## CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION





Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org

Agenda Item 9

CX/RVDF 21/25/10-Add.1

May 2021

# JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME CODEX COMMITTEE ON RESIDUES OF VETERINARY DRUGS IN FOODS

25<sup>th</sup> Session (Virtual) 12-16 and 20 July 2021

#### PARALLEL REVIEW OF A NEW VETERINARY DRUG BY JECFA AND NATIONAL REGULATORY AGENCIES

Comments on principles and procedures for the parallel review of a new veterinary drug by JECFA and national regulatory agencies in reply to CL 2021/5-RVDF:

Australia, Chile, Cuba, Egypt, European Union (EU), Iraq, Iran, Panama, Thailand and HealthForAnimals

#### **Background**

- 1. This document compiles comments received through the Codex Online Commenting System (OCS) in response to CL 2021/5-RVDF issued in January 2021.
- 2. Under the OCS, comments are compiled in the following order: general comments are listed first, followed by comments on specific paragraphs.
- 3. The comments submitted through the OCS are, hereby attached as Annex I and are presented in table format.

CX/CF 21/25/10-Add.1 2

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

Comments/Rationale	Member/Observe
Australia considers that the parallel review of a new veterinary medicine compound by JECFA and national regulatory agencies, with defined parameters, could assist in the timely establishment of Codex MRLs. We therefore appreciate that a pilot on a parallel review of a new compound (i.e. selamectin) was undertaken by JECFA88 and commend the work done in preparing principles and procedures detailed in this circular letter.	Australia
Australia supports the concept of parallel review as a complement to the current process. It is considered that this process could expedite nomination of compounds onto the priority list and then assessment by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. National registration is currently a requirement for priority list nomination, and this proposal would allow a product which is submitted (or is expected to be submitted) to a national regulatory authority to commence the CCRVDF process for Codex MRLs.	
Chile agradece la propuesta de "Principios y procedimiento para el enfoque paralelo de la evaluación de un nuevo medicamento veterinario por el JECFA y los organismos reguladores nacionales" elaborada por Canadá con el apoyo de Australia, los Estados Unidos de América, la Secretaría del JECFA y Health for Animals y está de acuerdo con su contenido.  Justificación: La propuesta identifica aspectos importantes que pueden lograr disminuir el tiempo para establecer un LMR de un medicamento veterinario.	Chile
De igual forma, Chile considera importante que tanto los países como sponsors que deseen presentar sustancias bajo este procedimiento, prestar la mayor atención a los desafíos identificados en el "DOCUMENTO DE DEBATE SOBRE EL EXAMEN EN PARALELO DE UN NUEVO MEDICAMENTO VETERINARIO REALIZADO POR EL JECFA Y LOS ORGANISMOS REGULADORES NACIONALES" (CX/RVDF 20/25/10), para que los diferentes expertos que participen de este proceso, cuenten con la información necesaria y en los tiempos establecidos, permitiendo hacer más eficiente el uso de recursos económicos y tiempo asignado para éste.	
Cuba agradece la oportunidad de emitir sus cirterios sobre esta carta circular y en principio apoya lo que se plantea en el documento	Cuba
Egypt agrees and supports the proposed principles and procedure for the parallel approach to the evaluation of a new veterinary drug by JECFA and national regulatory authorities with no additional comments.	Egypt
Mixed Competence European Union Vote	European Union
The European Union and its Member States (EUMS) generally support the proposed principles and procedure for parallel reviews as it could speed up the setting of Codex MRLs for new substances. However, the procedure remains to be tested as, according to JECFA, some data was lacking for the pilot substance selamectin. Moreover, EMA/CVMP did not get an application for setting MRLs for selamectin and therefore the EUMS are not in a position to comment on any specifics.	
As an editorial comment, the EUMS note that the document makes a number of references to "products" (eg, in phase 1 "the product is identified as a candidate."; in phase 2 "At the following CCRVDF meeting, the product would be submitted () for inclusion on the priority list at CCRVDF (Step 1)."; in phase 3 "JECFA and the national assessor follow their normal processes of assessing the product"). But JECFA undertakes substance evaluations rather than product evaluations and CCRVDF similarly focuses on substances. So it would seem appropriate to refer to substances or veterinary drugs rather than to products.	
	Iraq
Agree	Iran
Agree  Iran support the parallel review of a new veterinary drug as an alternative or complement to the current process to assess new compounds by JECFA for the establishment of Codex MRLs by CCRVDF.	II all

CX/CF 21/25/10-Add.1

Comments/Rationale	Member/Observer
English:	Panama
Panama appreciates the work presented by the Secretariat of the Codex Alimentarius Commission and supports the parallel examination of a new veterinary drug as an alternative or complement to the current process of evaluation of new compounds by JECFA for the establishment of maximum residue limits (MRLs). ) for Codex veterinary drugs by the CCRVDF, according to the procedure recommended in the document entitled: PRINCIPLES AND PROCEDURE FOR THE PARALLEL APPROACH TO THE EVALUATION OF A NEW VETERINARY MEDICINAL PRODUCT BY JECFA AND NATIONAL REGULATORY BODIES; without additional observations, according to the indications established in Circular Letter CL 2021/5 / OCS-RVDF.	
Similarly, Panama agrees with the format and general content of the proposed procedure CX / RVDF 20/25/10 September 2020 and in Circular Letter CL 2021/5 / OCS-RVDF.	
Panama, like many countries, depends on reference organizations such as Codex for the establishment of MRLs for veterinary drugs, which are part of the process and evaluation in the authorization of compounds for use at the national level, and we are sure that this procedure will reduce the time to establish MRLs in Codex, so that producers of food animals could more quickly access new and safe veterinary drugs worldwide; Not to mention that, at the same time, the risks to international trade in food of animal origin will be reduced.	
Spanish:	
Panamá agradece el trabajo presentado por la Secretaría de la Comisión del Codex Alimentarios y apoya el examen paralelo de un nuevo medicamento veterinario como alternativa o complemento del proceso actual de evaluación de nuevos compuestos por el JECFA para el establecimiento de los límites máximos de residuos (LMR) para medicamentos veterinarios del Codex por el CCRVDF, según el procedimiento recomendado en el documento titulado: PRINCIPIOS Y PROCEDIMIENTO PARA EL ENFOQUE PARALELO DE LA EVALUACIÓN DE UN NUEVO MEDICAMENTO VETERINARIO POR EL JECFA Y LOS ORGANISMOS REGULADORES NACIONALES; sin observaciones adicionales de acuerdo a las indicaciones establecidas en la Carta Circular CL 2021/5/OCS-RVDF.	
De igual forma, Panamá está de acuerdo con el formato y el contenido general del procedimiento propuesto CX/RVDF 20/25/10 de septiembre de 2020 y en la Carta Circular CL 2021/5/OCS-RVDF.	
Panamá al igual que muchos países depende de organizaciones de referencia como el Codex para el establecimiento de los LMR de los medicamentos veterinarios, lo cuales son parte del proceso y evaluación en la autorización de los compuestos para su uso en el ámbito nacional, y estamos seguro que este procedimiento reducirá el plazo del establecimiento de los LMR en el Codex, por lo que los productores de animales destinados a la producción de alimentos podrían acceder más rápidamente a medicamentos veterinarios nuevos e inocuos en todo el mundo; sin dejar de mencionar que a la vez se reducirán los riesgos para el comercio internacional de los alimentos de origen animal.	
In principle, Thailand has no objection to the principles and procedure for the parallel review. The approach is to be scientific evidence based, transparency and practical. Moreover, we strongly support that this proposed parallel review process should be applied primarily on the new veterinary drugs.	Thailand
HealthforAnimals thanks for the proposal and looks forward to a positive discussion at CCRVDF. The parallel review is a compliment to current national processes, and if conducted well, could allow more rapid access to products in markets around the world. This increases countries capacities to efficiently deal with animal disease.	HealthForAnimals

CX/CF 21/25/10-Add.1 4

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Member/Observer
Australia
Panama

CX/CF 21/25/10-Add.1 5

Comments/Rationale	Member/Observer
Specific comments:	Thailand
Advantages of the proposed process:	
We have no objection to the advantages of the proposed process.	
- Candidate selection and outcome:	
We would like to support the establishment of selection criteria for candidate for parallel review. In addition, we are of the opinion that the last sentence under the 2nd bullet "There would never be any requirement that the expected outcome of any process that is developed has harmonized endpoints/MRLs" is not the challenges of the proposed process, whilst the independence is a principle of the process.	
- Confidentiality and protection of intellectual property: We fully support a strict implementation of confidentiality and protection of intellectual property during the parallel review process.	
We fully support a strict implementation of confidentiality and protection of intellectual property during the parallel review process.	
This proposed parallel review process should be applied primarily on the new veterinary drugs.	
We would like to support the establishment of selection criteria for candidate for parallel review. In addition, we are of the opinion that the last sentence under the 2nd bullet "There would never be any requirement that the expected outcome of any process that is developed has harmonized endpoints/MRLs" stated in CX/RVDF 20/25/10 is not the challenges of the proposed process, whilst the independence is a principle of the process.	
We support the three principles set out transparency, confidentiality, and independence. It may be worth having a discussion at CCRDDF whether a fourth principle could be added. That principle could be Cooperation, pointing to the advantages for many countries of working together.	HealthForAnimals
We agree with the phases set out. Under phase 3: Assessment it might be worth adding some text that suggests opportunities for JECFA and the national assessor to communicate during the process, whilst of course respecting confidentiality and other rules.	

#### OTHER COMMENTS

Comments/Rationale	Member/Observedr
- There are not missing points that need to be included but if we find out any critical and missing points we certainly will announce you.	Iran
- In our viewpoint, the text for the current provisions are perfect and there is no need for improvement.	
- We agree with the overall format and content of the proposed procedure	
- We are of the opinion that additional principles could be taken into account	
Panama has no additional observations or considerations to points a and b.	Panama
Panamá no tiene observaciones o consideraciones adicionales a los puntos a y b.	