materials, but I don't use them much (2)
  - I don't know where I can access training materials, although I think I would make use of them (3)
  - I don't find the training materials useful (4)
  - Prefer not to say (5)
- 

Q3.15 How have your relationships with the authorities (Coast Guards, Fisheries Department/Division, Telecoms) changed as a result of your participation in the project?

- They have improved (1)
  - They are the same (3)
  - They have deteriorated (2)
  - I don't know (4)
- 

Q3.16 Regarding the licensing and registering your vessel(s), which of the following statements best applies to you? (one answer only)

- I am much more aware now compared to before the project of the importance and benefits, and I have (or intend to) licence and register my vessel(s) (1)
  - I understand better the importance of licensing and registering my vessel(s), but I am not in a position to do it. (2)
  - I see no purpose in licensing and registering my vessel(s) and will not do it. (4)
  - The project has not helped me understand the importance and benefits of licensing and registering my vessel(s). (3)
  - None of these statements apply to me. (5)
-

Q3.17 To what extent has the project increased your capacity to adapt to climate change and extreme weather events?

- It has changed it a lot: I feel more resilient and more confident to face and adapt to climate change and extreme weather events now. (1)
  - It has changed it a bit: I feel my resilience and capacity to adapt to climate change and extreme weather events is a bit better than before the project(s). (2)
  - It has not changed it at all: my resilience and capacity to adapt to climate change and extreme weather events are the same as before the project(s). (3)
  - Prefer not to say. (5)
-

Q3.18 What will encourage you to continue putting in practice what you have learned from the project? (Score each option from 1: lowest incentive, to 5: highest incentive)

	1 (1)	2 (2)	3 (3)	4 (4)	5 (5)
More easily accessible training resources (ex. factsheets, youtube videos...) (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
More training sessions on topics, like business management ('soft skills') (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
More hands-on training, e.g. 2-stroke engine use, maintenance of safety at sea equipment etc. (8)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Regular refresher courses (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Easier access to equipment (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Seeing your peers adopt safety at sea practices (6)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My own belief that safety at sea and new skills are important (11)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
That my peers regard me as a fisher who is a model and who has adopted sound safety at sea practices (12)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please explain) (13)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q3.19 What would you like to see more of in the future? Score each option from 1: least, to 5: most

	1 (1)	2 (2)	3 (3)	4 (4)	5 (5)
Collaboration between the fisherfolk organizations and the fisheries authorities (9)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Access to loans (14)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Access to vessel insurance (5)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Collaboration between the fisheries authorities, fisherfolks and the coast guards (7)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Strong Fisherfolk organizations (10)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Stewardship of marine resources (15)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please explain) (13)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

End of Block: For fishers

Start of Block: For aquaculturists

Q4.1 From which type of aquaculture have you benefitted the most through the project? (multiple answers possible)

- Traditional aquaculture (ponds and hatchery rehabilitation) (1)
- Aquaponics training and demonstration (2)
- Seamoss farming and value addition (3)

Q4.2 Compared to before the project, to what extend do you agree that the following benefits of the project apply to you? (1 answer per row)

	I fully agree (1)	I somewhat agree (2)	I neither agree nor disagree (3)	I disagree (4)	This does not apply to me (5)
I am more knowledgeable about how to do aquaponics now compared to before the project (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am more knowledgeable about how to farm seamoss now compared to before the project (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am more aware about aquaculture (in general) now compared to before the project (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I have greater resilience to climate change and extreme weather events now compared to before the project (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I can access and use rehabilitated aquaculture facilities now, which I could not do before the project (5)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I can witness new partnerships and collaborations between fisheries authorities, aquaculture associations, NGOs, which did not exist before the project (8)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Please use the space below to indicate any other benefit you may have received from the project (7)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q4.3 According to you, compared to before the project, to what extent have the project activities... (please tick one answer per row)

	A lot (1)	A little (2)	No difference compared to before the project (3)	Decreased interest (5)	I don't know / not applicable (4)
Increased interest about aquaculture and capacity among the youth? (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased interest about aquaculture and capacity among women? (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
interest about aquaculture and capacity among Indigenous People? (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>



Q4.4 How well do you think the project has enabled to tackle and/or overcome the following challenges related to the development of aquaculture/aquaponics/seamoss farming? (select 1 answer per row)

	Very well, this is no longer a problem (1)	Somewhat well: there is a modest improvement in the situation (2)	Insufficiently: the situation has not changed following the intervention of the project (3)	This was not tackled by the project (4)	I don't know / not applicable (6)
Inadequate equipment and input supply (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Unsuitable infrastructures (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of interest from farmers (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of interest from investors (10)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Constraints imposed by the Covid-19 pandemic (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Unsuitable environmental conditions (5)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Insufficient demand for aquaculture products (11)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Inadequate local value addition and processing (6)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of market differentiation of 'made in the Caribbean' aquaculture products (7)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please explain) (8)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q4.5 Once the project is finished (in early 2022), how likely are you to...

	Very likely (1)	Somewhat likely (2)	Neither likely nor unlikely (3)	Somewhat unlikely (4)	Very unlikely (5)	I don't know / not applicable (6)
Seek to attend more aquaculture training? (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Invest in aquaculture operations? (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Visit and use the rehabilitated demonstration centres? (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Access and use the aquaculture training materials produced by the project? (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Recommend aquaculture as a good activity to decrease vulnerability to climate change and extreme weather events? (5)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**End of Block: For aquaculturists**

**Start of Block: Project design and approach**

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q5.1 The CC4Fish project seeks to introduce climate change adaptation measures in fisheries management and to build capacities among fisherfolk and aquaculturists to adapt to climate change.

In your opinion, how relevant do you think the activities undertaken towards these objectives have been? (select 1 answer only)

- Highly relevant (1)
- Relevant (2)
- Somewhat relevant (3)
- Not relevant (4)
- I don't know (5)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q5.2 The CC4Fish project activities and outputs were organised along 4 components. Based on your experience with the project, how relevant do you think the activities and outputs under these components were/are to your needs, your country's or the people you work with's needs or your organization's needs? (please select only one answer per row)

	Highly relevant (1)	Relevant (2)	Somewhat relevant (3)	Not relevant (4)	Not applicable / I cannot answer (5)
Component 1. <b>Understanding and raising awareness of climate change (CC) impacts and vulnerability</b> (vulnerability assessment, fisheries modelling, dissemination of CC adaptation knowledge) (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Component 2. <b>Increasing fisher-folk, aquaculturists' and coastal community resilience to climate change and variability</b> (safety at sea, ICT, new technologies and skills, aquaculture etc.) (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Component 3. <b>Mainstreaming of climate change adaptation (CCA) in multi-level fisheries governance</b> (regional and national institutional capacity, CC in fisheries policies etc.) (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Component 4. <b>Project management, monitoring and evaluation, information dissemination and communication</b> (monitoring, lessons learned, sharing of information etc.) (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q5.3 To what extent were women and gender equality considerations promoted by the project? (select 1 answer only)

- Very well, with systematic and active measures to address gender inequalities (1)
- Well, but following the current gender divide between fishing and post-harvest (2)
- Not particularly well, with no effort made (3)
- Not at all, with risk of worsening of inequalities (4)
- Not applicable / I can't answer (5)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q5.4 To what extent were the needs of Indigenous People taken into account in the project activities? (select 1 answer only)

- Very well (1)
- Well (2)
- Somewhat well (3)
- Not well at all (4)
- Not applicable / I can't answer (5)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q5.5 Overall, from your experience of the CC4Fish project, do you think it... (select 1 answer)

- Reached women? (1)
- Benefitted women? (2)
- Empowered women? (3)
- None of the above (4)
- I don't know (5)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q5.6 To what extent was the participation of younger people (e.g. younger fishers, newly graduated students, junior staff etc.) sought in the project activities? (select 1 answer only)

- It was systematically sought, and as many young as senior people participated (1)
- It was dependent on the type of activity, resulting in imbalance between young and senior participants (2)
- No particular efforts were made to engage with the youth (4)
- Not applicable / I can't answer (5)

**End of Block: Project design and approach**

---

**Start of Block: Project outcomes (based on the TOC)**

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q6.1 In your view, to what extent has the project contributed to the following? (select 1 answer per row)

	<b>A lot</b> (= this could not have been achieved without the project) (1)	<b>A bit</b> (=the project was instrumental but other factors were also at play) (2)	<b>Not much</b> (=the project had a minor role, or indirect influence) (3)	<b>None</b> (=this happened without the project intervention) (4)	<b>This has not happened</b> (5)	<b>I don't know</b> (6)
Improved awareness and understanding of climate change impacts among fishers, aquaculturists and their communities (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Improved awareness and understanding of climate change impacts among fisheries authorities (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Adoption of climate-resilient technologies and fishing practices (6)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(Adoption of) increased number of aquaculture-based adaptation activities (7)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Availability of social security and protection mechanisms accessible to vulnerable fishers (8)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increase in viable value-addition opportunities (9)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

End of Block: Project outcomes (based on the TOC)

**Start of Block: Changes**

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*



Q7.1 We have listed below some changes that may have been brought about by the CC4Fish project. We would like to have your opinion on each of these changes.

According to you, based on your experience in the project, what do you think about the changes described below? (you can tick several boxes on each row)

	This change was expected (in the context of this project) (1)	This change is/will be significant (2)	The project was instrumental in making this happen (4)	This change did not happen (5)	I don't know/can't answer (6)
Sargassum is now better managed and controlled (1)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
There are now fewer incidents at sea (2)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fisherfolks are now better equipped to face the increased frequency and intensity of weather events (17)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
There are now examples of public-private partnerships in fisheries management (e.g. tuna) (18)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The collaboration between fisheries authorities, coast-guards and telecom companies is now stronger (9)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The sourcing of inputs for aquaculture (equipment, seed...) is now resolved (3)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A new model of private-public governance for aquaculture training and demonstration has been created (4)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

There is now greater interest about aquaculture among the youth (school and students) (6)

There is now greater knowledge in the region and scientific community about how to adapt to climate change in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors (7)

Fisheries and aquaculture are now included in countries' Nationally Determined Contributions and CC adaptation plans (5)

The issue of climate change adaptation in fisheries and aquaculture has now reached high-level meetings (e.g. COFI, UNFCCC COP) (8)

There is now a Protocol to Integrate Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Management in Fisheries and Aquaculture into the Caribbean Community Common Fisheries Policy (10)

A greater number of fisheries and aquaculture management plans are now integrating climate change adaptation considerations (13)

There are now more synergies among all projects on CC adaptation in the fisheries sector across the region (15)

National fisheries data collection and analysis systems are now improved (19)

Innovative and more flexible project management practices (e.g. quarterly steering committee meetings, Whatsapp group) are now in place and replicable to other projects (12)

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q7.2 Are there any other changes that you think the CC4Fish project brought about, and which you think are significant? (positive, negative or unintended changes, at any level). If so, please use the space below to describe them (if not, leave blank).

---

**End of Block: Changes**

**Start of Block: Partnerships**

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q8.1 From your perspective, and according to your involvement in the CC4Fish project, to what extent do you think the project was successful in... (select 1 answer per row)

	Very successful (1)	Successful (2)	Somewhat successful (3)	Not successful (4)	I can't answer (5)
Linking up with other projects and initiatives of relevance? (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Having a suitable variety of partners to support the uptake of climate change adaptation practices among beneficiaries? (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Creating synergies between its multiple executing partners (Fisheries authorities, other donors, NGOs etc.)? (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**End of Block: Partnerships**

**Start of Block: Potential sustainability**

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q9.1 According to you, and as of now, do you think the expected project outcomes listed below are ....? (select 1 answer per row)

	Self-sustaining (= the outcome will sustain itself or go to scale after the project has finished) (1)	At at stable stopping point (= the outcome has not yet been achieved but progress can be put on hold without reversal) (2)	Not self-sustaining (=more funding or external support is required to maintain or scale out the outcome) (3)	I can't say (4)
Improved awareness and understanding of climate change impacts among fishers, aquaculturists and their communities (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Improved awareness and understanding of climate change impacts among fisheries authorities (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Adoption of climate-resilient technologies and fishing practices (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(Adoption of) increased number of aquaculture-based adaptation activities (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Availability of social security and protection mechanisms accessible to vulnerable fishers (5)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increase in viable value-addition opportunities (6)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q9.2 Aside financial resources, what do you think is most important to ensure the sustainability of the project results in the longer run? Please slide the curser between 1: least important, to 5: most important.

1                      2                      3                      4                      5

Demonstrated commitment of Fisheries authorities to support climate change adaptation ()	
More hands-on training and capacity building for fishers and aquaculturists ()	
More capacity building in fisheries institutions / institutional strengthening to include CC in fisheries and aquaculture management ()	
More policy support to mainstream fisheries and aquaculture in national and regional CC adaption plans ()	
Legislation reviews and legal support ()	
Technical support (e.g. vulnerability assessments, market and value chain access studies, fisheries data collection and analysis etc.) ()	
Stronger representation of fisheries actors in decision-making regarding CC adaption ()	
Stronger partnerships between the sector's stakeholders (e.g. fisheries authorities, telecom companies, fishers' and aquaculturists' organizations, certification bodies, banks...) ()	
Greater promotion of fisheries in regional and global processes ()	
Other (please specify) ()	

**End of Block: Potential sustainability**

**Start of Block: Monitoring and evaluation, knowledge management**

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q10.1 In your opinion, how effective do you think the CC4Fish project has been in promoting the sharing of experiences across partners, stakeholders and countries? (select 1 answer only)

- Extremely effective (1)
- Very effective (2)
- Effective (3)
- Somewhat effective (4)
- Not effective at all (5)
- I don't know (6)

Display This Question:

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*And Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q10.2 In your opinion, how effective do you think the CC4Fish project has been in disseminating its lessons learned across its partners, stakeholders and countries? (select 1 answer only)

- Extremely effective (1)
- Very effective (2)
- Effective (3)
- Somewhat effective (4)
- Not effective at all (5)
- I don't know (6)

**End of Block: Monitoring and evaluation, knowledge management**

---

**Start of Block: Conclusion**

Display This Question:

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q11.1 You have now reached the end of the survey. Thank you for your answers! If you would like to add any comment or share information that may be useful for the evaluation of the project, please do so in the space below.

\_\_\_\_\_

Display This Question:

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*And Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

Q11.2 If you wish, you may enter the name of the organization to which you are affiliated below (please enter the name of your institution, organization or company as appropriate. Please enter "independent" if you are not affiliated to anybody).

\_\_\_\_\_

Display This Question:

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*



Q11.3 Would you accept to be contacted individually by the Evaluation Team to discuss some of your answers in greater depth during a follow-up interview? (your anonymity will be preserved in the reporting of the interview).

Yes (1)

No (2)

---

*Display This Question:*

*If Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = The CC4Fish project*

*Or Are you / your organization most closely associated with... (tick 1 answer) = Both projects (more or less equally)*

*And Would you accept to be contacted individually by the Evaluation Team to discuss some of your answ... = Yes*

Q11.4 Kindly provide below your name and contact details such as email and Skype name. Thank you very much!

---

**End of Block: Conclusion**

Office of Evaluation  
evaluation@fao.org  
www.fao.org/evaluation

**Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations**  
Rome, Italy



Some rights reserved. This work is available  
under a CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO licence.