CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION





Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org

Agenda Items 3, 4 and 6

CRD 18

JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME CODEX COMMITTEE ON FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

20th Session Kampala, Uganda, 2 – 6 October, 2017

(Comments of Uganda)

Agenda 3: Draft standard for aubergines

| S/N | Paragraph/Section | Proposed text | Reason for change or inclusion |
|-----|--|---|--|
| 1 | Definition of produce | Scope: This standard defines the quality requirements for aubergines prepared and packaged for trade. Definition of produce becomes Section 2. | 1. This section should be preceded by the Scope as per the Codex procedural Manual and the sections and/or subsections that follow renumbered accordingly. |
| 2 | Bullet number 7 – Free of bruising or extensive healed over-cuts | New text should read as: "bearing minimal bruising and/or minimal healed over-cuts | 2. Inconsistent with the requirements for Class II which allow "slight bruising and/or slight healed cracks or sun-scorched aubergines. The minimum requirement and the requirements for this class must be consistent |
| 3 | 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 Maturity requirements | New text should read as: "2.1.1 Maturity requirements The aubergines must be sufficiently developed without the flesh being fibrous or woody and without hard seeds" The development and condition of the aubergines must be in such as to enable them to: - withstand transportation and handling; and - arrive in satisfactory condition at the place of | 3. 2.1.2 should become 2.1.1 and 2.1.1 becomes a continuation as it further stresses the development/maturity |
| 4 | "Extra" class | destination New text should read as: "Aubergines in this class must be of superior quality. They must be characteristic of the variety and/or commercial type. Stalk must be intact and the flesh must be perfectly sound. | 4. The requirement for firmness should be deleted from the "extra" class description since firmness is a minimum requirement for all classes of aubergines. |
| 5 | Provisions concerning sizing | | The concluding sentence; "Uniformity in size is compulsory for Extra class" should be deleted. These are biological products whose uniformity cannot be controlled. In |

| | | | addition, it is a contradiction with clause 4.2 which permits 10% variation in all classes if sized. |
|----|---|--|---|
| 6 | For sizing by weight, the difference between the smallest and largest aubergines in the package must not exceed | Uganda proposes that the allowance for 10% is retained and the former deleted | 5. This provisions are not consistent with the size tolerances in subsection 4.2 where 10% by number or weight of aubergines not satisfying the requirements as regards sizing is allowed. |
| 7 | "Extra" class | | The 1% tolerance for decay is inconsistent with the minimum requirements. In addition, this being the premium class, it cannot have the same tolerance as class I. |
| | | | The 1% tolerance for decay should be deleted for the "extra" class. |
| 8 | Packaging | New text should read as: "Aubergines must be packaged in such a way as to protect the produce properly. | 6. Packed in the text should be replaced with packaged |
| | | Aubergines shall be packaged in each container in compliance with CAC/RCP 44-1995. | |
| 9 | Nature of produce | New text should read as: "Each package containing the produce shall be labelled with the name of the produce "Aubergines" and class of the produce. The produce may also be labelled with the name of the produce variety and/or commercial type. | Whether the produce is visible through the packaging or not, the package must bear the name of the produce as "Aubergines". 7. In addition, the Class of the produce shall be labelled on the package. |
| 10 | Origin of produce | The second sentence should be deleted. | 8. The mixture of produce from different countries should not be permissible in consumer packages. It opens room for unscrupulous trade practices such as purchase of produce from one country and labelling it as a produce of another. It hinders traceability. |
| 11 | Identification | New text should read as: "Name and address of exporter, packer and/or dispatcher. The produce shall also bear an identification code. | Labelling the Identification code should be mandatory. It eases traceability |
| 12 | Nature of produce | New text should read as: "Each package containing the produce shall be labelled with the name of the produce "Aubergines" and may be labelled with the name of the produce variety and/or commercial type. For produce transported in bulk, these particulars must appear in the document accompanying the produce. The document shall be attached in a visible | Whether the produce is visible through the packaging or not, the package must bear the name of the produce as "Aubergines". 10. For produce transported in bulk, the documentation accompanying the produce can bear the details of the nature of produce. |

| | position inside the transport | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|
| | vehicle. | |

Agenda 4: Draft Standard for Garlic

| S/N | Paragraph/Section | Proposed text | Reason for change or inclusion |
|-----|-----------------------|---|---|
| 1 | Definition of produce | Commercial types Semi-dry and dry garlic should be merged into one type which should be referred to as "dry garlic" | There is hardly a difference between the commercial types semi-dry and dry garlic |
| 2 | Footnote 1 | New text should read as: "1 This provision does not preclude a specific smell and/or specific taste caused by smoking in countries or regions where smoked garlic is considered as a fresh vegetable. Smoked garlic must be labelled according. | 11. Labelled "properly" should be replaced with labelled "accordingly" since there is no clear description of proper labelling for smoked garlic |
| 3 | Uniformity | New text should read as: "Garlic contained in each package must be of the same origin, variety, or commercial type, grade, quality and size." | 12. It is practically impossible for the contents of a package containing biological products to be uniform. |
| 4 | Packaging | New text should read as: "The garlic must be packaged in such a way as to protect the produce properly. | 13. The word "packed" in the text should be replaced by "packaged" |
| | | The garlic shall be packaged in compliance with the appropriate sections of the Code of Practice for Packaging and Transportation of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables. | |
| 5 | Nature of produce | New text should read as: "Each package containing the produce shall be labelled with the name of the produce ("garlic" and/or "fresh garlic", "semi-dry garlic", "dry garlic" or "solo garlic". The class of the produce shall also be labelled and the produce may also be labelled with the name of the produce variety and/or commercial type. | Whether the produce is visible through the packaging or not, the package should bear the name of the produce. 14. In addition, the Class of the produce shall be |
| 6 | Identification | New text should read as: "Name and address of exporter, packer and/or dispatcher. The produce shall also bear an identification code | 15. Labelling the identification code should be mandatory |
| 7 | Nature of produce | The second sentence should be deleted | 16. The second sentence contradicts the first as the fresh, semi-dry, dry or solo represent the commercial types. |

Agenda 6: Draft Standard for Ware Potato

| S/N | Paragraph/Section | Proposed text | Reason for change or inclusion |
|-----|--------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Quality tolerances | Either the two are merged to make one grade or the tolerances are revised. | 17. With the exception of frozen, decay, soft rot and/or internal breakdown, the tolerances for classes I and II are all the same. This could pose a challenge in the actual commercial grading. |
| 2 | Uniformity | New text should read as: "The contents of each package (or lot for produce presented in the bulk transport vehicle) must contain only ware potatoes of the same origin, variety, or commercial type, quality and size (if sized). | It is practically impossible to attain uniformity in biological products. In addition, this subclause contradicts Section 4 and sub section 5.1. 18. The provision "contents of each package (or lot for produce presented in the bulk transport vehicle) must be uniform should be deleted. |
| 3 | Packaging | New text should read as: "Ware potatoes must be packaged in such a way as to protect the produce properly Ware potatoes shall be packaged in compliance with the appropriate sections of the Code of Practice for Packaging and Transportation of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables | 19. The word "packed" in the text should be replaced by "packaged" |
| 4 | Nature of produce | New text should read as: "Each package containing the produce shall be labelled with the name of the produce "Ware potatoes" and class of the produce. The produce may also be labelled with the name of the produce variety and/or commercial type. | Whether the produce is visible through the packaging or not, the package must bear the name of the produce as "Ware potatoes". 20. In addition, the Class of the produce shall be labelled on the package. |
| 5 | Origin of produce | The second sentence should be deleted. | 21. The mixture of produce from different countries should not be permissible in consumer packages. It opens room for unscrupulous trade practices such as purchase of produce from one country and labelling it as a produce of another. It hinders traceability. |
| 6 | Identification | New text should read as: "Name and address of exporter, packer and/or dispatcher. The produce shall also bear an identification code. | 22. Labelling the Identification code should not be optional |
| 7 | Nature of produce | New text should read as: "Each package containing the produce shall be labelled with the name of the produce as "Ware potatoes". The produce may also be labelled with the name of the produce variety, commercial type and/or shape of the tuber as oval, round or long. | 23. Whether the produce is visible through the packaging or not, the package must bear the name of the produce as "Ware potatoes". |

| 8 | Origin of produce | The second sentence should be deleted. | 24. The mixture of produce from different countries should not be permissible in consumer packages. It opens room for unscrupulous trade practices such as purchase of produce from one country and labelling it as a produce of another. It hinders traceability. |
|---|-------------------|--|--|
|---|-------------------|--|--|