CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION





Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - Fax: (+39) 06 5705 4593 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org

Agenda Item 3

CX/PR 15/47/2 March 2015

JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME CODEX COMMITTEE ON PESTICIDE RESIDUES

47th Session Beijing, P.R. China, 13 - 18 April 2015

MATTERS REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE BY THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION AND OTHER SUBSIDIARY BODIES

MATTERS ARISING FROM THE 37TH SESSION OF THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION

Matters for Information

Amendments to the Procedural Manual

1. The Commission adopted the revised Risk Analysis Principles applied by the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues for inclusion in the Procedural Manual.¹

Adoption of maximum residue limits for pesticides at Steps 8 and 5/8

Maximum Residue Limits for Pesticides

2. The Commission adopted proposed draft and draft MRLs for pesticides at Steps 8 and 5/8.2

Consequential amendments to the maximum residue limits for "citrus fruits" and "lemons and limes" following the revision of the Classification of Food and Feed as per the fruit commodity groups (inclusion of kumquats under the citrus fruits group)

3. The Commission adopted the consequential amendments to the MRLs for "citrus fruits" and "lemons and limes."

Adoption of standards and related texts at Step 5

4. The Commission adopted the proposed draft revision to the *Classification of Food and Feed* (pulses) at Step 5.⁴

Revocation of maximum residue limits for pesticides

5. The Commission revoked a number of Codex MRLs for pesticide/commodity combinations following the revision of MRLs for pesticides.⁵

Approval of new work

6. The Commission approved the priority list of pesticides scheduled for evaluation and re-evaluation by the FAO/WHO Joint Meetings on Pesticide Residues (JMPR).

Guidance on Information Documents

7. The Commission agreed to forward guidance on information documents to all Committees as recommended by 28th Session of the Committee on General Principles (April 2014). The guidance on information documents is attached as Appendix I.⁷

Matters for Action

Codex Strategic Plan 2014 - 2019

8. The 36th Session of the Commission (July 2013) adopted Strategic Plan 2014-2019.

E

¹ REP14/CAC, paras 45-46, Appendix II

² REP14/CAC, para 86, Appendices II and III

³ REP14/CAC, paras 87 - 89 and Appendix III

⁴ REP14/CAC, Appendix IV

⁵ REP14/CAC, Appendix V

⁶ REP14/CAC, Appendix VI

⁷ REP14/CAC, para105 and REP14/GP, para 86

9. The 37th Session of the Commission (July 2014) endorsed the conclusions and recommendations of the 69th Session of the Executive Committee (July 2014) to establish a monitoring framework for the implementation of the Strategic Plan including mechanisms for systematic data collection (e.g. templates) to make assessing information from multiple committees easier where these were the main providers of monitoring information.⁸

10. In order to monitor the implementation of the Strategic Plan, the Committee is invited to provide replies to the activities of relevance as indicated in the provisional template attached as Appendix II.

MATTERS ARISING FROM SUBSIDIARY BODIES OF THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION

Matters for Information

36th Session of the Committee on Methods of Analysis and Sampling

Annex on practical examples on sampling (Principles for the use of sampling and testing in international trade (CAC/GL 83-2013))

- 11. In regard to the work of the Committee on Methods of Analysis and Sampling on the development of practical examples on sampling plans related to, amongst others, pesticide residues as an Annex to the *Principles for the use of sampling and testing in international trade*, the 46th Session of the Committee on Pesticide Residues (May 2014) had noted that work on sampling plans and methods of analysis for the determination of pesticide residues in food and feed was within the remit of CCPR. Therefore, the Committee agreed to request CCMAS to clarify the nature of this work and the potential implications for CCPR.
- 12. In considering practical examples, the 36th Session of CCMAS (February 2015) agreed to inform other Codex committees that the practical examples would not interfere with sampling and testing procedures developed by other committees, but show how samples taken according to the procedures developed by those committees could be used for the decision making process.¹⁰

29th Session of the Committee on General Principles

Consistency of risk analysis texts

13. The 29th Session of CCGP (March 2015) agreed not to discuss the consistency of the risk analysis texts across the relevant Committees and agreed to consider this item at its next session based on a document that is to be prepared by the Codex Secretariat.¹¹

¹⁰ REP15/MAS, para 82

REP13/CAC, para177, Appendix IX; REP14/CAC, para 119; and REP14/EXEC, para 42.

⁹ REP14/PR, para. 10

¹¹ REP15/GP, para 12

Appendix I

Guidance on information documents

- i. It is recognised that there is the occasional need for Codex committees to make available information documents, however Codex committees should not deliberately develop such documents and these documents should be by-products of ongoing work of the Committee.
- ii. Documents are considered to be information documents if they:
 - have been developed and agreed upon by a Codex committee;
 - have been determined by the Committee to contain information that is useful to national governments and/or Codex members and observers and Codex committees; and
 - are not considered appropriate by the Committee to be adopted as Codex standards, guidelines
 or codes of practice or as recommendations for inclusion in the Procedural Manual.

iii. Information documents will be made available on the Codex website of the relevant committee, clearly separated from official Codex documents and adopted texts.

Appendix II

2014-2019 Strategic Plan Activities for which "all committees" are responsible

Strategic Goal	Objective	Activity	Expected Outcome	Measurable Indicators/Outputs
1: Establish international food standards that address current and emerging food issues.	1.1: Establish new and review existing Codex standards, based on priorities of the CAC	1.1.1: Consistently apply decision-making and priority-setting criteria across Committees to ensure that the standards and work areas of highest priority are progressed in a timely manner.	New or updated standards are developed in a timely manner	- Priority setting criteria are reviewed revised as required and applied. - # of standards revised and # of new standards developed based on these criteria.
Question to the Comn	nittee:		L	
s this activity relevant to	o the work of the Comm	nittee? YES/NO.		
Does the Committee us criteria	e any specific criteria fo	or standards development?	Does the Committee into	end to develop such
	1.2: Proactively identify emerging issues and Member needs and, where appropriate, develop relevant food standards.	1.2.1: Develop a systematic approach to promote identification of emerging issues related to food safety, nutrition, and fair practices in the food trade.	Timely Codex response to emerging issues and to the needs of Members.	- Committees implement systematic approaches for identification of emerging issues Regular reports on systematic approach and emerging issues made to the CCEXEC through the Codex Secretariat.
Question to the Comn	nittee:			
s this activity relevant to	o the work of the Comm	nittee? YES/NO.		
How does the Committe to develop such an app		ues and members needs? I	s there a systematic app	roach? Is it necessary
		1.2.2: Develop and revise international and regional standards as needed, in response to needs identified by Members and in response to factors that affect food safety, nutrition and fair practices in the food trade.	Improved ability of Codex to develop standards relevant to the needs of its Members.	- Input from committees identifying and prioritizing needs of Members. - Report to CCEXEC from committees on how standards developed address the needs of the Members as part of critical review process.

Strategic Goal	Objective	Activity	Expected Outcome	Measurable Indicators/Outputs
2: Ensure the application of risk analysis principles in the development of Codex standards.	2.1: Ensure consistent use of risk analysis principles and scientific advice.	2.1.1: Use the scientific advice of the joint FAO/WHO expert bodies to the fullest extent possible in food safety and nutrition standards development based on the "Working Principles of Risk Analysis for Application in the Framework of the Codex Alimentarius".	Scientific advice consistently taken into account by all relevant committees during the standard setting process.	# of times the need for scientific advice is: - identified, - requested and, - utilized in a timely manner.

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES/NO.

Does the committee request scientific advice in course of its work, how often does it request such advice.

Does the committee always use the scientific advice, if not, why not?

E	2.1.2: Encourage engagement of scientific and technical expertise of Members and their representatives in the development of Codex standards.	Increase in scientific and technical experts at the national level contributing to the development of Codex standards.	- # of scientists and technical experts as part of Member delegations. - # of scientists and technical experts providing appropriate input to country positions.
---	---	---	---

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES/NO.

How do members make sure that the necessary scientific input is given into country positions and that the composition of the national delegation allows to adequately present and discuss this position? What guidance could be given by the Committee or FAO and WHO?

2.1.3: Ensure that all relevant factors are full considered in exploring risk management options in the context of Codex standard development.	relevant factors	- # of committee documents identifying all relevant factors guiding risk management recommendations. - # of committee documents clearly reflecting how those relevant factors were considered in the context of standards development.
--	------------------	---

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES/NO.

How does the Committee ensure that all relevant factors have been taken into account when developing a standard and how are these documented?

Strategic Goal	Objective	Activity	Expected Outcome	Measurable Indicators/Outputs
		2.1.4: Communicate the risk management recommendations to all interested parties.	Risk management recommendations are effectively communicated and disseminated to all interested parties.	- # of web publication/ communications relaying Codex standards # of media releases disseminating Codex standards.
Question to the Comm	nittee:			
Is this activity relevant to	the work of the Comm	nittee? YES/NO.		
When taking a risk mana decision? Would more contact the contact and the contact are supported by the contact and the contact are supported by the contact are su	agement decision, does	s the committee give guidar helpful to members?	nce to members how to c	communicate this
3: Facilitate the effective participation of all Codex Members.	3.1: Increase the effective participation of developing countries in Codex.	3.1.5: To the extent possible, promote the use of the official languages of the Commission in committees and working groups.	Active participation of Members in committees and working groups.	- Report on number of committees and working groups using the languages of the Commission
Question to the Comm	nittee:			
Is this activity relevant to	the work of the Comm	nittee? YES/NO.		
Is the use of official lang choice of languages? He		os of the committee sufficience improved?	nt? What are the factors	determining the
	3.2: Promote capacity development programs that assist countries in creating sustainable national Codex structures.	3.2.3: Where practical, the use of Codex meetings as a forum to effectively conduct educational and technical capacity building activities.	Enhancement of the opportunities to conduct concurrent activities to maximize use of the resources of Codex and Members.	# of activities hosted on the margins of Codex meetings.
Question to the Comm	nittee:			
Is this activity relevant to	the work of the Comm	nittee? YES/NO.		
		ty activities or other activitie organized in the past. If no-		
4: Implement effective and efficient work management systems and practices.	4.1: Strive for an effective, efficient, transparent, and consensus based standard setting process.	4.1.4: Ensure timely distribution of all Codex working documents in the working languages of the Committee/Commission.	Codex documents distributed in a more timely manner consistent with timelines in the Procedural Manual.	- Baseline Ratio (%) established for documents distributed at least 2 months prior to versus less than 2 months prior to a scheduled meeting Factors that potentially delay the circulation of documents identified and addressed An increase in the ratio (%) of documents circulated

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES/NO.

Does the Committee have a mechanism in place to ensure timely distribution of documents? What could be done to further improve the situation?

2 months or more prior to meetings.

	Strategic Goal	Objective	Activity	Expected Outcome	Measurable Indicators/Outputs
			4.1.5: Increase the scheduling of Work Group meetings in conjunction with Committee meetings.	Improved efficiency in use of resources by Codex committees and Members	- # of physical working group meetings in conjunction with committee meetings, where appropriate.
ı					

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES/NO.

Does the Committee hold physical working groups independent of Committee sessions? If yes – why is this necessary?

4.2: Enhance capacity to arrive at consensus in standards setting process.	4.2.1: Improve the understanding of Codex Members and delegates of the importance of and approach to consensus building of Codex work.	Members and delegates awareness of the importance of consensus in the Codex standard setting process improved.	- Training material on guidance to achieve consensus developed and made available in the languages of the Commission to delegates. - Regular dissemination of existing material to Members through Codex Contact Points. - Delegate training programs held in association with Codex meetings. - Impediments to consensus being achieved in Codex identified and analyzed and additional guidance developed to address such impediments, if necessary.

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES/NO.

Are there problems with finding consensus in the Committee? If yes – what are the impediments to consensus? What has been attempted and what more could be done?