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Challenges to ensure sustainable food security in the future
An additional 2.5 billion persons—to 9.1 billion in 2050

GDP per capita gaps converge only modestly

Agricultural production growth slows down
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Potential impacts of climate change on global food demand and supply
- empirical results based on Agricultural Model Intercomparison and Improvement Project (AgMIP) Phase 1-
The climate modeling chain in AgMIP: from biophysical to socioeconomic

**Climate**
- General circulation models (GCMS)
  - \( \Delta \text{Temp} \)
  - \( \Delta \text{Prec} \)

**Biophysical**
- Global gridded crop models (GGCMs)
  - \( \Delta \text{yield} \) (Biophysical)

**Economic**
- Global economic models
  - \( \Delta \text{Area} \)
  - \( \Delta \text{Yield} \)
  - \( \Delta \text{Cons} \)
  - \( \Delta \text{Trade} \)

RCP’s
- Farm practices
  - \( \text{CO}_2 \)

Pop. GDP
- Reference scenario: SSP2 (no climate change)
- Climate scenario: RCP 8.5

Climate change impacts, percent change in exogenous yields relative to reference in 2050

Source: Nelson et al. (2014).

Note: CR5: average of the five crops
Climate induced changes to global yields, land use, production, trade, consumption and prices relative to reference for CR5 in 2050

Source: Nelson et al. (2014).

Notes: YEXO: exogenous yields; YTOT: final yields; AREA: crop area; PROD: domestic production; TRSH: net imports relative to production; CONS: consumption; PRICE: average producer prices
Conclusions
Take away messages

• Climate impacts will negatively affect commodity prices, with many of the increases ranging from 5-25%
• Food consumption is expected to drop implying that climate change may well exacerbate food security concerns
• Globally consumption responds less than supply because food demand is not so sensitive to price changes
• Still effects will be felt more in specific regions with already stressed natural resources
• Variability in trade and crop area responses is due to the varying assumptions about trade flexibility and ease of land conversion in the models -> both of which imply different degrees of adaptation to changes in agricultural markets
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Terminology

• SSPs: Shared Socioeconomic Pathways
• RCPs: Representative Concentration Pathways
• IPR: Intrinsic Productivity Rate
• AgMIP: Agricultural Model Intercomparison Project (http://www.agmip.org/)
• LPJml: Lund-Potsdam-Jena managed Land Dynamic Global Vegetation and Water Balance Model
• DSSAT: Decision Support System for Agricultural Technology
• HadGEM2: Hadley Centre Global Environment Model version 2
• IPSL: climate model of the Institute Pierre Simon Laplace
Reference scenario details

- Based on the SSP2 narrative
- Assumes a middle of the road growth of the economy with intermediate socioeconomic challenges to climate change adaptation and mitigation
- Population and GDP growth path taken over from the SSP database, based in IIASA and OECD projections respectively

https://secure.iiasa.ac.at/web-apps/ene/SspDb/dsd?Action=htmlpage&page=about
Climate scenario details

- Radiative forcing of over 8.5 watts per square meter by the end of the century
- Excludes potentially positive effects of increasing CO$_2$ concentration
- Crop models assume constant management practices (e.g. sowing dates)
- Crop models did not include effects of increased ozone concentration, increased weather variability and greater biotic stress