

Record of Proceedings



Regional Consultation Workshop
Guidelines for Development of Food Safety Policies for Countries in Asia
17-19 December 2012
Bangkok, Thailand

Edited by Shashi Sareen and Larry Copeland

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific
Bangkok, 2013

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of FAO.

ISBN 978-92-5-107603-3 (print)

E-ISBN 978-92-5-107604-0 (PDF)

© FAO 2013

FAO encourages the use, reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product. Except where otherwise indicated, material may be copied, downloaded and printed for private study, research and teaching purposes, or for use in non-commercial products or services, provided that appropriate acknowledgement of FAO as the source and copyright holder is given and that FAO's endorsement of users' views, products or services is not implied in any way.

All requests for translation and adaptation rights and for resale and other commercial use rights should be made via www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request or addressed to copyright@fao.org.

FAO information products are available on the FAO website (www.fao.org/publications) and can be purchased through publications-sales@fao.org.

For copies of this publication, please write to:

Shashi Sareen
Senior Food Safety & Nutrition Officer
FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific
Maliwan Mansion, 39 Phra Atit Road, Bangkok 10200
Thailand
Tel: (662) 6974143
Fax: (662) 6974445
E-mail: Shashi.sareen@fao.org

Foreword

Food safety is gaining importance globally as well as in the region. Food safety contributes significantly to food security as unsafe and poor-quality food leads to illnesses, malnutrition and food wastage. Thus, it becomes important to emphasize not only on the availability of food, but also ensure that food safety issues are given due significance in our effort to attain food and nutrition security.

The major food safety concerns relate to the residues of substances used in production (such as pesticides and veterinary drugs), food additives, environmental contaminants, microbial pathogens, pests and various aspects of hygiene controls. Although governments in the region have been working towards addressing food safety concerns, they face numerous challenges. A major issue continues to be clarity on the role of multiple agencies due to the multidisciplinary nature of food safety. In many countries, there are as many as 10-15 ministries or departments involved in the various aspects of food safety and control systems that span the entire food chain but often implemented in a piecemeal manner with little or no coordination. Other important issues include lack of clarity on food safety indicators and low capacity for risk analysis.

Investments and resources allocated to food safety are limited in most countries. It is therefore important that governments clearly identify priorities and goals for food safety and control systems and implement them in a comprehensive and coordinated manner. Policies play an important role in providing a common vision, goals and guiding principles and directions for organizing food safety across the food chain. Policies need to be agreed upon by all responsible ministries/ departments and supported by clear roles that are understood by all stakeholders.

Despite the various efforts and initiatives in the region, it has been observed that much more emphasis on food safety is needed in terms of objectives and priorities of each country, clarity on roles and responsibility of different government agencies and other actors and appropriate investments in terms of resource allocations (physical, human and financial resources).

With the above background, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations organized this regional food safety policy consultation, bringing together more than 50 senior representatives from 11 countries representing various sectors – health, agriculture (horticulture/livestock), fisheries, standards organizations, accreditation bodies, planning and policy departments, NGOs, academia and industry. The intention was to share experiences and learn from each other's initiatives on food safety. The deliberations led to identification of priority areas and actions for enhancing the development, coordination and implementation of food safety policies that cover the food continuum; as well as identify a path forward so that they could evaluate their progress with food safety policy development and the capacity needs to support that process.

I take this opportunity to convey FAO's appreciation to the Bureau of Food Safety, Extension and Support, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand, to the resource persons and to all the participants for their contribution to this important regional consultation. I hope that the report will provide useful guidance to governments in establishing their food safety policies and thus a focused approach towards food safety.



Hiroyuki Konuma
Assistant Director-General and
Regional Representative for Asia and the Pacific
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

Contents

Foreword	iii
Acronyms	vi
Executive summary	vii
i. Introduction	1
1. Background	1
2. Objectives of the workshop	2
3. Expected outputs	2
4. Programme structure	3
ii. Food safety policies	4
1. Context and considerations	4
a. International considerations	4
b. Asia–Pacific experiences	5
2. Country experiences in developing food safety policies	6
a. Thailand	6
b. Japan	7
c. Bangladesh	9
iii. Status of policies in countries: Facilitated discussions	13
1. Responses to the pre-workshop questionnaire	13
2. Reflections on the questionnaire	13
a. Considerations for food safety policy development in countries	13
b. Scope of policies	14
c. Process for policy development	15
d. Implementing issues	16
iv. Working group sessions	17
Session 1. Drivers and factors influencing the development of a food safety policies	17
Session 2. Indicators and outcomes for food safety	18
Session 3. Issues and priority areas to be addressed in a food safety policies	19

Session 4. Country capacity needs to develop and implement a national food safety policies	20
v. Summary of country responses	21
vi. Conclusions and a path forward	23
vii. Next steps	25

Annexes

A. Participants	26
B. Welcoming address <i>Hiroyuki Konuma Assistant Director-General and FAO Regional Representative for Asia and the Pacific</i>	31
C. Opening address <i>Vichai Tienthavorn, Vice Minister for Public Health, Thailand</i>	34
D. Programme of the regional consultation	36
E. Presentation slides on international experiences <i>Larry Copeland, international consultant</i>	38
F. Presentation slides on regional experiences <i>Shashi Sareen, Senior Food Safety and Nutrition Officer, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific</i>	42
G. Presentation slides on Thailand's experiences <i>Kraisid Tontisirin, Prime Minister's National Food Committee</i>	45
H. Presentation slides on Japan's experiences <i>Tetsuo Urushiyama, MAFF, Japan</i>	52
I. Presentation slides on Bangladesh's experiences <i>ABM Abdul Hannan, Director, IPH, and Shah Mahfuzur Rahman, National Advisor, (Food Inspection), FAO Food Safety Project</i>	57
J. Compilation of the status of food safety policies implementation in Asian countries	62
K. Working group session 1 – Responses by groups	117
L. Working group session 2 – Responses by groups	118
M. Working group session 3 – Responses by groups	120
N. Working group session 4 – Responses by countries	124

Acronyms

BAFRA	Bhutan Agriculture and Food Regulatory Authority
BSE	Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy
BFSES	Bureau of Food Safety Extension and Support (Thailand)
CRM	certified reference materials
DALY	disability adjusted life year
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
FDA	Food and Drug Administration (Thailand)
FNSP	Food and Nutrition Security Policy (Bhutan)
FSSAI	Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (India)
GAP	good agricultural practices
GHP	good hygiene practices
GMP	good manufacturing practices
GNHCS	Gross National Happiness Commission Secretariat (Bhutan)
HACCP	risk analysis and critical control point
ICDDRB	International Centre for Diarrhoeal Diseases Research (Bangladesh)
ICT	information and communication technology
IT	information technology
KFDA	Korea Food and Drug Administration
MAFF	Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (Japan)
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
MFAFF	Ministry for Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (Republic of Korea)
MOAC	Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives (Thailand)
MHLW	Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (Japan)
MOFALI	Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Light Industry (Mongolia)
MOFPI	Ministry of Food Processing Industries (India)
MOHFW	Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (Bangladesh)
MOLGRDC	Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives (Bangladesh)
MOPH	Ministry of Public Health (Thailand)
MOU	memorandum of understanding
NABL	National Accreditation Board of Laboratories (India)
NFNSP	National Food and Nutrition Security Policy (Bhutan)
NFSAC	National Food Safety Advisory Council (Bangladesh)
NFSP	National Food Safety Policy (India)
NFSP	National Food Security Programme (Mongolia)
NFSQC	National Food Safety and Quality Commission (Bhutan)
NGO	non-government organization
NIFC	National Institute for Food Control (Viet Nam)
NIFSC	National Integrated Food Safety Committee (Indonesia)
NWFPS	non-wood forest products
RA TWG	risk analysis technical working group
R&D	research and development
SMEs	small and medium-sized enterprises
SNV	Netherlands Development Organization
SOP	standard operating procedures
SPS	Sanitary and Phyto-sanitary Standards
SSOP	sanitation standard operating procedures (Republic of Korea)
SWOT	strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats analysis
UBINIG	Policy Research for Development Alternative (Bangladesh)
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
VFA	Vietnam Food Administration
WFP	World Food Programme
WHO	World Health Organization

Executive summary

The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations arranged an Asia–Pacific regional consultation to bring together senior government, industry, NGO and academic representatives to address the issue of food safety policies. The principle objectives of the three-day workshop were to share experiences and learn from each other’s initiatives. The deliberations were further intended to identify ways forward for countries to evaluate their progress in addressing food safety policy development in a comprehensive and coordinated manner.

The programme was structured to provide a range of perspectives on developing food safety policies. The first-day presentations provided delegates with information on international and regional experiences, the development of food safety policies in three countries of the region and an analysis and discussion of priority issues that emerged through a pre-workshop questionnaire exercise.

The following two days were primarily structured around working group sessions dealing with the drivers and factors influencing food safety policies, identification of indicators for food safety and priority areas to be addressed in food safety policies. The final session centred on helping country delegates identify and analyse their country’s capacity-development needs.

The analysis of the questionnaire-generated country responses and the priorities identified in the working group sessions highlighted the institutional and technical complexities of the food system and associated policy development needs. The most commonly identified factors for advancing the food safety policy agenda were:

- high-level political support for food safety as a priority
- a food chain approach that addresses safety risks throughout the food continuum
- coordination and cooperation among stakeholders
- risk-based food laws and inspection regimes
- harmonization with international standards
- enhanced capacity for risk assessment, management and communication
- education and training programmes for all stakeholders
- surveillance (including food-borne illness surveillance and monitoring) and laboratory facilities
- enhanced research capacity
- balancing the policy needs of small/medium-sized enterprises and larger enterprises (importers/exporters)
- market access issues (for domestic as well as international)
- enhanced participation of consumers
- strengthened infrastructure (both for quality assurance activities and ensuring the safe production and distribution of foods).

The process for countries to address these priorities will follow different paths, depending on their individual circumstances. It is vital, however, that the chosen path incorporates all the elements essential to developing and implementing a comprehensive food safety system. It must span the entire food spectrum and reflect the needs of all stakeholders. A model was presented that illustrated the essential elements that governments may consider in developing their food safety policies. The model depicted the critical importance of building policies and programmes from a solid base. The most frequently identified deficiency by all of the working groups and countries was the lack of effective coordination and communication between ministries, departments and stakeholders. The absence of this fundamental building block will lead to the inability to specify clear mandates, harmonize regulatory controls, prevent gaps and overlaps in services, maximize the efficient use of scarce resources and ensure that the interests of all stakeholders are represented.

The workshop discussion recognized that countries are at different stages in their policy development processes and thus must evaluate their particular situations and limitations when developing strategies to address the vast array of food safety challenges. In many cases, incremental progress may be necessary, in consideration of the country's political commitment to food safety as a priority and associated levels of financial, human and technical resources.

The regional consultation identified issues for further consideration and focus, such as building on the workshop deliberations through FAO-supported pilot reviews of the food safety approach in two or three countries, with a focus on strengthening their coordination mechanisms. Food safety indicators were identified as another major issue that requires further discussion; it was recommended that FAO organize a similar three-day regional consultation on food safety indicators. Enhancing capacity for risk analysis (including risk assessment, management and communication) was recognized as important by many countries, around which FAO would consider to organize workshops in the near future. Information sharing on the subject of food safety policies through study trips was also proposed, and such trips could integrate into projects or programmes already in place in various countries.

i. Introduction

1. Background

With globalization, food safety has become increasingly important to all countries due to various factors such as volume and diversity of trade in foods, the greater public demand for health protection, changing hazards (example resistant microbes), more sophisticated methods for detection of hazards and changes in production and consumption patterns. Food safety contributes significantly to food security as unsafe and poor-quality food leads to both illnesses as well as food wastage. Thus, the importance of a strong need to emphasize not only on availability of food but also ensure that the same is safe.

The food system spans a vast spectrum, including agricultural inputs (feeds, antibiotics, fertilizers, pesticides, etc.), production, transportation, processing, raw and secondary preparation, retail sale and, ultimately, consumer handling prior to consumption. Protection of the food supply throughout the spectrum is complex and systemic, extending from the production environment to the end consumer. Major food safety concerns relate to residues of substances used in production (such as pesticides and veterinary drugs), food additives, environmental contaminants, microbial pathogens, pests and various aspects of hygiene controls. The protection of food throughout the continuum requires the management of a complex matrix of policy considerations. Policies must also take into account the interests of diverse groups, including those of government, the food industry¹ and consumers.

The response to these complexities often crosses multiple levels of government and many departments within each level. In many countries in the Asia–Pacific region, there are as many as 10-15 ministries/departments involved in the various aspects of food safety and control systems. It has been observed that food control activities in many countries are still being managed by different ministries or departments in a piecemeal manner, with little or no coordination. Policy development processes often have failed to involve key stakeholders, and decisions on priorities have been made without sufficient information. This has led to policies that are not widely supported and do not meet the needs of food safety for both the domestic and international trade markets.

In view of the above, it was recognized that there is a strong need for countries to address their food safety and food control systems in a comprehensive and coordinated manner. To be successful, policies must be agreed upon by all responsible ministers and supported by clear roles that are understood by all stakeholders, including the different ministries and departments.

This regional food safety policy consultation was organized to bring together senior representatives from countries of the Asia–Pacific region with the aim of sharing experiences and to learn from

¹ The use of the term “food industry” in this report refers to all sectors of the food system, from production through to retail sale.

each other's initiatives to address food safety in a comprehensive and coordinated manner. The deliberations were also intended to identify priority areas and actions for enhancing the coordination, development and implementation of food safety policies that cover the food continuum and thus strengthen food safety. Additionally the consultation was to provide guidance to countries for evaluating their progress in food safety policy development and their associated capacity needs.

The participating countries included Bangladesh, Bhutan, Cambodia, India, Indonesia, Japan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Mongolia, Philippines, Republic of Korea, Thailand and Viet Nam, with around 50 representatives from such sectors as health, agriculture (horticulture/livestock), fisheries, standards organizations, accreditation body, planning department and policy departments. Experts and resource persons, representatives from NGOs, academia and industry also participated (see Annex A for the list of all participants).

Delegates were welcomed to the workshop by Hiroyuki Konuma, Assistant Director-General, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. The opening address was delivered by Vichai Tienthavorn, Vice Minister Public Health, Thailand (see Annex B and C for the transcript of both remarks) and a vote of thanks was given Shashi Sareen, Senior Food Safety and Nutrition Officer, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific.

2. Objectives of the workshop

The consultation was structured to achieve the following main objectives:

- i) Share background information and status on policies and strategies that guide the development of national food safety systems.
- ii) Identify current drivers or factors that influence food safety in the country and region.
- iii) Share country experiences on the development of food safety policies.
- iv) Identify key issues and priority actions to be addressed in securing high-level policy support for food safety, including the necessary resources (financial, human and technical) to strengthen each country's food control systems.

3. Expected outputs

The following outputs were expected from the strategically structured working group sessions:

- i) A status report on each country's food safety policy development, including current and future influences or drivers.
- ii) A deeper understanding of the "food environment" in countries, including safety challenges and concerns, dynamics of agriculture and food production, important value chains, trade and market access and regional dimensions.
- iii) Issues and priorities that can be used by countries as guidance when developing or reviewing their food safety policy strategies.
- iv) Priority and actions at the country level and the capacity needs required for implementation.

4. Programme structure

The first day of the workshop was structured to present a wide range of perspectives on the development of food safety policies. The presentations provided the delegates with information on international and regional experiences, the status of food safety policy development in three countries of the region and an analysis and discussion of priority issues that emerged through the pre-workshop questionnaire responses.

The following two days were generally the working group sessions with discussions on dealing with the drivers or factors that influence food safety policies, identifying indicators for food safety, priority areas and individual country analysis (by the country delegates) of their capacity needs (see Annex D for the programme).

ii. Food safety policies

1. Context and considerations

The presentations on food safety policies first looked at the international perspective, followed by analysis of the regional perspective.

a. International considerations

Larry Copeland, an international consultant on food safety policies, spoke about his experiences in developing or evaluating food safety policies for multiple levels of government (see Annex E for presentation slides). He emphasized the following key points:

- Governments must initially address two critical questions: who in their country will ultimately determine the safety of the food supply, and what are the key responsibilities of government in the management of the food safety system? These questions need to be considered carefully because they will fundamentally influence a government's response to the complexities of developing food policies that are responsive to all stakeholders' needs.
- An essential first step in policy development is the establishment of an effective means of intergovernmental and interdepartmental coordination and communication. Mr Copeland suggested setting up a senior-level ministerial food safety committee. The committee's mandate would be the enabling of effective communication and coordination between the multiple levels of government and multiple departments within those levels. An essential first task in accomplishing those objectives is articulation and agreement by all parties on the principles and objectives of the country's food safety system.
- Governments must support their policies with systems that are responsive to a complex matrix of rapidly changing influences, including newly recognized pathogens, chemical hazards, new technologies, impacts of trade on domestic markets, competing priorities, the elimination of natural barriers through the global movement of products, consumer trends and preferences and the scarcity of resources.
- Food laws must be modernized to protect the food supply and at the same time enable the food industry and a government's approval agencies the flexibility to apply innovations and new technology. Many jurisdictions have amended their legal regimes to provide risk-based laws that articulate the desired food safety outcomes rather than prescriptive requirements. Codes and standards must be developed that interpret the ways and means for reaching the outcomes prescribed in law.
- Education and training are essential elements for inspection staff, the food industry personnel and consumers. In respect to the food industry, training programmes should be based on the understanding that the overwhelming number of food-borne illnesses are caused by the actions of people. Hence, influencing the attitudes and behaviours of personnel who handle food should be the primary objective. Training of inspection staff should focus on risk-based inspection techniques and ensuring consistency in the interpretation and application of food laws. Consumers need to be aware of the risks and how to protect themselves.

- Laboratory and surveillance programmes are essential elements in supporting and verifying the effectiveness of food safety programmes. In many developing countries, the cost of laboratories and the difficulties in recruiting qualified workers can be problematic. In such cases, partnerships between departments can maximize the scarce resources through the sharing of specialized services between ministries.
- The management of the food system requires a vast array of professionals and technologists. Separately, they represent many backgrounds, interests and priorities. These resources must be harnessed, and the diverse interests enabled to work together in a collaborative, supporting manner rather than in a competitive, antagonistic fashion.
- New expertise must be sourced that can cope with the rapidly changing influences on food safety, such as the effects of climate change, the economic cost or benefits of food safety, new technologies (such as nanotechnologies) and cultural food practices.
- Governments have traditionally over-relied on laws that affect the way food establishments are constructed and equipped. Although those factors form an important base, human behaviour and employee discretion are so inherent in the food industry that equipment and structure can only do so much. Food-borne illness data suggests that food safety programmes need to focus on the way food managers and employees operate as opposed to just the way their establishments look.
- A pyramid depicting a hierarchy of needs for the development and attainment of a comprehensive food safety system illustrates how the essential building blocks necessary for coordinated policy development must be grounded in the country's capacity to undertake inter-ministerial collaborations and to reach agreement on the principles and objectives that will frame the array of food policy considerations and priorities. The successful progression to higher levels of the food safety pyramid will be determined largely by the success in attaining these essential first elements.
- Human behaviour is the leading cause of the majority of food-borne illness outbreaks. Government must ensure the provision of education, surveillance and inspection systems that are capable of preventing problems, identifying hazards as soon as possible once they occur, discover what went wrong and ensure corrective measures are undertaken that will prevent the same problem from recurring in the future.

b. Asia-Pacific experiences

Shashi Sareen, Senior Food Safety and Nutrition Officer, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, described her experiences in working with countries in the Asia-Pacific region (see Annex F for presentation slides), highlighting the following key points:

- Many issues in Asia conspire against the provision of safe food, including very large populations to feed, a high degree of malnutrition, food wastage and losses, and emergencies arising because of disasters, such as floods, earthquakes and tsunamis.
- Although actual data is lacking in Asian countries, there is little reason to believe that food-borne illness isn't any more rampant here as it is in the United States (48 million affected per year) or Australia (5.4 million cases of gastroenteritis alone per year).

- Food safety policy-makers must act as the guardians of food safety to protect consumers from acute and chronic effects of contaminated food. Areas that require urgent action include international trade obligations; the reduction of waste from contamination, spoilage and destruction; new or emerging issues and technologies; linking food safety with food security; and the promotion of consumer and international confidence in the country's control systems.
- Governments in Asian and Pacific Regions face many challenges in advancing their food policy agendas. Key factors encompass challenges due to globalization, including increasing regional trade where levels of standards and safety differ, pressures from the private sector to over-focus on international trade, imported food controls, establishing the appropriate relationship between domestic and international commodity standards and the need for a high degree of coordination between governments, departments, industry and consumers.
- In most countries, the lack of adequate surveillance has failed to provide governments with the true impact of food-borne illness. As a result, food safety is not generally recognized as a high priority programme. This has led to deficiencies in the necessary capacities to operate a comprehensive network of food safety programmes, including deficiencies in legislation, research, laboratories, inspection systems, human resources and overall financial support.
- Food safety policies provide countries the support they need to address food safety in a focused and targeted manner. Priority policy development needs include coordination between ministries and agreement on government-wide vision, goals and guiding principles for the food system. Each country may differ in its environment, drivers, potential and priorities, but governments need to tackle their particular circumstances in a coordinated and supportive manner. This entails enabling all stakeholders to participate and ensuring that their interests are represented in the development and implementation of the food control system.

Ms Sareen presented a conceptual model for developing and implementing food safety policies that illustrated the evolution, from the drivers and challenges to developing the vision and goals to the determining of guiding principles and priorities leading to developing a documented approach to priorities and then to the assessment of the impact of policies through baseline surveys and evaluated indicators.

2. Country experiences in developing food safety policies

Delegates from Thailand, Japan and Bangladesh provided an overview of their country's experience in developing food safety policies. Each presentation was asked to focus on specific areas, including the development process, considerations and challenges, and positive experiences (such as the benefits and links to other policies) to provide the other consultation delegates with a comparative perspective in which to consider their own country's context.

a. Thailand – presented by Kraissid Tontisirin, Prime Minister's National Food Committee (see Annex G for presentation slides).

- Food quality and safety are among the most important factors affecting people's health as well as trade and other economic aspects. Thailand's food production chain involves over 30 agencies and more than 10 laws.
- The National Food Committee Act created a National Food Committee to serve as the main agency for national food management and to promote cooperation and integration of budgetary and other resources during normal times as well as during emergencies. The Committee was given the authority to propose policies and strategies on food security, quality, safety and education and to create an action plan and food alert system.
- Food security means that each citizen has access to an adequate supply of food that is safe and is nutritionally suitable for all ages. Ensuring a sustainable food security requires the effective management of all food production resources by engaged stakeholder participation.
- The principle of food quality and safety is to ensure high-quality safe food and thus protect consumer health and facilitate domestic and international trade. The principle of food education is research and development and knowledge utilization through engaging stakeholders in the sustainable and effective use of food production resources along the food chain and also strengthens the desirable consumption behaviours for their well-being.
- The principle of food management is effective food management systems along the food chain that can deal with current and emerging food issues.
- Expected outcomes of the Strategic Food Management Framework are: maintaining sustainable food production resources; efficiency in food production at the community level through effective management systems that also enhance economic growth and deal with both normal and crisis situations; and high-quality, safe and nutritious domestic and imported foods that are accessible to consumers.
- Food quality and safety is a key strategy of the Strategic Food Management Framework. Harmonized food standards on food production and added value will be implemented at all levels for consumer protection and the facilitation of domestic and international food trade. The Strategic Food Management Framework aims to ensure good-quality and safe food supply for food security and human well-being.

b. Japan – presented by Tetsuo Urushiyama, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (see Annex H for presentation slides)

- Behind the enhancement of Japan's food safety policies lay diverse and complex food safety problems, such as the globalization of the food supply chain, the development and application of new technologies, the detection of trace hazardous substances by advanced analytical methods and concern about food-borne illness and zoonotic infections. But the biggest trigger for the restructuring of the food safety framework was the finding of first case of bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE)-positive cow in 2001.
- In the aftermath of that incident, the advisory panel to the related Ministers on the BSE problem recommended (in April 2002) improvement of the food safety administration.

They recommended placing the protection of consumers' health as the first priority, implementation of risk analysis, strengthening the cooperation between related organizations, establishing a new comprehensive law for ensuring food safety with primary focus on consumer protection and restructuring the administrative organizations.

- Following the recommendations, the Government enacted the Food Safety Basic Law² (the Basic Law) in July 2003. The purpose of the Basic Law is to comprehensively promote policies that ensure food safety by establishing basic principles, clarifying the responsibilities and roles of stakeholders and determining the important issues for policy formulation.
- The principles of the Basic Law, which are the core of Japan's national food safety policies, are as follows:
 - Food safety shall be ensured by basing necessary measures on the recognition that the protection of consumers' health is the top priority.
 - Food safety shall be ensured by taking necessary measures appropriately at each stage of the food supply chain.
 - Food safety shall be ensured by taking necessary measures on the basis of science and sufficient consideration of international trends and the opinions of citizens, for the purpose of preventing adverse effects on the consumers' health.
- At the same time the Government constructed a new food safety administrative framework: The Food Safety Commission (FSC) was established as an independent risk-assessment body; and the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF) and the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW), were reorganized as the risk management bodies to promote science-based regulation. More recently, a new agency, the Consumer Affairs Agency (CAA), was established to promote consumer administration, including food safety. In addition, several other organizations are dealing with food safety and, in order to accomplish the affairs under their jurisdiction, are guided by their own specific food safety policies, which are based on the policies in the Basic Law.
- The Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries and the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare are the primary players and have an important responsibility in ensuring food safety as risk-management bodies. In most cases, risk assessment is described first in the three components of risk analysis; but in fact, preliminary risk management activity is a starting point of risk analysis. Consequently, risk managers have significant roles in preventing food-related health problems. To conduct risk management on the basis of scientific principles, in accordance with an internationally accepted risk analysis framework (namely Codex), the two Ministries together established in 2005 the standard operating procedures (SOP) for food safety risk management. The SOP objectives are also to ensure consistent and transparent risk management and to reflect

² The Food Safety Basic Law is available at:

<http://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/law/detail/?id=1839&vm=04&re=02&new=1>

stakeholders' opinions in risk management processes. At the same time, "Regulatory science" was introduced to promote and facilitate science-based risk management decisions in the administration of food safety. "Regulatory science" is defined as a complex integration of regulatory research and regulatory affairs.

- Japan's BSE crisis led to the enhanced food safety administration, but the Government had to pay a high price to clean up. The influence of confusion at that time still remains in today's food safety administration. As well, the importance of prevention measures only seems to be recognized when a disaster strikes. The development and implementation of measures to prevent a crisis or accident are critically important but remain a challenge. In addition, shortage in human resource continues even after the new food safety framework has been introduced. Therefore building capacity of both the risk managers and the risk assessors is a high priority issue for enhancing the system for food safety. Regulatory research is also a big challenge in science-based decision-making in terms of securing sufficient budget under the severe fiscal situation.

c. Bangladesh – presented by ABM Abdul Hannan, Director, Institute of Public Health, and Shah Mahfuzur Rahman, National Advisor Food Inspection, FAO Food Safety Project (see Annex I for presentation slides).

- Food safety and quality are important determinants for improving public health, ensuring food security and enhancing food trade, both in the domestic and international markets. In Bangladesh, assurance of safe and quality food is a shared responsibility of a number of ministries and their agencies without clearly defined mandates, which leads to gaps and overlaps and inadequate coordination in regulatory mechanisms. The situation is exacerbated by the inadequate commitment to safety and quality by food producers, processors and traders. All these factors result in rampant food contamination and adulteration, which affect consumers' confidence and the food trade.
- The lack of clearly defined mandates and inadequate capacity and capability of technical agencies to analyse risks, to generate data from monitoring and evaluation, and to prevent and control food safety emergencies have affected the assurance of safe and quality food in the country.
- To address this situation, the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare in collaboration with other ministries including the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives, the Ministry of Industries, the Ministry of Fisheries and Live stock, the Ministry of Agriculture, the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Science and Technology, the Ministry of Food, and with other stakeholders including food businesses, consumers' groups, civil society and NGOs and with the support of the FAO drafted the Food Safety and Quality Policies in 2012.

Developing the policies

- The first step involved analysis of the food safety and quality situation of the country; a review of the existing legislation related to food safety and quality, the policies and the action plan related to food safety as well as FAO and WHO guidelines; and a review of all documents, records and other literature on food safety or food safety policies.

- The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare constituted an Experts Working Group in January 2012. The 1st draft was prepared by the EWG.
- Several meetings, discussions and consultations (national seminars and workshops) were organized with stakeholders to gather inputs for the first draft of the policies.
- After incorporating comments and suggestions from the different quarters, the Experts Working Group prepared the final draft and submitted it to the Ministry in November 2012 for finalization for Cabinet endorsement. Following endorsement by the Cabinet, it will then proceed to the Parliament for approval.

Vision

- The overall vision of the policies is to ensure a stronger, well-coordinated, effective and integrated food safety and quality-control system, with clearly defined roles and responsibilities of the various ministries, agencies and other stakeholders involved along the food chain in providing food for domestic consumers and for international trade.

General objective

- Protection of the public's health by ensuring the safety and quality of all food.

Specific objectives

- Ensure safe food production, processing, packaging, storage, distribution, preparation, retailing and consumption.
- Reduce morbidity and mortality caused by food-borne illness.
- Facilitate domestic and international trade of safe food.

Scope

- In addition to presenting the Government policies in the area of food safety and quality, the policies also guide the development of a framework for an effective national food control system, in line with other relevant sectoral policies of the Government.

Basic principles

- Protection of public health and ensuring safe and quality food are ensured throughout the food chain.
- Efforts of the competent authorities are well coordinated, with clearly defined roles.
- Assurance of food safety and compliance with the standards are the primary roles of the food producers and processors.
- Up-to-date food standards and legal requirements are formed, maintained and enforced for the functional operation of the national food control system.
- Required information should be provided to consumers in an open and transparent way.
- The roles of civil society and consumers' groups in public awareness development are recognized.
- Risk analysis, monitoring and surveillance should be the foundation of a science-based preventive food control system.
- International and regional standards, recommendations and guidelines are to be adopted or harmonized where appropriate.

Strategies

The following strategies were used to achieve the policy objectives:

- embrace the food chain approach
- multi-sector involvement and cooperation
- risk analysis
- national food analysis capacity and networking
- risk-based food inspection
- information, education and communication
- food-borne illness surveillance and residue monitoring
- food safety emergency response
- standards and regulations.

Institutional framework

- The policies call for the establishment of a Food Safety Coordination Authority. Considering the mandate and decentralized organizational structure, the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare is proposed as the lead ministry. The Food Safety Coordination Authority will review and update the Food Safety and Quality Policies on a regular basis. The National Food Safety Advisory Council will be strengthened in its capacity to provide oversight on food safety activities under the different ministries throughout the food chain.

Links with other policies

- The Food Safety and Quality Policies links with the Food Policies, the Food and Nutrition Policies, the National Fisheries Policies, the National Livestock Development Policies, the National Poultry Development Policies, the Export Policies, the Import Policies Order, the National Health Policies, the Health, Population, Nutrition Sector Development Plan, the Perspective Plans and the Five-Year Plans, among others.

Challenges

- During implementation of the policies, possible challenges are: inadequate institutional capacity; fragmented and outdated legislation; inadequate awareness among food producers, processors and food traders; limited consumer awareness; and limited resources.

Positive experiences during policy drafting

- During drafting of the Food Safety and Quality Policies, the Expert Working Group gathered several positive experiences, such as the commitment of the regulatory bodies; the interest of consumers from all walks of life, civil society and NGOs; participation of the private sector; and facilitation by the development partners, particularly FAO.

Opportunities

- In implementing the policies, the Government has the opportunity to strengthen the organizational structure and infrastructure of individual ministries, especially the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; to work with and strengthen commitment from civil society and consumers' groups and NGOs; and to obtain support from the development partners, particularly FAO.

Conclusion

- The assurance of food safety and quality through implementation of the Food Safety and Quality Policies will reduce the food-borne disease burden, improve consumers' confidence and enhance food trade, thus contributing to the sustainable development of the country.

iii. Status of policies in countries: Facilitated discussions

1. Responses to the pre-workshop questionnaire

Prior to the workshop, delegates were sent a questionnaire that asked a series of questions on the status of food safety policy development in their country. The questionnaire covered the following aspects:

- Are there any food safety policies, either independent or part of other policies; which department has issued them and which departments are involved; what points are covered in each policy; how long did it take to develop the policies; what is the level of endorsement; and is there reference in it to any other policies in the country?
- Is there any reference to the policy in national or sector development plans?
- Is there an action plan for implementing the policies?
- Who monitors the implementation of the policies?
- If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop one; what are the details of the plan or “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decisions?
- What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policies?
- What is the background to development of the policies – what were the drivers; what were the data and data sources considered; what challenges or difficult issues were encountered; and have any gaps been identified?
- What are the indicators for food safety?
- What is the impact of the food safety policies?
- Is any capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policies?

The responses were compiled and circulated to the delegates (see Annex J for a compilation of the country responses).

2. Reflections on the questionnaire

Based on the responses received to the questionnaire, four key issues were identified and were discussed during the consultation’s open forum.

a. Considerations for food safety development in countries

- Multiple jurisdictions (national, departments, provincial and regional) overly complicate effective communication and coordination.
- Difficulties in gaining the appropriate level of government endorsement (example Parliament, prime minister, minister, national food committee) lead to unclear mandates in food policy development and implementation.
- Should the food safety policies be independent or linked to other related policies, such as food security, nutrition, agriculture, trade and commerce?
- Should the food safety policies be linked or referenced to other national or sector development plans?
- Typically in many countries, food safety legislation is spread over multiple ministries and developed independently; should there be a single law to facilitate greater coordination and clarity over mandates?

The discussion of the five points brought out individual country perspectives. It was evident that, as sovereign nations and cultures and because of their varying stages of policy development and different political systems, a single approach or resolution to the issues was neither practical nor desirable. Each country would have to consider the appropriate solutions, given their particular circumstances. A consensus emerged, however, regarding how important it is to be aware of and consider these factors when addressing policy issues.

b. Scope of policies

- Considerable variation exists between countries over whether food safety policies should be addressed independently or combined with other related control systems or policies (Box 1). Responses from three countries indicated they considered the food safety policies independently from all others. Responses from the remaining ten countries cited the partnering of food safety with a variety of other programmes, including food security, nutrition, food quality, risk analysis and risk communication, food management and education systems.
- The relationship between law and food policies are interpreted differently between countries. Some governments consider them to be the same instruments, while others believe that policies are the instruments that interpret and ensure consistent application of the intent of the law, while still others believe policies override the law and are more overarching.

Box 1 Scope of policies, based on the questionnaire responses

Bangladesh: Provide policy orientation to guide the development of a framework for an effective national food control system.

Bhutan: Food and nutritional aspect; however, food safety is captured under the utilization goals of the policy.

Cambodia: Food safety.

India: All aspects of food safety as per the definition of food.

Indonesia: Food security, food safety, food quality and nutrition.

Japan: Risk analysis (including separating risk assessment and risk management) and risk communication.

Republic of Korea: Build a preventive and effective food safety management system.

Lao PDR: Food safety.

Malaysia: Food safety.

Mongolia: Availability, nutritious and safe food and reduce malnutrition.

Philippines: Potential hazards in foods, from farm to fork.

Thailand: Four themes: food security, food quality and safety, food education and food management.

Viet Nam: Food safety and nutrition (handled by the Ministry of Health and food security (domain of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development and some aspects by the Ministry of Health).

In the ensuing discussion of these two points, delegates presented their views in a lively discussion of their particular circumstances and stages of legislation and policy development. A consensus was evident that no one option could or should be applied across all countries. Again, each government would apply its own interpretation to best address its particular circumstances.

It was however, acknowledged that more than one department or ministry was involved, often more than one law was covered; food safety policies could be managed at different levels (national, departmental and provincial). Additional important points that were identified included the need for effective coordination between departments; the entire food chain should be addressed; the roles of multiple agencies and stakeholders should be well articulated; and communication and networking are important.

c. Process for policy development

- Time frames for developing the policies ranged from one to three years; in some cases, it was indeterminate (Box 2). Many countries experienced delays that were problematic and symptomatic of the lack of senior-level support. The involvement of stakeholders was considered an asset, specifically in relation to acceptability, completeness and inclusion of varying viewpoints, although it sometimes added considerably to the development process, including a longer time frame needed for the development.

Box 2 **Time period for formulation** **(selected responses)**

Bangladesh: 10 months for the first draft
Bhutan: 2 years
Japan: 2 years
Republic of Korea: different aspects took different time
Lao PDR: 3 years (2006–2009)
Malaysia: 1 year
Mongolia: 2 years
Thailand: 1 year
Viet Nam: 2 years for the food law and 1–2 years for decrees and circulars

- Multiple levels of government, departments and stakeholders make coordination very difficult and lengthen the process. In certain cases, more than 18 government departments were involved along with other stakeholders. This also presents difficulties in keeping discussions focused on achieving desired outcomes for all stakeholders.
- Countries identified many potential data sources (Box 3), although few could be considered to reliably produce the type of data that can be used to influence decision-makers on the true impact of the absence of comprehensive policies across the food spectrum. The lack of reliable data is seen as a major obstacle in securing the resources and capacities to effectively deliver food safety programmes. Data gaps were also identified and included the status of public health, contamination and adulteration levels in the food supply, monitoring and surveillance data, food-borne illness monitoring and surveillance, food-borne disease incidence and national consumption data.

Box 3
Data sources

- Status of public health data
- National consumption data
- Food production methods
- Monitoring and surveillance data (regular and specific); lab analysis from different departments
- Establishments' compliance or non-compliance data
- Food-borne disease incidents/data, epidemiological surveillance data
- SWOT analysis
- Media reports
- Food safety risk assessment research, special studies and toxicological data
- Poverty analysis and assessment reports
- Vulnerability analysis and mapping
- Renewable natural resources statistical publications and living standard surveys
- Annual health bulletins
- National nutrition survey and related documents
- Literature, including policy documents
- Activities in other countries and international bodies

d. Implementation issues

For many countries, implementation issues will need to be addressed at a later stage as they were still in the policy development stages. However issues that will need to be addressed include:

- inclusion of stakeholders
- detailed action plans to track progress and the attainment of objectives
- clearly identified agencies responsible for monitoring and reporting on progress
- identification and tracking of measureable indicators
- consistent application across the country
- implementing strategies linked to the policy drivers.

NOTE: As a result of the time constraint, the process for policy development and implementing issues could not be discussed as fully as the first two issues. Data sources and data gaps were discussed to some extent and data sources are highlighted in Box 3. The issues were summarized for the delegates from the compilation of responses to the pre workshop questionnaire.

iv. Working group sessions

Much of the workshop's final two days centred on discussions within working group sessions. In the first three sessions, the delegates were formed into groups based on their ministerial or departmental association, such as health, agriculture, trade and commerce, and a mixed group of non-government delegates, including from NGOs, academia and industry. The intention was to analyze three critical food safety policy issues from their groups' unique perspective, areas of expertise and departmental mandates in the food system.

In the fourth session, the task was to analyze the capacity development needs for developing food safety policies within countries, hence the delegates were regrouped with their national colleagues.

Session 1: Drivers or factors influencing the development of food safety policies

Task

- Identify five major drivers or factors in relation to the group's areas of expertise.
- Discuss the importance and implications of each on food safety policies, including both positive and negative factors.

NOTE: See Annex K for a compilation of the presentation slides for each group.

Analysis of session 1

Within the groups, the delegates identified the drivers and factors that would normally be expected of their areas of expertise – health primarily on health-related issues, agriculture on farm-based initiatives, trade and commerce on trade and economies of the food industry and the mixed group on a variety of issues spanning the food system. These disparate views demonstrate the complexities of the food system and the absolute need for effective communication and coordination between all sectors. It further demonstrates that no single ministry or department represents all interests and that the integration of the collective needs is essential to achieve a truly comprehensive food safety system.

On the positive side, great synergies can happen when divergent needs are coordinated to achieve a common goal. For example, the trade and commerce group identified enhanced growth in tourism as a priority – something not likely that health and agriculture officials would normally rate highly on their list. However, the health sector's interest in enhancing food protection and the agriculture sector's interest in promoting or increasing local food production could all be linked with tourism. A coordinated effort between the three ministries could satisfy all their interests through enhancements to popular tourist venues, such as street food vendors, farmer's markets and restaurants, while other stakeholders, such as consumer groups, could ensure appropriate communication mechanisms with broader sections of tourist populations.

Session 2: Indicators/outcomes for food safety

Task

- Identify five key indicators to measure food safety.
- Identify systems of surveillance – existing, new or ones that need to be strengthened to measure the desired indicators/ outcomes.

NOTE: See Annex L for a compilation of the presentation slides for each group.

Analysis of session 2

All the groups identified at least a few negative impacts as essential indicators of food safety: food-borne illnesses, outbreaks, contamination, recalls, consumer complaints and import rejections. Paradoxically, they also identified in the other sessions significant gaps in the provision of surveillance and inspection programmes needed to support an information system capable of producing data on the desired indicators. The inability to provide the necessary supporting information to senior levels of government is often viewed as one of the primary reasons for failing to establish food safety as a priority in government and consequently leads to the failure in gaining the necessary resources to carry out the desired programmes and services across the food continuum.

Other priority areas were highly associated with traditional roles of government, including more inspectors and inspections, policies, laws and regulations, harmonization of standards, penalties and laboratories. Even though these attributes form important parts of an integrated food safety system, singularly it is doubtful they can have a significant impact on improving the status of food safety in a country. They must be combined with a host of other initiatives to provide the array of services needed to ensure a safe food supply. Greater success may be achieved by some of the other factors that the groups highlighted that are more closely aligned to empowering industry and consumers. These include training and education programmes, consumer and industry awareness, media reporting, increase in certified operations for good manufacturing practice (GMP), hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP), and ensuring the financial stability of small and medium-sized producing and processing enterprises. Another set of factors include the volume of production, the number of destination countries, farmers' income and the cold supply chain.

A possible omission from the group recommendations was the growing importance of the identification and tracking of food-borne zoonotic diseases. Worldwide crises associated with emerging diseases, such as BSE and avian influenza, have heightened concerns, particularly in consideration of the rapid movement of goods around the world.

There was agreement that these aspects need to be discussed further in a larger workshop or consultation on food safety indicators.

Session 3: Issues and priority areas to be addressed in food safety policy development

Task

- Identify the five most important areas to be addressed.
- What are the issues and approaches to address the five priorities?
- What are the roles of related departments and stakeholders?
- What coordination will be needed?

NOTE: See Annex M for a compilation of the presentation slides for each group.

Analysis of session 3

The groups again identified a disparate number of priorities, largely based on their professional interests and ministry mandates. A common theme among the groups, however, suggested a paramount goal of government must be to integrate and coordinate all the food safety programmes across ministries. Priority should be placed on clarifying the respective ministry responsibilities across the food continuum, including for imported and exported food products. Specifically, the process of coordination should include the following three areas that were identified by the groups:

1. Standards

- i) Food safety** – The goal is to have harmonized food safety standards that are nationally recognized, reflect international developments and allow the continued viability of small-volume food production businesses.
- ii) Establishments** – The goal is to harmonize the various ministry processes and structural standards and to reflect international developments. Standards will be harmonized to reflect food safety outcomes or be performance based, where practical. Commonality will extend to the manner and environment under which food is produced, processed and distributed.
- iii) Laboratories** – The goal is to develop standard methods (or equivalent) for laboratory testing and reporting that reflect international developments. The rationalization of laboratories in terms of number and location is also of critical importance as well as networking them for the effective sharing of facilities within the country.
- iv) Product identity standards** – The goal is to develop common standards for product identity, including grade, composition, net quantity and product description.

2. Inspection methods – Health and safety inspection systems should be based on the scientific assessment of risk to verify compliance with established criteria throughout the food chain. The goal is to develop more uniform procedures and practices in delivering inspection programmes. Included in these inspection systems are education, inspection methodologies and frequencies, sampling, laboratory analyses, training programmes, enforcement and reports.

3. System delivery – The goal is to integrate all parts of the food protection system while at the same time clarifying and respecting ministry mandates and jurisdiction. An integrated system will identify and rectify overlaps and gaps in services through coordinated discussion and agreement on lead ministry responsibilities. Costs and benefits will be evaluated continuously as integration progresses to ensure optimal efficiency and effectiveness of government services and support for industry competitiveness.

Session 4 – Country capacity needs to develop and implement the national food safety policies

Task

- Identify five priority areas.
- Identify challenges to the development and implementation of each priority.
- Identify the actions needed to support the development and implementation.
- Identify three areas required to support the development and implementation.

NOTE: See Annex N for a compilation of the presentation slides for each country.

The deliberations of the first two and a half days of the workshop and the first three working group sessions were designed to generate an array of opinions and experiences in the development of food safety policies. Session 4 was intended to use that information as a backdrop for the delegates to identify elements that they consider crucial to advancing food safety policy development and implementation in their country. The working groups' composition was thus altered and consisted solely of members from the same country (see the next section for the discussion of the individual country responses).

v. Summary of country responses

The structure of the workshop and deliberations by the delegates provided valuable insights into the complexities of developing a country's regime of food safety policies. The food system spans a vast array of disparate interests, including agricultural inputs, large and small producers, importers and exporters, distributors, processors, retailers and ultimately the consuming public. The working group sessions underscored that no single ministry, department or interest group possesses the mandate or all of the professional or technical expertise necessary to manage the entire food system. Interventions throughout the food system require a sophisticated network that links the resources of government, the food industry and consumers.

The majority of deficiencies in the food safety system are grounded in a government's failure to establish: clear mandates, close working relationships and effective means of communication and cooperation. The ultimate impact of the failure to address these issues is often reflected in the gaps in the food safety system in which sectors are left unregulated or lacking in the needed standards and surveillance to ensure compliance. Conversely, poor communication and coordination can also result in overlaps and duplication of roles and activities, leading to the unnecessary allocation of scarce resources.

The pre-workshop questionnaire and the deliberations provided verification of a host of needs in advancing food safety policy development. Countries are at varying stages of development and implementation of their food policy agendas. Contributing factors include the political environment, varying degrees of support for food safety as a priority, cultural issues, economies and funding and the influence of stakeholder groups. Collectively, these contributing factors determine the country's interest and ability to develop and implement effective food safety regimes.

An analysis of the country responses and the series of priorities identified in the other three working group sessions substantiate the institutional and technical complexities of the food system and associated policy development needs. The following emerged as the most commonly identified factors or priority issues in advancing food safety policy agendas:

- high-level political support for food safety as a priority
- a food chain approach that addresses safety risks throughout the food continuum
- coordination and cooperation among stakeholders
- risk-based food legislation and inspection regimes
- harmonization with international standards
- enhanced capacity for risk assessment, management and communication
- education and training programmes for all stakeholders
- surveillance (including food-borne illness surveillance and monitoring) and laboratory facilities
- enhanced research capacity
- balancing the policy needs of small and medium-sized enterprises with those of larger enterprises (example importers and exporters)

- market access issues (for domestic as well as international markets)
- enhanced participation of consumers
- strengthened infrastructure (both for quality assurance activities and ensuring the safe production and distribution of foods).

The primary instruments identified by all the countries to address these priorities are laws and regulations. Legal frameworks are internationally recognized as vital to establishing the necessary food safety standards and corrective measures and penalties for those found to be in non-compliance. Countries that over-rely on laws to ensure food safety, however, have likely not adequately considered two initial and fundamental questions: who will ultimately determine the safety of the food supply, and what are the government's roles and responsibilities as the gatekeepers of the food safety system? Jurisdictions must recognize that their responsibilities go beyond just the provision of legislation. They must provide the vision, goals, programmes and services that will empower the food industry to export, import, produce, process, sell and serve safe food and empower consumers to not only demand but also prepare and consume safe food.

The process for achieving these objectives will follow different paths, depending on the circumstances in the country. It is vitally important that the chosen path incorporates the elements essential to developing and implementing a comprehensive food safety system. It must span the entire food spectrum and reflect the needs of the country including all stakeholders.

vi. Conclusions and a path forward

A comprehensive food safety system must encompass all the priority areas that have been identified and agreed to by the government departments, agencies and stakeholder groups. It is also important to follow a risk-based approach so as to use limited resources efficiently. The most frequently identified deficiency by all the working groups and country responses was the ineffective or lack of coordination, cooperation and communication between ministries, departments and stakeholders. The absence of this fundamental aspect will lead to the inability to set clear mandates, harmonize regulatory controls, prevent gaps and overlaps of services, maximize the efficient use of scarce resources and ensure that the interests of all stakeholders are represented.

Significant barriers often exist within countries that if left unresolved will impede significantly the country's interest in modernizing its food system. Efforts to establish inter-ministerial coordination are often not successful largely because they fail to gain the necessary support from the political levels of government.

The future success of the modernization of and continued growth in a country's food system will be determined in large part by a government's ability to achieve success in two areas of critical importance:

- i) Inter-ministerial agreement on the principles and objectives that define the country's common vision for the coordinated management of the food system.
- ii) Effective management of the complex matrix of ministries, departments, programmes and services that encompass an integrated food safety system.

A high-level inter-ministerial food safety committee (IMFSC), with its primary mandate being the development, coordination and efficient delivery of government's food safety policies, needs to be supported at the political level. It is of absolute importance that approval and authority for the existence of this committee be granted through the ministers responsible for a country's food safety system. Failure to gain the highest level of approval will inhibit the ability of the committee to carry out its essential roles and responsibilities. Formation of this high-level committee and agreement by all ministries and departments on the principles and objectives of the country's food safety system provide the essential platform to progress to other elements and levels of food safety policy development.

The workshop participants discussed both the complexities and the enormity of the task of developing policies and programmes that span the entire food system. A suggested useful first step would be for each country to review its status and progress against the priority areas presented in the following chart. Further, an incremental approach in which priority areas that could have a reasonable expectation of success be targeted as initial areas for consideration. It is better to experience success in a few areas than only partial or incomplete achievement of too many projects. Political, financial and capacity limitations are key considerations in how far and how fast a country can move through the various components and levels of food safety policy development.

Countries must evaluate their circumstances and abilities to achieve progress in developing their solutions to the vast array of food safety policy issues. The workshop identified that countries were at different stages in their policy development processes. In many cases, as noted above, incremental progress is necessary due to the limitations in political commitment and financial and human resources.

The following table presents a sequence of priority actions from the institutional and technical perspectives that governments can consider from their own unique perspectives.

Priority actions for governments to consider	
Institutional	Technical
1. Establish a senior-level inter-ministerial food safety committee	1. Develop/ harmonize requirements, technical regulations and standards within the country and with international standards where appropriate
2. Develop an agreement on the principles and objectives of the country's food safety system	2. Develop risk-based monitoring and inspection procedures, including forms and formats
3. Identify lead ministries and areas of jurisdiction and mandates	3. Provide inspectors with essential tools and equipment to undertake risk-based inspections
4. Develop a strategic plan for the development and implementation of the country's food safety system	4. Undertake risk assessments of food establishments (farm to retail) subject to regulations
5. Develop risk-based laws and regulations	5. Develop risk-based education/training for inspectors
6. Strengthen infrastructure for monitoring and inspection as well as other food safety infrastructure needed	6. Develop codes of good practice to interpret outcome-based regulations
	7. Establish a food safety emergency response system, including traceability and recall
	8. Establish mechanisms for data collection (food-borne disease surveillance, contaminant monitoring programmes, etc.)

Should concurrent progress not be possible in all areas, it is recommended that countries view the items as being listed in priority order. Whichever path a country chooses it must ensure the essential building blocks that will enable effective cooperation and coordination be established as its primary objective.

vii. Next steps

The regional consultation was very valuable in that it provided participants with a forum for sharing information, experiences and the status of food safety policy development in their countries. The working group sessions facilitated significant discussions in identifying the drivers and factors that influence policy development, the indicators for food safety and the issues and priority areas for moving the food safety priority agenda forward. The participants also identified areas that, in their view, would be a priority in their country's scenario, along with the challenges and needed capacity support.

The delegates recommended FAO led support specifically in the following four identified areas which in their view would be very beneficial to countries:

- i) Organize pilot projects in two to three countries to review their food safety approach/policies, particularly focusing on addressing their coordination mechanisms.
- ii) Organize a regional workshop of similar size and duration to share experiences and identify the most appropriate indicators for measuring food safety across the food continuum.
- iii) Organize workshop(s) on enhancing capacity for risk analysis; assessment, management and communication.
- iv) Organize study trips to foster information sharing between countries and jurisdictions on strategies, programmes and successes. Such study trips could integrate into ongoing projects or programmes in various countries.

FAO is keenly interested in building on the successes experienced in the three-day regional consultation. FAO is actively investigating the feasibility of sponsoring a risk analysis workshop and potential pilot projects to review coordination mechanisms in interested countries. FAO will also further pursue the other two recommended activities.

Participants

Bhutan

Mr. Karma Dorji
Executive Director
Bhutan Agriculture and Food Regulatory
Authority (BAFRA
Ministry of Agriculture & Forests
Royal Government of Bhutan
PO Box No. 1071, Thimphu: Bhutan
Tel: +975 2 327031
(Direct) + 975 2 327031/325790/331349
Fax: +975 2 327032/335540
E-mail: toepkarma@gmail.com

Mr. Norbu Wangchuk
Deputy Chief Planning Officer
Plan Monitoring & Coordination Division
Gross National Happiness
Commission Thimphu, Bhutan
Tel : +975 2 325192
Email: nwangchuk@GNHC.gov.bt

Bangladesh

Prof. Dr. A B M Abdul Hannan
Director, IPH
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
Mohakhali, Dhaka
Tel: +88 01713 839540
Email: abmhannan@gmail.cm

Mr. Naquib bin Mahbub
Joint Chief, Ministry of Agriculture
Bangladesh Secretariat, Dhaka
Fax: +88 02 7167040
E-mail: naquibbm@yahoo.com

Ms. Farida Akhter Executive Director, UBINIG
22/13, Khiljee Road
Mohammadpur, Dhaka-1207
Tel:+88 02 8124533 Fax: +88 02 8113065
Email: kachuripana@gmail.com

Dr. Shah Mahfuzur Rahman National
Advisor-Food Inspection Improving Food Safety
in Bangladesh Project [GCP/BGD/047/NET]
Tel:+88 02 8818161, +88 01 711831
Fax:+88 02 9881237/90392032
Email: Mahfuzur.Rahman@fao.org

Cambodia

Mr. Oun PHAN
Deputy Director
Department of Technical Affairs and Public
Relations
Head of Risk Management Unit (RMU)
Camcontrol Directorate-General
Ministry of Commerce, Kingdom of
Cambodia
Tel: +855 12 568356, +855 97 2307777,
Phone/Fax: +855 23 426166.
Email: oun.phan@yahoo.com

Mr. Hoksrun AING
Chief of Food Safety Bureau
Department of Drugs and Food
151-153 Kampuchea Krom Blvd. Phnom Penh,
Cambodia
Tel: +855 8 5538066
E-mail: hoksrunaing@gmail.com

Mr. Hean Vanhan
Deputy General Director
General Directorate of Agriculture,
MAFF No 54B/49F St. 395-656
sangkat Toeuk Laak, khan Tuol Kok,
Phnom Penh, Cambodia,
Tel: +855 1 2818216
Email: heanvanhan@gmail.com

India

Mr Anil Jauhri
Director, NABCB Quality Council of India
Tel:+91 11 23378056 57
Email: dirnabcb@qcin.org

Indonesia

Dr. Roy Alexander Sparringa
Deputy Chairman for food safety and
Hazardous Substance Control
National Agency for Drug and Food Control
(NADFC),
Ministry of Health, Indonesia
Tel: +62 21 4253857
E-mail: sparringa@gmail.com

Mr. Halim Nababan
Director of Food Safety Surveillance
and Extension
National Agency for Drug and Food
Control (NADFC),
Ministry of Health, Indonesia
Email: halim_vt@yahoo.com

Prof Dedi Fardiaz,
Department of Food Science and
Technology
Bogor Agricultural University,
Kampus IPB, Darmaga
Bogor 16680, Indonesia
Tel: +62 25 18629903
E-mail dedi_fardiaz@yahoo.com.sg

Dr. Gardjita Budi
Director of Quality and Standardization
Ministry of Agriculture
Tel: +62 21 7815881
E-mail: gbudi@deptan.go.id
and gbudi.jkt@gmail.com

Ms. Andjar Rochani
Deputy Director of Quality and
standardization
Ministry of Agriculture Indonesia
Tel; +62 21 7815881
E-mail : andjar_1950@yahoo.com

Ms. Sri Sulasmi Ministry of Agriculture
Tel: +62 21 7815881
Indonesia
Email : ciami_12@yahoo.com

Japan

Mr. Tetsuo Urushiyama
Scientific Adviser
Food Safety and Consumer Policy Division
Food Safety and Consumer Policy Affairs
Bureau
Ministry of Agriculture Forestry and
Fisheries of Japan
Tel: +81 6 7440490
Email: tetsuo_urushiyama@nm.maff.go.jp

Laos

Mr Somthavy Changvisommid
DG, Food and Drug Department, Ministry
of Health, Simouang Road,
MOH Building, Vientiane Capital 01000
Tel: +856 21 214013 4
Email:Csomthavy_fdd@yahoo.com

Mr. Phaydy Phixaysarakham, Deputy
Director-General, Department of Agriculture,
Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry,
Vientiane Capital, Lao PDR,
Tel: +856 21 412350
Fax: +856 21 412349
Email:doag@laotel.com; phaydy8@yahoo.com

Dr. Sithong Phiphakhavong,
Director-General, Department of Livestock
and Fisheries, P.O. Box: 6644,
Vientiane Capital, Lao PDR
Tel: +856 21 215242 3
Fax: +856 21 215141
E-mail: sithongp@yahoo.com

Mr. Bounpone BOUAPHENG,
Deputy Director General, Department
of Standardization and Metrology (DSM)
Ministry of Science & Technology
Vientiane Capital, Lao PDR
Tel:+856 21 213470
Email: luangbouapheng@yahoo.com

Malaysia

Ms. Shamsinar Abdul Talib
Director of Planning
Policy Development and Codex Standard Food
Safety and Quality Division
Ministry of Health Malaysia
Tel: +603 8 8850788
Fax :+603 8 8850790/0798
E-mail : shamsinar@moh.gov.my;
shamsinar_talib@yahoo.com

Ms. Anni Mitin Consumer Group
Sec-Gen ERA Consumer
Kuala Lumpur Malaysia
Tel:+601 6 2516085
E-mail:annie@uwalumni.com

Mongolia

Mr. Bat-Ochir Gunsen
Ministry of Industry and Agriculture of Mongolia,
Government Building #9, Enkhtaivan Avenue 16a,
Ulaanbaatar 13381, Mongolia
Tel:+976 89 117707
E-mail: batka.gunsen@gmail.com

Ms. Enkhmaa Deleg
Officer of National Security Council of Mongolia
Tel: +975 51 261535
E-mail: emma_deleg@yahoo.de

Dr. Jambalmaa Khainzan MD, PhD Founding
Director Mongolian Food Safety and Nutrition
Society (MFSANS)
Khoroo #3, Microdistrict #12
Bayanzurkh district,
Building of NIMM Audit Co., Ltd, Suite #310
Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia
P.O.Box-49.
Tel:+976 9 1911049
E-mail: jambalmaa@yahoo.com

Philippines

Mr. Kenneth y. Hartigan-Go
MD, Food and Drug Administration
Department of Health, Ministry of Health
Tel:+63 2 8571900 2183/2184
Fax: +63 2 8070751
E-mail: khgo@doh.gov.ph; KHGo@AIM.EDU

Dr. Minda Manantan
Executive Director
National Meat Inspection Service
Department of Agriculture
Tel:+63 2 9243118; +63 917 8378729
E-mail: minda.manantan@yahoo.com

Dr. Sonia Y. De Leon
President of NGO
Foundation for the Advancement of Food
Science and Technology Inc (FAFST)
Manila, Philippines
Tel:+63 2 3726688
E-mail: sonydel2010@gmail.com,
fafst@yahoo.com

Republic of Korea

Mr. Jeong Kyung-Seok
Deputy Director
Food Safety & Consumer Affairs Policy
Division
Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Forestry
and Fisheries
94,Dasom 2-ro, Sejong-Si, Korea
Tel:+82 44 2012415,+82 10 35874059
Fax: +82 44 8680461
E-mail: godjung7@korea.kr

Mr. Hyunjim Kim
Assistant Director
Food safety policy division,
Korea Food & Drug Administration
Osong Health Technology Administration Complex,
187 Osongsaengmyeong 2(i)-ro, Osong-eup,
Cheongwon-gun, Chungcheongbuk-do, Korea
Tel: +82 43 7192010
Fax: +82 43 1192000
E-mail : brightzmun@korea.kr

Mr. Hye-Hyun Kim
Researcher, Korea Food Research Institute
1201-62 Anyangpangyo-ro, Seongnam-si,
Gyeonggi-do, 463-746,
Tel:+82 31 7809048
E-mail: gpgus0617@naver.com

Ms. Jung Ock Lee
Researcher, Korea Food Research Institute
1201-62 Anyangpangyo-ro, Seongnam-si,
Gyeonggi-do, 463-746, Republic of Korea
Tel:+82 31 7809048
E-mail: me021784@naver.com

Ms. Kyungjin Yu
Internship FAO RAP,
Bangkok
FAO Regional Officer for Asia and the Pacific
Maliwan Mansion, 39 PhraAtit Road,
Bangkok 10200
Thailand
Tel: +66 2 6974142
Email: kyungjin.yu@fao.or

Thailand

Prof Dr. Kraissid Tontisirin
Advisory Board of Thai National Food
Committee Thai National Food Committee,
Secretariat Office at Thai FDA, Ministry of
Public Health
E-mail: kraissid.tontisirin@gmail.com

Ms. Jongkolnee Vithayarungruang
Director, Bureau of Food Safety Extension
and Support (BFSES)
The Office of Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Public Health
Tel:+66 2 9659730
E-mail: foodsafety@moph.mail.go.th;
Jongkolnee.moph@gmail.com

Dr. Tipvon Parinyasiri
Director
Bureau of Food, Thai FDA Ministry
of Public Health,
Tel:+66 2 5907175
E-mail: tipvon@hotmail.com;
tipvon@fda.moph.go.th;

Ms. Panadda Tungsawas
Food and Drug Technical Officer
Bureau of Food, Thai FDA Ministry
of Public Health,
E-mail: panadda@fda.moph.go.th;
eadygirl@hotmail.com

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Visith Chavasit
Director
Institute of Nutrition
Mahidol University
999 Phutthamonthon 4Rd.,
Salaya, Phutthamonthon, NakhonPathom
Tel:+66 2 8002380 Fax:+66 2 4419344
E-mail: directnu@mahidol.ac.th

Mrs. Pharrunrat Tanaviyutpakdee
Institute of Nutrition
Mahidol University 999 Phutthamonthon 4Rd,
Salaya, Phutthamonthon,
NakhonPathom Thailand
Tel: +66 2 8002380 309
E-mail: pharrunrat.tan@mahidol.ac.th

Dr. Nanthiya Unprasert
Deputy Secretary General
National Bureau of Agricultural Commodity
and Food Standards,
Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives
50 Phaholyothin Road, Ladyao, Chatuchak
Bangkok 10900, Thailand
E-mail: nanthiyau@gmail.com

Dr. Narumon Wiangwang
Researcher (Professional Level)
Office of Agricultural Commodity and Food
Standards (ACFS)
50 Phaholyothin Road, Ladyao, Chatuchak,
Bangkok 10900 Thailand
Tel: +66 84 6682113, +66 2 5613707
Fax:+66 2 5613712
Email: narumon.wiangwang@gmail.com

Dr. Pojjanee Paniangvait
Senior member of Committee on Food
and Agricultural Business
The Committee on Food and
Agricultural Business
Tel:+66 2 3744730
E-mail: Pojjanee.P@mama.co.th

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Songsak Srianujata
Advisor
Institute of Nutrition, Mahidol University c/o
125 SoiSaisin, Prachachuen road,
Wongsawang,
Bangsue, Bangkok 10800
Tel.+66 81 3433580
E-mail: songsak.sri@mahidol.ac.th

Ms. Jutamat Klinsoda
Food Technologist
Bureau of Food Safety Extension and
Support (BFSES), Ministry of Public Health
Tiwanont Rd., TambonTalad Kwan,
MueangNonthaburi, Nonthaburi11000, Thailand
Tel: +66 89 9225787, +66 2 9659730
Fax: +66 2 5889730
E-mail: jutamat.fsoc@gmail.com

Ms. Srianant Wanasen
Food Biotechnology Research Unit
113 Thailand Science Park
Phahonyothin Road
Khlung Nueng, Khlung Luang
Pathum Thani 12120
THAILAND
Tel: +66 2 564 6700
Fax: +66 2 564 6707
E-mail: sri-anant@biotec.or.th

Vietnam

Dr. Nguyen Hung Long
Deputy Director General
Vietnam Food Administration
Ministry of Health
Lane 135 Nui Truc Str., Ba Dinh,
Hanoi, Vietnam
Tel: +84 4 38464489 3060
Email: vfa@vfa.gov.vn
Address: No.10 Nguyen Cong
Hoan, Ba Dinh, Hanoi
Tel: +84 91 3038319
Fax: +84 3 8317221/7714695
E-mail: vanthuan.nafi@mard.gov.vn

Mr. Nguyen Van Thuan
Director
Ministry of Agriculture
Agrifood Quality Assurance Division,
National Agro-Forestry-Fisheries Quality
Assurance Department (NAFIQAD)

Canada

Mr. Larry Dennis Copeland
International Consultant on
Food Safety Policies,
3269 West 30 Ave, Vancouver,
BC Canada
Tel: +60 4 2615737
E-mail: jlcopeland@shaw.ca

FAO RAP, Bangkok

Ms Shashi Sareen
Senior Food Safety & Nutrition Officer
Senior Food Safety & Nutrition Officer
FAO Regional Officer for Asia and
the Pacific
Maliwan Mansion, 39 Phra Atit Road,
Bangkok 10200 Thailand
Tel: +66 2 6974143
Fax: +66 2 6974445
E-mail: Shashi.sareen@fao.org

Mr. Atsuhiko Meno
Project Coordinator
GCP/RAS/280/JPN Project Coordinator
GCP/RAS/280/JPN FAO Regional
Officer for Asia and the Pacific
Maliwan Mansion, 39 Phra Atit Road,
Bangkok 10200
Thailand
Tel +66 2 6974354
Fax +66 2 6974477
Email: atsuhiko.meno@fao.org

Welcoming address

Hiroyuki Konuma

Assistant Director-General and
FAO Regional Representative for Asia and the Pacific

***H.E. Dr Vichai Tienthavorn, Vice Minister of the Ministry of Public Health, Thailand,
Distinguished participants from various countries of Asia,***

Resource persons,
Ladies and gentlemen,

It gives me great pleasure to welcome you to the regional food safety policy consultation for Asia – organized by the FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific in collaboration with the Ministry of Public Health. I would like to thank the Ministry of Public Health for supporting FAO in organizing this important regional consultation. I am very glad to see that various departments at the senior level and stakeholders from 13 countries are represented in this consultation.

Food safety is a very important subject and has been increasingly gaining importance globally as well as in the region. Food safety, as you are aware, contributes significantly to food security because unsafe and poor-quality food leads to illnesses and malnutrition as well as food wastage. This leads to a strong need to emphasize not only the availability of food but also to ensure that food safety issues are given importance in our effort to attain food security and better nutrition. Recent concerns on food safety relate not only to the common microbiological and chemical contamination but also the ever newer emerging hazards due to technological development innovations, such as nanotechnology, climate change, use of new packaging materials and others.

Although governments in the region have been working towards addressing food safety in their countries, the challenges faced by them are many. One of the major issues continues to be the clarity of the role of multiple agencies due to the multidisciplinary nature of food safety, which needs the involvement of not only a large number of departments to oversee and coordinate the food safety aspect but also involvement of multiple stakeholders, the producers, processors, handlers, retailers and the consumers – all of which have a role in ensuring that there is no neglect in any portion of the food chain for ensuring safe food.

Another important challenge is the lack of appropriate indicators on food safety as well as reliable data due to the fact that the food safety status of countries is not easily measureable and the impact of food safety is not readily felt during normal times and usual circumstances. Only when cases of major incidents or emergencies, such as melamine contamination, dioxin contamination or the latest E. coli O104:H4 bacteria contamination case in Germany, have occurred; then the impact of food safety is more evident.

In view of this, the resources allocated towards food safety in terms of physical, human and financial resources are not always appropriate to the real needs. There have been instances in countries where

state-of-the-art laboratories have been established, but funding for testing has not been suitably allocated. In other cases, governments have allocated funding for ensuring the safety of export to meet the needs of importing governments while efforts for safety of products for the local market are often neglected.

It therefore becomes imperative that countries clearly identify their priorities and goals in relation to food safety and that they work towards addressing them in a comprehensive and coordinated manner, with the involvement of relevant stakeholders. These may cover a range of issues, including public health, protecting consumer rights, maintaining access to international and regional markets, protecting the image of the country, providing an environment for growth of industry, reducing food waste and losses and others. Policies play an important role in providing a common vision, goals and guiding principles and directions for addressing food safety in a country.

Many countries have already formulated or are in the process of formulating food safety policies. It is important that the policies are formulated with multi-stakeholder involvement and consultation so that these are not skewed towards specific goals relating to a single sector (such as exports) or only catering to the interests of specific groups in the food chain.

FAO activities

The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations has been focusing on food safety at the international level as well as the regional level. FAO also responds to the needs of individual countries and works with them in addressing food safety concerns and supports their capacity development.

Food safety has been recognized as a priority area in the FAO Regional Conference for the Asia and the Pacific; in the thirty-first FAO Regional Conference for Asia and the Pacific, in March 2012, FAO was requested to assist member countries to:

- harmonize food safety and quality standards in value chain development
- strengthen legal and institutional frameworks that govern food safety and quality in value chain development
- enhance capacity for participation in the design of animal health and food safety standards.

FAO is currently supporting 15–20 projects in various countries of the Asia–Pacific region on food safety, covering capacity strengthening in aspects of food safety and quality policies, food legislation, laboratories, standards and Codex-related activities, control and inspection procedures, public awareness and education on food safety and consumers' health, implementing preventive risk-based approach in industry sectors and others.

Despite the various efforts and initiatives in the region, it has been observed that much more is needed in the area of food safety in terms of a clear focus, based on objectives and priorities of the country, clarity on roles and responsibility of different government agencies and other stakeholders and appropriate investments in terms of resource allocations (physical, human and financial resources).

The purpose of this consultation, therefore, is to bring together multiple stakeholders from various countries of the region, with differing food safety scenarios, so that:

- issues and challenges relating to food safety are identified and reflected upon
- country experiences on developing and implementing food safety policies are shared
- issues and priority areas are identified and defined that can further be used by countries as a guidance while developing or reviewing their food safety policies
- country-level priority actions are identified, with the support required for implementing them (including resource requirements).

Some experiences of countries, such as Thailand, Japan and Bangladesh, in the development of food safety policies will also be shared.

Prior to the consultation, I understand that an exercise was carried out to gather data from countries on the scenario of the food safety policies, covering the background of establishment of the policies, the drivers, the goals to be achieved and the challenges being faced towards their implementation. This will form a basis of discussion during the consultation.

Based on this consultation, FAO could further explore support to countries in developing or reviewing their policies or even in facilitating the implementation of them. Let me add that FAO is currently supporting some countries, such as Bangladesh in developing its food safety and quality policies and Mongolia in developing its policy for food standards.

Ladies and gentlemen,

In closing, I would like to take this opportunity to assure you of FAO's commitment to capacity building for food safety.

It is indeed a pleasure for FAO to organize this important regional consultation. I would like to thank the Ministry of Health for collaborating with FAO and coordinating all the logistical arrangements, which indeed is a very difficult task. I would also like to thank all the countries present for sparing their time as well as providing their inputs and sharing their experiences to support this important meeting.

Finally, I wish you a successful regional consultation and look forward to the outcome of your deliberations in this crucial field of food safety policies.

Thank you.

Opening address

Vichai Tienthavorn

Vice Minister for Public Health, Thailand

Dr Hiroyuki Konuma, Assistant Director General, Regional Representative of the FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific

Ms Shashi Sareen, Senior Food Safety and Nutrition Officer, FAO

And participants,

On behalf of the Ministry of Public Health and the Government of the Kingdom of Thailand, I have the great honour and pleasure to welcome FAO representatives and all participants from countries in Asia to the Regional Consultation on Workshop Guidelines for the Development of Food Safety Policies for Countries in Asia. I would like to extend my warmest welcome to those of you who have come to Thailand to participate in this workshop and I hope that your stay here will be enjoyable and productive.

I am very grateful for the support that FAO gave the Ministry of Public Health of Thailand to organize this valuable workshop. The Bureau of Food Safety Extension and Support, which is the responsible authority as the intermediate organization to drive food policies to public health officers in all 77 provinces, has realized on consumer health, food safety and nutrition. Therefore, a briefing on a development of the food safety policies of Asia countries, including Thailand for strengthening the food safety systems is the aim of the Regional Consultation Workshop on Guidelines for the Development of Food Safety Policies for Countries in Asia. During the regional meeting, a status report on food safety policies in each country covering both the existence of policies and main drivers influencing food safety policies will be discussed.

At present, agricultural land is affected by climate change and development of various diseases in Thailand. The Thai Government has announced the policies that promotes “Kitchen of the World” project, focuses on quality and safety of Thai food along the food supply chain, giving a major boost to research work, so that Thai cuisine would gain greater recognition at the international level. Policies for food safety, therefore, need to take account of a range of issues, including public health, but additionally impact on food production, trading patterns or socio-economic aspects. The Ministry of Public Health is accelerating the implementation of its food safety policies in response to the Government’s project. In implementing the food safety policies, emphasis would be placed on hygienic and sanitary conditions throughout the food chain under the “from farm to table” concept. It will assure that more efficiency of food production in the food chain is involved with all steps, ranging from production to transportation, processing, and distribution to consumers. The key to a successful response usually falls to all agencies in the food supply chain and the governmental sectors. Multi-agency collaboration, both nationally and regionally, will be required.

Currently, the Ministry of Public Health has prepared healthy food as a way for people to turn to a healthy lifestyle by working with public health administrators in all 77 provinces to focus on food safety to promote good health among the people and cope with gastroenteritis-related diseases. Meanwhile, regarding to the national policies, the Government has set a target to increase the number of restaurants with food safety standards by 5 percent a year. The Ministry of Public Health is thus working with the Thai Restaurant Association in developing the quality of Thai restaurants and food shops to international standards. A target has been set to develop 150 local restaurants and food shops to international standards by 2009. In recent years, around 122 000 food outlets, out of 168 000 across the country, have passed the food safety standards set by the Ministry of Public Health in terms of hygienic and sanitary conditions to ensure safety for consumers.

Food safety policies are important issues and all countries deem it necessary to adjust in order to maintain food security and improve food safety standards. Also, governments should develop documented comprehensive national food safety policies and establish effective partnership among relevant stakeholders. This requires leadership, political will and a commitment to food safety, especially in view of the competing priorities in the health agenda. Agreement on the issues and priority actions must be addressed to ensure high-level policy support for food safety, including adequate resource allocations (in terms of physical and human resources) aimed at strengthening the food control systems.

Ladies and gentlemen,

I wish the consultation workshop will provide opportunity for various countries in formulating and implementing guidelines for the development of food safety policies for your countries. This is a great chance for all participants to learn from the experts team and share their experiences. I hope that our three-day workshop will be a fruitful one and will come up with successful outputs. I wish you a pleasant stay in our country.

At this auspicious moment, may I now declare the Regional Consultation Workshop on Guidelines for the Development of Food Safety Policies for Countries in Asia open.

Thank you.

Programme of Regional Consultation Workshop
Guidelines for Development of Food Safety Policy for countries in Asia,

Day 1 (December 17, 2012)	
Time	Programme
08.00 – 09.00	Registration
Opening Session	
09.00 – 10.00	<p>Opening session</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Welcome Address - Dr. Hiroyuki Konuma, Assistant Director General/Regional Representative, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific • Opening Address –Dr.Vichai Tienthavorn,Vice Minister for Public Health, Thailand • Vote of Thanks - Ms. Shashi Sareen, Senior Food Safety and Nutrition Officer, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific • Group photo
10.00 – 10.15	Tea Break
Overview & Status of Policies in Countries	
10.15 – 10.45	Objectives, outline and structure of the programme and introduction of participants - Ms Shashi Sareen (FAO)
10.45 – 11.30	Food Safety Policies: Context and Consideration – Mr Larry Copeland (International Consultant) & Ms Shashi Sareen (FAO)
11.30 – 12.15	<p>Country experiences in food safety policies 1:</p> <p>National Food Safety Polices – Development process, considerations, challenges, positive experiences, linkages to other policies, etc</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thailand experience - Prof Dr Kraissid Tontisirin, Prime Minister’s National Food Committee, Thailand • Discussions
12.15 – 13.30	Lunch
13.30 – 14.15	<p>Country experiences in food safety policies 2:</p> <p>National Food safety policies – the policies, development process, challenges and positive experiences including benefits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Japan experience – Mr Tetsuo URUSHIYAMA, MAFF, Japan • Discussions
Experiences in food safety policies:	
14.15 – 15.15	<p>Status of Policies in Countries : Facilitated discussion 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Summary of responses - Reflections on questionnaire
15:15 – 15:30	Tea Break
15:30– 16.30	<p>Status of Policies in Countries : Facilitated discussion 1Contd</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Summary of responses - Reflections on questionnaire
16.30 – 16.45	Discussions and Conclusion of Day 1

Day 2 (18 December 2012)

Time	Programme
08:30 – 10:00	Working Group Session 1–Drivers/ factors influencing development of food safety policies (4 groups based on sectors)
10:00 – 10:15	Tea Break
10:15 – 11:15 11:15 – 12:45	Presentation by groups and open discussion, Q&A (10 min each) Working Group Session 2: Indicators for food safety (same 4 groups) Frame:
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Identify indicators to measure food safety (measurable) ● Systems of surveillance (existing/ need strengthening)
12:45 – 13:45	Lunch
13.45 – 14.45	Presentation by groups and open discussion, Q&A (10 min each)
14:45 – 15:30	Country experiences in food safety policies 3: National Food safety policies – the policies, development process, challenges and positive experiences including benefits
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Bangladesh experience - Prof Dr ABM Abdul Hannan, Director, IPH, Bangladesh and Dr Shah Mahfuzur Rahman, National Advisor (Food Inspection), FAO Food Safety Project, Bangladesh ● Discussions
15:30 – 15:45	Tea Break
15.45 – 17.45	Working Group Session 3 – Issues (priority areas) to be addressed in food safety policies (same 4 groups) Frame:
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Issues and approaches to these ● Departments/ stakeholders that have a role and their role ● Coordination needed

Day 3 (19 December 2012)

08.30 – 09.30	Presentation by groups and open discussion, Q&A (10 min each group)
09.30 – 10.30	Facilitated discussion on food safety policies 2: Topic : Impact of food safety policies in the country
10.30 – 10.45	Tea break
10.45 – 12.15	Working Group Session 4– Capacity Development needs to support development and implementation of National food safety policies (country wise groups) Frame:
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Priority areas ● Challenges to development/ implementation ● Actions needed ● Support needed (internal and external) – for development & implementation
12.15 – 13.15	Lunch
13.15 – 15.15	Presentation by groups and open discussion, Q&A (10 min each)
15:15 – 15:45	Tea Break & Drafting of Recommendations/ Follow up
15:45 – 16:30	Recommendations/ Follow up and Conclusions- FAO

Presentation Slides, International Experience, Mr. Larry Copeland, International Consultant

Food Safety Policies: Context and Considerations

at the

Regional Consultation Workshop
Guidelines For Development of Food Safety Policy for *Countries In Asia*
November 17-19, 2012

Larry Copeland
Food Safety and Public Health
Consulting Services

Related Professional Experience

- Retired, Director, Food Protection Services, BC Centre For Disease Control
- Chair, Canadian Food Inspection Implementation Committee
- Chair, Federal, Provincial, Territorial Committee on Food Safety Policies
- Lead Consultant, Provincial Inter-Ministerial Food Safety Policy Committee

THE BURDEN OF INFECTIOUS DISEASES

Reductions In Mortality/Morbidity From Many Infectious Diseases Have Been Described As The Single Most Significant Public Health Achievement Of The Past Century – Many Associated With Enhancements To Food Safety.

“The Biggest Mistake Any Organization Can Make Is Assuming What Worked In The Past Will Work In The Future”

“Even If You Are On The Right Track You’ll Get Run Over If You Just Stand There”

Will Rogers

World Crises in the Food System

- Melamine in many food products
- Mad Cow Disease
- Bird Flu
- Dioxins in pork
- Insecticide in dumplings
- Listeria in ready to eat meat products
- E.coli 0157:H7 contamination of beef

The Policy Challenge

New approaches are necessary to enable food safety policies and programs to effectively address today's challenges. Food safety policy development and implementation needs to reflect the interests and responsibilities of government, the agri food industry and consumers


"Often the problem is in the system, not in the people."




"If you put good people in irrelevant systems, you don't get the results you want."

Foodborne Pathogens Since 1960

1960s	1970s	1980s	1990s
ETEC	B. cereus	Aeromonas	Crypto-sporidium
Plesio-monas	(emetic) Campylobacter	E.coli 0157:H7	Cyclospora
	EIEC	Giardia	EAggEC
	Norwalk virus	Listeria mono.	Non-0157 VTEC
	Yersinia enterocolitica	Streptococcus	NvCJD
		zooepidemicus	Staphylococcus intermedium
		Vibrio vulnificus	



THE FOOD SYSTEM A COMPLEX MATRIX OF EVERCHANGING VARIABLES



A Matrix of Ever Changing Variables Across the Food Spectrum

AGRICULTURE INPUTS	PRODUCTION PRACTISES	IMPORTS & EXPORTS	FOOD PROCESSING
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Climate change Pesticides Microbes(new) Organics Safe water 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intensive agri/aquaculture Bio technology Growth promotent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Worldwide distribution 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trend to larger operations New technology
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HEALTHY DIETS Natural foods - less preservatives organics 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HUMAN EXPOSURES Surveillance Risk assessments Zoonoses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PREVENTION AND PROMOTION Regulation Inspection education 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EMERGENCY PREP/RESPONSE Early detection, early warning Outbreak

OBSTACLES WITHIN GOVERNMENT

- Competing priorities
- Lack of commitment by senior levels of government
- Scarce resources
- Conflicting demands from industry
- Export/import standards vs domestic markets

Complex Matrix of Levels of Government and Departments

Multiple Levels of Government

- Federal
- Provincial
- Regional
- Municipal

Multiple Departments Responsible For Food Safety

- Agriculture
- Fisheries
- Health
- Environment
- Trade and Commerce
- Consumer Affairs

A Vast Array of Professionals are Needed

- Epidemiologists
- Veterinarians
- Physicians
- Economists
- Microbiologists
- Surveillance Analysts
- Environmental Health Officers
- Agrologists
- Chemists
- Entomologists
- Climatologists

How Much Influence Does Government Really Have

- Government undertakes 4 hours of inspection per year (2 inspections @ 2 hours each).
- Food establishment operates 10 hours a day 360 days a year.
- Inspector is present in food establishment (4/10x365)
0.109% of its operating hours

Traditional Tools to Ensure the Safety of the Food Supply

- Legislation/ Standards
- Inspection/Enforcement

Why Have Traditional Food Policy Regimes Not Resulted Lasting Change


“The importance of structure is engrained in the food policy psyche. If a problem can engineered out we try to do so. However it remains that human behavior and employee discretion are so inherent in the food industry that equipment and structural improvements can only do so much. It would seem from the list of significant factors causing food borne illnesses that we need to affect the way food managers and employees operate as opposed to just the way their establishments look”

John Guzewich, State of New York Health Department

What Needs To Be Done The Food Policy Imperative

“A coordinated government partnership with industry and consumers which will result in a healthier population and securing a sustainable agriculture, fisheries and food Industry”

Pyramid of Needs to Achieve a Comprehensive Food Safety System



Presentation slides, Regional Experience, Ms. Shashi Sareen, Senior Food Safety and Nutrition Officer, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific




Regional Consultation Workshop
Guidelines for Development of Food
Safety Policies for Countries in Asia
November 17-19, 2012

**Food Safety Policies: Context and
Consideration**

Coverage

- Asian scenario
- Importance of food safety
- Food safety challenges in the region
- Food safety policies
- Purpose/ Expected outcome of the Consultation

Scenario in Asia

- More than 50 percent world population live in Asia
- Globally 870 m persons undernourished 2010-12 (12.5% of global population);
- Of which 852 m (14.9%) in developing countries
- Of total world undernourished population, 536 m ie ~62% in Asia
- Food wastages and losses : 30-40% of food produced for human consumption (1.3 b ton/yr)
- Disasters – floods, sunami, earthquakes...
- Issues of food safety – melamine; radionuclide contamination, pesticide residues, formalin...
- Foodborne illness data mostly not available

Some Data on Foodborne Illnesses

- WHO estimates that foodborne and waterborne diarrhoeal diseases cause ~2.2 m deaths worldwide annually (1.9 m children)
- US – around 1 in 6 Americans (or 48 million people) gets sick, 128,000 hospitalized, 3,000 die of foodborne diseases (20% known pathogens & 80% unknown agents) (Source CDC)
Top five - Norovirus, *Salmonella* nontyphoidal, *Clostridium perfringens*, *Campylobacter spp*, *Staph aureus*
- Australia – 5.4 million cases/ year of gastroenteritis
- Data from Asia ??

Importance of Food Safety

- Protecting health of consumers – acute food borne illnesses; long term health impacts (chemical substances & toxins)
- Meeting requirements for international trade - market access
- Reduction of food wastes and losses (contaminations; spoilage; destructions)
- Constantly emerging new factors & challenges - influence food safety
- An important component of food security (access, availability, utilization)
- Promote consumer confidence
- Strengthen national reputation

Food Safety policy makers are guardians of food safety

Food Safety Challenges in Asia 1/2

- Globalisation leading to increasingly new challenges & risks to the health & safety of consumers
- Regional trade also important – but levels of food safety differs
- Food safety is complex, need for a preventative risk-based approach across entire food chain
- Food safety is a cross cutting area across sectors – role of multiple agencies & coordination important
- Role of multiple stakeholders – FBOs (producers; processors; handlers; retailers...): government: consumers

Food Safety Challenges 2/2

- Low importance to food safety by government/other stakeholders - food safety incidents often unreported so impact of food safety not well recognized - measurement of FS status poor
- Lack of suitable environment in terms of
 - political, regulatory, scientific (research); technical; financial; infrastructure needed to support food safety
- Investments towards physical /human resources in many cases low /not appropriate to the situation
- Singular approach - Pressures from private sector for eg to target exports
- Lack of data – foodborne diseases surveillance, food monitoring...

Food safety needs to be addressed in a focused and targeted manner



FOOD SAFETY POLICIES


Food safety policies! 1/2

- **Provide support to address food safety** in the country in a comprehensive and coordinated manner
- Provide the **vision, goal & guiding principles** for addressing food safety in a country. May cover an overall framework
- **Differs in countries**—environment, drivers, potential, priorities
- Address **various issues** such as objectives; basic principles; application of an integrated farm to table approach; cooperation/collaboration; defines responsibilities of CA, food/ feed operators

Food safety policies! 2/2

- **Ownership National**
- **Multistakeholder & multidisciplinary**
 - Requires multistakeholder inputs
 - Involvement for implementation as per their roles/ responsibilities/ activities, within countries' system
- **Powerful document that motivates by clear targets, ideas & approaches**

Conceptual Model for Developing & Implementing Food Safety Policies



Purpose/ expected Outcome of Consultation

- **Reflect on key issues** surrounding policy development & implementation
- **Sharing of experiences** of countries – development, implementation, challenges... (cross sectoral, resources..)
- Reflect on **measureable indicators** – importance of evidence/ data – to measure impact
- **Identifying Issues and priority areas** in the region & approaches towards these – a possible guidance to countries (developing/ reviewing their food safety policies)
- Identifying **priority areas and actions** as well as support needed (countrywise)



**Regional Consultation Workshop
Guidelines for Development of Food
Safety Policies for Countries in Asia**
November 17-19, 2012

**Objectives, expected outputs,
outline & structure of the
programme**

Background

- International support to food safety programmes & projects in region
- Programmes stop once project is completed
- Disconnect b/w managers and political decision makers – resources not allocated sufficiently, lack of clarity on goals/ trained manpower
- Policy documents exist in many countries – weak implementation – departmental - low coordination
- Aim
 - Bring together countries/ stakeholders
 - share experiences, discuss issues, problems and brainstorm – clear focus on how to ensure that food safety is an area of focus at national level

Objective of the Consultation

1. Share background & status on policies & strategies at national level that guide national food safety systems & investments in the same;
 2. identify current drivers/ factors that influence food safety in countries/ region;
 3. share country experiences on development of FS policies;
 4. reflect & agree on issues & priority actions to ensure high-level policy support for food safety, including adequate resource allocations (in terms of physical & manpower) aimed at strengthening the food control systems
- Not solve issues – identify issues – brainstorm – clarity on how to proceed toward food safety policies

Expected Outputs

- A **status report on food safety policies** in each country covering both the existence of policies & main drivers influencing food safety policies.
- A **deeper understanding of the “food environment”** in the countries (safety challenges and concerns, dynamics of agriculture & food production, important value chains, trade & market access, regional dimensions....)
- **Issues and priority areas** identified and defined which can be used by countries as a possible guidance (while developing/ reviewing their food safety policies)
- **Country level priority areas & actions needed with support required** for implementing the same (internal & external)

Outline/ Programme structure

1. National Food Safety Policies of few countries as examples – Development process, considerations, challenges, positive experiences, linkages to other policies, etc
2. Response of countries & reflection on some important issues
3. Understanding of the food environment in countries/ factors that influence policy development
4. Indicators for food safety (measurable)
5. Issues/ priority areas (regional) to be addressed in FS policies
6. Impact of food safety policies in the country
7. Countrywise priority areas/ actions & support needed (development & implementation) of national FS policies
 - Presentations – Facilitated discussions – WGs
 - Informal interactions - Dinner cruise & cultural programme

Resources

- International expert on food safety policies development
- Country experiences
- Individual experiences of multiple stakeholders

Questions?

Annex G Presentation Slides, Thailand Experience, Prof. Dr. Kraissid Tontisirin, Prime Minister's National Food Committee

National Food Safety Policy of Thailand

Prof. Kraissid Tontisirin M.D., Ph.D

- Senior Advisor , Institute of Nutrition Mahidol University, Thailand
- Chairman of the Food Strategic Planning Committee of the National Food Committee

17 December 2012
FAO Regional Food Safety Consultation
Bangkok, Thailand



Thailand: Current situation



- Population 64.6 millions
- 12th largest exporter of agriculture and food products
- Main food exported products :
 - e.g. Rice
 - Processed Chicken
 - Processed Pineapples
 - Fishery Products



Thailand Is A Food Exporter -- Kitchen of the World --










Export Value of
Food in 2011
27,809.47 million US\$

Food and nutrition challenges

- **Continuing and persistent undernutrition**
 - ▶ Undernourished (protein and energy deficiency) in children and adults: **food insecurity**
 - ▶ Micronutrient deficiencies: of iron, iodine, vit. A and others
- **Overnutrition and diet related diseases**
 - ▶ Overweight & obesity
 - ▶ Diabetes mellitus, high blood lipids, high blood pressure, cardio-vascular diseases
 - ▶ Cancers
- **Food safety and quality**









- ### Strategic Theme 2 Food Quality and Safety
1. Standardize food safety and promote implementation
 2. Improve the production of primary food products to meet quality and safety standards and increase their nutritive values
 3. Support and oversee food production at the community level (OTOP) to prevent losses and increase product value
- Kraissid Tontisirin, Mahidol University

- ### Theme 2 Food Quality and Safety Strategies
4. Support and oversee food production at all industrial level
 5. Promote the trade and marketing of standard products from community and industrial levels
 6. Strengthen control and monitoring of national food quality and safety..quality assurance
- Kraissid Tontisirin, Mahidol University



Theme 4 : System Management

Principle : Effective food management systems along the food chain to deal with current and emerging issues in food



19

Expected Outcomes



- 1) Maintaining a sustainable food production resources
- 2) Efficiency in food production at community level with effective management systems enhancing economic growth.
- 3) Both domestic and imported foods are high in quality, safe and nutritious, and accessible by consumers
- 4) Effective food management systems to deal with normal and crisis situations
- 5) Uniqueness of Thai food and food culture continue increasingly more popular worldwide

20

A Cabinet Resolution on "Thailand Food Strategy"

Approved
The Strategic Framework
of Food Management
on 25 November 2010

21


Implementation of the Strategic Framework of Food Management (SFFM)

1. Integrated the SFFM in the 11th National Economic & Social Development Plan 2012-2016
2. Each sector implementing the SFFM & reports back to the National Food Committee
3. Three thematic committees for promotion the implementation on: Food Security, Food Quality & Safety, and Linking Food to Nutrition & Health

Krasid Tontissin, Mahidol University



Food Quality and Safety Strategy to Implementation



Taskforces to Implement Strategic Theme 2 on Food Quality and Safety

1. Standardization of food quality/safety standards
2. Promotion of food Q/S standards at all levels with capacity development activities
3. Strengthening food service outlets for consumer protection, tourism and food culture
4. Strengthening food import control system
5. Strengthening management and control system of chemical substances used in agriculture

Kraissid Tontisirin, Mahiddol University

Taskforces to Implement Strategic Theme 2 on Food Quality and Safety

6. Promotion of food Q/S logos for consumer confidence in both domestic and international markets
7. Strengthening inspection and certification throughout the food chain
8. Risk assessment for food safety
9. Integrated food safety control system at provincial and community levels
10. Consumer awareness and protection

Kraissid Tontisirin, Mahiddol University




Key Issues Linking Food, Nutrition & Health

1. Standards & indicators on nutrition & related disease
2. Food based dietary guidelines (FBDGs)
3. Food, nutrition & dietetic education
4. Promotion for more vegetable & fruit consumption
5. Reduction of sugar, fat & salt in food
6. School food & nutrition program
7. Community based nutrition program to promote nutrition & health
8. Nutrition & related disease surveillance & survey



Forging the Link Between Government and Community





Kraissid Tontisirin, Mahiddol University

Community-Based Approaches

- Is an **integrated program** implemented at local level (district level and below)
- **National commitment** with sound nutrition improvement strategies and goals
- **Community actions:** basic services, mass mobilization, mutual efforts/actions to reach all people and to prevent malnutrition


Kraissid Tontisirin, Mahiddol University



Maternal and child health and nutrition Thailand, 1980-2006

	1980	1990	2006
ANC coverage %	35	75	95
Anemia in preg. %	50-75	18.8	10
LBW %	16	10	<10
PEM (Wt/Age of U 5)%	51	20	<10

Kraisid Tontisirin, Mahidol University



Goals for Community nutrition programmes

Promote normal weight and height in life cycle

age	Goals
Infants	- ave. weight 2.5 - 3.5 kg (LBW < 5%)
Children under 5	- Underweight < 5%
	- Stunted < 5%
	- Overweight and obese < 5%
Children 5-18	- Stunted < 10% in 5 yr and < 5% (after 5 yr)
	- Overweight and obese < 10% in 5 yr and < 5% (after 5 yr)
Teenage and adults	- Overweight and obese < 10% in 5 yr and < 5% (after 5 yr)
Elderly	- BMI 18.5 - 25.0

36

Conclusion 1

- Food quality and safety is a key strategy in the Food Strategic Management Framework of Thailand under the National Food Committee
- **Harmonized food standards** will be implemented for food production **and value added of food** at all levels for consumer protection and facilitation of domestic and international food trades



Conclusion 2

- **Implementation Committee on Food Q/S** has 10 taskforces to deal with various aspects of food Q/S measures.
- This Committee has been **working closely and interacting regularly** with the Committee on Food Security and the Committee on Linking Food, Nutrition and Health



Krasid Tontisrin, Mahdool University

Conclusion 3

- These **working processes** have facilitated **collaboration** among stakeholders involved and key strategic issues will be **proposed to National Committee** for further actions
- The Food Management Strategic Framework of Thailand is aimed for achieving the vision on “Ensuring quality and safe food supply for food security and human well-being”



Thank you

Annex H

Presentation Slides, Japan Experience, Mr. Tetsuo Urushiyama, MAFF, Japan

**National Food Safety Policies
- the policies, development process,
challenges and positive experience
including benefits -
(Experiences in Japan)**

Tetsuo URUSHIYAMA
MAFF
Ministry of Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries
Food Safety and Consumer Affairs Bureau

**1. BACKGROUND OF FOOD SAFETY
POLICIES IN JAPAN**

**Overview of food safety policies in
Japan**

- Current framework to deal with food safety was established in 2003.
 - ✓ The Food Safety Basic Law was enacted and the Government reorganized its structure.
 - ✓ Comprehensive policy to ensure food safety is stipulated in the Food Safety Basic Law.
 - ✓ Food safety administrative work is based on the Food Safety Basic Law and related laws.
 - ✓ Related Ministries, Agencies and local governments have specific food safety policies in order to accomplish the affairs under its jurisdiction.

Background

- Growing diversity and complexity of food safety problems
 - Globalization of food supply chain
 - Development and application of new technologies
 - Detection of trace hazardous substances by advanced analytical methods
 - Concern about foodborne illness and zoonotic infection
- First case of BSE-positive cow was found in 2001.
 - Significant decrease in beef consumption
 - Introduction of a new system of all the slaughtered cows
- Advisory panel to the Ministers on BSE problem recommended improvement of food safety administration.

**Key recommendation for new food
safety administration (April 2002)**

- First priority on protection of consumers' health
- Implementation of risk analysis
- Strengthening of the cooperation between relative organs
- Establishment of a new comprehensive law for ensuring food safety with primary focus on consumer protection, and restructuring of administrative organizations

Report of the examination panel on the BSE problem (MAFF (2002), available only in Japanese)

Governmental response

- Enacted the Food Safety Basic Law and partial amendments of laws related to food safety
- Established the Food Safety Commission to conduct risk assessment related to food safety
- Reorganized risk management bodies in the area of food safety
 - Food Safety and Consumer Affairs Bureau in MAFF
 - Department of Food Safety under the Pharmaceutical and Food Safety Bureau in MHLW

2. FOOD SAFETY BASIC LAW

Enactment of the Basic Law

- Submitted the bill to the Diet in Feb. 2003
- Passed into law and promulgated in May 2003
- Enacted in July 2003
- Purpose of the Law:
To comprehensively promote policies to ensure food safety
- Measures for promoting those policies:
 - Establishing basic principles
 - Clarifying the responsibilities and the roles of stakeholders
 - Determining important points

Basic principles

Food safety shall be ensured by taking necessary measures:

- based on the recognition that the protection of the health of consumer is a top priority
- appropriately at each stage of the food supply chain
- on the basis of science and in sufficient consideration of international trends and the opinions of citizens, for the purpose of preventing adverse effects on the consumers' health

Responsibilities and roles of stakeholders

State and local governments:

- Formulating and implementing policies to ensure food safety

Food-related business operators:

- Taking the necessary measures at each stage of the food chain, on the basis of the recognition they bear the primary responsibility for ensure food safety
- Making efforts to provide accurate and appropriate information
- Cooperating in policies of governments

Consumers:

- Endeavoring to improve their own knowledge and understanding
- Making efforts to express their opinions

Important points in the Basic Law


- Implementation of risk analysis (risk analysis, risk management and risk communication)
- Establishment of a crisis management system to cope with emergency
- Close and mutual cooperation among related administrative bodies
- Enhancement of research supporting food safety
- Collection, compilation, and utilization of internal and external information
- Ensuring an appropriate food labeling system
- Promotion of consumer education and public relations on food safety
- Consideration of impacts on the environment

Basic agreements regarding measures to implement policies


- Stipulating concrete procedures to implement policies in the Basic Law
- Agreements to be formulated after hearing the opinions of the Food Safety Commission (FSC) and the Consumer Commission (CC)
- First agreements were approved in 2004
- Revised in June 2012 after establishment of the Consumer Affairs Agency (CAA)

3. FRAMEWORK FOR ENSURING FOOD SAFETY IN JAPAN


Ministries and Agencies dealing with food safety in Japan




Current Government structure to deal with food safety in Japan



Roles of MAFF and MHLW on food safety



Framework of food safety risk analysis



4. MEDIUM TERM PROGRAM RELATED TO FOOD SAFETY

Mid-term program for food, agriculture and rural areas

- Prepared by MAFF
- Approved by the Cabinet in 2010
- Includes the following activities to ensure steady supply of safe foods:
 - Improvement of food safety based on sound science
 - Enhancement of food chain approach
 - Ensuring consumer confidence on food
 - Strengthening of animal and plant disease and pest control including quarantine system
- Revised approximately every five years

Mid-term program for consumer

- Prepared by CAA
- Approved by the Cabinet in 2010, and revised in 2012 based on the recent status
- Includes the following activities to ensure food safety and consumer confidence
 - Implementation of risk communication on food safety by related ministries and agencies
 - Enhancement of the Food Safety Commission
 - (Others are the same as in the MAFF program)

5. APPROACH TO ENSURING FOOD SAFETY IN MAFF

Standard operating procedure (SOP) for food safety risk management


- Objectives
 - To conduct risk management on a basis of scientific principles in accordance with internationally accepted framework
 - To ensure consistent and transparent risk management
 - To reflect stakeholders’ opinions in risk management processes
- Targets
 - MAFF and MHLW risk managers in food safety area
- Publication
 - August, 2005

Contents of SOP

- Collecting/analyzing information and data on hazards;
- Preparing risk profiles;
- Prioritizing hazards based on risk profiles;
- Conducting necessary research and surveys;
- Establishing RA Policy and Commissioning RA;
- Considering RA results and other relevant factors;
- Considering and assessing RM options;
- Deciding and Implementing RM measures;
- Monitoring and review of the decision taken; and
- Risk communication at each steps

The above is consistent with the Codex Working Principles

Introducing “regulatory science”



Policies for promoting regulatory science


- Food safety regulations should be based on sound science.
- Strengthening regulatory research, e.g.
 - surveillance and monitoring in foods and feeds;
 - developing and validating analytical methods;
 - investigating contamination routes and/or occurrence mechanism;
 - developing and testing mitigation measures; and
 - preliminary exposure assessment
- Funding about 600 mil. yen/year for regulatory research relating to food safety
- Capacity building of regulators

Disclosure, publication and exchange of relevant information

- Transparency is important in risk analysis.
- Following information is available on the MAFF website.
 - Abridged version of risk profile on prioritized hazards
 - Midterm and annual plans on surveillance and monitoring
 - Surveillance reports
 - Reports of risk communication meeting
- Encouraging publication of survey results in scientific papers
- Cooperating with GEMS/Food as a collaborating institution

Successful example of activities to ensure food safety (3-MCPD in foods)

- 3-Monochloropropane-1,2-diol (3-MCPD) is a contaminant occurring as by-product in seasonings/condiments made from acid-hydrolyzed vegetable proteins (acid-HVP). 
- 3-MCPD is not a carcinogen and there is no epidemiological evidence of adverse effect on human health, but it is toxic to the kidneys in experimental animals (by JECFA).
- Extremely high levels of 3-MCPD was detected in seasonings/condiments exported from Asian countries to Europe in 1990s. 



Challenges for enhancing the system for food safety

- Capacity building of risk assessors and risk managers
- Promotion of regulatory research and securing sufficient budget
- Quality assurance of official and private laboratories and research institute
- Development and implementation of measures to prevent crisis or accident to occur
- Increase cooperation with food business operators
- Establishment of one food safety agency

Thank you for your attention!

**Presentation Slides, Bangladesh Experience,
Prof Dr. ABM Abdul Hannan, Director, IPH, Bangladesh and
Dr. Shah Mahfuzur Rahman, National Advisor (Food Inspection),
FAO Food Safety Project, Bangladesh**

Regional Consultation Workshop on Guidelines for
Development of Food Safety Policies for Countries in Asia
17-19 December 2012, Bangkok

**Draft National Food Safety
and Quality Policies:
Bangladesh Experience**



Outline of the Presentation

- Process of Development of the Draft
- Consideration
- The Draft Policies
- Challenges
- Opportunities
- Positive experiences
- Linkages to other Policies and Plans
- Conclusion

**Process of Development of
the Draft Policies**

- Analysis of food safety and quality situation of the country
- Review of existing legislations related to food safety and quality in Bangladesh
- Review of the existing policies and action plan related to food safety
- Review of the related FAO/WHO Guidelines

**Process of Development of the
Draft Policies
contd.**

- Review documents, records, literatures on food safety or food safety policy
- Meetings, discussions and consultations with different stakeholders
- Organizing national level seminars and workshops- participated by different stakeholders

**Process of Development of the
Draft Policy contd.**

- Formation of a Expert Working Group (EWG) by the MoHFW in January 2012
- Review of the 1st draft by the key stakeholders
- Final Draft prepared by the EWG, and submitted to the MoHFW in November 2012 for finalization for Cabinet
- Following endorsement by the Cabinet, it will be placed to the Parliament for approval

Consideration

Issues are considered for drafting the policies

- Coordination among the stakeholders, to be led by a Ministry
- Risk-based approach covering the whole food chain
- Uniform standards and regulations
- Involvement of the private sectors, Civil society, consumers' group, NGOs and others
- International and Regional collaboration

The Draft Policies

- Background
- Vision
- Objectives
- Scope
- Basic Principles
- Strategies
- Institutional Framework
- Conclusion

Background

- No single authority for food control in Bangladesh
- About 15 Ministries and their some 20 agencies are involved in food control with
 - Gaps and overlaps of mandates
 - Inadequate coordination, collaboration and communication
 - Sometimes do not cover the whole food chain

Background contd.

- Laws, Rules and Regulations are fragmented, inconsistent , and mostly backdated
- No Food Safety Policies, Strategies and Action Plan exist
- No Food Safety Emergency Response Plan is in place

Background contd.

- Food inspection system is a traditional one
 - Little emphasis on prevention and identification of risk factors
 - Focus is on quality rather than safety of food
 - Focus on sample collection and analysis of end-products
 - Without having appropriate lab analytical support
 - Enforcement power based on outdated and inconsistent legislation

Background contd.

- Food analysis laboratories are under different ministries with limited analytical capacity and capability
- No Laboratory Network for cooperation and collaboration for food analysis
- No uniform food standards exist in the country
- No foodborne disease surveillance system is in place
- Limited consumers' awareness
- Inadequate scope for consumers' complaint

Vision

To ensure a stronger, well-coordinated, effective and integrated food safety and quality control system with clearly defined roles and responsibilities of the various Ministries/Agencies and other stakeholders involved along the food chain providing food for domestic consumers and for trade.

Objectives

General objective

To protect the health of the people by ensuring safety and quality of the food.

Specific objectives

- Ensure safe food production, processing, packaging, storage, distribution, preparation, retailing and consumption;
- Reduce morbidity and mortality caused by food borne illness;
- Facilitate domestic and international trade of safe food

Scope

- This document presents the Government policies in the area of food safety and quality. It also provides policy orientations to guide the development of a framework for an effective national food control system
- This policy document is developed in line with other relevant sectorial policies and plans of the Government

Basic Principles

- Protection of public health ensuring safe and quality food throughout the food chain
- Well coordinated effort of the competent authorities with clearly defined roles
- Assurance of food safety and compliance with the standard are the primary roles of the food producers and processors
- Required information should be provided to the consumers in an open and transparent way

Basic Principles contd.

- Roles of Civil Society and Consumers Group Organization in public awareness development are recognized
- International and regional standards, recommendations and guidelines would be adopted or harmonized where appropriate

Strategies

- Embrace the food chain approach
- Multi-sectoral involvement and cooperation
- Risk analysis
- National food analysis capacity and networking
- Risk-based food inspection

Strategies contd.

- Information, education and communication
- Foodborne illness surveillance and residue monitoring
- Food safety emergency response
- Standards and regulations

Institutional Framework

- A Food Safety Coordination Authority (FSCA) to be constituted. Considering the mandate and decentralized organizational structure- the MoHFW is proposed to act as a lead Ministry
- The Authority (FSCA) will review and update the National Food Safety and Quality Policies on a regular basis
- Existing NAFSAC (National Food Safety Advisory Council) to be strengthened to oversight the food safety activities under the different ministries throughout the food chain

Linkage with other policies and plans

- Food Policy
- Food and Nutrition Policy
- National Fisheries Policy
- National Livestock Development Policy
- National Poultry Development Policy
- Export Policy, Import Policy Order
- National Health Policy
- Health, Population, Nutrition Sector Development Plan
- Perspective/Five Year Plans

Challenges

During development of the draft

- Insufficient conceptual clarity
- Lack of timely effort
- Inadequate coordination and cooperation among stakeholders

Challenges contd.

During implementation of the policies

- Inadequate Institutional capacity
- Fragmented and outdated legislation
- Inadequate awareness of the food producers, processors and food traders
- Consumers' awareness
- Limited resources

Opportunities

- Organizational structure/infrastructure of individual ministry specially the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
- Commitment from the Civil Societies and Consumers' Group
- Potentiality of the Non-Government Organizations (NGOs)
- Support of the development partners

Positive Experiences

- Commitment of the regulatory bodies
- Interests of Consumers from all walks of life, Civil Societies, NGOs etc.
- Participation of the private sectors
- Facilitation by the development partners

Conclusion

Assurance of food safety and quality through implementation of the Policies is being developed- will reduce foodborne disease burden, improve consumers' confidence and enhance food trades, leading to sustainable development of the country.

Thank You

Compilation of the status of food safety policy implementation in participating Asian countries

INTRODUCTION

Prior to the workshop, a questionnaire was sent to the delegates in 13 Asian countries to find out the status of the food safety policies, their development and implementation at the national level. The replies received were compiled as the basis for discussion during the food safety regional policy consultation.

The questionnaire covered ten points as below:

1. Is there any food safety policy at present either independent of part of other policies?

- 1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?
- 1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?
- 1.3 What points were covered in the policy?
- 1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?
- 1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?
- 1.6 What year was it issued?
- 1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security NPSF/SPSF?

3. Is there an action plan for implementing the policy?

4. Who monitors implementation of the policy?

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on future strategic direction for food safety decisions in their country?

6. What is the scope/planned scope of the food safety policy in your country? i.e. food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition, etc.

7. Background to the development of the policy

- 7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?
- 7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?
- 7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

8. Indicators for food safety

- 8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?
- 8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of food safety policies in your country

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

COMPILATION OF COUNTRY RESPONSES ON FOOD SAFETY POLICIES

I. BANGLADESH

1. Is there any food safety policy at present either independent of part of other policies.

No food safety policy exists. A policy entitled National Food Safety and Quality Policy, 2012 was drafted as an independent policy. Currently, the draft policy is being further reviewed by stakeholders.

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

The initiative has been taken by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, with the support of the FAO Food Safety Project.

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

In draft development process, an expert working group was constituted and led by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, comprising members from the key ministries and their agencies; private sectors, including industries, trade bodies, NGOs, consumers group, academics, researchers and others:

- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MOHFW)
- Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives (MOLGRDC)
- Ministry of Food
- Ministry of Agriculture
- Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock
- Ministry of Industries
- Ministry of Finance
- Ministry of Commerce
- Ministry of Science and Technology
- Ministry of Environment and Forests
- Ministry of Home Affairs
- private sector, such as the Federation of Chambers of Commerce and Industries
- food processing industries
- consumer organizations, including Consumers Association of Bangladesh, Bangladesh Food Safety Network
- UBINIG, a national but internationally reputed NGO
- food analysis laboratories, including ICDDR, Bureau Veritas, SGS and others
- academic and scientific institutions
- others.

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

The points covered in the policy include food chain approach with good practices in different areas, multi-sectorial involvement and coordination, risk analysis, national food analysis capacity and networking, risk-based inspection, information, education and communication, food-borne illness surveillance and residue monitoring, standards and regulations, etc.

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

It took some ten months to develop the first draft but the process was initiated four years back.

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

To be endorsed by the Parliament.

1.6 What year was it issued?

Not yet issued.

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

Food safety and quality policy refers to other policies: Food Policy, Food and Nutrition Policy, National Fisheries Policy, National Livestock Development Policy, National Poultry Development Policy, Export Policy and the Import Policy Order.

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security NPSF/SPSF?

(Food safety and quality is one of the priority components of the National Nutrition Services of Health, Population and Nutrition Sector Development Programme under the MOHFW for the next five years period (July 2011–June 2016). It has been incorporated in the sixth Five-Year Plan.

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

Yes, a draft Plan of Action has been formulated.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

Currently, food safety and quality control is a shared responsibility of more than 15 ministries and their concerned agencies with inadequate coordination, although a National Food Safety Advisory Council (NFSAC) has been constituted under the Bangladesh Pure Food (Amendment) Act, 2005, lead by MOLGRDC. Recently a decision was taken by the Cabinet to shift the lead ministry of the NFSAC to the MOHFW, considering its mandates and resources available, particularly infrastructure and human resources. In the draft Policy, a provision was kept to develop a Central Food Safety Coordination Authority under the MOHFW, with clearly defined terms of reference and structures. It will be a separate entity to coordinate the activities of all the concerned stakeholders, both vertically and horizontally.

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on future strategic direction for food safety decisions in their country?

No food safety strategy exists. Under the draft policy, strategies have been formulated.

6. What is the scope/planned scope of the food safety policy in your country? i.e. food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition, etc.

The planned scope of the policy is to provide policy orientations to guide the development of a framework for an effective national food control system.

7. Background to the development of the policy

Food safety and quality is an important determinant for improving public health, ensuring food security and enhancing food trade, both in domestic and international markets. Ensuring safe and quality food is a shared responsibility of a large number of ministries and their agencies, without clearly defined mandates, which leads to gaps and overlaps and inadequate coordination in regulatory mechanisms. Furthermore, the situation has been triggered by the poor commitment from the food producers, processors and traders. All these factors lead to rampant food contamination and adulteration, which affect consumers' confidence and food trade, both domestically and internationally.

Inadequate capacity and capability of, and clearly defined mandates for, the technical agencies in the country to analyse risks, generate data for monitoring and evaluation, prevent and control food safety emergencies are also affecting the assurance of safe and quality food in the country.

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

The key drivers for developing food safety policy were contamination and adulteration of foods, gaps and overlaps in regulation, poor commitment from the food producers, processor and traders, weak links between the food processors/producers and regulatory bodies, etc.

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

There are inadequate data available in the country regarding contamination and the adulteration level of the food items, either processed food or primary produce. Data from the laboratory analysis of the Institute of Public Health showed that 40–60 percent food items do not comply with the set standards. Frequently a number of reports published in different news media regarding adulteration and contamination of food items, which are mostly observatory in nature rather than having scientific evidence.

Food safety and quality control is a shared responsibility of more than 15 ministries and their agencies. Inadequate coordination, gaps and overlaps among the regulatory bodies and even intra-agency (at different levels) have been identified. Weak and non-risk-based food inspection, poor commitment from the food industries or food business were identified.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

Inadequate commitment, lack of timely effort, inadequate coordination and cooperation among different ministries and agencies and other stakeholders were important issues in developing the policy.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

The overall goal of the Policy is to develop an efficient and well-functioning food safety control system in that will lead to improved public health and enhanced trades of food commodities.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

1. Draft policy approved by the Parliament.
2. Risk-based food inspection in place.
3. Food-borne disease surveillance system developed.
4. Food Safety Emergency Response Plan adopted.
5. National Food Safety Laboratory and the laboratory network fully functional.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

Safe and quality food is an important determinant for the improvement of public health. The food safety policy that is being developed will have impact on improvement of public health, nutrition and food trades, leading to sustainable good health and development of the country.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

Food safety and quality situation of the country is at the initial stage. All the elements of a well-coordinated and effective food safety and quality control system should be in place to implement the policy. So, strengthening institutional capacity, including skill development of the inspectors, capability of the food analysis laboratory with trained human resources, capacity for food-borne disease surveillance, skills for preventing and controlling food safety emergency, etc. are urgently needed to implement the proposed policy.

II. BHUTAN

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

There is no independent food safety policy; however, the food safety components are well captured under the National Food and Nutrition Security Policy, which is awaiting endorsement from the Government.

1.1 If so the department that has issued:

The Food and Nutrition Security Policy is formulated by the Ministry of Agriculture and Forests.

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

The formulation of the National Food and Nutrition Security policy was initiated by the Ministry of Agriculture and Forests, with core working group from the Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Health and Gross National Happiness Commission Secretariat (GNHCS). The departments that were directly involved in the formulation of the policy are: Department of Agriculture, Department of Livestock, Department of Agriculture Marketing and Cooperatives, Council for RNR Research of Bhutan, Bhutan Agriculture and Food Regulatory Authority and the Department of Public Health.

Additionally, the policy document was thoroughly discussed with the Ministry of Education, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Health and Ministry of Works and Human Settlement), autonomous agencies (National Land Commission Secretariat and GNHCS), international development partners (FAO, WFP, WHO, SNV, UNICEF) and local government (all 20 dzongkhags, or districts).

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

1. rationale (preamble)
2. guiding principles
3. vision
4. policy goals and objectives
5. policy statements
6. food and nutrition security information and communication
7. institutional arrangements.

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

It took more than two years to formulate the policy primarily because the whole process was very consultative and had to be put through various levels of consultation and review.

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

The National Food and Nutrition Security Policy (NFNSP) has not been endorsed yet (we expect it will be done soon). Once endorsed, it will be at the national level.

1.6 What year was it issued?

Not yet.

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

Yes, and the policies and other legislation that have direct bearing or reference to the NFNSP are: The Constitution of Bhutan, Vision – 2020, Land Act (2007), Food Act (2005), Forest and Nature Conservation Act (1995), Seed Act (2000), Plant Quarantine Act (1993), Livestock Act (2001), Cooperative Act (2009), Bhutan Water Policy (2003), Bio-Security Policy (2008), National Health Policy (2011) and the Economic Development Policy (2010) and National Forest Policy (2011).

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security (NPSF/SPSF)?

The country is currently in the process of formulating the eleventh Five-Year Plan (2013–2018). Towards this, one of the objectives and sector key results for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry is to enhance food and nutrition security.

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

The groundwork for the formulation of strategic action plan for the implementation of the NFNSP has already been prepared with support from FAO. However, the detailed strategic action plan will be developed once the policy is endorsed.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

Over all, the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry will monitor the implementation of the policy; on the nutrition components, the Ministry of Health will have a bigger role to play.

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

Not applicable.

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

The scope of the NFNSP covers food and nutritional aspects; the food safety is captured under the utilization goals of the policy.

7. Background to the development of the policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

The NFNSP was formulated primarily because of lack of coordination; food and nutrition were treated in isolation and was implemented independently by various sectors without much impact. The Ministry of Agriculture pursues sustainable food production through the Departments of Agriculture and Livestock with supplementation from the Department of Forests and Parks Services. Nutritional aspects are attempted to be addressed through kitchen gardening, backyard livestock enterprises, supplementation through NWFPs collected from the wild and promotion of diversified food production initiatives. Food safety and quality aspects are maintained through the Bhutan Agriculture and Food Regulatory Authority. The Department of Agriculture Marketing and Cooperatives and the Food Corporation of Bhutan as well as private enterprises are engaged in food distribution and storage while the Ministry of Economic Affairs deals with food import

regulations. The Ministry of Health, through its public health department and nutrition cell is promoting healthy consumption practices. Awareness on food and nutrition aspects are also conducted by the Ministry of Education as well as other line agencies. The implementation of food and nutrition actions by numerous agencies and these various efforts are not coordinated in terms of planning, implementation or reporting.

The other reasons are:

- The incidence of food insecurity is high in rural areas where poverty rates are also high.
- Food insecure dzongkhags indicate that daily calorie intake per capita averages 1 883 kilocalories (26 percent lower than the national average).
- The pace of domestic food production is lower than demand.
- There is a high prevalence of malnourishment among children younger than 5 years – at 5.9 percent.
- Around 33.5 percent of children are stunted, while 12.7 percent are underweight.
- Around 9.5 percent of children are born with a low birth weight.

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

Comprehensive research focusing completely on food and nutrition security has not been conducted in Bhutan. The data and data sources for the NFNSP include poverty analysis and assessment reports, the vulnerability analysis and mapping, 1995 (MOAF/WFP), renewable natural resources statistical publications, Bhutan Living Standard Surveys (NSB), annual health bulletins (MOH), the National Nutrition Survey (December 1989–1999) and related documents. Various literature, including policy documents, was referred to when formulating the NFNSP.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

The major constraint was financial. Because this is one policy developed by the Ministry without involving external consultants, the lack of expertise was a constraint; however, this constraint was to some extent overcome through consultative process.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

National Bio-security and Food Safety Programme is one of the major programmes for the Ministry during the eleventh Five-Year Plan, with the sole purpose of enhancing food safety. Some of the indicators are:

Outcome	Outcome indicators	Unit	Baseline	11 th Plan target
Outcome 1: National biosecurity/biosafety enhanced	1.Proportion of known exotic pests and diseases prevalent in neighbouring countries and globally prevented from entry into the country	%	80 animals N.A. plants	100 animals and 100 plants
	2.Proportion of transgenic plants/ animals/their products (GMO/LMO) prevented from entry into the country	%	N.A.	100
Outcome 2: Wholesome food safety and quality assurance enhanced	1.No. of HACCP/ISO certified establishments increased	Nos.	6	11
	2.Mandatory labelling of imported food commodities	%	0	100

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country

Outcome	Outcome indicators	Unit	Baseline	Target
Output 1.1: Regulatory and quarantine system strengthened	Number of diagnostic labs established at quarantine stations (5) and at dzongkhags (10)	No	3	15
	Number of PLQOs with decontamination facilities for infected plant and animal materials	No	0	5
Output 1.2: Biosafety measures in place	Biosafety Act of Bhutan implemented	No	0	1
Output 2.1: Food safety and quality assurance system in place	Emergency response procedures against entry of GMOs/LMOs into the country and establishment developed	No	0	1
	Percent of food handlers trained and licensed on food safety	%	70	90
	No. of food processing establishments certified	Nos.	0	20
	Established formal inspection and certification system for both domestic and export market (organic and others)	Nos.	0	1
	No. of local food commodities for which the regulatory standards on safety are developed in line with Codex principles	Nos.	0	10
Output 2.2: National Food Testing Laboratory (NFTL) fully functional	NFTL fully accredited as per ISO 17025	No	0	1
	A laboratory with LMO and GMO product detection capability established	No	0	1

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

Not applicable, since the NFNSP is not implemented yet.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

a) Infrastructure and additional human resources

- Strengthening the quarantine facilities with equipment and additional human resources for ensuring food safety and quality in the country.

The additional human resources needed are as follows:

- Food safety specialist, food safety officers and food technologists for commodity-specific inspection and certification.
- Food microbiologist, food chemist, food biotechnologist, analytical chemist and food toxicologist to better investigate the source of latest food-borne illness outbreak, manage the quality control laboratory, keep products safe and supervise testing of the microbiological, physical, chemical and sensory properties of foods and ingredients.
- Epidemiologist in times of food-borne disease outbreak and surveillance.

b) Capacity building

- Strengthening the capacity of technical staff engaged in food import and export inspection and certification.
- Enhanced capacity in understanding the concept of biosecurity and its relationships with animal health, plant protection, food safety and the importance of risk analysis.
- Strengthening the technical capacity of the laboratory analysts.
- Technical and financial support in establishing a National Food Safety Emergency Response Team, in line with international requirements.

III. CAMBODIA

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

No

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

Not applicable

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

Not applicable

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

Not applicable

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

Not applicable

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

Not applicable

1.6 What year was it issued?

Not applicable

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

Sure, after issuing the National Food Safety Policy, it will emphasize the above-mentioned examples.

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security (NPSF/SPSF)?

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

Not yet

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

Under discussion

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

For the time being, under the Asian Development Bank SPS Management Systems Project Phase 2 (SPS-2), the Ministry of Health has started to collect the references and information sources and drafted a food safety policy.

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

This food safety policy aims to ensure a common vision of food safety issues, in line with international commitments within the Cambodian framework and environment, as it exists at present. The policy highlights the obligations of organizations, agencies and individuals in assuring food safety.

The policy will improve the implementation of the food safety system for the protection of consumer health and to enhance Cambodian food export competitiveness and draw on key principles for institutional arrangements and its implementation among relevant ministries on food safety matters, based on scientific principles, economic efficiency and effective trade facilitation.

The policy scope is the safety of food within the whole food chain: primary production, primary and secondary processing, storage, transport and distribution, wholesale and retail, export and domestic consumption, commonly referred to as “farm to table” or “boat to table”. Food safety is the process to ensure that food will not cause harm or affect the health of the consumer when it is prepared or eaten according to its intended use.

7. Background to the development of the policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

To implement a sound food safety system for the protection of consumer health, reduce the Government’s fiscal burden of disease, enhance national production efficiencies and the national food export competitiveness

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

Table 1: Estimated DALYS per 100 000 population by cause

Country	All cases	Diarrhoeal diseases	Unintentional injuries (accidents)
Cambodia	38,451	2,801	1,923
China	15,378	388	1,769
Lao PDR	40,324	3,181	3,849
Myanmar	29,728	1,672	2,274
Thailand	20,508	297	2,032
Viet Nam	16,642	493	1,730
USA	14,266	29	964
Australia	11,021	27	774

Source: World Health Organization. Department of Measurement and Health information (2004).

Summary of Outbreak Investigation and Action in Year 2012 (1)

No	Nature of event	Place	Date	Summary of Finding	Action
1	Methanol Poisoning	Ov Lork village, Prasat Bakong commune, Prasat Bakong District, Siem Reap Province	7-9 January 2012	Two persons shared a bottle of rice wine bought from a local store. After drinking the wine, they developed blurred vision, cold, clammy hands and legs, malaise, dizziness. They were brought to Provincial Hospital where they died. Leftover wine was tested at Food Safety Bureau lab and found to have elevated methanol level.	Get histories of cases; sent wine for testing; public advised on danger of methanol poisoning
2	Methanol Poisoning	Watbo village, Salakomreuk Commune, Siem Reap town, Siem Reap province	1-3 February	3 persons drank rice wine bought from a local store. They developed blurred vision, dizziness, cold, clammy sensation, malaise. They were brought to provincial hospital where they died. Leftover wine was tested at FSB and had elevated methanol level.	Obtain case histories; send wine for testing; health education
3	Acute Diarrhea Outbreak	Koh Toch village, Koh Rong Commune, Sihanouk district, SHV province	26-28 February	14 cases developed vomiting, abdominal pain and diarrhea after eating crabs; rectal swabs were negative for bacterial pathogens	Case investigation, sample collected and sent to NIPH; treated cases for dehydration
4	Food Poisoning	Tbong Beng village, Veal commune, Kong Pisey district Kg Speu province	09-11 April 2012	69 cases developed diarrhea, malaise, nausea and vomiting after eating raw salad with octopus during wedding ceremony	Treatment of cases at referral hospital
5	Food Poisoning	Sanley Tbong, Prey Mnors and Svay village, Sanley & Thnot commune, Kg Ror district, Svay Rieng province	05-07 June 2012	102 cases developed diarrhea, malaise, nausea and vomiting after eating raw salad, cabbage, paya with octopus and pork during new house party ceremony	Treatment of cases at referral hospital

Summary of Outbreak Investigation and Action in Year 2012 (2)

No	Nature of event	Place	Date	Summary of Finding	Action
6	Food Poisoning (Banh Cheo)	Chheu Slab village, Kork Khpos commune, Banteay Ampil district, OMC province	14-19 July 2012	08 cases developed diarrhea, malaise, nausea and vomiting after eating Banh Cheo with raw salad, herp	Obtain case history and sample for testing at NIPH
7	Food Poisoning (snail pond)	Sambo village, Dangko commune, Dangko district, Phnom Penh	12-14 August 2012	05 cases developed nausea, hot in body, paralytic in extremities, neurologic signs after eating snail with source water and beer. 3 cases admitted in KSH. Collected serum and spinal fluid send to USA. Alanta result positive for angiostrongilus	Obtain case history and sample for testing
8	Food Poisoning	Leas village, Baray commune, Baray district, Kg Thom province	23-24 August 2012	12 cases developed diarrhea, malaise, nausea and vomiting after drinking fanta and coca cola during new house ceremony	Treatment of cases at referral hospital
9	Food Poisoning (tetrado fish)	Anlong village, Sambourmeas commune, MuKampul district, Kandal province	16-17 September 2012	2 cases developed nausea, hypotonia, eye moment, difficult breathing after eating tetrado fish, both of them were died.	Treatment of cases at referral hospital
10	Food Poisoning (coffee poisoning)	Pong Teuk village, Koh commune, Tram Kork district, Takeo province	18-19 September 2012	10 cases (2 deaths) developed vertigi, trismus, agitation, muscle spasm, difficult breathing after drinking pack of coffee	Treatment of cases at referral hospital

Table 2: Visitor arrivals to Cambodia and revenue generation

Year	Visitor arrivals	Change (%)	Length of stay (days)	Tourism receipts (US\$ million)
2001	604,919		5.5	304
2002	786,524	30.0	5.5	379
2003	701,014	-10.9	5.8	347
2004	1,055,202	50.5	5.5	578
2005	1,421,15	34.7	6.3	832
2006	1,700,412	19.6	6.3	149
2007	2,015,128	18.5	6.5	1,400
2008	2,125,465	5.5	6.5	1,595
2009	2,161,577	1.7	6.45	1,561
2010	2,508,289	16.0	6.45	1,786
2011	2,881,862	14.9	6.50	1,912
2020	7,000,000*			5,000*

Note: *=projected; Data in 2003 reflects impact of SARS in 2007 and 2008 it reflects the global economic crisis.

Source: Ministry of Tourism.

Point 3: Law, subdegree and regulation:

IMP 868 is the first step in food safety collaboration and coordination within a multi-agency system. Unlike with its regional neighbours (China, Lao PDR and Viet Nam), there is no food safety law in the country; there are a number of laws that in part relate to food safety issues throughout the agro-based product supply chain, they are:

- Law (Reach Kram) on the Quality and Safety of Products, Goods and Services; NS/RKM/0600/001 dated 21 June 2000
- Law (Reach Kram) on Standards of Cambodia; NS/RKM/0607/013 dated 24 June 2007
- Law (Reach Kram) on the Administration of Industry and Handicraft; NS/RKM/0606/018 dated 23 June 2006

- Law (Reach Kram) on Fisheries; NS/RKM/506/011 dated 21 May 2006
- Law (Reach Kram) on Tourism; No. NS/RKM/0609/007 dated 10 June 2009
- Law (Reach Kram) on Customs; NS/RKM/0707/017 dated 20 July 2007
- Law (Reach Kram) on Animal Health and Production; NS/RKM/0112/005 dated 14 January 2012
- Law (Reach Kram) on Pesticides and Agricultural inputs; NS/RKM/0112/005 dated 14 January 2012
- Law (Reach Kram) on Civil Code; NS/RKM/1207/030 dated 08 December 2007
- Law on adoption and ratification of the Protocol to become a member to the WTO; N/RKM/0904/002 dated 09/09/2004

Pending laws (from late drafting to adoption stage) include:

- Law on Water and Sanitation
- Law on Disaster Management
- Law on Consumers' protection.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

Not yet discussed with the relevant ministries, but consensus is necessary.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

- A good indication on food and drinking water safety and the national burden of disease is the measurement used by the World Health Organization on disease impact that combines the incidence of illness (morbidity) and death (mortality) into an indicator, termed the Disability Adjusted Life Year (DALY), in which one DALY is one year of healthy life lost to illness or death.
- Effective food safety management system.

8.1 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

1. Need to protect public and consumers' health.
2. Need for food and drinking water safety.
3. Need to reduce food poisoning and contamination incidents.
4. Need to export agricultural products.
5. For improving health, need strategies related to zoonotic diseases and food safety.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

- capacity and capability of laboratory to analyse and identify hazards
- food safety and emergency response experts
- capacity building.

IV. INDIA

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

The food safety in the country is governed by the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 and the regulations included.

There is no separate food safety policy in India. However, food safety has been referenced in:

- National Health Policy, 2002
- National Agriculture Policy, 2000
- National Nutrition Policy, 1993.

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

The Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) is the nodal regulator in the areas of Food safety in the country. The other Policies have been issued by the Ministries of Health and Family Welfare, Agriculture, and Women and Child Development, respectively.

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

The Food Safety Standards Act was piloted by Ministry of Food Processing Industries (MOFPI), but after its enactment it was handed over to the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. The FSSAI is presently with the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.

Such policies were formed after inter-ministerial consultation.

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

- **The guiding principles of the Act are:**
 1. Laying down science based standards for articles of food.
 2. To regulate their manufacture, storage, distribution, sale and imports.
 3. To ensure availability of safe and wholesome food for human consumption and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto.

• National Health Policy – extracts

4.22 Enforcement of Quality Standards for Food and Drugs

4.22.1 NHP, 2002 envisages that the food and drug administration will be progressively strengthened, in terms of both laboratory facilities and technical expertise. Also, the policy envisages that the standards of food items will be progressively tightened up at a pace which will permit domestic food handling/manufacturing facilities to undertake the necessary up gradation of technology so that they are not shut out of this production sector. The policy envisages that ultimately food standards will be close, if not equivalent, to Codex specifications; and that drug standards will be at par with the most rigorous ones adopted elsewhere.

• National Agriculture Policy – extracts

Quality consciousness amongst farmers and agro processors will be created. Grading and Standardization of agriculture products will be promoted for export enhancement. Application of science and technology in agriculture will be promoted through a regular system of interface between science and technology institutions and the users/potential users to make the sector globally competitive.

• National Nutrition Policy – extracts

Prevention of Food Adulteration: Prevention of food adulteration must be strengthened by gearing up the enforcement machinery.

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

The Act was passed in 2006 but FSSAI became operational in June 2008.

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

It is an Act of Parliament.

1.6 What year was it issued?

2006

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

The Food Safety Standards provisions explicitly refer to food safety, trade and consumer protection.

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security (NPSF/SPSF)?

India follows a five year plan for implementation of its various schemes. During the eleventh Five-Year Plan (2007–2012), the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare in its sector plan referred to food safety and quality control and the following indicators the following:

- creating food safety authority for speedy enforcement of safety standards
- ensuring implementation of capacity building project with the objective to enhance capacities in laboratories, awareness of food safety, and hygiene
- strengthening state labs, capacity building and a food portal, with comprehensive and informative/analytical database
- rationalizing protocol for establishment of labs for food safety
- implementing the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006.

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

FSSAI as a regulator is looking after the food safety and other aspects.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

The FSSAI and each ministry for their respective policies.

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

Not applicable.

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

The Food Safety Standards Act envisages covering all aspects of Food Safety as per the definition of food. “Food” means any substance, whether processed, partially processed or unprocessed, which is intended for human consumption and includes primary food to the extent defined in clause (zk), genetically modified or engineered food or food containing such ingredients, infant food, packaged drinking water, alcoholic drink, chewing gum, and any substance, including water, used in the food during its manufacture, preparation or treatment but does not include any animal feed, live animals unless they are prepared or processed for placing on the market for human consumption, plants, prior to harvesting, drugs and medicinal products, cosmetics, narcotic or psychotropic substances.

7. Background to the development of the policy

Extensive consultations were held with stakeholders in the sector by MOFPI and it marketed the transition from multiple laws to one single integrated food law. The Group of Ministries constituted by the Government, held extensive deliberations and approved the proposed Integrated Food Law, with certain modifications. The Integrated Food Law was titled The Food Safety and Standards Bill, 2005. The main objective of the Bill is to bring out a single statute relating to food and to provide for a systematic and scientific development of food processing industries. It is proposed to establish the Food Safety and Standards Authority of India, which will fix food standards and regulate and monitor the manufacture, import, processing, distribution and sale of food so as to ensure safe and wholesome food for people.

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

1. consumer protection
2. manufacture, storage, distribution, sale and imports of safe food
3. food security
4. facilitation of trade.

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – any gaps identified?

The proposal of enacting FSS Act was considered at the highest level in the Government.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

- Movement from multilevel and multi-departmental control to one integrated law.
- Integrated response to strategic issues, such as novel or genetically modified foods and international trade.
- Licensing for manufacture of food products, which central agencies now grant under various Acts and Orders, would be decentralized to the Commissioner of Food Safety and officers.
- Single reference point for all matters relating to food safety and standards, regulations and enforcement.
- Shift from mere regulatory regime to self-compliance through food safety management systems.
- Responsibility on food business operators to ensure that food processed, manufactured, imported or distributed is in compliance with the domestic food laws.
- Provision for graded penalties depending on the gravity of offence and, accordingly, civil penalties for minor offences and punishment for serious violations.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

The goals are stated in the preamble of the Act. A separate authority – the FSSAI – has been created to monitor, measure and implement the goals.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

1. System of licensing/ registration of food business operators.
2. Compliance to various food safety regulations by food business operators.
3. Consumer awareness and protection.
4. Controls an export and import of food.
5. Strong food testing laboratory network for risk analysis, including random sampling of food for safety parameters.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

- Licensing/registration of nearly 35 million food business operators ensured.
- Implementation in the 35 states/UTs and their enforcement by state government.
- Strengthened food testing lab infrastructure.
- A strong food-import control system in place.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

- At the strategic level, the senior managers need to be sensitized to the need for food safety and the system to be put in place.
- At the medium level, the managers need to be kept updated about the operational system and measures to increase efficiency.
- At the lowest level, human resources in retail, production, storage, etc. need to be trained and their skills upgraded regarding the needs of the Act and its regulations.
- There is an absence of certified reference materials producers and proficiency testing service providers, which are essential for food labs to be of international standards.
- Trained auditors for the food safety management system and skilled laboratory personnel are required.

V. INDONESIA

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

Existence of any food safety policy at present	The department that has issued?	The departments that were involved in the development*	The points covered in the policy	How long did it take to develop the policy?	At what level has the policy been endorsed?	Year of issue	Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country?
Act No 7/1996 on Food The latest revision of the Indonesian Food Act was approved by Parliament in November 2012 and will be signed by the President	The Government of the Republic of Indonesia	MOA, MMAF, MOI, MOH, MOT, NADFC, MOF, local government	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Food security • Food safety, quality and nutrition • Law enforcement • Food registration • Etc. 		At the national level and local government	1996 2012	Yes, it does
Act No. 18/2009 on Livestock and Animal Health	The Government of the Republic of Indonesia	MOA, MMAF, MOI, MOH, MOT, NADFC, local government	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Animal health services, including animal health centre services 		At the national level and local government	2009	Yes, it does
Act No. 31/2004 on Fisheries Act No. 29/2009 Amending Act No 31/2004	The Government of the Republic of Indonesia	MMAF, MOA, MOI, MOH, MOT, NADFC, local government	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fish cultivation • Fishery business • Fishery research and development • Empowerment of fishermen • Law enforcement, etc. 		At the national level and local government	2004 2009	Yes, it does
Act No. 21/2004 on Endorsement of Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety to the Convention on Biological Diversity	The Government of the Republic of Indonesia	MMAF, MOA, MOI, MOT, NADFC, MOE, MOF, local government	Biotechnology/ novel foods/ GMO		At the national level and local government	2004	Yes, it does
Government Regulation No. 28/ 2004 on Food Safety, Quality and Nutrition	The Government of the Republic of Indonesia	NADFC, MOA, MMAF, MOI, MOH, MOT, local government	Sharing responsibilities between the institutions involved in the food chain		At the national level and local government	2004	Yes, it does
Government Regulation No. 21/2005 on the Bio Safety of Genetically Engineered Products	The Government of the Republic of Indonesia	MOE, MOA, MMAF, MOI, MOH, MOT, NADFC, MOE, MOF, local government	Biosafety of transgenic products		At the national level and local government	2005	Yes, it does

Existence of any food safety policy at present	The department that has issued?	The departments that were involved in the development*	The points covered in the policy	How long did it take to develop the policy?	At what level has the policy been endorsed?	Year of issue	Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country?
Government Regulation No. 69/1999 on Food Labelling and Advertisement	The Government of the Republic of Indonesia	NADFC, MMAF, MOA, MOI, MOH, MOT, local government	Food labelling and advertising		At the national level and local government	1999	Yes, it does
Presidential Regulation No 39/ 2010 on The Committee of Biosafety on Transgenic Products	President of the Republic of Indonesia	MOA, MMAF, MOI, MOH, MOT, NADFC, MOE, MOF, local government	The establishment of the Biosafety Committee		At the national level and local government	2010	Yes, it does

Note: MOA = Ministry of Agriculture
 MOH = Ministry of Health
 MO = Ministry of Industry
 NADFC = National Agency of Drug and Food Control
 MMAF = Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
 MOF = Ministry of Forest

* Departments involved in the development included NGOs, associations of industry, consumer associations, academia as well as Parliament for the law or act preparation.

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security(NPSF/SPSF)?

National development plan:

- The National Long-Term Development Plan 2005–2025, is committed to maintaining the global food market, achieving sustainable prosperity for the people and protect the health and safety of consumers.
- The National Medium-Term Development Plan 2010–2014 directives to improve food security and community national status in the development of food safety and nutrition.

Sector development plan:

Strengthening of food safety infrastructure to ensure the availability of high quality and safety of food products for domestic and international markets:

- Improvement and harmonization of technical regulations, guidelines and standards.
- Facilitation for hygiene and sanitation practices and/or food safety systems, based on HACCP principles along the food chain (from farm to table concepts).
- Strengthening of food safety control institutions (testing laboratory, competent authority of food safety) and competence of human resources.
- Improvement of public awareness on food safety.

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

National

- National Action Plan on Food and Nutrition
- Regional Action Plan on Food and Nutrition
- National Movement on Food Safety Consumed by School Children
- National Food Safety Network (consists of Food Intelligent Network, Food Control Network and Food Promotion Network).

Sector

- Development and dissemination of standards and regulations.
- Harmonization and dissemination of standard and regulation to regional and/or international standard.
- Development of guidelines on implementation of hygiene and sanitation practices and/or food safety system, based on HACCP throughout the food chain.
- Encouragement and facilitation for hygiene and sanitation practices and/or food safety system, based on HACCP.
- Improve the competitiveness of food products (including the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises).
- Improvement of farm, packing houses and products registration and certification.
- Strengthening of food safety pre-market evaluation and post market surveillance.
- Capacity building for testing laboratory on food safety analysis.
- Improvement of capacity of competent authority of food safety and certification body.
- Improvement of capacity of food inspectors, investigators and facilitators.
- Food-borne disease surveillance.
- Monitoring and surveillance of food safety for domestic consumption.
- Monitoring and surveillance of food safety in border lines.
- Implementation of Indonesian Rapid Alert System on Food and Feed.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

Based on the Government Regulation No. 28 /2004 on Food Safety, Quality and Nutrition, the Ministers and the Head of NADFC monitors the implementation of the food safety policy, both in the national and sector level, according to their duties and authorities.

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

Not applicable.

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

Food security, food safety and food quality and nutrition.

7. Background to the development of the policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

- Food constitutes a basic human need, of which the fulfilment is a fundamental right of each Indonesian, in the realization of quality human resources to carry out the national development.
- Sufficient availability of safe, nutritious and quality food is a main prerequisite, which must be met in the effort to arrange a system that provides protection for the purpose of health and to play a larger role in increasing the prosperity and welfare of the people.
- Food as a trade commodity requires the support of an honest and responsible food trading system so that food is available that is within reach of the purchasing power of the community and to participate in the role to increase the national economic growth.

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

Considered data and sources in developing food safety policy:

- the status of public health
- food-borne disease incidence

- the national consumption data
- hygiene and sanitation practices and/or food safety system, based on HACCP principles
- availability of standards, laws and regulations related to food safety, quality and nutrition
- availability of institutions (agencies, offices, laboratory, etc.) in term of resources (human resources, financial), structures, procedures/protocols, infrastructures, etc.
- food safety monitoring and surveillance.

Gaps were identified on the above conditions.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

- Food safety is as increasingly important as a global public health issues.
- Wide coverage area of control and large diversity of foods, including imported products.
- The need improving knowledge and skill to produce high quality and safe foods (SMEs in particular).
- The need to increase the number of competent food inspectors, investigators and facilitators.
- Increasing number of food safety problem and rising consumers concern.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

That sufficient availability of safe, quality and nutritious food is a main prerequisite, which must be met in the effort to arrange a system that provides protection for the purpose of health and to play a larger role in increasing the prosperity and welfare of the people.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

1. The status of public health.
2. Food safety monitoring and surveillance.
3. Food-borne illness and outbreak.
4. Availability of regulations, standards, etc.
5. The awareness of public and consumers.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

The food safety policy is expected to realize the quality human resources to carry out the national development and to play a larger role in increasing the prosperity and welfare of the people.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

- risk analysis (especially risk assessment)
- risk based inspection (including traceability)
- quality in food testing laboratory
- food-borne diseases surveillance
- research on food safety
- capacity for the National Food Safety Emergency Response Planning.

VI. JAPAN

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

The national food safety policy is based on the Food Safety Basic Law (the Basic Law).

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

The draft of the Basic Law was developed by the Cabinet Secretary in cooperation with the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF) and the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare (MHLW).

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

The Basic Law covers the following points of the national food safety policy:

- taking appropriate measures at each stage as necessary of the food supply chain
- prevention of adverse effects on the health of consumers
- science-based approach
- harmonization with international standards
- responsibilities of the State
- responsibilities of the local governments
- responsibility of food-related business operators
- roles of consumers
- legislative measures.

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

The bill for the basic food safety passed into law in the Diet in May 2003 and came into effect in July of that year.

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

After the outbreak of BSE in September 2001, the national food safety policy was reviewed to protect national public health first; to take appropriate measures throughout food chain; and to introduce risk analysis. The draft of the Basic Law was introduced to the Diet in early 2003 after the intense discussion over a year.

1.6 What year was it issued?

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

In addition to the Basic Law, the following laws have significant some links with food safety:

- Food Sanitation Law (MHLW) – food safety
- Health promotion Law (MHLW) – food and nutrition,
- Agricultural Chemicals Regulation Law (MAFF) – food safety and stable agricultural production
- Fertilizer Control Law (MAFF) – food safety and stable agricultural production
- Domestic Animal Infectious Disease Control Law (MAFF) – animal health and stable livestock production
- Law Concerning Safety Assurance and Quality Improvement of Feed (MAFF) – feed safety and stable livestock production
- Abattoirs Law (MHLW) – food safety.

The Basic Law [English version] is available at:
http://www.fsc.go.jp/sonota/fsb_law1807.pdf

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security (NPSF/SPSF)?

The Government approved the Medium-Term Programme for Food, Agriculture and Rural Areas in March 2010. This programme specifies that the Government shall take appropriate measures, based on sound science, to ensure food safety and consumer confidence. The programme is revised approximately every five years.

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

- Each related ministry has its own action plan.
- MAFF ensures the safety and quality of production materials (such as feeds, fertilizers, pesticides, veterinary medicines).
- MAFF is responsible for the improvement of food safety throughout the food chain.
- MAFF risk management activities include:

Developing

- priority list
- risk profile
- guidelines for surveillance and monitoring
- guidelines for total Diet study.

Conducting surveillance and monitoring according to medium-term and annual plans.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

Government agencies, local governments and food-related business operators shall take the appropriate measures at each stage as necessary of the food supply chain under the Basic Law.

The MAFF and MHLW established the Standard Operating Procedures for Food Safety Risk Management. The objectives of the SOP are to conduct risk management, based on scientific principles in accordance with internationally accepted framework, to ensure consistency and transparency of risk management and to reflect stakeholders' opinions in risk management processes.

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

The Food Safety Commission was established in the Cabinet Office under the framework of food safety risk analysis and to conduct food safety risk assessments independently from the risk management ministries. MAFF and MHLW play the roles of risk managers under the framework. The risk managers interact with the risk assessor throughout the risk-assessment process.

7. Background to the development of the policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

Japan has experienced Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) and enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157:H7 as well as new food production technologies, such as genetic engineering and nanotechnology. To properly respond to all the challenges, combined with the high public concern towards food safety, the Basic Law was enacted in 2003.

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

In the case of considering policies on risk management priority and risk mitigation measures for hazardous substances, the following data and information should be considered, and data gaps should be identified:

- toxicological data of that substance
- physicochemical character of that substance
- analytical methods of that substance
- surveillance data on concentration of that substance in food
- mechanism of occurrence and contamination routes
- food consumption data
- food production methods
- methods of reduction and prevention
- quantity of related food production
- impact of mitigation measures on health risk and other relevant issues (cost, quality, quantity, etc.)
- activities in other countries and international bodies
- interest of stakeholders, etc.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

Data gaps and the shortage in human resources (number of officers and their expertise).

When data gaps are identified, surveillance, investigation, research and collection of information to fill the gaps should be conducted to implement science-based regulations. The MAFF makes effort to enhance capacity building of regulators and promoting regulatory science.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

Important points to implement policies under the Basic Law are as follows:

- implementation of risk assessment related to food safety
- formulation of policies on the basis of the results of the risk assessment, the dietary habits of consumers and other circumstances
- promotion of exchange of information and opinions with stakeholders
- establishment of a system to cope with emergency and other situations
- close and mutual coordination among relevant administrative organs
- enhancement of research supporting food safety
- collection, compilation, utilization of internal and external information
- ensuring appropriate food labelling
- consumer education and learning regarding ensuring food safety
- consideration of impacts on the environment
- determination and publication of basic agreement to implement measures.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

1. Dietary exposure of chemical or microbiological hazard in foods.
2. Concentration of chemical hazards in foods.
3. Positive ratio of microbiological hazards in foods.
4. Case of food-borne illness.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

To strengthen food safety risk analysis, the Government must secure resource persons and enhance the development of human resources who can apply the best possible science to its regulatory activities in the food safety area. The following expertise is required for risk managers or risk assessors:

- biochemistry
- microbiology
- toxicology
- chemistry, including analytical chemistry
- statistics, etc.

VII. REPUBLIC OF KOREA

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

The policy of food safety for agricultural products contains a binary management system that is divided by product items and by their distribution.

The Ministry for Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MFAFF) has responsibility for the distribution of agricultural products. It is also in charge of the production, manufacturing, distribution and import of meat and poultry products and fisheries. Any other matter is controlled under the Korea Food and Drug Administration (KFDA).

The Food Safety Policy Committee coordinates adjustment of policies and controls the whole system. To improve the coordination and effectiveness of safety surveillance on imported food, three of the major quarantines were combined under the Ministry of Agriculture in June 2011.

The goal is the prevention of potential diseases and a strict monitoring sanitation system for imported agrifood, meat, poultry and marine products. The Food Safety Policy Committee processes the research and development of new techniques in botany and veterinary science. The offices are located in six major areas in the country.

Inspection of imported foods is conducted at the border by KFDA, which has developed and implemented an integrated system for safety control of imported foods.

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

Our policies cover prohibition of harmful, unhealthy foods and the use of other substances that could be hazardous and toxic in food, the restriction for the use of food additives and packaging materials and the monitoring of food manufacturing facilities and employees, food imports and inspection procedures, recall and inspection guidelines and labelling regulations.

- i) Production and manufacturing
 - HACCP and good manufacturing practices (GMP)
 - control of residue level for pesticides and veterinary drugs
 - control of contamination in foods
- ii) Distribution
 - safety assurance for imported foods
 - food-borne illness surveillance
 - monitoring safety of food products in the market
- iii) Risk communication
 - consumer participation in safety policy-making and monitoring activity
 - education
- iv) Harmonization with international standards.

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

The Good Agricultural Practice on Controlling Risk Factors, such as pesticide, heavy metals, pathogenic microorganism from production of agricultural products to packaging after harvest, was adopted in 2006 by way of a three-year demonstration project.

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

These days, the continued occurrence and diversity of agrifood accidents contribute to the increase of consumers' anxiety. As consumers' income continues to increase, so too does the public's quality

of life demands, such as safety, health and environment, keep increasing. In fact, research in 2010 revealed that the public cares more for safety than freshness and price. Accordingly, the Government is expanding risk communication through the regular exchange with stakeholders.

1.6 What year was it issued?

The MIFAFF adopted the Good Agricultural Practice in 2006 and livestock hazard analysis and critical control point in 1998 for controlling intensively pesticide residue, heavy metals and hazard microorganism, etc. The Beef Traceability for Improvement of Livestock Safety was adopted in 2008. It will be expanded to cover pork next year. MeatWatch was introduced and implemented in 2010 to alleviate concerns about imported beef. The KFDA adopted a long-term and annual food safety policy.

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

The food safety policy refers to other international standards and guidelines, including Codex and leading systems in major industrialized countries.

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security NPSF/SPSF)?

- The Government established and implemented the Food Safety Manage Foundation Scheme, based on the Frame Act on Food Safety, in 2009, which was carried by the Food Safety Policy Committee. In 2012, the Government established a secondary Food Safety Manage Foundation Scheme.
- According to the Food Safety Manage Foundation Scheme, each agency establishes and examines the scheme every year.

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

Food safety and consumer affairs policy division under the Ministry for Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries establishes and run the implementation plans based on the Food Safety Manage Foundation Scheme. This division establishes long-term plan named Agricultural Food Consumption Safe Advance Policy and post-manage and inspect every organization.

Each agency sets a safety scheme for agriculture, meat and poultry products every year and communicates to and inspects local governments.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

The Government takes responsibility for surveillance and post-management; consumers and experts inspect the main policies and points that should be improved. They also monitor implementation of policies. For example, the group of consumers evaluates slaughterhouses every year.

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

Does not apply.

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

Food Safety and Consumer Affairs Policy Division under the Ministry for Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries develops, combines and runs the food safety policy and consumer policy.

Food safety and nutrition are covered in the food safety policy developed by KFDA. The main vision is to build a preventive and effective food safety management system.

7. Background to the development of the policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

Due to the high expectation of consumers for food safety, the Government works to expand food safety from farm to table by developing policies designed to create an atmosphere of reliability for public reassurance. In addition, the Government regards food safety as the most essential and fundamental condition for the improvement of the food industry. It is important that the production-distribution-export trade should be controlled to improve the country's international competitiveness.

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

Policies refer to the Codex and the example of other industrialized countries. They are applied differently, according to politics, social conditions and the public's sense of security.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

The Food Safety and Consumer Affairs Policy Division controls the production stage of agrifood and the range of processes for meat and poultry products. There were difficulties in the development of the safety policy at the primary production stage because producers were not safety conscious and their capabilities needed to be reinforced.

The main point of the food safety issue is the combination of the capability of producers and consumers: Producers should follow the rules and consumers should be aware of the risks and what they can do to prevent food safety problems. The Government is working to better educate the public and producers to resolve the difficulties.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

The vision is to provide reliable and safe food to consumers through the safe management of food from farm to table. To achieve this vision, a first-rate scientific safety control system was built and aims to realize food safety administration for consumers. To achieve these objectives, the Government will assess the level of safety techniques used in industrialized countries and strive to catch up and provide reliable food safety by measuring every year:

- food-borne illness incidents
- non-conformity rates as a result of surveillance for domestic and imported foods
- any recalls.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

There are three factors for the risk analysis of food safety:

1. Rapid and precautionary risk control.
2. Scientific and reasonable risk assessment.
3. Risk communication through participation and communication.

Accordingly, the most important five factors to assess these factors:

1. Government policy.
2. Reinforcement of consumer capability.
3. Reinforcement of producers' capability.
4. Scientific information.
5. The media.

When these five factors are harmonized properly, the public's trust in food safety will be greater.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

Food safety from "farm to table" strengthens the precautions in production, manufacturing, distribution and marketing for safe food and to control the occurrence of diseases, such as food poisoning.

The policies strengthen the communication with consumers, industries and the Government, instills trust in food safety and contributes to establishing an environment of reasonable and safe food consumption through the expansion of the right to know for consumers.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

A measure for funding for safety support of small-scale farm and fishery is being considered. We are supporting basic infrastructure, such as facilities and equipment of hygiene safety, so that farm and fisheries enterprises can strengthen their safety control of products through technical training and professional consultations.

The physical and human resources are expanding to strengthen on-the-spot action and communication ability with consumers. There are efforts to secure the inspection equipment for a new type of risk factors, such as radioactivity, and reinforce related professional human resources.

VIII. LAO People's Democratic Republic

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

A food safety policy was issued in 2009.

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

Ministry of Health

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

- Ministry of Health: Food and Drug Department; Hygiene and prevention Department
- Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry: Agriculture Department, Livestock and Fishery Department, Animal Health Centre
- Ministry of Industry and Commerce: Industry Department, Domestic trade Department, Import-export Department, Policy Trade Department
- Ministry of Science and Technology: Standard and Metrology Department

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

1. the importance of food safety
2. food safety situation in internationally
3. food safety situation in Lao PDR
4. rationale for the development of the national food safety policy
5. terms of definitions
6. scope of food safety policy
7. objective of national food safety policy
8. essential elements of the national food safety policy
9. strategies of food safety
10. organizational responsibilities and coordination
11. implementation

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

Three years – since 2006

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

The food safety policy was endorsed by the Prime Minister.

1.6 What year was it issued?

2009

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

Food Law No: 04/NA, 15 May 2004

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security NPSF/SPSF)?

The Ministry of Health programme under consumer protection.

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

Yes, in the sector level, but it is thus complex to implement; for example, it can be implemented under the animal health, animal production or the fisheries development strategies.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

The Food and Drug Department

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on future strategic direction for food safety decisions in your country?

It exists but needs to be revised according to the updated situation.

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

Food safety only.

7. Background to the development of the policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

1. To have an efficient and effective food safety programme that protects the health of consumers.
2. Need for a coordination mechanism with key stakeholders.
3. Globalization (Food Safety Policy 2009, point 4).

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

- food-borne disease data in Lao PDR
- inadequate food safety control activities
- Food Safety Policy 2009, point 3.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

Limited experience and thus dependent on international experts.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

Goals:

- Protect and promote better health for the people of Lao PDR by ensuring that people consume safe, hygienic and nutritious food.
- General indicators.
- Reduce morbidity and mortality due to food-borne illness.
- Promote safe food production and international and domestic trade in safe food.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country

1. Better coordination and better understanding among key partners.
2. Food safety considered important.
3. Clear responsibilities for each ministry.
4. Capacity for food control.
5. Use a risk-based approach.

and

1. Safe foodstuff in the primary production.
2. Efficient and sufficient legislation.
3. Ability to conduct a risk analysis.
4. An integrated food chain approach.
5. Strengthened capacity of human resources.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

The farm-to-folk approach, which needs to have a better understanding on the responsibilities of each stakeholder in food safety.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

- the food safety policy strengthening and review
- strategy development and implementation
- monitoring and evaluation
- awareness raising for key stakeholder for its implementation
- capacity building
- improve the capacity of food control from production to consumers
- cooperation and collaboration among concerned agencies, including the private sector
- enhance the Food Safety Authority to conduct risk analysis (especially in the risk management)
- develop appropriate manual, guidelines and standard operating procedures in the food control system
- strengthen the analysis capacity of necessary hazards by training analysts and provide laboratory equipment
- strengthen the gathering of data system for food contaminants
- strengthen the capabilities of producers to implement effectively the application of GMP, GHP, SSOP and HACCP in food premises (SME) and good agricultural practices in farm production by providing adequate and efficient training to food producers and inspectors.

IX. MALAYSIA

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

National Food Safety Policy

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

Food Safety and Quality Division, Ministry of Health Malaysia

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

The National Food Safety Policy was developed by the Food Safety and Quality Division under the purview of the National Food Safety and Nutrition Council. The Council is chaired by the Minister of Health and is the highest national advisory body on food safety and nutrition in the country. It comprises 18 various ministries and government agencies, academia, industry and consumer associations and professional bodies. The lead agency for the Council is the Food Safety and Quality Division.

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

The points covered in the National Food Safety Policy are objective, scope and the following essential elements:

1. food safety infrastructure
2. food safety legislation
3. inspection and enforcement services
4. food laboratory
5. information and communication technology
6. ensuring food safety throughout the food chain
7. scientific information gathering and analysis
8. product tracing
9. managing food safety crisis
10. management system for food safety assurance
11. education on food safety
12. safety of imported and exported foods
13. participation in international food safety forums.

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

One year

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

The policy was endorsed at the national level by the Malaysian Cabinet.

1.6 What year was it issued?

2003

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

The food safety policy explicitly refers to policies on food safety. In formulating the policy, reference was made to the food safety policy of other countries at the regional as well as international levels.

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security (NPSF/SPSF)?

Ministry of Health Strategic Plan 2011–2015

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

Yes, there is the Plan of Action on Food Safety 2010–2020, based on the Plan of Action for Food Safety 2003, which was reviewed and revised in 2009.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

National Food Safety and Nutrition Council

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

A policy already exists.

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

Food safety

7. Background to the development of the policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

- Protect consumers from health hazards and fraud while facilitating trade.
- Advancement and development in the field of food science and technology.
- Globalization of food trade.
- The implementation of international and regional trade agreements.

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

Yes, gaps were identified: data regarding monitoring and surveillance, enforcement and promotional activities.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

The main challenges were multi-agency and multidisciplinary collaboration and cooperation involving relevant government agencies, food industries, consumers, the scientific community and others.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

The main goal is to safeguard consumers' health by providing direction to all stakeholders in establishing food safety measures through collaborative effort. The policy is implemented through the National Food Safety Action Plan. Examples of indicators used to measure achievement are:

- number of premises complying with legislation
- number of premises registered, certified and licensed
- number of analytical methods developed
- number of new and amended laws
- number of promotional activities conducted
- number of guidelines developed
- number of modules developed.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

1. Food safety infrastructure.
2. Food safety legislation.
3. Food laboratory.
4. Monitoring and surveillance.
5. Information and communication technology.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

The food safety policy has enabled resources and expertise to be optimally organized and used in a more systematic and integrated manner to tackle food safety issues, which previously lacked coherency due to overlapping functions, grey areas, lack of coordination and limited resources.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

Special skills are needed in capacity and capability building in laboratory analysis, human resources training, research and monitoring and surveillance.

X. MONGOLIA

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

The issue of providing secure, qualified and sufficient foods to the public is part of national security and thus under the domain of the Government. The Mongolian Constitution Law (1992) states, “The citizens of Mongolia are guaranteed to enjoy the rights and freedoms to a healthy and safe environment and to be protected against environmental pollution and ecological imbalance.” The National Public Health Policy (2001) established a long-term framework for public health, with the intent to: “Provide the environment for people live, work, learn and get public health protection and support by providing balance to human and environment.”

The food safety policy strategies are stated in the following policy documents: The Concept of National Security of Mongolia (2010), The Millennium Development Goals-Based Comprehensive National Development Strategy (2006) and the State Policy on Food and Agriculture (2003). The essential content of these documents covers the correct use of environmental, economic, financial and human resources that will increase the efficiency of production, output, quality of products and competitiveness and will provide safe food for the public.

The food law was approved in 1995 and renewed in 1999 and some amendments made in 2001 and 2003. The government programme 2008–2012 planned to renew the food law. A group of Parliament members initiated the food safety law proposal. Both laws are under discussion in the Parliament.

The purpose of the food law is to regulate all aspects related to food processors and consumers in order to provide consistent, sufficient, safe and nutritional food to the population. The purpose of the food safety law is to ensure food safety in raw materials and food throughout the food value chain.

In 2001, the Government approved and implemented the National Programme on Food Supply, Safety and Nutrition by Resolution #242. The programme was revised due to the current system of the food supply in the country, worldwide food deficiency, the rise of food price and recommendations of international agencies (such as the United Nations and others). In 2009, the Government reviewed the achievements of the first national plan of action for food security, which ran from 2001 to 2007, and used the lessons learned to develop an improved National Food Security Programme (NFSP) for the period 2009–2016. Then the programme changed its name to the National Food Safety Programme, in line with the National Development Complex Programme (2005) and the State Policy on Agriculture Development (2009).

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

The primary departments managing the National Food Safety Programme are the Ministry of Industry and Agriculture and the Ministry of Health. The main goal of the programme is to provide the country with secure supplies of accessible, nutritious and safe food to enable healthy livelihoods and high labour productivity and that is founded on the participation of people, government and the public and private sectors.

The NFSP has 27 major components and a financing plan and it envisages a public-private sector partnership with investments from: i) the private sector (43.3 percent); and ii) government (34.7 percent); development partners (20.6 percent) and local government/NGO (1.4 percent).

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

1. Ministry of Industry and Agriculture
2. Ministry of Health
3. Ministry of Finance
4. Ministry of Education and Science
5. General Agency for Specialized Inspection
6. Customs General administration
7. Center of Standardization and Measurement
8. Authority for Fair Competition and Consumer Protection
9. National Security Council
10. Mongolian Food Processors Association
11. Mongolian Meat Association and other NGOs.

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

The programme has four priority areas and 13 objectives:

- Pillar 1: Enhancing the Enabling Environment
- Pillar 2: Commercial and Household Food Security
- Pillar 3: Restructuring Food Safety
- Pillar 4: Promoting Nutrition and Public Health

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

The policy development took more than two years. This programme is being implemented from 2009 to 2016 (Phase 1: 2009–2012; Phase 2: 2013–2016).

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

The NFSP was endorsed in 2008 during a national validation workshop and provincial meetings by farmer-to-consumer food chain stakeholders. It was approved by the Cabinet in February 2009 under Government Resolution 32/2009. Implementation is being coordinated by a high-level Interministerial Committee headed by the Minister of Food, Agriculture and Light Industry.

1.6 What year was it issued?

National Food Safety Programme, 2009

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

The Government designed the food safety action based on the requirements of the World Health Organization and a strategy of Pacific countries. In this:

- Food safety policy, activities and analysis of risks should follow the principle that they be based on scientific proof and evidence.
- Follow the standards, guidance and instruction, which are approved by the food legislation commissions for improving the Mongolian involvement to Codex activity.
- Make activities that take in situation when food safety has lost to the international method level.
- Extend the food safety science and analysis.
- Improve the activities between the branches.
- The Government has responsibility to provide the financing for diagnosing and treating illness due to food problems.

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security (NPSF/SPSF)?

The NFSP (2009–2016) is harmonized with the following national plans, strategies and frameworks:

- Agriculture Sector Development Strategy (2006–2015), published by MOFALI in 2007
The Concept of National Security of Mongolia (2010)
- The Millennium Development Goals-Based Comprehensive National Development Strategy (2006)
- The State policy on Food and Agriculture (2009)
- Comprehensive National Development Plan (2007)
- National Plan of Action of Food Security, Food Safety and Nutrition: 2001–2010 (2001)
- Economic Growth Support and Poverty Reduction Strategy (2003)
- Government Action Plan: 2004–2008
- Medium-term Budgetary Framework: 2006–2008
- UN Development Assistance Framework: 2007–2011 (2007)
- Joint Government/UN Food Security Assessment Mission to Mongolia, FAO, UNICEF, UNDP (April 2007)
- National Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and Targets (as revised by Government Decree on 31 December 2007)
- Millennium Development Goals based comprehensive National Development Strategy of Mongolia
- Food Security and Livelihoods in the Small Urban Centres of Mongolia.

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

According to the recommendations of the national meeting “Mongolian Ground – Mongolian Food” in January 2010, the Prime Minister suggested to renew the Food Security National Programme by including the III Campaign of Wilderness, Ecological Clean Products and Milk, which was approved by the National Food Safety Programme.

Each pillar of this programme contains a set of prioritized components with budget lines. The NFSP is a rolling programme targeting: i) rehabilitating the crop and horticulture sectors, ii) restructuring food safety and control and iii) lifting the nutritional condition of vulnerable people. New activities and resourcing requirements will be identified over the years to come, resulting in periodic updating.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

There is a national committee, which is obliged to take responsibility to develop, manage, control and monitor the implementation of the National Food Safety Programme.

But there are too many organizations having overlapping responsibilities for food security (access, safety and nutrition). The first programme had too many objectives, subprogrammes and activities for efficient implementation. Oversight and coordination is generally weak, resulting in unplanned programme implementation and a lack of accountability.

The Government Agency on Specialized Inspection Agency is responsible for all inspection activities related to imported and domestically produced food. The agency has begun to implement the food safety inspection system as a farm-to-table approach. But national resources for inspection activities are fairly limited. The animal-originated products are the main problem areas in terms of food safety. The spread of the nomadic lifestyle of herders posed particular problems in terms of enforcement and collection of data, particularly regarding the origin of products. There are about

70 food laboratories and 700 food inspectors/quality assurance staff. Food inspection focuses on end products with limited attention to other parts of the food chain, including primary production, transport, storage and marketing. The national Codex team will be re-established and start to develop and recommend food standards according to FAO/WHO Codex guidelines

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

Against the background of growing concerns about the quality and safety of domestic and imported foods, strengthening national capacity for implementation of Codex is central to improving domestic food safety and assuring the safety and quality of imported foods. Through improvements to domestic food regulatory capacity, the country is likely to significantly enhance its ability to meet international market requirements for its primary products.

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

The overarching goal for the NFSP (2009–2016), crafted by stakeholders across the farm consumer food chain in 2008 and endorsed by Cabinet in February 2009, is:

“to provide the entire nation with secure supplies of accessible, nutritious and safe food to enable healthy livelihoods and high labour productivity, founded on the participation of people, government and the public and private sectors.” This goal is consistent with the revised national MDG targets set by the Mongolian Parliament Decree on 31 December 2007: Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from malnutrition.

Strategic objectives

The strategic objectives for the NFSP are:

1. Enabling environment: to promote institutions and policies that are critical to enhancing the productivity and competitiveness of the food and agriculture sectors, such as legislation, consumer education, capacity building and needs-based vocational training, financing the essential investments, oversight, etc.
2. Commercial and household food security: to achieve self-reliance in those food staples that can be produced competitively and efficiently in the country, while caring for the poor and vulnerable.
3. Food safety: to rationalize the food management and safety system into a modern, proactive structure that consumers can trust.
4. Food nutrition: with special focus on children and vulnerable groups, to achieve the national MDG nutrition target to halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from malnutrition.

7. Background to development of policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

The preparation of the NFSP was carried out by an eight-member, part-time, cross-sector national team in 2008 under the SPFM/ MON/8801 project: Formulation of a National Programme for Food Security in Mongolia. The team was guided by the MOFALI Minister’s Council and the Strategy, Policy and Planning Department and supported by a short-time FAO adviser. The FAO project was prepared in January 2008, approved and signed in February and started on 1 March. The agreed timetable for the formulation process, including a rapid assessment of the first National Action Plan for Food Security (2001–2007), is indicated in the workplan in the

project document. During the NFSP preparation period, FAO fielded a number of other technical missions:

- Joint WHO/FAO Food Safety Assessment Mission: (16 to 28 April 2008)
- FAO TCP project: Improved Meat Hygiene and Commercial Meat Processing (TCP/MON/3105)
- FAO TCP project: Support to the Productive Water Sector (TCP/MON/3102)
- Joint WHO/FAO Food Safety Assessment Mission
- FAO TCP project: Improved Meat Hygiene and Commercial Meat Processing (TCP/MON/3105)
- FAO TCP project: Support to the Productive Water Sector (TCP/MON/3102).

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

The basic proposals for the NFSP were reviewed in May 2008 during a validation workshop at the MOFALI headquarters in Ulaanbaatar. A total of 83 public and private food and agriculture sector delegates attended, including producers and processors, consumers, deputy aimag governors, NGOs, civil society at large and foreign development partners and donors. The proposed components of the NFSP were discussed and prioritized. Feedback from delegates was incorporated in the programme. This was submitted for consideration to the Minister of Food, Agriculture and Light Industry's Council. Again, feedback was incorporated into the draft. Simultaneously, the draft NFSP document was circulated to all line ministries for review and comment. Feedback was incorporated into the draft.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

The current food safety management system is inefficient, and many areas of an effective national food safety system are not adequately addressed. The current food law (1999) fails to provide adequate clarity to regulations, industry and consumers because food inspection is poorly focused. Support for good agriculture practices is limited and the mechanism strengthening them is not clear in the current food safety management system. There is lack of resources development of national standards according to Codex texts; lack of supporting structures (subcommittees) for the NCC, FAO; and insufficient training on food safety

Food producers do not have any good knowledge of food safety and sanitation at the level of production and preparing raw materials. Also, there is insufficient stakeholder knowledge and awareness of Codex and international standards and their role in promoting food safety and quality.

Data on food contamination are currently disjointed, while many resources are spent on sampling and analysis of food. There is no national database on food contamination.

Food industries commonly do not focus on good hygienic practices or on implementing a preventive approach to food safety control. The early warning and response system for human diseases should facilitate the detection of and response to commonly reported food-borne illnesses and outbreaks.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

a. Food inspection and certification: rationalizing and restructuring the system, including the national laboratory network along the entire food chain, including the step-by-step introduction of the following and, thereafter, HACCP:

- good agricultural practices (GAP)
- good manufacturing practices (GMP)
- good hygienic practices (GHP).

b. Food processing: modernizing and expanding food processing, mainly financed by the private sector.

c. Food contamination: control of sources of physical, chemical (drug and pesticide residues etc.), bacteriological contamination.

d. Food reserves: re-organizing strategic food stocks.

e. Prevention of food-borne disease (public health): improving surveillance and control of food-borne diseases.

f. Natural Mongolian foods: certification, labelling and generic branding of clean, safe organic foods.

The National Food Safety Programme has such goals as reducing imported food and producing products with a high level of food safety and standards in Mongolia:

- promoting codex regulatory framework in the country
- promoting knowledge and uptake of scientific principles and risk analysis principles
- strengthening Codex risk management capabilities
- cooperating internationally and locally
- publishing and disseminating Codex standards.

1. National policy priorities for food safety and strengthening of food regulation:

- improved food safety and quality of domestic foods through the development and application of food standards based on Codex standards
- enhanced capacity of the food industry to access overseas markets and meet international market requirements
- enhanced capacity to assure the safety and quality of imported foods.

Implementation strategy

- Develop an overall national food safety and quality policy statement defining national priorities for food regulation and Codex with stakeholder input and engagement.

2. Strengthening the national Codex institutional framework and structures:

- institutional and administrative structures for managing Codex standards at the national level
- structure, composition and terms of reference of national Codex Committee
- interagency coordination and collaboration
- mechanisms for communication (e-mail, Website, consultation meetings, etc.).

Implementation strategy

- Implement actions to secure high-level support for managing Codex activities at the national level, including lead agency responsibilities, location of Codex contact point, leadership and management of the national Codex Committee and mechanisms for interagency cooperation.

3. Strengthening national capacity for Codex:

- capacity building of the national Codex Committee
- training of the national Codex contact point on the management of Codex work at the national level
- improving awareness of Codex at the national level across government and stakeholder groups
- supporting participation in Codex meetings of priority interest

- engaging in international standards development processes through submission of written comments
- developing regional networking and links to share information and experiences
- supporting and encouraging involvement of stakeholders (industry and NGOs) in Codex activities.

Implementation strategy

- national training workshops
- attendance of Codex meetings
- visits to other countries to study structures and approaches.

4. Promote the development of national food safety and quality standards for priority products and sectors based on Codex:

- reviewing and strengthening the national legislative framework for adoption and use of Codex standards at the national level
- reviewing priority areas for standards development, taking into account safety and trade interests
- promoting knowledge and understanding of Codex standards among stakeholders
- disseminating Codex standards (of importance to Mongolia) into the national language.

Implementation strategy

- revise relevant national legislation to facilitate adoption of Codex standards at the national level
- develop list of Codex standards of importance to Mongolia
- conduct national training and awareness workshops on the use of Codex standards at the national level.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

1. Food safety regulations.
2. Food borne disease rate.
3. Food contamination level.
4. Percentage of domestic companies that met hygienic requirements, such as GAP, GMP and GHP.
5. The level of sanitation facilities in food companies.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

With continuous growth of the world's population and subsequent increase in food demand and supply, the issue of food safety has become increasingly important in all countries worldwide. The Government set its goal to provide the population with safe and nutritious food supplies and prevent acute and chronic food-borne diseases.

There is a great importance that FAO and WHO cooperate with the Government on the development of food safety policies for countries in Asia, especially for Mongolia, as it is happening at the same time as such bills as Food Safety and Food Law are being renewed and undergoing Parliament discussions.

It's our hope that all participants of this conference will acknowledge Asian countries' need in implementing many activities for strengthening food safety by further ensuring the safety of raw materials at all stages of the food production chain, from animal stalls and crop fields to the consumer tables, increasing the responsibility of food producers and importers, developing a domestic food safety control system, bringing the food safety control to a new level by strengthening professional food control agencies and introducing risk-based food safety control approaches.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

All the officers of the Government and NGOs involved with food safety should increase their knowledge of the fundamental purpose of a food safety and security management system and the principles, processes and techniques used for the assessment and management of food safety hazards. They should also learn the following subjects:

- The effectiveness of the organization's management of risk through its food safety risk assessment and control planning.
- The capability of an organization to maintain and exceed compliance with legislative requirements.
- The adequacy of the organization's emergency preparedness and response.
- The implementation of operational risk control, monitoring and measurement.
- The continuous improvement of food safety management system performance.

XI. PHILIPPINES

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

Ensuring food safety in the Philippines is a shared responsibility of the Department of Health and the Department of Agriculture. To ensure the safety of food for the consuming public, the agencies involved have developed policies relative to food safety. The Department of Agriculture is responsible for primary production (growing, harvesting and post-harvest facilities) while the Department of Health has responsibility for secondary production (excluding meat and meat products). Local government units are also involved in the Food Safety Program.

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

The agencies mentioned have an existing food safety policy and system in place. However, with the impending Food Safety Bill, the Department of Health and Department of Agriculture and the local government units will jointly implement the Bill.

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

1. Department of Health
2. Department of Agriculture
3. Department of Interior and Local Government
4. Local government units.

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

1. Public health and safety (protection from food-borne and water-borne illnesses and unsanitary, unwholesome, misbranded and adulterated foods).
2. Industry and consumer confidence in the food regulatory system.
3. Economic growth and development.

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

The agencies involved drafted the Bill in 2010 in accordance with prescribed standard procedures.

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

The Food Safety Bill is now at the legislative branch for its final review prior to enactment.

1.6 What year was it issued?

Aside from the Food Safety Bill, the Philippine Food and Drug Administration of the Department of Health was mandated to implement the following:

- Republic Act 3720 – Food Drugs and Devices and Cosmetics Act as amended by the 1987 Philippine Constitution
- Republic Act 9711– Food and Drug Administration Act of 2009
- Republic Act 7394 – The Consumer Act of the Philippines of 1992
- Republic Act 8976 – The Philippine Food Fortification Act of 2000
- Republic Act 8172 – ASIN Law
- Executive Order 51– Milk Code of 1986
- Other issuances through circulars and orders.

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

Yes

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security (NPSF/SPSF)?

Yes

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

Yes

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

For the Food Safety Bill, the Food Safety Regulation Coordinating Board monitors and coordinates the performance and implementation of the mandates of the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Health, the Department of Interior and Local Government and the local government units in food safety regulation.

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

Not applicable

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

Potential hazards in foods from farm to fork

7. Background to the development of the policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

1. trade agreements
2. protection of consumer interest
3. contaminants in foods during production and/or processing and distribution
4. intentional contamination.

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

- authorizations issued to establishments under their jurisdiction
- establishments compliance and/or non-compliance to regulatory requirements
- current food standards
- capabilities of food testing laboratories
- food-borne illness monitoring and surveillance
- regulatory food inspectors training.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

- political
- economic
- people and culture.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

Protection and promotion of public health through:

- development of standards, methods and procedures
- enforcement and procedures in dealing with violations
- regulatory compliance
- risk management plan
- food-borne and water-borne diseases.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

1. Links of the food chain from supplier to consumer.
2. Control of hazards and processes.
3. Inspection, sampling and testing.
4. Inspectors training and competency.
5. Imposition of food safety standards.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

1. Increased public awareness and food industry responsiveness to food safety regulatory standards and consumer satisfaction.
2. Expanded market shares in food and agricultural exports.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

There is a need for training to enhance the inspection skills and techniques of food inspectors and capabilities of laboratory analysts.

XII. THAILAND

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

Thailand has a strong food safety policy under the National Food Committee Act 2008, which is chaired by the Prime Minister, and the Strategic Framework for Food Management, was approved by the Cabinet in November 2010.

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

The Secretary and Co-secretary of the National Food Committee are the Secretary-General of the Food and Drug Administration, under the Ministry of Public Health, and Co-Secretary of National Bureau of Agricultural Commodity and Food Standards, under the Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives.

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

Ministry of Public Health, through five departments:

- Food and Drug Administration
- Bureau of Food Safety Extension and Support, under the Office of Permanent Secretary of Ministry of Public Health
- Department of Medical Sciences
- Department of Health
- Department of Diseases Control.

Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, through the National Bureau of Agricultural Commodity and Food Standards, which involves six departments:

- Department of Livestock
- Department of Fisheries
- Department of Agriculture
- Department of Rice
- Department of Agricultural Extension
- The Office of Agricultural Economics.

The other ministries and organizations from the food supply chain management:

- Ministry of Finance
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- Ministry of Commerce
- Ministry of Interior
- Ministry of Culture
- Ministry of Science and Technology
- Ministry of Industry
- Office of the Consumer Protection Board
- Office of The National Research Council of Thailand
- National Health Commission of Thailand
- The Thai Chamber of Commerce
- The Federation of Thai Industries
- The Thai Health Promotion Foundation.

1.3 What points are covered in the policy? (explained further on)

- Strategic Theme 1: Food Security
- Strategic Theme 2: Food Quality and Food Safety
- Strategic Theme 3: Food Education
- Strategic Theme 4: Food Management

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

It took 12 months.

- At the first meeting in September 2010, the National Food Committee approved the Strategic Framework for Food Management as proposed by the Committee and proposed to the Council of Ministers for approval. Thereafter, the Framework was given to the Office of National Economic and Social Development Board and other agencies to be used as an operational guideline and to report to the National Food Committee in the future. The Deputy Prime Minister, who was Chairman of National Food Committee, proposed the Framework for inclusion in the agenda of the Cabinet meeting in November 2010, at which time it was approved, though it was recommended that the opinions of additional agencies should be elicited to improve the Framework's operation.
- In February 2012, the Deputy Prime Minister signed on, appointing specific implementation committees for each strategic theme to collaboratively implement the strategic framework.

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

To be endorsed by the national Food Committee and the Cabinet.

1.6 What year was it issued?

2012

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

Yes, the Food Safety, Food Security, Food and Nutrition, Food Halal, Trade Promotion in Country strategies through the themes: "kitchen of the world", food education and consumer protection.

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security (NPSF/SPSF)?

Yes, food safety is a top-ten priority for the Office of the Permanent Secretary in the Ministry of Public Health. The Bureau of Food Safety Extension and Support is a secretary office to cooperate and collaborate between departments and provincial health offices to implement the plans.

- In 2013–2014, the Bureau of Food Safety Extension and Support took on an implementation role for food safety for school children.
- In 2012, the Thai FDA launched a primary good manufacturing practice inspection and certification for small and medium-sized enterprises; 70 percent of the processed food manufacturers are expected to meet the GMP requirements of the food law by the year 2013.

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

Yes, there is a yearly food safety plan for every province through a provincial food committee, which comprises multi-agencies involved from farm to table, aiming to:

- standardize food safety along the food chain
- promote safety standard implementation in all levels of food production throughout the food chain
- elevate the standard of food service in restaurants and catering as well as promote Thai food culture
- strengthen the control and regulations on food product import at checkpoints
- enhance the efficiency of management and control of pesticide and chemical use in agriculture
- encourage and promote the use of quality and safety-certified logos to aid in consumers' decision-making

- promote food chain certification system
- conduct risk assessment for food safety
- support food safety management by locals at both the provincial and community scale
- expand consumer education through the media to improve their understanding and confidence on safe food.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

Each implementation department has its own monitoring method. The assessment is presently performed routinely at the grassroots food distributors by using test kits for chemical and microbial analyses in street food, restaurants and school canteens. The assessment plan is normally set up at the provincial level. The Bureau of Food Safety Extension and Support has assessed the impact of all activities by joint key performance indicators through the food chain continuum. However, the effectiveness of the food safety policy has been evaluated only once, in 2007.

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

Not applicable because there are food safety policies at many levels in Thailand.

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

Theme 1: Food security

The principle of the first theme is ensuring a sustainable food security and effective management of food production resources by active stakeholders’ participation. There are three areas of concern: The first is the natural and agricultural resources concerning the land and water management and reforming, balancing the food, feed and fuel. The second is developing innovation and technology, young farmer capacity building and developing careers. The third area is enhancing food supply and access at the household and community levels and also improving the logistics for the food supply.

Other strategies to ensure these achievements: establish crisis management systems, conduct research and development along the food chain and encourage stakeholders’ participation.

Theme 2: Food quality and safety

The principle in this theme is the ensuring of high-quality and safe food to protect consumer health and to facilitate domestic and also international trade. This theme will strengthen food production from the supply side at the community and industry levels to promote high-quality, safety and nutritious Thai food.

The strategies for this theme are:

- For fresh produce, we will do more research and development, such as animal and plant breeding, disease control and nutrient requirements, and promote good agricultural practice in farming systems to ensure high-quality, safety and nutritious fresh food.
- For food production at the community level, we support the forming of clusters for capacity building in production to generate new value-added products and to reduce food loss.
- At the industry level, we promote production of value-added products by supporting research both from government and the private sector We will continually enhance industry to implement production practices (such as good manufacturing practice, HACCP and ISO) to meet the requirements of international trade.

- The key issues for this theme are establishing harmonized food standards and law enforcement integration among related agencies; strengthening food quality and safety assurance systems throughout the food chain, including imported food; and the promotion of trading and marketing to raise consumers' confidence in Thai food from all production levels.

Theme 3: Food education

- The key principles of food education are R&D and knowledge use by engaging stakeholders in the sustainable and effective use of food production resources along the food chain and also strengthening desirable consumption behaviour for well-being.
- The food education focuses on R&D topics, such as land use, water management, biodiversity (phenotype and genotype), plant and animal nutrition, prevention and disease control. These are leading to good practices. The consumer can access nutritious and safe food at all points of the food chain from farm to table.
- It also includes various procedures for knowledge use and practice, knowledge dissemination, knowledge integration, research and knowledge development to obtain nutritious and safe food. It also focuses on knowledge use to R&D for awareness and proper eating habits.
- If we are given good and safe food, we can focus more on our food education and it is very important to have a basic understanding in nutrition and an educated generation for the future of the country.

Theme 4: Food management

The key principle is to systematically improve national food management at every step of the food production process, as appropriate, as well as strengthen the operations of every agency to cope with globalization and manage threats efficiently as well as food safety incident management.

There are three strategies:

1. Improve and strengthen the structure of involved organizations at all levels; integrate operations for consumer protection to eliminate gaps, to identify capacity building, budgeting and human resources development, and develop systematically an evaluation system, including the indicators to improve policies and operating plans appropriately.
2. Develop and improve laws related to the food production chain that are up to date and in accordance with international regulations; monitor and evaluate difficulties in law enforcement to improve them according to current situations.
3. Improve databases and management to cooperate and collaborate with food safety information networks at the national and regional levels to develop and implement food safety alert system, INFOSAN and other networks.

7. Background to the development of the policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

1. serve as a guideline for involved agencies to create their workplans
2. encourage inter-sector participation
3. provide a framework for integrating operations
4. undertake a risk assessment
5. be scientifically and academically sound
6. promote sustainable benefits for the country.

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

Yes, good agriculture practices were identified by using the focus group discussion method. A SWOT analysis was conducted; data sources and rapid risk assessment were obtained through epidemiological surveillance data from the Department of Disease Control and chemical contamination data through the Office of Public Health Provincial surveillance reports and the 12 mobile units as well as food safety risk assessment research.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

- limited knowledge of small and medium-sized food producers and distributors
- unawareness of consumers on their right to safe foods
- lack of coordination among stakeholders
- limited funds for improvement.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

Goals: Ensuring the production of safe and high-quality food and have sustainable food security and human well-being for the people of Thailand and the world.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

1. The percentage of contaminated food has decreased.
2. The number of Thai consumers who can access safe food.
3. The number of Thai people who have improved knowledge of food safety, especially the five key rules.
4. Restaurants and street food vendors have reached the minimum requirement standard.
5. A single food safety standard for food that is consumed locally and exported.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

- The trend of food-borne and water-borne diseases and non-communicable diseases from chemical hazards residue in food will be decreasing.
- A good food safety control system in the country.
- Food production is of high quality and safe for all consumers, both domestically and internationally.
- The effectiveness of national and provincial mechanisms to respond to food safety emergency incidence.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

- epidemiology and toxicology
- capacity building to provincial health personnel on food safety risk analysis in communities
- developing the Food Safety Basic Law.

XIII. VIET NAM

1. Is there any food safety policy at present, either independent or part of other policies?

The food safety policy at present is independent and sometimes is a part of a related field policy.

1.1 If so, the department that has issued it?

The Viet Nam Food Administration (VFA), of the Ministry of Health is the country's coordinator in issuing the food safety policies and regulations.

1.2 Which departments were involved in the development?

The National Institute for Food Control (NIFC) has an important role in technical support for developing food safety policies and regulations for the VFA.

1.3 What points are covered in the policy?

The food safety policy mentioned in Article 4 of the Food Safety Law: Article 4. State's Policy on Food Safety.

Responsibility of the Ministry of Health is mentioned in item 1 of Article 20 in Decree No. 38/ND-CP – detail regulating some articles of the Food Safety Law; the Ministry of Health is responsible with the Government in harmonizing state management of food safety.

1.4 How long did it take to develop the policy?

It took two years to develop the Food Safety Law and one to two years to develop the accompanying decrees and circulars.

1.5 At what level has the policy been endorsed?

The Food Safety Law and Decree Guidance on Food Safety Law Implementation were submitted by the Ministry of Health and issued by the Government. Circulars were issued by related ministries (especially the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development and the Ministry of Trade and Industry).

1.6 What year was it issued?

The Food Safety Law was issued in 2010 and its effective date was July 2011. The Decree was issued in April 2012 and took effect in November 2012.

1.7 Does the food safety policy explicitly refer to any other policies in the country, such as food safety, food and nutrition, trade, consumer protection?

Yes, food hygiene and safety ordinance in the Good Quality Law, in the Standards and Technical Regulation Law and in the Food Trade and Consumer Protection, Arbitration Law.

2. Is there any reference to food safety in the national or sector development plans, country programming framework (CPF) or national or sector programmes on food security (NPSF/SPSF)?

- Vietnam Food Administration of the Ministry of Health
- National Institute for Food Control of the Ministry of Health
- National Agro-forestry–Fisheries Quality Assurance Department of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development
- Science and Technology Department of the Ministry of Trade and Industry.

3. Is there an action plan for implementation of the policy?

For food safety, we had a national objective programme from 2006 to 2010 and one ongoing (2011–2015). For nutrition, we have the national strategy on nutrition improvement.

4. Who monitors the implementation of the policy?

The Ministry of Health monitors the national objective on food hygiene and safety programme and national strategy on nutrition improvement

5. If there is no policy, are there any plans to develop the same and details of these or a “vision” on the future strategic direction for food safety decision in your country?

Not applicable

6. What is the scope or planned scope of the food safety policy in your country, such as food safety, food safety and food security, food and nutrition?

- Food safety and nutrition are monitored by the Ministry of Health.
- Food security is mainly assured by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development and some aspects are monitored by the Ministry of Health.

7. Background to the development of the policy

7.1 What were the key drivers for developing the food safety policy in your country?

The Ministry of Health is leading in developing and running the food safety policy.

7.2 What data and data sources were considered in developing the policy – were any gaps identified?

The sources of data were from the food contamination exposure assessment, regular and irregular food quality monitoring and a food safety study.

7.3 What challenges or difficult issues were encountered in developing the food safety policy?

We have issued numerous legal regulations and laws relating to food safety. However, the problems of safety are complex and systemic, especially for a developing country like Viet Nam. We are facing much difficulty in developing the food policy. We are an agricultural country with small and old production technology. It is very difficult to apply an effective quality management method.

The food safety management is divided into three ministries (the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural development and the Ministry of Trade and Industry); there are overlapping roles and others are not defined clearly. The capacity of the food testing laboratory is still limited.

8. Indicators for food safety

8.1 What food safety goals are stated in the policy and what indicators are used to measure the achievement of them?

The food safety goals are stated in the policy mentioned in the Food Safety Law:

1. Develop strategy and plan to ensure food safety and food production area for safety in the food supply chain is identified as a key task priority.
2. Use of state resources and other resources investment in scientific research and technological applications for risk analysis for food safety; building new and upgrading a number of laboratory to meeting regional and international standards; improve existing laboratory analysis; support construction material production areas of food safety, wholesale markets for agricultural products and animal slaughter of industrial-scale poultry.
3. Encourage the production facility and food business technology innovation to expand production scale; produce high-quality safe food; supplement essential micronutrients in food; build branding and development of systems to provide safe food.

4. Establish a legal framework and implementation road map for a mandatory system of good manufacturing practices (GMP), good agricultural practices (GAP), good hygiene practices (GHP), risk analysis and critical control point (HACCP) advanced food safety management systems in food processing and food business.
5. Expand international cooperation, promote the conclusion of conventions and international agreements on recognition and mutual recognition in the field of food.
6. Timely reward to organizations and individuals who produce and trade safety food.
7. Encourage and create favourable conditions for societies, associations, organizations, individuals, foreign investment, participate in standards development activities, technical regulation and food safety testing.
8. Increased investment, a variety of forms and methods of communication and education to raise consumer awareness about food safety, a sense of responsibility and business ethics of organizations and individuals producing and trading safe food.

Indicator to measure achievement of these:

- Reduce by 25 percent the number of poisoning cases, with greater than or equal to 30 persons of 2010.
- The number of people infected with an acute poisoning level per 100 000 in the case of food poisoning are recorded as less than or equal to 8.
- Samples exceeding the permissible level per total number of samples for testing food safety are less than 6 percent of the food safety monitoring programme countries on agricultural products and 4 percent in the national surveillance programme for fisheries.
- All provinces and cities have market models to ensure food safety.

8.2 List five indicators important for determining food safety in a country.

See the above indicators.

9. Impact of food safety policies: Describe the impact of the food safety policy in your country

Food safety management has improved much since 1999 when the Vietnam Food Administration was established within the Ministry of Health – the first specific authority office on food safety management in the country. From that, the food safety management system was established progressively from the national to the local levels. The organization and legal documents on food safety were completed step by step. Within a somewhat short time, we issued the Food Safety and Hygiene Ordinance (2003) and the Food Safety Law (2010) and various legal documents for implementing them. In addition, many other relating laws (Standard and Technical Regulation Law, Goods Quality Law, revised Inspection Law); and food standards and food safety technical regulations have been studied.

10. Capacity strengthening needed to implement the food safety policy: Any special skills needed?

- evaluate the characteristics of the organization
- develop a food safety programme to control hazards
- implement the food safety programme
- participate in food safety audit
- evaluate and revise the food safety programme

Decree No. 38/2012/ND-CP detailing some articles of the Food Safety Law

Decree No. 38/2012/ND-CP dated 25/4/2012 detailing some articles of the Food Safety Law clearly states the procedures of conformity publication and ones of publications in accordance with food safety regulations for food products, food additives, food-processing support material, packaging materials and food containers as well as regulations on food labelling, food safety for genetically modified organisms and responsibilities in managing food safety of the State. This Decree takes effect from the date of 11 June 2012.

1. About the publication record

This Decree separates clearly and guides specifically for each type of publication record, as follows:

- Record of conformity publication for products with existing technical standard, based on the conformity certification results of the indicated certification organization (third party)
- Record of conformity publication for products with existing technical standard, based on the self-assessment of organizations/individuals of food manufacturing and business (first party)
- Record of publication in accordance with food safety regulations with no technical standard for imported products (excluding supplements food and food of fortification with micronutrients)
- Record of publication in accordance with food safety regulations for domestic products with no technical standard (excluding supplements food and food of fortification with micronutrients)
- Record of publication in accordance with food safety regulations with no technical standard for supplements food and micronutrients fortification food. Documents released in accordance with food safety regulations for products with no technical regulations.

2. State agencies have the competence of receiving the publication record

- The Ministry of Health is responsible for food supplements; food additives; food processing support material; the imported instant food, packaging tools materials and food containers.
- The Department of Health is responsible for domestic product of manufacturing and trading organizations and individuals in the area, except those received under the Ministry of Health.

3. Product labelling

The Decree states that the font size of the product name must be the largest, most visible and at least three times more than the font size of the other letters on the label.

4. State checking for food safety

The Decree stipulates that “All foods, food ingredients, food additives, processing aids, packaging tools and materials, food containers when imported into Vietnam must be checked at the state inspection agencies”, except for six cases:

- food brought to the immigration for private consumption in norms exempted from import duties
- food in diplomatic bags, consular bags
- food in transit and transshipment
- food that is bonded in warehouses
- food that is the sample of a test or research
- food for display sample at fairs or exhibitions.

Working Session 1 – Responses by Groups

Session 1: Driver/Factors Influencing Development of Food Safety Policy

Task

- Identify five major drivers or factors in relation to the groups' areas of interest.
- Discuss the importance, and implications of each on food safety policies, including both positive and negative factors.

Major Drivers Influencing Policy Development	Implications (positive and negative)
Group 1 Health	
1. Political and stakeholder commitment	• Consistent budget, capacity building, human resources
2. Public health concern	• Reduce budget and poverty, productivity and damage reputation of country
3. Facilities and laboratories	• Food safety data, risk assessment, surveillance, food IT
4. Food legislation	• Fundamental policy, transparency, fairness
5. Food control system	• Integration, implementation, enforcement, collaboration and cooperation, information exchange
Group 2: Agriculture	
1. Safety and demand for primary production	• Increase population and demands, excessive use of pesticides and chemicals
2. International trade issues	• SPS to control competitiveness, strict import/export controls
3. Participation in international forums	• Align expectations with safety system
4. Outbreaks of illness and food contamination	• Compliance with international standards (health)
5. Trade infrastructure	• Basic requirements for sustainable agriculture industry value adding
Group 3: Trade and commerce	
1. Commitment to SPS agreement	• International standards, risk assessment and market access
2. Commitment of senior levels of government	• Establish mandates, provide ongoing directions at the highest level of political commitment
3. Enhanced growth in tourism	• Safe destination, safe food and economic growth of related industries
4. Enhance capacity of small and medium (SMEs) enterprises	• Bring technology/know-how to the SMEs, improve competitiveness, provide innovative systems and facilitate economic status
Attraction of foreign investment	• Assure stable policy environment, promote marketability
Group 4: NGOs, academia and industry	
1. Trade and domestic food production	• Standards, such as GAP, GHP, information share, traceability and contaminants
2. Coordination in food safety policies	• Interagency stakeholder coordination, surveillance, linkages and accreditation
3. Food-borne illness outbreaks	• Poor linkages, lack of data, emergency response, lessons learned
4. Consumer information and education	• New technology, education of small and medium-sized producers, innovation, communication
5. Risk assessment, management and communication	• Infrastructure development, capacity building, ethical • issues (GMO), lessons learned and communication

Working Group Session 2 – Responses by Group

Session 2 Indicators/Outcomes Of food Safety

Task

- Identify five key indicators to measure food safety.
- Identify systems of surveillance – existing, new or ones that need to be strengthened to measure the desired indicators and outcomes.

Group 1: Health	
Indicators/outcomes to measure food safety	Systems of surveillance
1. Food-borne illness surveillance	Number of cases, hospital admissions and the socio-economic burden
2. Compliance to standards and regulations	Number of recalls, recall efficiency and import rejections Number of penalties
3. Consumer confidence	Number of consumer complaints and survey of consumer confidence
4. Policies and legislation developed	Number of policies and legislation developed, harmonization between all stakeholders, local governments and harmonization to national policies
5. Capacity of food safety controls	Number of food establishments applying control systems, such as GMP, GHP, HACCP, etc.
Group 2: Agriculture	
Indicators/outcomes to measure food safety	Systems of surveillance
1. On-farm production of safe food	Number of recalls and quarantines, data and surveillance systems
2. Acceptance of country's food safety	Consumer and country (domestic and international) acceptance of safe agricultural food products Number of countries accepting products, increasing volumes of produced and exported foods
3. Implementation of quality assurance	Number of producers achieving registration and certification for control programmes and systems, such as GAP, GMP and HACCP
4. National monitoring system	Annual monitoring reports/records, records of hazardous residues and contaminants
5. Increase and sustain farmer income	Survey of farmer income, agriculture term of trade
Group 3: Trade and commerce	
Indicators/outcomes to measure food safety	Systems of surveillance
1. Legislation	Number of regulations in place, scope of coverage, risk-based regulation, food safety control framework, number of standards aligned with Codex standards
2. Enforcement of regulations	Number of recalls (domestic), number of penalties/prosecutions

	Number of rejections and alerts from trading partners Number of registered premises
3. Infrastructure	Number of functional and accredited labs, number of inspectors, frequency of inspection, LAN networking in and outside of country, cold supply chain
4. Information, communication, education and training	Number of food handlers and managers trained and certified, consumer and industry awareness SMEs, particularly research supporting safety-related issues, inspector and lab staff training
5. Outcome indicators (health related)	Number of food safety incidents reported, level of contaminants in food, morbidity and mortality related to food consumption (need surveillance systems)
Group 4: NGOs, academia and industry	
Indicators/outcomes to measure food safety	Systems of surveillance
1. Data on food-borne diseases	Number of complaints (received and nature of complaint) and records Number of causes, course of treatment (costs, economic loss, expertise required)
2. Access to information	Number of information portals, platform, hotlines Number and types of stakeholders using information
3. Consumption or use of synthetic, chemical products, additives, preservatives, rejected commodities or food products	Daily food intake, types of highly consumed products, consumer trends and preferences, trends in production to keep pace with increased demands for certain products
4. Media reporting	Coverage of food-borne illness outbreaks, cases, use of media to promote food safety and hygienic practices, ongoing communication with media
5. Social responsibility – incentives for companies, producers and consumers	incentives, such as premium prices, tax incentives, farms and companies with certifications, such as GAP, GMP, ISO and HACCP to promote safe food

NOTE: Group four identified 14 key indicators. Only five are included here, as was requested for the assignment.

Working group session 3 – Responses by groups

Session 3: Issues and priority areas in food safety policy development

Task

- Identify the five most important areas to be addressed.
- What are the issues and approaches to address the five priorities?
- What are the roles of related departments and stakeholders?
- What coordination is needed?

Group 1 - Health

	Issues and Priority Areas	Approaches to Address Priorities	Departments/ Stakeholders & Their Roles	Coordination Needed
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Food-borne illness control 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surveillance and monitoring of outbreaks • Food incident Management • Food crisis response 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health • Health • All relevant agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local, private and public • Interagency Communication (Health, Agriculture, Industry) • Info sharing and communication (all relevant agencies and international)
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated food safety system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk analysis from farm to table • Food regulation and Policy • Participation by stakeholders, including Government • Optimization of resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All relevant agencies • health and agriculture • All relevant agencies and stakeholders • All relevant agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to data and capacity analysed (all relevant agencies & international) • Interagency collaboration (all relevant agencies) • Multisector networking (all relevant agencies and stakeholders) • Sharing of lab and capacities (all relevant agencies)
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inspection and certification system • Certification of food safety assurance system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Domestic and imported foods • Certification of food safety assurance system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All relevant ministries • Food authorities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accessibility to data (all relevant agencies) • Interagency communication (local authorities)
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consumer awareness and information • Transparency • Interactive communication • among all partners 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All relevant agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coordination with media and stakeholders (all relevant agencies)
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capacity building 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training and education for all stakeholders, e.g. government, industry, consumers, laboratories 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All relevant agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information sharing and accessibility to data • Collaboration between all relevant agencies

Group 2: Agriculture

	Issues and Priority Areas	Approaches to Address Priorities	Departments/ Stakeholders & Their Roles	Coordination Needed
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable production and supply of safe food 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Empowering and education of farmers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Government primary production departments Regulation, education and promotion of NGOs, associations, retailers and conformity assessment bodies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inter and intra departments in primary production sector Collaboration with international organizations Cooperation among industry associations and stakeholders Links between farmers and departments
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small-scale producers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inclusion of small scale producers and empowering farmers and private sector in partnership to comply with standards and regulations Agriculture is characterized by small holdings and associated limitations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as above 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as above
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring and control of food safety policies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Food safety assessment system Enforcement policies and establish monitoring system in central, provincial and district levels 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as above Same as above 	
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop, improve and strengthen infrastructure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthen the necessary infrastructures, e.g. labs, certification bodies, inspections 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as above Same as above 	
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Market access 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improve marketing facilities, including information and credit schemes Incentives (including bank interest rate, certification facility) Promotion and international trade negotiation in various forums, trade mission etc. Partnership of farmer and processors, traders and exporters 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as above 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as above

Group 3: Trade and commerce

	Issues and Priority Areas	Approaches to Address Priorities	Departments/ Stakeholders & Their Roles	Coordination Needed
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Entire food chain – farm to fork – must be addressed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop clear mandates and road map for related agencies as well as between all levels of government and their departments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All related agencies and stakeholders, including unorganized and informal sectors, such as small farmers, retailers, micro-enterprises 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inter and intra departments in all sectors Collaboration with international organizations Cooperation among industry associations and stakeholders
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk-based legislation and inspection system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establish risk analysis technical working group (RA TWG) Strengthen RA TWG through close cooperation and coordination Build capacity of RA TWG to assess, manage and communicate risks, including during emergencies and crises 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scientists and experts from universities and research institutes All relevant agencies All relevant agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inter and intra departments in all sectors Collaboration with international organizations Cooperation among industry associations and stakeholders
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Move towards international standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Build capacity of all sectors in applying best practices, e.g. GAP, GHP, GMP, HACCP, GDP Strengthen quality control programs that support importance of standards Active networking with international bodies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All relevant agencies and stakeholders Laboratories, certification and accreditation bodies Relevant agencies with FAO, WHO, Codex and IPPC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collaboration between all partners
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Empower stakeholders on 5As (awareness, acceptance, action, advocacy and accountability) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthen ability of all stakeholders to participate in food safety system Identify all key players 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All agencies, industry and consumers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collaboration between all partners
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Building capacity of all stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthen human resources/competencies (government and private sectors) through education, training, and other means Secure funding to support capacity building and increase food prevention activities and inspections 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All agencies, and stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Secure support of highest levels of government Collaboration between all partners

Group 4: NGOs, academia and industry

	Issues and Priority Areas	Approaches to Address Priorities	Departments/ Stakeholders & Their Roles	Coordination Needed
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consumer protection 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognize consumer right to safe food supply Consumers responsible to eat responsibly and report violations, illness and seek appropriate care Laws to protect consumer rights Produce food safety information for consumers Educate and provide information to consumers to empower them to protect themselves Easy to read/understand food labels 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Government, industry, producers and consumers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collaboration between all stakeholders
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research and development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase capacity/numbers of risk assessors, microbiologists, laboratories, chemists, databases, national consumption data R&D should be more consumer/ social driven Government should invest in more R&D and knowledge translation of scientific findings to consumers Risk assessments must be independent, transparent and scientifically sound 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ministry of science, public health, agriculture 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collaboration between all stakeholders
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Links between stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Link farmers to consumers and other stakeholders Links between all departments and ministries Linkage to international bodies, e.g. FAO, WHO, Codex to mutually support each other Development of international alert system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Government, industry, consumers, international organizations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordination between all stakeholders
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public communication and education 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Education programmes for whole food chain Patient/doctor communication and records Create links between doctors and epidemiologists (health departments) Develop advocacy campaigns and incentives for small scale producers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include related ministries of consumer affairs, education, trade, public affairs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordination between all stakeholders
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Formulation of necessary guidelines 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop consumer-based food safety and nutrition guidelines Develop nutrition guideline for healthy eating Develop dietary guidelines reflecting country's culture and food preferences 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ministries of education, health, IT, consumer affairs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordination between all stakeholders

Working group session 4 – Responses by countries

Session 4 – Capacity development needs to support development and implementation of national food safety policies

Task

- Identify five priority areas.
- Identify the challenges to the development and implementation of each priority.
- What actions are needed to support development and implementation?
- Identify three areas required to support development and implementation.

1. Bangladesh

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Producers and processors, including farmers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient information and awareness • Insufficient skills • Inadequate links with regulatory bodies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide IEC by government and private sectors including civil society and consumer groups • Training producers and processors on certification programmes, e.g. GAP, GHP, GMP and HACCP • Government-developed meetings, workshops, etc. to exchange information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of IEC materials • Develop, update, customize guidelines
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Institutional capacity building, particularly regulatory bodies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate infrastructure • Insufficient human resources; number and competence • Inadequacies of procedures and protocols • Absence of networks, collaboration and referral services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop/strengthen infrastructure for labs, monitoring and surveillance • Placement of sufficient and skilled human resources • Develop/update procedures and protocols • Develop networks in and outside the country 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both internal and external supports needed
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data generation, management and communication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate reliable data • Inadequate capacity in data generation, management and communication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capacity development for data generation and management • Establishment and use of different forums and ICT 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both internal and external required
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate institutional capacity, human and financial resources • Lack resources for emergency management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengthen institutional capacity • Placement of skilled human resources • Provision of adequate and timely financial support 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both internal and external required
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consumer participation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consumer awareness on food safety and their rights • Inadequate communication with consumers • Inadequate response from consumers (complaints, etc.) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sensitization of consumers • Use of the media and ICT with information/data • Establish and strengthen mechanisms to respond to complaints and enquiries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both internal and external required

2. Bhutan

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decision on whether food safety is stand alone or integrated with other programmes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Buy-in of other sectors since draft policy is in advanced stages Requires additional resources – financial and human Limited knowledge on food safety issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review of draft FNSP Consultation with stakeholders Forward recommendations to NFAQSC Implement decisions of commission 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Food safety expert to review draft FNSP Support of relevant stakeholders New financial resources Technical assistance for developing companion policy and by-laws
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Promote a risk-based approach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack internal capacities Inadequate data and information Challenges in collecting and compiling data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capacity building on risk assessment Create mechanisms for information management and sharing Risk assessment training for stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical assistance to conduct risk assessments Training of local authorities Training of data managers, field staff and media personnel
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthening research capacity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequacies in infrastructure and research personnel Networking in and outside laboratories Dissemination of research results 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Upgrading of laboratory facilities Specialized training in applied research Links between researchers and media houses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funding for upgraded labs Training for lab personnel Regional workshops for information sharing and networking
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Institutional development in specialized fields 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthen BAFRA as secretariat to NFAQSC Convince potential entrepreneurs to establish processing units Overriding religious sentiments Limited awareness of production units and distribution on food handling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Promote food safety as national priority Engage religious groups in food safety Effectively monitor compliance with standards Train food handlers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical assistance to conduct cost benefit analysis of benefits/ importance of food safety Gain support of religious bodies on food safety issues
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information and communication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited information on food safety No communication strategy to promote food safety Resistance to change from established groups Gain support from commercial media houses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Create mechanisms for information generation and management Develop appropriate communication strategies Convince media to incorporate food safety messaging 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information management expert on food safety Financial resources to develop communications strategy on food safety Resources to disseminate food safety messaging

3. Cambodia

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Production of safe food 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Political commitment, lack of legislation Lack of expertise and budget funding Lack of infrastructure Lack of food quality assurance systems (GMP, GAP, HACCP, etc.) Risk-based approach Coordination issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Formulation of technical working groups Build consultation and consensus among stakeholders Development of road map/action plan Development of food safety policies Time frame under discussion Seek financial support 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> International and national expert consultants Financial support Effective implementation of food safety policies
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public health prevention of food-borne disease and outbreaks 			
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated and coordinated food safety system 			
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Compliance with SPS and international requirements 			
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capacity building for all key stakeholders 			

NOTE: Cambodia presented the challenges, actions and supports as a listing of considerations that could apply to all the priorities.

4. India

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whole chain approach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of ministries involved – lack of coordination 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Creation of a national platform for development, maintenance and oversight of National Food Safety Policy (NFSP) to be formed at high level Authorizing FSSAI to be the secretariat of NFSP Defined time frame for development Once developed, clearly define roles of departments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Internal: lab upgrades both equipment and manpower Upgrades of human resources responsible for inspections, including GMP, GHP and HACCP
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Define regulations for each sector and develop voluntary standards where regulation not practicable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Majority of industry and farmers have very small holdings and located in remote locations, which make regulation a challenge to enforce 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Human resources to assist micro industry Concepts, such as cluster approach, to reduce costs External: training in risk analyses, create pool of expertise Creation of certified reference materials providers(CRM) Establish accreditation programme for CRM producers in NABL Creation of proficiency testing providers in food sector

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sensitize stakeholders particularly political class and bureaucracy to importance of food safety 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None identified 	
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage and reward voluntary action where regulation not sufficient 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of trust in private sector 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None identified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incentives for those implementing good practices
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Empowering all stakeholders, including consumers and implementers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cultural and linguistic diversity Large population, spread far and wide Access an issue in empowerment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Underpin other policies, such as the National Nutrition Policy 	

5. Indonesia (identified only four priorities)

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Legislation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Political commitment Insufficient links between stakeholders Regulatory impact statement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory assessment to determine clear mandate and authorization 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application of good regulatory practices
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk-based approach in developing food safety policies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited activity on risk assessment, management and communication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Move from prescriptive legislation to risk-based approach focusing on prevention (GAP, GHP, GMP and HACCP) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Training for risk manager, assessor and communicator
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Food safety control management, including quality infrastructure, inspection, certification and accreditation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate coordination among stakeholders Limited quality infrastructure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Empowering National Integrated Food Safety Committee (NIFSC) Improving capacity of quality infrastructure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Political support to empower NIFSC Donor agency and technical assistance from international body (FAO, WHO)
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication, education and training 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited media for communication Limited support to address consumer concerns 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhanced Web-based communication Competency-based training programme to support implementation of food safety programs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public – private sector partnership (corporate social responsibility, etc.)

6. Japan

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effective implementation of risk analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk management and assessment • Promotion of regulatory research • Quality assurance of laboratories 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced training programme on analysis, biochemistry, microbiology, toxicology, chemistry and statistics • Establish and improve mid-term plan for surveillance and research programmes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased financial commitment
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effective implementation of risk analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk communication • Effective coordination between related ministries and agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improvement of communication methodologies • Improvement of communication tools, such as websites, newsletters, meetings • Enhancement of the Consumer Affairs Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capacity building of communicators • Increased financial commitment
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengthen cooperation and coordination between stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effective coordination between related ministries and agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information sharing and exchange of opinions • Encouragement of communication by stakeholders • Common understanding of roles responsibilities of stakeholders • Coordination for effective implementation – encouragement of corporate social responsibility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased financial commitment
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhancement of food chain approach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effective coordination between related ministries and agencies • Promotion of GAP, GMP and HACCP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop guidelines for each step of food chain • Strengthen incentives for operators, particularly SMEs • Promotion of regulatory research on mitigation and prevention measures • Review of regulations to ensure their appropriateness for all levels of the food chain 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased financial commitment
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First priority to protect consumer health 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced cooperation between food safety authorities and consumer authorities • Development and implementation of measures to prevent crises or accidents from occurring 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harmonization between mid-term programmes for food safety and consumer protection • Effective implementation of risk management with SOP • Implementation of appropriate actions in food safety emergencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased financial commitment

7. Republic of Korea

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk communication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low consumer confidence Non-scientific information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Press releases, SNS Various programmes Consumer participation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collaboration with stakeholders Customized strategy
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advent of unidentified hazard factors Borderless trade 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> National Food Safety Evaluation Institute 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk profile Coordination with international standards
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk management in primary production sector 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small-scale producers and businesses lack trust of government Contaminants in primary production 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capacity building guidelines and education Risk analysis of contaminants in primary production 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial and technical support Interagency cooperation
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthening quarantine and inspection of imported food 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Food supply is highly dependent on imports Low consumer confidence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safety assurance system in country of origin Strengthen importer's responsibilities Strengthen quarantine and inspection of imported foods 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interagency cooperation (MOU)
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enlarge scope of food safety policies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nutritional imbalance Increased interest in health 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dietary education Health claims 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data collection Interagency cooperation

8. Lao PDR

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Promotion of clean agricultural production 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequacy of human and financial resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Educate farmers, producers, operators regarding clean and safe methodologies Disseminate and increase knowledge about GAP, GMP and HACCP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> International support needed, including strengthening food, feed, additives analysis and capacities
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthen food safety management system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Difficulty in practical mechanisms for cooperation of inter-sector, national, regional and international 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Create/improve regulations and measures to assure food safety in food chain and protect consumer health and facilitate trade Clearly define respective roles and responsibilities of all agencies Establish criteria and monitoring systems for safety of raw materials limited tool and facilities for food control activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review of current food safety control system for improvement Develop appropriate manuals, guidelines, SOP in food safety control Develop monitoring and evaluation programme for food safety control Improve the inspection and certification system for food import export and registration Training, both overseas and on-the-job training Establish food laboratory with suitable facilities and equipment for food testing Vehicles to support food control activities, including the monitoring aspects

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capacity building, including human resources, labs and facilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weakness of capacities for food safety control Capacity of food inspectors is limited Lack of expertise in analytical and technical capacity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Training on food safety for regulators, inspectors and other sectors Develop food inspection tool kits Improve facilities for analysis, certification and registration to meet regional and international standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase the capabilities of farmers, producers and operators to implement GHP, GAP, GMP and HACCP Internal and external support for increasing food inspection and food analysis capacities Strengthening capacity for food contaminant testing Short- and long-term trainings and scholarship on food safety for food safety control staff
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk analysis and communication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient laboratory facilities and equipment Lack of national baseline data on food contaminants 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use risk analysis to link food hazards with human health Use of communication to foster scientific evaluation and increase stakeholder participation, transparency of process and consistent treatment of hazards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information sharing and study tours Establishing a data collection system Contaminants study on pesticides residues and salmonella for vegetables and meat
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information, education and public awareness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low awareness of producers and consumers about food-borne disease and contaminants 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disseminate information and promote production and consumption of safe, hygienic and nutritious food Establish consumer protection associations to improve food safety awareness and participation of consumers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review of existing food safety policies, strategies and action plans to integrate with regional and international standards Develop food safety posters or other means, such as food safety newsletters, for communicating food safety messages Develop public warning system for emergency cases on food safety

9. Malaysia (identified three priorities)

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication and capacity building Access to right information Linking to the source of information Sensitize the public Collaboration with relevant agencies, local authorities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multiple jurisdictions Human capacity and capability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear SOP More channels of communication Consumer-based approach to sensitize food safety issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development of best practices

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Food safety assurance for imported and local food products • Visible and identifiable marks and logos • Labelling minimum requirements, especially for cottage industries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enforcement • SMEs (traceability and food safety a low priority) • Lack of effort from industry to come forward 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase surveillance and monitoring • More outreach, spread over all levels, e.g. communities, local, district and state 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interagency and multi-stakeholder coordination and support (financial and human resources) • Top-down approach (programme and finance)
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analytical and technical capacity and facilities • New technologies • Sophisticated consumer demands • New approaches to food safety 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of expertise • Lack of efficient, well-equipped facilities • Baseline data on consumer demands 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Periodic surveys, assessment and monitoring 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific budgetary allocations • External expertise • Academic institutions

10. Mongolia

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Food safety assurance throughout the food chain (farm to table) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction of process approach • Development of standards • Strengthen accreditation systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulation framework for obligatory GAP, GMP, GHP and voluntary HACCP ISO 9001, etc. • Government incentives for implementation of food safety systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase commitment and participation from private sector • Funding projects to implement control systems for high-risk products, e.g. eggs, dairy, baby food
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk-based approach in food control system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infrastructure of the food control system • Capacity of food inspectors • Capacity of national reference laboratory • Implementation of risk analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restructuring of inspection agency, clarify mandates • Create network of labs • Strengthen national capacity for risk analysis • Enforcement of research supporting food safety 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Technical assistance from FAO and WHO • International cooperation on risk analysis • Human resources and training • Improve lab capacities
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Food safety information database and access 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No link between labs and capacity development for food analyses • Communication and surveillance data for food-borne diseases 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create national database on food safety system • Improve cooperation between agencies • Identify food safety indicators and • Provide accurate and appropriate information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement IT projects and share information • Improve participation in risk assessment communication • Emergency preparedness support

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support small-scale farmers and primary production 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Infrastructure Climate Finance Bureaucracy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improve capacity for food safety management system resource Implement actions for new food safety law enforcement Increase transparency of government agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Food safety law advocacy Implement projects for improving food safety capacity for small-scale farmers and herders Increase government budget for supporting incentives for food safety initiatives
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Food safety training and education (capacity building) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognition of lack of food safety and sanitation knowledge Prioritizing protection of consumer health Consumer confidence in the food safety system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Standardized knowledge Targeted training Awareness of food label requirements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> International and regional IEC projects Supporting community projects to improve food safety education Include food safety as subject of study in school programme

11. Philippines

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy clarification on structure of organization and roles/responsibilities of all agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Passing Bill in parliament not easy Balancing industry needs No policy on fiscal autonomy to assist government to sustain work 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Championship of legislators and executive branch Engagement of other stakeholders, e.g. local government Application of international health regulations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Post passage of Bill, need collaboration to implement rules and regulations External expertise required for the above
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Laboratory capacity building 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Physical infrastructure and human resources proficiency needs SMEs may not be able to pay user or certification fees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rationalization of human and financial resources to operate and manage instruments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Internal: funding from Government User fees to support costs External: funding for food safety labs in the country
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capacity building on risk assessment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordinating data collection, sharing and analysis across agencies How to use information technology and knowledge management for the above 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordinated training and protocol creation and development Create research agenda across all agencies and other stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Internal funding Horizontal manager to manage process External: technical assistance and expertise needed

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Formulation of safety standards and enforcement capacity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Quality and quantity of personnel currently inadequate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Training of government officers to formulate standards and enforce the rules ● Delineation of functions between standard setting and implementing groups 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Internal and external funding for development of training materials, application and use
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Food assurance safety system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Industry mandate and stewardship ● Government validates compliance with GMP ● Participation of other stakeholders (industry and consumers) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Creation of platform to engage, train, communicate and assist in compliance ● Development of food safety culture in basic and undergraduate education ● Consumer education programmes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Joint funding undertaking ● Training and advocacy materials ● Technical assistance for stakeholder adoption of best practices

12. Thailand

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Coordination among ministries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Jurisdictional authority ● Import/export control: gaps, overlaps and responsibilities unclear 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Reconstruction of food authority 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Government support ● Expert advice from various agencies
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Education, training and consultation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Multiple stakeholders along the food chain ● Consumer rights 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Education programme for primary and secondary education in Ministry of Education ● Other stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Government support ● Expert advice from various agencies
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Food safety control system at provincial and local levels ● Food safety and assurance system in primary production (strengthen GAP, GMP, primary processing) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Develop mandatory standards appropriate to small producers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Reconstruction of food authority ● Coordination among stakeholders ● Guidelines and SOP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Food safety emergency response ● Government support

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk assessment, management and communication among all agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capacity building: assessors, technology independent agency separate from risk management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal: government support on establishment of risk assessment agency • External: capacity building of risk assessment personnel • Expert advice
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk assessment, management and communication among all agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capacity building: assessors, technology independent agency separate from risk management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal: government support on establishment of risk assessment agency • External: capacity building of risk assessment personnel • Expert advice on risk assessment technology
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National information and data system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Epidemiology, outbreaks, toxicology, consumption data, surveillance over physical, biological, chemical and toxins • Imported and exported foods • Food production data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop system for data networking centre 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal: government support • External: information technology from other countries and FAO

13. Viet Nam

	Priority Areas	Challenges to Development and Implementation	Actions Needed	Supports Needed (internal and external)
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Legislation system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harmonize to international regulation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk assessment • Update to international regulation • Set up new regulations • Review regulations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participate in international events (FAO, WHO, SPS) • Sharing international experience • International technical support
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengthen food safety management system capacity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality human resource • Lack of inspectors • Cooperation mechanism 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Set up guidelines • Training courses/workshops • Review status of cooperation activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • International: technical support, training courses, study tours
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surveillance system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data and information exchange • Laboratory capacity • Lack of inspectors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National monitoring programme • Enhance lab capacity and equip • Application of GAP, GMP, etc. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government budget • Training • International experience
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Food value chain control 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of connection among producers, distributors and consumers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vertical and horizontal integration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Set up cooperatives • International experience • Training
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced participation of associations and private sector 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of participation of associations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Set up cooperative mechanisms • Access to information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget • Training

