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Executive Summary

This document sets out the progress report on the implementation of FAO Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) 2016-2020, as well as activities within the framework of the tripartite and other multi-sectorial collaborations within the “One Health” framework.

In line with the recommendation of the 128th Session of the Programme Committee (June 2020), as endorsed by the 164th Session of the Council (July 2020), this document also sets out a proposal for the new FAO Action Plan on AMR 2021-2025.

Suggested action by the Committee

The Committee is invited to:

- Take note of the progress report on the implementation of FAO Action Plan on AMR 2016-2020;
- Approve the proposed FAO Action Plan on AMR 2021-2025 and call for resources to support its implementation.

Queries on the substantive content of the document may be addressed to:

Ms Maria Helena Semedo
Deputy Director-General
Tel: +39 06 5705 2060
I. Introduction

1. The 39th Session of the FAO Conference (June 2015) adopted Resolution 4/2015 on Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) which recognized that AMR posed an increasingly serious threat to public health and sustainable food production, and the need for a coherent, comprehensive, integrated and balanced approach at global, regional and national levels in a “One Health” approach and beyond.

2. Subsequently, the 41st Session of the FAO Conference (June 2019) adopted Resolution 6/2019 on AMR which acknowledges and welcomes FAO’s efforts in addressing AMR as a “One Health” issue and agrees on the need for further support, through extra budgetary resources.

3. AMR activities in FAO are carried out under the umbrella of the FAO Action Plan on AMR 2016-2020 (hereinafter referred to as Action Plan 2016-2020) that addresses four major focus areas:
   a) Improve awareness on AMR and related threats;
   b) Develop capacity for surveillance and monitoring of AMR and Antimicrobial Use (AMU) in food and agriculture;
   c) Strengthen governance related to AMU and AMR in food and agriculture;
   d) Promote good practices in food and agricultural systems and the prudent use of antimicrobials.

4. It is foreseen that the Action Plan 2016-2020 will be replaced with a new version for the period 2021-2025, which has been drafted by a multidisciplinary working committee through a consultative process with the FAO Inter-Departmental Working Group on Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR WG). The proposed FAO Action Plan on AMR 2021-2025 presents a series of objectives on five identified strategic AMR areas, including outputs and detailed key activities, serving the goals of the Organization to tackle AMR in food and agriculture.

II. Implementation Arrangements

5. AMR related work in FAO is coordinated through the AMR WG, which was established in 2015 under the leadership of the Chief Veterinary Officer. The AMR WG is composed of members from seven departments and 11 units, including animal production and health, fisheries and aquaculture, food safety, soil and water, plant production and protection, the legal office and corporate communications, as well as AMR focal points in regional/liaison offices and Strategic Programme Teams.

6. Various coordination mechanisms1 have been created at the regional, sub-regional and national levels to strengthen the coordination, and support the implementation of AMR activities. Regional workshops/trainings have been organized to share experiences, and to facilitate the identification of potential areas that would benefit from a harmonized regional or sub-regional approach.

7. International coordination on AMR is organized between FAO, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) (hereinafter referred to as the “Tripartite”) and other partners.

---

1 A Sub-regional “One Health” AMR platform created for multi-sectoral coordination among 10 Francophone countries, the Regional Economic Communities and the Tripartite; an interagency coordination mechanism has been established among regional organizations in East Africa, the network of Regional Food Safety and AMR Awareness and Advocacy Coordinators in the FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific (RAP), the WG in RAP, the FAO-OIE Coordination Group of Leading AMR Institutions in the Asia-Pacific, the African Inter-Agency Coordination Group on Antimicrobial Resistance and Control, the Economic Community of West African States AMR Working Group, the national multi-stakeholder consultations (national workshops) in the FAO Regional office for Europe (REU) and others.
III. Progress on the implementation of Action Plan 2016-2020

A. Focus Area 1. - Improve Awareness on AMR and Related Threats

8. Since 2015, FAO has engaged in significant, sustained efforts to raise awareness of AMR risks and advocate for improved behaviours, policies and reached an ever expanding, cross-sectoral, global audience. The World Antibiotic Awareness Week (each November) has been a major communication campaign to raise awareness broadly. These efforts have been made through high-level advocacy, digital and cross-media products, stakeholder engagement through social media and outreach events and the organization of or presentation at key events\(^2\), international conferences and others\(^3\). Through successful intra-Tripartite advocacy and coordination, the name of the event was officially changed in the second quarter of 2020 to World Antimicrobial Awareness Week (WAAW) to reflect the broader, cross-sectoral nature of AMR and FAO’s key role across the sectors.

9. FAO has released 60 publications, 33 videos, organized six seminars, updated the FAO AMR website, which address AMR in the food and agriculture sector both online and offline. FAO has also developed a number of behaviour change initiatives, communication products and guidelines at regional and national levels.

10. FAO has led extensive social science work to understand stakeholder knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAPs) on AMU and AMR. Through KAP methodologies, FAO has surveyed some 900 farmers across seven countries\(^4\) in sub-Saharan Africa, and in three Asian countries\(^5\). The results of these studies and other findings are being used to tailor existing communication materials and inform new behaviour change approaches.

11. Regional activities include: i) the participatory development of the Africa AMR Communications and Advocacy Strategy led by the Regional Office for Africa (RAF); ii) a training on risk communication for government technical and field animal health officers facilitated by the Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific (RAP); and iii) technical and communication training of the official health services regarding modern risk communication methodologies for AMR carried out by the Regional Office for Latin America and the Caribbean (RLC).

B. Focus Area 2. - Develop Capacity for Surveillance and Monitoring of AMR and AMU in Food and Agriculture

12. The FAO Assessment Tool for Laboratories and AMR Surveillance System (FAO-ATLASS), has been developed to assist countries in assessing their national surveillance systems and laboratory diagnostic capacities for AMR detection and characterization. It has been implemented in 28 countries\(^6\) (more than 100 laboratories assessed) and will continue to be rolled out to more countries.

---

\(^2\) World Food Safety Day, World Veterinary Day, World Water Week, World Soil Day, side event of FAO’ Committee on World Food Security and Committee on Fisheries Sub-Committee on Aquaculture

\(^3\) Annual sections on AMR during International Feed Regulator Meeting; Asia-Pacific Aquaculture Conference (Kuala Lumpur, July 2017), 16th Meeting of the Advisory on Aquatic Animal Health of NACA (Bangkok, August 2017), 2\(^{nd}\) OIE Global Conference on AMR and Prudent Use of Antimicrobial Agents: Putting Standards into Practice (Marrakesh, October 2018), 2018 World Aquatic Veterinary Medical Association Conference (St Kitts, November 2018); International conference on Food Safety Risk Analysis and Antimicrobial Resistance (Moscow, December 2019).

\(^4\) Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Sudan, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia, Zimbabwe

\(^5\) Cambodia, Lao PDR and Viet Nam


* is for following up missions.
Six training sessions for FAO-ATLASS assessors have been organized to train 118 experts from 48 countries.

13. Laboratory capacity for AMR surveillance has been strengthened at regional and national level through the provision of laboratory trainings and protocols, proficiency testing and a number of training events.

14. Global and regional networks are being established in food and agriculture sectors.
   a) Five institutions\(^7\) are now designated FAO Reference Centers for AMR\(^8\); an additional five institutions\(^9\) have either been identified to be candidates or are already in the process of designation.
   b) Four institutions\(^10\) were selected as candidates of FAO Reference Centres on Aquaculture Biosecurity.
   c) Technical Advisory Groups (TAGs) for AMR/AMU and antimicrobial residues surveillance have been established in four sub-regions: Southeast Asia, South Asia, East Africa and West Africa.

15. AMR surveillance in FAO has been extended to fisheries and environment:
   a) *The performance of antimicrobial susceptibility testing programmes relevant to aquaculture and aquaculture products*\(^11\) was published; capacity building training workshops provided on fish waste management, antimicrobial residues analysis and antimicrobial susceptibility testing in fisheries and aquaculture products; and
   b) a report on the AMR impact to environment – “Antimicrobial movement from agriculture areas to the environment: The missing link. A role for nuclear techniques”\(^12\) – was launched during WAAW 2019.

16. Data collection and generation:
   a) FAO has supported countries in piloting AMR surveillance activities in the agriculture sector to generate AMR data.
   b) A platform for AMR data related to food and agriculture is being developed and will be piloted. It will form part of the Tripartite Integrated Surveillance System on AMR/AMU platform (TISSA).
   c) FAO has been contributing to the AMU data collection by OIE, ensuring the quality of the data in the food and agriculture sector. 118 countries reported quantitative data on AMU in animals between 2015 and 2017, an increase from 89 reporting in 2015.
   d) Collection of data on AMU and AMR in fisheries was initiated based on preliminary surveillance in China, Malaysia, the Philippines, and Viet Nam.
   e) REU developed questionnaires for the baseline assessment on AMU targeting all major livestock species and addressing farmers, veterinarians, veterinary pharmacies and feed mills. It will be implemented as soon as COVID-19 restrictions are lifted.

17. FAO RAP is working on the harmonization of the surveillance under the regional framework:

---

\(^7\) Institutions from Denmark, Germany, Thailand, United Kingdom and United States of America


\(^9\) Institutions from: France, Mexico, New Zealand, Russian Federation, Senegal.

\(^10\) Candidates are Mississippi State University (United States of America), Nitte University (India), Pearl River Fisheries Research Institute (China), Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute (China)


a) A series of harmonized guidelines for surveillance under a regional framework. The Regional Guideline Vol 1-Monitoring and Surveillance of AMR in bacteria from healthy food animals intended for consumption\(^\text{13}\) has been published and formally launched during WAAW 2019. A freely downloadable Regional AMR data management template was developed as a supplement to the Guideline Vol 1.

b) Development of customized microbroth dilution plates for the four priority organisms (\textit{E. coli}, \textit{Salmonella} spp., \textit{Enterococcus} spp., and \textit{Campylobacter} spp.) for AMR surveillance in the region, to generate harmonized, high-quality quantitative AMR data from the food and agriculture sector.

C. Focus Area 3. - Strengthening Governance Related to AMU and AMR in Food and Agriculture

18. FAO has provided assistance to Members on the development and implementation of National Action Plans (NAPs). Based on the latest round (2018/19) of the Tripartite AMR Country Self-Assessment Survey, countries advanced the development and implementation of NAPs on AMR. A total of 117 countries have developed a multi-sectoral NAP on AMR (up from 79 countries in 2016/17).

19. A Progressive Management Pathway for AMR (FAO-PMP-AMR) was developed and officially launched during WAAW 2019. This is a stepwise approach to help members with developing and operationalizing their NAPs. The FAO-PMP-AMR has been implemented in seven countries\(^\text{14}\) and will continue to be rolled out to more countries.

20. FAO, with input from the OIE, developed the "Methodology to analyse AMR-relevant legislation in the food and agriculture sector". It covers the legal areas that regulate antimicrobial substances, and those relevant for minimizing the contamination of food and the environment and improving animal and environmental health to minimize the need to use antimicrobials. The Methodology has been implemented in 22 countries\(^\text{15}\) across Sub-Saharan Africa, South and Southeast Asia, and Central Asia, Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Latin America.

21. AMR-LEX was developed as a subset of data from the FAOLEX database, containing policy and legislation relevant for AMR that are tagged with the keyword "AMR", enabling easier access to the existing policy and legal instruments.

22. RLC has refined a methodology for performing situation analysis of the risk of AMR in food and agriculture sectors. It is being piloted in four countries\(^\text{16}\) in Latin America. The methodology is being translated and will be deployed in other regions.

23. FAO provided support to countries in fisheries under the Project FMM/RAS/298/MUL in strengthening capacities, policies, and national action plans on prudent and responsible use of antimicrobials; examples of governance mechanisms were established.

24. FAO published The FAO Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fisheries No. 5 Suppl. 8, Recommendations for prudent and responsible use of veterinary medicines in aquaculture (FAO, 2019) to provide general advice in support of the implementation of the FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries.


\(^{14}\) Belgium, Ghana, Kenya, Kyrgyzstan, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Tajikistan, Tunisia.

\(^{15}\) Armenia, Bangladesh, Belarus, Plurinational State of Bolivia, Cambodia, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Kyrgyzstan, Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Peru, Philippines, South Sudan, Tajikistan, Ukraine, United Republic of Tanzania, Uruguay, Viet Nam, Zambia, Zimbabwe.

\(^{16}\) Plurinational State of Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru and Uruguay.
D. Focus Area 4. - Promoting Good Practices in Food and Agriculture Systems and the Prudent Use of Antimicrobials

25. FAO launched new AMR case study series aiming at supporting countries to learn from one another and to share experiences on the responsible use of antimicrobials. Two of them have been published: Prudent and efficient use of antimicrobials in pigs and poultry in collaboration with Sweden (in English and Russian); and Tackling antimicrobial use and resistance in pig production - Lessons learned in Denmark.

26. As mentioned in Focus Area 1, FAO carried out various, mixed-methods studies of stakeholders (e.g. KAP) across 10 countries\(^\text{17}\) to inform behaviour change efforts, from awareness raising to the promotion of good practices and prudent use. The results of the KAP study in Africa were published in a PLoS ONE Special Issue on AMR: Towards a bottom-up understanding of antimicrobial use and resistance on the farm: A knowledge, attitudes, and practices survey across livestock systems in five African countries.

27. FAO issued a call for guidance on good practices across various sectors; all documents received (over 350) have been reviewed. Over 50 relevant good practices guidelines have been compiled in a repository that will be made available to all FAO Members.

28. Various guidelines and publications are under development/finalization or have been released for animal production, aquaculture and fisheries, water, soils and plants.
   a) In the aquaculture sector, a publication has been released: *Aquaculture development. (8.) Recommendations for prudent and responsible use of veterinary medicines in aquacultures.*\(^{18}\)
   b) A publication is being finalized on *Animal nutrition strategies and options to reduce the use of antibiotics in animal production (swine, poultry and ruminants).*
   c) FAO Pest and Pesticide Management is revising the Guidelines on Pesticide Legislation and Registration to have the cross-cutting issue of Antimicrobial Resistance reflected. Factsheets on antimicrobial (pesticide) use in plant protection including pesticides management is being developed and will be showcased during the International Year of Plant Health.
   d) The FAO Global Soil Partnership is developing a farmer-to-farmer training platform, the Global Soil Doctors Programme, including the training on the appropriate use of organic fertilizers and wastewater that could result in the release of AMR genes and bacteria into the soil.
   e) FAO issued a policy brief on *One Water One Health in integrated water resources management approach*\(^{19}\) for the protection of humans, animals and ecosystems in reducing environmental and public health threats.

29. FAO has been partnering with the Italian Ministry of Health’s Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale to gather global baseline information on bee health and antimicrobial use via an online survey, which was launched in 2019 and available in 10 languages, including six UN official languages. The Guidelines for a proper use of antimicrobials in apiculture is being finalized and will be published in late 2020, which adapts the FAO-PMP-AMR as an aide to good beekeeping practices.

30. A five-year service contract was signed between FAO Bangladesh and Spectrum Mobile Health Inc, Canada for launching of BARA Manush (human) and Murgi (poultry) smartphone apps

---

\(^{17}\) Cambodia, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Lao PDR, Sudan, United Republic of Tanzania, Viet Nam, Zambia and Zimbabwe.


that include clinical guidelines, antimicrobial and pathogen information to assist prescribers in Bangladesh.

31. Several initiatives promoting prudent AMU have been deployed in Sudan, including a smartphone video competition “Video for change in AMR”, technical workshops with poultry and dairy producers, and a joint FAO-WHO behaviour change pilot project TAPs in local primary healthcare settings, farming communities and pharmacies.

E. Multisectoral Collaboration: Tripartite Partnership and Others

32. In May 2018, the Tripartite signed a Memorandum of Understanding. Following this, the Tripartite, in collaboration with the United Nations Environment Programme developed a Tripartite Work Plan on AMR (2019-2020) in support of the Global Action Plan on AMR. It was adopted during the 25th Tripartite Executive Coordination Meeting (February 2019), and was subsequently signed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite in May 2019.

33. FAO formed part of the ad-hoc Inter-Agency Coordinating Group on AMR (IACG). FAO and OIE provided support to the Geneva-based Secretariat of the IACG since May 2018. The IACG Report with 14 recommendations was submitted to the Secretary-General in New York in April 2019.

34. As a follow up to the political declaration of the high-level meeting of the General Assembly on AMR, the Tripartite developed a report on the progress made by the Tripartite Organizations and members, which was submitted to the Secretary General in May 2019.

35. The AMR Multi-Partner Trust Fund was launched in June 2019 to support the implementation of the activities at global, regional and national levels under the “One Health” approach. Funds received from resource partners up to 18 March 2020 include USD 6 million from the United Kingdom Fleming Fund, USD 5 million from the Netherlands and USD 2 million from Sweden. The allocation of this first tranche is planned as follows:

   a) nine low and middle-income countries\(^{20}\) were selected to develop full proposals during the first Steering Committee meeting (March 2020); and
   b) seven concept notes on global programmes were developed and are pending review by the Steering Committee.

36. To strengthen the tripartite collaboration on AMR, a Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) was established, for which FAO has nominated a liaison officer to coordinate joint actions and the delivery of tripartite efforts on AMR.

F. FAO Host Secretariat and Conventions

37. Codex Alimentarius Commission - Codex re-established an ad hoc Intergovernmental Task Force on AMR (TFAMR). The first meeting was convened in November 2017 hosted by the Republic of Korea. The Task Force is revising the existing Codex Code of Practice to minimize and contain foodborne antimicrobial resistance (i.e. CXC 61-2005) as well as developing new guidance for countries on integrated monitoring and surveillance of foodborne antimicrobial resistance.

38. International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) - the 14th Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM-14) meeting noted and expressed appreciation for the discussion on the use of Antimicrobials and Antimicrobial Resistance in respect of plant health as an important topic to monitor. The IPPC Contracting Parties (CPs) further suggested that a Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) Recommendation on AMR be developed in relation to plant health.

---

\(^{20}\) Cambodia, Ghana, Indonesie, Kenya, Morocco, Peru, Sudan, Tajikistan, Zimbabwe.
IV. The proposal of the new FAO Action Plan 2021-2025

39. The Action Plan 2016-2020 will expire at the end of 2020. It has guided FAO’s actions in food and agriculture to combat AMR within the focus areas of awareness, surveillance, governance and good practices. Achievements made have laid down a solid foundation for further actions with a robust One Health and multi-sectorial approach.

40. Lessons have been learned including the need for a strategic approach in order to boost FAO’s leadership within the One Health context. During the implementation of the Action Plan 2016-2020 the importance of having a proper monitoring and progress reporting mechanism was revealed. Therefore, a results chain that will be used as a basis for monitoring FAO progress on AMR activities has been developed.

41. The 127th Session of the Programme Committee underlined the need for a new FAO Action Plan on AMR (2021-2025) and invited COAG and COFI in their upcoming sessions to provide guidance on it.

42. The 128th Session of the Programme Committee recalled that the 163rd Session of the Council invited COAG and COFI in their upcoming sessions to provide guidance for a new Action Plan of FAO for AMR 2021-2025, building on lessons learned and supported by inclusive consultations with Members.

43. In response, FAO has developed a new Action Plan on AMR 2021-2025 building on key lessons learned to date, and further addressing the points below:

   a) the need to strengthen the actions on AMU as one of the main drivers to combat AMR;
   b) emphasizing the need to strengthen the capacity of awareness activities to affect change by focusing also on engagement in calls to action and targeted field interventions; and
   c) ensuring that all AMR work is supported by mechanisms that promote sustainability in the long run.

44. The FAO Action Plan on AMR 2021-2025 lays out five objectives (as below) to focus efforts and accelerate progress, aligning with the Global Action Plan on AMR and the results chain, with corresponding outputs and outcomes. The five objectives are as follows:

   a) Increasing stakeholder awareness and engagement;
   b) Strengthening surveillance and research;
   c) Enabling good practices;
   d) Promoting responsible use of antimicrobials;
   e) Strengthening governance and allocating resources sustainably.

45. The proposed FAO Action Plan on AMR 2021-2025 is presented as an Annex to this document.

V. Challenges

46. FAO is learning from the results of the implementation of the current Action Plan, which will come to an end in 2020. FAO’s engagement with regard to AMR has been resource constrained; until the present, it has largely been supported by extra-budgetary and voluntary Member contributions (or donor funded projects), and to a lesser extent by Technical Cooperation programmes (TCPs). FAO’s work on AMR would benefit from increased resource allocation, which would greatly strengthen the Organization’s support to Members and international community in their efforts to combat AMR.

47. Multisectoral coordination and “One Health” collaboration needs to be further strengthened at global, regional and national levels.
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Foreword

We are at a tipping point. Due to antimicrobial resistance (AMR), drug-resistant infections are placing an ever-increasing burden on human, animal, plant and environmental health. Treatments have become less effective or ineffective. If left unchecked, the effects of the spread of AMR are not unlike the broad-reaching effects of a pandemic. Drug-resistant infections have the potential to join cardiovascular disease and cancer as leading causes of death. AMR may force tens of millions more people into extreme poverty, hunger and malnutrition. In addition, associated economic losses are projected at several percent of gross domestic product. However, we can prevent this from happening – if we act quickly.

Action against AMR is a race against time.

Antibiotics and other antimicrobials are being widely used – and misused – in attempts to control disease-causing microbes in animals, plants and people. Many are already succumbing to drug-resistant infections each year. This means that farmers, herders, growers, fishers and policy makers in agriculture – as well as stakeholders in other sectors – share responsibility for good AMR control.

Simply waiting for new drugs is not an option because of the extraordinary cost and difficulty of research and development. Buying more time for drug discovery and keeping antimicrobials working for as long as we can, depends on multi-sectoral initiatives for responsible antimicrobial use, as well as better practices that reduce the risk of infection and the need for treatment. The success of these initiatives will depend on good governance, evidence and surveillance and effective advocacy and behaviour change programmes.

Progress in these areas has been made but we have more work to do, based on the country self-assessment surveys conducted by the Tripartite comprising of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the World Organisation for Animal Health and the World Health Organization.

The seeds of change were first planted by Members with Resolution 4/2015, followed by the FAO Action Plan on AMR 2016-2020, in support of the Global Action Plan. This updated FAO Action Plan on AMR 2021-2025 recognizes strides made and ongoing challenges. The aim is to help accelerate progress in developing and deploying multi-sectoral National Action Plans to tackle AMR by calling attention to strategic priorities and areas of expertise for FAO support. As resistant microbes are contaminating environments and spreading freely across sectors and borders, globally we are only as strong as our most vulnerable Members.

Thanks to the support of an initial group of resource partners, FAO has been able to establish its AMR Programme and make progress toward reducing AMR risks. However, this extrabudgetary approach is not sufficient for the longer-term. For resource efficiency and wider impact, work on AMR should also be mainstreamed into existing programmes for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals by tapping into stable funding sources. In this way, FAO can continue to work hand-in-hand with countries for food security, economic development, good nutrition and the sustainable use of natural resources. Improving control of AMR is necessary to meet all of these goals.

We can also build on the emergency and rehabilitation activities of past pandemics and zoonotic disease threats to strengthen agriculture systems and economies. FAO is applying modern science and technology and developing innovative approaches to help countries face new challenges and make agriculture more sustainable.

By working together, food systems, livelihoods and economies will be better protected from the destabilizing forces of untreatable illness. Many lives can be saved in this lifetime and for generations to come.

We are One World working together for One Health.

#OneWorldOneHealth

Maria Helena Semedo
Deputy Director-General
### Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>Antimicrobial resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMU</td>
<td>Antimicrobial use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>Codex Alimentarius Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO-ATLASS</td>
<td>FAO Assessment Tool for Laboratories and AMR Surveillance Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO-PMP-AMR</td>
<td>FAO Progressive Management Pathway for AMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAP</td>
<td>Global Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross domestic product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IACG</td>
<td>UN ad hoc Inter-agency Coordination Group on AMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCPM</td>
<td>International Code of Conduct on Pesticide Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>International Plant Protection Convention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMIC</td>
<td>Low- and middle-income country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPTF</td>
<td>Multi-partner trust fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAP</td>
<td>National action plan on antimicrobial resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIE</td>
<td>World Organisation for Animal Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEP</td>
<td>United Nations Environment Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Executive summary

Action against AMR is a race against time. The unchecked spread of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is on track to make drug-resistant infections the cause of the next pandemic. AMR has the potential to make these infections join cardiovascular disease and cancer as leading causes of death. AMR may force tens of millions more people into extreme poverty, hunger and malnutrition. Associated economic losses are projected at several percent of GDP. However, we can prevent this from happening – if we act quickly.

Contributing towards the goal of building resilience in the food and agriculture sectors by limiting the emergence and spread of AMR depends on controlling AMR effectively as a shared responsibility among farmers, herders, growers, fishers and policy makers in food and agriculture – as well as other sectors. Preventative action will provide a strong economic benefit at low cost – especially when compared to the significant percent of GDP expected to be lost if AMR is permitted to develop into a global emergency through the widespread failure of medicines.

The overuse and misuse of antimicrobials in animal and plant production is influenced by an interplay of factors. These serve as targets for action to address challenges ranging from: i) treatment failures driving production losses and food insecurity; to ii) the significant loss of human life.

Once individuals become carriers of antimicrobial-resistant organisms, they can easily spread AMR among communities and across borders. AMR can also reach the general population by spilling over into agriculture products and the environment, contaminating waterways, wildlife and soil. Given the global interconnected web of transmission, a multi-sectoral and multi-disciplinary approach is critical to the success of national action plans (NAPs) for delivering on the Global Action Plan (GAP; WHO 2015).

This FAO Action Plan on AMR 2021-2025 lays out five key objectives (Figure 1) to help focus efforts and accelerate progress:

1. Increasing stakeholder awareness and engagement
2. Strengthening surveillance and research
3. Enabling good practices
4. Promoting responsible use of antimicrobials
5. Strengthening governance and allocating resources sustainably

The Action Plan also includes a results chain (Figure 2) and a detailed list of key activities (Tables 1–5) to help guide the development and deployment of NAPs and FAO support for Members.

KEY MESSAGES

- Globally we are only as protected as our most vulnerable members because resistant microbes cross borders
- A strong evidence base is needed to identify and manage AMR risks before they become large-scale emergencies
- Countries will benefit from surveillance and research to design AMR control programmes and monitor their effectiveness
- Stakeholders need to be enabled, empowered and incentivized to transform awareness of AMR risks into action
- Opportunities to boost profitability through more effective agriculture practices will also help to reduce the burden of infections and emergence of AMR
- Training stakeholders through better guidance on responsible practices will help to phase out growth promotion for animals and ensure judicious antimicrobial pesticide use for plants
- Equal access to expert advice, prescriptions and appropriate antimicrobials, as well as AMR-relevant policies and legislation, will help tackle the challenge of antimicrobial misuse while also boosting production
- Support is needed for research and innovations in antimicrobials, alternatives, diagnostics and production
- The economic case for market-based approaches and public and private investments can support resource mobilization to deliver national plans
- Mainstreaming AMR into programmes for achieving the SDGs will help accelerate progress and boost resilience to health crises for global prosperity
FAO brings expertise in aquatic and terrestrial animal health and production, food and feed safety, genetic resources, crop production, natural resource management, risk communication and behavioural change. FAO also supports regulatory frameworks, standards, target-setting, norm-setting and bottom-up processes of collective action.

FAO’s support to tackle AMR has gained momentum. This needs to be maintained and accelerated in order to coordinate the global response for food and agriculture.

Background

Working together to feed our growing global population and protect it from drug-resistant infections

Sustainably feeding an expanding global population depends on how well we protect our food systems from growing threats. This is especially true when it comes to managing antimicrobial resistance (AMR), which is rapidly becoming one of the greatest threats to lives, livelihoods and economies (O’Neil, 2014). AMR is a process whereby microorganisms acquire a tolerance to antibiotics, fungicides and other antimicrobials, many of which we rely on to treat diseases in people, animals and plants.

One of the consequences of antimicrobial-resistant microorganisms is drug-resistant infections. Resistance is already making some diseases in humans, livestock and plants increasingly difficult or impossible to treat. It is undermining modern medicine, compromising animal production and destabilizing food security. The impact of AMR is further amplified by the slow and expensive process of discovering replacement medicines. For these reasons, AMR affects everyone and requires all of us to take urgent action. We need to keep antimicrobials working for as long as possible to buy time for new drug discovery. Together, we must combat the accelerating pace of resistance and make food systems more resilient.

This Action Plan of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) supports the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP; WHO 2015). FAO’s Action Plan serves as a roadmap for focusing global efforts to address AMR in food and agriculture sectors. Protecting food and health systems is a common need of our global society. We also share a responsibility to guard against economic losses as resistant microbes contaminate environments, cross borders and spread freely between people and animals. The time for action is now.

The benefits of acting now to strengthen and implement national plans

Action against AMR is a race against time. The world is expected to produce in the next 30 years the same amount of food as it has produced in the last 10,000 years combined (FAO, 2009; Wolcott, 2019). This heralds unprecedented pressure on our agriculture systems to deliver nutritious food safely and sustainably in the face of climate change, diminishing natural resources and global health threats, which include pandemics and drug-resistant infections.

Within the next 10 years, antimicrobial use (AMU) for livestock alone is projected to nearly double to keep pace with the demands of our growing human population (Van Boeckel et al., 2015). Usage for aquaculture and plants is predicted to continue rising as well. The intensification and specialization of agriculture production is already contributing to infections that we are unable to treat. Moreover, these conditions will speed up the emergence and spread of resistance unless we act now to improve practices for AMR control.

Many improvements in agriculture practices to better control AMR – good nutrition, health, hygiene, sanitation, genetics, husbandry, welfare, environmental protection and growing practices – help boost production in addition to protecting against losses from infectious diseases. This can make agriculture more profitable and more sustainable.
In fact, there is a strong economic benefit for seizing this window of opportunity for implementing practical and preventive adjustments at relatively low cost now compared to the 1–5 percent or greater loss of GDP predicted for countries if AMR remains unchecked. By developing and applying national action plans (NAPs) on AMR, countries can also prevent tens of millions more people from being forced into extreme poverty (World Bank Group, 2017).

FAO is helping countries leave no sector behind

FAO is supporting Members strengthen their capacities to manage AMR risks in food and agriculture sectors. In support of inclusive protection, FAO champions multi-sectoral and multi-disciplinary responses coordinated through strong governance, informed by surveillance and research and which promote good production practices and responsible AMU. The expansion of communication and behaviour change initiatives is also urgently needed to effectively target the drivers of AMR and to empower stakeholders to improve their practices.

Since the advent of antimicrobials, the occurrence of resistant organisms in livestock has grown exponentially, with low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) included (Van Boeckel et al., 2019). This trend is troubling for producers and for patients as a fraction of all drug-resistant infections in humans have also been associated with foodborne or animal sources (CDC, 2013; Mughini-Gras et al., 2019). This supports the call for rapidly phasing out AMU for growth promotion and stronger guidance for preventive AMU in animal production (IACG 2019).

Widespread use of antimicrobials in animal and plant production is influenced by an interplay of factors across sectors (FAO 2016a; O’Neill 2015; Collignon et al. 2018; Caudell et al. 2020). These include:

- anthropological dimensions such as sociocultural, political and economic factors;
- limited biosecurity and production practices that lead to an over-reliance on antimicrobials;
- absent or inadequate oversight of AMU in agriculture with limited access to animal and plant health experts, as well as inadequate training and support for these experts;
- unregulated sales of antimicrobials without prescription; and
- increased availability of counterfeit and low-quality antimicrobials, including products with harmful combinations and sub-therapeutic concentrations.

These are interconnected targets for action to address challenges ranging from: i) treatment failures that trigger production losses and jeopardize food security; to ii) the increased transmission risk of multi-drug resistant organisms – commonly known as “superbugs” – through environments and food chains (O’ Neil, 2014; Smith and Coast, 2013).
Timely action can help limit the spread of foodborne and zoonotic antimicrobial-resistant organisms, which reach humans through a multitude of transmission pathways (FAO, 2016a). These transmission pathways include both direct contact with animals and indirect transmission through the environment and food supply chain. Transmission can originate at the point of production and then be carried by animals and plants into the food chain. Resistant organisms can also be introduced during the handling, processing, transport, storage and preparation of food products.

Once an individual becomes a carrier of antimicrobial-resistant organisms, they can easily spread AMR within and between communities. AMR can also reach the general population by spilling over from human and agriculture sources into the environment and wildlife populations, whereby people can be exposed through contaminated water, soil and agriculture products. Antimicrobials or their residues in terrestrial and aquatic environments – coming from sources such as drug manufacturing plants, untreated community sewage, wastewater/run-off from large animal operations and crops – are also generating selection pressure for the emergence of AMR and contributing to its spread. In parallel to managing AMU, a transition to more sustainable food production practices is essential to better control AMR.

The intermingled web of transmission pathways of antimicrobial-resistant organisms includes the potential for emergence and spread across all sectors and stages of the food supply chain. Therefore, a multi-sectoral and multidisciplinary approach is critical to the success of NAPs. Successful NAPs are also critical for delivering on the GAP (WHO, 2015), in accordance with its Monitoring and Evaluation Framework (FAO, OIE and WHO, 2019).

FAO is providing support to Members through expertise in aquatic and terrestrial animal health and production, food and feed safety, genetic resources, crop production, natural resource management, risk communication and behaviour change, with attention to regulatory frameworks, standards, norm-setting and bottom-up processes of collective action.

Mainstreaming AMR into programmes for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals

FAO leads international efforts to achieve food security for all and recognizes that eradicating hunger – as part of the wider Agenda for Sustainable Development – can only be achieved through timely and widespread action on AMR. In May 2019, the United Nations (UN) Secretary General António Guterres affirmed that, AMR “is a global threat to health, livelihoods and the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals.”

While there is no AMR-specific goal or indicator in the current SDG framework, AMR must be accounted for in global, regional and national plans because it is threatening the achievement of many goals (Wellcome Trust, 2018; World Bank Group, 2017), including:

- Ending poverty (SDG 1) and hunger (SDG 2);
- Promoting healthy lives and well-being (SDG 3);
- Clean water and sanitation (SDG 6);
- Responsible consumption and production (SDG 12);
- Protecting life below water and on land (SDGs 14 & 15); and
- Achieving sustained economic growth (SDG 8).

As women are less likely to be compensated – or compensated at a lower level – than men for their efforts in food production and food preparation (FAO, 2011), there is a disproportionate risk of exposure to resistant pathogens relative to financial compensation, highlighting gender equality issues as well (SDG 5). The ability to better manage AMR and prevent impacts on international relations depends too on strengthening global development partnerships (SDG 17).
Action on AMR is accelerating in line with funding

Since 2015, political commitment and international action against AMR has grown. Beginning in May 2015, the World Health Assembly resolution WHA68.7 (WH, 2015) adopted the GAP on AMR (WHO, 2015), developed by WHO, FAO and OIE. The GAP emphasized the need for a “One Health” approach to combat AMR by involving all sectors of government and society and strengthening coordination between FAO, OIE and WHO. The main objective of the GAP is assisting Members in the development and implementation of multi-sectoral NAPs. The GAP also presented key actions for addressing AMR. A political declaration at the UN General Assembly in September 2016 (UNGA, 2016) additionally requested that the UN Secretary-General submit a report to Members on the implementation of the political declaration, including recommendations emanating from an ad hoc Inter-Agency Coordination Group on AMR (IACG).

In supporting implementation of the GAP, FAO’s Thirty-ninth Conference adopted Resolution 4/2015 (FAO, 2015) on AMR in June 2015, recognizing the importance of mitigating impacts of AMR in food and agriculture sectors and the role of FAO in tackling this global threat. The FAO Action Plan on AMR 2016-2020 (FAO, 2016b) was developed for implementation of this Resolution. FAO’s 41st Conference in June 2019 adopted a second Resolution on Antimicrobial Resistance (6/2019; FAO 2019a) which acknowledged and welcomed FAO’s efforts in addressing AMR as a “One Health” issue and agreed on the need for further support, through extra-budgetary resources. An AMR working group was also established in 2015, creating a mechanism for internal coordination among FAO technical divisions and regional and country offices. At the time of publication, donors for FAO AMR projects have included the United Kingdom, Russian Federation, the United States of America, European Union and Norway (FAO, 2020b).

FAO is supporting the standard setting work on AMR working to further broaden international coordination. In 2017, the Codex Alimentarius Commission, the risk management body of the Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme, established a Task Force on Antimicrobial Resistance (FAO and WHO, 2020). The Task Force is developing science-based guidance on managing foodborne AMR, taking into account the work and standards of relevant international organizations and the “One Health” approach, to ensure that Members have the guidance necessary to manage AMR across the food chain.

In May 2018, FAO, OIE and WHO (the Tripartite) signed a Memorandum of Understanding to strengthen their long-standing partnership, with a renewed focus on tackling AMR (FAO WHO OIE, 2018). This led to a two-year (2019-2020) Tripartite Work Plan, with the involvement of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), which was adopted by the 25th Tripartite Executive meeting (February 2019) and was subsequently signed by the Directors-General of FAO, WHO and OIE.

Funded projects were designed to boost the technical capabilities of countries and strengthen multi-stakeholder collaboration for developing and implementing NAPs in more than 40 lower-middle-income countries (UN 2019a). This includes support for countries to identify and commit resources for national plans.

FAO has facilitated access to resources and technical networks, deployed field interventions and studies promoting good practices and has developed a set of tools (FAO, 2020b) to assist countries in broadening their action on AMR to more food and agriculture sectors.

The FAO Progressive Management Pathway for AMR (FAO-PMP-AMR) has been developed to support countries in identifying actions needed for step-by-step improvements in AMR control and a tool for situation analysis of AMR risks is being used by countries to prioritize actions on the basis of a risk analysis.

FAO has developed a methodology to assess national legislation relevant for AMU and AMR, including the regulatory framework of antimicrobials, legislation aimed at preventing the contamination of food and the environment and legislation to improve animal and plant health status to minimize the need for antimicrobials.

Additionally, with the aim of assisting countries in assessing their national surveillance systems and laboratory diagnostic capacity for AMR detection, FAO has developed and deployed the Assessment Tool for Laboratories and AMR Surveillance System (FAO-ATLASS) in more than 25 countries and 100 laboratories.
In May 2019, all of the activities under the Work Plan directly contribute to the implementation of FAO’s Action Plan on AMR and complement FAO’s activities undertaken with regular and extra-budgetary funds.

In 2019, the Organization initiated the establishment of a technical collaborative network of FAO Reference Centres for AMR. Up to the date of publication, these included institutions from Denmark, Germany, Thailand, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (FAO, 2020a).

The IACG Report published in 2019 – to which FAO contributed through a body of technical advisors – includes 14 recommendations for progress in countries, innovation, collaboration, investment and global governance (IACG, 2019). The follow-up report to the UN Secretary General provided highlights of progress made by Members and the Tripartite Organizations in addressing AMR on the basis of the GAP. The follow-up report also called for urgent support and investments to scale up responses at the national, regional and global levels (UN, 2019a).

In June 2019, an AMR Multi-Partner Trust Fund (AMR MPTF) was launched as a strategic, inter-agency and multi-partner initiative inviting countries, foundations, financial institutions and the private sector to support the Tripartite Work Plan on AMR (FAO WHO OIE, 2020) to accelerate global, regional and national progress.

Most of FAO’s work on AMR to date has been implemented through extra-budgetary funds via donor funded projects. Despite information gaps on AMU and the impacts of AMR in food and agriculture, particularly in LMICs, FAO’s support to tackle AMR has gained a momentum that needs to be maintained and built upon to strengthen the resilience of agriculture and food systems. Many countries have developed NAPs (WHO, FAO and OIE, 2018), but challenges remain for fully operationalizing them in all relevant sectors. Success in meeting the challenge of AMR will depend on continuing coordination of a global response for food and agriculture.

For more information on FAO AMR programming achievements, see updates to the Programme Committee (FAO, 2019a).
**FAO’s vision**

FAO envisions a world free from poverty, hunger and malnutrition (FAO, 2019b). A core value of this work is the practical and stepwise transformation of food systems in an economically, socially and environmentally sustainable way to achieve Agenda 2030 for global health and prosperity (UN, 2019b).

**FAO’s goals on AMR**

AMR is derailing progress on the Sustainable Development Goals as more agriculture producers struggle to prevent and manage infections that threaten to disrupt food supply chains and thrust tens of millions more people into extreme poverty (World Bank Group, 2017). To respond to this challenge and realize its vision, FAO has established two main goals for its work on AMR:

1. Reduce AMR levels and slow the emergence and spread of resistance across the food chain and for all food and agriculture sectors
2. Preserve the ability to treat infections with effective and safe antimicrobials to sustain food and agriculture production

Through the achievement of these goals, FAO will work with stakeholders to increase the capacities of the food and agriculture sectors in managing AMR risks and building resilience to AMR impacts. By working together, FAO and partners will better protect food systems, livelihoods and economies from the destabilizing effects of AMR.

**Objectives**

The five objectives of the FAO Action Plan on AMR 2021-2025 are designed to help focus initiatives at every scale towards meeting the above goal and vision. These objectives (Figure 1) account for strides already made in addressing key challenges, as well as ongoing priorities for action and are intended as a guide for the programming of FAO, its partners and food and agriculture stakeholders worldwide.

These objectives, the results chain (Figure 2) and the key activities (Tables 1–5) can be used as a roadmap for accelerating progress towards setting and meeting national, regional and global targets. Success in containing AMR, keeping antimicrobials working and boosting the resilience of food systems will depend on targeted and sustained efforts in all five areas, which are mutually reinforcing.
Effective control of AMR requires coordinated effort to meet all five objectives, in every country. Buying more time for drug discovery and keeping antimicrobials working for as long as we can, depends on multi-sectoral initiatives for responsible AMU, as well as better practices that reduce the risk of infection and the need for treatment. The success of these initiatives depends on good governance, evidence and surveillance and effective advocacy and behaviour change programmes.
Figure 2. Results chain of inputs, activities, outputs and outcomes for limiting the emergence and spread of AMR and keeping antimicrobials working towards building resilience to AMR in food and agriculture sectors.
Objective 1: Increasing stakeholder awareness and engagement

Many factors drive food and agriculture stakeholders to misuse and overuse antimicrobials. These include persistent disease, limited access to expert advice, inadequate prescription systems and unequal access to appropriate antimicrobials. Barriers to change also exist. These range from structural, economic and environmental constraints to low awareness and risk perception, social norms that contradict good practices and the inability or unwillingness to adopt new practices that reduce AMR risks.

To address drivers of behaviour and barriers to change, FAO is continuing research on stakeholder perspectives to inform behaviour change strategies (Table 1). A key component of this programme is increasing stakeholder awareness of the individual and collective risks posed by AMR, the consequences of inaction and the benefits of choosing new practices.

Progress has been made in raising awareness among food and agriculture stakeholder groups and civil society. However, more work is required to reach every group and population. FAO plans to continue expanding its awareness efforts to promote change and reach new audiences. Now more than ever, focused efforts are urgently needed to transform awareness into action.

To achieve this, FAO will intensify efforts to engage stakeholders in collective problem solving and sustainable behaviour change through appeals to values and motivations. FAO will strengthen its ongoing programmes on risk communication and behaviour change to build knowledge, capacity and willingness for change. FAO will also analyse stakeholder decision-making contexts and pilot the application of behavioural insights to make risk-reductive behaviours easier and more appealing (Table 1). FAO will continue supporting Members to develop an enabling environment that facilitates change and ensures that stakeholders are active, committed and empowered to make that change a reality.

KEY MESSAGES

• Participatory approaches are needed to better understand stakeholder perspectives and motivations
• Barriers to change need to be identified and collaborative solutions piloted for an evidence-based approach to interventions
• Stakeholders need to be enabled, empowered and incentivized to transform awareness of AMR risks into action
Objective 2: Strengthening surveillance and research

Surveillance and research are essential to guide stakeholder decisions on how best to slow the emergence and spread of AMR for the good of food security and global health. Reliable data are needed on antimicrobial resistant microorganisms – their distribution, AMR profiles and prevalence – in addition to data on the extent of AMU and antimicrobial residues along the food and feed chains, as well as through the environment.

Strong surveillance and monitoring programmes collect risk-based epidemiological data on AMR, AMU and antimicrobial residues relevant to each agriculture sub-sector and specific value chain. This information then allows for timely assessment of risks to develop appropriate interventions and monitor their effectiveness over time for AMR control.

Given competing budget priorities, surveillance is also helpful for guiding resource allocation decisions that promote efficiency and preparedness by identifying risks before they become large-scale emergencies.

Some countries have implemented AMR surveillance programmes, while many will benefit from more support to improve laboratory capacity and develop AMR surveillance systems. Now is the time to broaden these efforts to ensure inclusive progress.

FAO plans to continue supporting Members in building and consolidating laboratory and surveillance capacity to generate, collect and analyse high-quality data within national surveillance systems (Table 2). FAO is also creating a global AMR/AMU food and agriculture data platform, complementing the work of WHO and OIE, to help countries detect emerging threats and evaluate the impact of their AMR prevention and control initiatives.

KEY MESSAGES

- Countries will benefit from improved data collection and analysis of AMR, AMU and antimicrobial residues
- Surveillance and research are needed to design AMR control programmes and monitor their effectiveness
- Data gathered inform efficient resource allocation decisions among competing priorities
- A strong evidence-base is needed to identify AMR risks before they become large-scale emergencies
Objective 3: Enabling good practices

Inadequate infection prevention measures and agriculture production practices are major drivers of the misuse and overuse of antimicrobials. This accelerates the emergence and spread of resistance. Also contributing to the spread of resistance are agriculture production practices that unintentionally release resistant microbes into soils and water through irrigation with untreated wastewater, use of manure or untreated biosolid fertilizers (i.e. sewage sludge) and their run-off.

The solution is to support good production practices that will have the dual benefits of reducing the negative impacts of AMR while also bolstering production. Many of these improved practices can also help to protect against potentially devastating losses from infectious diseases and make agriculture production more sustainable. Ensuring the safe handling, processing and storing of foods is also key in controlling the spread of resistant organisms. Moreover, addressing barriers to behaviour change is critical to create an enabling environment that facilitates the adoption of these good practices.

FAO will continue supporting Members in improving terrestrial and aquatic animal production to reduce the incidence of infections, thereby reducing dependence on antimicrobials through better health, hygiene, sanitation and biosecurity practices (Table 3). Ensuring access to vaccines for preventable diseases is particularly important to reduce the need for antimicrobials and avoid their misuse. The development of new vaccines is also needed, starting with diseases for which critically important antimicrobials are being excessively used.

There is also ample opportunity for innovation of alternatives to antimicrobials for treatment of infections and alternatives promoting good health and fast growth through improved genetics, husbandry and feeding. Additionally, phytosanitary measures are crucial to maintain plant health and production and to help control the spread of plant pests, while reducing reliance on antimicrobial pesticides.

KEY MESSAGES

- Good production practices will help to reduce the burden of infections, reducing the need for antimicrobials and the emergence of AMR
- Good practices also extend to managing the spread of AMR in the environment and transmission through the food chain
- There are opportunities to boost profitability through more effective agriculture practices
- There are opportunities to innovate for alternatives to antimicrobials for good health and fast growth in plants and animals
Objective 4: Promoting responsible use of antimicrobials

AMU and misuse are rising with demand for animal and plant products. Given few replacement drug candidates in research and development pipelines, existing antimicrobials need to be better protected from excessive and improper use in order to buy more time for the development of new drugs. At the same time, equal access to appropriate antimicrobials and expert advice is needed to treat infections.

Food and agriculture sectors are in peril because the misuse and excessive use of antimicrobials in food production is increasing the emergence and spread of AMR. This in turn is limiting treatment options. Therapeutic and preventive use of antimicrobials can be improved through better diagnostics and guidance, safely moving away from the use of critically important antimicrobials as a replacement for good practices and for growth promotion. There is evidence that interventions for controlling AMU in food-producing animals reduces the presence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in these animals (Tang et al., 2017). Therefore, better management of AMR is possible.

Antimicrobials are also being used as pesticides to treat plant diseases caused by bacteria and fungi. Despite incomplete evidence, quantity estimates for pesticide usage appear relatively lower in comparison to volumes used for animals. However, the unintentional spread of these substances may have negative impacts on human health and the environment (McManus, 2014). Moreover, their current use in plant production in many parts of the world is considered unsustainable. Growers may face significant losses from resistance unless action is taken to make use of antimicrobial pesticides a last resort.

In many LMICs, there is the added complication of a lack of oversight and regulation for the use of antimicrobials. Therefore, it is essential to encourage all stakeholders – from drug dispensers and sellers to users – to recognize antimicrobials as a public good and use them responsibly, while ensuring fair access to medicines when they are needed. FAO will continue supporting stakeholders to use antimicrobials judiciously, providing the necessary guidance and training for therapeutic and preventive use in accordance with best practices and international standards (Table 4).

KEY MESSAGES

- Improving access to expert advice, prescriptions and appropriate antimicrobials will help tackle the challenge of antimicrobial misuse
- Training stakeholders through better guidance for preventive AMU will help to reduce the excessive use of antimicrobials
- A first step to accelerate action on AMR is phasing out growth promotion for animals and using antimicrobial pesticides for plants as a last resort
Objective 5: Strengthening governance and allocating resources sustainably

Effective governance guides the sustainable management of AMR. This depends on political will and a well-informed institutional framework for innovating, evaluating and strengthening policies and legislation. Studies of different policy approaches, standards, norm-setting and targets at the national, sub-national and farm levels are needed. This research will help identify sustainable options that will yield the greatest impact and return on investment. Clarifying the case for public and private investments, as well as the case for stakeholder economic incentives, will raise the profile of AMR in political agendas and support resource mobilization for delivering national plans.

Drawing from its expertise, FAO will continue assisting Members and regional organizations (e.g. Regional Economic Communities) in operationalizing, monitoring and evaluating NAPs and strengthening country capacity through effective programmes, policies and legislation (Table 5). FAO has developed a methodology to assess national legislation spanning antimicrobial regulation, food safety, animal and plant health, and the environment. The FAO-PMP-AMR is helping countries assess AMR capacity and make stepwise improvements in AMR control. FAO will also continue supporting regional and international “One Health” initiatives and standard setting in collaboration with WHO, OIE and other international partners.

By fostering partnerships with the private sector, academia and other innovators, FAO will continue to build support for the research and development needed to combat AMR.

There is an array of market-based approaches that can further help to accelerate action on AMR. These approaches would also benefit from participatory action whereby actors involve stakeholders early in the development process. This way, stakeholders can develop a stronger sense of ownership and commitment. Constraints can also be better accounted for upstream of implementation for greater success and lasting results.

KEY MESSAGES

• Multi-sectoral and multi-disciplinary collaborations are needed for effective national plans and targets
• Strengthening policies and regulatory frameworks for AMR containment – as well as incentive-based approaches – present opportunities for accelerating action on AMR
• Support is needed for research and innovations in antimicrobials, alternatives, diagnostics and production
• The economic case for incentives and public and private investments can support resource mobilization to deliver national plans
Key Activities for 2021–2025

For achieving the goals and vision, FAO has developed a list of key activities (Tables 1–5) aligned with the five objectives (Figure 1) and corresponding outputs and outcomes in results chain (Figure 2). These activities are intended to guide FAO programming for a five-year period and will be amended as needed to reflect progress, new challenges and available resources.

Activities are aimed at global, regional, national and local levels and span sectors, including terrestrial and aquatic animals, crops and the environment. Activities will also build on the technical networks and regional working groups developed since the release of the first Action Plan on AMR (FAO, 2016b), including FAO Reference Centres.

Table 1. Key activities for achieving Outcome 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 1.1</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Awareness raising, risk communication and behaviour change insights are developed | • Develop global, regional and national strategies for awareness raising, advocacy, risk communication and other behaviour change interventions (e.g. nudges). Capitalizing on inclusive and cross-sectoral participation, FAO and partners will develop these strategies to facilitate more impactful awareness and engagement initiatives.  
• Develop guidelines, templates, and other tools to aid partners in the development of their own, targeted products for awareness raising, advocacy, risk communication and behaviour change.  
• Develop surveys, media monitoring strategies and behavioural assessments to understand and measure engagement levels. Measurement of engagement will drive FAO’s strategic vision for how and where to advocate, train, educate and apply behavioural insights to promote calls to action and social movements around AMR risk reduction.  
• Implement communication and behaviour change needs assessments of FAO and partners to ensure gaps are identified for effective design of Output 1.2 training and capacity-building initiatives.  
• Undertake behavioural diagnostics to identify AMR behavioural drivers and barriers to change in target populations; test pilot interventions via randomized controlled experiments; and use results to inform larger-scale interventions and behaviour change strategies. FAO will use statistical evidence and participatory approaches to drive the formulation of awareness and engagement interventions for sustained improvement in political and social action (jointly with output 3.2). |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 1.2</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Initiatives to increase awareness and engagement are supported and implemented | • Develop awareness raising, advocacy and risk communication products adapted to specific stakeholder groups and tailored to cultural contexts. These may include broadcast media, social media, participatory communication products, community engagement activities (e.g. theatre), augmented or virtual reality experiences and other interactive elements to boost engagement.  
• Deliver training to FAO and partners in awareness raising, advocacy, behavioural analysis and intervention design and evaluation to enable effective communication and behaviour change activities for social impact. |
• Support World Antimicrobial Awareness Week (WAAW) and related global, regional, national and local awareness campaigns, channelling lessons learned from Output 1.1.
• Convene meetings for AMR advocacy across sectors to facilitate information sharing and coordination of stakeholder action.
• Provide academia and civil society with guidance on how to include AMR in pre-service education to ensure civil servants and private sector service providers have the knowledge and skills needed to help their communities effectively control AMR.

Table 2. Key activities for achieving Outcome 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome 2: Strengthened evidence through multi-sectoral surveillance and research on AMR, AMU and antimicrobial residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTPUTS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Output 2.1 Laboratory capacity for generating high-quality data and metadata on AMR and antimicrobial residues is improved | • Continue the deployment of FAO-ATLASS through trained local assessors. These assessments evaluate baseline capacities and assist laboratories in improving detection and characterizations of AMR. This work also aids in the isolation and identification of bacterial species of interest for national AMR surveillance.  
• Develop a new tool for assessing existing laboratory capacity for antimicrobial residue testing in feed and foods of animal and plant origin, as well as for residue testing in the environment (soil and water).  
• Support Members with laboratory training, guidelines and protocols for antimicrobial susceptibility and residue testing to ensure that laboratory personnel are provided satisfactory competencies and resources for harmonized procedures that generate high-quality data for treatment of terrestrial and aquatic animal diseases and surveillance.  
• Develop external quality assurance guidance for AMR detection and characterization to tailor support for corrective interventions, ensuring the generation of high-quality data in laboratories participating in food and agriculture AMR surveillance systems.  
• Collaborate to support data-gathering and international efforts determining clinical breakpoints and epidemiological cut-off values for antibiotics used against bacterial pathogens causing animal diseases.  
• Support Members in the use of laboratory information management systems targeting AMR laboratory data collection, analysis and interpretation in the context of national surveillance. |
| Output 2.2 Surveillance, monitoring and research for AMR, AMU and antimicrobial residues is supported | • Continue the deployment of FAO-ATLASS for AMR surveillance systems to assist Members in identifying priority activities for building and improving their national AMR surveillance systems for the food and agricultural sectors.  
• Support a risk-based approach and prioritization of surveillance activities through the implementation of standards consistent with guidance of international standard-setting bodies.  
• Continue supporting the development and implementation of regional frameworks and national programmes for multi-sectoral AMR, AMU and antimicrobial residues surveillance according to national needs, resources, cost-benefit analyses and global frameworks. |
Develop and expand available guidelines for the design of risk-based integrated AMR/AMU surveillance. This includes assistance to Members as they progress in the implementation of their NAPs on AMR.

Develop in collaboration with partners guidelines for support to Members to collect AMU data at the farm-level to advise antimicrobial stewardship programmes.

Provide support for the implementation of local activities to monitor the quality of antimicrobial medicines intended for livestock.

Support risk analysis of AMR/AMU data to inform evidence-based interventions, mitigation and policy formulation.

Support collaborative multi-disciplinary research on how resistance develops, spreads and circulates within and across sectors and the impacts of AMR and AMU on food and agriculture.

Output 2.3
Epidemiology resources and capacities are developed

Develop and pilot the FAO data platform to support Members in the collection of AMR and AMU data generated from the food and agriculture sectors. This includes data on plants and the environment based on the needs and capacity of Members.

Collaborate with OIE and WHO for building the Tripartite Integrated Surveillance System AMR/AMU to advance the global efforts for data on AMR in all sectors.

Develop and provide epidemiology training for AMR and AMU data management and analysis through standalone modules and integrated into existing FAO programmes for epidemiology capacity-building. This supports the implementation of national integrated AMR/AMU surveillance systems and risk analysis to inform initiatives and policies.

Table 3. Key activities for achieving Outcome 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome 3: Good practices to minimize the incidence of infections and spread of AMR are adopted by food and agriculture stakeholders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTPUTS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Key activities for achieving Outcome 4

**Outcome 4: Antimicrobials are used responsibly by food and agriculture stakeholders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTPUTS</th>
<th>ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Output 4.1 Guidance and resources for responsible AMU are developed and shared | • Contribute to the development, revision and update of international standards for prudent use of antimicrobials in food and agriculture in collaboration with partners. These include but are not limited to the CAC “Code of Practice to Minimize and Contain Foodborne AMR”, OIE standards for responsible use of antimicrobials in animals and the International Code of Conduct on Pesticide Management (ICCPM) for crop and plant production.  
• Develop voluntary guidelines for responsible AMU in targeted sectors (i.e. animal, aquaculture, crops) supporting appropriate therapeutic and preventive use, and phasing out unnecessary non-therapeutic uses such as the use of antimicrobials for growth promotion in animals, starting with critically important antibiotics.  
• Develop a repository of tools and guidance materials promoting responsible AMU, including phasing out growth promotion. |
| Output 4.2 Initiatives for enabling responsible AMU are developed and implemented | • Build and maintain networks and platforms from global to national levels for the promotion of antimicrobial stewardship in food and agriculture initiatives among relevant stakeholders.  
• Deliver trainings on the responsible AMU in plant production and protection through the ICCPM to better control the use of antimicrobial pesticides (e.g. antibiotics for citrus greening and triazole fungicides in plant production).  
• Support the deployment of workshops and trainings on tools developed for guiding Members in the practical implementation of voluntary guidelines for prudent AMU |
(e.g. prudent use in aquaculture (FAO 2019b), pigs and poultry (Magnusson et al. 2019)) and international standards (CAC, OIE, IPPC).

- Support governments and professional societies for training and education of producers and dispensers (veterinarians, para-veterinarians and extension services) on responsible AMU.
- Carry out case studies and surveys associating specific animal diseases to AMU quantities and patterns (of use of critically important antimicrobials) to prioritize diseases leading to highest AMU and to analyse prudent AMU adoption levels among stakeholders.
- Carry out value chain analyses of antimicrobials used for animal and plant health and stakeholder assessments to understand level of adoption of prudent AMU from local to global levels.

Table 5. Key activities for achieving Outcome 5

| Outcome 5: Strengthened governance and resource allocation for sustainable AMR mitigation in food and agriculture |
| OUTPUTS | ACTIVITIES |
| Output 5.1 Policies and regulatory frameworks for AMR containment are supported | • Support Members and regional/subregional organizations in revising and updating policies and institutional frameworks for NAP implementation. FAO will continue utilizing its worldwide network and expertise to support countries in operationalizing, monitoring and evaluating their NAPs.  
• Support Members and regional/subregional organizations to revise and update legislation relevant for AMR and AMU in the food and agriculture sectors.  
• Support Members and regional/subregional organizations in phasing out of antimicrobials for growth promotion by providing the necessary guidance and options with accompanying legal underpinning. FAO will also support the phasing out of antimicrobials for growth promotion as part of the legal review and reform processes of countries and regional/subregional organizations. |
| Output 5.2 Research on innovation and incentives in food and agriculture is supported | • Support and strengthen partnerships and collaboration for research and development on innovations (new medicines, point of care diagnosis and alternatives to antimicrobials). FAO will foster partnerships with private sector, FAO reference centres for AMR, academia and other innovators.  
• Support the development of the economic case for protecting food systems from the impacts of AMR including but not only, assessments or pilot studies on phasing out of antimicrobials for growth promotion and AMU targets.  
• Support the development of AMR economic data for sectors under the FAO remit including knowledge-based and economic solutions (e.g. labelling schemes, subsidies, cost-benefit analyses) to better support the adoption of good practices and the generation of AMU/AMR data. In this context, FAO will support studies that reflect the possible outcomes of taking different economic policy approaches at national, sub-national and farm levels. |
<p>| Output 5.3 | • Support AMR global governance through high-level engagements. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partnerships and multi-sectoral collaborations are implemented and supported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Strengthen multi-partner collaboration by inviting new partners to engage with AMR and AMU work to promote cross-fertilization of ideas from across sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support and mobilize resources on national, regional and global One Health cooperation initiatives in relation to AMR through enhanced partnership at all levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Strengthen engagement with FAO Reference Centres on AMR for research, capacity development and technical assistance to Members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support development and implementation of NAPs on AMR through expanded deployment of FAO tools (e.g. FAO-PMP-AMR) for NAP operationalization and prioritization of activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For achieving its goals and vision, FAO has developed a results framework including a list of key activities (Tables 1–5 in Action Plan document) aligned with the five objectives and corresponding outputs and outcomes in results chain (Figure 2 in Action Plan document). The results framework is intended to guide FAO programming for a five-year period and will be amended as needed to reflect progress, new challenges and available resources.

FAO will also report on AMR in relation to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), both for the indicators for which FAO is the custodian as well as the other SDGs to which FAO’s AMR Programme contributes.

The following table describes the outputs to be delivered and their respective indicators under each of the five objectives of the FAO’s Action Plan on AMR. These indicators are meant to monitor FAO’s progress in the implementation of activities. This document also provides an initial step for further development of indicators at outcome and impact levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome 1: Increased awareness of AMR risks in food and agriculture sectors and engagement in changing practices</th>
<th>Output Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTPUTS</strong></td>
<td><strong>Output 1.1</strong> Awareness raising, risk communication and behaviour change insights are developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1.i.1 Number of countries supported by FAO in the development of strategies for awareness raising, advocacy, risk communication and behaviour change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1.i.2 Number of guidelines and capacity development tools provided by FAO to partners for the development of their own communication and behaviour change products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1.i.3 Number of initiatives carried out with the support of FAO to assess stakeholder engagement, analyse barriers to change and evaluate engagement and behaviour change interventions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Output 1.2</strong> Initiatives to increase awareness and engagement are supported and implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2.i.1 Number of awareness raising, advocacy, risk communication and education products developed by FAO tailored to specific stakeholder groups and contexts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2.i.2 Number of initiatives delivered by FAO, including trainings, campaigns and meetings, for AMR awareness raising, advocacy and behaviour change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome 2: Strengthened evidence through multi-sectoral surveillance and research on AMR, AMU and antimicrobial residues</th>
<th>Output indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTPUTS</strong></td>
<td><strong>Output 2.1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| Laboratory capacity for generating high-quality data and metadata on AMR and antimicrobial residues is improved | 2.1.i.1. Number of laboratories that are part of national AMR surveillance systems for food and agriculture assessed using the FAO Assessment Tool for Laboratories and AMR Surveillance Systems (FAO-ATLASS).  
2.1.i.2 Development of a tool to assess laboratory capacity for antimicrobial residue testing in relation to food and agriculture.  
2.1.i.3 Number of laboratory trainings and/or resources (e.g. guidelines, protocols laboratory information management systems) on antimicrobial susceptibility or residue testing supported by FAO. |
|---|---|
| Output 2.2  
Surveillance, monitoring and research for AMR, AMU and antimicrobial residues is supported | 2.2.i.1. Number of national AMR surveillance systems for food and agriculture assessed using FAO-ATLASS.  
2.2.i.2 Number of countries benefitting from FAO support in the development and the implementation of their national AMR and/or AMU surveillance systems and frameworks.  
2.2.i.3 Number of research activities related to AMR/AMU surveillance and monitoring (e.g. scientific articles, expert meetings, technical reports) to which FAO has contributed. |
| Output 2.3  
Epidemiology resources and capacities are developed | 2.3.i.1. Development of the FAO AMR/AMU food and agriculture data platform to support Members’ progress on AMR and AMU monitoring activities.  
2.3.i.2. Number of epidemiology trainings and resources (e.g. workshops, protocols) for AMR and AMU data management and analysis supported by FAO. |

### Outcome 3: Good practices to minimize the incidence of infections and spread of AMR are adopted by food and agriculture stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Output indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Output 3.1**  
Guidance for implementation of good infection prevention and control of AMR is made accessible | 3.1.i.1 Number of resources produced (e.g. repository guidance materials, e-learning courses) by FAO for promotion of good practices related to infection prevention and control of AMR in food and agriculture.  
3.1.i.2 Number of countries for which FAO supports capacity development to promote good practices for infection prevention and control of AMR as determined by International Standards (CAC, IPPC) in food and agriculture. |
| **Output 3.2**  
Interventions effectively promoting good infection prevention and control practices are developed and implemented | 3.2.i.1 Number of countries in which FAO provides support for integration of AMR considerations in national programmes for the health of terrestrial and aquatic animals and plants.  
3.2.i.2 Number of trainings delivered with the support of FAO to professionals (e.g. veterinarians, government officials, farmers) for the promotion of good infection prevention and control practices for AMR. |
3.2.i.3 Number of studies (e.g. knowledge, attitudes and practices surveys, behavioural diagnostics) carried out with the support of FAO building knowledge on effective pilots and techniques for behaviour change and uptake of good practices.

### Outcome 4: Antimicrobials are used responsibly by food and agriculture stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTPUTS</th>
<th>Output indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Output 4.1** Guidance and resources for responsible AMU are developed and shared | 4.1.i.1 Number of resources produced with FAO support promoting prudent AMU (e.g. repository, voluntary guidelines, e-learning courses).  
4.1.i.2 Number of countries for which FAO supports capacity development to promote prudent AMU as determined by relevant International Standards. |
| **Output 4.2** Initiatives for enabling responsible AMU are developed and implemented | 4.2.i.1 Number of initiatives (e.g. meetings, workshops) contributing to the establishment of networks or communities of practice for antimicrobial stewardship facilitated through FAO support.  
4.2.i.2 Number of practical trainings in the implementation of voluntary guidelines and international standards for responsible AMU supported by FAO.  
4.2.i.3 Number of studies conducted with FAO’s support to understand levels of adoption of prudent AMU and antimicrobial value chains in animal and plant health. |

### Outcome 5: Strengthened governance and resource allocation for sustainable AMR mitigation in food and agriculture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTPUTS</th>
<th>Output indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Output 5.1** Policies and regulatory frameworks for AMR containment are supported | 5.1.i.1 Number of i) countries; and ii) regional/sub-regional organizations in which FAO has provided support to strengthen policies and institutional frameworks for NAP implementation (e.g. government-initiated follow-up meetings on formulation and implementing of policy recommendations, action plans and strategies, coordination activities).  
5.1.i.2 Number of i) countries; and ii) regional/sub-regional organizations with legal frameworks assessed and recommendations for legal reform formulated using FAO tools, and/or taking into consideration the relevant international standards and good practices.  
5.1.i.3 Number of i) countries; and ii) regional/sub-regional organizations supported to initiate a discussion on the phasing out, regulation or restriction of antimicrobials for growth promotion. |
| **Output 5.2** Research on innovation and incentives in food            | 5.2.i.1 Number of case studies or economic impact assessments of the application of good practices and optimization of AMU supported by FAO.                                                                 |
and agriculture is supported 5.2.i.2 Number of interventions supported by FAO to generate evidence on the economic incentives.

5.2.i.3 Partnerships established on research and development (e.g. point of care diagnostics, alternatives to antimicrobials).

Output 5.3 Partnerships and multi-sectoral collaborations are implemented and supported

5.3.i.1 Number of initiatives (e.g. networks, regional frameworks, tripartite collaboration initiatives) supported by FAO to strengthen national, regional and global One Health collaboration in relation to AMR.

5.3.i.2 Number of countries that have benefited from support by FAO to identify gaps and operationalize NAPs through the application of FAO tools (e.g. PMP-AMR, situation analysis of AMR risks).
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Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla
00153 Rome, Italy

Follow us on social media and join the conversation using these hashtags:
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