Across most of the GMS, change in forestry is largely driven by what happens outside the sector. Increasing populations, rising purchasing power and increasing levels of international trade are placing greater demands on forests. Road network development is widening accessibility and international investment in agriculture is driving new trends in rural economic activity. Extraction of forest products and establishment of agriculture and planted forests, are altering the extent and species composition of forests and reshaping the rural landscape. In general, low wages, rich soils, favourable climate and higher prices for agricultural goods motivate deforestation (Chomitz 2007). Unclear land tenure and weak governance also exacerbate deforestation, although even where tenure is secure and governance is strong forest clearance may be the preferred option. Changes in the composition of economies away from rural sectors and development of urban middle classes may, however, drive demand for environmental services and away from extractive uses of forests.

Mediating current changes, institutional developments are playing an increasing role and sea changes in policy have taken place as a result of influences that are often paid scant attention in forestry. Environmental shocks and calls for social and economic justice, land allocation processes and the effects of overseas remittances and off-farm employment are examples of the ‘hidden hands’ driving change in forestry in the GMS. These influences have in some cases proven stronger than more direct efforts to promote sustainable management of forests and may provide greater stimulus for forest transitions in the future.

Cultural alterations are also helping to pave the way for changes in forestry and rural development. APFSOS I in 1998 noted the increasing integration between communities, mass organizations, environmental NGOs, governments and the private sector. In recent years, global increases in environmental awareness have signalled further modification in the stimulus for forest governance reform in the subregion. There is a wide range of developments likely to affect the direction of progress with SFM. Those identified by ITTO are summarized in Box 3.1.
Box 3.1. Developments that may affect progress with SFM

ITTO listed the following drivers as key influences on progress towards SFM in tropical countries:

- The expansion of planted forests and the use of agricultural tree crops for timber may reduce pressure on the natural forest by supplying an increasing proportion of wood production.
- Declining timber prices and/or increased prices for agricultural products would undermine efforts towards SFM.
- Greater focus on the management of high-value timber species, an expanded range of species and/or increased value addition could increase the profitability of natural forest management.
- Climate change could affect forest growth, yield and even survival. A general drying in the tropics could lead to an increased incidence of forest fire and drought-related changes to forest structure. Conversely, increased rainfall could lead to higher rates of forest growth and could also cause more erosion, landslides and flooding.
- Greater security of tenure may help to increase sustainable management.
- The situation of those peoples who live in or near the forest is unlikely to remain static. If living standards improve and migration to urban centres continues, local pressures on forest may decrease.
- Decentralization may align forest management more closely with local interests, but there is no guarantee that this will favour SFM.
- As affluence increases, public pressure could induce governments to improve management and pay more attention to environmental values.
- The global community could increase its payments for the global environmental services provided by natural tropical forests, thereby improving the economic viability of SFM.


3.1. DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGES

By 2020, an additional 21 million people will join the subregion’s population

The total population of the GMS in 2010 stood at 227 million. By 2020 an additional 21 million people will join the subregion’s population, representing a net growth of 9 percent (UN Population Division 2006). Viet Nam and Thailand account for 69 percent of the subregion’s inhabitants but only 36 percent of GMS forest area (Figure 3.1; Table 3.1). Viet Nam is the most densely populated country in the region although, as elsewhere in the subregion, population growth rates have been slowing (Table 3.1).
With increasing levels of socio-economic development, subsistence-based economic systems are giving way to increased industrialization and service delivery while rates of rural to urban migration are accelerating. Proportions of populations living in rural areas are relatively even across the GMS, although Cambodia and Lao PDR remain less urbanised (Table 3.1). In Cambodia, Lao PDR and Viet Nam, the rural population is still expected to rise, but at a pace below the rate of overall population growth. Myanmar and Thailand have lower rates of population growth and population densities in rural areas are expected to remain relatively static up to 2020.

Table 3.1 Total population, population density and rural population percentage in the GMS, 1990-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>13 956</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2.5 1.7</td>
<td>85 2.3 1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>5 664</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.2 1.6</td>
<td>82 1.9 1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>47 967</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.2 0.8</td>
<td>73 1.0 -0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>63 003</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1.0 0.5</td>
<td>69 0.8 0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>85 029</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>1.7 1.2</td>
<td>72 1.0 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMS</td>
<td>215 618</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1.2 1.0</td>
<td>72 1.1 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia-Pacific</td>
<td>3 604 129</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1.4 1.0</td>
<td>61 0.5 -0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data in Table 3.1 demonstrate that, notwithstanding the recent economic downturn, rural livelihoods are becoming less attractive. The long-term effects of rural depopulation on forestry are dependent on a number of factors. Although regrowth and expansion of forests may occur as people leave the land, low rural incomes and inappropriate land-tenure systems and policy environments may motivate depletion of remaining resources. The current swing towards large-scale commercial agriculture as a driver of forest clearance and the increases in road density around the subregion suggest that variations in population density are likely to correlate less and less with forest cover either within or between countries (Laurance 2007a; DeFries et al. 2010).

The effects of trends in overall and rural population growth will, however, be largely mediated by rural development policy and governance. Where policy promotes forest conversion or where governance is weak, high rural population densities are likely to have detrimental effects on forest resources. Exceptions, where high population densities stimulate investment in forest resources have, however, been reported (Bensel 2008).

Figure 3.2 shows age distribution in the GMS in 2005, together with forecasts for 2020. The rapid ageing trend will result in a 15 percent increase in the non-working population (below 14 or above 60 years) by 2020. At the same time, a 19 percent increase in the working population is expected. The transition to a more balanced age structure is likely to increase demand on forest resources in unison with the effects of overall population growth. Whether new demands favour forest products or forest services will determine the impacts on forests. Growing environmental awareness among the current younger generation may precipitate increased movement towards forest protection. This opportunity will, however, also depend on economic growth and the provision of alternative sources of income. The quality of policies and institutions and governance will also play a determining role.
In addition to population growth and domestic migration and urbanization, outmigration is increasingly recognized as a driver of change in rural development. The advantages of outmigration in terms of overseas remittances have been recognized by several GMS economies, particularly Thailand and, more recently, Viet Nam (IOM 2003). Thailand has also become a magnet for migrants from neighbouring countries, including seasonal workers from Lao PDR and workers with poor prospects in their own country, particularly Myanmar citizens. Several effects can immediately be foreseen and anecdotal evidence exists for each. Firstly, capacity to manage forests in countries of origin is reduced while pressure on land for agricultural production and capacity to extract timber may be reduced. Secondly, low labour requirements may make forestry an attractive option for those leaving farms to work overseas and remittance payments may also be used to intensify agricultural production or educate children, both of which may act to reduce pressure on forests.

The effect of the 2008/2009 economic downturn on demography and forestry is difficult to assess given scant data on population movements. In the aftermath of the Asian economic crisis, reversals in rural-urban migration trends were, however, reported. Fears of increasing rates of deforestation were, however, largely unrealized (see Box 3.2). Since the beginning of 2009, workers in Southeast Asia have been reported to be returning to villages in response to the economic downturn (New York Times 2009). The ultimate scale of migration is difficult to estimate, but effects on forestry are likely to be less significant than the effects of policies pursued in response to the downturn.

Asia-Pacific economies have been rocked by several major events during the last decade: the bursting of the ‘high-tech bubble’ and the World Trade Center attacks in 2001, the SARS epidemic in 2003 and preceding these, the Asian economic crisis beginning in mid-1997. Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia and the Philippines were among the most severely affected. Subsequently, China, the region’s largest producer and importer of forest products, emerged as the main growth engine in interregional trade and became an important export destination for economies in Southeast Asia (ADB 2003). More recently, the global financial system has been thrown into crisis by the 2008/2009 credit crunch and its economic repercussions. The effects on forestry are likely to be mediated by many factors and while deforestation and forest degradation are immediate concerns during periods of volatility, the connection was not found to be strong in relation to the 1997/1998 economic crisis (Pagiola 2001).
3.2.1. Income

Table 3.2 shows that GDP in GMS countries expanded rapidly after 2002 following the region’s recovery from the Asian economic crisis and a global commodities boom. While economic expansion was seen in all countries, the subregion’s biggest economy, Thailand, moved down the subregional ranking – partly due to the severity of the 1997/1998 crisis. Growth in Cambodia was most impressive, albeit from a low baseline, and Viet Nam also recorded high rates of expansion. At the Asia-Pacific level, however, growth rates in the GMS in response to the rise of China and India have been significantly lower than the 8-9 percent per annum seen in South and East Asia between 2004 and 2007 (ADB 2009).

Table 3.2. GDP in Southeast Asian countries 1997-2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GDP (2000 US$ billion)</th>
<th>Average annual growth (%)</th>
<th>Share of SE Asia GDP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td>132.1</td>
<td>173.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMS1</td>
<td>577.0</td>
<td>631.6</td>
<td>844.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 – excludes Myanmar

Source: WDI (2010).

Economic growth rates have been highest in low income countries

GMS countries have maintained their relative positions regarding standard of living as per capita purchasing power parity has increased (Figure 3.3). Rates of increase have been highest in lower income countries: Cambodia, Viet Nam and Myanmar, although absolute gains have been considerably greater in Thailand.

The 2008/2009 credit crunch caused a sharp downturn

The 2008/09 credit crunch has, however, recently caused a sharp downturn in growth rates across the region (Table 3.3). Thailand withstood the initial crisis well – partly as a result of measures taken following the 1997/98 crisis – while low income countries were less affected due to their limited integration into the global economy (World Bank 2009a). The subsequent economic slowdown has disproportionately affected Thailand and Cambodia where reliance on export markets is highest.
In Southeast Asia as a whole, GDP growth fell from 6.5 percent in 2007 to 1.2 percent in 2009, but was forecast to rebound to 5.1 percent in 2010 and 5.3 percent in 2011 (ADB 2010). Countries with limited external linkages escaped the worst effects while export dependent countries were hardest hit. Table 3.3 shows how high levels of export dependence have been correlated with GDP fluctuations. Cambodia has seen the steepest drop in the region due to reduced demand for labour intensive export goods in major markets (World Bank 2009b). Thailand and Viet Nam as food exporting countries have also been hit, while Lao PDR has performed relatively well although exports and imports are expected to fall (ADB 2010; World Bank 2009b).

Figure 3.3. GDP per capita purchasing power parity for GMS countries, 1996-2010 (constant 2005 international dollars) 1


The region may experience lower levels of growth to 2020 Overall, developing Asia weathered the 2009 downturn well and was the first global region to emerge from the crisis. Risks to the global outlook could, however, negate recent growth trends (ADB 2010). While economic stimulus continues, there are concerns that developed countries are converging on ‘lower growth equilibrium’ and that export-led recovery cannot be relied upon to reinflate developing economies in East Asia. Significant risk remains for several GMS economies and GDP across East Asia is expected to grow at more modest rates than anticipated before the downturn (World Bank 2010). Maintained fiscal stimulus in China and regained economic momentum may provide support for developing East Asia, but, excluding China, growth in that subregion in 2009 was only 1.3 percent, only slightly stronger than in sub-Saharan Africa (World Bank 2009b; World Bank 2010).

---

1 2009 estimate and 2010 forecast assume pro-rata growth between GDP and per capita GDP at purchasing power parity.
The subregion also experienced a precipitous drop in foreign direct investment (FDI) following the 2008/2009 downturn. Cambodia and Lao PDR are particularly dependent on FDI as shown in Table 3.3 and countries that rely on external borrowing, such as Indonesia and the Philippines, are also vulnerable to such reductions (ADB 2009). Declines in remittances, which are particularly important in the Philippines, are also damaging economies. Indonesia, Thailand and Viet Nam as significant exporters of overseas workers, may also suffer if the rebound is not sustained (IOM 2003). Asia’s strong performance in poverty reduction has been dampened by the downturn and wage rates have fallen while jobs have been lost from export, manufacturing, construction and services sectors (World Bank 2010).

Table 3.3. Exports and FDI as percentage of GDP in 2007 and GDP growth and forecasts to 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Export of goods &amp; services (% of GDP)</th>
<th>Net inflows of FDI (% of GDP)</th>
<th>GDP growth (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007 2008 2009e 2010f</td>
<td>2007 2008 2009e 2010f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>65.3 10.4 10.2 6.7</td>
<td>10.2 6.7</td>
<td>-2.0 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>37.4 7.9 7.5 6.7</td>
<td>7.5 7.5 6.7</td>
<td>6.7 7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>73.3 3.9 4.9 2.5</td>
<td>4.9 2.5</td>
<td>-2.3 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>76.8 9.8 8.5 6.2</td>
<td>8.5 6.2</td>
<td>5.3 6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e = estimate, f= forecast
Sources: WDI (2010); World Bank (2010).

The effects of the downturn and rebound on forestry are difficult to judge, but analysis of the situation following the 1997/98 Asian economic crisis suggests that impacts may reverberate for an extended period even after ‘recovery’ (Box 3.2). China is currently promoting domestic consumption and this is likely to increase import growth, particularly of raw materials, but also of consumer goods.
KEY DRIVERS OF CHANGE

In relation, the GMS is in a good position to regain momentum from resumed global growth given open trade regimes and export-oriented economies. However, growth in the coming years is likely to lag behind that seen over the past decade due to the need to increase savings in export markets and banking and financial risks remain (World Bank 2009a). Larger economies with greater purchasing power and less reliance on exports are likely to fare better due to greater potential reliance on domestic consumption.

Forestry – through its multiple roles – can play to the prevailing trend

In the long term, it is generally recognized that high rates of economic growth place greater demands on land and forest resources and in forest-rich, less developed countries, the downturn is likely to result in some relief of pressure on forests. More affluent societies can, however, better afford non-commodity forest values than those where weak governance, shortages of alternative livelihood options or undeveloped economies place excessive demands on natural capital (e.g., Lanly 2003). It is important to note, however, that because of the multiple functions of forests, management can be adapted to suit different circumstances. During a downturn, for example, forests can be valuable in providing employment, supplying building materials and acting as safety nets, whereas when growth rates are high, forest values may be more associated with providing materials for, and employment in, export industries, acting as an ecotourism attraction and means of income generation for absentee landlords.

Box 3.2. The Asian economic crisis and deforestation

The Asian economic crisis of 1997/1998 resulted in a protracted economic slowdown, price and exchange rate fluctuation and increased rates of poverty around the region (World Bank 2007). Reversals of rural-urban migration were seen as workers returned to rural areas following job losses. Lower remittances from those still working away from home and changes in relative prices of consumed and produced products were also recorded (Pagiola 2001).

In the Philippines, Thailand and Indonesia, initial concerns that the crisis would result in increased rates of deforestation were largely unrealized due to lower than expected rates of return migration and forests having already been cleared in many areas to which people returned. Even where workers returned to frontier areas increases in forest clearance were not recorded except in parts of Riau and Sulawesi in Indonesia. There were, however, widespread increases in collection of forest products as a result of rising commodity prices and reduced income (Pagiola 2001).

Some reduction in expenditure on protected areas and public works programmes, and road building in particular, were also reported but the overall impact of the economic crisis on deforestation was assessed to be less than had been feared. The most significant effects were thought to be through changes in relative prices and coping strategies of rural households. Immediately following the crisis, and
Despite substantial increases in palm oil prices, oil-palm plantation establishment in Indonesia fell due to the collapse of the financial sector and resulting lack of investment (Pagiola 2001).

Ten years after the crisis, statistics show that rates of oil-palm plantation establishment had been regained in Indonesia and increased rapidly after 2001 (see Section 3.3). The change in relative prices brought about by the collapse of the rupiah made export markets considerably more attractive. In combination with low oil prices and high palm oil prices over the past decade, the rapid rates of forest conversion observed in Indonesia are unsurprising.

### 3.2.2. Structural changes in economies

**Sectoral shifts in economies will continue to affect forestry**

With increasing levels of socio-economic development in the subregion, sectoral shifts will continue to affect forestry. In general, movement away from agriculture and towards industry and services relieves pressure on forests as subsistence agricultural production diminishes. Income growth can at the same time finance forest protection, although population density and rural development policy also play major roles. Additionally, foreign investment in agriculture has brought a new dimension to rural development in the GMS in recent years and may challenge established trends.

**Economies are gradually moving away from agriculture**

In Cambodia, Myanmar and Thailand, large proportions of the population are employed in agriculture as shown in Figure 3.4. Shifts in employment from agriculture to industry and services in Cambodia, and to a lesser extent, Thailand and Viet Nam, are also evident. In Cambodia, 78 percent of the population was employed in agriculture in 1990 compared to 60 percent in 2004. In Thailand and Viet Nam reductions of around 7-8 percent were recorded between 1997 and 2004/2005. In Myanmar and Lao PDR, although a shift away from agriculture is probably taking place, supporting data are not available.
Relatively high rates of rural population growth in Cambodia and Lao PDR (see Section 3.1) are likely to negate the effects of shifts in economic structure on forestry. In Thailand and Viet Nam where rural population growth is low or zero, shifts towards industry and services may have greater impact. In Thailand, forest regrowth and abandonment of agricultural land for example, is already being reported as outlined in the following section.

3.2.3. Economic viability of forest management

The economic viability of forest management for wood production is dependent upon many factors: timber demand; market access; availability of financing; industrial efficiency; benefit distribution; technical and management capacity; and the policy and institutional framework. Natural forests in the GMS have historically provided wood at low cost to consumers both within and outside the subregion. With depletion of commercially valuable species, falling stocks and slow returns on investments, the economic viability of forestry relative to other land uses is changing. The legacy of high-impact logging, high grading and unsustainable management of forests has also come to influence both the present and the future economic viability of forest management (Samsudin et al. in prep.). The rising value attributed to non-consumptive uses of natural forest has also led to greater forest protection, which often rules out harvesting. While mechanisms are being established to internalize costs associated with production of environmental services from forests, there is still some way to go before revenues become available.
Investment in forestry has focused on pulp wood production

With sustainable management of natural production forests yet to emerge on a large scale in the subregion, plantations have become an increasing focus for wood production. The comparative profitability of wood production has, however, often been lower than other land uses associated with higher priced products, shorter return periods or less product competition such as oil-palm and rubber. The longer rotation periods necessary for sawlog production have generally proved less popular and pulp log production has been a more common focus for investment. With increasing recognition of local rights and trends towards allocation of land to local levels, this pattern is likely to be reinforced given the preference of smallholders for short investment periods.

Deforestation rates are linked to commodity prices

Fluctuations in the rate of deforestation have been observed in response to global commodity prices (Stern 2006). Currency depreciations in Southeast Asia following the 1997/1998 crisis were severe and long lasting. In 2005, Lao Kip was trading at 12 percent of its 1997 US dollar exchange rate. Such depreciations across the subregion increased the attractiveness of export markets and the high forest product and agricultural prices that resulted are likely to have contributed to increased deforestation and degradation – especially where institutions were weak (Angelsen and Kaimowitz 1999).

Maintenance of natural forests is highly dependent on policy and its implementation

Up until the 2008/2009 economic downturn, although wood product prices were comparatively high in the GMS due the global commodities boom, SFM failed to make significant ground. Where increases in harvesting do not result in increased investment in the resource base, forest product production is unlikely to be sustained. In Cambodia, for example, it has been suggested the government should have received over US$100 million in logging revenues in 1996, but only received US$10.7 million (Dauvergne 2001).

The economic downturn is affecting forestry in several ways

So far, exchange rate depreciations associated with the current downturn have been small, and effects similar to those seen after the 1997/1998 crisis are unlikely to materialize. Being an integral part of the larger economy, however, the forest sector has been affected in other ways by the downturn (FAO 2009):

- Demand for wood and wood products has declined significantly resulting in scaling down production, decline in trade, mill closures and increased unemployment.
- As existing capacities remain underutilized or closed down, investments in new capacities are being deferred or dropped.
- Recession has dampened the ability and willingness to pay for environmental services and has affected demand for environmental services, including carbon markets, ecotourism, etc.
- Continued economic woes could adversely affect investments in SFM, especially as the capacity of key players like governments, the private sector and communities decline.
The economic downturn has, however, also provided opportunities for the pursuit of more sustainable approaches to management. As attention shifts to rebuilding real assets, creation of employment and the pursuit of green development, forestry could become a core area for economic renewal investments. In relation, forests and wood products have many factors working in their favour during financial crises (Ze Meka 2009):

- The impact of the crisis on wood prices has so far been less dramatic due to trade playing a lesser role in timber prices than for many other commodities.
- The versatility of wood utilization leads to diverse market opportunities.
- The flexibility of forest management (there is no obligation to harvest trees when the market is unfavourable).
- The possibility of combining timber, NWFPs and environmental services to optimize forest output under varying economic conditions.

In the long-term it is likely that forest management for production will only remain economically viable where institutional arrangements are conducive to SFM. Without implementation of improved management, high-paying markets may become unavailable and, perhaps more importantly, the productive functions of forest are unlikely to be maintained.

3.3. TRADE

Trade plays a critical role in the economies of almost all GMS countries. Thailand, Viet Nam and Cambodia are particularly dependent (Table 3.3). With falling barriers to trade and expanding transport routes, the GMS is likely to see increased economic integration and transboundary business activity in the coming years. To facilitate cross-border movement of people, goods and vehicles, the GMS Cross-Border Transport Agreement (CBTA), has been developed (ADB 2005). The agreement aims at cooperating for economic benefits and to increase international competitiveness. The agreement parallels road developments in the subregion that will significantly improve connections to markets for previously isolated and undeveloped areas (see Section 3.5.1). For example, Lao PDR, the only landlocked country in the subregion, is becoming increasingly transected by all-weather roads linking neighbouring countries and deep water ports. Lao PDR is also in the process of accession to the World Trade Organization (WTO) – the last country in the subregion to join.

Implementation of the ASEAN Free Trade Area (AFTA) Common Effective Preferential Tariff agreement (CEPT) of 1992, commits the six original ASEAN member countries to abolish import duties on goods from 1 January 2010 and from 1 January 2015.
for Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar and Viet Nam. Concurrently, ASEAN and China have established a free trade area for the original ASEAN members, with inclusion of Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar and Viet Nam planned for 2010. With effect from 1 January 2010, Malaysia Indonesia, the Philippines and Thailand have joined with China in a free trade block that will eliminate duties on all products (ITTO 2009h). Although trailing China in terms of trading importance, India has also made efforts to cultivate relations with ASEAN through the Look-East policy in 1992. This looks set to influence Myanmar most of all, given India’s focus on using the policy to develop its remote northeast region.

**Tariff reductions will mostly have minor effects on the forestry sector**

The effects on forestry of falling regional trade barriers are likely to be mixed. As only more processed wood products generally carry import tariffs, changes are for the most part likely to affect forest products indirectly through the effects of increased economic development on consumption. Additionally, high tariffs on value-added products may not be removed under upcoming trade agreements if the products are deemed sensitive. Tariffs and non-tariff trade barriers in large and high-paying export markets are also likely to be of more importance to regional exporters of processed wood products than barriers in neighbouring countries.

**Perceptions of tropical hardwoods in international markets are more important**

The perception of tropical wood as a product inextricably linked to illegal logging and environmental degradation is of great significance to the forest product trade in the subregion and it is feared that big importers may shy away as a result. Although consumers in high-paying markets have often been unwilling to pay a premium for certified timber, it is possible that public procurement policy and corporate strategies may lead the way for an exodus from tropical timber markets. Import restrictions in the EU and United States look set to change trading patterns in the region unless measures are taken to improve forest sector control (Box 3.3). Under such a scenario, trade with less discerning partners may expand, although timber prices could fall and reduce the viability of forest management for wood production.

### Box 3.3. Changes to import restrictions in the European Union and United States

The European Commission (EC) is now considering new legislation designed to remove illegal wood from the supply chains of products destined for the European market. The proposal has been influenced by the Lacey Act Amendment passed in the United States in May 2008, but differs in some significant respects. The Lacey Act makes it an offence in the United States to trade in any wood product sourced in contravention of the laws of any other country. It therefore strongly implies, but does not require, that timber-trading companies in the United States implement management systems to minimize the risk of any illegal wood entering their supply chains. The act is already causing strong reaction and activity amongst timber retailers and pressure is being applied to overseas suppliers.

In contrast, while not making it illegal to trade in wood products in contravention of the laws of another country, the EU’s proposed legislation places an obligation on European...
operators to implement a ‘due diligence system’ to minimize the risk of illegal wood entering supply chains. Currently, draft amendments to the legislation are being reviewed by the European Parliament. The earliest that requirements are likely to be imposed on EU operators would be the second half of 2011.
Source: ITTO (2009c; ITTO 2009l).

3.4. AGRICULTURAL EXPANSION

Agricultural expansion is the primary reason for forest conversion in the GMS

The primary reason for forest conversion in the GMS is establishment of cash crop plantations and agriculture, which in recent years has had a stronger impact on forest cover than logging (Stibig et al. 2007). Figure 3.5 shows expansion of agricultural area in the GMS. The highest recent rates of conversion were in Lao PDR, Myanmar and Cambodia, while small reductions in agricultural area were recorded in Thailand. The reductions in Thailand result from a number of causes including voluntary conversion to other land uses including plantation forestry; land confiscation, especially for forest conservation and at higher elevations; and voluntary abandonment of land often linked with unprofitability (Leblond 2008). Although not directly associated with increase in forest area, these trends represent reversals at the agricultural frontier and decoupling between economic development and deforestation.

![Figure 3.5. Agricultural area in GMS countries, 1997-2007](source: FAO (2009).

A few agricultural crops account for a large proportion of deforestation

The overall trend in the subregion is largely due to the expansion of cultivation of a relatively small number of agricultural products (Stibig et al. 2007). In Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar and the highlands of Viet Nam the production of rubber, cashew nuts, coconut and sugar cane, and of cacao, coffee and tea in highland areas has been a major cause of forest conversion.
Changes in coastal zones in Myanmar, Thailand and Viet Nam have taken place as a result of demand for land for shrimp ponds and agriculture and mangrove forests have been lost as a result. Shifting cultivation in the uplands of Myanmar, northern Lao PDR and Thailand has resulted in loss of mostly secondary forest and in central Myanmar, large areas of forest have been cleared for rice paddy establishment in upper Bago Division and around Nay Pyi Taw.

Rubber plantations are expanding in forest areas

Rubber plantations in the GMS are concentrated in Thailand. Smaller areas exist in Viet Nam and Myanmar and in Lao PDR rubber plantations have expanded greatly in upland areas in recent years as rubber prices increased. Between 2002 and 2007, expansion rates were highest in Viet Nam, Thailand and Myanmar. Rubber has been a particularly important cause of forest conversion in Lao PDR, Cambodia, Thailand and the central highlands of Viet Nam (Figure 3.6; Stibig et al. 2007).

Figure 3.6. Area of rubber harvested in GMS countries, 1997-2007

Source: FAO (2009).

Oil palm plantations may spread in the sub-region

Expansion of oil palm plantations is relevant to forestry in the GMS given projected increases in energy demand. In southern Thailand and southern Myanmar, oil palm establishment has been an important cause of forest conversion (Stibig et al. 2007). The growing importance of biofuels resulting from oil price spikes and strategic concerns over energy supply as well as the return of high palm oil prices following 2008/09 reductions suggests that oil palm plantation expansion will continue in the sub-region.
3.5. INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

Infrastructure developments, and particularly transport infrastructure, have long been associated with economic expansion, the spread of markets and extraction of natural resources. In the GMS, road developments have provided access to markets for many isolated populations and have also increased opportunities for investment and trade. At the same time, forest resources have been depleted as loggers, farmers, agribusinesses and developers have moved in. Dam construction has also had significant impacts, particularly in environmentally sensitive upper watershed areas where forests provide valuable services including erosion control and maintenance of water quality, as well as biodiversity conservation.

3.5.1. Roads

In the GMS, road densities are highest in countries with the highest population densities and lowest forest cover – Viet Nam and Thailand (Figure 3.7). Relationships between population density, road length and forest cover are not linear, however. For example, while recorded road density in Thailand doubled over the past decade and population density rose by more than 8 percent, forest area fell by only around 6 percent. Similarly, road densities are high in Viet Nam, although forest cover is increasing.

For countries in the earlier stages of development, road construction has more significant and direct effects on forest area by increasing access to forest areas, improving market access and raising land value. Increased road densities are more likely to accelerate deforestation and forest degradation where regulatory quality is low and it is therefore important for appropriate safeguards to be implemented in relation to road developments and for road alignment to be considered in relation to valued habitats. In Viet Nam, for example, the initial proposal for the Ho Chin Minh Highway now under construction included passage through 13 protected areas (ICEM 2003). Improved transport links, however, also improve access to markets for tree growers and to national parks and protected forests for tourists and certain aspects of forest management may therefore garner support.
The GMS programme is having significant impacts in the Mekong countries

Forests are directly and indirectly impacted

Improved enforcement and customs cooperation are necessary

The GMS programme, supported by Mekong region governments, the Asian Development Bank, as well as the private sector, emphasizes infrastructure development in the Mekong countries. Road developments undertaken as part of the programme are impacting directly on forest cover and on the accessibility of forest areas (Figure 3.8).

The 1 500-km-long ‘East-West Economic Corridor’ links the Indian and Pacific Oceans through Myanmar, Thailand, Lao PDR and Viet Nam. The GMS programme is stimulating economic development across the subregion, but has been criticized for inadequacy of social and environmental safeguards (AMRC 2006; Vientiane Times 2009). Concerns are that the programme will increase access to and facilitate illegal trade in wildlife, timber and other forest products, while also impacting directly on forests. Areas particularly affected include the northwest and southern parts of Lao PDR and northeast Cambodia (Stibig et al. 2007). In Lao PDR, Cambodia and Viet Nam, protected areas adjacent to areas of development are also threatened by biodiversity and resource loss (Corbett 2008; see also Box 2.11).

Impacts on forests associated with road building may be alleviated through heightened attention to law enforcement in vulnerable areas as well as regional cooperation in areas such as customs inspection and information sharing. Without such measures, the current expansion of roads in the subregion will undoubtedly have huge impacts on forest resources and sector targets.
Figure 3.8. Major road developments in the GMS
3.5.2. Dams

Hydropower is an attractive energy source for the subregion

The impacts of activities in the energy sector on forestry in the GMS have been expanding with accelerating economic development. Thailand is highly dependent on energy imports and other countries are increasing their net energy imports. Without alternative sources of energy, hydropower development is a particularly attractive option, both for domestic electricity consumption and for export.

Dams’ adverse impacts are difficult to mitigate

Dam developments are commonly associated with forest loss – particularly in upland areas. A report by the World Commission on Dams (2000) suggested that many dam-related impacts are difficult to mitigate. Governments in the subregion have, however, begun experimenting with offsetting ecosystem and biodiversity losses through investment in conservation, regeneration and protection of high value habitats as detailed in Box 3.4.

Lao PDR is of central interest in subregional electricity generation

Lao PDR, the most mountainous country in the subregion, is of particular interest in relation to dams given the national strategy to become the ‘battery of Southeast Asia’ – six large dams are under construction and at least 12 more are at advanced planning stages (International Rivers 2008). The Nam Theun II Dam provides a high profile example, but many other dams are being built and in the Upper Mekong tributaries of Lao PDR, dam development has been a major cause of deforestation, particularly where forests have been cleared above the inundation area (Stibig et al. 2007). Impacts are expected to increase in coming years as demand for energy in the subregion grows and more and more hydropower development projects are implemented.

Box 3.4. Dam construction and forestry in Lao PDR

Domestic energy consumption is rising by 8 to 10 percent annually in Lao PDR and in 2004, 97.5 percent of electricity came from hydropower (Leechuefoung 2006). In the near future, the Lao Government hopes to export electricity to China, Viet Nam and to Cambodia. The Lao National Committee for Energy has identified reduction in reliance on revenues from forest products as a potential benefit of dam development, but dam construction has often been accompanied by forest clearance and past experience shows that logging is hard to control.

Issues surrounding the Nam Theun II Dam provide some insight into the difficulties associated with the environmental impacts of dam construction. The proposed dam has attracted significant attention from many groups over a number of years (ADB 2001; World Rainforest Movement 2003). Much of the controversy involves the adjacent Nakai Nam Theun National Biodiversity Conservation Area, which has been threatened by logging activities associated with clearance of the dam inundation area (World Rainforest Movement 2001). A number of timber-processing facilities were set up in the area and, following clearance of the reservoir area, large-scale logging continued in the dam catchment area (World Bank 2000). This not only had potential effects on the life span of the dam, given
erosion and siltation risks, but also ran contrary to the initiative whereby the project would contribute US$1 million per annum towards management of the catchment area (ADB 2001). Considerable efforts have been required by the government and its partners to bring the situation under control (World Bank 2002).

### 3.6. THE POLITICAL AND INSTITUTIONAL ENVIRONMENT

**Southeast Asia is subject to considerable outside influence – both economically and politically**

Southeast Asia has long been an area of confluence between larger regional and global powers and has experienced continuous change in recent years. The rise to global eminence in the 1990s of the Asian Tigers and their retreat following the 1997/1998 Asian financial crisis provided a sharp indication of the unpredictability of the global economy (Economist 2008a). A sluggish and patchy recovery suggested the need for governance and economic reform. Confidence in the Southeast Asian economic miracle and ASEAN as a growing regional power subsequently diminished (Anwar 2008). The efficacy of management models built on the principle of harmonious relations was also brought into question (Church 2006).

**Polarities are changing and new alliances are being formed**

The experience of continuing experimentation with democracy, of regional cooperation and of policy reform in communist and former communist states has brought rich variety to an already diverse subregion. From a global perspective, Southeast Asian countries have been drawn in many directions by a wide range of powerful influences. The small size of Southeast Asian economies and the diversity of governments within the subregion have increased the risk of pursuing contradictory policies while possibly also eroding efforts to improve governance (Croissant and Faust 2008). In this context, the strength of ASEAN has yet to be proven, although its role as a conduit to the international arena for member nations has been demonstrated (Dalrymple 2000).

### 3.6.1. Trends in governance

**Forestry stakeholders are changing with the changing roles of forestry**

Historically, governments, the private sector, the military, communities and civil society organizations have played different roles in GMS countries and continue to do so. In almost all countries, business-government coalitions, often with military support, have dominated forestry. Depletion of forest resources, calls for social and economic justice and demands by growing middle classes for forest protection are, however, hastening transference of power to civil society and to local communities.

**The direction of governance and potential effects on forestry are unclear**

Surveys showing that East Asians place greater emphasis on good management and standard of living than democracy may, together with the strong economic performance of some of the subregion’s authoritarian governments, act to slow democratic reform (NIC 2008). Growing frustration at the workings of democracy in
some countries and curtailment of prerequisites such as press freedom, suggest that ‘state capitalism’ may become a preferred development model. In relation, it is unclear whether governance in the subregion will improve with greater movement towards or away from democracy (Economist 2008b). Gale (2006) suggests that business-government coalitions, which are dominant in the Asian model of development, may even work against implementation of sustainable development by allowing business interests to dominate, although emerging ‘forest transitions’ in China and Viet Nam challenge this position (Mather 2007).

Indicators allow assessment of trends in governance

Changes in World Bank Aggregate Governance Indicators between 1998 and 2008 were assessed to provide an indication of the extent to which forestry is likely to be supported by wider national developments in the coming years (Kaufmann et al. 2008). Six indicators cover various areas of governance: (i) Control of Corruption; (ii) Rule of Law; (iii) Regulatory Quality; (iv) Government Effectiveness; (v) Political Stability; and (vi) Voice and Accountability.3

Governance standards declined during the last decade

Taking all indicators and countries into account, the overall picture is of decline in governance standards over the past decade – reductions in scores are evident in almost 75 percent of cases (see Appendix 1). In general, scores in the GMS are particularly low for ‘control of corruption’ and ‘voice and accountability’, but better for ‘government effectiveness’. ‘Regulatory quality’ and ‘political stability’ suffered the steepest declines in score across the subregion between 1998 and 2008. These results suggest that forestry will not, in the short-term, benefit from significant improvements in governance. It is also important to note that countries with the most significant remaining forest resources are also those scoring lowest.

Each country has strengths and weaknesses

The best governed country in the GMS is Thailand, while Lao PDR and Myanmar have the lowest overall scores. Reduced political stability and declines in voice and accountability are key concerns in Thailand. In Viet Nam, voice and accountability is poor, although rule of law and political stability have improved in the last decade. In Cambodia, there has been very little change in the overall standard of governance during the past decade, although political stability has improved. In Myanmar, all indicators of governance are low and falling.

3 Governance scores in 1998 and 2008 for Southeast Asian countries are shown in Appendix 1 together with percentile rank for 2008 and change in rank between 1998 and 2008.
Corruption constitutes a significant threat to forestry and to national economies, particularly where revenues from logging are substantial. Control of corruption scores,\(^4\) which correlate closely with rule of law, regulatory quality and government effectiveness in GMS countries, are shown in Figure 3.9. Potential scores range between -2.5 and +2.5, but all countries in the GMS score below zero and exhibit falling trends. In the Asia-Pacific region as a whole, corruption has also shown a marginal increase. Reasons for the relatively poor performance of GMS countries are unclear.

\[\text{Figure 3.9. Control of corruption scores for GMS countries, 1998-2008} \]

Source: WDI (2010).

\(^4\) Measuring perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as ‘capture’ of the state by elites and private interests.
3.6.2. Forest law enforcement and governance

Illegal logging is a serious problem and is increasingly recognized at the international level. In several GMS countries, the socio-economic contribution of forestry remains poorly realized and underestimated due to benefit capture by unaccountable interests. Lack of collection of royalties and taxes has also undercut markets for products from sustainably managed sources while mounting social and environmental costs have been overlooked. In particular, uncontrolled logging has resulted in extensive environmental damage and resources supporting the subsistence needs of rural populations have been removed, often without recompense. The Forest Law Enforcement and Governance (FLEG) ministerial conference in Bali, Indonesia in 2001 constituted a first milestone in efforts to curb illegal logging and trade at the international level. Attended by representatives of Cambodia, China, Indonesia, Lao PDR, the Philippines, Thailand and Viet Nam, the resulting Bali Declaration recognized, amongst other things, the environmental, social and economic importance of forest law enforcement and governance and the necessity of involving both importing and exporting countries in corrective efforts. Demarcation of forest areas, enforcement of property rights and improved stakeholder involvement were among the main areas identified to remedy flaws in existing systems (IISD 2001).

Cambodia has had a turbulent decade of forest governance. In Cambodia, strengthening implementation of forestry policy and improving forest law enforcement and governance have been priority issues since 1998 (Savet and Sokhun 2003). A number of obstacles confronting forestry, including corruption and clientelism have, however, remained untouched by the technological fixes promoted by donors (Rotha 2009). Steps taken to control illegal logging after 1998 were unsuccessful and a logging moratorium was announced in 2001. This resulted in closure of mills, a reduction in illegal logging and also shifts in the focus of illegal logging from commercial to small-scale operators, from few players to many and from export to domestic markets. Key factors determining the future success of FLEG efforts include the degree of responsibility allocated to the Forest Crime Monitoring Unit and the capacity provided to implement direct action (Rotha 2009). Alternative livelihoods for military groups and greater regulation of harvesting and environmental management are likely to reduce illegal logging, although current road network expansion is at the same time liable to expand opportunities.

In Lao PDR, efforts to combat illegal logging are beginning. Forest governance in Lao PDR is still at a relatively early stage of advancement and many problems exist with overlapping and conflicting legislation and directives, unclear jurisdictions, frequent government breaches of written law and a general lack of management or procedural norms (Hodgdon 2008). To control illegal logging, an effort beginning in May 2007 was made to close over 2 000 wood-processing factories across the country. Although overcapacity in the wood-processing sector is a key driver of illegal logging, a large share of the illegally traded timber...
is roundwood and the effects of the mill closures are therefore likely to be minimal. A new Department of Forest Inspection provides an additional means of improving forest sector governance, although greater government resolve is required in relation to forestry sector governance before detailed inspection becomes a relevant activity.

Forest governance in Myanmar is affected by the prevailing political and socio-economic situation. Although the Myanmar Selective System (MSS) includes procedures to verify the legality of logs, it is doubtful whether it continues to be implemented or whether the annual allowable cut is adhered to (Thaung 2009). The Ministry of Forestry is attempting to address forest governance issues although no independent mechanism to verify timber legality has been established. Talks between China and Myanmar have also been held in relation to logging operations in border areas, but concrete actions and greater involvement of regional military commanders and ethnic leaders are still required. A weak judicial system, law enforcement officers’ low pay and replacement of technical officers with military personnel in forestry agencies also pose problems. With the current lack of foreign assistance and low investment in forestry, it is doubtful that the situation will improve in the near future unless reforms are implemented.

In Thailand, illegal logging is still reported at considerable levels and conflicts between authorities, villagers and civil society organizations and between conservation-oriented and people-oriented NGOs are widespread and often fierce. The 1989 logging ban and subsequent forest conservation efforts led to tense opposition between conservation-oriented and people-oriented groups. It is estimated that more than 1 million households in national parks, wildlife sanctuaries and national forest reserve lands are considered illegal by law. As such, the challenge of balancing forest conservation with other forest functions still remains at an early stage in Thailand (Ongprasert 2009).

Despite increased attention to forest law enforcement and governance around the subregion, significant changes on the ground have been slow in developing. Converting rhetoric into action has proved challenging as a result of conflicting priorities, lack of resources and reluctance to stem the flow of forest products for reasons that are not immediately tangible. The reductions in governance scores in much of the subregion suggest that in the future, forestry development may be guided to a greater extent by local-level exigencies, private sector development and civil society action. The following sections outline possible scenarios given potential shifts in economic growth and institutional performance.
Over the past half century, GMS countries have witnessed a major shift from predominantly subsistence, agrarian economic bases to industrialized societies. These changes have been accompanied by growing urban populations and establishment of a number of large regional cities. At the same time, the agricultural frontier has advanced as demand for land and resources from growing populations – both from within the subregion and outside – has increased. The pace of transition has varied, but in many countries government policies have taken advantage of low labour costs and the locus of manufacturing and some service industries has shifted to Asia (NIC 2008). Economic growth in recent decades has largely been fuelled by exports, which in 2007 accounted for 73 percent of the GMS GDP (WDI 2010).

Ten years on from the 1998 Asian crisis another financial crisis – this time centred on developed nations and global financial centres – has erupted, pushing a highly dynamic and increasingly multipolar region into uncharted territory and considerable uncertainty. Recurrence of capital flight following the October 2008 credit crunch must once again weaken the subregion’s confidence in international financial systems, especially as, this time, the crisis cannot be said to be home grown (World Bank 2008). The subsequent slowdown could have severe impacts on GMS economies, as the demand for products declines and foreign investment dries up. Countries with a high share of exports in GDP will be more severely affected depending on how long the situation persists.

The effects of environmental shocks on political processes and of ‘stealth’ influences, such as overseas remittances and migration, on forestry and national development are growing in importance and are set to become increasingly significant as the climate changes and populations mobility rises. Internationally, legislation is increasingly being used to support environmental management where softer approaches have failed, particularly in relation to forest law enforcement and trade. Within the subregion, governance indicators have been falling in all countries and support for SFM may weaken as a result. International efforts to include deforestation and forest degradation in climate change agreements offer hope for additional support for forest management. At the same time, rates of road and infrastructure development across the subregion suggest that in the face of
economic growth and agricultural expansion, any reductions in deforestation and degradation will be hard won.

The following sections develop scenarios for the GMS in 2020, drawing on country papers prepared during the outlook process and additional literature from around the subregion.

4.1 RATIONALE AND METHODOLOGY

A scenario can be thought of as an internally consistent view of the future – not a forecast, but one possible future outcome (Porter 2004). Outlook scenarios may be developed on the basis of broad potential changes in society to help provide perspective on forestry sector development in terms of the overall situation. Scenarios encourage broader thinking, allow expression of generic ideas without risk of censure, present a form of risk analysis and also provide a background against which to develop robust, proactive policy and build system flexibility.

Scenarios were developed according to the following steps:

1. A list of direct and indirect drivers of change in GMS forestry was developed on the basis of country-level outlook processes, literature review and expert opinion.
2. Information on the direction of change in major drivers and their effects on forestry was collected, as detailed in Section 3.
3. Two regionally important drivers with high expected volatility and uncertainty in their future course and also with a high level of importance to forestry were selected. Based on these two drivers, four basic scenarios were constructed by considering the impacts on forestry of combinations of the two variables at contrasting levels (high/low).
4. The scenarios were further developed by taking into consideration other drivers of change – i.e., those estimated to be less volatile in their trajectories and those estimated to have significant but less important effects.

4.2 PARAMETERS USED IN DEFINING SCENARIOS

From the wide range of drivers of change the two factors selected as being most widely influential and of uncertain future trajectory, and used to develop the scenarios were:

1. **Aggregate demand** – encapsulating responses to economic growth, changes in the distribution of income and structural changes in the economy.
2. **Effectiveness of policies and institutions** – this factor includes the quality of decisions made in regulating demand and the effects of demand and the quality of the systems used to implement policy (institutions and governance).

Comparatively long-term stable developments such as population growth and infrastructure development were not included as key variables in the scenario analysis. Rather, it was assumed that changes would be constant across all four scenarios. Similarly, it was assumed that environmental shocks – storms, natural disasters and
climate change impacts, etc. – would be equally likely across all four scenarios despite their potentially pivotal influence on forestry because of the difficulty in predicting events and associated socio-political responses.

### 4.3 DEVELOPMENT SCENARIOS

The four quadrants in the table below relate to different levels of the two key drivers of change. Each quadrant is named according to the outcomes that changes in the drivers will cause as summarized in each box.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low aggregate demand</th>
<th>High aggregate demand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poor policy and institutional performance</strong></td>
<td><strong>Effective policy and institutional performance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Socio-economic development stalls</td>
<td>2. Unsustainable growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic growth – recession; high income disparities and high levels of poverty</td>
<td>Economic growth – at pre-credit crunch rates; mainly based on natural resource exploitation with low investment in human resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic – little change but more people stay in agriculture</td>
<td>Demographic – gradual movement away from agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental policies – low impact</td>
<td>Environmental policies – low impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand for land and natural resources – lower</td>
<td>Demand for land and natural resources – high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic growth – recession but development continues on the basis of reformed economic and social policies</td>
<td>Economic growth – at pre-credit crunch rates; low income disparities and low levels of poverty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic – little change but more people stay in agriculture</td>
<td>Demographic – gradual movement away from agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental policies – high impact but dependent on funding</td>
<td>Environmental policies – high impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand for land and natural resources – low</td>
<td>Demand for land and natural resources – lower</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Hard times** (Socio-economic development stalls)

Socio-economic development stalls as a combined result of the global recession and weak governance. The recession takes a number of years to lift and precipitates social rifts and instability in more fragile areas in the subregion. A multipolar global system emerges and, in comparison with preceding bipolar and unipolar systems, risk of instability is increased (see NIC 2008). People return en masse from cities to rural areas as jobs are lost and some agricultural expansion takes place in frontier areas. Levels of foreign investment and export demand fall as do commodity prices. Countries with less diversified economies and greater dependence on exports and FDI are deeply affected. Greater democratization becomes no more than a long-term prospect as economic globalization without sufficient social and environmental investment undermines liberal institutions and applies increasing pressures to fledgling democracies. As a result, Southeast Asian governments move increasingly towards ‘state capitalism’ as a model for development.

Policy and institutional weaknesses become increasingly apparent and reliance on the informal sector grows, precipitating a downward spiral. Funding for forestry is constrained by lack of credit availability and poor investment environments. Contraction of the formal economy makes way for increased illegal logging and undermines efforts to implement SFM. Logging activities cease in some areas due to unprofitability while more competitive operators increase volumes to maintain income. The net result is a reduction of direct pressure on forests, although active management is also reduced and small-scale illegal activities proliferate. As a result of the downturn, poverty rates rise in forest areas and demand for NWFPs and woodfuel also increases. Environmental shocks provide impetus for policy reform, but responses are weak and populations remain at risk as forest cover in fragile watersheds and coastal areas is disturbed and cleared. Neglect for investment in research and education and human resources compounds the problem and places the long-term management of forests in jeopardy.

Calls for environmental and social justice go largely unheeded due to weak governance and lack of financing to support reform. Due to falling profits and growing corruption, the private sector retreats and abandons efforts to join with civil society in improving environmental and social conditions. International engagement and development assistance diminish and flight of human capital to more prosperous regions is seen. Falling global carbon emissions and reduced pressure on land and forest resources due to the downturn dissuade investment in REDD and forests are left in limbo in relation to carbon funding.

The global economic balance shifts towards Asia while United States and EU markets become less important timber export destinations due to low growth in consumption and stringent legality and certification requirements. Land allocation processes undertaken prior to the recession yield benefits as the recession fades and investment becomes available to increase production of forest products. Elsewhere, investment is discouraged by overlapping jurisdictions, an overbearing state keen to extract revenues and unstable tenure. Except in a few countries where the forestry sector becomes more fully fledged, a long-term decline in forest products production is seen in Southeast Asia and remaining forest resources are trapped in a cycle of degradation without reinvestment or clear allocation of rights and responsibilities.
**Overburn** (Unsustainable growth)

Economic growth continues at a rapid pace and many people benefit from newfound wealth but the risk of economic crisis resulting from structural inadequacies and speculative investment remains. The private sector leads development and creates demand for improved governance frameworks and quality control, but institutions cannot keep up and corruption flourishes. Employment in industry and services expands rapidly nonetheless and urban populations swell while only the young and old remain in rural areas. Investment in long-term planning is limited and environmental costs mount as desires for short-term profits gain the upper hand. Economic activity is geared towards export markets while domestic needs are largely ignored and domestic demand remains low as a result. Social and political polarization increases but while economic growth persists problems are pushed aside.

Natural resources are exploited intensively and little attention is paid to sustainable management and associated human resources development. Remaining natural forests are damaged by overharvesting and weak law enforcement while investment in plantation establishment is limited. Demand for forest products is high and as resources are exhausted, supplies are increasingly sourced from countries where natural forests remain or efficient plantation sectors have been established. Switching to materials with a larger carbon footprint than wood such as concrete, plastic, steel and aluminium also occurs. Increased focus on urban sectors reduces demand on land, however, and logged-over forests in more remote areas are left to regenerate with a proportion of the original biodiversity intact. International efforts to reduce deforestation and degradation fail as a result of mechanistic complexity, limited institutional capacity and competition resulting from high land and commodity prices. By 2020, national levels of financial and physical capital have increased substantially, while social and natural capital have been severely eroded.

Society is strained by a widening generation gap and urban populations become increasingly detached from a rural ethos. Conservationist civil society groups form in urban areas and forge alliances with international environmental NGOs in efforts to prevent further environmental degradation. Governments remain weak and malleable, however, and change in the environmental arena proves difficult to effect in the face of high opportunity costs related to the continuing economic boom. Poverty rates increase where markets fail to reach and erosion of tenurial rights in rural areas forces rural populations off the land. The probability of environmental disasters increases due to changing weather patterns, increasing population densities, expanding infrastructure development in marginal areas and inadequate social and environmental safeguards.

Towards 2020, the mounting social and environmental costs of unconstrained pursuit of economic growth become increasingly apparent as does the threat posed to long-term economic growth. Movement towards more inclusive development is effectively forced on society, but with considerably higher costs and higher levels of social disruption than if steady investments had been made over the years.

In many localities in 2020, the forestry sector is in a state of collapse having been relentlessly exploited without reinvestment. Wood products are increasingly imported due to poor institutional frameworks discouraging investment in planted forests. Market responses lead to a gradual rectification, but the rural landscape is irrevocably changed with few remaining fragments of degraded natural forest in a sea of industrial plantations. Only decades into the future does society fully recognize the losses that took place for want of greater foresight.
**Slow and steady** (Sustainable development)

Economic growth is constrained by falling domestic and international demand, but policy reform and prudent investment in institutional strengthening and environmental rehabilitation stimulate increased social cohesion and environmental robustness. Increasing energy prices drive efficiency improvements and a move towards renewables and recycling while environmental shocks create impetus for wide-reaching policy reforms and a general ‘greening’ of the economy. The shift is strengthened by pressure on the private sector to improve corporate social and environmental responsibility. Mechanisms and incentives available to support forest conservation and sustainable and legal management of production forests are fully utilized and as the efficacy of policy reforms is realized, social capital is strengthened in a virtuous cycle.

Those seeking new opportunities are attracted to overseas jobs, but the improved quality of national investment environments and increasing national pride ensure that revenues contribute to national development through familial links. Gradual shifts in employment towards services and industry occur as society develops, although agriculture remains of central importance and investment yield returns, particularly from export markets. Poverty levels fall as a result of effective rural development programmes and agricultural extensification rates fall. Clarification of jurisdictions in nominally state-owned areas also contributes in dampening demand for land while more modest levels of global economic growth reduce land grabbing.

Forest resources in many areas, having been degraded over many years, are no longer economically viable for production, and diminished conservation values discourage investment for biodiversity conservation purposes. A rethink of forestry sector objectives results in a revision of targets with greater emphasis on quality and less focus on the extent of forest resources than before. Forestry institutions are increasingly divided into production and protection divisions and while productive functions remain under government regulatory control, the private sector is increasingly engaged to manage forest resources for economic purposes.

A stable and efficient institutional environment encourages farmers to plant, grow and harvest trees without undue hindrance or risk. As a result, wood products are increasingly sourced from outside natural forests and pressure is relieved. Improvements in law enforcement and governance stimulate greater investment in, and better management of, forest resources. As a result, income derived from timber extraction rises, leading in turn to greater interest in forestry as an economic sector. Establishment of policy and regulatory frameworks favourable to investors also stimulates corporate investment in plantations and a general upturn in sector productivity and competitiveness is seen. At the same time, focus on promoting sustainable production technologies increases domestic employment as well as environmental sustainability.

By 2020, a switch from natural to human, financial and physical capital is seen and increased attention to equity results in rising levels of social and human capital. Migration of working age populations out of rural areas in search of wages gains pace, but rising standards of living in rural areas encourage leavers to return, bringing investment and higher levels of human resource capacity.

Environmental shocks continue to take their toll in human terms and also put strain on the national economy and infrastructure. National and overseas relief is, however, at hand and sound planning by effective institutions promotes infrastructural improvements which reduce risks associated with future disasters. Improved monitoring of the condition of forest resources also provides information necessary for responses in relation to the unforeseen effects of climate change on forest ecosystems.
Living on the edge (High-growth development)

High economic growth rates are regained following the prolonged scare of economic depression. Institutional performance is improved in response to this sobering alert and the looming threat of climate change. Strain is, however, placed on society and the environment as a result of continued high rates of consumption and socio-economic development. A future in which environmental rehabilitation is increasingly implemented as income increases is credibly envisaged, although corresponding institutional frameworks remain only partially established and full implementation takes some time. A degree of imbalance develops between public and private sectors as economic growth continues to strengthen. Regulatory efficiency is, however, improved and proactive policies are implemented to maintain economic growth while ensuring preservation of social and environmental capital. Environmental shocks serve as turning points and help drive the transition towards a sustainable and low-risk future. A period of considerable strain is experienced as the size and composition of economic sectors and institutions is adjusted, but strong leadership inspires confidence throughout the transition.

Demand for agricultural land increases, but as a result of secure tenure and equitable distribution of land, as well as technological developments, expansion into forest areas is limited. Some forests managed for sustainable yield are, however, overcut by eager logging companies and much secondary forest is converted for crop production, with attendant losses of biodiversity. Large cities and rural areas diverge in social and economic terms as the former set their sights on international standards of living while rural areas remain relatively unchanged. Calls from urban middle classes for environmental protection assert pressure to cease logging and large areas of forest are put under protection with a resulting reduction in wood production and decline in the number and availability of rural jobs.

Forestry institutions are challenged as demand for forest goods and services increases. In response, efforts are made to ramp up productivity – of both goods and services – through improved regulation and encouragement of investment. In the process, forest authorities are obliged to work with a wide spectrum of stakeholders in seeking solutions that balance diverse requirements. Indecision over long-term plans for forestry mount, however, and more hidebound forestry institutions are forced to reinvent themselves under threat of being outflanked and superseded by environmental organizations and rural development actors. A transition develops in which a clear line is drawn between the protection and production roles of forests. In some countries, forestry agencies champion the change while in others their role is diminished. Closer to 2020, caveats in the redefined roles of forests become apparent as populations increase and zonation of strictly protected areas is undertaken to allow limited production in areas of high demand.

In comparison with the Slow and steady scenario, forest resources are less extensive and more highly degraded, but the hope that rising affluence will make for higher levels of investment in the future is maintained. The point at which this will occur remains contentious, however, and some begin to question the wisdom of a more narrow economic focus in an increasingly unpredictable world.
Uncertainty recommends that strengthening institutional flexibility should be a key goal. The four scenarios outlined represent a set of possibilities given different prevailing economic and institutional conditions. Unexpected turns could derail the more likely outcomes and divert the course of events towards a new and unexpected position. Even withstanding radical changes, the different scenarios draw attention to the need for policy and institutional mechanisms to reflect risk by incorporating measures aimed at flexibility and responsiveness. Contingencies aimed at spreading risk and efforts to invest simultaneously in both long- and short-term goals are likely to increase the robustness of sector performance. Poor policy performance, on the other hand is likely to be detrimental to sector performance, not just directly, but also through the reduced levels of investment – both social and economic – that are often associated with volatility. Forestry, with its multiple outputs – wood, poverty reduction, climate change mitigation, biodiversity conservation and watershed protection – is well suited to providing benefits under altered circumstances and through institutional responsiveness the performance of the sector is best guaranteed.

The following sections outline what is likely to be seen in 2020 given the most likely scenarios. Fluctuations in the bottom line between scenarios are most likely to result from poor positioning of forestry within the overall economic framework and scenarios cannot therefore be seen as deterministic predictions of the future under alternate external conditions.
‘Hard times’ and ‘Slow and steady’ scenarios are considered most likely

The 2008/2009 economic downturn and its subsequent effects on regional economies and trading patterns suggest that a business as usual scenario is unlikely to unfold. In this section the two most likely scenarios from the preceding section are instead considered: Hard times and Slow and steady. The key differences between the two scenarios reside in the level of institutional commitment to forests and forestry and the capability to turn that commitment into reality. Slow and steady is a reform scenario whereas Hard times represents business as usual, or worse, under low-growth conditions. Both assume that economic growth rates will be constrained in comparison with rates seen over the decade up to 2008/2009. Policy recommendations outlined in Section 5.6 are proposed measures that, within forestry, would act to bridge the gap between the two scenarios.

Multiple drivers could promote a transition to sustainability

The jump from a Hard times to a Slow and steady scenario parallels changes that would be involved in a ‘forest transition’ – defined roughly as a reversal in the forest cover trend from negative to positive, but also a transformation to management systems that prioritize sustained production of goods and/or services. The stimulus for reforms precipitating forest transitions may develop domestically through, for example, growing pressure from environmental groups, the influence of environmental shocks, claims for social and economic justice or through efforts to increase efficiency through greater use of renewables and recycled goods. A transition could also be promoted through international technical and financial assistance as well as less formal means of knowledge and technology transfer. Similarly, international measures in relation to trade of sustainably and legally produced forest products and forest environmental services could promote SFM. More broadly, reversals in forest decline may result from reduced pressure on land, increasing economic development and structural shifts in the economy, wood scarcity and policy measures both within and outside forestry, and particularly in relation to agriculture (Mather 2007).

With the objective of providing policy-relevant information for consideration at national and subregional levels, the following sections outline what may be expected in relation to forest resources, forest products and forest services.
5.1 FOREST RESOURCES

Forest resource depletion is the dominant trend in the GMS

Demand for land and resources, high economic growth rates and weak governance have led to deforestation and forest degradation across the GMS. Population movement towards urban areas has had a mitigating effect while growing affluence, along with social and cultural development, has increased demand for forest services in several countries. As international markets for agricultural products grow, demand for land from foreign sources is posing new threats to remaining forests. Significantly, FAO has stated that without more land being made available for food production at the global level, many more people will be facing famine by 2050.¹

Forest transitions are beginning in GMS countries

Forest transitions of different character are, however, beginning in the GMS despite the overall trend of forest degradation and slow plantation development. Using national forest area as an indicator and disregarding transboundary displacement² of deforestation and forest degradation, it may be argued that transitions are taking place in Thailand and Viet Nam.

In Thailand forest cover loss has decoupled from economic growth

In Thailand, forest protection measures implemented two decades ago are taking effect. Trees are also regrowing on abandoned agricultural land and forest area has stabilized despite continuing changes in variables previously driving deforestation. For example, a doubling of road density in Thailand over the past decade, together with an 8 percent increase in population density, was associated with negligible reduction in forest cover (WDI 2010; FAO 2010). Plantations on private land are also expanding but are not included in official statistics (RFD/DNP 2009).

In Viet Nam planted forests are expanding

In Viet Nam, forest area is expanding following protection measures, tenure reform and large government reforestation programmes. Primary forest area continues to fall, however. Nonetheless, the correlation between road network expansion and overall forest area has been reversed and although road densities are high and increasing, forest cover is also rising, albeit attributable to establishment of plantation forests.

‘Transitions’ have been associated with export of deforestation

A similar transition is taking place in China as a result of logging bans and reforestation programmes enacted in response to environmental concerns (Mather 2007). In all these cases transitions have been associated with ‘export’ of deforestation and forest degradation to adjacent countries and for this reason it is important to consider regional aspects of SFM.

¹ Food production ‘must rise 70%’ http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/8303434.stm
² Meyfroidt and Lambin (2009) argue that as a result of progressive logging bans in Viet Nam, forest extraction equivalent to 39 percent of forest regrowth between 1987 and 2006 was displaced to other countries including Cambodia and Lao PDR in the 1990s and then also Malaysia, Myanmar and Indonesia.
FORESTS AND FORESTRY IN 2020

Other countries are in the first stages of forestry development

At the national aggregate scale, figures suggest that countries in the GMS other than Thailand and Viet Nam largely remain in the first stages of forestry development. Resource extraction and agricultural expansion remain dominant and, in spite of well intentioned forest policy, measures to conserve, protect and sustainably manage forest resources have yet to be fully demonstrated. At the same time, plantation subsectors remain poorly developed and wood production from areas outside of natural forests remains limited.

Transitions of different types are likely to become more widespread

In the longer term, it is likely that forest transitions of one sort or another will be seen more widely as socio-economic development progresses and measures to promote SFM are strengthened. The qualitative aspects of transitions are likely to differ between countries as a result of differing demand balances between, for example, forest products, biodiversity, carbon and watershed values. In some countries forest transitions may, however, not occur at all due to high population densities, low income and deteriorating governance (Laurance 2007a). The following sections propose what may be seen in 2020 in terms of forest extent and quality.

5.1.1. Forest cover

Forest cover is likely to fall to 46 percent by 2020

If current trends continue, forest area in the GMS will remain constant to 2020 (Figure 5.1 and Table 5.1.). In Cambodia, Lao PDR and Myanmar forest cover is, however, still falling rapidly and it remains to be seen if and when long-term slowing in forest conversion and unsustainable logging will take place. In Thailand and Viet Nam, different trends are evident due to a decoupling of the long-term relationship between forest cover and driving variables such as population density, agricultural area and road length.

3 Model projections were based on the relationship between population density and forest cover. Because of differences in the relationships between forest cover and population density for different groups of countries, separate models were used to estimate forest cover for: (i) Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, and Myanmar; (ii) Malaysia and Thailand; and (iii) the Philippines and Viet Nam. Population density was calculated using medium fertility variant projections from the UN Population Division. All models included ‘year’ as an independent variable to account for the time series nature of the data and dummy variables were included for each country to allow for absolute differences in forest cover resulting from natural endowment and stage of development.
Figure 5.1. Forest area in GMS countries 1990-2020
Source: FAO 2010 and FAO projections

Table 5.1. Forest area in Southeast Asian countries 1990-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>12 944</td>
<td>11 546</td>
<td>10 731</td>
<td>10 094</td>
<td>9 528</td>
<td>8 839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>17 314</td>
<td>16 532</td>
<td>16 142</td>
<td>15 751</td>
<td>15 191</td>
<td>14 736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>39 218</td>
<td>34 868</td>
<td>33 321</td>
<td>31 773</td>
<td>30 624</td>
<td>29 234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>19 549</td>
<td>19 004</td>
<td>18 898</td>
<td>18 972</td>
<td>19 363</td>
<td>19 934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>9 363</td>
<td>11 725</td>
<td>13 077</td>
<td>13 797</td>
<td>15 373</td>
<td>16 875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GMS</strong></td>
<td>98 388</td>
<td>93 675</td>
<td>92 169</td>
<td>90 387</td>
<td>90 079</td>
<td>89 618</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FAO (2010) and FAO projections.

Cambodia’s forests will continue to decline

In Cambodia, projected rates of forest loss are similar to those of the past decade, although it is possible that a reduction in the rate of allocation of economic concessions due to the global economic downturn could reduce rates of forest conversion. Implementation of REDD-related strategies could also slow rates of forest cover loss.

Forest cover loss in Lao PDR is forecast to increase

In Lao PDR, the rate of forest loss is forecast to increase due to the comparatively high current ratio of forest cover to population density. Current information suggests that forest conversion is likely to be driven by expansion of agriculture, unsustainable agricultural techniques, road and dam construction, mining and unsustainable logging. Falling government effectiveness and low voice and accountability suggest that there will be little impetus to avert these drivers. Current moves to implement REDD-related activities should help reduce deforestation and degradation, although human resource capacity at both national and field
levels, and overall government effectiveness, are likely to remain obstacles.

In Myanmar, the lack of a land-use plan and confusion over rights and responsibilities combined with uncontrolled logging, lack of investment or international support and poor political and economic conditions suggest that forest cover stabilization may be some way off. Sustained demand for timber and teak in particular, and lack of engagement in REDD also militate against future reductions in deforestation. In general, corruption is likely to increase in Myanmar due to poor incentives and high rates of inflation. The government is likely to be forced to rely heavily on natural resource extraction, although much will depend on possible government transformations (see Box 5.1; Thaung 2009).

In Thailand, the agricultural frontier has, to a lesser or greater extent, been closed, rural population growth rates are falling and in association, forest cover is expected to continue a gradual increase to 2020 (Table 5.1). Despite the current logging ban, forest encroachment remains an issue in Thailand and is likely to remain important due to population pressure at the local level and demand for land for industrial crop production. Forests are, however, regrowing in different parts of the country on abandoned agricultural land and in areas where shifting cultivation has been eradicated. Short rotation pulp wood plantations are also expanding and are likely to expand further in the future as past constraints are increasingly overcome.

In Viet Nam, although deforestation and forest degradation of natural forests will continue, forest cover is projected to increase at a high rate as rural population density falls and afforestation programmes expand. Forest land allocation is, however, advancing at a slower pace than expected and this may affect forest area expansion. The profitability of forest products production and the size of the forest products industry may also be affected by inefficiencies inherent in allocating small parcels of forest land to many people. Falling demand or production inefficiencies may impact national forest cover expansion given the significance of plantations in Viet Nam’s forest estate.

Box 5.1. The outlook for forestry in Myanmar

For 2020, the following predictions are made in relation to forestry in Myanmar:

- Unless there is political reform and stakeholder inclusion, Myanmar will lose all opportunity to keep abreast with other developing countries in the region.
- Economic sanctions will affect all sectors and force the government to maintain heavy reliance on extraction of natural resources, including forest resources.
- Forest resources will continue to be depleted unless timber export is well regulated.
• Rural people will suffer disproportionately from natural disasters associated with climate change, deforestation, forest fire and illegal forest activities.
• The good intentions of Myanmar’s Forest Policy will not be achieved without institutional reforms, significant reinvestment from the government and international assistance.
• Export of raw logs will remain dominant unless sufficient energy is available for development of value-added industries.
• Institutional weakness will persist due to militarization and poor prospects for professional staff.
• Illegal logging, particularly in border areas, will continue unless Myanmar is included in regional and international efforts.
• Forest governance will remain a challenge, particularly in rebel-controlled areas.
• Corruption and lack of transparency in all sectors, including the forestry sector, will jeopardize policy and institutional intentions.
• Amidst uncertainty and challenges, community forests will gradually emerge.

Source: Adapted from Thaung (2008).

Reversals in deforestation trends may take many years

In the subregion as a whole, lower rates of economic growth are likely to lead to a slowing in forest conversion and forest degradation as demands on forest resources decline. Conclusion of climate change negotiations and firm commitments to reduce global carbon emissions, as well as agreement on REDD, are likely to lead to progressive reductions in deforestation and degradation. Even with strong international commitment it is, however, likely to take years before deforestation and degradation are slowed due to low institutional capacity and the need to divert pressure on forests at a systemic level. Preventing leakage of forest degradation to other areas or to neighbouring countries constitutes another challenge that will need to be overcome. Challenges are likely to be particularly significant in countries with poorly developed institutions – where rates of forest cover are often highest.

High demand for forest products and land will slow transitions

Higher rates of growth in the more advanced Asia-Pacific economies are likely to result in continued pressure on forest resources in countries supplying timber and agricultural products. In the case of countries with limited institutional capacity and large remaining areas of forest – Cambodia, Lao PDR and Myanmar – deforestation rates could remain high and under such circumstances, international efforts to reduce deforestation and forest degradation are likely to be severely challenged.

Slower rates of economic growth must be seen as an opportunity

Under both the Hard times and Slow and steady scenarios it is likely that deforestation and forest degradation will decline due to lower rates of demand on land and forest resources. Under the Slow and steady scenario, however, lower rates of economic growth are seen as an opportunity to make long-term investments in environmentally and socially focused projects and activities that...
provide a foundation for stable future economic growth. Although having to proceed on limited budgets, measures such as labour-intensive reforestation and forest rehabilitation efforts could have positive effects on forest resources and future national production if appropriately managed. Under the Hard times scenario a return to growth would be likely to be associated with a return to unsustainable resource exploitation.

5.1.2. The production and protection roles of forests

Areas of forest designated for both production and protection have increased

In past decades, the proportions of forest area designated for production and for protection have increased at the subregional level as increasingly specific functions have been defined across a wider area of forest and the proportion of forest classified for other purposes has fallen. The share of forest designated for production in the GMS increased from 18 percent in 1990 to 40 percent in 2010, while the area of forest designated for protection and conservation combined increased from 30 to 42 percent (see Figs. 2.6, 2.17 and 2.22). Major shifts towards production were reported in Myanmar, while in Thailand and Viet Nam conservation and protection functions became increasingly dominant.

Perceived scarcity of forest-related goods and services affects the roles of forestry

Key factors determining the future balance between the production and protection roles of forests include the forest products supply-demand balance and the level of forest degradation in relation to demands for environmental protection and related services. Financing for forest protection is also likely to play an increasing role. In relation, it is commonly observed that the first stage of forest development – resource extraction and forest clearance – persists until forest goods and services are perceived as becoming scarce. At this stage, efforts are often made to protect forest resources and increase production of forest products outside natural forests. Broadly speaking, the efficacy of these efforts is dependent firstly upon political will, but also on government effectiveness in the face of pressure to continue forest resource exploitation. Where pressure on forest resources is high and governance is weak, forest resources are less likely to be protected or sustainably managed.

Plantation productivity could be significantly increased

The effectiveness of tree plantation programmes as a means to increase forest products production and protect land and water resources is commonly related to the extent of degradation of natural forests and the effectiveness with which natural forest resources are protected. Currently, the productivity of plantations in the GMS falls well below potential and the current planted area could provide a much higher proportion of the subregion’s roundwood production if optimally managed.

---

4 Social services, multiple purpose, other or no/unknown primary function.
Environmental shocks may precipitate changes in the roles of forestry

Given the considerable importance of natural disasters in influencing environmental and forestry policy in the past – in China, Thailand and the Philippines for example – future events in other countries may elicit similar responses. In combination with growing levels of environmental awareness in the subregion, predictions that climate change will lead to more severe floods and droughts increases the probability of political responses aimed at environmental protection.

REDD is likely to promote forest protection

It is probable that international funding to reduce emissions from deforestation and degradation will reduce timber production from natural forests in participating countries. Although this could increase demand for forest products from plantations, supply from non-REDD countries may also increase. Greater forest protection across a number of countries could also result in increasing dependence on imports from outside the subregion. Although REDD could also support management of forests for sustained production, this remains a relatively unexplored area.

Increased forest protection will have varying effects around the subregion

In response to increased forest protection for watershed and carbon values, the area of natural forests designated for production may fall in countries where widespread forest protection measures are not already in place (Cambodia, Lao PDR, and Myanmar). Countries with better governance are likely to see increasing rates of plantation establishment and demand from existing wood-processing industries is likely to provide further stimulus if natural forest protection measures become widespread. In Cambodia, Lao PDR and Myanmar, however, governance remains weak and wood processing industries are underdeveloped. As a result production of plantation resources may remain low even if forest protection measures are implemented. In Thailand and Viet Nam, where forests are protected and plantation expansion rates are higher, increasing demand is likely to raise rates of plantation expansion.

A ‘Hard times’ scenario would only delay negative trends in forestry

Under a Hard times scenario, unsustainable logging and cash crop expansion rates would fall as a result of reduced demand but, at the same time, implementation of REDD frameworks could be hindered by poor institutional performance and low investment. As such, forests would still be open to renewed threats as demand recovers. It is also likely under a Hard times scenario that interest in plantation establishment rates would be dampened by low investment and poor investment environments.

A ‘slow and steady’ scenario would see increases in both production and protection

Under a Slow and steady scenario, economic and institutional weaknesses will constrain forestry development to a lesser degree and, even if natural forests continue to be degraded, the role of productive and protective plantations could expand where institutional frameworks are supportive. Where forestry agencies fail to meet the new demands on forestry, they could be superseded by environment agencies if protection-related objectives are prioritized.
5.1.3. Forest health and vitality

Forest health and vitality are threatened in numerous ways

The health and vitality of forests are threatened by stressors including uncontrolled logging, hunting and collection of NWFPs, fire, spread of invasive species, and outbreak of pests and diseases. The extent and intensity of these effects is likely to increase as human influences spread into less accessible areas and the subregion’s climate changes. The detailed effects of climate change on forest ecosystems are complex and poorly understood. At the level of organisms and species, changes in temperature, rainfall, wind and humidity are likely to affect many processes – growth, phenology, pollination, seed dispersal, pest and disease resistance, etc. Different effects on different species are likely to affect species’ competitive ability and alter ecosystem composition and balance in unpredictable ways. For example, climate change may, in various ways, both disrupt and improve plant defences, and interactions with fire may cause either negative feedback loops or destabilizing positive feedback loops (Seppälä et al. 2009). Habitat fragmentation and disturbance also opens opportunities for invasive species and reduces the chances of migration of endemic species. As a result of this complexity, adapting forest management to meet the challenges of climate change is a poorly understood area, but it is generally recognized that maintaining healthy, expansive and interconnected forest ecosystems will provide greater opportunity for response and adaptation.

Unresponsive institutions will exacerbate the threats

Assuming a Hard times scenario where institutions remain unresponsive and inflexible, the effects of climate change combined with more direct sources of anthropogenic stress – increasing infrastructure development and habitation, logging, widespread use of fire as a management tool and accidental fire, etc. – could prove devastating to the subregion’s forests. A laissez-faire approach to forest management could lead to the collapse of forest ecosystems across the region similar to the recently documented case in Kalimantan (Curran et al 1999; Curran et al 2004). In addition, forest resources could become a net source of greenhouse gases if temperature rise exceeds 2.5°C (Seppälä et al. 2009). This outcome will be more rapidly achieved if forest health and vitality are jeopardized. Under a slow and steady scenario, forest degradation may be brought under control through uptake of incentives and mechanisms that reward SFM and conservation.

Monitoring and responsive management are necessary

In general, there is a need to implement responsive management systems and to improve ecosystem resilience. Forest monitoring to quickly detect and tackle outbreaks of pests and diseases, implementation of fire control measures, restoration of forest functions after disturbance, reduced impact logging, increases in the number of locations where particular habitats are managed and efforts to connect habitats and landscapes are all necessary.

---

5 A rise in temperature of 1.1 - 6.4°C above late twentieth century temperatures is predicted for Southeast Asia by the end of the twenty-first century (Cruz et al. 2007).
Many of the necessary measures are contained in the concept of SFM and are frequently compatible with forest-related climate change mitigation measures. Seppälä et al. (2009) also cite the need to adopt adaptive and flexible management and institutional measures and take advantages of opportunities as they arise.

In countries developing REDD frameworks (Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand and Viet Nam), preparations will include design of systems for intensified forest monitoring. Examination of the state of forest resources in unprecedented detail will provide a much stronger foundation for developing effective mitigation strategies and more accurate cost assessments. Monitoring will also provide valuable information for adaptation-related interventions. Forest health and vitality in non-REDD countries may receive less attention unless similar mechanisms are established.

In the longer term, and in spite of improved monitoring, many thorny issues will need to be addressed at the field level if SFM is to advance more rapidly. Many of the most pressing concerns lie largely outside the control of the forestry sector and therefore, improving the health and vitality of forests may take many years, during which time degradation may be severe. Slow uptake of SFM in the past, lack of widespread implementation of codes of harvesting practice and criteria and indicators for SFM, together with fragmentation of protected areas and so forth, suggest that forest resources in the subregion will change significantly as the climate changes and human influences increase. Detailed monitoring of forest resources undertaken through activities to prepare for REDD will, however, provide an unprecedented opportunity to assess status and trends in forest resources and could act as a turning point in forest-related decision-making.

### 5.1.4. Extent of forest area under sustainable management

**Demarcation of a permanent forest estate has still not taken place in many countries**

The main issues defining the future of SFM in the GMS concern rates of natural forest clearance and timber production in natural forests. Discussion of SFM is most relevant once a permanent forest estate has been demarcated and forest area has been stabilized. This has taken place to a lesser or greater extent in Viet Nam and Thailand. In Myanmar, there is no national-level land-use plan and although SFM has been widely practised in the past, the situation has deteriorated significantly (Tun 2009). In Lao PDR, production forests and conservation areas have been demarcated but a protection forest system has yet to be established and forest area is changing rapidly.

**Expansion of SFM depends on many factors**

Pockets of sustainable management may nonetheless exist, notwithstanding the situation at the national level. Data on the extent of SFM is scarce, but if certified areas are used as a guide it can be assumed that only a minority of forests are under sustainable management (see Section 2.8). The main determinants of future
expansion in the area of forest under sustainable management in 2020 include:

- Demand for products from sustainably managed forests.
- Political will to improve social and environmental aspects of forest management and economic sustainability.
- Technical and management capacity to implement sustainable management.
- Economic viability of secondary natural forest to be profitably managed for production given past high grading and alteration of species composition following logging.
- External financing for sustainable management.

Increased demand for sustainably produced products may be on the horizon

Increases in demand for products from sustainably managed forests depend to a large extent on consumer requirements and the existence of appropriate systems of verification. Recent experience suggests that although certification provides an incentive for SFM in terms of market access, a price premium has not always been available. As market chains evolve, corporate buyers enact ‘green’ procurement policies and public procurement policies proliferate, it is likely that market links will strengthen and premiums will grow. International measures to promote trade in legal and sustainably produced products are also likely to play a leading role. A recent poll has shown that European citizens overwhelmingly want stricter controls on illegally sourced timber and legislation is under development and should be implemented in the coming years.\(^5\) Implementation of measures associated with the US Lacey Act and similar legislation in the EU will be particularly important (see Box 3.3).

Economic and human resource constraints may yet limit SFM expansion

In several countries, capacity to manage forests sustainably may be lacking despite political will. Constraints are most severe in the least developed countries where governance is weak and illegal logging is widespread. In areas where commercial species are found at lower density and/or forest growth is less vigorous, the economic viability of production is likely also to impose significant constraints. This is particularly true for areas that have been degraded in the past by destructive logging. Overharvesting and high grading, multiple re-entry to logging coupes as demand for new species has risen, and lack of implementation of reduced impact logging techniques have all reduced the value of forests in the subregion. ‘Recapitalization’ of forest resources in many areas will require active rehabilitation efforts and protection before production can resume.

A ‘Hard times’ scenario will undermine SFM

Under a Hard times scenario, it is likely that hopes of implementation of sustainable management across large areas of forest will be constrained for the following reasons:

- Technical complexity in the face of institutional weakness;

----

• Lack of economic viability due to the proliferation of secondary tree species following past rounds of uncontrolled logging;
• Reductions in governance standards and lack of donor support; and
• Continuing apathy of business-government coalitions in regard to SFM.

Under this scenario, sustainable management of natural forests for production is likely to take place almost exclusively in project-supported model forests. Without widespread institutional reform and strengthening, REDD implementation will also come up against the same non-financial obstacles that have constrained the spread of SFM in the past – overlapping jurisdictions, land tenure issues, conflicting claims, low law enforcement and governance capacity and limited technical capacity, etc.

Even with institutional improvements SFM will need external financing

Under the Slow and steady scenario, SFM will be less constrained by external financing and forestry-based responses to environmental shocks and social justice claims have a better chance of being implemented. Under these conditions, SFM may expand in some countries, but only with external financing due to the reduced economic viability of secondary forest for production. In the less advanced countries and provinces in the subregion, deeply-entrenched social issues and insurgency may, however, forestall even the most concerted efforts to institutionalize sustainability.

5.2. WOOD AND WOOD PRODUCTS

Wood and wood products markets are significantly affected by the global and regional economic climate. The effect of the global economic downturn on forest products markets in the GMS has been substantial and industry may struggle to cope with low demand and price volatility in the longer term.

A continued downturn could significantly reduce production

The 2008/2009 global slowdown initially resulted in steep reductions in demand from outside, as well as within the subregion – as happened following the 1997/1998 crisis (see Box 2.5). If the current economic rebound is not sustained, the sawnwood, plywood, pulp and paper and furniture industries in Viet Nam and Thailand are likely to further suffer. Falling investment in construction is particularly likely to impact the sawnwood and furniture industries and investments in paper production are also likely to be curtailed. A lack of new investment could also threaten the long-term future of the wood products industry as technology becomes outdated and comparative efficiencies decline. In the longer term, exchange rate fluctuations could affect the position of producer countries. Weaker currencies will attract more foreign customers but investment accumulation will be limited and no competitive advantages will result (Sasatani 2009).
A rebound could result in resource depletion if sustainability is not prioritized

A sustained economic recovery is likely to result in increased wood production, but further depletion of natural resources will eventually reduce the competitiveness of forest-rich countries. In the medium term, inflation could also reduce the competitiveness of major forest products producers through rising wages, utility fees and raw material costs (Sasatani 2009). Additionally, under conditions of rapid economic growth, shrinking labour forces in rural areas could lead to reductions in wood production.

International trade restrictions will have pivotal effects...

Trade restrictions in high-paying markets outlined in the previous section are likely to have pivotal effects on forest products markets in the GMS if fully implemented. In relation, Table 5.2 shows the value of trade flows that could be affected by the EU and the USA legislation. The EU and the USA account for 4.9 percent of GMS exports of major forest products by value (US$2.3 billion). Countries in the GMS exporting wood to manufacturing centres in, for example, China could also be affected where products are destined for re-export to the EU or the USA.

### Table 5.2. Value of GMS exports of major forest products to the EU and the United States, 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting country</th>
<th>USA</th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>Total value of exports (000 US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value (000 US$)</td>
<td>% of total forest products trade</td>
<td>Value (000 US$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35 993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>20 028</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>38 856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>15 229</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1 494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GMS</strong></td>
<td><strong>35 257</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>77 068</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FAO (2009); FAO (2008c).

...the furniture trade is particularly vulnerable

By value, 75 percent of total wooden furniture exports from the GMS went to the EU and USA markets in 2007 (US$2.6 billion; Table 5.3). The total value of exports of wooden furniture from GMS rose marginally from US$3.6 billion to US$4.0 million between 2007 and 2008, having previously jumped by 35 percent from 2005 to 2007. The most significant exporter is Viet Nam, which accounted for 79 percent of the subregion’s total exports to the EU and USA in 2007. To maintain these flows, exporting countries will need to address legality concerns with great rapidity.

---

6 Industrial roundwood, sawnwood, wood-based panels, woodpulp and paper and paper board.
Table 5.3. Value of EU and USA imports of wooden furniture from GMS countries, 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>USA Value (000 US$)</th>
<th>% of wooden furniture trade</th>
<th>EU Value (000 US$)</th>
<th>% of wooden furniture trade</th>
<th>TOTAL Value (000 US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1 307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7900</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>11 424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>346 411</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>212 547</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>904 348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>1 313 516</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>810 501</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>2 687 882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMS</td>
<td>1 659 960</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>1 031 110</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>3 605 273</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UN Comtrade 2010 (importers as reporting countries).

5.2.1. Production, consumption and trade of forest products

Production forecasts for the major wood products groups for the GMS according to a baseline projection are shown in Figure 5.2 (see Box 5.2 for note on forecasting assumptions). Industrial roundwood production is projected to increase in line with demand while sawnwood production would increase at lower rates as supplies of larger logs become limited and processed board types become more widely used – in accordance with past trends. Woodpulp and paper production is expected to increase more rapidly in response to population growth and increasing rates of literacy. Difficulties with plantation establishment and increased protection of natural forests could, however, disrupt this trend as could measures to introduce or increase levels of paper and fibre recycling.

Box 5.2. Forest products production, consumption and trade forecasts – key assumptions

Projections of wood products production and consumption have been prepared based on statistical analysis and modelling of historical data about forest products production and consumption (Jonsson and Whiteman 2009). The forecasts presented in this section must be viewed in the context of the following assumptions:

1. Continuation of economic growth on the basis of trends in evidence prior to the 2008/2009 economic crisis. The downturn scenario assumes growth rates are 25 percent lower than those projected prior to the economic crisis.

This section shows projected trends in wood products production for the GMS (Jonsson and Whiteman 2009). Figures for all countries under baseline and downturn scenarios are shown in Appendix II.
2. The forecasting model does not include possible future resource constraints and output does not therefore explicitly take into account declining natural forest resources.

3. The forecasting model does not include possible future policy interventions such as forest protection measures, changes in international trading regimes, etc.

Given these assumptions, the forecasts could be realized if a sustained economic rebound comes about, if resource constraints do not appear, or if there are no significant policy changes affecting forests and forestry in the next decade.

---

**Figure 5.2. Projected wood products production in Southeast Asia to 2020**

Note: Industrial roundwood, sawnwood and panels are shown in cubic metres, woodpulp and paper in tonnes.

Source: Jonsson and Whiteman (2009).

A downturn is expected to affect Lao PDR and Cambodia most severely

Under a downturn scenario, with growth rates 25 percent below those assumed under the high-growth scenario, overall levels of production and consumption of wood products in 2020 are expected to be between 10 and 20 percent below the baseline scenario. Production and consumption in Lao PDR and Cambodia are expected to be most affected, with reductions in comparison with the baseline of around 20 and 35 percent respectively by 2020. In other countries, reductions of 10 to 20 percent are forecast.

Paper and paperboard will be hardest hit

Production and consumption of paper are expected to be harder hit by a downturn than other products, while panel consumption is expected to decline more than production given that exports to countries with higher rates of economic growth would continue.

Changes in international trade regimes may have huge effects on wood products markets

Even with a rapid return to high rates of economic growth, legality-related regulations aimed at imports of wood to EU and United States markets may significantly alter trade flows. Public procurement policies and corporate decisions are likely to have similar effects. With a large proportion of higher value-added products destined for these markets being manufactured in...
a few key countries with wood sourced in low-income resource-rich countries, the leverage of such measures on regional trade is immense. Both producer nations and intermediate processing countries are likely to be significantly affected where capability does not exist along the market chain to meet legality and sustainability requirements. Under such circumstances, producers and manufacturers will need to find new markets either within or outside the region. Even where manufacturing is sustained, margins are likely to fall as a result of movement to lower paying markets.

**Industrial roundwood**

Under the baseline scenario roundwood production is expected to increase (Figure 5.3). Net exports (production-consumption) are expected to increase slowly to 3.7 million m³ or 13 percent of production in 2020. Under the downturn scenario, production growth is expected to fall from 2.7 to 1.7 percent per annum (see tables in Appendix 2). These forecasts could, however, easily be diverted from if forest protection measures proliferate as a result of climate change-related agreements or environmental shocks, if international trade regimes change significantly or if there is widespread exhaustion of forest resources.

![Figure 5.3. Production and forecast production of industrial roundwood in GMS countries, 2000-2020](image)

Source: Jonsson and Whiteman (2009).

**Sawnwood**

Sawnwood production in the GMS is forecast under the baseline scenario to increase at 3 percent per annum between 2010 and 2020. Most of the increase up to 2020 is expected to come from Viet Nam, while smaller increases are also forecast in Thailand and

---

8 Sawnwood data reliability has been problematic in the past and forecast increases in production may partly reflect underreporting.
Lao PDR (see Appendix 2). Significantly, Thailand’s net imports are expected to increase from just over half a million cubic metres in 2005 to almost 3 million in 2020 and imports to Viet Nam are also forecast to increase (Jonsson and Whiteman 2009). Under the downturn scenario, lower annual rates of increase in production are expected due to reduced demand.

![Figure 5.4. Production and forecast production of sawnwood in GMS countries, 2000-2020](image)

Source: Jonsson and Whiteman (2009).

**Wood-based panels**

*Panel production in Malaysia is expected to rise rapidly*

Production of wood-based panels is expected to increase in GMS countries by 2020 with around 76 percent of the increase coming from Thailand and 20 percent from Viet Nam (Figure 5.5). Overall, panel production is forecast to increase at a faster rate than industrial roundwood or sawnwood production. Surplus panel production in the GMS is expected to increase by around 200,000 cubic metres between 2010 and 2020. Almost all of this expected increase is accounted for by Thailand, where panel production has been growing rapidly in recent years. Under the downturn scenario, slower expansion in production is expected although net exports are not expected to change significantly.

*Fibreboard and particle board production is likely to grow fastest*

With respect to the mix of panel types, it is likely that due to reductions in the availability of large logs, plywood will lose ground to more processed board types such as fibreboard and particle board (Sasatani 2009). With respect to these board types, the competitiveness of the furniture industry, especially in relation to labour cost inflation, is likely to be central. If rates of economic growth remain high, manufacturers are likely to move to countries where labour costs are lower with resulting repercussions on the wood-panel industry (Sasatani 2009).
Figure 5.5. Production and forecast production of wood-based panels in GMS countries, 2000-2020
Source: Jonsson and Whiteman (2009).

Paper and paper board

Paper production is forecast to slow but much depends on economic conditions

Paper and paperboard production growth is forecast to slow - from 9.8 percent per annum between 2000 and 2005 to 5.0 percent per annum between 2010 and 2020. Most of the increase in production is expected to be in Thailand with smaller increases in Viet Nam. Economic growth and increasing levels of education will mean that consumption in the region will increase in line with production. Under the downturn scenario, production growth between 2010 and 2020 is expected to be lower due to reduced demand and lower levels of investment in new pulp and paper production facilities. Reductions in production can also be expected if paper recycling becomes widespread due to increasing environmental awareness and increasing availability of “green” products.

Figure 5.6. Production and forecast production of paper and paperboard in GMS countries, 2000-2020
Source: Jonsson and Whiteman (2009).
5.2.2. Overview of the future forest products supply-demand balance

**Future forest products production is in the balance**

The future of forest products production in the GMS is very much dependent on investment and the market and policy environment, including such factors as demand, resource availability, management competency, investment conditions, and energy and labour costs (Sasatani 2009). Governance factors are likely to play a key role, and with falling standards of governance in most of the subregion during the past decade the outlook is mixed at best (see Section 3.6.1). Without significant alteration, the next decades may witness a decline in all forms of industrial activity, including forest products production.

**Resource constraints may be decisive**

Resource limitations are likely to constrain supply associated with future market growth and limit expansion of exports to China and other countries. Katsigris et al (2004) estimate that although Thailand, Viet Nam, Lao PDR and Cambodia have very little remaining supply, and Myanmar, PNG and Malaysia will probably exhaust supplies by 2015-2020, the Russian Far East has over 20 years of timber remaining – notwithstanding catastrophic fire, which has caused great damage in the past. It is therefore probable that towards the end of the time horizon of this outlook study, sources of wood supply will move outside the subregion.

**Plantation expansion holds promise for increasing supply**

Although increased demand for wood products is unlikely to be met through sustainable management of natural forests for production, resource constraints and anticipated increases in forest protection could stimulate expansion of plantation resources. Plantation expansion and productivity in Thailand and Viet Nam – the main investors in industrial plantations in the GMS – have, however, been slowed by numerous impediments in the past.

**Great effort will be needed to maintain competitiveness in forest products production**

It is likely that the forest products industry in the GMS will also be affected by growing international concern over sustainable resource management (see Box 3.3). Buyers may even turn away from tropical timber products altogether due to the reputation of tropical forest management and preferences for lighter coloured woods. Slumps in EU imports may result in restructuring of the wood industry, which could have lasting adverse effects on tropical producers (ITTO 2009h). Sustained high levels of economic growth in China could avert a fall in demand, although the competitiveness of sections of the GMS forest products industry may fall due to supply constraints and lack of investment in technology. The propensity for domestic processing in China and associated low wage rates may also challenge less efficient forest products producers in the GMS.
5.3. NON-WOOD FOREST PRODUCTS

NWFPs and their associated markets face an uncertain future

With widespread transition from subsistence to market-based economic systems across the subregion, many NWFPs and their associated markets face an uncertain future. A vast number of products with a wide array of uses provide essential contributions to the livelihoods of many people in the subregion. On the other hand, many functionally equivalent products are already available in mainstream markets and inferior products are unlikely to be accepted. Transition between subsistence and market systems will require selection of NWFPs that have potential for cultivation and commercialization. At the same time, unsustainable exploitation of NWFPs may occur through commercialization, depleting resources available for subsistence and removing NWFP value from the overall value of the forest (e.g., FAO 1998; Angelsen and Wunder 2003).

Many barriers inhibit sustainable management

Despite a high dependence on NWFPs among forest users, many barriers inhibit sustainable management and income generation including tenure security, lack of processing skills and limited market access (CFI 2006). For product markets to expand, domestication and intensification of production will be necessary to improve production efficiency and stability, allow investment and generate revenue. Low profits are, however, a frequent constraint. The diversity of NWFPs, the unique and divergent ecology of different species and the need for relatively sophisticated techniques and institutions for successful management mean that only some products are likely to become more widely marketed while others will remain minor products.

Depletion of wild stocks is likely to continue

Overall, a trend of depletion and unsustainable management of NWFPs paralling the general situation in forest management is likely to continue without concerted efforts to improve management systems. Under a Hard times scenario, commercial demand for NWFPs is liable to fall while subsistence consumption rises. Higher rates of economic growth without improved performance are likely to result in depletion of NWFPs to a greater extent. A Slow and steady scenario could see improvements in sustainable management and economic benefits. Comprehensively addressing NWFP development at the national, provincial and local levels is one way to safeguard social and environmental values associated with this diverse group of products as markets expand (see Box 2.7).

Attention should be given to products for which there is greater market demand

From an economic angle, promotion of NWFPs could yield significant local level benefits and, in this respect, attention should be focused on domesticking species for which there is market demand (FAO 2002). To support sustainable production, access rights to forest products must be established and strategic development of NWFPs is necessary, with increased focus on building market links, cost benefit analysis and private sector development (Warner et al. 2008). Promotion of forest products...
that are abundant and available in the long term is likely to yield greater success. Perhaps most importantly, entrepreneurial activity will be necessary for NWFP development given the difficulty of centrally managing such a vast array of diverse products. Where species are not domesticated, many of the challenges facing SFM and wildlife conservation will have to be overcome for sustained production to be a realistic possibility. In many countries the level of institutional development required may forestall sustainable management and the falling quality of governance in many countries is likely to hinder implementation of frameworks supporting sustainability (see Section 3.6.1).

5.4. SERVICE FUNCTIONS OF FORESTS

Production of environmental services from forests is likely to fall

With a forecast 0.9 percent reduction in forest cover in the GMS region by 2020, the overall production of forest services is likely to be reduced. On a qualitative level, reductions in health and vitality due to overharvesting, substitution of primary forest with plantation forest in the national forest estates, fire and pests and diseases will similarly reduce production of services. Poor management of protection forests and increasing encroachment into protected areas in many countries in the subregion suggest that a reduction in rates of loss of forest services is the most positive outcome that can be expected (see Sections 2.4.1 and 2.4.3).

Forest biodiversity will remain under threat

The balance between demand for environmental services and demand for land and forest products will play a key role in the future production of forest services and it is increasingly likely that international mechanisms will support production of environmental services. At the same time, population growth and economic development will lead to increasing pressure on forests and forest land.

5.4.1. Biodiversity

Protected areas must be supported at all levels

Protected areas have been cited as “the main hope for biodiversity conservation” (Sodhi et al. 2004). The remoteness and biodiversity of protected areas and their frequent location in border areas, however, makes biodiversity conservation a multinational and multidisciplinary issue. Key factors determining the future effectiveness of protected areas in forest biodiversity conservation include:

- Financing and budget allocation – particularly in relation to staffing and management planning;
- Law enforcement in relation to collection and trade of timber, NWFPs and wildlife;
- Control of access and prevention of encroachment;
- Monitoring and evaluation;
The future holds both threats and opportunities

Several factors will contribute to these efforts in coming years – improved forest monitoring and financing in association with REDD efforts and growing levels of awareness and increasing effectiveness of wildlife enforcement networks. There are also growing threats – increasing purchasing power of consumers of wildlife and NWFPs, expanding road networks in sensitive areas and falling governance standards in much of the subregion (see Section 3.6.1).

Much rests on institutional performance

Under the Hard times scenario the future for forest biodiversity looks bleak. Although low rates of economic growth will reduce demand for products, including wildlife and timber, increasing poverty is likely to increase reliance on wildlife and forest resources for subsistence needs. Failing governments and weak civil society will be hard pressed to stem the tide. Trends in governance, economic growth and infrastructure developments suggest that impacts in Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar and Viet Nam would be most severe. As primary forests are exploited, the role of secondary forests in biodiversity conservation would be expected to become more significant.

Even with institutional commitment threats will remain

Under the Slow and steady scenario, a better outlook can be foreseen with virtuous circles developing as institutional commitment brings increased international funding. Even with institutional commitment, however, pressures for land development and demand for forest products and wildlife may be difficult to control as major development projects are undertaken.

5.4.2. Forests and climate change

Implementation of standard SFM practices will improve climate change resilience

The potential effects of climate change on forest ecosystems are poorly understood and are likely to alter ecosystem balance and composition in unpredictable ways. Uncontrolled logging, hunting and collection of NWFPs, fire, drought, invasive species, and pests and diseases, will all impose additional stress on forests. Without appropriate interventions, it is possible that the effects of climate change acting in concert with these pressures will prove devastating. Management to help forests adapt to climate change will involve maintaining forest health and ecosystem diversity and resilience as well as implementing systems for monitoring and responding to changes. Much of what is likely to be necessary is contained within standard practices for SFM (Broadhead et al. 2009). Uncertainty and slow implementation suggest, however, that the near-term future for sustainable management of natural forests in the GMS is not assured. Advances may depend more on the rate at which wider socio-economic development proceeds than the direct effectiveness of forestry-related efforts.
Climate change-related mechanisms provide the best hope but success is far from assured

The greater inclusion of forestry in international climate change mitigation and adaptation arrangements will provide greater support for forests and forestry if effective methods of engagement with the sector and related sectors can be found. In relation, challenges to implementing REDD are likely to be substantial in many countries. In particular, implementation of national monitoring and implementation frameworks may entail measures that will conflict with other existing priorities and sensitivities in the same way that past measures to better control forestry have done in the subregion. Additionally, many of the most pressing concerns lie outside the control of the forestry sector, e.g., competing claims and conflict among social groups and between urban and rural people; trade-offs between food production and environmental protection; conflicting demands on forests; cronyism and endemic corruption; weak capacity, lack of political commitment, etc.

Significant advances will be necessary for REDD to be successful

Leakage of emissions through displacement of deforestation and degradation and substitution of wood with other materials constitutes another serious risk to REDD – and in turn to forest conservation. In many countries, controlling leakage at the national level will require unprecedented levels of coordination between government agencies with forestry sector involvement. Gaps in information and separation of institutional jurisdictions in relation to timber removals, forest conversion/clearance and wood products production and trade constitute leakage risks that could significantly undermine REDD. Without significant advances in institutional capacity and coordination it will not be possible to implement sound national-level REDD strategies in many countries and many years of effort will be required before standardized implementation frameworks embedded within national institutional frameworks can be expected.

Establishment of REDD frameworks will nonetheless provide significant advances

Notwithstanding achievement of overall goals of REDD efforts, there will be many points along the way at which opinions will be formed and reformed as issues are confronted in detail. One of the most interesting areas of REDD preparations will be intensified forest monitoring. Examination of the state of forest resources in unprecedented detail will provide a much stronger foundation for developing effective mitigation strategies and more accurate cost assessments. Monitoring will also provide valuable information for adaptation-related interventions.

Concerted efforts are necessary for synergies between climate change efforts and forestry to be realized

The situation with respect to forests and climate change in 2020 is closely related to overall progress towards SFM and implementation of plans that strive to maintain forest health and vitality, reduce risk, prevent forest degradation, maximize productivity, etc. Although a turning point for forestry may be close at hand for a few countries, far more effort will be required to redirect the pressures to which forestry is currently exposed in many others. This will require significant inputs, not only at the national and international levels, but especially at the field level –
and not only in forestry, but also in related sectors where action to reduce pressure on forests is most needed.

5.4.3. Forests and water

The total area of forest with protection as a designated function varies greatly across the subregion (Table 2.9). In general, protection forests in the subregion are poorly protected and managed, although sea changes in forest policy have taken place in a number of countries as a result of events linked to removal of forests and loss of associated protective functions. The degree to which forests are physically implicated in changes in the qualitative and quantitative aspects of hydrology has been questioned, but public and political opinion often strongly links perceived or actual environmental changes to changes in forest cover (see Section 2.4.3).

By 2020, it is likely that forests will play a larger role in relation to links with hydrological processes. For example, it is likely that climate change-related increases in the frequency and severity of storms and increased road building in sloping areas are likely to result in increased incidence of landslides in the subregion (see Box 2.12). Such events could trigger measures to protect forests in sloping areas. Past indications in Asia suggest that this will take place through regulatory measures rather than payments for water-related services. It is likely that changes will be seen in countries where measures have yet to be taken and where protection forests are poorly managed and topography is steep. As such, changes in the role of forests in watershed protection are most likely to be seen in Lao PDR and parts of Indonesia.

5.5. WOOD AS A SOURCE OF ENERGY

Wood energy has widely differing systems of production and use and there are likely to be a range of responses to economic growth, demographic changes and shifts in energy policy in the subregion. With respect to traditional woodfuel use, falling poverty and increasing distribution of alternative forms of energy in the subregion make it highly likely that consumption at the national level will fall in coming years. More broadly, factors associated with climate change, energy efficiency and energy dependency at the national level will play a central role. In addition, there is an array of ecological, economic and social issues that will come into play. In general, the contribution of forestry to future energy production will be influenced by:

- The competitiveness of wood-based energy in reaching the objectives of recent energy-related policies;
- Availability of alternative sources of energy;
• The costs and benefits of wood energy-related systems in social, economic and environmental terms; and
• Policy and institutional issues that provide the framework within which forestry acts.

It is accepted that a major shift in the importance of wood energy will follow the development of economically competitive technology for production of liquid cellulosic biofuels. At that point, forest products will compete directly with agriculture for a share in the biofuels market. Forest products will also become a source for transport fuel and, as such, large markets where energy consumption is significantly affected by policy measures (e.g., the EU, USA) will potentially fall open to forest-derived energy from developing countries around the world. Where trees are not favoured for biofuel production, the contribution of forestry to energy production may be more confined to efficiency gains in current uses and the increased use of wood residues from existing forestry operations. Under these conditions, wood consumption for bioenergy production will be less controlled by energy markets than by trends in roundwood production, extent of forest resources and demands that compete for wood residues.

5.6. OVERVIEW OF FORESTS AND FORESTRY IN 2020

Deforestation and forest degradation will continue at lower rates
In 2020, forests and forestry in the GMS will have evolved considerably. The extent and quality of forest resources will have declined, although at slowing rates, and only in remote and inaccessible areas will large tracts of primary forest remain. In some countries, almost all forests will have been degraded by logging and hunting. In others, particularly higher income countries, protected areas will provide the mainstay for biodiversity. In lower income forest-rich countries, although pockets of primary forest in protected areas will remain, this may be more through remoteness than enforcement of management plans. Throughout the subregion, wildlife and prized species will be severely depleted as access to remote forests increases and markets grow. Protection forests will remain under threat from growing populations moving into more marginal areas, although environmental shocks and increasing incomes may mean that greater effort is put into watershed management with forests playing a leading role.

Forest products production will gradually decline
Planted forests will be more widespread in countries where institutional frameworks are better developed and governance is stronger. Traditional tenure rights will continue to stall expansion of large-scale plantations in many countries and allocation of land to smaller local units will also mean that economies of scale are interrupted. With increasing wage rates, declining supplies of wood from natural forests and slow rates of plantation development, main centres of forest products production will
have moved outside the subregion. Some countries may maintain their positions where competitive advantages can be created, but volumes are likely to fall and imports from, for example, China may increase.

**REDD will play an increasing role**

Under these circumstances, international trade regimes will have waning influence on forest management in the GMS towards 2020. Closer to the Outlook time horizon, however, international forestry-related climate change mechanisms and financing will become more fully functional and as rural land conversion rates slow and institutional jurisdictions become clearer, greater possibility will exist for investing in forestry for climate change mitigation. Until that time, REDD is likely to be used as a means of increasing funding for protected areas and community forestry. At the same time, REDD-funded improvements in forest monitoring could have a pivotal effect on forestry as resource statistics become available in unprecedented detail and buyers and sellers of environmental services are able to trade with a much greater degree of accuracy and certainty.

**SFM in natural production forests will struggle to take hold**

Overall, SFM will not be widely practised in terms of management of natural forests for production. Most countries in the subregion will focus on plantations for wood production while, at least nominally, placing many natural forests under full protection. Although exclusion of vested economic interests may result in slow degradation of protected natural forests due to illegal logging and encroachment, the technical, economic and ecological difficulties of sustainably managing natural tropical forests for timber production will mean that such management is only seen in a few model forests.

**Managing an efficient transition to forest protection is a primary goal**

The main question will be whether a transition from production forestry to forest protection can, with international support, be efficiently managed or continued in GMS countries. Wood will continue to be in great demand as will land. The best that can be hoped for is a more efficient forest sector producing more and higher quality goods and services from reduced areas. High productivity plantations, secure species-rich protected areas, efficient forest products production and protection forests in the right places will be goals that will truly be worth achieving.
Without broad agreement over forestry objectives, and implementation of supportive policy and legislation, forestry will remain at the mercy of a wide range of vested interests and business as usual can be expected. While international actors have promoted forests and forestry as a means of sustaining livelihoods, generating income and maintaining environmental and biodiversity values, de facto policies of resource extraction and forest conversion have been pursued in several countries. Low economic returns and lack of financing have been major factors in determining the priority afforded forests within national frameworks. Recent growth in national and international interest in forestry and the environment could, however, provide the necessary stimulus for widespread transitions in GMS forestry.

A major factor determining the future of forests and forestry is the extent to which institutions are able to rise to meet this challenge. On the positive side, lower rates of economic growth in comparison with the past decade are likely to reduce pressure on forest resources and provide space to implement natural resource management reforms. Making long-term investments in forests and forestry can potentially provide future supplies of forest products to support manufacturing as economies reinflate; improve watershed protection as the region’s climate changes; reduce CO₂ emissions and associated credits; and provide recreation opportunities and a treasure trove of wild plants and animals to add perspective and pleasure to the lives of future generations.

### 6.1. PRIORITIES

Income generation and protection of biodiversity have become clear goals

In supporting a transition from net forest cover loss to net forest cover gain and from unsustainable to sustainable forest management, priorities need to be set. Within the GMS overall development framework, prevailing economic and demographic trends and national-level priorities suggest that forestry-related goals should centre on:

- economic production; and
- biodiversity protection.

Trade-offs between these objectives should be carefully monitored and controlled, and as such a third cross-cutting priority is:

- improved governance.
Box 6.1 outlines arguments for focus on these areas in the context of wider socio-economic development and pursuit of forest transitions.

**Box 6.1. Priorities during forest transitions**

Experience has shown that the transition from traditional forest-based livelihood systems to sustained management of forest for timber production is far less frequent than to agriculture-based systems in which the role of forests is more limited. At the same time, rural-urban migration rates are increasing and low returns on agricultural and forest-related production have reduced the attractiveness of rural livelihoods. Wider changes from subsistence to market orientation, of which these transitions are a part, are, however, increasingly seen as the primary route to poverty alleviation, revenue generation and environmental protection. Thus, there is growing recognition that long-term forestry benefits may be best realized through rapid socio-economic development, poverty alleviation and improved governance – itself supporting the contribution made by the forestry sector (Persson 2003).

In the medium term, a proportion of forests will be converted to alternative uses that, paradoxically, may be unsustainable. It is, however, generally recognized that more affluent societies can better afford non-commodity forest values than those where weak governance, shortages of alternative livelihood options or developing economies place excessive demands on natural capital (e.g., Lanly 2003). Adopting this overall scenario demands greater focus on two distinct long-term goals: generating benefits from forestry that contribute to mainstream development and preserving that which cannot be recovered, i.e., biodiversity and associated ecosystem services. Cutting across these objectives, strengthening forest law enforcement and governance is essential to support efficient functioning of institutional frameworks and to protect vulnerable forest resources.

Source: Adapted from Broadhead (2006).

**Forest transitions will be the defining challenge for forestry to 2020**

With the advent of international mechanisms to finance the environmental externalities associated with forestry, and greater national awareness of the importance of forestry, the reality of linking environmental conservation and income generation is drawing closer. Even without international financing, several countries in the subregion are beginning forest transitions and demonstrating approaches that could be more widely implemented. Mustering the political will, human resources, technical know-how and necessary financing to effect widespread forest transitions is likely to become the defining challenge for forestry in the GMS to 2020.
6.2. STRATEGIES

The right strategies will help avert a ‘Hard times’ scenario

Given that economic growth rates in the coming decade are likely to be below those of the past decade and assuming that international financing will remain available for improved forest management, a range of strategies to improve the performance of forestry are set out below. By implementing related measures a future of Hard times may be steered towards Slow and steady sustainable development. In various ways, each strategy contributes to the overall priorities of economic production, biodiversity conservation and governance reform and together to a lesser or greater extent a part of moving towards ecological sustainability and green development.

6.2.1. Recapitalize forest resources

Investment in Southeast Asia’s forests is required to maintain the flow of goods and services

To maintain ecosystem services, reduce carbon emissions, improve watershed protection and support biodiversity conservation and future economic production, recapitalization of GMS forest resources is essential. Across the subregion, falling forest area, low and declining stocking densities in natural forests and poorly performing plantation resources mean that significant investment will be required. Three areas for potential investment are logged-over production forests, heavily degraded forests and planted forests:

- At present the economic viability of management of logged-over natural forest for second and third cutting cycles is in question and many commentators doubt that natural forests can be sustainably managed using the silvicultural and harvesting techniques that are commonly in practice. As such, forest protection may be necessary for several years before forests recover to a sufficient extent to be returned to production on a more sustainable footing.

- The productivity of planted forests in the GMS is considerably below potential as a result of inadequate extension, low quality planting material and inappropriate institutional measures. In Asia as a whole, the 125 million hectares of planted forests in 2005 had an estimated potential production of about 495 million cubic metres; over twice the total reported production of industrial roundwood (Carle and Holmgren 2008). Improvements in plantation production of timber could have significant effects on demand of timber from natural forests and would also provide green building material with a carbon footprint much smaller than substitute products such as concrete, steel and aluminium.

- The millions of hectares of Imperata grassland and heavily degraded forests in the GMS may become economically viable sites for plantation development and assisted natural
regeneration if newly developed financing mechanisms prove workable. Methods for reforesting these areas are well known and could be extended to large tracts of land where institutional backing is provided.

Investing in forest resource recapitalization can also be seen as a means of generating rural employment and will be especially attractive if the economic downturn is protracted and returns from investments in industrial and services sectors fall. Such measures to promote employment have been implemented on different occasions around the world in response to resource depletion and low economic productivity – during economic depressions or following wars for example. Resulting resource bases have powered subsequent economic booms based on manufacturing. Similar long-sighted decisions could provide good prospects for future growth whether or not times of economic hardship are realized. Under low growth conditions it is probable that private sector investment will fall and, where financial markets lack sufficient depth, it is likely that funding will have to be derived from international mechanisms or core government funds.

In all cases, recapitalization is only likely to come about or to be supported where investment frameworks are appropriate and include stable and clear tenure rights, supportive financing arrangements and legislation, appropriate scientific and technical inputs and reduced bureaucratic interference. For many years, these issues have resulted in degradation of forest resources and rectification of weaknesses can result in widespread benefits. Experience from countries where such programmes have been undertaken will bring increased clarity in relation to the relative benefits of different approaches and practices.

6.2.2. Conserve forest biodiversity

Throughout Southeast Asia maintaining biodiversity will pose an almost insurmountable task and some losses are inevitable. Climate change also threatens forest ecosystem stability and, with increased infrastructure development and expansion of populations, reductions in the health and vitality of forests could result in compound impacts on forest resources and those dependent upon them. As well as deforestation and forest degradation, the porosity of national borders and park boundaries, and huge demands for wildlife and plants for food, medicine and other uses, will mean a constant drain on populations of marketable species. Increased accessibility of previously more isolated areas as roads are constructed will exacerbate rates of depletion where implementation of environmental safeguards is lax.
**Improvements in financing, law enforcement and awareness are necessary**

Protected areas remain the cornerstone of forest biodiversity and although there are exceptions, deforestation and forest degradation within protected areas are less than in surrounding landscapes. In particular, there is a great need to increase forest law enforcement and awareness-raising efforts and to improve financing for protected areas – particularly in relation to staffing and management planning. Establishment of checkpoints, patrols, border controls and other law enforcement interventions can provide effective support for protected areas although without high-level political backing time and effort are likely to be wasted. Several international financing mechanisms are likely sources of funding for national parks and should be utilized to the extent possible.

**More effort is needed to mitigate the impacts of economic development**

Improvements in relation to monitoring of development activities are also of key importance. Implementation of environmental safeguards in association with major infrastructure developments – both domestically and donor funded – is an outstanding area in need of attention. Greater efforts should also be made in placing rural roads according to environmental sensitivity and ensuring protection of protected areas around new developments.

### 6.2.3. Utilize available incentives

**Current opportunities must be seized upon**

Heightened global interest in forests and forestry constitutes the greatest opportunity in recent times for the forestry sector to deliver on society’s priorities. Financial mechanisms aimed at promoting SFM could be converted into new growth in forestry with the involvement of many new participants. Similarly, legality-related regulations aimed at imports of forest products to high-paying markets provide an incentive to promote SFM. Public procurement policies and corporate decisions implemented by international companies and governments will provide parallel motivation. Failing to attain necessary standards would mean that producers and manufacturers will have to find new, possibly lower paying markets where poor forest management remains acceptable.

**Marketing of multiple values will bring multiple benefits**

Marketing of forests and forestry as producers of valuable timber, carbon sequestration, conservation, watershed protection and rural employment could bring many direct and peripheral benefits that are not being realized through current marketing systems. Forest rangers, tour operators and guides, national forest certification officers, GIS experts, harvesting trainers, forest labourers, wood products manufacturers and community fire officers could all benefit from altered patterns of incentives.
Investment in acquisition of financial resources should be considered

Given the opportunities that now exist, funnelling start-up investment into accessing and acquiring additional financing would seem appropriate. In particular, investment in human resources to seek financing – both from the public and private sectors – administer funding applications, manage programme implementation and promote resulting achievements, is likely to be money well spent.

6.2.4. Involve stakeholders

Inclusiveness is essential

The challenges that face forestry – with respect to climate change and otherwise – and the difficulties of implementing more complex forest policy through a regulatory approach suggest that much greater inclusion of forestry stakeholders at different levels is necessary.

Traditional forms of forest governance that focus on hierarchical, top-down policy formulation and implementation by the nation state and the use of regulatory policy instruments are insufficiently flexible to meet the challenges posed by climate change. (Seppälä et al. 2009)

Without consultation during formulation, policy may be unworkable

National and international forestry policy has often emerged from processes that fail to assess or accommodate public – i.e., stakeholder – opinion. Differing objectives are supported by governments, powerful interests, environmentalists, economists and international organizations. Policy is, however, often poorly understood or supported by a broader range of stakeholders and this partly accounts for the poor implementation of forestry policy across the subregion. Failure to garner greater participation in the policy process inevitably weakens policy implementation and leads to lack of general enforcement support. Furthermore, and partly as a result of a lack of public engagement, policy processes may become dominated by powerful interests, international concerns and perverse causes.

Public opinion should play a larger role in forestry

As such, public opinion should play a larger role in forestry development so that policies are appropriate, are broadly supported and can be more easily implemented in a rapidly changing subregion. Presently, the question of how important forests are to local livelihoods is rarely put to those directly affected. Likewise, at the national level, the importance of forests to urban dwellers is poorly quantified. Levels of awareness of the roles and importance of forestry are similarly unknown and, as such, the potential of public support remains poorly tapped.

Wider inputs can transform stagnant processes and institutions

Assessment of awareness of, and opinion on, forests and forestry can deliver the perceptions of a broad range of forestry stakeholders to the policy development process. Collated results enable formulation of policy that is well harmonized with current needs and wants and can also be used to inform prospective awareness-raising campaigns. Bringing wider perceptions into
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Policy processes is also likely to help precipitate institutional reform and reinvention.

6.2.5. Reinvent forestry institutions

Institutions have often lost focus on practical issues

Over past decades, forest and forestry policies have been formulated to encompass the principles of SFM in almost all countries in the subregion. Implementation has, however, been lacking in all but a few. Despite all the credentials of ‘good’ forest policy, many examples in the subregion are simply text book models of forest policy, inappropriate for the circumstances into which they were born. This has largely resulted from a logical disjuncture between goals and possibility/capability for achievement of the stated goals. Recognition of this deficiency and refocusing of institutions to play an appropriate role in effectively and efficiently meeting policy goals is essential to move the subregion’s forestry sector in parallel with wider developments. To a large extent this will involve refocusing on field-level forestry issues and what can realistically be achieved. Capabilities in terms of human resources, available knowledge, political will and financial support will have to be taken into account much more seriously if widespread adoption of policy aims is to come about.

Greater involvement of stakeholders can precipitate multiple shifts in institutional roles

Gradual shifts towards local participation, greater stakeholder involvement and transparency, and individual and household ownership of forests also mean that many more factors will play deciding roles in the future of forestry by 2020. This will have the effect of promoting institutions to adopt facilitative and regulatory, rather than direct management roles. Facilitating and regulating the many, as opposed to managing the few, will be a very different task for forestry agencies in much of the subregion. Human resource development at the policy level is likely to be a key need for this transition to take place. Movement away from direct management of forests will also mean that high-level integration and intersectoral coordination will be of much greater importance for forestry agencies to retain a raison d’etre. Such transitions are likely to consolidate the roles of forestry agencies, even if the role is considerably altered.

Responsiveness and flexibility are the most important qualities forestry institutions can possess

Global and regional experience demonstrates that points of inflection in forestry trends often occur due to the emergence of tangible economic, political or social ‘shocks’. Forecasts and reasoned argument are often insufficient to effect change, especially where governance is weak and other pressing matters are at hand. Environmental degradation is also often an insufficient
catalyst unless acute social and economic repercussions are experienced. When shocks do occur, however, the most important qualities institutions can possess in steering an efficient course for society are responsiveness and flexibility. Although decisions must be well informed – both technically and politically – for long-term advantages to be realized, rapid responses to threats and opportunities and the ability to redesign and realign objectives confer distinct advantages in maintaining forestry agencies and their contribution to society.

### 6.2.6. Revitalize field-level forestry

**Field-level forestry activities are in danger of being overlooked**

Many of the day to day field-level activities that physically determine the future of forests and forestry are often overlooked in national and international discussions: reduced impact logging; forest patrolling; community fire management; forest demarcation; collection of forest statistics; monitoring of forest health and vitality; growing timber; collecting fuelwood and NWFPs; manufacturing products; etc. The present enthusiasm for climate change and forest law enforcement and governance, although inspiring, seemingly denies the importance of these activities. Without focus on practical aspects of forestry, however, it is possible that by the time the international focus generates major implications, a protracted period of institutional strengthening and training will be required for implementation to be realized.

**Local-level fire management is of increasing importance**

Due to increased opening and drying of the subregion’s forests, changing weather patterns and rising risk of anthropogenic ignition, there is a strong need to improve fire management to avoid large losses of forest and ecosystem collapse. Fire management can be improved through information and awareness campaigns, improved legislation and faster fire response. Regional and national communication networks and monitoring schemes may also be necessary, as well as specific management practices at the local level (e.g., controlled burning and sanitary cuts).

**Codes of practice provide a practical means of improving forest management**

There are also a number of additional initiatives within foresters’ control that could be implemented to improve field-level forest management. Amongst these are voluntary codes of practice, which seek to provide benchmark standards to guide forest managers. Codes of practice for forest harvesting address the technical quality of harvesting in natural forests – an area in which positive economic and environmental benefits can be generated. Codes have also been developed for fire management and for planted forests, and the economic and ecological logic of implementing these codes should act as the main incentive in encouraging their uptake and expanding the sphere in which SFM is practised. Criteria and indicators for SFM can similarly serve to convert policy intention into action.
6.2.7. Improve education

Education is necessary to increase awareness and address human resource limitations. The long time scales over which national-level changes occur strongly suggest that education in relation to the values of forests and the opportunities and challenges faced should be a key focus in the GMS. The current lack of human resources in many countries also points to a clear need to improve education in a general sense and also to increase awareness in relation to forests and natural resources.

The next generation will need to be ‘environmentally smarter’ The subregion’s growing population and the skew towards younger generations place greater emphasis on the need for improved education and awareness. Without an ‘environmentally smarter’ next generation of consumers and decision-makers, it is likely that resources will be irretrievably eroded through population pressure and environmentally sustainable practices will not take off.

Modern forest management also calls for improved education More immediately, the current lack of human resource capacity in forestry and increasing complexity of forest management, including linkages with climate change especially, imply that high quality education and training should be made available to those working in forestry and related disciplines at local, provincial and national levels.
### APPENDIX I. CHANGES IN WORLD BANK GOVERNANCE INDICATORS IN GMS COUNTRIES, 1998-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Governance Score</th>
<th>Percentile Rank (0-100)</th>
<th>Change in rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control of corruption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule of Law</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>-11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political stability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>-46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice and accountability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>-28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### APPENDIX II. WOOD PRODUCTS PRODUCTION PROJECTIONS FOR GMS COUNTRIES.

**Table 6.1. Industrial roundwood production 1990-2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IRW production (000 m³)</th>
<th>Projections</th>
<th>Baseline scenario</th>
<th>Downturn scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>3 653</td>
<td>3 612</td>
<td>4 262</td>
<td>5 677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>3 093</td>
<td>6 262</td>
<td>8 700</td>
<td>8 849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>4 669</td>
<td>4 183</td>
<td>4 754</td>
<td>5 787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GMS</strong></td>
<td>12 437</td>
<td>14 803</td>
<td>18 023</td>
<td>20 988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Jonsson and Whiteman (2009).

**Table 6.2. Sawnwood production 1990-2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sawnwood production (000 m³)</th>
<th>Projections</th>
<th>Baseline scenario</th>
<th>Downturn scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>1 530</td>
<td>1 538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>1 170</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>2 950</td>
<td>3 232</td>
<td>3 419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GMS</strong></td>
<td>2 533</td>
<td>3 935</td>
<td>5 184</td>
<td>5 521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Jonsson and Whiteman (2009).

**Table 6.3. Wood-based panel production 1990-2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Panel production (000 m³)</th>
<th>Projections</th>
<th>Baseline scenario</th>
<th>Downturn scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>1 158</td>
<td>1 365</td>
<td>1 580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GMS</strong></td>
<td>515</td>
<td>1 323</td>
<td>1 950</td>
<td>2 065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Jonsson and Whiteman (2009).

**Table 6.4. Paper and paper board production 1990-2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Production (000 tonnes)</th>
<th>Projections</th>
<th>Baseline scenario</th>
<th>Downturn scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>2 312</td>
<td>3 431</td>
<td>4 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>1 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GMS</strong></td>
<td>945</td>
<td>2 735</td>
<td>4 364</td>
<td>5 284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Jonsson and Whiteman (2009).
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In the 12 years since the first Asia-Pacific Forestry Sector Outlook Study was completed in 1998, the region has experienced tremendous changes in nearly every aspect. These changes have been particularly profound in the forestry sector, where society has dramatically increased its demands and expanded its expectations of forests and forestry. This subregional report for the Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS) summarizes the key findings and results collated under the second Asia-Pacific Forestry Sector Outlook Study – a comprehensive effort spanning nearly four years and involving all member countries of Asia-Pacific Forestry Commission. The current report synthesizes observations and findings from five GMS country reports, numerous thematic reports and a wide ranging review of current and past publications in providing analyses of the status and trends of forests and forestry in the GMS. The publication also analyses key factors driving changes in forestry in the region and sets out four scenarios for 2020: Hard times, Slow and steady, Overburn and Living on the edge. The report also outlines priorities and strategies to move the subregion’s forestry sector onto a more sustainable footing and to provide continued benefits to future generations.