

# CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION



Food and Agriculture  
Organization of the  
United Nations



World Health  
Organization

E

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - E-mail: [codex@fao.org](mailto:codex@fao.org) - [www.codexalimentarius.org](http://www.codexalimentarius.org)

Agenda Item 14

CX/CF 21/14/12

March 2021

## JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME

### CODEX COMMITTEE ON CONTAMINANTS IN FOODS

14<sup>th</sup> Session

(virtual)

3-7 and 13 May 2021

#### DISCUSSION PAPER ON

#### HYDROCYANIC ACID AND MYCOTOXIN CONTAMINATION

#### IN CASSAVA AND CASSAVA-BASED PRODUCTS

(Prepared by the Electronic Working Group

chaired by Nigeria and co-chaired by Ghana)

#### BACKGROUND

##### **CCCF11 (2017)**

1. CCCF11 considered a request from the FAO/WHO Coordinating Committee for Africa (CCAFRICA) on whether it was appropriate to extend the existing maximum level (ML) for hydrocyanic acid (HCN) of 2 mg/kg in gari to fermented cassava products, and whether mycotoxins were of public health concern in these products.
2. Based on the request of CCAFRICA22 (2017), CCCF11 recommended<sup>1</sup> that an Electronic Working Group (EWG) chaired by Nigeria be established to prepare a discussion paper to address the following:
  - a. The need and feasibility to establish ML(s) for HCN in cassava and cassava products and address the issue of harmonizing the expression of HCN levels, i.e. free or total HCN.
  - b. Source for data on mycotoxins occurrence in these products that would allow CCCF to determine whether mycotoxin contamination is a public health issue in these products.

##### **CCCF12 (2018)**

3. The EWG carried out its mandate and submitted a discussion paper<sup>2</sup> for consideration by CCCF12 (2018) which could not be discussed due to the inadvertent absence of Nigeria, the EWG Chair. The discussion paper was deferred for presentation at CCC13 (2019) while Codex members and observers were encouraged to continue submitting new data on the GEMS/Food platform.<sup>3</sup>
4. The discussion paper<sup>4</sup> was updated by Nigeria and the conclusions and recommendations submitted to CCCF13 for consideration.

##### **CCCF13 (2019)**

5. CCCF considered the conclusions and recommendations in relation to the opportunity and feasibility to establish MLs for HCN and the development of risk management guidance to prevent and/or reduce mycotoxin contamination in cassava and cassava products and agreed<sup>5</sup> to:
  - (a) establish an EWG, chaired by Nigeria and co-chaired by Ghana to prepare a discussion paper for consideration at CCCF14 (2020) as follows:
    - (i) information on the global picture of fermented cassava products taking into account the issues raised in written comments and the points raised at CCCF13; and

<sup>1</sup> REP17/CF, paras. 14-15

<sup>2</sup> CX/CF 18/12/13

<sup>3</sup> REP18/CF, para. 125

<sup>4</sup> CX/CF 19/13/14

<sup>5</sup> REP19/CF, paras. 128-145

- (ii) identification of mitigation measures to support development of a code of practice for the prevention and reduction of mycotoxin contamination in cassava and cassava-based products taking into account the points raised in this session.
  - (b) inform CCAFRICA of the discussions on MLs of HCN in fermented cassava products and the possible development of a COP for the prevention and reduction of mycotoxin contamination in cassava and cassava-based products
6. The EWG revised the discussion paper<sup>4</sup> presented at CCCF13 based on the considerations given in the plenary session, information submitted in reply to circular letter CL 2019/74-CF requesting information on mitigation measures for mycotoxin contamination in cassava and cassava-based products as well as the information provided and discussion held by members of the EWG and provided an interim report as contained in CX/CF 20/14/12.

#### **Updated information and recommendations**

7. Consequent to the postponement of CCCF14 due to the COVID19 pandemic from May 2020 to May 2021, and in view of the additional time at the disposal of the Committee, the EWG further updated the interim report based on comments received in reply to an additional circular letter CL 2020/51/OCS-CF. The comments received in reply to this CL were both, on the content of the discussion paper and its recommendations, and were compiled in CX/CF 20/14/12-Add.1 for further consideration by the EWG.
8. Working documents issued during 2020, which has been revised or updated in 2021 for consideration by CCCF14, can be found on the Codex website<sup>6</sup>.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

9. The EWG reached the following conclusions.

##### **Mycotoxins in cassava and cassava-based products**

10. The information paper on mycotoxins contained in CX/CF 20/14/12 were updated to reflect technical information received in reply to CL 2020/51/OCS-CF on bordering on storage, processing, size reduction and drying methods that further enriched the information on reduction and prevention of mycotoxins contamination in cassava and cassava-based products.
11. A general support was expressed for the development of a code of practice for the prevention and reduction of mycotoxin contamination in cassava and cassava-based products with focus on aflatoxins and ochratoxin A.
12. In order to further advance this efforts at safeguarding consumers health and fair practices in trade, considering sufficient materials garnered from the work of the EWG and the active interests shown by the cassava producing and cassava trading countries in CCCF, it is recommended that CCCF14 consider the opportunity to develop a CoP to prevent/reduce aflatoxins and OTA contamination of cassava/cassava-based products.
13. The COP should use the format and content in the existing *Code of practice for the reduction of HCN in cassava and cassava products* (CXC 73-2013) and should also consider the application of hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP) in the processing of cassava roots to identify critical control points in preventing fungal contamination and subsequent mycotoxin development.
14. Further information in support of the above recommendation is contained in Appendix II (updated discussion paper – see section shaded in grey).

##### **Hydrocyanic acid (HCN) in cassava and cassava-based products**

15. The EWG has not updated the information paper on HCN in cassava and cassava-based products in view of the recommendation made in CX/CF 20/14/12 to discontinue consideration of this item until more data/information become available to proceed further. Therefore, the information presented below and in Appendix III are the same as contained in CX/CF 20/14/12 and are reproduced here for convenience.

---

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/meetings/extra/cccf14-2020/en/>

16. Cassava and cassava-based products have gained attention of Codex committees over the years and there are Codex texts supporting their safety, quality and trade. These include *Codex Standards for Gari* (CXS 151-1985), *Edible Cassava Flour* (CXS 176-1989), *Sweet Cassava* (CXS 238-2003) and *Bitter Cassava* (CXS 300-2010) and the *Code of practice for the reduction of HCN in cassava and cassava products* (CX/C 73-2013). They have provided guidance on end-product characteristics including labelling, cultivation, pre- and post-harvest operations, processing, packaging and distribution of cassava and cassava products, especially in the prevention and reduction of hydrocyanic acid.
17. While Codex recommended processing steps, when adopted, have proven to be effective in cyanide content reduction; deliberate promotion and massive replacement of bitter cassava *Manihot utilissima Pohl* cultivars with the sweet cassava *Manihot esculenta Crantz* cultivars might prove to be the long lasting solution to the likelihood of the occurrence of cyanide toxicity.
18. While global trading in cassava pellets for feed mill and other industrial usage have been around for years, the international and regional trading in treated fresh cassava tubers and cassava-based food products are gaining traction and might be proven to be of immense economic benefit to peasant farmers in the developing countries who are the largest producers of cassava.
19. Analytical testing is the best way of determining HCN levels at each value chain stage. Testing for HCN appears more promising employing the combination of corrin-based chemosensor (for instantaneous detection of bound HCN) coupled with spectrophotometric method for rapid quantification of total HCN because it allows for the determination of different forms of HCN - total, bound and free in both agricultural, food and industrial cassava products.
20. It is pertinent to note that since the advent of Codex guidance documents, the incidences of cassava toxicity has gradually become rare worldwide. However, there are a number of on-going studies in some member countries (Brazil, Nigeria and possibly others too) on effects of processing units and conditions on the residual HCN in cassava-based products during various value addition steps and in the final products including ready-to-eat.
21. It is recommended to wait for the outcomes of these studies for guidance on whether to set separate HCN maximum level for each of the cassava product or otherwise.
22. Further information in support of the above recommendation is contained in Appendix III.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

23. CCCF is invited to focus its discussion on the recommendations below, taking into consideration the conclusions reached by the EWG and the supporting information provided in Appendices II and III.

#### **Mycotoxin contamination in cassava and cassava-based products**

24. To develop a Code of practice for the prevention and reduction of mycotoxins contamination in cassava and cassava-based products with focus on aflatoxins and ochratoxin A as presented in Appendix I.
25. To re-establish the EWG to develop the CoP and to use as the basis for discussion the data/information provided in Appendices I and II of this document.

#### **HCN in cassava and cassava-based products**

26. To discontinue work on levels of HCN in cassava and cassava-based products and to await availability of further data and information to re-assess the need and feasibility to establish MLs for cassava and cassava-based products.

**PROJECT DOCUMENT  
PROPOSAL FOR NEW WORK  
Development of a Code of practice for the prevention and reduction of  
mycotoxins contamination in cassava and cassava-based products  
(For consideration by CCCF14)**

**1. Purpose and scope of the new work**

The purpose of the proposed new work is to develop a Code of Practice (CoP) that will provide risk management guidance to Codex member countries and relevant stakeholders, e.g. farmers, cassava-based industries (including small-scale producers), national/regional technical/regulatory agencies, etc., for the prevention/reduction of mycotoxins, i.e. aflatoxins and ochratoxin A (OTA), contamination in cassava and cassava-based products during pre-planting, planting, post-harvest processing including fermentation, drying, storing and distribution.

**2. Relevance and timeliness**

Aflatoxins are known hepatotoxins causing the death of people and have been documented as naturally occurring carcinogens, which are primarily associated with high incidence of liver cancer. Aflatoxin B1 has particularly been identified as causative factor in the development of hepatocellular carcinoma, an emerging chronic disease of global concern.

The toxicity of OTA has been reviewed by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), which classified OTA as a possible human carcinogen (Group 2B) and also by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA). OTA is a mycotoxin that occurs naturally worldwide in food commodities including roots and tubers and their products. In roots and tubers, fusarium species have been implicated as pre-harvest contaminants mycotoxins, while aspergillus and penicilium species have been implicated as post-harvest mycotoxins.

Discussion papers considered by the Codex Committee on Contaminants in Foods (CCCF) have described the fast growing global profile of cassava, a root crop commodity commonly used as food, raw material for human foods, animal feeds, pharmaceutical and confectionary industries. The obvious significance in export trade, especially in regional trade such as amongst members of the FAO/WHO Coordinating Committee for Africa (CCAFRICA) is worthy to note. The health impact of aflatoxins and OTA in cassava and cassava-based products was considered by CCCF13 (2019) (CX/CF 19/13/14). Summary of data from a WTO/FAO/WHO supported regional total diet study involving four sub-Saharan African countries amongst others, showed that aflatoxins and OTA contamination in cassava is of public health concern.

The CoP will assist countries to comply with measures and protocols to prevent/reduce aflatoxins and OTA contamination in cassava and cassava-based products which will in turn facilitate trade. Given the health concerns, there is need for cassava to be safe for use and consumption; and good practices in agriculture, processing and distribution will help in achieving this goal.

**3. Main aspects to be covered**

The CoP will cover the value chain stages of:

1. land preparation,
2. cultivation,
3. pre-harvest,
4. post-harvest handling,
5. storage
6. transportation practices

**4. Assessment against the criteria for the establishment of work priorities****General criterion**

This is for consumer health protection and to prevent/reduce post-harvest losses through best practices from the point of view of food safety and food security. This is also to ensure fair practices in trade while taking into account the identified needs of developing countries.

The CoP will provide risk management guidance for countries and relevant stakeholders to improve the overall safety and quality of cassava and cassava-based products, by preventing/reducing aflatoxins and OTA contamination, and so to minimize consumer dietary exposure to aflatoxins and OTA from roots/tubers and their products and to enhance trade in these products.

**Specific criteria****a. Diversification of national legislations and apparent resultant or potential impediments to international trade**

The CoP will provide internationally harmonized risk management practices to Codex members and stakeholders for the prevention/reduction of aflatoxins and OTA contamination in cassava and cassava-based products to ensure public health and fair practices in trade.

**b. Scope of work and establishment of priorities between the various sections of the work**

See points 1 and 3.

**c. Work already undertaken by other organizations in this field**

CCCF is the subsidiary body of the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) having competence on the provision of risk management practices along the food chain to contain contamination of food and food products with chemicals and toxins. A way to do this is through the development of codes of practice. There is already in existence a *Code of practice for the reduction of hydrocyanic acid (HCN) in cassava and cassava products* (CXC 73-2013) to assist in keeping the quality and safety of these products.

As per mycotoxins, some work has also been done by organizations or agencies, for instance, the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, National Root Crops Research Institute Umudike South-East, Nigeria and Universities in the rain forest belts in Nigeria on management of mycotoxins in roots and tubers. The African Union (AU), through its Partnership for Aflatoxin Control in Africa (PACA platform), is driving eradication of aflatoxins from the continent.

However, there is no currently an international document that assemble relevant risk management practices available to date into a single document which best reflect effective measures applicable worldwide to contain mycotoxin contamination in fresh and processed cassava for application by Codex members and relevant stakeholders. This CoP will so build on work of recognized organizations, agencies and technical programs/platforms across the world to provide such a unique single internationally harmonized guidance document for use by countries and other stakeholders.

**5. Relevance to Codex Strategic Goals**

The new work falls under the following Codex Strategic Goals of the Codex Strategic Plan 2020-2025:

**Goal 1: Address current, emerging and critical issues in a timely manner**

Aflatoxin and OTA contamination in cassava and cassava-based products is a public health concern. Given that cassava or cassava-based products are considered staple food in certain regions and countries, there is need for cassava to be safe for use and consumption. In addition, trade in cassava and its products are growing and therefore, there is also need to ensure safe and fair practices in trade.

This work will harmonize risk management practices across regions/countries to promote maximum application of Codex standards to protect consumers' health and to ensure fair practices in trade. The result of this work will also assist in promoting sound regulatory frameworks in international trade by using good management practices that are proven to be effective and applicable worldwide to prevent/reduce aflatoxins and OTA contamination in these products.

**Goal 2: Develop standards based on science and Codex risk analysis principles**

This work will help in identifying risk management options and developing strategies to prevent/reduce aflatoxins and OTA in cassava production and processing based on science and risk-based principles.

**6. Information on the relationship between the proposal and other existing Codex documents**

Currently there is no Codex document addressing mycotoxin contamination in cassava and cassava-based products. The development of the CoP will support implementation of commodity standards available for fresh and processed cassava e.g. Codex Standards for Sweet Cassava (CXS 238-2003), Bitter Cassava (CXS 300-2010), Cassava Flour (CXS 176-1989), Gari (CXS 151-1985), etc. as well as will complement the CoP to contain HCN in cassava and cassava-based products.

**7. Identification of any requirement for and availability of expert scientific advice**

At this moment, expert advice from scientific advisory bodies, e.g. JECFA, is not necessary. There are several publications on management of mycotoxins published by FAO and other organizations/agencies that are available for consultation.

**8. Identification of any need for technical input to the standard from external bodies**

Currently, there is no need for technical input from external bodies. However, if the need arises, such identified bodies shall be contacted.

---

**9. The proposed timeline for completion of the new work, including the starting date and the proposed date for the adoption by the Codex Alimentarius Commission**

Subject to approval by CAC (2021), the CoP will be circulated for comments and consideration by CCCF15 (2022). Adoption by CAC is planned for 2024 or earlier.

## MEASURES TO PREVENT AND REDUCE MYCOTOXIN CONTAMINATION OF CASSAVA AND CASSAVA-BASED PRODUCTS

- with further updated measures -

(For information)

### 1.0 Preamble

1. After sugar cane, maize, wheat, rice, potatoes, soybeans, oil palm fruit, and sugar beet, cassava is the 9<sup>th</sup> most produced crop in the world. It is produced in 102 countries covering 26,342,326 hectares of the world food production land and up to 296,855,459 tonnes was produced (FAO, 2017). The world leading producers of cassava in 2017 were Nigeria, Democratic Republic of Congo, Thailand, Indonesia, Brazil, Ghana, Angola, Cambodia, Viet Nam, Mozambique, Cameroon, Cote d'Ivoire and the United Republic of Tanzania with over 5 million tonnes contribution each. According to FAO (2014), the world net production value of cassava in 2014 was \$26.1 billion US dollars. There are many cultivars and species of cassava however, they fall under one or two categories, bitter and sweet varieties depending on the cyanogenic glucoside levels. The bitter and sweet varieties have high ( $\geq 100$  mg/kg) and low ( $\leq 50$  mg/kg) HCN content respectively. Cassava is usually processed and consumed in various forms which may differ across countries. Generally, one target of cassava processing is to reduce its cyanogenic glucoside content to the lowest level possible.

*Some Background Notes On Mycotoxins:*

- i. The presence of fungi toxins in cassava-based products are reviewed in the discussion paper presented at CCCF13 (2019) (CX/CF 19/13/14). These mycotoxins pose health and economic consequences. Among the group of mycotoxins reported, aflatoxins and ochratoxins were the most occurring.
- ii. Aflatoxins (AFs) are highly potent toxins that are reported in a wide variety of agricultural products. They are mainly produced by *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus parasiticus* and *Aspergillus nomius*. Aflatoxins are among the most potent carcinogenic, teratogenic, and mutagenic compounds known. The major aflatoxins commonly found in agricultural commodities are aflatoxin B1, B2, G1, and G2, of which aflatoxin B1 is the most potent and it has been listed as a group 1 carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, 2002). The quantity of aflatoxins in food and feed is strictly monitored and regulated in most countries.




Figure 1. Chemical structures of aflatoxins **Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.**

- iii. Ochratoxins are a group of toxins produced by *Aspergillus ochraceus*, *Aspergillus carbonarius* and *Penicillium verrucosum*, the three most important being ochratoxins A, B, and C. Out of these three, ochratoxin A is the most toxigenically potent. Ochratoxins are found as natural contaminants on peanuts, corn, stored grains, grapes and coffee among others, and are toxic to humans and livestock. Depending on the host species, these mycotoxins can act as nephrotoxins, hepatotoxins, immunotoxins, neurotoxins, teratogens, or carcinogens (O'Brien and Dietrich, 2005), however, the kidney is the primary target for toxicity.




Figure 2. Chemical structures of ochratoxins

- iv. Mould presence is associated with regions having climate and soil conditions that permit both small or large scale the of cassava cultivation. The prevalence of several species of fungi that are implicated in mycotoxin production usually differs from one region to another. The fungi which can be found in soil and dust, residues of cultivated crops, stored cassava and cassava-based products at processing or storage facilities are usually associated with pre-harvest and/or post-harvest contamination of cassava and cassava-based products.
- v. The severity of pre-harvest fungi infection and propagation largely depends on the prevailing environmental and climatic factors which may differ from year to year and from region to region. It also depends on the presence of inoculums, and the farming practice. The degree of damage of the crop by rodents, insects and other organisms also influences the contamination severity (*Code of practice for the prevention and reduction of mycotoxin contamination in cereals* (CXC 51-2003)). Good agricultural practices (GAP) and good manufacturing practices (GMP) could play a major role in the reduction of severity. Risk of post-harvest fungal infection and production of mycotoxins in stored grain increases with the duration of storage (CXC 51-2003).
- vi. Like the case with other crops, the complete prevention of dissemination by pre-harvest and post-harvest toxigenic fungal species is not practically achievable, even when GAP and GMP are followed. Therefore, the intermittent presence of certain mycotoxins in cassava and cassava-based products destined for human food and animal feed use is to be expected. Consequently, it is important to diligently monitor products for indications of the various conditions that promote fungal contamination and mycotoxin accumulation (CXC 51-2003).
- vii. This information note is based on the current knowledge available about cassava production and processing. It is important to continue information review for increased knowledge and to improve practices along the value chain of cassava from farm-to-consumption.

## 2.0 Recommended practices applicable to pre-planting stage

### Farm land selection

2. This is very critical. A fertile soil should be selected. Most preferred is a loamy soil with good drainage. The farmer should avoid planting in valleys, to avoid flooding. Flood water could transport fungi inoculum from an infected farm (Edia, 2018).

### Farm land clearing and preparation

3. After the land is selected, it should be cleared and debris properly disposed. The soil should be loosened by **tilling**, to reduce stress to cassava roots particularly during enlarging period and also encourage healthy root development.

### Organic fertilizers

4. They could be added during tilling to increase soil fertility or to address specific soil nutrient deficiencies. **Ridges or mounds** should be up to 0.75 m - 1 m apart. This will also be determined by the farming practice either with cassava alone or planted along with other crops (Edia, 2018).



### Cassava variety (cultivar) selection

5. Selection and use of improved, healthy and pest/diseases free cassava stems is important for good yield without rot. The following should be considered when selecting cassava variety: ability to germinate, ability to store well in the soil, ability to resist fungi and other plant pathogens, resistance to pests and diseases, longer shelf life and high starch content. When possible, cassava cuttings that are free of toxigenic fungi should be planted.

6. As an example, the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) and the Nigerian Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI), both developed the UMUCASS 42 and UMUCASS 43 varieties of cassava respectively. Both of which performed well with high yield and high dry matter. The varieties are also resistant to major pests and diseases that affect cassava in the country including cassava mosaic disease, cassava bacterial blight, cassava anthracnose, cassava mealybug, and cassava green mite ([www.iita.org](http://www.iita.org)).

### 3.0 Recommended practices applicable to planting and pre-harvest stage

#### Planting

7. To achieve maximum yield, the stem cuttings of 25 cm length is recommended for planting at space of 1m x 1m; no dead stem should be planted. However, different producers may adopt slightly modified practices depending on cassava variety and the region. When cassava cuttings are to be planted, the method used depends on the climatic and rainfall conditions.

- **Horizontal Planting** involves placing the plants 5 – 10 cm deep into the soil in *dry climates*,
- **Vertical Planting** involves placing the cuttings vertically to avoid rot, especially *during the rainy season*, while
- **Inclined Planting** involves placing the cuttings at 45 degrees and leaving 2 - 3 nodes above the ground. This is recommended in areas with the *least rainfall*. Planting should be done when the sun heat is minimal or absent such as early morning or in the evening.

8. Avoid planting cassava on land where groundnut, maize, sugarcane or other highly susceptible crops were cultivated the previous year because such soils are likely contaminated with *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus parasiticus* and related species. The farmers should plant during the right month, based on geographical location.

#### Weed control

9. The use of post emergence herbicide is recommended immediately weeds are spotted on the field. In some cases, pre-emergence herbicides could be used before planting to minimize weed growth. Small scale farms could use hoes and cutlasses to remove weeds but care should be taken not to induce mechanical injury on the plant. While mechanised equipment could be used in large scale farms. Note that, land preparation needs to be done properly to control the weeds at least for the first 3 months to achieve optimum yield.

10. Certain weeds can harbour toxigenic fungi. The weeds can also increase plant stress when they are in competition for nutrients during the plant development. Either manual or mechanical approaches can be used for weed control; approved herbicides could also be used.

#### Fertilizer application

11. The type and quantity of fertilizer to use are based on the cassava variety and nature of the soil. Fertilizers could be applied around 4 - 8 weeks after planting and 16 weeks after planting, and be applied 6 cm in width and 10 cm from the stems or leaves of the cassava plant. Also, it is advisable to conduct a soil test to determine the type of fertilizer to apply.

#### Pesticide use

12. Approved pesticides could be used to minimize insect damage and fungal infection around the crop. Predictive weather models could be used to plan the best application timing and mode of pesticide application.

#### Irrigation

13. If irrigation is used, ensure that it is applied evenly and that all plants in the field have an adequate supply of water. Irrigation is a valuable method of reducing plant stress in some growing situations. Excess precipitation during anthesis (flowering) makes conditions favourable for dissemination and infection by *Fusarium spp.*; thus irrigation during anthesis and during the ripening of the crops should be avoided.

#### 4.0 Recommended practices applicable to harvest stage

##### Mechanical / Manual Harvesting

14. Harvesting shall involve adequate planning in the areas of timing, age of products and methods to be used. Manual harvesting usually is labor intensive and expensive. For cost effectiveness in commercial operation, farmers are informed to consider using mechanical methods. In the prevention of loss of quality and quantity, amount of roots to be harvested should also be determined depending on market needs and demand.

15. If mechanized processing materials are available, it is advisable to harvest cassava immediately the roots mature. Harvesting manually by hand is done by raising the lower portion of the cassava plant stem and cutting off a part leaving a small portion at the base of the plant to serve as a handle to pull the cassava root out of the ground. Here, the stems are kept for reuse in the next planting season or sold to other cassava farmers. The leaves can also serve as animal feed.

16. Cassava should be harvested when the soil is slightly soft but has no excessive water to easily remove soil from the roots and avoid contamination during peeling.

##### Conveyance tools

17. Containers and conveyances (e.g. trucks) to be used for collecting and transporting the harvested roots from the field to the further processing facilities, and to storage facilities, should be clean, dry and free of crop residues, insects and visible fungal growth before use and re-use.

##### Holding conditions

18. Prior to the processing step, cassava roots should not be exposed to the sun, high temperatures, mechanical damage, etc., since the roots still have high water activity suitable for microbial development. The water activity at this stage varies from 0.922 to 0.996 (Ono, 2020). A continuous flow from harvest to final product should be planned, in order that the roots will not be stored for a long period. The ideal time is 2 to 3 days and the excess should be taken to a suitable raw material storage room (Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA), 2006).

19. Enhanced storage methods for roots help to extend shelf life of fresh roots by Two (2) – Six (6) weeks. Other storage methods such as using low temperatures can be combined with fungicide treatment or waxing and is suitable for export of large amount of roots. Food handlers that can afford the needed specialized equipment with the necessary technical skills may use improved storage methods to store fresh roots thereby protecting.

#### 5.0 Recommended practices applicable to post-harvest stages

##### Cassava-based products

20. Cassava roots can be processed into fermented or unfermented cassa-based products. These products, which depend on the region, have a wide range of applications including food for humans, animal feed, industrial uses such as fillers, and cloth starch among others. The processing steps by which these various products are arrived at differs and can be found in the *Code of practice for the reduction of HCN in cassava and cassava products* (CXC 73-2013). The approach here is to discuss the various steps individually but not under any specific product name. Processing of cassava should be initiated within 8-12 hours of harvest to avoid spoilage.

##### Washing

21. After harvest, if cassava root is to be processed immediately, it should be washed to remove the surface dirt and soil acquired microbes. The source of water is an important factor not to be ignored. Potable water should be used or treat other sources of water for washing to avoid contamination. Proper washing is vital to ensure sand or mud is removed from all parts especially the contours of the root.

##### Peeling

22. Peeled cassava roots should be processed immediately and should not be stored unprocessed. Peeling is either done manually using a knife or is done mechanically. It is done to remove the outer inedible portion of the cassava roots. Peeling should be carried out in a clean environment, and not in one where other crops have been stored otherwise, they will serve as sources of spores for the cassava.

##### Boiling

23. For sweet varieties cassava roots that can be consumed after peeling or boiling, it is recommended to boil roots immediately after peeling and washing. This will expose any fungus to temperatures they cannot survive.

**Size reduction: Grating, slicing or chipping and pulping**

24. Depending on the size of the roots to be processed as well as available equipment, grating of cassava roots can be done manually using a grater or mechanically to produce pulp. In many parts of Africa, a perforated metal sheet is used for manual grating. During grating, the cyanogenic glycosides are hydrolyzed by the enzyme, linamarase.

25. Chipping or slicing is done by cutting cassava into chips, which is dried and milled into flour. Usually low-cyanide(sweet) cassava variety is used, while other variety may be used for making animal feed.

26. Unhygienic practices at this stage could serve as a source of inoculation. The environment should be kept clean, and the grater cleaned washed after each use and adequately stored dry.

**Fermentation**

27. The purpose of fermentation in cassava processing is for further cyanide elimination, flavor development and product stability. Fermentation of cassava for traditional food processing is usually allowed to take a natural course, some optimization research has been carried out to the effect of using selected starter cultures, however this method is not widely used. The sack in which the grated pulp or the container in which the peeled root will be kept, allowing for 2-5 days fermentation must be kept clean at all times and especially well cleaned before use, to avoid it being a natural source of inoculum.

**Dewatering**

28. This process involves removing water from grated cassava roots and it is usually done by pressing. The dewatering process could last up to two days. Dewatering could be done before or after fermentation. Water removal should be optimal and care should be taken not to use contaminated processing materials such as sacs as they may become sources of fungi inoculation. Adequate cleaning and sterilization of the sacs should be done frequently.

**Drying**

29. This is a very important stage, fermented cassava pulp is usually spread in the open air to be dried under non-aseptic conditions, thus exposing them to insects and rodents as well as impurities carried in the air. Any of these could be sources of fungi inoculation. Drying should thereby be done in a controlled environment and monitored. Drying should be properly done to avoid moisture. High Microbial loads may be caused by use of unclean drying surfaces and materials such as sheets, so care must be taken to clean surfaces. Recommended temperatures should be; sun (30-40°C), Solar Dryer (50-60°C), Cabinet dryer (60-65°C) and Flash dryer (120-150).

**Sieving**

30. The sieve to be used in further processing steps should be stored properly and cleaned with potable water before use.

**Frying**

31. Frying of garri among other fermented cassava products contributes dryness to the end-product, thus further discouraging fungi proliferation. (This should be moved under drying)

**Storage**

32. Storage facilities should be cleaned before materials are brought in, to remove dust, fungal spores, crop residues, animal and insect excreta, soil, insects, foreign material such as stones, metal and broken glass, and other sources of contamination. Sheds, silos, bins and other building materials intended for cassava and cassava-based products storage should be dried and well ventilated. They should provide protection from ground water, moisture condensation, rain, entry of rodents, and insects whose activity makes the commodities more susceptible to mould infection. Ideally, it should be able to prevent wide temperature fluctuations.

33. For bagged commodities, ensure that bags are clean, dry and stacked on pallets or incorporate a water impermeable layer between the bags and the floor. The bags should facilitate aeration and be made of non-toxic food-grade materials that do not attract insects or rodents and are sufficiently strong to resist storage for longer periods (CXC 51-2003).

34. Determine moisture content of the lot, and if necessary, dry the crop to the moisture content recommended for storage. Fungal growth is closely related with water activity ( $a_w$ ), commonly defined in foods as the water that is not bound to food molecules that can support the growth of bacteria, yeasts, and fungi. Although the appropriate moisture content for fungal growth on various crops is different, the maximum  $a_w$  to avoid fungal growth is basically the same. It is recognized that fungal growth is inhibited at  $a_w$  of less than 0.70. In addition, safe storage guidance may be provided to reflect the environmental situation in each region.

### **Packaging**

35. In some parts of the world, cassava-based products mainly in form of flour or granules are stored in sacks and then openly displayed in the market. Packaging materials should be made of materials which would not easily absorb moisture when packed and sealed.

### **Transportation**

36. Transport containers, vehicles such as trucks and railway cars and vessels (boats and ships) should be dry and free of old crop dust, visible fungal growth, musty odour, insects and any contaminated material that could contribute to mycotoxin levels in lots and cargoes of cassava and cassava-based products. As necessary, transport containers should be cleaned and disinfected with appropriate substances (which should not cause off-odours, flavour or contaminate the cassava and cassava-based products) before use and re-use and be suitable for the intended cargo. The use of registered fumigants or insecticides may be useful. At unloading, the transport container should be emptied of all cargo and cleaned as appropriate.

37. Shipments of cassava and cassava-based products should be protected from additional moisture by using covered or airtight containers or tarpaulins. Minimise temperature fluctuations and measures that may cause condensation to form on the cassava and cassava-based products, which could lead to local moisture build-up and consequent fungal growth and mycotoxin formation.

38. Avoid insect, bird and rodent infestation during transport by the use of insect-and rodent proof containers or insect and rodent repellent chemical treatments if they are approved for the intended end use of the cassava and cassava-based products.

## **6.0 Conclusions and Recommendations**

39. See conclusions and recommendations above.

## REFERENCES

Code of Practice for the Prevention and Reduction of Mycotoxin Contamination in Cereals (CXC 51-2003).

Code of Practice for the Reduction of Hydrocyanic Acid (HCN) in Cassava and Cassava Products (CAC/RCP 73-2013).

Discussion paper on the establishment of maximum levels for HCN in cassava and cassava products and occurrence of mycotoxins in these products (CX/CF 19/13/14). Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme, Codex Alimentarius Commission, Codex Committee on Contaminants in Foods (13th Session, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 29 April – 3 May 2019 (Prepared by the Electronic Working Group led by Nigeria).

Edia, H. (2018). A Step by Step Guide On How To Start A Cassava Farm and Its Benefits. Published in Farming Inspiration on August 4, 2018. <https://blog.farmcrowdy.com/cassava-farm/>

O'Brien, E., & Dietrich, D. R. (2005). Ochratoxin A: the continuing enigma. *Critical reviews in toxicology*, 35(1), 33-60.

<https://www.iita.org/news-item/nigeria-releases-improved-cassava-varieties-boost-productivity/>

EMBRAPA - Brazilian Agricultural Research Company. Effect of the Cassava Flour Manufacturing Process. Belém, 2006.

Ono, L.T. 2020. Evaluation of mycobiota, presence of aflatoxin and effect of cooking in samples of cassava (*Manihot esculenta Crantz*). Masters dissertation. Graduate program in Food Science and Technology. Campinas: Food Technology Institute (in press).

**DISCUSSION PAPER ON  
LEVELS OF HYDROCYANIC ACID IN CASSAVA AND CASSAVA-BASED PRODUCTS  
(For information)**

### Introduction

1. Cassava (*Manihot esculenta Crantz*), is a crop that is very tolerant to drought, heat stress and can thrive well on marginal soils (Alves, 2002; Calle *et al.*, 2005; Dixon *et al.*, 2008). It serves as a staple food crop in various parts of the world including Africa - Nigeria, Ghana, Kenya, Cameroon, Cote d'Voire, Tanzania; the Americas – Brazil, Colombia, Paraguay, Costa Rica, and Asia – Indonesia, Thailand, India Cambodia, Philippines, Vietnam, Malaysia and China.

2. Major producers of cassava and cassava-based products are Nigeria, Thailand, Indonesia, Ghana, Kenya and Brazil. Apart from Thailand, these major producers are not major exporters due to the high domestic consumption of the product especially in Nigeria, the leading producer of cassava. The inconsistency and lack of political will to implement policies in cassava production and value addition; inadequate conversion of raw cassava to industrial product and finished consumer goods with longer shelf life, are some of the factors responsible.

3. The global trade of cassava took off in the 1980s with the introduction of pellets form for animal feed from Asia into the European markets. It started declining with the introduction of reforms of the European Union (EU) grain markets. This decline has led to the development of intra-South East Asian trading and to China. While evidences of non-interregional trading in cassava is overwhelming in Africa, there are however emerging evidences of intra-regional trade in cassava and cassava-based products. In some other regions of the world where cassava is not cultivated, cassava-based products e.g. cassava chips and tapioca starch or flour, unmodified and modified starches, ethanol, glucose syrup used as food ingredients are imported for retail sale and/or further processing.

4. Cassava-based products are many and because of their local consumption there are different names for same or similar products and there are variants that are peculiar to particular localities. Table 1 depicts some of these local products and some globally well known cassava product forms.

**Table 1: Names and classification of various types of cassava-based products worldwide**

| S/N | Region | Local Name(s)       | Product Description  | Countries Located                            | Trading Zones                         |
|-----|--------|---------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1.  |        | Gari                | Dried fermented cassava flakes                               | Nigeria<br>Ghana<br>Cameroon<br>Cote D'Voire | Domestic<br>Regional<br>International |
| 2.  |        | Lafun               | Unfermented sun dried cassava flour                          | Nigeria                                      | Domestic<br>Regional<br>International |
| 3.  |        | Fufu                | Fermented cassava paste or can be dried and milled to powder | Nigeria                                      | Domestic                              |
|     | Africa | Variants of Fufu    |  | Ghana  |                                       |
| 4.  |        | Makopa              | Dried cassava  | Tanzania                                     | Domestic                              |
| 5   |        | Attieke             | Steamed cassava fermented granules                           | Cote d'Voire                                 | Domestic                              |
| 6   |        | Kirinde / Kondowole |  |  | Domestic                              |
| 7.  |        | Chikwangue          | Fermented cooked cassava                                     | Kenya  | Domestic                              |
| 8   |        | Ebobolo             | Fermented cooked cassava                                     | Cameroon                                     | Domestic<br>Regional                  |

| S/N | Region                        | Local Name(s)                              | Product Description                         | Countries Located                           | Trading Zones                         |
|-----|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| 9   |                               | Mangbere                                   | Fermented cooked cassava                    | DRC<br>Rep of Congo                         | Domestic<br>Regional                  |
| 10  |                               | Miondo sawa                                | Fermented cooked cassava                    |   | Domestic<br>Regional                  |
| 11  |                               | Meedo                                      | Fermented cooked cassava                    |   | Domestic<br>Regional                  |
| 12  |                               | Nyange                                     |   |   | Domestic                              |
| 13  |                               | Bada                                       |   |   | Domestic                              |
| 14  |                               | Ntobambodi                                 | Semi solid fermented cassava leave soup     | Congo                                       | Domestic                              |
| 15  |                               | HQCF (High-Quality Cassava flour)          |   | Nigeria<br>Cote d'Voire                     | Domestic                              |
| 16  |                               | Chips pellets                              |   | Nigeria                                     | International                         |
| 17  |                               | Sour<br>Pan deynca<br>Pan de bono          | Cassava flour<br>Cassava chips<br>Dry chips | Colombia                                    | Domestic                              |
| 18  |                               | Bammy<br>Casabe                            | Bake cassava cake<br>Cassava bread          | Jamaica<br>Countries of<br>Carribbean Basin | Domestic                              |
| 19  | Latin America /<br>Carribeans | Farinha de mesa                            |   | Brazil                                      | Domestic<br>International             |
| 20  |                               | Polvilho azedo                             |   | Brazil                                      | Domestic                              |
| 21  |                               | Pao de gneiyo                              |   | Brazil                                      | Domestic                              |
| 22  |                               | Chipa                                      | Cassava bread                               | Paraguay                                    |                                       |
| 23  |                               | Casareep                                   | Processed juice of bitter cassava           | Guyana                                      | Domestic<br>Regional                  |
| 24  |                               | HQCF                                       |   |   |                                       |
| 25  |                               | Cassava Sago                               |   | India                                       | Domestic                              |
| 26  |                               | Cassava starch                             |   |   | Domestic                              |
| 27  |                               | Baked roots                                |   |   | Domestic                              |
| 28  |                               | Roasted starch                             |   |   | Domestic                              |
| 29  |                               | Gaplek                                     |   | Indonesia                                   | Domestic                              |
| 30  |                               | Starch                                     |   |   | Domestic                              |
| 31  |                               | Starch                                     |   | Malaysia                                    | Domestic                              |
| 32  | Asia                          | Cassava-based noodles, cakes and pasteries |   | Thailand                                    | Domestic                              |
| 33  |                               | Pellets                                    |   |   | International                         |
| 34  |                               | Noodles                                    |   | China<br>Vietnam                            | Domestic                              |
| 35  |                               | MSG  |   |   | Domestic<br>Regional<br>International |
| 36  |                               | Medical Glucose                            |   |   | Domestic<br>Regional                  |

| S/N | Region | Local Name(s)     | Product Description | Countries Located | Trading Zones  |
|-----|--------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 37  |        | Glocose syrup     |                     |                   | International<br>Domestic<br>Regional<br>International |
| 38  |        | Kanoleng<br>kahoy |                     | Philippines       |  |

### Types of cassava

5. Cultivars of cassava are generally classified as bitter (high cyanide) or sweet (low cyanide) depending on the level of the two cyanogenic glucosides (CG) (linamarin, which accounts for 80% of CG and lotaustralin) present in the plant parts (Siritunga and Sayre, 2003); these compound upon enzymatic hydrolysis release cyanohydrin and free-hydrocyanic acid (HCN) (Cardoso *et al.*, 2005; Njoku and Ano, 2018). The value of cassava as food is greatly compromised by the level of toxic HCN in it (Akely *et al.*, 2007; Adepoju *et al.*, 2010). According to World Health Organization (WHO), the safe level for cyanide in cassava flour is 10 ppm or 10 mg HCN kg<sup>-1</sup> (FAO/WHO, 1991; Cardoso *et al.*, 2005).

6. Farmers in Africa grow several cassava varieties. For example, the researchers of the Collaborative Study of Cassava in Africa (COSCA) identified over 1000 local cassava varieties in six counties of the study, namely the Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana, Nigeria, Tanzania and Uganda. The farmers group the local cassava varieties into the bitter and the sweet varieties.

#### Sweet cassava

7. The sweet varieties are more popular in Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana and Uganda. Farmers, however, plant sweet varieties in the forest zone more than in the transition and the savannah zones because limited sunshine in the forest zone makes it difficult to sun-dry the roots after they have been soaked to eliminate cyanogens. Tree crop farmers also plant sweet varieties which they eat without the soaking and sun-drying (and not fearing cyanide poisoning). Sweet (low-cyanide) cassava roots are processed simply by peeling and boiling or roasting and contains low cyanide contents, approximately 15–50 mg HCN per kilogram of fresh weight of roots (Irtwange and Achimba, 2009; Njoku and Ano, 2018).

#### Bitter cassava

8. The bitter varieties of cassava are more common in Congo, Nigeria and Tanzania than the sweet varieties. The COSCA farmers reported that the bitter varieties are more resistant to pests, higher yielding and store better in the ground unharvested than the sweet varieties. Bitter (high cyanide) cassava roots demand a more extensive processing method that goes in sequential order as follows: peeling, washing, grating, fermenting, drying or frying, among others to reduce the HCN content to acceptable level for human consumption. Among the two main cassava groups, bitter cassava is characterized by its high contents of CG (15–400 mg of HCN per kilogram of fresh weight of roots) (Irtwange and Achimba, 2009; Njoku and Ano, 2018).

9. There is therefore need to implement practices and processes that will eliminate HCN from cassava and cassava-based products destined for human and animal consumption because of its toxicity and some resultant health effects which include but not limited to tropical ataxic neuropathy and epidemic stastic paraparesis.

#### Practices and processes used for prevention and reduction of contamination

10. There are existing Codex texts addressing practices and processes for prevention and reduction of the occurrences of HCN in cassava and cassava-based products. Some of the texts include *Code of practice for the reduction of hydrocyanic acid in cassava and cassava products* (CX/C 73-2013).

11. *Codex Standards for Gari* (CXS 151-1985); *Edible Cassava Flour* (CXS 176-1989); *Sweet Cassava* (CXS 238-2003) and *Bitter Cassava* (CXS 300-2010). The texts variously address steps before cultivation land preparation, climatic conditions during cultivation, harvesting and post harvesting processes that will ensure the production of safe cassava products.



## Main processing methods used worldwide

### Boiling

12. Boiling is not an effective method for cyanide removal (50%). The inefficiency of this processing method is due to the high temperatures. At 100°C, linamarase, a heat-labile  $\beta$ -glucosidase, is denatured and linamarin cannot then be hydrolyzed into cyanohydrin. Cooke and Maduagwu (1978) reported that bound glucosides were reduced to 45% to 50% after 25 min of boiling. Free cyanide and cyanohydrin in boiled cassava roots are found at very low concentrations. Nambisan (1994) reported a cyanohydrin and free cyanide content of 6% of the total cyanogens content in 50 g of boiled cassava roots, and only 3% in small pieces (2 g).

13. Furthermore, Oke (1994) reported that cyanohydrin and free cyanide were volatilized during boiling, which reduced the content in boiled cassava roots. However, using small-sized cassava pieces or increasing the volume of water in which cassava roots are boiled can increase the efficiency of the boiling method (Table 2). For example, by reducing cassava chip size, Nambisan and Sundaresan (1985) demonstrated that boiling 2g and 50g pieces of cassava root for 30 min resulted in a 75% and 25% reduction in cyanide content, respectively.

14. Similarly, by increasing the volume of water from 1- to 5-fold, cyanogen retention was reduced from 70% to 24%. Oke (1994) reported that the solubilization of cyanogenic glucosides from the small cassava chips into the large volume of water seemed to better explain the cyanogen removal than enzymatic degradation.

**Table 2: Effects of different processing methods and boiling technique variations on cyanogen glucoside content of cassava roots<sup>a</sup>**

| Process  | Retention% | Cyanogen glucoside<br>mg HCN/kg |
|--|------------|---------------------------------|
| Fresh root   | 100        | 140                             |
| Boiling  | 55.5       | 77.6                            |
| Baking   | 87.1       | 122                             |
| Steaming   | 86.5       | 121                             |
| Changing size boiling (30 min)                     |            |                                 |
| Fresh root   | 100        | 160                             |
| 2g piece   | 25.6       | 41                              |
| 5g piece   | 50         | 80                              |
| 50g piece  | 75         | 120                             |
| Changing water ratio boiling (30 min) <sup>b</sup> |            |                                 |
| Fresh root   | 100        | 165                             |
| Root: water (1:1)                                  | 69.6       | 115                             |
| Root: water (1:2)                                  | 36.7       | 60.5                            |
| Root: water (1:5)                                  | 24.2       | 40.1                            |
| Root: water (1:10)                                 | 22.3       | 36.8                            |

<sup>a</sup>Adapted from Nambisan and Sundaresan (1985).

Expressed as  $\mu\text{g}$  cyanide/g fresh weight in reference.

<sup>b</sup>2g piece were used during the trial.

### Steaming, baking, and frying

15. The loss of cyanide resulting from steaming, baking, or frying is small (Table 2) due to processing temperatures of over 100°C and to the stability of linamarin in neutral or weak acid conditions (Nambisan and Sundaresan 1985; Bradbury and others 1991). These methods are only suitable for sweet cassava, common in the South Pacific, because they contain low cyanide content (Bradbury and Holloway 1988).

### Drying methods

16. Two kinds of drying are used for cassava: mechanical drying, such as in an oven, and natural drying by the sun (Table 3). In the drying process, endogeneous linamarase controls the cyanogenic glucoside removal, and thus is responsible for cyanohydrin and free cyanide accumulation in dried cassava. During oven-drying, an increase in drying temperature is accompanied by an increase in cyanide retention.

17. Indeed, Cooke and Maduagwu (1978) observed a cyanide reduction of 29% at 46°C and of 10% at 80°C. In 10-mm-thick chips, Nambisan (1994) observed similar cyanide reductions of 45% to 50% and 53% to 60% at 50 and 70°C, respectively. At drying temperatures above 55°C, linamarase activity is inhibited and, therefore, linamarin starts to accumulate in dried cassava. Nambisan (1994) showed that at equal temperatures, a decrease in cassava size was associated with an increase in cyanide retention in the oven-drying processes. Indeed, at 50°C, 10mm thick chips retained 45% to 50% of the cyanogenic glucosides, and 3-mm-thick chips retained 60% to 65%. Thin chips dry faster allowing less time for linamarase to act on the glucosides. At 70°C, the effect of chip size on the removal of cyanogenic glucosides was minimal, but cyanogen retention was greater due to a higher drying temperature. Cyanide retention during sun-drying is lower than in oven-drying because the temperatures remain well below 55°C.

18. These temperatures are optimal for linamarase activity resulting in better cyanogen degradation. Free cyanide contents of 30% total cyanogens in oven-dried and 60% in sun-dried cassava have been reported (Gomez *et al.*, 1984; Gomez and Valdivieso 1984). Because linamarase activity is higher in the sun-drying process, more linamarin is deglycosylated into cyanohydrin and, therefore, cyanohydrin and free cyanide accumulate. However, chip thickness may still be an important factor in cyanogen removal during sun-drying because thin chips dry faster. Nambisan and Sundaresan (1985) reported a 52% to 58% cyanogen glucoside retention in 3mm thick chips, and 27% to 33% cyanogen glucoside retention in 10mm thick chips.

19. Generally, drying is not an efficient means of detoxification, especially for cassava varieties with high initial cyanogen glucoside content. In Tanzania, sun-drying whole roots into *makopa* reduced cyanide levels from 751 to 254 mg HCN equivalents/kg DW, that is, 66% of total cyanogens were removed (Mlingi and Bainbridge 1994). Cyanogenic glucoside breakdown during sundrying depends on enzymatic hydrolysis and on gradual root cell disintegration. Thinner cassava pieces dry faster, and at low moisture content levels (13%) linamarase is inactivated, and cyanogen glucoside break down ceases (Mlingi and Bainbridge 1994). Cyanohydrin removal is increased with complete sun-drying. A possible explanation would be that dehydration of the roots and moisture losses result in pH changes, which affects cyanohydrin stability (Mlingi and Bainbridge 1994).

20. Because drying temperatures are above the boiling point of HCN (26°C) and free cyanide is easily released into the atmosphere, free cyanide can readily be removed (Mlingi and Bainbridge 1994). Meuser and Smolnick (1980) reported that freeze-drying pulp and flash-drying cassava slices removed 51% to 52% of cyanogens, and that these 2 kinds of drying tended to remove only the free cyanide, which was most likely produced during the short processing time. Oke (1994) concluded that free cyanide represents only a small fraction of total cyanogens and, therefore, freeze- and flash-drying must be considered inefficient.

**Table 3: Effects of drying processes on cyanogen content of cassava roots**

| Processing methods                   | Cyanide retention (%) | Total HCN (mg HCN/kg) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Oven drying <sup>a</sup>             |                       |                       |
| Fresh root                           | 100                   | 140                   |
| 50°C, 10mm chips                     | 46.4                  | 65                    |
| 50°C, 3mm chips                      | 64.2                  | 89.5                  |
| 70°C, 10mm chips                     | 60                    | 84.5                  |
| 70°C, 3mm chips                      | 74.2                  | 104                   |
| Sun drying <sup>a</sup>              |                       |                       |
| Fresh root                           | 100                   | 140                   |
| 10mm chips                           | 27.8                  | 39                    |
| 3mm chips                            | 53.1                  | 75                    |
| Crushing and sun drying <sup>a</sup> |                       |                       |
| Fresh root                           | 100                   | 165                   |
|                                      | 2.1                   | 3.5                   |
| Sun drying by time <sup>b</sup>      |                       |                       |
| Fresh root                           | 100                   | 1090                  |

| Processing methods                          | Cyanide retention (%) | Total HCN (mg HCN/kg) |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 8 d sun drying                              | 54.2                  | 591                   |
| 17 d sun drying                             | 36.8                  | 401                   |
| Repeated pounding + sun drying <sup>b</sup> |                       |                       |
| Fresh root                                  | 100                   | 513                   |
|   | 14.6                  | 75                    |

<sup>a</sup>Adapted from Nambisan and Sundaresan (1985).

Expressed as  $\mu\text{g}$  cyanide/g fresh weight in reference and referred to as cyanide glucoside.

<sup>b</sup>Adapted from Mlingi and Bainbridge (1994)

### Fermentation

21. Fermentation by lactic acid bacteria is a processing method commonly used in Africa. Fermentation is initiated with grated or soaked cassava roots (Table 4) and results in a decrease in pH value. The efficiency of the 2 kinds of fermentation differs due to the mechanisms of cyanogen removal. The microorganisms in the traditional fermentation process of grated roots have been characterized (Coulin *et al.*, 2006).

22. The fermentation of grated cassava roots is efficient at removing cyanogen glucosides. Westby and Choo (1994) reported that 95% of linamarin was removed within 3 h of grating. Vasconcelos *et al.*, (1990) showed that microorganisms played only a minor role in cyanogen reduction and that grating was mainly responsible for linamarin hydrolysis. Although linamarin is rapidly removed by grating, cyanide retention stays high in products of grated and fermented cassava roots. Indeed, after 3 and 80 h of grated cassava fermentation, 74% and 40.3% of total cyanogens, respectively, were retained. Vasconcelos *et al.*, (1990) reported that high concentrations of cyanohydrin and free cyanide were left in the fermented paste. This might be explained by the stability of cyanohydrins at acidic pH (Cooke, 1978). Thus, post fermentation operations are important and need to be effective for reducing cyanohydrin and free cyanide levels in such final products as lafun, fufu, gari and pupuru

23. The process of roasting after fermentation of grated cassava, which is used for *gari*, is relatively efficient as free HCN and cyanohydrin are steadily removed into the atmosphere leaving little free HCN (3.4 mg/kg DW) and cyanohydrin (2.2 mg/kg DW) (Vasconcelos *et al.*, 1990) in the finished products. Cyanide content of *gari* further decreases during storage. Indeed, Mahungu *et al.*, (1987) showed that a 4-mo-old *gari* (2.9 mg HCN equivalents/kg) had a cyanogen content 9 times less than its initial content (26.6 mg HCN equivalents/kg), and after 2 y of storage, *gari* seemed to be a cyanogen-free product, that is, in 57 samples analyzed, no cyanogen could be detected.

24. The fermentation of soaked roots in water is much more effective than that of grated roots in terms of cyanogen reduction. Indeed, more than 90% of total cyanogens were removed after 3 d of fermentation and about one-third of initial linamarin was found in the water. No significant accumulation of cyanohydrin or free cyanide was noted (Westby and Choo, 1994). In this case, microbial growth is essential for removing cyanogens. The cyanogen removal process can be improved by increasing the soaking and fermentation times (Oke, 1994) and by peeling and grating cassava roots between the soaking and fermentation stages. Dufour (1994) showed that soaking cassava roots for 6 d, grating them on the 6th day, and fermenting the mash obtained for 4 d into *farina* allowed a cyanide removal of 98%. Soaking for long periods can introduce fungi (Thambirajah, 1989), mold spores, and undesirable bacteria into the final products (Hakimjee and Lindgren 1988). The mold is typically nontoxic and contributes to reduced viscosity in weaning foods. The undesirable bacteria are thought to be destroyed during the cooking process (Hakimjee and Lindgren 1988).

25. Dry fermentation can also be used to remove cyanogens. Gidamis *et al.*, (1993) showed that 89.6% of total cyanogens were lost in *ugali* after a dry fermentation (solid state fermentation) of cassava roots. Similarly, a cyanide retention ranging from 12.5% to 16.5% in cassava roots that have undergone heap fermentation has been reported (Essers *et al.*, 1995; Cardoso *et al.*, 1998; Ernesto *et al.*, 2000, 2002a, b).

**Table 4: Effects of fermentation on cyanide content of cassava roots<sup>a</sup>**

|              | Cyanide retention (%) | Total HCN (mg HCN/kg) |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Fermentation |                       |                       |
| Grated roots |                       |                       |
| 0 d          | 100                   | 170                   |
| 1 d          | 53                    | 90                    |
| 3 d          | 42                    | 70                    |

|              | Cyanide retention<br>% | Total HCN<br>mg HCN/kg |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Soaked roots |                        |                        |
| 0 d          | 100                    | 850                    |
| 1 d          | 110                    | 950                    |
| 3 d          | 6                      | 50                     |

<sup>a</sup> Adapted from Westby and Choo (1994).

**Table 4: Classification and attributes of cassava processors**

| Individual/household processors   | Medium scale processors (SMEs)  | Industrial processors  |
|---|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Artisanal. Manual techniques and rudimentary technologies</li> <li>Often purchase cassava from smallholder farmers</li> <li>Process into food products for household consumption and few sales in open markets</li> <li>95% of the processors' population</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Semi-automated techniques. New technologies</li> <li>Mostly cooperatives which process cassava into gari or individuals that source cassava food products from community-based processors</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Automated techniques and new technologies</li> <li>Predominantly integrated operations, with commercial cassava farms and automated processing equipment</li> <li>Process cassava into industrial starch, HQCF, ethanol, chips, and syrups</li> </ul> |

Source: Sahel capital agribusiness managers limited 2016

#### Testing method for HCN (Total HCN)

26. There are available analytical methods for monitoring and checking whether a cassava and cassava-based product is in compliance with the maximum level of HCN. Some of these methods are screening tests without laboratory equipment such as chemosensor, other methods include picrate method, spectrophotometry/ colorimetric techniques, enzymatic hydrolysis method using linamarase, acid hydrolysis method, electrochemical method using cyanide electrode, alkaline titration method and bench methods.

#### Determination of cyanide content in cassava products

Sample extraction:

- Weigh 5g of the sample into a 250ml flask
- Add 50ml of distilled water and allow to stay overnight
- Filter to collect the FILTRATE

Preparation of alkaline picrate solution:

- Weigh 25g of anhydrous sodium carbonate in a beaker and 5g of anhydrous picric acid in another beaker
- Dissolve in minimal amount of warm distilled water separately
- Transfer them into a 1000ml volumetric flask
- Make up to the 1000ml mark

Construction of a standard curve for cyanide using alkaline picrate solution:


- Weigh 200mg of potassium cyanide (KCN) into a beaker
- Dissolve with distilled water
- Transfer into a 1000ml volumetric flask Make up to the 1000ml mark with distilled water
- This gives a concentration of 200mg/L (200PM) KCN STOCK SOLUTION
- Prepare 1ppm, 5ppm, 10ppm, 15ppm 20ppm and 25ppm

**Quantitative analysis:**

- Measure 20ml of the sample extract (filtrate) into a 100ml volumetric flask
- Add 40ml of alkaline picrate solution
- Incubate in a water bath at 95°C for 5 minutes
- Allow to cool to room temperature
- Set the UV-Spectrophotometer at 490nm
- Run the Standards (1ppm, 5ppm, 10ppm, 15ppm, 20ppm and 25ppm) and the samples to obtain absorbances
- The concentration of cyanide is extrapolated from the calibration curve of Absorbance Vs Concentration

**Ref:** Babalola Olabukola Omolara. Cyanide Content of Commercial Gari from different areas of Ekiti State, Nigeria. World Journal of Nutrition and Health, Vol. 2, No 4 (2014): 58 - 60

**Diagram 1: A Simplified Example of the Cassava Value Chain**



## Conclusion and Recommendations

27. See conclusions and recommendations above.

## REFERENCES

- Adepoju, O.T., Adekola, Y.G., Mustapha, S.O., Ogunola, S.I., 2010. Effect of processing methods on nutrient retention and contribution of local diets from cassava (*Manihot spp*) to nutrient intake of nigerian consumers. *Afri. J. Food Agric. Nutr. Dev.* (AJFAND) 10 (2), 2099–2111.
- Akely, P.M.T., Amani, N.G., Azouma, O., Nindjin, C., 2007. Effect of squeezing force of fermented cassava mash (*Manihot esculenta* CRANTZ) on Attieke physico-chemical and sensory qualities. In: Proceedings of the Actes of Conference on Potentiel de Transformation du Manioc en Afrique de l’Oeust. Abidjan, Cote d’Ivoire, pp. 150–153.
- Alves, A.A.C., 2002. Cassava botany and physiology. In: Hillocks, R.J., Thresh, J.M., Bellotti, A.C. (Eds.), *Cassava: Biology, Production and Utilization*. CAB International, Wallingford, pp. 67–89.
- Bradbury JH, Egan SV, Lynch MJ. 1991. Analysis of cyanide in cassava using acid hydrolysis of cyanogenic glucosides. *J Sci Food Agric* 55:277–90.
- Bradbury JH, Holloway WD. 1988. Cassava, *M. esculenta*. Chemistry of tropical root crops: significance for nutrition and agriculture in the pacific. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, monograph no. 6, Canberra, Australia, p 76–104.
- Calle, F., Perez, J.C., GaitAan, W., Morante, N., Ceballos, H., Llano, G., and Alvarez, E., 2005. Diallel inheritance of relevant traits in cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) adapted to acid-soil savannahs. *Euphytica* 144, 177–186.
- Cardoso, A.P., Mirione, E., Ernesto, M., Massaza, F., Cliff, J., Haque, M.R., Bradbury, J.H., 2005. Processing of cassava roots to remove cyanogens. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 18, 451–460.
- Cardoso AP, Ernesto M, Cliff J, Egan SV, Bradbury JH. 1998. Cyanogenic potential of cassava flour: field trial in Mozambique of a simple kit. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 49:93–9.
- Cooke RD, Maduagwu E. 1978. The effects of simple processing on the cyanide content of cassava chips. *J Food Technol* 13:299–306.
- Cooke RD. 1978. An enzymatic assay for the total cyanide content of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz). *J Sci Food Agric* 29:345–52.
- Coulin P, Farah Z, Assanvo J, Spillmann H, Puhan Z. 2006. Characterisation of the microflora of atti’ek’e, a fermented cassava product, during traditional small-scale preparation. *Int J Food Microbiol* 106:131–6.
- Dixon, A.G.O., Akoroda, M.O., Okechukwu, R.U., Ogbe, F., Ilona, P., Sanni, L.O., Ezedinma, C., Lemchi, J., Ssemakula, G., Yomeni, M.O., Okoro, E., Tarawali, G., 2008. Fast track participatory approach to release of elite cassava genotypes for various uses in Nigeria's cassava economy. *Euphytica* 160, 1–13.
- Dufour DL. 1994. Cassava in Amazonia: lessons in utilization and safety from native peoples. *Acta Hort* 375:175–82.
- Essers AJ, Ebong C, van der Griff RM, Nout MJR, Otim-Nape W, Rosling H. 1995. Reducing cassava toxicity by heap fermentation in Uganda. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 46:125–36.
- Ernesto M, Cardoso AP, Cliff J, Bradbury JH. 2000. Cyanogens in cassava flour and roots and urinary thiocyanate concentration in Mozambique. *J Food Comp Anal* 13:1–12.
- Ernesto M, Cardoso AP, Nicala D, Mirione E, Massaza F, Cliff J, Haque MR, Bradbury JH. 2002a. Persistent konzo and cyanide toxicity from cassava in Northern Mozambique. *Acta Tropica* 82:357–62.
- Ernesto M, Cardoso AP, Nicala D, Mirione E, Massaza F, Cliff J, Haque MR, Bradbury JH. 2002b. Strategy for the elimination of konzo in Mozambique. *Roots* 8:8–11.
- FAO/WHO (Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization), 1991. Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme. Co34. *Dex Alimentarius Commission XII*. FAO, Rome, Italy. Supplement 4.
- Gidamis AB, O’Brien GM, Poulter NH. 1993. Cassava detoxification of traditional Tanzanian cassava foods. *Int J Food Sci Technol* 28:211–8.
- Gomez G, Valdivieso M, De la Cuesta D, Kawano K. 1984. Cyanide content in whole root chips of ten cassava cultivars and its reduction by oven drying or sun drying on trays. *J Food Technol* 19:97–102.
- Gomez G, Valdivieso M. 1984. Effects of sundrying on a concrete flour and oven drying on trays on elimination of cyanide from cassava whole-root chips. *J Food Technol* 19:703–10.
- Hakimjee M, Lindgren S. 1988. Fermented cassava products in Tanzania. In: Alnwick D, Moses S, Schmidt OG, editors. *Improving young child feeding in eastern and southern Africa. Household-level food technology. Proceedings of a workshop held in Nairobi, Kenya, 12–16 October 1987*. Ottawa, Canada: Intl. Development Research Centre. p 220–8.
- Irtwange, S.V., Achimba, O., 2009. Effect of fermentation on the quality of gari. *Curr. Res. J. Biol. Sci.* 1 (3), 150–154.

- Zelder F and Tivana L. 2015: Corrin-based chemosensors for the ASSURED detection of endogenous cyanide. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, 13, 14
- Mahungu NM, Yamaguchi Y, Alamazan AM, Hahn SK. 1987. Reduction of cyanide during processing of cassava into some traditional African foods. *J Food Agric (Nigeria)* 1:11–5.
- Meuser F, Smolnick HD. 1980. Processing of cassava to gari and other foodstuffs. *Starch/Starke* 32:116–22.
- Mlingi NLV, Bainbridge Z. 1994. Reduction of cyanogen levels during sun-drying of cassava in Tanzania. *Acta Horti* 375:233–9.
- Nambisan B, Sundaresan S. 1985. Effect of processing on the cyanoglucoside content of cassava. *J Sci Food Agric* 36:1197–203.
- Nambisan B. 1994. Evaluation of the effect of various processing techniques on cyanogen content reduction in cassava. *Acta Horti* 375:193–201.
- Njoku, D.N., Ano, C.U.C., 2018. Cyanide in cassava: a review. *Inter. J. Genomics and Data Mining*. 2018 (1), 1–10.
- Oke OL. 1994. Eliminating cyanogens from cassava through processing: technology and tradition. *Acta Horti* 375:163–74.
- Otekunrin, O. A., and Sawicka, B. 2019. Cassava, a 21st Century Staple Crop: How can Nigeria Harness Its Enormous Trade Potentials?. *Acta Scientific Agriculture*, 3, 194-202.
- Siritunga, D., Sayre, R.T., 2003. Generation of cyanogen-free transgenic cassava. *Planta* 217, 367–373.
- Thambirajah JJ. 1989. Safety evaluation of cassava fermented with micro-fungi. *Trop Agric (Trinidad)* 66:326–8.
- Vasconcelos AT, Twiddy DR, Westby A, Reilly PJA. 1990. Detoxification of cassava during gari preparation. *Int J Food Sci Technol* 25:198–203.
- Westby A, Choo BK. 1994. Cyanogen reduction during lactic fermentation of cassava. *Acta Horti* 375:209–15.



**APPENDIX IV****List of Participants**

Chair Nigeria

Dr Abimbola Opeyemi Adegboye

National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control

NAFDAC Nigeria

[adegboye.a@nafdac.gov.ng](mailto:adegboye.a@nafdac.gov.ng)

+2348053170810

Co Chair Ghana

Mr Ebenezer Kofi Essel

Food and Drugs Authority (FDA)

P. O. Box CT 2783 Cantonments, Accra Ghana

[kooduntu@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:kooduntu@yahoo.co.uk)

+233 244 655943

**AUSTRALIA**

Dr Matthew O Mullane  
Section Manager Standards & Surveillance  
Food Standards Australian New Zealand  
Australia

**BRAZIL**

Ligia Lindner Schreiner  
Health Regulation Specialist  
Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency

Carolina Araújo Viera  
Health Regulation Specialist  
Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency

Ana Claudia Marquim Firmo de Araújo  
Specialist on Regulation and Health Surveillance  
Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency

**EUROPEAN UNION**

Mr Frans VERSTRAETE  
European Commission

**INDONESIA**

Mrs Yusra Egayanti  
Deputy Director Certain Food Standardization Indonesia  
Food and Drug Authority

**JAMAICA**

Dr. Linnette Peters  
Director, Ministry of Health  
Veterinary Associate Professor Public Health

**KENYA**

Dr. George Ooko Abong'  
Senior Lecturer/Consultant  
Department of Food Science, Nutrition and Technology  
University of Nairobi

**KOREA (REPUBLIC OF)**

Codex Contact Point  
Ministry of Food and Drug Safety (MFDS)  
Republic of Korea

Yeji Seong  
Codex researcher  
Food Standard Division,  
Ministry of Food and Drug Safety(MFDS),

Miok Eom  
Senior Scientific Officer  
Residues and Contaminants Standard Division,  
Ministry of Food and Drug Safety(MFDS),

**NIGERIA**

Professor Hussain Makun  
Head of Africa Centre of Excellence in Mycotoxin and Food  
Safety  
Federal University of Technology

Dr. Obadina Adewale Olusegun  
Head of Department (Food Science and Technology),  
Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta

Dr. Daniel Ojochenemi Apeh  
Department of Biochemistry  
Federal University of Technology

Dr. Maimuna Abdulahi Habib  
Director Projects Coordination  
FMARD Abuja

Mrs Zainab Ojochenemi Towobola  
Deputy Director (Nutrition & Food Safety)  
Federal Min of Agriculture and Rural Development  
FMARD

Mrs Amalachukwu Ufondu  
Assistant Chief Regulatory Officer NAFDAC

Mrs Victoria Iyabode Oye  
Senior Scientific Officer  
Quality Assurance and Development  
FCCPC Abuja

Codex Contact Point  
SON Nigeria National Codex Committee Secretariat SON  
Abuja

Mrs. Mopelola Olubunmi Akeju  
Director FCCPC, Abuja Nigeria  
Quality Assurance & Development

#### **PARAGUAY**

Ing. Agr. Mónica Gavilán Giménez  
Specialist in Public Health Nutrition and Food Safety - Post-harvest Specialist  
Facultad de Ciencias Agronómica de la Universidad Nacional de Asunción

Ing. Agr. Dionisia Carballo  
Research Professor  
Department of Research and Toxicology  
Faculty of Agronomic Sciences  
National University of Asunción

#### **PERU**

Javier Aguilar Zapata  
Agrifood Safety Specialist /  
Lead Coordinator of the Food Contaminants Committee  
SENASA

Jorge Pastor Miranda  
Agrifood Safety Specialist /  
Alternate Coordinator of the Food Contaminants Committee  
SENASA

Juan Carlos Huiza Trujillo  
Dirección General de Salud Ambiental DIGESA  
Minsa / Perú

#### **POLAND**

Codex Contact Point for Poland  
Main Inspectorate  
International Cooperation Department  
Poland  
kodeks@ijhars.gov.pl

#### **THAILAND**

Chutiwan Jatupornpong  
Standards officer  
Office of Standard Development  
National Bureau of Agricultural Commodity and Food Standards

Ms. Korwadee Phonkliang  
Standards officer  
Office of Standard Development  
National Bureau of Agricultural Commodity and Food Standards

#### **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

Henry Kim  
Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition  
Food and Drug Administration

Anthony Adeuya  
Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition  
Food and Drug Administration