CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION



Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org
Agenda Item 15
CX/PR 21/52/19-Add.1
July 2021

ORIGINAL LANGUAGE ONLY

JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME

CODEX COMMITTEE ON PESTICIDE RESIDUES

52nd Session (Virtual)

26-30 July and 3 August 2021

ESTABLISHMENT OF CODEX SCHEDULES AND PRIORITY LISTS OF PESTICIDES FOR EVALUATION / RE-EVALUATION BY JMPR

Public health concerns to schedule compounds for periodic review by JMPR submitted by the European Union

Chlorpyrifos (17)

Annex B FORM FOR EXPRESSING CONCERNS WITH PUBLIC HEALTH ON A PESTICIDE FOR PRIORITISATION OF PERIODIC REVIEW

| Submitted by: The European Union | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Date: March 2020 | | |
| Pesticide/Pesticide Code Number | Food/Food Code Number | CXL (mg/kg) |
| Chlorpyrifos (17) | All commodities | All CXLs |
| Is this a concern? Yes | | |

The concern relates to which prioritization criterion/criteria (Specific statement of concern)

Chlorpyrifos was originally evaluated by JMPR in 1972. It was evaluated for toxicology in 1982 by JMPR and for residues in 1995 and it was reviewed for toxicology in 1999 (confirmed ADI of 0-0.01 mg/kg bw and ARfD 0.1 mg/kg bw) and for residues in 2000, 2004 and 2006.

There is a 20 years' gap since chlorpyrifos was last reviewed by JMPR, as it is also indicated in General considerations (point 2.6) of 2019 Report of the extra Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues.

During the 2019 EU Peer Review of the active substance, and based on the information available from the European Food Safety Authority's Statement on the available outcomes of the human health assessment of the active substance chlorpyrifos, concerns were identified with regard to:

- The genotoxic potential of chlorpyrifos which cannot be ruled out based on the information available: positive findings were found in an in vitro chromosome aberration study and two in vitro unscheduled DNA synthesis assays; in vivo positive findings were found in open literature on chromosome aberration and on DNA damage caused through oxidative stress or by topoisomerase II inhibition, which is considered a molecular initiating event for infant leukaemia. Consequently, <u>health based reference values cannot be established for chlorpyrifos and the dietary and non-dietary risk assessments cannot be conducted.</u>
- Developmental neurotoxicity (DNT) effects were observed in the available study on developmental
 neurotoxicity in rats (adverse effects were seen at the lowest dose tested in rats and a no observed
 adverse effects level 'NOAEL' could not be established) and epidemiological evidence exists showing an
 association between exposure to chlorpyrifos and/or chlorpyrifos-methyl during development and adverse
 neurodevelopmental outcomes in children.

• Based on the evidence for DNT, experts during the peer review suggested that classification of chlorpyrifos as toxic for reproduction, category 1B, H360D 'May damage the unborn child', in accordance with the criteria set out in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 would be appropriate.

For all these reasons, it is considered that a re-evaluation for toxicology and residues of chlorpyrifos and all their CXLs is necessary and this task should be prioritized on the JMPR calendar. It was noted that aspects of epidemiology should be included.

Is supporting data being provided? Yes

Data/Information: EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2019. Statement on the available outcomes of the human health assessment in the context of the pesticides peer review of the active substance chlorpyrifos. EFSA Journal 2019;17(5):5809 DOI: 10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5809 https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/5809

Is this a continuing concern? No

Outline ongoing concern and provide supporting data: N.A.

Chlorothalonil (81)

Annex B

FORM FOR EXPRESSING CONCERNS WITH PUBLIC HEALTH ON A PESTICIDE FOR PRIORITISATION OF PERIODIC REVIEW

| Submitted by: The European Union | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Date: March 2020 | | | | |
| Pesticide/Pesticide Code Number | Food(s)/Food Code Number(s) | CXL (mg/kg) | | |
| Chlorothalonil (81) | All commodities | All CXLs | | |
| Is this a concern: Yes | | | | |
| The concern relates to which prioritis | ation criterion/criteria (Specific statement | of concern) | | |
| Chlorothalonil was initially evaluated l (last review in 2015). | by JMPR in 1990 and reviewed several time | s for toxicology and residues | | |
| absence of toxicological reference value preliminary residue definitions could represent not be excluded. Moreover, under pro- chlorothalonil into R613636 was obse- could not be excluded. Further to that very high persistent soil metabolite the metabolism study but was not investig In addition, the ARfD for parent has defined | ecreased to 0.05 mg/kg bw/day during the | ner risk assessment using the 281 a genotoxic potential could eratures, degradation of r R613636, a genotoxic potentia ed for R417888, a medium to or residue in the rotational crop recent EU peer review. | | |
| - | ted during the EU peer review which have rothalonil and specifically its metabolites for | - | | |
| Is supporting data being provided? Ye | 25 | | | |
| | eview of the pesticide risk assessment of th L):5126. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5126 ournal/pub/5126 | e active substance | | |
| Is this a continuing concern? No | | | | |

Outline ongoing concern and provide supporting data: N.A.

Chlorpyrifos-methyl (90)

<u>Annex B</u>

FORM FOR EXPRESSING CONCERNS WITH PUBLIC HEALTH ON A PESTICIDE FOR PRIORITISATION OF PERIODIC REVIEW

| Submitted by: The European Union | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Date: March 2020 | | | |
| Pesticide/Pesticide Code Number | Food/Food Code Number | CXL (mg/kg) | |
| Chlorpyrifos-methyl (90) | All commodities | All CXLs | |
| Is this a concern? Yes | | | |
| The concern relates to which prioritisati | on criterion/criteria (Specific statement of co | ncern) | |
| | ated by the JMPR in 1975. It was evaluated fo iewed for toxicology in 1992 and 2001 (ADI of in 1993, 1994, 2009 and 2013. | | |
| | active substance, and based on the informatic nent on the available outcomes of the humar tified with regard to: | | |
| concerns raised for chlorpyrifos con to chlorpyrifos-methyl. In addition, presenting some limitations, should concerns about the potential for ch | fos-methyl, which cannot be ruled out when cerning chromosome aberration and DNA dat the available scientific open literature on chlo be considered in a weight of evidence appro- lorpyrifos-methyl to damage DNA. Consequer hed for chlorpyrifos-methyl and the dietary ar | mage that may also apply prpyrifos-methyl, although ach and raises some ntly, health based | |
| full assessment of effects on brain of be evaluated due to the lack of cont DNT could not be established. Since neurotoxicity on chlorpyrifos (adver could not be established) concerns exists showing an association betwee | - the available DNT study on chlorpyrifos-me levelopment, in particular since effects on cer trols in females and a no observed adverse eff DNT effects were observed in the available d rse effects were seen at the lowest dose teste exist also for chlorpyrifos-methyl. Moreover, o een exposure to chlorpyrifos and/or chlorpyrifor velopmental outcomes in in children. | rebellum height could not fects level 'NOAEL' for levelopmental d in rats and a NOAEL epidemiological evidence | |
| that classification of chlorpyrifos-me | nental neurotoxicity (DNT), experts during the ethyl as toxic for the reproduction category 11 h the criteria set out in Commission Regulation | B, H360D 'May damage | |
| | at a re-evaluation for toxicology and residues hould be prioritized on JMPR calendar. It was | | |
| Is supporting data being provided? Yes | | | |
| the human health assessment in the cor | nority (EFSA), 2019. Updated statement on th ntext of the pesticides peer review of the activ 17(11):5908. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5908. <u>Irnal/pub/5908</u> | | |
| Is this a continuing concern? No | | | |
| Outline ongoing concern and provide su | upporting data: N.A. | | |

Propiconazole (160)

<u>Annex B</u>

FORM FOR EXPRESSING CONCERNS WITH PUBLIC HEALTH ON A PESTICIDE FOR PRIORITISATION OF PERIODIC REVIEW

| Submitted by: European Union | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date: March 2020 | | | | |
| Pesticide/Pesticide Code Number | Food(s)/Food Code Number(s) | CXL (mg/kg) | | |
| Propiconazole (160) | All commodities | All CXLs | | |
| Is this a concern: Yes | | | | |
| The concern relates to which priorit | isation criterion/criteria (Specific statem | ent of concern) | | |
| | r toxicology of propiconazole was in 2004. s with safety factor of 100) and an ARfD at .00). | | | |
| safety factor of 100) and an ARfD at could not finalise the consumer diet definition for risk assessment for pla | A in 2017. An ADI was set at 0.04 mg/kg b 0.1 mg/kg bw (Developmental study in rat ary risk assessment considering the outsta nts and the livestock exposure assessmen s, even genotoxicity has not been studied have not been finalized. | t with safety factor of 300). EFSA anding data to finalise the residue t. No conclusion could be drawn | | |
| In addition, an acute intake concern CXLs. | was identified for European consumer fro | m some existing and proposed | | |
| Is supporting data being provided? | Yes | | | |
| Data/Information: EFSA, 2017: Conc substance propiconazole. EFSA Journ https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/ef | | risk assessment of the active | | |
| Is this a continuing concern? No | | | | |
| | | | | |