



**JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME**  
**CODEx COMMITTEE ON METHODS OF ANALYSIS AND SAMPLING**

**41<sup>st</sup> Session**  
**Virtual**  
**17 – 21 and 25 May 2021**

**REVIEW OF METHODS OF ANALYSIS IN CXS234:**

**DAIRY WORKABLE PACKAGE**

*(Prepared by the EWG led by the United States of America, co-chaired by New Zealand)*

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. At its 38th session, CCMAS (CCMAS38) agreed to continue efforts on the workable packages for the review and update of CODEX STAN 234-1999 (CX S 234-1999) as described in CX/MAS 17/38/6. The Committee also agreed to pilot this effort through an update of all methods related to milk and milk products with the assistance of IDF, ISO and AOAC (REP 17/MAS, §58-59).
2. At CCMAS39, IDF presented to the Physical Working Group (PWG) on Endorsement and to the Committee the outcome of the AOAC, IDF, ISO review. The review identified several potential issues with CX S 234, such as, methods listed even when no provision existed in the commodity standard, methods which had not been validated on the matrix listed, and numerous formatting (editorial) inconsistencies and errors.
3. CCMAS noted that the PWG had begun the review of the dairy group workable package and in this review several questions had been raised about the applicability of some methods and about previous endorsement and typing decisions, amongst others, but that no agreements were reached. Further consideration should be given to these questions as well as the need to clarify terminology.
4. CCMAS further noted that the dairy group workable package required further review in order to provide proposals for consideration by the PWG on endorsement of methods and CCMAS40. However, a significant proportion of the methods identified in this package required no further correction or clarification and editorial corrections to some other methods could be addressed by the Codex Secretariat.
5. At CCMAS40, the report of the EWG on the Review of Dairy Methods, including review of the methods, was discussed and the recommendations reviewed during the Physical Working Group (PWG) on Method Endorsement. Based on those discussions, the PWG in its report to the Committee (CCCMAS40 CRD) made recommendations to the Committee and highlighted areas where consensus could not be reached. The Committee further discussed the PWG report, reached consensus on a number of items and identified the need for further elaboration on others (REP 19/MAS, §16-22).
6. CCMAS agreed to re-establish an electronic working group (EWG) chaired by USA and co-chaired by New Zealand working in English to continue with the review of the dairy group workable package (REP MAS/19, §28).
7. A final report of the EWG (CX/MAS 20/41/4) was prepared in March 2020, included specific questions and items for consideration (para 22 – 28).
8. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, CCMAS41 was postponed from May 2020 to May 2021 and in view of the additional time at the disposal of the Committee, a Circular Letter (CL 2020/29/OCS-MAS) was issued, requesting comments of CX/MAS 20/41/4) and the EWG was extended to capture further discussion., Comments received to the CL, were compiled in CX/MAS 20/41/4 Add.1.
9. This report is based on the responses to the CL and EWFG forum comments



## EWG PROCESS

10. The electronic working group was initiated and operated through the on-line Codex forum. Any participants requesting access were granted access to the Dairy Group. There were some technical issues, but any comments that were received via email were posted by the EWG Chair, to allow full transparency to the comments.

11. The EWG was established to review and elaborate on any outstanding items from CCMAS40, and to review the list of methods that had not yet undergone review. Due to the limited number of methods that had not been previously reviewed, there was no need to distribute the initial reviews to EWG participants. Therefore, the Method Review sheets, which had been developed during the previous EWG, were used to capture an initial review of the methods and shared with EWG participants for their review and comments.

12. The outstanding questions from CCMAS40, associated with methods or small groups of methods, were divided into groups with the specific question and EWG participants were asked to comment or provide additional information. For each of the groups EWG Participants were asked to:

- a. consider if the commodity “Milk Products” should remain in CXS 234 or could be removed (Group 1);
- b. recommend new methods for these Commodity/Provisions or develop numeric criteria (Group 2);
- c. recommend the retention of ISO 5537 | IDF 26 (Dried milk — Determination of moisture content) in CXS 234 or recommend an alternative method (Group 3);
- d. recommend the revocation of AOAC 965.33 (Peroxide Value of Oils and Fats) and/or ISO 3976 | IDF 74 (Milk fat — Determination of peroxide value) (Group 4);
- e. recommend changes to the listing or revocation of ISO 20128 | IDF 192 (Milk products – Enumeration of presumptive *Lactobacillus acidophilus* on a selective medium – Colony-count technique at 37 °C) (Group5);
- f. recommend retention or replacement of ISO 17678|IDF 202 (Milk and milk products – Determination of milk fat purity by gas chromatographic analysis of triglycerides) (Group 6).

## BACKGROUND AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Appendix 1 captures, where necessary, the changes captured in the text for each GROUP.

#### GROUP 1:

##### *Removal of the commodity Milk Products*

13. Upon further review it became clear that the information captured in the commodity “milk products” is also captured in the more specific commodity listings (e.g. edible casein). Members verified that the removal of “milk products” would lead to the loss of information from CXS 234.
14. Based on this information there was general, but not unanimous, agreement the EWG recommend removal of the commodity “milk products” from CXS 234. Table G1.1 in Appendix I, illustrates the recommended changes to CXS 234.

#### GROUP 2:

##### *Numeric Criteria in place of Methods for Iron, Copper and Lead*

15. EWG participants provided recommended methods and numeric criteria for copper and iron in Milkfat Products (CXS 280-1973) and Edible Casein Products (CXS 290-1995). Initially there were no new methods or numeric criteria proposed for lead in Butter (CXS 279-1971), Edible Casein Products (CXS 290-1995), or Whey Powders (CXS 289-1995). However, feedback during the virtual session showed support for the establishment of numeric criteria for lead.
16. There was general, but not unanimous, agreement the EWG recommend numeric criteria be used for iron and copper Milkfat Products (CXS 280-1973) and Edible Casein Products (CXS 290-1995). The proposed numeric criteria, based on the MLs are listed in Tables G2.1 and G2.2 Appendix I. After the virtual session there was also general support for the development of numeric criteria for lead in Butter (CXS 279-1971), Edible Casein Products (CXS 290-1995), and Whey Powders (CXS 289-1995). However, the specific numeric values were never reviewed by the EWG. Numeric criteria were developed and are listed in Table G2.3 Appendix I. There are not MLs for lead listed in the Butter, Edible Casein Products or Whey Powder

Standards. Therefore, the secondary milk products ML in the General Standard for Contaminants and Toxins in Food and Feed (CXS 193-1995) was used to calculate these criteria.

17. Additionally, there was a proposal to change the commodity names to align with the names in General Standard for Contaminants and Toxins in Food and Feed (CXS 193-1995). However, there was little discussion of this proposal and no recommendation from the EWG.

GROUP 3:

*ISO 5537 | IDF 26 (Dried milk — Determination of moisture content)*

18. EWG participants could not reach consensus on method ISO 5537 | IDF 26. Validation data on an alternate method was submitted (CX/MAS 20/14/4 add 1), but a discussion of the new method or comparison of the two methods never occurred. The EWG did encourage the use of the Method Submission Template (INF CCMAS END) to capture all the relevant information and allow the Committee to make a full assessment of the method. Based on the lack of consensus and discussion, no recommendations regarding changes to CXS 234, with respect to ISO 5537 | IDF 26 were made by the EWG (Table G3.1 Appendix I).
19. The EWG did reach consensus on moisture instead of water is a more accurate provision and would recommend replacement if the commodity standard could also be changed or if a footnote could be added to note the discrepancy.

GROUP 4:

*AOAC 965.33 Peroxide Value of Oils and Fats*

*ISO 3976 | IDF 74 (Milk fat — Determination of peroxide value)*

20. The EWG reviewed a comparison of the methods and the recommendation of the Standard Developing Organization (AOAC International) as well as the Information Document: Guidance on Process for Submission, Consideration and Endorsement of Methods. AOAC has recommended removal of AOAC 965.33.
21. There was general, but not unanimous, agreement the EWG recommend retaining ISO 3976 | IDF 74 as a Type I method and AOAC 965.33 be revoked (Table G4.1 Appendix I)

GROUP 5

*ISO 27205 | IDF 149 Fermented milk products – Bacterial starter cultures – Standard of identity*

22. The EWG could not come to a final specific recommendation on the best way forward in addressing the discrepancy between of the provision listed in CXS 234 (Microorganisms constituting the starter culture), the Provision listed in the commodity standard (CXS 243-2003) and the scope of ISO 27205 | IDF 149. However, there was general consensus that revocation of the method is not a suitable solution.

GROUP 6

*ISO 17678|IDF 202 Milk and milk products – Determination of milk fat purity by gas chromatographic analysis of triglycerides*

23. The EWG reviewed the limitations of ISO 17678 | IDF 202 and noted that these limitations are stated in the method scope and that they mainly refer to the limitations in validation on cow's milk. Therefore, other species milk are not included in the method applicability. The EWG also noted that there were no other validated methods available to replace this method.
24. There was general, but not unanimous agreement, the EWG recommend retention of ISO 17678 | IDF 202 (Table 6.1 Appendix I).

Methods Not Previously Reviewed:

25. The EWG reached consensus on many of the methods that had not been previously reviewed (Appendix II). There was an outstanding question that should be addressed at the WG and the Committee prior to endorsement.

- a. Total Acidity in Fermented Milks (ISO/TS 11869 | IDF/RM 150): Should this be Type I, because of a conversion factor in the method?

**Items for further consideration:**

26. Comment on the changing of the commodity name in CXS 234 to align with the commodity name in CXS 193, when the provision is listed in CXS 193 but not the commodity standard. (Appendix I: Table G3.1)
27. Review the numeric criteria listed for lead and determine if these are appropriate and recommend retention or replacement of the methods with the numeric criteria. (Appendix I: Table G2.3)
28. Consider if “moisture” should replace “water” as the provision in CXS 234 and how changes to the commodity standard could be made. (Appendix I: Table G3.1)
29. Consider suggestions for changes to the provision in CXS 234 to better align with the provision of CXS 243 and the scope of ISO 27205 | IDF 149.

**Recommendations**

30. The Committee is invited to:
  - consider the proposals in Appendices I and II and endorse the proposed changes to CXS 234.
  - consider whether the method for total acidity in fermented milks (Methods not Previously Reviewed” and Appendix II), should be endorsed as a Type I. (see paragraph 25)
  - consider “Items for Further Consideration” and provide guidance on the points raised. (see paragraph 26 – 29).

## APPENDIX I

## GROUP 1 TABLE G1.1: Removal of Milk Products

Commodity	Provision	Method	Principle	Type
<b>Milk and Milk Products</b>				
Milk products	Iron	ISO 6732   IDF 103	Photometry (bathophenanthroline)	IV
Milk products (products not completely soluble in ammonia)	Milkfat	ISO 8262-3   IDF 124-3	Gravimetry (Weibull-Berntrop)	↓
Milkfat Products	Milk fat	ISO 17189   IDF 194	Gravimetry (Direct Determination of fat using solvent extraction)	↓
Milk products	Iron	NMKL 139 AOAC 999.11 (Codex general method)	Atomic absorption spectrophotometry	II
Milk products	Iron	AOAC 984.27	Inductively Coupled Plasma optical emission spectrophotometry	III

## GROUP 2

## TABLE G2.1: Criteria applicable to the Standard for Milkfat Products CXS 280

Provision	ML (mg/kg)	LOD (mg/kg)	LOQ (mg/kg)	RSDR (%)	Recovery	Minimum Applicable Range	
						Minimum	Maximum
Copper	0,05	0,010	0,020	44,0	60-115%	0,028	0,072
Iron	0,2	0,020	0,040	40,8	80-110%	0,08	0,32

## TABLE G2.2: Criteria applicable to Standard for Edible Casein Products

Provision	ML (mg/kg)	LOD (mg/kg)	LOQ (mg/kg)	RSDR (%)	Recovery	Minimum Applicable Range	
						Minimum	Maximum
Copper	5	0,500	1,000	25,1	80-110%	3,12	6,88
Iron	20	2,000	4,000	20,4	80-110%	13,89	26,11
Iron (in roller dried caseinates)	50	5,000	10,000	17,8	90-107%	36,68	63,32

**TABLE G2.3: Numeric criteria for lead in Butter, Edible Casein Products and Whey Powders.**

Provision	ML (mg/kg)	LOD (mg/kg)	LOQ (mg/kg)	RSDR (%)	Recovery	Minimum Applicable Range	
						Minimum	Maximum
Lead	0,02	0,004	0,008	≤ 44	60-115%	≤ 0,011	≥ 0,029

**GROUP 3****TABLE G3.1: Current CXS 234 listings**

Commodity	Provision	Method	Principle	Type
Blend of skimmed milk and vegetable fat in powdered form	<del>Water</del> <sup>1</sup> Moisture	ISO 5537   IDF 26	Gravimetry, drying at 87 °C	I
Reduced fat blend of skimmed milk powder and vegetable fat in powdered form	<del>Water</del> <sup>1</sup> <u>Moisture</u> <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>	ISO 5537   IDF 26	Gravimetry, drying at 87 °C	I
Dairy permeate powders	Moisture <sup>2</sup>	ISO5537  IDF26	Gravimetry, drying at 87°C	I
Milk powders and cream powders	<del>Water</del> <sup>2</sup> Moisture	ISO 5537   IDF 26	Gravimetry, drying at 87°C	I
Whey powders	<del>Water</del> <sup>2</sup> Moisture	ISO 5537   IDF 26	Gravimetry, drying at 87°C	I

**GROUP 4****TABLE G4.1**

Commodity	Provision	Method	Principle	Type
Milkfat Products (anhydrous milkfat)	Peroxide value (expressed as meq. of oxygen/kg fat)	ISO 3976   IDF 74	Photometry	I
<del>Milkfat products (anhydrous milkfat)</del>	<del>Peroxide value</del>	<del>AOAC 965.33</del>	<del>Titrimetry</del>	<del>I</del>

<sup>1</sup> Water content excluding the crystallized water bound to lactose (generally known as “moisture content”)

<sup>2</sup> Moisture content excluding the water of crystallization of lactose

## APPENDIX II

## METHODS NOT PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED

The edits are captured using the following format Underline = Insertions, ~~Strike Through~~ = Deletion, **Bold** = Question about method. Footnotes are included in a number of spots to point out either footnote that will need to be included in CXS 234 or a comment/further action.

Commodity	Provision	Method	Principle	Type
<del>Milk and Milk Products</del>	<del>Melamine</del>	<del>ISO/TS 15495   IDF/RM 230</del>	<del>LC-MS/MS</del>	<del>IV</del>
<u>Milk and Milk Products</u>	<u>Melamine</u>	<u>ISO/DIS 23970 IDF 252</u>	<u>LC-MS/MS</u>	<u>II</u>
Butter	Milkfat	ISO 17189   IDF 194	Gravimetry Direct determination of fat using solvent extraction	I
Butter	Salt	ISO 15648   IDF 179	Potentiometry (determination of chloride, expressed as sodium chloride)	II
Butter	Water <sup>3</sup>	ISO 3727-1   IDF 80-1	Gravimetry	I
Dairy fat spreads	Total fat	ISO 17189   IDF 194	Gravimetry Direct determination of fat using solvent extraction	I
Dairy permeate powders	Ash	NMKL 173	Gravimetry (ashing at 550 °C)	IV
Edible casein products	<del>Acids, free</del> <u>Maximum free acidity<sup>4</sup></u>	ISO 5547   IDF 91	Titrimetry (aqueous extract)	<del>IV</del> I
Edible casein products	Lactose	ISO 5548   IDF 106	Photometry (phenol and H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> )	IV
Edible casein products	<del>Milkfat</del> <u>Total Fat</u>	ISO 5543   IDF 127	Gravimetry (Schmid-Bondzynski-Ratslaff)	I
Edible casein products	pH	ISO 5546   IDF 115	Electrometry	<del>IV</del> II
Emmental	Calcium ≥ 800mg/100g	ISO 8070   IDF 119	Flame atomic absorption	<del>IV</del> III
<u>Emmental</u>	<u>Calcium</u> <u>≥ 800mg/100g</u>	<u>AOAC 2015.06 / ISO 21424   IDF 243</u>	<u>ICP mass spectrometry</u>	<u>II</u>
<u>Emmental</u>	<u>Calcium</u> <u>≥ 800mg/100g</u>	<u>AOAC 2011.14 / ISO 15151   IDF 229</u>	<u>ICP emission spectroscopy</u>	<u>III</u>

<sup>3</sup> Water content excluding the crystallized water bound to lactose (generally known as “moisture content”)

<sup>4</sup> suggest an editorial amendment in the description of the provision in STAN 290: to change ‘maximum free acid’ to ‘maximum free acidity’

Fermented milks	Dry matter (total solids) <sup>5</sup>	ISO 13580   IDF 151	Gravimetry (drying at 102 °C)	I
<b>Fermented milks</b>	<b>Total acidity expressed as percentage of lactic acid</b>	<b>ISO/TS 11869   IDF/RM 150</b>	<b>Potentiometry, titration to pH 8.30</b>	<b>I II</b>
Fermented milks	Microorganisms constituting the starter culture	ISO 27205   IDF 149 (Annex A)	Colony count at 25 °C, 30 °C, 37 °C and 45 °C according to the starter organism in question	IV
Milk powders and cream powders	Scorched particles	ISO 5739   IDF 107	Visual comparison with standard disks, after filtration	IV
<u>Milk powders and cream powders</u>	<u>Scorched particles</u>	<u>ADPI Scorched Particles, 2016</u>	<u>Visual comparison with standard disks, after filtration</u>	<u>IV</u>
Milk powders and cream powders	Solubility Index	ISO 8156   IDF 129	Centrifugation	I
<u>Whey cheeses by concentration (carbohydrate contents below 5%)</u>	<u>Milk fat</u> <u>Total Fat</u>	ISO 1854   IDF 59	Gravimetry (Röse Gottlieb)	I
<u>Whey cheeses by concentration (does not dissolve completely in the ammonia, contains FFA in significant quantities or carbohydrate content &gt;5%)</u>	<u>Total Fat</u>	<u>ISO 8262-3   IDF 124-3</u>	<u>Gravimetry (Weibull-Berntrop)</u>	<u>I</u>
<u>Whey cheeses by concentration (for carbohydrate content under 5%)</u>	<u>Milk fat in dry matter</u> <u>Total fat in dry matter</u>	ISO 1854   IDF 59 and ISO 2920   IDF 58	Calculation from fat content and dry matter content Gravimetry (Röse Gottlieb) Gravimetry, drying at 88 C	I
<u>Whey cheeses by concentration (does not dissolve completely in the ammonia, contains FFA in significant quantities or, carbohydrate content &gt;5%)</u>	<u>Total fat in dry matter</u>	<u>ISO 8262-3   IDF 124-3 and</u> <u>ISO 2920   IDF 58</u>	<u>Calculation from fat content and dry matter content</u> <u>Gravimetry (Weibull-Berntrop)</u> <u>Gravimetry, drying at 88 C</u>	<u>I</u>
<u>Whey powders</u>	<u>Moisture, "Free"</u>	<u>ISO 2920   IDF 58</u>	<u>Gravimetry (drying at 88°C ±2°C)</u>	<u>IV</u>

<sup>5</sup> Milk total solids and Milk solids-not-fat (MSNF) content include water of crystallization of lactose

## APPENDIX III

## LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

<b>Participant</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Email</b>
Richard Coghlan	Australia	<a href="mailto:richard.coghlan@measurement.gov.au">richard.coghlan@measurement.gov.au</a>
Ligia Lindner Schreiner	Brazil	<a href="mailto:ligia.schreiner@anvisa.gov.br">ligia.schreiner@anvisa.gov.br</a>
Carolina Araújo Viera	Brazil	<a href="mailto:carolina.viera@anvisa.gov.br">carolina.viera@anvisa.gov.br</a>
Ana Claudia Marquim Firmo de Araújo	Brazil	<a href="mailto:ana.firmo@anvisa.gov.br">ana.firmo@anvisa.gov.br</a>
Dr. Thea Rawn	Canada	<a href="mailto:thea.rawn@canada.ca">thea.rawn@canada.ca</a>
Mrs. Marcela Torres	Chile	<a href="mailto:marcelatorres@eurofins.com">marcelatorres@eurofins.com</a>
Karla Rojas Arrieta	Costa Rica	<a href="mailto:krojas@senasa.go.cr">krojas@senasa.go.cr</a>
Melina Flores Rodríguez	Costa Rica	<a href="mailto:mflores@meic.go.cr">mflores@meic.go.cr</a>
Darija Vratarić	Croatia	<a href="mailto:darija.vrataric@mps.hr">darija.vrataric@mps.hr</a>
Mr Franz Ulberth	European Union	<a href="mailto:franz.ulberth@ec.europa.eu">franz.ulberth@ec.europa.eu</a>
Mr. Prof. Dr. H. Frister	Germany	<a href="mailto:hermann.frister@hs-hannover.de">hermann.frister@hs-hannover.de</a>
Hucker Attila	Hungary	<a href="mailto:ahucker@mtki.hu">ahucker@mtki.hu</a>
Császár Gábor	Hungary	<a href="mailto:gcsaszar@mtki.hu">gcsaszar@mtki.hu</a>
Kurucz Csilla	Hungary	<a href="mailto:cs.kurucz@mszt.hu">cs.kurucz@mszt.hu</a>
Frányó Krisztina	Hungary	<a href="mailto:franyok@nebih.gov.hu">franyok@nebih.gov.hu</a>
Srilekha V Kumar	India	<a href="mailto:Srilekha.kumar@itc.in">Srilekha.kumar@itc.in</a>
Dr. Rajesh R Nair	India	<a href="mailto:rajeshnair@nddb.coop">rajeshnair@nddb.coop</a>
Dr. Anoop A Krishnan	India	<a href="mailto:eia-kochilab@eicindia.gov.in">eia-kochilab@eicindia.gov.in</a>
Mr. Hemant S. Kulkarni	India	<a href="mailto:statehealthlab@gmail.com">statehealthlab@gmail.com</a>
Tania Daniela Fosado Soriano	Mexico	<a href="mailto:tania.fosado@economia.gob.mx">tania.fosado@economia.gob.mx</a>
Susan Morris	New Zealand	<a href="mailto:Susan.Morris@mpi.govt.nz">Susan.Morris@mpi.govt.nz</a>
Mr Ozigi Abdulsalam Akande	Nigeria	<a href="mailto:ozigis.a@nafdac.gov.ng">ozigis.a@nafdac.gov.ng</a>
Charles Nwagbara	Nigeria	<a href="mailto:charles_nwagbara@yahoo.com">charles_nwagbara@yahoo.com</a>
Gloria Atala Castillo Vargas	Peru	<a href="mailto:gcastillo@inacal.gob.pe">gcastillo@inacal.gob.pe</a>
Juan Carlos Huiza Trujillo	Peru	<a href="mailto:codex@minsa.gob.pe">codex@minsa.gob.pe</a>
Sang Hyeon Yoon	Republic of Korea	<a href="mailto:yoons@korea.kr">yoons@korea.kr</a>
Min Yoo	Republic of Korea	<a href="mailto:codexkorea@korea.kr">codexkorea@korea.kr</a>
Ms. Yveta Vojsová	Slovak Republic	<a href="mailto:yvojsova@svuba.sk">yvojsova@svuba.sk</a>
Ms Chanchai Jaengsaewang	Thailand	<a href="mailto:chan48@ymail.com">chan48@ymail.com</a>
Ms Rungrassamee Mahakhaphong	Thailand	<a href="mailto:mahakhaphong@gmail.com">mahakhaphong@gmail.com</a>
Laura Flores	Uruguay	<a href="mailto:lflores@latu.org.uy">lflores@latu.org.uy</a>
<b>Participant</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Email</b>
Aurelie Dubois	IDF	<a href="mailto:adubois@fil-idf.org">adubois@fil-idf.org</a>
Nina Skall Nielsen	NMKL	<a href="mailto:nmkl@food.dtu.dk">nmkl@food.dtu.dk</a>