CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION





; E

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - Fax: (+39) 06 5705 4593 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org

REP14/PR

JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME

CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION

37th Session Geneva, Switzerland, 14 – 18 July 2014

REPORT OF THE 46th SESSION OF THE

CODEX COMMITTEE ON PESTICIDE RESIDUES

Nanjing, China, 5 - 10 May 2014

Note: This report includes Codex Circular Letter CL 2014/16-PR.

CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION





E

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - Fax: (+39) 06 5705 4593 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org

CX 4/40.2

May 2014

To: - Codex Contact Points

- Interested International Organizations

From: Secretariat,

Codex Alimentarius Commission,

Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme,

E-mail: codex@fao.org,

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla,

00153 Rome, Italy

SUBJECT: DISTRIBUTION OF THE REPORT OF THE 46™ SESSION OF THE CODEX COMMITTEE ON PESTICIDE RESIDUES (REP14/PR)

The report of the 46th Session of the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues will be considered by the 37th Session of the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Geneva, Switzerland, 14 – 18 July 2014).

PART A: MATTERS FOR ADOPTION BY THE 37TH SESSION OF THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION:

- 1. Draft maximum residue limits for pesticides at Step 8 (para 115, Appendix II).
- 2. Proposed draft maximum residue limits for pesticides at Step 5/8 (with omission of Steps 6/7) (para 115, Appendix III).
- 3. Proposed draft revision to the *Classification of Food and Feed* at Step 5 selected vegetable commodity groups (Group 015 Pulses) (para 148, Appendix X).
- 4. Revised Risk Analysis Principles applied by the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (para 163, Appendix XIII).

Governments and international organizations wishing to submit comments on the above matters, should do so in writing, in conformity with the *Procedure for the Elaboration of Codex Standards and Related Texts* (Part 3 – *Uniform Procedure for the Elaboration of Codex Standards and Related Texts*, Procedural Manual of the Codex Alimentarius Commission) by e-mail, to the above address **before 20 June 2014**.

PART B: REQUEST FOR COMMENTS AND INFORMATION ON:

5. Proposed draft Guidance for performance criteria for methods of analysis for the determination of pesticide residues (para 155, Appendix XII).

Governments and international organizations wishing to submit comments on the above matters, should do so in writing, in conformity with the *Procedure for the Elaboration of Codex Standards and Related Texts* (Part 3 – *Uniform Procedure for the Elaboration of Codex Standards and Related Texts*, Procedural Manual of the Codex Alimentarius Commission) by e-mail, to the above address **before 31 August 2014**.

6. Proposed draft revision to the Classification of Food and Feed at Step 3 – other selected vegetable commodity groups (Group 011 Fruiting vegetables, cucurbits and Group 014 Legume vegetables) (paras 146-148, Appendix XI).

Background:

The Classification of Food and Feed (CAC/MISC 4-1993) includes food commodities and animal feedstuffs for which Codex maximum residue limits will not necessarily be established. The Classification is intended:

- to be a listing of food commodities in trade as complete as possible, classified into groups on the basis of the commodity's similar potential for pesticide residues;
- primarily to ensure the use of uniform nomenclature and secondarily to classify foods into groups and/or sub-groups for the purpose of establishing group maximum residue limits for commodities with similar characteristics and residue potential; and
- to promote harmonization of the terms used to describe commodities which are subject to maximum residue limits and of the approach to grouping commodities with similar potential for residue for which a common group maximum residue limit can be set.

CL 2014/16-PR ii

Characteristics for crop grouping are:

- 1. Commodity's similar potential for pesticide residues;
- 2. Similar morphology;
- 3. Similar production practices, growth habits, etc;
- 4. Edible portion;
- 5. Similar GAP for pesticide uses;
- Similar residue behavior;
- 7. To provide flexibility for setting (sub) group tolerances.

Request for comments:

Governments and international organizations wishing to submit comments on which characteristics to accept for crop grouping of fruit vegetables, cucurbits and legume vegetables should respond with information based on the above listed characteristics to make final determinations by e-mail, to the above address **before 31 August 2014**.

7. Matters related to the 2014 JMPR including concern forms (paras 36 – 114, Appendix XV).

Those countries and observers specified under individual compounds concerning matters related to the 2014 JMPR (e.g. GAP, residue evaluation, intake assessment, etc.) on specific pesticide/commodity(ies) to be considered by 2014 JMPR, including submission of concern forms together with necessary data, are invited to send information or data to: 1) Ms Yong Zhen YANG, Agricultural Officer and JMPR Secretary, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, Rome 00153, Italy, Fax:+39 06 57053224, E-mail: YoungZhen.Yang@fao.org; 2) Dr Philippe VERGER, WHO JMPR Secretary, Appia Avenue 20, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland, Fax: +41 22 791 4807, E-mail: yergerp@who.int; 3) Dr Xiongwu QIAO, Shanxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 2 Changfeng Street, Taiyuan, Shanxi Province, 030006, P.R. China, Fax: +86 351 7126215, E-mail: ccpr qiao@agri.gov.cn, ccpr@agri.gov.cn; and 4) Secretariat, Codex Alimentarius Commission, Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy; E-mail: codex@fao.org) before 30 June 2014.

Those countries and observers specified under individual compounds in REP14/PR, Appendix XV concerning matters related to the future JMPR meetings (GAPs, residue evaluation, intake assessment, etc.) on specific pesticide/commodity(ies) to be considered at subsequent years by JMPR, are invited to send information or data **one year before** JMPR considers these compounds at the addresses indicated above.

REP14/PR iii

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The 46th Session of the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues reached the following conclusions:

MATTERS FOR ADOPTION BY THE 37TH SESSION OF THE COMMISSION

Draft and proposed draft MRLs for pesticides

- Draft and proposed draft MRLs for pesticide at Steps 8 and 5/8 with omission of Steps 6/7 (para 115, Appendices II and III);
- Proposed draft revision to the Classification of Food and Feed at Step 5 selected vegetable commodity groups (Group 015 -Pulses) (para 148, Appendix X).

Other matters for adoption / approval

- Maximum residue limits for pesticides recommended for revocation (para 115, Appendix IV);
- Consequential amendments to MRLs for pesticides for "citrus fruits" and "lemons and limes" (inclusion of kumquats) due to the
 revision of the Classification of Food and Feed as per the fruit commodity groups (para 128, Appendix VIII);
- Revision of the Risk Analysis Principles applied by the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (para 163, Appendix XIII);
- Codex schedules and priority list of pesticides for evaluation by JMPR (para 188, Appendix XV).

MATTERS OF INTEREST TO THE COMMISSION

The Committee agreed:

- to request the 2014 JMPR to consider a few requirements when estimating MRLs for "citrus fruits" and "lemons and limes" with a view to including kumquats (para 130);
- to retain several draft and proposed draft MRLs for pesticides at Steps 7 and 4 awaiting for JMPR evaluations (paras 36 114, Appendices V and VI);
- to withdraw several draft and proposed draft MRLs for pesticides in view of the advancement of corresponding MRLs to Steps 8 and 5/8 (paras 36 - 114, Appendix VII);
- to hold Group 016 Roots and Tubers at Step 7 pending finalization of the Classification of Food and Feed in relation to the vegetable commodity groups (para 135, Appendix IX);
- to further consider crop grouping for Group 015 Fruiting vegetables, cucurbits and Group 016 Legume vegetables at its next session (paras 146-148, Appendix XI);
- to continue working on the revision of the Classification of Food and Feed through the identification of other commodity groups (para 147);
- to continue to work on examples of selection of representative commodities for vegetable and other commodity groups in
 parallel with the revision of the Classification of Food and Feed for inclusion in the Principles and Guidance for the Selection of
 Representative Commodities for the Extrapolation of Maximum Residue Limits for Pesticides to Commodity Groups (para 150);
- to further consider the proposed draft Guidance for performance criteria for methods of analysis for the determination of pesticide residues (para 155, Appendix XII);
- to continue work on guidance to facilitate the establishment of maximum residue limits for pesticides for minor crops / specialty crops; refinement of a limited list of borderline crops; and continue to identify issues and find solutions to facilitate the establishment of MRLs for minor crops / specialty crops based on establishment of Codex schedules and priority lists of pesticides for consideration at its next session (paras 175 176);
- to seek feedback from the 2014 JMPR on the appropriateness of the approach taken for the identification of minor crops and the determination of minimum field trials necessary for the establishment of MRLs for pesticides for these commodities (para 174, Appendix XI).

Summary and Conclusions	iii
Report of the 46th Session of the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues	1
Status of work	17
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
	Paragraphs
INTRODUCTION	1
OPENING OF THE SESSION	2 - 3
DIVISION OF COMPETENCE	4
ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA (AGENDA ITEM 1)	5 - 7
APPOINTMENT OF RAPPORTEURS (AGENDA ITEM 2)	8
MATTERS REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE BY THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION AND OTHER SUBSIDIARY BODIES (AGENDA ITEM 3)	9 - 10
MATTERS OF INTEREST ARISING FROM FAO AND WHO (AGENDA ITEM 4A)	11 - 13
MATTERS OF INTEREST ARISING FROM OTHER INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS (AGENDA ITEM 4B)	14 - 15
REPORT ON ITEMS OF GENERAL CONSIDERATION BY THE 2013 JMPR (AGENDA ITEM 5A)	16 - 34
REPORT ON 2013 JMPR RESPONSES TO SPECIFIC CONCERNS RAISED BY CCPR (AGENDA ITEM 5B)	35
DRAFT AND PROPOSED DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES IN FOOD AND FEED AT STEPS 7 AND 4 (AGENDA ITEM 6)	36 - 116
GENERAL REMARKS	36 - 38
Diquat (031)	39 - 41
Malathion (049)	42 - 43
Chlorpyrifos-methyl (090)	44 - 46
Triazophos (143)	47 - 48
Glyphosate (158)	49
Propiconazole (160)	50 - 51
Bentazone (172)	52 - 54
Buprofezin (173)	55 - 57
Glufosinate-ammonium (175)	58 - 62
Dithianon (180)	63 - 65
Fenpyroximate (193)	66 - 68
Fenbuconazole (197)	69 - 70
Flutolanil (205)	71 - 72
Cyprodinil (207)	73 - 74
Fludioxonil (211)	75
Metalaxyl-M (212)	76
Indoxacarb (216)	77
Difenoconazole (224)	78 - 79
Pyrimethanil (226)	80
Azoxystrobin (229)	81
Chlorantraniliprole (230)	82 - 83

Mandipropamid (231)	84
Spirotetramate (234)	85 - 88
Clothianidin (238) / Thiamethoxam (245)	89 - 90
Cyproconazole (239)	91
Dicamba (240)	92
Propylene oxide (250)	93
Sulfoxaflor (252)	94 - 95
Penthiopyrad (253)	96 - 98
Chlorfenapyr (254)	99 - 100
Picoxystrobin (258)	101
Benzovindiflupyr (261)	102
Bixafen (262)	103
Cyantraniliprole (263)	104
Fenamidone (264)	105
Fluensulfone (265)	106
Imazapic (266)	107
Imazapyr (267)	108
Isoxaflutole (268)	109
Tolfenpyrad (269)	110 - 112
Triflumizole (270)	113
Trinexapac-ethyl (271)	114
Status of the maximum residue limits for pesticides	115 - 116
DISCUSSION PAPER ON THE APPLICABILITY OF CODEX MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES FOR CITRUS FRUITS TO KUMQUATS (AGENDA ITEM 7)	117 - 131
DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED:	400 405
SELECTED VEGETABLE COMMODITY GROUPS (AGENDA ITEM 8A)	132 - 135
PROPOSED DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED: OTHER SELECTED VEGETABLE COMMODITY GROUPS (AGENDA ITEM 8B)	136 - 148
PROPOSED DRAFT TABLE 2: EXAMPLES OF SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE COMMODITIES (VEGETABLE COMMODITY GROUPS) (FOR INCLUSION IN THE PRINCIPLES AND GUIDANCE FOR THE SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE COMMODITIES FOR THE EXTRAPOLATION OF MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES TO COMMODITY GROUPS)	
(AGENDA ITEM 8C)	149 - 150
PROPOSED DRAFT GUIDANCE ON PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR METHODS OF ANALYSIS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF PESTICIDE RESIDUES (AGENDA ITEM 9)	151 - 155
REVISION OF THE RISK ANALYSIS PRINCIPLES APPLIED BY THE CODEX COMMITTEE ON PESTICIDE RESIDUES (AGENDA ITEM 10)	156 - 164
DISCUSSION PAPER ON GUIDANCE TO FACILITATE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES FOR MINOR CROPS / SPECIALTY CROPS (AGENDA ITEM 11)	165 - 177
ESTABLISHMENT OF CODEX SCHEDULES AND PRIORITY LISTS OF PESTICIDES (AGENDA ITEM 12)	178 - 189
OTHER BUSINESS AND FUTURE WORK (AGENDA ITEM 13)	190
DATE AND PLACE OF THE NEXT SESSION (AGENDA ITEM 14)	191

LIST OF APPENDICES

		Pages
Appendix I	LIST OF PARTICIPANTS	19
Appendix II	DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES (AT STEP 8)	42
Appendix III	PROPOSED DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES (AT STEP 5/8)	43
Appendix IV	MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES RECOMMENDED FOR REVOCATION	54
APPENDIX V	DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES (AT STEP 7)	58
APPENDIX VI	PROPOSED DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES (AT STEP 4)	59
APPENDIX VII	DRAFT AND PROPOSED DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES WITHDRAWN BY CCPR	60
Appendix VIII	CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS TO MRLS FOR PESTICIDES FOR "CITRUS FRUITS" AND "LEMONS AND LIMES" (INCLUSION OF KUMQUATS) DUE TO THE REVISION OF THE <i>CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED</i> AS PER THE FRUIT COMMODITY GROUPS	61
APPENDIX IX	DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED: Selected vegetable commodity groups – Group 016 Roots and Tubers (AT STEP 7)	62
APPENDIX X	PROPOSED DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED: Other selected vegetable commodity groups – Group 015 Pulses (AT STEP 5)	69
Appendix XI	PROPOSED DRAFT REVISION OF THE <i>CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED</i> : Other selected vegetable commodity groups – Group 011 Fruiting vegetables, cucurbits and Group 014 Legume vegetables (AT STEP 3)	73
APPENDIX XII	PROPOSED DRAFT GUIDANCE ON PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR METHODS OF ANALYSIS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF PESTICIDE RESIDUES (AT STEP 3)	83
APPENDIX XIII	REVISION OF THE RISK ANALYSIS PRINCIPLES APPLIED BY THE CODEX COMMITTEE ON PESTICIDE RESIDUES	93
APPENDIX XIV	QUESTIONS TO THE 2014 JMPR REGARDING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES FOR MINOR CROPS / SPECIALTY CROPS	105
Appendix XV	CODEX SCHEDULES AND PRIORITY LIST OF PESTICIDES FOR EVALUATION BY JMPR	127

REP14/PR vii

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

(Used in this Report)

ADI Acceptable Daily Intake

ALARA As low as reasonably possible

ARfD Acute Reference Dose

CAC Codex Alimentarius Commission

CCGP Codex Committee on General Principles

CCMAS Codex Committees on Methods of Analysis and Sampling

CCPR Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues

CCRVDF Codex Committee on Residues of Veterinary Drugs in Foods

CLI CropLife International

CRD Conference Room Document

CXL Codex Maximum Residue Limit for Pesticide

DIE Daily Intake Estimate

EFSA European Food Safety Authority

EMRL Extraneous Maximum Residue Limit

EU European Union

EWG Electronic Working Group

FAO Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
GAP Good Agricultural Practice (in the use of pesticides)

GEMS/Food Global Environment Monitoring System - Food Contamination Monitoring and Assessment Programme

GMUS-2 Second Global Minor Use Summit

HR Highest residue in edible portion of a commodity found in trials used to estimate a maximum residue level of

pesticide(s) in the commodity

IAEA International Atomic Energy Agency

ICGCC International Crop Grouping Consulting Committee

IEDI International Estimated Daily Intake

IESTI International Estimated of Short-Term Intake

JECFA Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives

JMPR Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues

LOQ Limit of Quantification

MRL Maximum Residue Limit

OECD Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development

PWG Physical Working Group

STDF Standards and Trade Development Facility

STMR Supervised Trial Median Residues

TDI Tolerable Daily Intake
USA United States of America

WG Working group

WHO World Health Organization
WTO World Trade Organization

INTRODUCTION

The Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (CCPR) held its 46th Session in Nanjing, China, from 5 to 10 May 2014 at the kind invitation of the Government of China. Professor Xiongwu QIAO, Vice-Director of the Shanxi Academy of Agricultural Science chaired the Session, assisted by Dr Hongjun ZHANG, Director of CCPR Secretariat, Institute for Control of Agrochemicals, Ministry of Agriculture of the People's Republic of China. The Session was attended by delegates from 59 Member countries, 1 Member organisation and Observers from 10 international organisations. The list of participants is attached as Appendix I.

OPENING OF THE SESSION

- The Session was opened by Mr Yande ZENG, Director, Department of Crop Farming Administration, Ministry of Agriculture of the People's Republic of China. Mr ZENG expressed the appreciation of the Chinese Government for the work of the Codex Alimentarius Commission and noted that China, by hosting CCPR, had accumulated management experience, which had contributed to its work on food safety standards. Mr ZENG referred to some recent safety measures taken by the Chinese Government in particular in the area of pesticides, including the promulgation and implementation of the National Food Safety Standard - Maximum Residue Limits of Pesticides in Food (GB2763-2014) in March 2014. Mr ZENG reaffirmed the commitment of China to continue collaborating with the Codex Alimentarius Commission and its Member countries in the development of international standards to protect the health of consumers and promote fair practices in international trade.
- Mr Jianyong ZHANG, Deputy Director, Jangsu Provincial Commission of Agriculture, also addressed the delegates. Mr ZHANG noted that the Jangsu province was successfully implementing the policy of the Government to promote modernisation of agriculture and rural development. He also noted that the provincial government attached great importance to ensure the quality and safety of agricultural products.

Division of Competence¹

The Committee noted the division of competence between the European Union and its Member States, according to paragraph 5, Rule II of the Procedure of the Codex Alimentarius Commission.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA (Agenda Item 1)2

- 5. The Committee adopted the Provisional Agenda as its Agenda for the Session.
- 6. The Committee agreed to establish the following in-session Working Groups:
 - Performance criteria for methods of analysis for the determination of pesticide residues, chaired by the United States of America and co-chaired by China and India (Agenda Item 9);
 - Risk Analysis Principles applied by the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues, chaired by the United States of America and co-chaired by Costa Rica and Chile (Agenda Item 10); and
 - Establishment of maximum residue limits for pesticides for minor crops / specialty crops, chaired by France and co-chaired by Kenya and Thailand (Agenda Item 11).
- The Committee agreed that these WGs would consider the comments submitted in order to prepare proposals for consideration by the Plenary.

APPOINTMENT OF RAPPORTEURS (Agenda Item 2)

The Committee appointed Mr David Lunn (New Zealand) and Mr Kevin Bodnaruk (Australia) to act as rapporteurs.

MATTERS REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE BY THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION AND OTHER SUBSIDIARY BODIES (Agenda Item 3)3

- The Committee noted that matters arising from the Codex Alimentarius Commission and other subsidiary bodies were for information only. In particular, the Committee noted that:
 - the work on the guidelines on performance characteristics for multi-residue methods, completed by the Committee on Residues of Veterinary Drugs in Foods (CCRVDF), was relevant to its work on performance criteria for methods of analysis;
 - the Committee on General Principles (CCGP) would consider the consistency of the risk analysis texts across committees in 2016 and therefore, it was imperative to finalise the revision of the Risk Analysis Principles applied by the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues.

CX/PR 14/46/1.

CRD 1.

CX/PR 14/46/2.

10. In regard to the work of the Committee on Methods of Analysis and Sampling (CCMAS) on the development of practical examples on sampling plans related to, amongst others, pesticide residues as an Annex to the *Principles for the use of sampling and testing in international trade* (CAC/GL 83-2013), the Committee noted that work on sampling plans and methods of analysis for the determination of pesticide residues in food and feed was within the remit of CCPR. Therefore, the Committee agreed to request CCMAS to clarify the nature of this work and the potential implications for CCPR.

MATTERS OF INTEREST ARISING FROM FAO AND WHO IN ADDITION TO JMPR (Agenda Item 4a)⁴

- 11. The Representative of FAO updated the Committee with FAO activities related to pesticide management including new (revised) International Code of Conduct on Pesticide Management, development of pesticide registration toolkit and capacity development for feed safety. The FAO activities were well recognised by the delegations especially by developing countries. They considered that the new Code of Conduct and the registration toolkit were helpful for their work in pesticide management.
- 12. The JMPR Secretariat informed the Committee about the possibility of organizing two meetings in 2015 in order to reduce the backlog of JMPR. In order to account for time constrains, the JMPR Secretariat recommended the Committee to establish a reserve list of compounds to be possibly evaluated during the supplementary meeting if resources become available. The timing of the call for data (October 2014) as well as the date for publishing the report (January 2015) would remain the same and should cover either one or both meetings.
- 13. The WHO Representative informed the Committee about the new data collected on individual food consumption data for chronic exposure assessment which were compiled in an FAO/WHO database (CIFOCOss⁵). The Representative urged countries not yet sharing their food consumption data with international organisations to do so.

MATTERS OF INTEREST ARISING FROM OTHER INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS (Agenda Item 4b)6

Joint FAO/IAEA Division of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture

14. The Representative of the Joint FAO/IAEA Division highlighted the activities of the Joint Division to assist Member countries in addressing their food safety needs and concerns. These activities included: (i) projects to increase capacity for compliance monitoring in food safety and international trade, creation of high skill jobs in participating countries, better use of pest control agents and veterinary drugs and an increased production of safe and nutritious food globally; (ii) the publication of a database of detailed analytical methods for analysis of food contaminants and pesticides (http://nucleus.iaea.org/fcris/Default.aspx), which included several commonly used multi-residue methods and Pesticide Attributes Database (PAD) for food safety/environmental laboratories; and (iii) assistance to CCPR in the development of relevant working papers e.g. discussion paper on performance criteria for suitability assessment of methods of analysis for pesticide residues.

Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)

15. The Committee noted information provided by OECD relevant to the work of CCPR.

REPORT ON ITEMS OF GENERAL CONSIDERATION BY THE 2013 JMPR (Agenda Item 5a)7

- 16. The Committee noted the information contained in Section 2 of the 2013 JMPR namely: (2.1) Guidance document for WHO monographies; 2.2 Hazard assessments in the 21st century incorporating data from new mechanistic-based approaches in JMPR evaluations; 2.3 Risk assessment of metabolites and degradates of pesticides; 2.4 Review on the need to update the principles and methods for the risk assessment of chemicals in food (EHC 240); 2.5 Identification of pesticides to be included in cumulative assessment groups on the basis of their toxicological profile; 2.6 Guidance for the preparation and processing of large commodities for analysis of pesticide residues; 2.7 Principles for assessing the performance of analytical methods based on few recovery tests; 2.8 Guidance for use of residue trial data from different geographical locations for estimation of pesticide residue levels; 2.9 Guidance for estimating pesticide residue levels for commodity groups; and 2.10 Update GEMS/Food diets for the estimation of the IEDI.
- 17. In particular, the Committee noted the following comments and remarks:

Items 2.1 - 2.5

18. The Committee took note of the new guidance document prepared by the WHO Core Group which included the JMPR approach to assess the risk of metabolites and degradates of pesticides.

(http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/agphome/documents/Pests Pesticides/JMPR/Report13/JMPR 2013 Report.pdf 2/JMPR 2013.pdf; CRD 3 (Comments of European Union and African Union); CRD 12 (Comments of China and Mali); CRD 10 (Comments of Australia); CRD 21 (Comments of Nigeria).

⁴ CX/PR 14/46/3; CRD 11 (Comments of Cameroon).

⁵ FAO/WHO Chronic Individual Food Consumption Database – Summary Statistics.

⁶ CX/PR 14/46/4; CRD 11 (Comments of Mali).

Section 2 of the 2012 JMPR Report

19. In reply to a recommendation for JMPR to review the various approaches currently under development worldwide on cumulative risk, the JMPR Secretariat informed the Committee about the ongoing discussions in JMPR for the development of a methodology for cumulative risk assessment. In this regard, the JMPR Secretariat emphasised that the main guidance document for risk assessment of chemicals in food (i.e. EHC 240) should be updated regularly to consolidate these evolving principles and to ensure consistency across international risk assessment bodies.

- 20. Along these lines, the WHO JMPR Secretariat reminded delegates and other interested parties that, when available, the data from new mechanistic-based approaches should be provided to JMPR according to the Call for Data for 2014. These data should be evaluated in parallel with the results of traditional toxicity testing.
- 21. The Delegation of the European Union informed the Committee that in the EU discussions on a possible modification of the IESTI equation were currently ongoing and that discussion at international level may also be needed.

Item 2.6

22. The Observer of the African Union acknowledged the importance of the guidance for use by countries of the region. The Delegation of the European Union welcomed the guidance and highlighted the need of avoiding cutting or reducing the size of the sample in the field and of transporting samples at room temperature. Exceptions should only be possible under clearly defined conditions.

Item 2.7

- 23. The Observer of the African Union welcomed the principles as useful for countries of the region. The Delegation of the European Union did not support the proposed approach as it considered it not acceptable to have weaker performance criteria for incompletely validated methods than for completely validated methods. The Delegation considered that the clear performance criteria laid down in the *Guidelines for Good Laboratory Practices in Pesticide Residues Analysis* (CAC/GL 40-1993) should not be weakened.
- 24. The FAO JMPR Secretariat clarified that the acceptable CVref had already been agreed and were detailed in the *Guidelines* for Good Laboratory Practices in Pesticide Residues Analysis. The Secretariat noted that sometimes analytical methods were validated in a few representative matrices with less validation data but sufficient to demonstrate fit for purpose for other matrices. The purpose of this general consideration item was to address the variation in replicates encountered by JMPR in the data reviewed and to acknowledge that for some pesticide matrix combinations, the number of determinations (n) that could be used to estimate repeatability was limited and that from a statistical point of view the comparison with reference values which were based on a large number of trials needed to be taken into account.

Item 2.8

- 25. The Observer of the African Union welcomed the guidance as useful for countries of the region.
- 26. The Delegation of the European Union indicated that it could not support the guidance laid down in Chapter 2.8 without having further evidence that the data from residue trials combined according to the procedure outline were reliable and comparable and highlighted the need for appropriate statistical analysis. The Delegation also questioned the rationale for the "7 times median range" as well as the proposed step-wise approach. The FAO JMPR Secretariat noted the variable nature of residue trial data and that JMPR previous work indicated that trials conducted in temperate regions were comparable with caveats for some crops. As to the request for clarification of the rationale for the step-wise approach, the primary aim was to ensure the workload of JMPR could be managed while it might be desirable to explore the possible use of global datasets in every case to improve the size of the datasets.
- 27. The Delegation of Australia suggested that JMPR gave further explanation and clarification through practical examples on application of the step-wise approach in the next JMPR report.

Item 2.9

- 28. The Observer of the African Union welcomed the guidance as useful to countries of the region.
- 29. The Delegation of the European Union did not support the proposed approach for grouping MRLs as it considered that in line with the ALARA principle, MRLs should always be derived for individual commodities where sufficient data were available. The Delegation also questioned the scientific basis for the "5 times median range" and requested further information on the uncertainties related to it.
- 30. The FAO JMPR Secretariat clarified that group MRLs were only considered when there were national use patterns (for the group) that required an MRL. It was often the case that residue data was only available for one or a few representative crops and that national governments had extrapolated data from the available one or few crops to whole crop groups. This was a pragmatic decision and was usually based on experience with residues typically found on different members of crop groups when treated at the same (or very similar) GAP. The CCPR had agreed to these MRLs and in essence the elaboration provided by the 2013 JMPR represented a tightening of the approach. The Secretariat further explained that data would be evaluated on a case-by-case basis with deviations from the general outline provided in JMPR reports and that JMPR would further refine and elaborate the principles upon gaining sufficient experience in the estimation of group MRLs.

31. The Delegation of Australia informed the Committee that Australia provided a CRD on a review of recent group MRLs set by CCPR up to 2013 (= 2012 JMPR) and confirmed that in 16% of the cases the difference in individual members of a group was more than five-fold.

Item 2.10

- 32. The FAO JMPR Secretariat informed the Committee that the 17 Cluster diets had been incorporated in the IEDI and ready for use at the 2014 JMPR meeting.
- 33. The Delegation of the European Union supported the JMPR initiative to collect further consumption data in order to better match the 17 Cluster diets with the *Classification of Food and Feed* with a view to getting more refined exposure estimates. This view was supported by the Observer of the African Union.

Item 2.11

34. The JMPR Secretariat informed the Committee that JMPR started using the revised fruit commodity groups in the Classification to carry out its work. Since the Classification had significant impact on the estimation of MRLs, JMPR was interested in the CCPR work on the revision of the Classification for other commodities and look forward to the completion of this work by CCPR.

REPORT ON 2013 JMPR RESPONSES TO SPECIFIC CONCERNS RAISED BY CCPR (Agenda Item 5b)8

35. The Committee noted that specific concerns raised by CCPR would be addressed when discussing the relevant compounds under Agenda Item 6.

DRAFT AND PROPOSED DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES IN FOOD AND FEED AT STEPS 7 AND 4 (Agenda Item 6)9

General remarks

- 36. The Delegation of the European Union advised the Committee that they would be introducing reservations for a number of proposed draft and draft MRLs during the discussions on the individual compounds and that the reasons for these reservation were outlined in CRDs 3 and 4.
- 37. The Committee agreed that these reservations, where relevant, would be noted in the report.
- 38. The Delegation of the European Union further noted that it was current EU policy to align EU MRLs with Codex MRLs (CXLs) in cases where no reservations were made.

DIQUAT (31)

- 39. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRL for peas (dry); potato; rape seed; soya bean (dry); sunflower seed; banana; cajou (pseudofruit); cashew apple; cashew nut; citrus fruits; coffee beans; fruiting vegetables other than cucurbit (except sweetcorn, fungi and mushrooms); pea hay or pea fodder (dry); pome fruits; stone fruits; and strawberry for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs, noting the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway on the proposed draft MRLs for soya bean (dry), peas (dry) and potato because of the different chronic intake risk assessment approaches used by JMPR and in the EU.
- 40. The Committee decided to maintain the CXLs for bean (dry); and lentil (dry), under the 4 year rule awaiting data from Canada and noted that the concern form regarding the revocation of these CXLs by the 2013 JMPR was no longer relevant. The Committee also decided to maintain the CXLs for barley; oats; and wheat under the 4 year rule awaiting data from Australia, and maintain the proposed draft MRLs for beans (dry), edible offal (mammalian); eggs; meat (from mammals other than marine mammals); milks; poultry meat; and poultry, edible offal of at Step 4.
- 41. The Committee decided to recommend revocation of the CXLs for alfalfa fodder; maize; rice; rice, husked; rice, polished; and sorghum as recommended by the 2013 JMPR.

MALATHION (49)

- 42. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRL for cherries for adoption at Step 5/8. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway expressed a reservation related to the higher toxicity of the metabolites malaoxon, DMM, MMCA and MDCA compared with the parent compound.
- 43. The JMPR Secretariat advised that the 1999 JMPR decided that the residue definition for compliance and dietary intake purposes should be malathion only and recommended that should delegations consider there was a health concern they could seek to have the compound scheduled for periodic re-evaluation.

Section 3 of the 2013 JMPR Report (http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/agphome/documents/Pests Pesticides/JMPR/Report13/JMPR 2013 Report.pdf); CRD 3 (Comments of European Union and African Union); CRD 12 (Comments of Mali); CRD 21 (Comments of Nigeria).

⁹ CX/PR 14/46/5; CX/PR 14/46/5-Add.1 (Comments of Australia, Canada, Iran, Kenya, United States of America and African Union); CRD 4 (Comments of Cameroon and European Union); CRD 10 (Comments of Australia); CRD 13 (Comments of Cameroon, China, Indonesia and Mali); CRD 21 (Comments of Nigeria).

CHLORPYRIFOS-METHYL (90)

44. The Committee noted the concern form submitted by Australia, that labels from Australia were not fully interpreted with respect to use on rice. The Committee decided to maintain all the proposed draft and draft MRLs at Steps 4 and 7, waiting for the 2014 JMPR re-assessment of the Australian GAP.

- 45. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway expressed a reservation related to the draft MRLs for cereals, except maize and rice; and rice, husked based on the different policies on extrapolation and livestock dietary burden estimation used by JMPR and in the EU, and noted that a chronic risk for European consumers could not be excluded.
- 46. In addition, the Committee noted the reservation expressed by the Delegation of Kenya, with respect to chronic dietary intake estimation and the consequent advancement of the proposed MRLs for cereals. In this regard, the Delegation referred to the written comments submitted by the African Union and noted that the highest percentage of the ADI (110%) was found in the Cluster Diet J, which included several African countries, for which 95% of the IEDI was from consumption of millet and sorghum, including raw grain, flour and beer for both commodities. There was therefore a need to revisit the conclusion made by JMPR in assuming that there may be no public health concern based on the assumption that residues would decrease during processing including cooking grain in water.

TRIAZOPHOS (143)

- 47. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRL for rice, polished for adoption at Step 5/8 and subsequent revocation of the associated cereal grain CXL, and to also withdraw the proposed draft MRLs for rice, husked as recommended by the 2013 JMPR.
- 48. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway expressed a reservation related to advancement of the proposed draft MRL for rice polished because of the different policies on acute intake risk assessment used by JMPR and in the EU.

GLYPHOSATE (158)

49. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRL for rape seed for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent deletion of the associated CXL, noting the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway that a lower MRL could be defined applying the same residue definition and same GAP as used by JMPR.

PROPICONAZOLE (160)

- 50. The Committee agreed to advance the proposed draft MRLs for oranges, sweet, sour (including orange-like hybrids): several cultivars; peach; plums (including prunes); tomato for adoption at Step 5/8.
- 51. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway expressed a reservation on the advancement of the proposed draft MRL for plums (including prunes) because of the different policies used by JMPR and in the EU for combining data on post-harvest treatments.

BENTAZONE (172)

- 52. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs.
- 53. The Committee agreed to recommend revocation of the CXL for meat (from mammals other than marine mammals) as recommended by the 2013 JMPR, and agreed to maintain the CXL for field pea (dry) under the 4 year rule, awaiting data from the United States of America.
- 54. The Committee noted the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway on the advancement of the proposed draft MRLs for beans (dry); beans, except broad bean and soybean; beans, shelled (succulent immature seeds); cereal grains; eggs; herbs; linseed; milks; onion, bulb; peanut; peas (pods and succulent=immature seeds); potato; poultry meat (fat); poultry, edible offal of; soya bean (dry); spring onion; sweet corn (corn-on-the-cob) because of the different residue definitions established by JMPR and in the EU.

BUPROFEZIN (173)

- 55. The Committee noted that the Delegation of the United States of America had submitted a second concern form this year seeking clarification for the changing reason on why an MRL for coffee beans was not recommended by JMPR based on data available.
- 56. The JMPR Secretariat advised that while the cultivation practices in the trials conducted in Hawaii and those in Brazil were similar, the processing methods to produce green coffee beans were significantly different and may have impacted on residue concentrations in the processed beans. The JMPR therefore concluded that it was not appropriate to combine the data sets to derive an MRL for coffee beans.
- 57. The Committee noted that the new processing information had been provided for consideration by the 2014 JMPR.

GLUFOSINATE-AMMONIUM (175)

58. The Committee noted that the response from the 2013 JMPR to a request from CCPR on the possible use of relative toxic potencies of glufosinate-ammonium and its metabolite to enable a refinement of the dietary risk assessment.

- 59. The JMPR Secretariat advised that JMPR had considered the relative toxicity of glufosinate-ammonium and metabolites NAG, MPP and MPA and concluded that the metabolites were 10 times less toxic than the parent compound. The JMPR recommended to compare both the ADI and the ARfD with the sum of dietary exposure to glufosinate-ammonium + 0.1 x (dietary exposure to metabolites NAG + MPP + MPA).
- 60. The Committee noted that data needed to conduct both acute and chronic dietary risk assessment for exposure to glufosinate-ammonium + 0.1 x (dietary exposure to metabolites NAG + MPP + MPA) would be provided to JMPR.
- 61. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRLs for banana; edible offal (mammalian); kiwifruit; lettuce, leaf; for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs.
- 62. The Committee agreed to hold the proposed draft MRL for soya bean (dry) at Step 4, awaiting re-evaluation by the 2014 JMPR.

DITHIANON (180)

- 63. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs.
- 64. The Committee noted the general reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway relating to their chronic dietary intake concern and a specific reservation on the proposed group MRL for stone fruits due to the different extrapolation policies used by JMPR and in the EU.
- 65. The Delegation of Uganda expressed reservation on the withdrawal of the CXLs for mandarin and shaddocks or pomelos. The Committee agreed to retain the CXLs for mandarin and shaddocks or pomelos under the 4 year rule awaiting the submission of data, but noted that additional data would not be available from the manufacturer.

FENPYROXIMATE (193)

- 66. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs.
- 67. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway expressed a reservation on the advancement of the proposed draft MRLs for edible offal (mammalian) and meat (from mammals other than marine mammals) because of differing residue definitions established by JMPR and in the EU. The JMPR Secretariat advised that the residue definition was last reviewed by JMPR in 1999 and suggested that it could be reviewed when fenpyroximate was scheduled for periodic evaluation.
- 68. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway also made a reservation on the advancement of the proposed draft MRL for stone fruits due to the different policies on extrapolation used by JMPR and in the EU.

FENBUCONAZOLE (197)

- 69. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8.
- 70. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway expressed a reservation on the advancement of the proposed draft MRLs for citrus fruits due to their chronic risk concern because of the different chronic intake risk assessment policies used by JMPR and in the EU.

FLUTOLANIL (205)

- 71. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs.
- 72. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway expressed a reservation on the advancement of proposed draft MRLs for brassica leafy vegetables due to the different policies on extrapolation used by JMPR and in the EU.

CYPRODINIL (207)

- 73. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs, noting the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway on the advancement of the proposed MRLs for berries and other small fruits (except grapes), brassica leafy vegetables, fruiting vegetables other than cucurbits and leafy vegetables (except brassica leafy vegetables), arising from different policies on extrapolation used by JMPR and in the EU, and for edible offal (mammalian) because of differing residue definitions for animal commodities.
- 74. In response to the concern over the residue definition, the JMPR Secretariat suggested that this could be re-evaluated when the compound was scheduled for periodic review.

FLUDIOXONIL (211)

75. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs, noting the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway on the advancement of the proposed draft MRLs for pepper chili, dried and fruiting vegetables, cucurbits, because of the different data combination polices used by JMPR and in the EU.

METALAXYL-M (212)

76. The Committee noted the concern form submitted by Cameroon, relating to the proposed reduction in the draft MRL for cocoa beans. The JMPR Secretariat advised that the advancement of the draft MRLs for metalaxyl-M was dependent on the outcome of the metalaxyl periodic review in 2015. The Committee agreed to retain all draft MRLs for metalaxyl-M at Step 7 awaiting this review and to apply the 4 year rule for metalaxyl awaiting data on cocoa beans from Cameroon.

INDOXACARB (216)

77. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRL for tea, green, black (black, fermented and dried) for adoption at Step 5/8.

DIFENOCONAZOLE (224)

- 78. The Committee decided to retain the draft MRL for papaya at Step 7, awaiting information on authorised GAP to be submitted by Kenya for the 2015 JMPR follow-up evaluation.
- 79. The Committee agreed to advance all the remaining proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8 with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs, noting the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway on brassica (cole or cabbage) vegetables, head cabbage, flower head brassicas; melons, except watermelon and fruiting vegetables other than cucurbits (different extrapolation policies); edible offal (mammalian); eggs; meat (from mammals other than marine mammals) and milks (different residue definitions for animal commodities); and potato (different acute intake risk assessment).

PYRIMETHANIL (226)

80. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs.

AZOXYSTROBIN (229)

81. The Committee decided to advance all proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs.

CHLORANTRANILIPROLE (230)

- 82. The Committee decided to advance all proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent revocation of the associated CXLs.
- 83. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway expressed reservations related to the advancement of the proposed draft MRLs for eggs (because of different livestock dietary burden policies used by JMPR and in the EU); peas (pods and succulent = immature seeds); coffee beans; hops, dry; beans, except broad bean and soya bean and peas, shelled because of the different policies on extrapolation used by JMPR and in the EU.

MANDIPROPAMID (231)

84. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRL for hops, dry for adoption at Step 5/8.

SPIROTETRAMAT (234)

- 85. The Committee noted the concern form submitted by the United States of America that MRLs were not proposed by JMPR for pomegranate and pineapples because they did not comply with the 2013 FAO guidance which was issued after the data were developed
- 86. The JMPR Secretariat advised that there was concern that degradation would impact on residues and therefore impact on estimated MRLs, and that the recent JMPR guidance was an attempt to address this concern.
- 87. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRLs for artichoke, globe; bush berries; and cranberry for adoption at Step 5/8, noting that information on potential degradation during sub-sampling would be considered by JMPR in 2014.
- 88. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway expressed a reservation on the advancement of the proposed draft MRLs for bush berries because of differing policies on the use of extrapolation used by JMPR and in the EU.

CLOTHIANIDIN (238) / THIAMETHOXAM (245)

- 89. The Committee decided to advance the draft MRL for root and tuber vegetables for adoption at Step 8.
- 90. While noting the 2013 JMPR response to their concern form, the Delegations of the European Union and Norway maintained their reservation on the advancement of the draft MRL because of the different extrapolation policies used by JMPR and in the EU.

CYPROCONAZOLE (239)

91. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRLs for coffee beans; coffee beans, roasted for adoption at Step 5/8.

DICAMBA (240)

92. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRL for soya bean (dry) for adoption at Step 5/8, with the subsequent withdrawal of the associated draft MRL at Step 4 for soya bean (dry).

PROPYLENE OXIDE (250)

93. The Committee noted the response from the 2013 JMPR to a concern form submitted by the United States of America. The JMPR Secretariat advised that the 2013 JMPR confirmed the establishment of the ARfD at the upper bound of the ADI due to an inadequate developmental toxicity study, leading to the use of a 1 000-fold safety factor. Regarding metabolites, for PCH JMPR confirmed that it was possible to establish neither an ADI nor an ARfD in the absence of any reliable data to characterise the hazard to fetuses. For PBH JMPR also confirmed that it was possible to establish neither an ADI nor an ARfD. Moreover, because this compound had been shown to be genotoxic in vitro and had not been tested in vivo, the exposure was shown to be significantly above the threshold of toxicological concern.

SULFOXALOR (252)

- 94. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRL for beans (dry); carrot; for adoption at Step 5/8, noting the general reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway on the advancement of these draft MRLs pending the outcome of their evaluation.
- 95. The Committee agreed to maintain the proposed draft MRL for citrus fruits; pome fruits; stone fruits; tree nuts at Step 4, awaiting a review of GAP by the 2014 JMPR.

PENTHIOPYRAD (253)

- 96. The Committee agreed to retain the proposed draft MRLs for mustard greens at Step 4 in light of the acute intake risk identified by JMPR in 2012 and await advice on the availability of an alternative GAP.
- 97. The Committee agreed to advance all other proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, and to withdraw the associated proposed draft MRLs at Step 4.
- 98. The Delegations of the European Union and Norway expressed a reservation on the advancement of the proposed draft MRLs for animal commodities because of the different residue definitions for enforcement established by JMPR and in the EU.

CHLORFENAPYR (254)

- 99. The JMPR Secretariat advised that in 2012 JMPR established an ADI and an ARfD for chlorfenapyr but was not able to propose MRLs due to the significant occurrence of tralopyril, a chlorfenapyr metabolite. The 2013 JMPR reviewed the toxicity of tralopyril and concluded that this compound was 10 times more potent than chlorfenapyr.
- 100. The Committee noted that data needed to conduct both acute and chronic dietary risk assessment for exposure to chlorfenapyr + (10 x tralopyril) would be available for the 2018 review.

PICOXYSTROBIN (258)

101. The JMPR Secretariat advised that in 2012 JMPR established an ADI and an ARfD for picoxystrobin but was not able to propose MRLs due to the significant occurrence of 2 metabolites (IN-H8612 and 2-(2-formylphenyl)-2-oxoacetic acid). In 2013 JMPR reviewed new data submitted for IN-H8612 and concluded that there was no concern for dietary exposure to this compound. Regarding 2-(2-formylphenyl)-2-oxoacetic acid, no new data were submitted. The JMPR concluded that in the absence of genotoxicity testing for 2-(2-formylphenyl)-2-oxoacetic acid, it was not possible for JMPR to propose MRLs for picoxystrobin.

BENZOVINDIFLUPYR (261)

102. The Committee was informed that the new ADI and ARfD established by the 2013 JMPR for benzovindiflupyr were 0-0.05 mg/kg bw and 0.1 mg/kg bw, respectively.

BIXAFEN (262)

103. The Committee was informed that the new ADI and ARfD established by the 2013 JMPR for bixafen were 0-0.02 mg/kg bw and 0.2 mg/kg bw, respectively.

CYANTRANILIPROLE (263)

104. The Committee agreed to advance all proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, noting the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway with respect to the advancement of the proposed draft MRLs for leafy vegetables (except lettuce, Head) and fruiting vegetables other than cucurbits (except mushrooms & sweet corn) because of the different policies on extrapolation used by JMPR and in the EU.

FENAMIDONE (264)

105. The Committee was informed that the new ADI and ARfD established by the 2013 JMPR for fenamidone were 0-0.03 mg/kg bw and 1 mg/kg bw, respectively.

FLUENSULFONE (265)

106. The Committee noted that the 2013 JMPR had established on ADI of 0-0.01 mg/kg bw and on ARfD of 0.3 mg/kg bw for fluensulfone.

IMAZAPIC (266)

107. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, noting the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway on the advancement of the proposed draft MRLs for mammalian products (including milks) because of the different policies on livestock dietary burden calculations used by JMPR and in the EU.

IMAZAPYR (267)

108. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8.

ISOXAFLUTOLE (268)

109. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8.

TOLFENPYRAD (269)

- 110. The Committee noted that the Delegation of the United States of America had submitted a concern form about the point of departure used by JMPR to calculate the ARfD. The JMPR Secretariat advised that in 2013, the JMPR discussed at some length the possibility that the effects in the dog were due to local irritation but concluded that the evidence was against this. Moreover whilst the body weight gain decrement observed in the developmental study occurred over a three-day time period, there was no information on whether this could have occurred after a single day and hence in line with normal practice, it was assumed that this was possible.
- 111. In response to the concern form the JMPR Secretariat organised a virtual expert meeting in order to provide clarification as soon as possible. The virtual meeting concluded that the 2013 Meeting followed the guidance on establishing an ARfD in reaching its decision, and that there was no scientific justification to revise the established ARfD for tolfenpyrad. The JMPR Secretariat advised that a full response to the concern form would be included in the 2014 JMPR report.
- 112. The Committee decided to advance the proposed draft MRL for tea, green for adoption at Step 5/8, noting the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway, on the advancement of this draft MRL because of the different number of trials required by JMPR and in the EU for green tea and the absence of hydrolytic processing study.

TRIFLUMIZOLE (270)

113. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, noting the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway because of the different residue definitions established by JMPR and in the EU for all commodities, including cucumber and mammalian products (including milks) and because of the different policies on the assessment of metabolism studies (cherries; hops, dry; papaya; and grapes) and on acute intake risk assessment (grapes only).

TRINEXAPAC-ETHYL (271)

114. The Committee decided to advance all the proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Step 5/8, noting the reservation of the Delegations of the European Union and Norway on the advancement of the proposed draft MRL for edible offal (mammalian) because of the different livestock dietary burden calculations conducted by JMPR and in the EU.

STATUS OF THE MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES

- 115. The Committee agreed to forward to the 37th Session of the Commission:
 - Draft and proposed draft MRLs for adoption at Steps 8 and 5/8 (Appendices II and III);
 - Codex MRLs for revocation (Appendix IV).
- 116. The Committee noted that:
 - Draft and proposed draft MRLs retained at Steps 7 and 4 are attached as Appendices V and VI;
 - Draft and proposed draft MRLs withdrawn are attached as Appendix VII.

DISCUSSION PAPER ON THE APPLICABILITY OF CODEX MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR CITRUS FRUITS TO KUMQUATS (Agenda Item 7)10

117. The Delegation of Japan introduced the item and presented the findings of the short-term dietary exposure assessment carried out to determine whether the inclusion of kumquats in the MRLs for citrus fruits for those pesticides listed in Tables 1 and 2 of CX/PR 14/46/6 would pose a dietary intake concern.

- 118. The Delegation explained that the estimation of the acute dietary exposure assessment followed the procedure established in the FAO Manual on the Submission and Evaluation of Pesticide Residues Data for the Estimation of Maximum Residue Levels in Food and Feed. In this regard, as unit weight for kumquats were below 25 g, Case 1 was applied for the conduct of the acute dietary exposure assessment. In addition, as kumquats were eaten with the peel, the edible portion was the whole fruit and for each pesticide the HR in composite sample of the whole commodity of citrus fruits was derived from supervised trials contained in the respective JMPR evaluations.
- 119. The Delegation further explained that only national consumption data were used in the calculation of the IESTI, assuming that these data represented the global worst-case scenario, as data submitted by other countries and regions provided no or only a few consumption data on kumquats. National consumption data were obtained on large portion consumption (97.5th percentile of consumers) and the mean body weight for the general population (over 1 year old) consuming raw kumquats. For children (1 6 years old) it was not appropriate to derive the IESTI due to the lack of relevant consumption data. However, as the flesh of kumquats was bitter and tart, it was unlikely that consumption of kumquats by children would be comparable to adults.
- 120. Based on these considerations, the Delegation indicated that the percentage of the ARfD found in the acute dietary risk assessment of kumquats for the general population represented 0-16% of the ARfD for those pesticides listed in Table 1 (except dimethoate which represented 53% of the ARfD) and 0-1% of the ARfD for those pesticides listed in Table 2. In the case of dimethoate, the Delegation noted that although the safety margin for kumquat was low, the situation might not be similar for citrus fruits in general as the 2003 JMPR Evaluation did not provide information on the calculation of the IESTI for citrus fruits for this pesticide since it seemed the STMR instead of the HR was mistakenly entered into the corresponding column of the IESTI spreadsheet.

Discussion

- 121. The Committee considered the recommendations put forward in paragraph 15 of CX/PR 14/46/6 and noted the following:
- 122. Recommendations proposed in CX/PR 14/46/6 were in line with the *Classification of Food and Feed* (CAC/MISC 4-1993). The HR of 4.4 for dimethoate was derived from residues in the whole citrus fruit while the HR of 1.4 mg/kg was based on the residues in the citrus fruit pulp.
- 123. Delegations in support of the retention of the reference "excluding kumquats" in the MRLs for citrus fruits indicated that a further refinement of the IESTI should be carried by JMPR for those pesticides listed in Tables 1 and 2 before the inclusion of kumquats in the MRLs for citrus fruits as kumquats were eaten with the peel as opposed to citrus fruits, differences in GAPs, and the lack of consumption data on vulnerable groups such as children may lead to an underestimate the contribution of kumquats to dietary exposure. They proposed that the extension of the MRLs for citrus fruits to kumquats should be done on a case-by-case basis, citing specific concerns on carbaryl, methomyl and prochloraz in relation to short-term intake.
- 124. An alternative proposal was to apply the MRLs for citrus fruits to kumquats with the exception of dimethoate awaiting the periodic review (toxicology and residues) by JMPR in 2019.
- 125. Delegations in support of the lifting of the reference "excluding kumquats" from the MRLs for citrus fruits indicated that the acute exposure assessment described in CX/PR 14/46/6 for the pesticides listed in Tables 1 and 2 showed no dietary intake concerns in relation to the inclusion of kumquats in the group MRLs. In addition, data from other countries / regions supported the inclusion of kumquats in the MRLs for citrus fruits with the exception of dimethoate. These delegations noted that this was a pragmatic approach for the extension of group MRLs to minor crops like kumquats.
- 126. The JMPR Secretariat expressed concern on the extrapolation of group MRLs to additional commodities based on national consumption data, without residue trial data, noting that residues for additional commodities might be significantly different to the commodities in the original group. The JMPR Secretariat further noted that consideration of group MRLs on a case-by-case basis with a view to their application to other commodities would not be feasible but should be integrated in the periodic review of a compound to ensure the efficient use of resources. In this regard, the JMPR Secretariat recalled the decision of the 45th Session of CCPR that no changes would be made to group MRLs as a consequence of the revision of the Classification until such a time JMPR would revise the group MRLs following the procedures in place for the establishment of Codex schedules and priority list of pesticides¹¹. The Secretariat urged Codex members to submit relevant data, in particular large portion data, to GEMS/Foods for the estimation of IESTI for kumquats and similar commodities for the establishment of group MRLs in the future.

CX/PR 14/46/6; CRD 5 (Comments of Canada, European Union, Kenya and African Union); CRD 10 (Comments of Australia); CRD 14 (Comments of Cameroon, China and Mali); CRD 25 (Comments of Cameroon).

¹¹ REP13/PR, para 109.

Conclusion

127. The Committee agreed to remove the term "excluding kumquats" from the MRLs for "citrus fruits" and "lemons and limes" in the Codex Database for Pesticide Residues with the exception of the group MRL for dimethoate awaiting the periodic review of this pesticide (toxicology and residues) by JMPR in 2019 (Recommendations i-ii). The Committee further agreed that the group MRLs for diquat (citrus fruits), fenbuconazole (lemons and limes) and difenoconazole (citrus fruits) arising from the 2013 JMPR Evaluation would also apply to kumquats (Recommendation iii). The Delegation of Nigeria expressed its reservation to this decision.

- 128. The Committee agreed to forward the revised group MRLs for "citrus fruits" and "lemons and limes" for the pesticides listed in Appendix VIII to the Codex Alimentarius Commission for adoption. The Committee noted that these were consequential amendments to the revision of the fruit commodity groups in the Classification.
- 129. The Committee agreed that, unless otherwise specified, group MRLs for "citrus fruits" and "lemons and limes" would also encompass kumquats.
- 130. The Committee endorsed Recommendation iv and agreed to request JMPR to:
 - i) Calculate IESTI for kumquats when estimating MRLs for "citrus fruits" and "lemons and limes"; and
 - ii) Consider the appropriateness to use large portion data on kumquats and HR of citrus fruits in whole fruits for the estimation of the group MRLs.
- 131. The Committee noted that the JMPR Secretariat would raise uncertainties associated with consumption data from only one or few countries.

DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED: SELECTED VEGETABLE COMMODITY GROUPS (Agenda Item 8a)¹²

- 132. The Delegation of the Netherlands, as the Chair of the EWG on the Classification, introduced the item and indicated that the Committee had received a large number of comments on commodities covered by Agenda Items 8a-8c. In view of this, the Delegation had prepared revised versions of documents belonging to these Agenda Items which considered all written comments submitted at this Session. The EWG Chair noted that proposals for addition of new commodities which did not belong to this Group would be considered, such as the inclusion of *Morinda oleifera* (CRD 15), at a future session of the Committee.
- 133. The Committee agreed to use the revised version as the basis of the discussion. In addition to editorial adjustments, the Committee made the following comments and amendments.

Group 16(b) Tuberous and corn vegetables

- 134. The Committee noted that:
 - Ginger was included in the group of spices and currently at Step 7; therefore, the inclusion of additional synonyms to this commodity would be considered at a later stage;
 - It was not necessary to specify colours of sweet potato (VR 0508); therefore, following the same approach taken for carrot, all references to colour were removed;
 - It was not possible to include "Ibo Coco" and "Cocoyam" as additional common names of *Colocasia esculenta* and *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* because the first it was not an English name and the second could create confusion as it referred to both Tanva and Taro.

STATUS OF THE DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED: SELECTED VEGETABLE COMMODITY GROUPS

135. The Committee agreed to hold commodity Group 016 Root and Tuber Vegetables at Step 7 awaiting finalisation of the revision of the Classification of all vegetable commodity groups (Appendix IX).

PROPOSED DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED: OTHER SELECTED COMMODITY GROUPS (Agenda Item 8b)¹³

136. The Committee considered this Group based on revised commodities (see Agenda Item 8a) and made the following comments and amendments in addition to editorial changes.

Group 011 Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits

137. The Committee discussed the proposal of the EWG to divide the Group in three new subgroups:

CX/PR 14/46/7; CX/PR 14/46/7-Add.1 (Comments of Canada, China, El Salvador, European Union, Kenya and African Union); CRD 6 (Comments of Australia, Thailand and Republic of Korea); CRD 10 (Comments of Australia); CRD 15 (Comments of Cameroon, Ghana and Mali); CRD 20 (Revised Classification, Items 8 a,b,c); CRD 21 (Comments of Nigeria).

CX/PR 14/46/8; CX/PR 14/46/8-Add.1 (Comments of Canada, China, El Salvador, European Union, Kenya, United States of America and African Union); CRD 6 (Comments of Australia, Thailand and Republic of Korea); CRD 10 (Comments of Australia); CRD 15 (Comments of Cameroon, Ghana, India, Japan and Mali); CRD 20 (Revised Classification, Items 8 a,b,c); CRD 21 (Comments of Nigeria); CRD 26 (Criteria for commodity grouping in the Classification of Food and Feed).

- 11A Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits Cucumber and Summer Squashes;
- 11B Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits Melons;
- 11C Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits Winter squashes.
- 138. Several delegations asked for the rationale of the three subgroups and proposed to reconsider the classification of Group 011. These delegations noted that in a number of countries this Group only included two subgroups (i.e. cucurbits with edible and with inedible peel); that rationale should be provided for diverging from other classification systems in particular the International Crop Grouping Consulting Committee (ICGCC) to ensure an international harmonised crop grouping system; and that the establishment of subgroups based on the edibility of the peel might not be applicable worldwide as dependent on dietary habits. They further noted that crop grouping was closely related to the selection of representative commodities and the setting of group MRLs, and therefore harmonisation of commodity groups in the Classification, would impact on the harmonisation of pesticide legislation across Codex members.
- 139. The EWG Chair clarified that the classification into the three subgroups was not only based on the edibility of the commodities but was taking into account other criteria such as agriculture practices.
- 140. Other delegations supported the division in three subgroups which allowed to separate cucurbits with edible peel (subgroup 11A) from the other two subgroups with inedible peel: mainly consumed as fruits (subgroup 11B) and mainly consumed as vegetables (subgroup 11C).
- 141. The JMPR Secretariat clarified that there were significant differences in the risk assessment for peeled and unpeeled products and that differences in exposure assessment might also depend on culinary habits and data generated by countries.
- 142. Based on the above considerations, the Committee agreed to continue working on the revision of Group 011 taking into account, among others, representativeness of the subgroups, residue potentials, impact on future work on group MRLs and harmonisation with other existing classification schemes in particular the ICGCC.

Group 014 Legume vegetables

143. The Committee noted different opinions as to the division of the Group into two (i.e. legume vegetables with or without pods) or four subgroups (i.e. beans with pods; peas with pods; succulent beans without pods; and succulent peas without pods). In view of this the Committee agreed to collect more data and information in support of the division of the Group into two or four subgroups and to continue discussion at the next Session. A question was made on the need to have separate commodities between beans (*Phaselous* spp.) and beans (*Vigna* spp.) since this separation affected the existing Codex MRLs (CXLs) for beans.

Group 015 Pulses

144. The Committee agreed to the proposed revision as presented in the revised version.

Conclusion

- 145. In view of the above discussion, the Committee agreed that it was not possible to make progress in the grouping of commodities in Groups 011 and 014.
- 146. In order to make a decision at its next Session, the Committee agreed to request comments from Codex members on which characteristics to accept for crop grouping of fruiting vegetables, cucurbits and legumes vegetables. In this regard the Committee recalled the parameters which constituted the basis for grouping commodities in the *Classification of Food and Feed* for the commodities namely: (i) commodity's similar potential for pesticide residues; (ii) similar commodity morphology; (iii) similar production practices, growth habits, etc.; (iv) edible portion; (v) similar GAP for pesticide uses; (vi) similar residue behaviour; and (vii) flexibility for setting (sub) group tolerances.

Future work

147. The Committee agreed to reconvene the EWG on the Classification, led by the Netherlands and the United States of America and working in English, to: (i) prepare revised proposals for Group 011 Fruiting vegetables, cucurbits and Group 014 Legume vegetables on the basis of comments received in reply to the above question; and (ii) proceed with the elaboration of additional commodity groups for consideration at the next Session.

STATUS OF THE DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED: OTHER SELECTED VEGETABLE COMMODITY GROUPS

148. The Committee agreed to forward the proposed draft revision of the Classification - Group 015 Pulses to the 37th Session of the Commission for adoption at Step 5 (Appendix X) and to request comments at Step 3 on the proposed draft revision of the Classification: Group 011 Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits and Group 014 Legume vegetables (Appendix XI) for consideration by the EWG on the Classification in order to provide a revised version for circulation for further comments and consideration at the next session of the Committee.

PROPOSED DRAFT TABLE 2 - EXAMPLES OF SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE COMMODITIES (VEGETABLE COMMODITY GROUPS) (FOR INCLUSION IN THE PRINCIPLES AND GUIDANCE FOR THE SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE COMMODITIES FOR THE EXTRAPOLATION OF MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES TO COMMODITY GROUPS) (Agenda Item 8c)¹⁴

149. The Committee agreed that it was more appropriate to wait for the outcome of the discussion related to Group 011 Fruiting vegetables, cucurbits and Group 014 Legume vegetables and to consider the proposed draft Table 2 at its next Session.

STATUS OF PROPOSED DRAFT TABLE 2: EXAMPLES OF SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE COMMODITIES (VEGETABLE COMMODITY GROUPS) (FOR INCLUSION IN THE PRINCIPLES AND GUIDANCE FOR THE SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE COMMODITIES FOR THE EXTRAPOLATION OF MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES TO COMMODITY GROUPS)

150. The Committee agreed to return the proposed draft Table 2 to Step 2/3 for redrafting by EWG on the Classification for comments and consideration at its next Session.

PROPOSED DRAFT GUIDANCE ON PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR METHODS OF ANALYSIS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF PESTICIDE RESIDUES (Agenda Item 9)¹⁵

- 151. The Delegation of the United States of America, as Chair of the in-session Working Group, introduced the revised guidance and explained that the Working Group had prepared a revised version of the Guidance and reached consensus on several parts of the document while others needed additional work, feedback and consultation at country level.
- 152. The Committee in general supported the structure and content of the revised Guidance and noted suggestions to reconsider the order of the sections e.g. "scope" and "definitions" and alignment of terminology e.g. feedingstuff vis-à-vis animal feed.

Conclusion

- 153. The Committee noted that additional work was still necessary on some areas of the document and therefore agreed to re-establish the electronic Working Group, led by the United States of America and co-chaired by China and India, to further revise the Guidance taking into consideration relevant CCRVDF and CCMAS documents. The EWG would be working in English only.
- 154. The Committee noted that it was important that members of the EWG actively participate and contribute to the revision of the document in order to make progress with the finalisation of the document at the next session of CCPR.

STATUS OF THE PROPOSED DRAFT GUIDANCE ON PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR METHODS OF ANALYSIS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF PESTICIDES RESIDUES

155. The Committee agreed to request comments at Step 3 on the proposed draft Guidance (Appendix XII) for consideration by the EWG in order to provide a revised version for circulation for further comments and consideration at the next session of the Committee.

REVISION OF THE RISK ANALYSIS PRINCIPLES APPLIED BY THE CODEX COMMITTEE ON PESTICIDE RESIDUES (Agenda Item 10)16

156. The Delegation of the United States of America, as Chair of the in-session WG, introduced the revised Risk analysis principles and explained that the WG had focused its work on sections where comments had been submitted in addition to few editorial adjustments.

Discussion

157. The Committee considered the document section by section. In addition to few changes to improve clarity, flow, readability and minor adjustments to ensure consistent use of terminology, the Committee made the following comments and amendments:

Dietary Intake

158. The JMPR Secretariat clarified that it was not possible to include a specific percentile consumption level for acute exposure calculation as this would depend on the quality of data submitted; and that the JMPR practice of looking to alternative GAP when the IESTI exceeded the ARfD was explained in the FAO Manual and needed not be included in this higher level risk analysis principles document.

CX/PR 14/46/10; CX/PR 14/46/10-Add.1 (Comments of El Salvador, European Union, Kenya and African Union); CRD 7 (Comments of Argentina); CRD 16 (Comments of Cameroon, China, Chile, Ghana, Indonesia and Mali); CRD 21 (Comments of Nigeria); CRD 23 (Report of the in-session Working Group on Methods of Analysis).

16 CX/PR 14/46/11; CX/PR 14/46/11-Add.1 (Comments of Argentina, European Union and CropLife International); CRD 17 (Comments of Cameroon, China, Japan, Mali and Thailand); CRD 24 (Report of the in-session Working Group on Risk Analysis).

CX/PR 14/46/9; CX/PR 14/46/9-Add.1 (Comments of Canada, European Union, Kenya and African Union); CRD 6 (Comments of Australia and Thailand); CRD 10 (Comments of Australia); CRD 15 (Comments of Cameroon, Ghana, Japan and Mali); CRD 20 (Revised Classification, Items 8 a,b,c).

Commitment to support pesticide or existing CXL or new proposed MRL

159. The Committee noted that the WG had removed all detailed information on the data requirements to support a periodic review, as this information was already included in the FAO Manual. However it was noted that not all relevant guidance was included in the FAO Manual and in EHC 240¹⁷, and that guidance on information needed to support the periodic review of pesticide no longer supported by the original sponsor was only available in the 2012 JMPR General Considerations (Section 2.1).

- 160. The JMPR Secretariat informed the Committee that the FAO Manual was regularly updated to reflect the JMPR general considerations and that the 2012 JMPR general consideration would be introduced in the FAO Manual in due course.
- 161. The Committee agreed that the key requirements related to GAP information, field trials and other relevant studies required when some pesticide uses were no longer supported by the original sponsor would be included in the Risk Analysis Principles until they were incorporated in the FAO Manual.

Annex C – Principles and guidance for the application of the proportionality concept for estimation of maximum residue limits for pesticides

162. The Committee noted editorial amendments to the *Principles and guidance for the application of the proportionality for the estimation of MRLs for pesticides* but agreed to retain the text as adopted by the 36th CAC to avoid possible misinterpretations as to when and under which conditions the proportionality approach could be used.

Conclusion

- 163. The Committee agreed to forward the revised *Risk Analysis Principles applied by the Committee on Pesticide Residues* to the 37th Session of the Commission for adoption and inclusion in the Procedural Manual (Appendix XIII).
- 164. The delegations from the Latin America and Caribbean (LAC) region who took part in the 46th CCPR Session, namely Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Ecuador, El Salvador, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico and Paraguay, stated that they supported the document, noting that since the document revision was requested in 2007, much work had been done to reach agreement and this was greatly appreciated by the delegations. However, these delegations had deep concern that the new periodic review procedure still did not prevent the pesticide Codex MRLs (CXLs) from being revoked even when there was no scientific evidence that they could damage health and that this was inconsistent with the *Working Principles for Risk Analysis for Application in the framework of the Codex Alimentarius* and the risk analysis principles developed by other Codex committees.

DISCUSSION PAPER ON GUIDANCE TO FACILITATE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES FOR MINOR CROPS / SPECIALTY CROPS (Agenda Item 11)18

- 165. The Delegation of France, as Chair of the in-session Working Group, introduced the item and referred to the report of the in-session WG that summarised the discussion and recommendations for consideration by the Committee (CRD 22).
- 166. The EWG Chair recalled that the key points of discussion in the Committee for the past four sessions referred to the definition and identification of minor crops and the minimum number of residue field trials necessary to support the establishment of MRLs for these commodities.
- 167. The EWG Chair also recalled that, in view of the difficulties in defining what minor crop is, the Committee had decided in 2012 that it would be more appropriate to focus its work on the development of criteria to determine the minimum number of field trials to support the establishment of MRLs for minor crops. Following this decision, the Committee had agreed on a set of criteria based on global consumption data (FAOSTAT Food Supply Quantity) and regional consumption data (GEMS/Foods cluster diets). The EWG Chair explained that selection of consumption data (rather than production area) was selected as the most reliable and complete source of information currently available to identify minor crops at international level and that the use of the regional consumption data adequately addressed those commodities, like yams or cassava, which could be a major crop in terms of consumption and/or production and/or cultivation area at a local level.
- 168. The EWG Chair explained that based on these consumption criteria the EWG had identified those crops where % consumption was less than 0.5% globally and that these were listed in Table 2 of CRD 22.
- 169. The EWG Chair informed the Committee that a minimum number of field trials had been proposed for each category and that these trial numbers related to the establishment of MRLs for single commodities and not Group MRLs.
- 170. The EWG Chair recalled the EWG refined a limited list of crops with national consumption data and other criteria (e.g. seasonal consumption) upon request from members.

FAO/WHO. Principles and methods for the risk assessment of chemicals in food. Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organisation, 2009 (Environmental Health Criteria, No. 240).

CX/PR 14/46/12; CRD 8 (Comments of Argentina, El Salvador, European Union, Thailand and African Union); CRD 18 (Comments of Cameroon, China, Indonesia, Japan and Mali); CRD 22 (Report of the in session Working Group on Minor Crops).

Discussion

171. The Committee noted concerns in relation to commodities currently considered as minor crops (e.g. lemon and kiwi) which became major crops following these additional recommendations and agreed that further work should be done in relation to this issue

172. The Committee also noted that for spices, monitoring data could be provided as an alternative to submitting field residue trials. The EWG Chair explained that dealing with monitoring data was not in the scope of the EWG mandate.

Conclusion

- 173. The Committee agreed that the issue of use of official letters¹⁹, from regulatory agencies, containing information on authorised crops and GAP as an alternative to registered labels for MRL setting should be examined by the EWG when considering the guidance to facilitate the establishment of MRLs for pesticides for minor crops.
- 174. The Committee agreed to seek feedback from the 2014 JMPR on whether the proposed approach could be used as a starting point in determining the number of trials considered necessary in the setting of MRLs for minor crops (Appendix XIV).
- 175. The Committee agreed to establish an EWG, led by France and co-chaired by India, Kenya and Thailand, and working in English only, to refine the list of commodities in Tables 1 and 2 (CRD 22) for a limited set of borderline crops and to finalise the guidance document. The Committee noted that there was already ongoing work in the EWG on this issue, as shown in point 2 of CX/PR 14/46/12 (Rev) and in the last paragraph of CRD 22.
- 176. The Committee further agreed that the EWG would continue to identify issues and find solutions to facilitate the establishment of MRLs for minor crops based on establishment of Codex schedules and priority lists of pesticides.
- 177. With regard to the establishment of a "Minor Crops Interest Group", the Committee noted that in Codex there were no procedures for operation of such a group and that working groups should work within the mandate given by CCPR and, as such, only report back to Committee. However, the Committee noted that the task proposed for this group i.e. identification of issues and solutions to facilitate the establishment of MRLs for minor crops could be carried out by the CCPR EWG on minor crops.

ESTABLISHMENT OF CODEX SCHEDULES AND PRIORITY LISTS OF PESTICIDES (Agenda Item 12)20

178. The Delegation of Australia, as Chair of the EWG on Priorities, introduced the revised Schedules and Priority Lists of Pesticides (CRD 2).

2015 Schedule for JMPR evaluations

- 179. The EWG Chair provided the list of new compounds to be scheduled for JMPR evaluation and indicated that lufenuron and phosphorus acid had been given a reserve status.
- 180. The Committee confirmed the Schedule of new use and other evaluations with minor amendments.
- 181. The proposed 2015 Schedule of Periodic Reviews was confirmed with chlormequat and penconazole remaining with a reserve status.
- 182. The EWG Chair advised the Committee that the 2015 Schedule for JMPR evaluations was closed for the addition of new compounds but remained open for the addition of commodities to those listed.

Possible second JMPR meeting

183. The Committee noted comments on a possible second meeting of JMPR. The Committee noted that the reserves for the 2015 Schedule could be included for that meeting. In addition, at least two pesticides listed in the 2016 priority list (spiromesifen and oxathiapiprolin) could be given a "reserve status".

Lindane

- 184. The Committee noted that lindane was currently listed in Annex A of the Stockholm Convention by which Parties must take measures to eliminate the production and use of the chemicals listed under this Annex. The Committee recalled that this compound had been re-evaluated by JMPR in 2002 (for toxicological) and 2003 (for residues) and that, in compliance with the 15 year rule for periodic review, it should be eligible for re-evaluation in 2017/18.
- 185. The EWG Chair requested advice on potential support to retain the Codex MRLs (CXLs) for this pesticide. As none was identified, the EWG Chair confirmed that there were no existing national registrations for lindane uses and subsequently requested residue monitoring data relevant to existing CXLs, which cover sweet corn, cereals, eggs, poultry and meats, for periodic review in 2015 to convert the CXLs into EMRLs. The Delegations of Australia, European Union and India indicated preparedness to forward relevant data in response to the JMPR Call for Data by December 2014.

¹⁹ ALINORM 10/33/24, paras 157-159.

²⁰ CX/PR 14/46/13; CRD 2 (Revised Schedules and Priority Lists of Pesticides); CRD 9 (Comments of Argentina, European Union, Kenya and African Union); CRD 19 (Comments of Cameroon, China, India and Mali); CRD 21 (Comments of Nigeria).

Unsupported pesticides listed in Table 2A and 2B

186. The EWG Chair emphasised the need for all Member countries to review the compounds in Tables 2A and 2B for which support was either unknown or not provided by a manufacturer. In light with earlier interventions, the EWG Chair recommended Members to approach manufacturers or potential sponsors with a view to developing required data packages for those pesticides which had relevant use patterns.

Fenbutatin oxide

187. The EWG Chair requested advice on a potential supporter for this pesticide. As none was identified, the EWG Chair informed the Committee that all fenbutatin oxide CXLs would be recommended for revocation at the next Session of CCPR.

Conclusion

- 188. The Committee agreed to forward the Schedule and Priority Lists of Pesticides for the 2015 JMPR to the 37th Session of the Commission for approval (Appendix XV).
- 189. The Committee further agreed to re-convene the EWG on Priorities under the chairmanship of Australia and working in English to provide a report on the schedule and priority lists for consideration at its next Session.

OTHER BUSINESS AND FUTURE WORK (Agenda Item 13)

190. The Committee noted that no other business was proposed.

DATE AND PLACE OF THE NEXT SESSION (Agenda Item 14)

191. The Committee was informed that its 47th Session was tentatively scheduled to be held in China, in one year time, the final arrangements being subject to confirmation by the Host Country and the Codex Secretariats.

SUMMARY STATUS OF WORK

Subject	Step	Action by	Reference REP14/PR
Draft MRLs for pesticides	8	Governments 37th CAC	para 115 Appendix II
Proposed draft MRLs for pesticides	5/8	Governments 37th CAC	para 115 Appendix III
Draft MRLs for pesticides	7	47 th CCPR (JMPR)	para 116 Appendix V
Draft revision to the Classification of Food and Feed (vegetable commodity groups: Group 016 - Roots and tubers)	7	47 th CCPR	para 135 Appendix IX
Proposed draft revision to the Classification of Food and Feed (vegetable commodity groups: Group 015 - Pulses)	5	Governments 37th CAC Governments EWG (The Netherlands and USA) Governments 47th CCPR	para 148 Appendix X
Proposed draft MRLs for pesticides	4	47 th CCPR (JMPR)	para 116 Appendix VI
Proposed draft Guidance on performance criteria for methods of analysis for the determination of pesticide residues	3/2/3	Governments EWG (USA) Governments 47th CCPR	para 155 Appendix XII
Proposed draft revision to the Classification of Food and Feed (vegetable commodity groups: Group 011 Fruiting vegetables, cucurbits and Group 014 Legume vegetables)	3/2/3	Governments EWG (The Netherlands and USA) Governments 47 th CCPR	paras 146 - 148 Appendix XI
Proposed draft revision to the Classification of Food and Feed – other commodity groups	2/3	EWG (The Netherlands and USA) Governments 47 th CCPR	para 147
Proposed draft Table 2: Examples of Selection of Representative Commodities – Vegetable commodity groups and other commodity groups (Principles and Guidance for the Selection of Representative Commodities for the Extrapolation of Maximum Residue Limits for Pesticides to Commodity Groups)	2/3	EWG (The Netherlands and USA) Governments 47 th CCPR	para 150

<u>REP14/PR</u> 18

Subject	Step	Action by	Reference REP14/PR
Establishment of Codex schedules and priority list of pesticides for evaluation by JMPR	1/2/3	37th CAC Governments EWG on Priorities (Australia) 47th CCPR	para 188 Appendix XV
MRLs for pesticides recommended for revocation	For approval	37 th CAC	para 115 Appendix IV
Consequential amendments to MRLs for pesticides for "citrus fruits" and "lemons and limes" (inclusion of kumquats) due to the revision of the Classification of Food and Feed as per the fruit commodity groups	For adoption	37 th CAC	para 128 Appendix VIII
Revision of the Risk Analysis Principles applied by the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues	For adoption	37 th CAC	para 163 Appendix XIII
Discussion paper on guidance to facilitate the establishment of maximum residue limits for pesticides for minor crops / specialty crops		2014 JMPR EWG (France) 47 th CCPR	paras 174-175 Appendix XIV

APPENDIX I

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS LISTE DES PARTICIPANTS LISTA DE PARTICIPANTES

CHAIRPERSON PRÉSIDENT PRESIDENTE

Dr Xiongwu QIAO Shanxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences 2 Changfeng Street Taiyuan Shanxi Province, 030006 P.R. China Tel: +86 351 7581865

Fax: +86 351 7040092 E-mail: ccpr_qiao@agri.gov.cn

MEMBER COUNTRIES PAYS MEMBRES PAÍSES MIEMBROS

ARGENTINA / ARGENTINE

Mr Hernan VIOLA

Tecnico Asesor del Agregado Agricola Ministerio de Agricultura, Ganadería y Pesca Agregaduria Agricola en China Sanlitum Dong Wu Jie 100600 Beijing CHINA

Tel: (86 10) 6532 0790/89 ext. 60 Fax: (86 10) 6532 0270

E-mail: viola@agrichina.org

AUSTRALIA / AUSTRALIE

Ms Rajumati BHULA

Executive Director, Pesticides
Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority
18 Wormald Street, Symonston
2609 ACT
AUSTRALIA

Tel: + 61 2 6210 4826

E-mail: raj.bhula@apvma.gov.au

Mr Kevin BODNARUK

Consultant Horticulture 26/12 Phillip Mall 2073 West Pymble AUSTRALIA

Tel: +61 294993833 Fax: +61294996055

E-mail: kevinakc@bigpond.net.au

Mr William MURRAY

GRDC

Grains Research and Development Corporation 22 Thornley Close 3156 Ferntree Gully AUSTRALIA Tel: 61 3 97638396

E-mail: wjmurray@bigpond.net.au

Mr Ian REICHSTEIN

Director National Residue Survey Department of Agriculture PO Box 858 2601 Canberra AUSTRALIA Tel: +61 2 6272 5668

E-mail: ian.reichstein@daff.gov.au

BAHRAIN /BAHREÏN / BAHREIN

Mr Alnatai ABDUL NABI

sr.chemiat, Head of contaminants unit Ministry of health, public p.o.box 12

Tel: 00973 39259420 E-mail: anatie@healh.gov.bh

Mr Alhaddaq MOHAMED

Head, Domestic food Safety Group M.Health M.Health, P.O.Box 12 - Bahrain Tel: 0097317273683

Fax: 0097317279253

E-mail: mhaddaq@health.gov.bh

BRAZIL / BRÉSIL

Mr CarlosVENANCIO

Head of Pesticide Registration Ministry of Agriculture Livestock and Food Supply Esplanada dos Ministerios - Bloco D - Edificio Anexo - 3° Andar - Sala 325 - Ala A Brasilia

BRAZIL

Tel: + 55 61 3218-2445

E-mail: carlos.venancio@agricultura.gov.br

Ms Cleide OLIVEIRA

VIGNA BRASIL Agro Division

Avenida Ipiranga, 318. Bloco A, 16º Andar. Republica. São

Paulo-SP. Brasil 01046-010 São Paulo- SP

BRAZIL

Tel: +55 11 3124-4455 Fax: +55 11 3124-4455

E-mail: ocleide@uol.com.br or cleide@vignabrasil.com.br

CAMEROON / CAMEROUN / CAMERÚN

Ms Colette Wolimoum Epse BOOTO A NGON

Global Water Partnership (GWP) GWPCmr Chair

Tel: 00237 99612471/77659750

Fax: +(237)22216497 E-mail: booto251@yahoo.fr

Mr Stephen EBAI TAKANG

Sub Director,

Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development

B.P 2082 Yaoundé Tel: 00237 77 48 2112

E-mail: stephen.ebai@yahoo.com

Mr Felix EBOA MPILE

Sécretaire Technique Adjoint du CNCOSAC, Ministry of Mines, Industry and Technological Development CAMEROON

Tel: 00237 7766 9518 Fax: 00237 2222 2704 E-mail: mpileboa@yahoo.fr

Mr CHRISTIAN MOUNDJOA

Chef de Service

E-mail: chrismoundjoa@yahoo.fr

CANADA / CANADÁ

Dr. Peter CHAN

Director General

Health Evaluation Directorate,

Pest Management Regulatory Agency

Health Canada

2720 riverside Drive, Sir Charles Tupper Building

K1A0K9 Ottawa CANADA Tel: 613-736-3510 Fax: 613-736-3909

E-mail: peter.chan@hc-sc.gc.ca

Ms Donna GRANT

Chemist, Pesticide Unit Canadian Food Inspection Agency 3650-36 Street, N.W. Calgary T2L2L1 Calgary CANADA

Tel: 403-338-5261 Fax: 403-338-5299

E-mail: donna.grant@inspection.gc.ca

Mr Gord KURBIS

Director

Market Access and Trade Policy Pulse Canada / Canadian Special Crops Association

1212-220 Portage Ave R3C0A5 Winnipeg, MB

CANADA

Tel: 204-925-3788

E-mail: gkurbis@pulsecanada.com

Mr Brent WILSON

Deputy Director - Technical Trade Policy Division Agriculture Agri-Food Canada 1308 Baseline Road, Tower 5, Floor 3 - Room 152

K1A 0C5 Ottawa CANADA

Tel: 613-773-1651 Fax: 613-773-1616

E-mail: brent.wilson@agr.gc.ca

CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC / RÉPUBLIQUE CENTRAFRICAINE / REPÚBLICA CENTROAFRICANA

Mr Aimé Pascal NGOUMBANGO NZABE

Cadre au Ministère du développement rural Comité national du Codex Alimentarius Direction générale de l'Agriculture Ministère du développement rural BP 55 Bangui

CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC
Tel: 00236 75 50 23 24 / 00236 72 5
E-mail: codexcentrafrique@gmail.com

CHILE / CHILI

Ms Soledad FERRADA

Jefa Subdepartamento Negociaciones Internacionales SAG

Paseo Bulnes 140, piso 5

Santiago CHILE

Tel: +562 23451577

E-mail: soledad.ferrada@sag.gob.cl

Mr Eduardo AYLWIN

Asesor

Agencia Chilena para la Inocuidad Alimentaria

Nueva York 17 Piso 4

Santiago CHILE

Tel: 5627979900

E-mail: eduardo.aylwin@achipia.gob.cl

Ms Paulina CHAVEZ

Asesor

Ministerio de Salud

DIPOL Santiago CHILE

Tel: 56 (2) 5740619 E-mail: pchavez@minsal.cl

Ms Marcela TRIVIÑO

Asesor ASOEX

Cruz del Sur 133, Las Condes

Santiago

Tel: (56-2)472 47 00 E-mail: <u>mtrivino@asoex.cl</u>

CHINA / CHINE

Dr Baogen GU

Deputy Director

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-59194079 Fax: 86-10-59194047

E-mail: gubaogen@agri.gov.cn

Mr Wenxing LI

Director

Pesticide Management Division,

Department of Crop Farming Administration,

Ministry of Agriculture,

No.11Nong Zhan Guan Nanli, Beijing

100125 Beijing

CHINA

Tel: 86-10-59192810 Fax: 86-10-59191875 E-mail: pmd@agri.gov.cn

Ms Weiqin WANG

Division Director

Department of International Cooperation,

Ministry of Agriculture

No,11 Nongzhan Guan Nanli

100125 Beijing, CHINA

Tel: 86-10-59192429 Fax: 86-10-65003621

E-mail: weiginw@agri.gov.cn

Ms Xiaohua FANG

Deputy Division Director

Bureau of Quality and Safety Supervision for Agro-products,

Ministry of Agriculture,

No.11Nong Zhan Guan Nanli,

100125 Beijing

CHINA

Tel: 86-10-59192313 Fax: 86-10-59193315

E-mail: fangxiaohua@agri.gov.cn

Dr Weili SHAN

Director

Registration Division

Institute of the Control for Agrochemicals,

Ministry of Agriculture

Maizidian Street No.22, Chaoyang District, Beijing, CHINA

Tel: 86-10-59194253 Fax: 86-10-59194063 E-mail: shanweili@agri.gov.cn

Mr Guibiao YE

Director

Residue Division

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals Ministry of

Agriculture

residuse department

No.22 Maizidian St. Chaoyang District Beijing, 100125,

P.R.China

Tel: 86-10-59194302 Fax: 86-10-59194107 E-mail: yeguibiao@agri.gov.cn

Mr Chuanjiang TAO

Director

Health Division

Institute for the Control of Agrochmicals,

Ministry of Agriculture,

Room 120, Building 22, Maizidian Street, Chaoyang

District, 100125 Beijing, CHINA

Tel: 86-10-59194084 Fax: 86-10-59194244

E-mail: taochuanjiang@agri.gov.cn

Mr Yehan CUI

Director

The Ministry of agriculture science and Technology

Development Center

No. 96 East Third Ring Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing,

100122 Beijing

CHINA

Tel: 13911097783 Fax: 86-10-59199377

E-mail: cuivehan@agri.gov.cn

Ms Na LIU

Deputy director

Ministry of Commerce

2, Dong Chang An Street, Beijing, China

Beijing CHINA

Tel: 86-10-65197362 Fax: 86-10-65197061

E-mail: liuna@mofcom.gov.cn

Mr Changxing XU

Deputy Director General

Standardization Administration of the People's Republic of China Department of Agriculture and Food Standards No.9 Madian Donglu, Haidian District, Beijing, China

Beijing CHINA

Tel: 86-10-82262657 Fax: 86-10-82260693 E-mail: Xuchx@sac.gov.cn

Mr Dawei CHEN
Assistant professor

China National Center for Food Safety Risk Assessment 7 Panjiayuan Nanli, Chaoyang District, Beijing

100021 Beijing,CHINA Tel: 15210152642

E-mail: chendw@cfsa.net.cn

Mr Songxue WANG

Researcher

Academy of State Admnistration of Grain

No.11 Baiwanzhuang Street, Xicheng District, 100037

Beijing, CHINA Tel: 86-13522649591 Fax: 86-10-58523599 E-mail: wsx@chinagrain.org

Mr Shuangcheng MA

Professor/Director

National Institute for Food and Drug Control

Institute for Control of Chinese Traditional Medicine and

Ethnic Medicine

No.2, Tian Tan Xi Li, Dongcheng District,100050 Beijing

CHINA

Tel: 86-10-67095272 Fax: 86-10-67095887 E-mail: masc@nicpbp.org.cn

Mr Yelan HUANG

Middle class

National Health and Family Planning Commission of the

People's Republic of China

Division of food safety standard management Dept. of Food Safety Standard, Risk Surveillance and Assessment

No.1, Nanlu Xizhimenwai, Xicheng District, Beijing 100044,

CHINA

Tel: 86-10-68792839 Fax: 86-10-68792408 E-mail: 363686351@qq.com

Mr Canping PAN

Professor

College of Science, China Agricultural University

10093 Beijing, CHINA Tel: 86-10-62731978 Fax: 86-10-62733620 E-mail: Panc@cau.edu.cn

Ms Chewing CHU

Agricultural Officer(Food Chemistry)

Centre For Food Safety, Food and Environmental Hygiene

Department, Hong Kong

43/F, Queensway Government Offices,66 Queensway,

Hong Kong CHINA

Tel: (852)62815978 Fax: (852)28933547 E-mail: <u>cwchul@fehd.gov.hk</u>

Ms Ho Yan CHUNG

Scientific Officer(Contaminant)

Centre For Food Safety, Food and Environmental Hygiene

Department, Hong Kong

43/F, Queensway Government Offices,66 Queensway,

Hong Kong CHINA

Tel: (852)98269183 Fax: (852)28933547

E-mail: hychung@fehd.gov.hk

Mr Chi Kin TSANG

Senior Chemist

Centre For Food Safety, Food and Environmental Hygiene

Department, Hong Kong

43/F, Queensway Government Offices,66 Queensway,

Hong Kong CHINA

Tel: (852)28675022 Fax: (852)28933547 E-mail: cktsang@fehd,gov.hk

Mr Kit Hong CHAN

Senior Technician

Civic and Municipal Affairs Bureau of Macau

Rua Nova de Areia Preta, No.52 Centro de Serviços 3

andar da RAEM, Macau

CHINA

Tel: (853)8296 9926 Fax: (853)8296 9930

E-mail: kithongc@iacm.gov.mo

Ms Veng Han LEUNG

Head of Department

Civic and Municipal Affairs Bureau of Macau

Rua Nova de Areia Preta, No.52 Centro de Serviços 3

andar da RAEM,

Macau CHINA

Tel: (853) 8296 9929 Fax: (853) 8296 9935

E-mail: vhleung@iacm.gov.mo

Ms Hoi Chi SOU

Head of Division of Risk Assessment Civic and Municipal Affairs Bureau of Macau

Rua Nova de Areia Preta, No.52 Centro de Serviços 3

andar da RAEM, Macau

CHINA

Tel: (853)8296 9931 Fax: (853)8296 9930 E-mail: hcsou@iacm.gov.mo

COLOMBIA / COLOMBIE

Ms Maira Andrea ARRIETA

Profesional Especializado

Instituto Nacional de Vigilancia de Medicamentos y

Alimentos - INVIMA

Dirección de Alimentos y Bebidas

Carrera 68D No. 17 - 21

Bogotá COLOMBIA

Tel: 57 1 2948700 Ext. 3906 E-mail: marrietag@invima.gov.co

COSTA RICA

Ms Verónica PICADO POMAR

Jefe de Laboratorio

Servicio Fitosanitario del Estado, MAG

Laboratorio de Análisis de Residuos de Plaguicidas

Sabana Sur.

1521-1200 San José

COSTA RICA

Tel: (506) 2549-3604 Fax: 506) 2549-3599 E-mail: vpicado@sfe.go.cr

Ms Amanda LASSO CRUZ Licensed Food Technologist

Department of Codex

Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry 400 m al West the Comptroller General 10.216-1000 Sabana South San José

COSTA RICA Tel: (506) 2549-1434 Fax: +506 22912015

E-mail: alasso@meic.go.cr

CUBA

Ms Ariadna CALDERIN ALFONSO

Ms C Investigadora Auxiliar Instituto de Nutrición e Higiene de los Alimentos Bioquímica y Fisiología Infanta 1158, Centro Habana 10200 La Habana **CUBA**

E-mail: nc@ncnorma.cu, ariadna@sinha.sld.cu

DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA RÉPUBLIQUE POPULAIRE DÉMOCRATIQUE DE CORÉE REPÚBLICA POPULAR DEMOCRÁTICA DE COREA

Mr Yong San KIM

Head of plant protection laboratory, Academy of health and food science

Plant protection

PO BOX 901, Ryongbuk Dong, Taesong District, Pyongyang

City, DPR Korea

Democratic People's Republic of Korea

Tel: 00850-2-381-8835 Fax: 00850-2-381-4420 E-mail: ahfs@star-co.net.kp

Mr Kwang Hyok RI

Researcher, Chemical analysis department, Academy of health and foodscience

PO BOX 901, Ryongbuk Dong, Taesong District, Pyongyang

City, DPR Korea

Democratic People's Republic of Korea

Tel: 00850-2-381-8835 Fax: 00850-2-381-4420 E-mail: hfs@star-co.net.kp

DENMARK / DANEMARK / DINAMARCA

Ms Gudrun HILBERT

Senior Scientific Adviser Danish Veterinary and Food Administration Stationsparken 31 2600 Glostrup **DENMARK**

Tel: +45 7227 6631 E-mail: guh@fvst.dk

Ms Bodil Hamborg JENSEN

Senior Adviser Technical University of Denmark National Food Institute Mørkhøj Bygade 19 DK 2860 Søborg **DENMARK** Tel: +45 35887468

E-mail: bhje@food.dtu.dk

ECUADOR / ÉQUATEUR

Mr Rommel Aníbal BETANCOURT HERRERA

Director Nacional de Inocuidad de los Alimentos Agencia Ecuatoriana de Aseguramiento de la Calidad del Agro - AGROCALIDAD

Ministerio de Agricultura, Ganadería, Acuacultura y Pesca

Dirección de Inocuidad de los Alimentos Avenidas Amazonas y Eloy Alfaro esquina 170516 Quito

ECUADOR Tel: 593 2 567 232 Fax: 593 2 567 232

E-mail: rommel.betancourt@agrocalidad.gob.ec

Ms Rosero NARVÁEZ CARINA LEONOR

Analista de inocuidad de alimentos Ministerio de Salud Pública del Ecuador Dirección Nacional de Control Sanitario Av. República del Salvador 36-64 y Suecia 170515 Quito **ECUADOR**

Tel: 593 (2) 3814400 ext 1212 E-mail: carina.rosero@msp.gob.ec

Ms María Dolores MENESES MENESES

Analista de Registro Sanitario de Plaguicidas y Otros Agencia Nacional de Regulación, Control y Vigilancia

Coordinación Técnica de Certificaciones

Av. 6 de Diciembre N25-96, entre Av. Colón y Leónidas Batallas

170102 Quito **ECUADOR**

Tel: (593) 0998028327

E-mail: maria.meneses@controlsanitario.gob.ec

Mr Segundo Israel VACA JIMÉNEZ

Analista de Inocuidad de los Alimentos

Agencia Ecuatoriana de Aseguramiento de la Calidad del AGRO - AGROCALIDAD

Ministerio de Agricultura, Ganadería, Acuacultura y Pesca

Dirección de Inocuidad de los Alimentos Avenidas Amazonas y Eloy Alfaro esquina 170516 Quito

ECUADOR Tel: (593) 2- 2567232 Ext. 159

E-mail: israel.vaca@agrocalidad.gob.ec

EGYPT / ÉGYPTE / EGIPTO

Mr Ahmed Abozaid MOHAMED

Egyptian Organization for Standardization and Quality (EOS)

Standards Specialist

General Coordinator of Egyptian TBT Enquirypoint 16, Tadreeb El Moddarbeen Street Ameriya CAIRO

EGYPT

Tel: 00202 22845531 Fax:00202 22845504

E-mail: ZICA_EOS@YAHOO.COM

Mr Nabil Khaled AHMED

General organization for Export & Import control

food

Cairo Airport cairo Egypt

Tel: +201002577683 Fax: +20222669609

E-mail: nabilghaba60@yahoo.com

EL SALVADOR

Ms Jennifer Ivette TREJO

Técnico Especialista Codex Alimentarius Organismo Salvadoreño de Reglamentación técnica – OSARTEC – Punto de Contacto,

Codex Alimentarius

1a Calle Poniente, Final Avenida Norte, N. 18,

Colonia Flor Blanca, San Salvador

El Salvador

Tel: (503) 2590-5331 E-mail: <u>itrejo@osartec.gob.sv</u>

ESTONIA / ESTONIE

Ms Sille VAHTER

chief specialist
Ministry of Agriculture
Food Safety Department
Lai St. 39/41
15056 Tallinn
ESTONIA

Tel: +3726256211 Fax: +3726256210 E-mail: sille.vahter@agri.ee

EUROPEAN UNION / UNION EUROPÉENNE / UNIÓN EUROPEA

Mr Risto HOLMA

Administrator Responsible for Codex Issues European Commission DG for Health and Consumers Rue Froissart 101 1049 Ruissels

1049 Brussels BELGIUM Tel: +322 2998683

Fax: +322 2998566

E-mail: risto.holma@ec.europa.eu

Ms Almut BITTERHOF

Administrator

European Commission DG Health and Consumer Rue Froissart 101 1049 Brussels

1049 Brussels BELGIUM

Tel: 3222986758 E-mail: almut.bitterhof@ec.europa.eu

Ms Hermine REICH

European Food Safety Authority Pesticides Unit Via Carlo Magno 1/A 43126 Parma

Tel: +39 0521 036 662

E-mail: Hermine.REICH@efsa.europa.eu

Mr Volker WACHTLER

Administrator

European Commission

DG SANCO F101 04/059 1049 Brussels BELGIUM

Tel: +32 229-58305

E-mail: volker.wachtler@ec.europa.eu

FINLAND / FINLANDE / FINLANDIA

Ms Tiia MäKINEN-TöYKKä

Senior Officer

Finnish Food Safety Authority Evira

Mustialankatu 3 00790 Helsinki FINLAND

Tel: +358-40-5521859 E-mail: tiia.makinen@evira.fi

FRANCE / FRANCIA

Ms Florence GERAULT

Residue expert

French ministry of agriculture DGAL - SRAL Pays de la Loire

10 rue Le Notre 49044 ANGERS Cedex

FRANCE

Tel: 00 33241723234 Fax: 00 33241360035

E-mail: florence.gerault@agriculture.gouv.fr

Mr Xavier SARDA

Senior Scientific Officer

Anses

Regulated Product Directorate/ Residues and Food Safety

Unit

253 Avenue du Général Leclerc

94700 Maisons-Alfort

FRANCE

Tel: 33 1 49 77 21 66 Fax: 33 1 49 77 21 60 E-mail: <u>xavier.sarda@anses.fr</u>

GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE / ALEMANIA

Ms Angela GOEBEL

Head of Delegation

Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture

Division 313 Wilhelmstr. 54 D-10117 Berlin GERMANY

Tel: +49 30 18 529 3665

E-mail: Angela.Goebel@bmel.bund.de

Mr Karsten HOHGARDT

Delegate

Federal Office of Consumer Protection and Food Safety

Department Plant Protection Products

Messeweg 11 - 12 D-38104 Braunschweig

GERMANY

Tel: +49 531 299 3503 Fax: +39 531 299 3002

E-mail: karsten.hohgardt@bvl.bund.de

Mr Hans-Dieter JUNGBLUT

Advisor

German Crop Protection, Pest Control and Fertilizer

Association

Tel: +49 621 6027774 Fax: +49 621 6027092

E-mail: hans-dieter.jungblut@basf.com

Ms Ingrid Maria KAUFMANN-HORLACHER

Delegate

Head of laboratory / Senior Chemist

Chemisches und Veterinäruntersuchungsamt Stuttgart

Schaflandstrasse 3/2 D-70736 Fellbach **GERMANY**

Fax: +49 711 588176

E-mail: Ingrid.Kaufmann-Horlacher@cvuas.bwl.de

Mr Otto KLEIN

Advisor

German Crop Protection, Pest Control and Fertilizer

Association

Tel: +49 2173 383463 Fax: +49 2173 385866 E-mail: otto.klein@bayer.com

GHANA

Mr Joseph CANTAMANTO EDMUND

Deputy Director

Environmental Protection Agency

Chemical Control and Management Center (CCMC)

P.O. BOX MB 326

ACCRA GHANA

Tel: +233 208 168907

E-mail: joseph.edmund@epa.gov.gh

Mr Cheetham LAWRENCE MINGLE

Principal Regulatory Officer Food and Drugs Authority Laboratory Services P.O. BOX CT 2783, Cantonments

ACCRA GHANA

Tel: +233 244 080 666

E-mail: tawa gh@yahoo.com, codexghana@gmail.com,

codex@gsa.gov.gh

Dr. Sam ADU-KUMI

AG. Director

Environmental Protection Agency

Chemicals Control and Management Centre

P.O. BOX 326 **ACCRA**

GHANA Tel: +233 302 664697

E-mail: sam.adu-kumi@epa.gov.gh/

adukumisam@yahoo.com

Dr. Paul AYIKU AGYEMANG

Research Manager Ghana Cocoa Board Quality Control CO. LTD P.O. BOX M54 **ACCRA**

GHANA

Tel: +233 203 660664

E-mail: pagyengo467@yahoo.com

Mr Zakaria BRAIMAH

Senior Regulatory Officer Food and Drugs Authority Food Division

P.O. BOX CT 2783, Cantonments

ACCRA GHANA

Tel: 233 209 280738

E-mail: zakwohoor@yahoo.com

Dr. Isaac YAW OPOKU

Deputy Managing Director (Operations)

Ghana Cocoa Board Quality Control CO. LTD P. O. BOX M54

ACCRA GHANA

Tel: +233 243 421511

E-mail: iopokuisaac@yahoo.com

Mr John OPPONG-OTOO

Standards Officer Ghana Standards Authority Pesticide Residue Laboratory

P.O. BOX MB 245

Accra **GHANA**

Tel: +233 243 785 375

E-mail: nanapong23@yahoo.com, joppong-otoo@gsa.gov.gh

Mr Paul OSEI-FOSU

Ghana Standards Authority Head, Pesticide Residue Laboratory P.O. BOX MB 245

ACCRA GHANA

Tel: +233 208 150 469

E-mail: posei_fosu@yahoo.co.uk, popfosu@gsa.bov.gh

GREECE / GRÈCE / GRECIA

Mr Panagiotis THEODORIS

Plant Protection Products (PPP) Regulatory Officer Ministry of Rural Development and Food (MRD&F) General Directorate of Plant Produce /

Directorate of Plant Protection / Department of Pesticides

150, Syggroy Av. **17651 ATHENS GREECE**

Tel: 00302109287225 Fax: 00302109287225 E-mail: syg058@minagric.gr

Mr Christos ANAGNOSTOPOULOS

Researcher

Benaki Phytopathological Institute

Laboratory of Pesticide Residues (National Reference Laboratory) / Department of Pesticides Control and

Phytopharmacy 8 St. Delta str. 14561 Athens **GREECE**

Tel: +30-210-8180364 Fax: +30-210-8080223

E-mail: c.anagnostopoulos@bpi.gr

Mr Guido SALA CHIRI

Administrator

General Secretariat of the Council of the European Union

DG B 2 B

rue de la Loi 175 B-1048 Brussels BELGIUM

Tel: +32 2 281 5734 Fax: +32 2 281 6198

E-mail: secretariat.codex@consilium.europa.eu

HONDURAS

Ms Emerita AVILA REYES

Tecnico Oficial del Departamento de Control y Uso de

Plaquicidas

Servicio Nacional de Sanidad Agropecuaria

Control y Uso de Plaguicidas

Ave La FAO Bolibard Miraflores, Colonia Loma Linda Sur

504 Tegucigalpa Honduras

HONDURAS

Tel: (504)2232-6213 Fax: (504)2231-0786

E-mail: emeritaavila2005@yahoo.es

HUNGARY / HONGRIE / HUNGRÍA

Mr Tamás GRIFF

head of department

National Food Chain Safety Office, Directorate of Plant Protection, Soil Conservation and Agri-Environment

Department of Pesticides Evaluation

Budaörsi út 141-145.

H-1118 Budapest

HUNGARY

Tel: +36 1 309 1058 Fax: +36 1 246 2960 E-mail: grifft@nebih.gov.hu

INDIA / INDE

Mr Vipin BHATNAGAR

Additional Plant Protection Adviser (APPA)
Directorate of Plant Protection, Quarantine & Storage

Ministry of Agriculture,

Department of Agriculture & Cooperation,

NH IV, Faridabad-121001

INDIA

Tel: +91-129-2413002, 2413985

Fax: +91-129-2412125

E-mail: vipinaug15@gmail.com

Dr. P.K. CHAKRABARTY

Assistant Director General (Plant Protection) Indian Council of Agricultural Research

ICAR, Room No. 215 Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

INDIÁ

Tel: 011-23384414 Fax: 011-23384414 E-mail: adgpp.icar@nic.in

Mr Devendra PRASAD

Assistant General Manager

Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority (APEDA), Ministry of Commerce &

Industry, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, NCUI Auditorium Building, 3, Siri Institutional Area, August Kranti Marg, Opp. Asian Games Village,

Hauzkhas

110016 New Delhi

INDIA

Tel: 011-26534175 Fax: 011-26519259

E-mail: dprasad@apeda.gov.in

Dr. Krishan Kumar SHARMA

Network Coordinator, Pesticide Residues Indian Agricultural Research Institute

Room No 203, AINP on Pesticide Residues, LBS Building,

IARI, Pusa Campus New Delhi-110012

INDIA

Tel: 011-25846396 Fax: 011-25846396

E-mail: kksaicrp@yahoo.co.in

Mr Zavier Thaliyakuzhy VARGHESE

Scientist A

Quality Evaluation Laboratory, Spices Board of India, Kochi Thaliyakuzhy House Kuzhur, Eravathur.P.O. Trichur, Kerela 680734 Kochi

INDIA

E-mail: zaviersbgel@gmail.com

INDONESIA / INDONÉSIE

Mr Rudy TJAHJOHUTOMO

Director

Ministry of Agriculture

Indonesian Center for Agricultural Postharvest Research and Development - IAARD

Jl. Tentara Pelajar No.12, Kampus Penelitian Pertanian,

Cimanggu

16114 Bogor - West Java

INDONESIA

Tel: +62 251 8321762 Fax: +62 251 8350920

E-mail: rudyhutomo@yahoo.com

Mr Asep Nugraha ARDIWINATA

Researcher

Ministry of Agriculture

Indonesian Agricultural Environment Research Institute (IAERI)

Jl. Raya Laladon No. 240, Laladon, Ciomas

16610 BOGOR INDONESIA

Tel: +62 251 8638987 Fax: +62 251 8638987 E-mail: asena@indo.net.id

Mr OK Teguh INDRAWAN MULIA

Staff

Ministry of Trade

Directorate for Development of Quality of Goods

Jl. Raya Bogor km. 26, Ciracas

13740 JAKARTA **INDONESIA**

Tel: +62 21 87721002 Fax: +62 21 87721001 E-mail: oka 22@yahoo.com

Mr Joni MUNARSO

Principal Researcher Ministry of Agriculture

Indonesian Center for Agricultural Postharvest Research

and Development - IAARD Jl. Tentara Pelajar 12, Cimanggu 16114 BOGOR **INDONESIA**

Tel: +62 251 8321762 Fax: +62 251 8350920

E-mail: joni_munarso@yahoo.co.id

Ms Sri SULASMI

Deputy Director Directorate of Quality and Standardization Ministry of Agriculture Jl. Harsono RM No.3, Ragunan 12550 JAKARTA

INDONESIA Tel: +6221 7815881 Fax: +6221 7811468

E-mail: ciami_12@yahoo.com, codex kementan@yahoo.com

IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF) IRAN (RÉPUBLIQUE ISLAMIQUE D') IRÁN (REPÚBLICA ISLÁMICA DEL)

Ms Roya Noorbakhsh

Food Reffrence lab. Manager Iranian National Standard Organization Food and Agriculture Research Center of Standard Research Institute Iran - Karaj - INSo - Pobox 31585-163

Tel: 982632802130 Fax: 0098 2632808120

E-mail: roybakhsh@yahoo.com

IRAQ

Mr Ali AL-MALIKI

senior agronomist/ M.S.C in pesticide residue analyses ministry of agriculeure National Center of Pesticide Control al-andules street 00964 baghdad **IRAQ**

Tel: 07716342018

E-mail: ali77.2013@yahoo.com

ITALY / ITALIE/ ITALIA

Mr Orazio SUMMO

Italian Codex Contact Point Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry Policies Via XX Settembre, 20 00187 Rome **ITALY**

Tel: +390646654043

E-mail: o.summo@mpaaf.gov.it

JAMAICA / JAMAÏQUE

Mr Michael Frank RAMSAY

Registrar, Pesticides Control Authority Ministry of Health, 2-4 King St., Kingston, Jamaica

Tel: 876 9671281 Fax: 876 9671285

E-mail: ramsay@caribpesticides.net

JAPAN / JAPON / JAPÓN

Mr Masahiro SEGAWA

Director

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Agricultural Chemicals Office, Plant Products Safety Division, Food Safety and Consumer Affairs Bureau 1-2-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku 100-8950 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: +81-3-3502-5969 Fax: +81-3-3501-3774

E-mail: masahiro segawa@nm.maff.go.jp

Ms Atsuko HORIBE

Deputy Director First Risk Assessment Division Food Safety Commission Secretariat 22nd Fl., Akasaka Park Bld., 5-2-20, Akasaka, Minato-ku 107-6122 Tokyo **JAPAN**

Tel: +81 3 6234 1165 Fax: +81 3 3584 7391

E-mail: atsuko.horibe@cao.go.jp

Mr Makoto IRIE

Deputy Director

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries

Plant Products Safety Division, Food Safety and Consumer

Affairs Bureau

1-2-1, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku

100-8950 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: +81-3-3502-7569 Fax: +81-3-3580-8592

E-mail: makoto irie@nm.maff.go.jp

Dr. Satoru NEMOTO

Section Chief National Institute of Health Sciences Division of Foods 1-18-1, Kamiyoga 158-8501 Setagaya-ku, Tokyo **JAPAN**

Tel: 81-3-3700-1141 Fax: 81-3-3700-9348 E-mail: nemoto@nihs.go.jp

Ms Asako OGAWA

Assistant Director

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare Department of Food Safety

1-2-2 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku

100-8916 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: 81-3-3595-2341 Fax: 81-3-3501-4868 E-mail: codexi@mhlw.go.jp

Ms Yoko SAITO

Section Chief

Food Safety Commission Secretariat
First Risk Assessment Division

22nd Fl., Akasaka Park Bld., 5-2-20, Akasaka, Minato-ku

107-6122 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: +81 3 6234 1164 Fax: +81 3 3584 7391 E-mail: yoko.saito@cao.go.jp

Mr Yoshiyuki TAKAGISHI

Section Chief

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Agricultural Chemicals Office, Plant Products Safety Division, Food Safety and Consumer Affairs Bureau 1-2-1, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku 100-8950 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: +81-3-3502-5969 Fax: +81-3-3501-3774

E-mail: yoshiyuki takagishi@nm.maff.go.jp

Mr Eiichi YOKOTA

Assistant Director Ministry of Health

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare Department of Food Safety

1-2-2 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku 100-8916 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: +81-3-3595-2326 Fax: +81-3-3503-7965 E-mail: <u>codexj@mhlw.go.jp</u>

KENYA

Ms Lucy Muthoni NAMU

Technical Assistant to the Managing Director Kenya Plant Health Inspectorate Service P.O. Box 49592 00100 GPO Nairobi KENYA

Tel: +254-020-3536171/2 Fax: +254-02 3536175

E-mail: Inamu@kephis.org/director@kephis.org

Mr JULIUS MWANZIA KING'00

Trade Compliance Manager Tea Board of Kenya BOX 90346 -80100 Mombasa KENYA

Tal. OF

Tel: +254 734942355

E-mail: jkingoo@teaboard.or.ke

Mr Nyaga PHINIUS

Head of Crop Post Harvest

Ministry of Agriculture, livestock and fisheries

Crop Department BOX 14733 00100 KENYA

Tel: +254 722 279183 E-mail: phinnyaga@gmail.com

Mr Henry Kibet ROTICH

Chief Manager-Research and development

Kenya Bureau of Standards Research and development

54974

00200 Nairobi

KENYA

Tel: +254 026948000 Fax: +254-02-609660 E-mail: rotichh@kebs.org

Ms Grace NYAWIRA MUCHEMI

Head of Laboratory Pest Control Products Board P.O. Box 13794-00800 Nairdbi-KENYA

Tel: +254-723809619

E-mail: muchemi.grace@gmail.com

LUXEMBOURG / LUXEMBURGO

Mr Danny ZUST

Ministère de la Santé Direction de la Santé -Secualim

1750 Luxembourg LUXEMBOURG

E-mail: danny.zust@ms.etat.lu

MALAYSIA / MALAISIE / MALASIA

Mr Halimi MAHMUD

Director

Department of Agriculture
Pesticide Control Division
4th-6th Floor, Wisma Tani, Jalan Sultan Salahuddin
50632 Kuala Lumpur
MALAYSIA

Tel: +60320301472 Fax: +60326917551 E-mail: halimi@doa.gov.my

Mr Mohammad Nazrul Fahmi ABDUL RAHIM

Principal Assistant Director Department of Agriculture Residue Unit, Pesticide Control Division 4th-6th Floor, Wisma Tani, Jalan Sultan Salahuddin 50632 Kuala Lumpur MALAYSIA

Tel: +60320301499 Fax: +60326917551

E-mail: nazrulfahmi@doa.gov.my

MALDIVES / MALDIVAS

Ms Hamdha ABOOBAKURU

Laboratory Technologist Maldives Food & Drug Authority National Health Laboratory

Ministry of Health, Roashanee Building

20076 Male'

MALDIVES (REPUBLIC OF)

Tel: +960 3014303 Fax: +960 3014307

E-mail: hamkoaboo@hotmail.com

MALI / MALÍ

Mr Sékouba KEITA

Chef Division

Agence Nationale de la Sécurité Sanitaire des Aliments

Ministère de la Santé

Centre Commercial, Rue 305 quartier du fleuve BPE: 2362

Bamako MALI

Tel: +223 20 22 0754 Fax: +223 20 22 0747

E-mail: scodexmali@yahoo.fr, sekokake@yahoo.fr

MEXICO / MEXIQUE / MÉXICO

Ms Alma Liliana TOVAR DIAZ

Assistant Director for certificacion and recognition Guillermo Perez 127 Col del Carmen Mexico DF

Tel: 5215550903000

E-mail: alma.tovar@senasica.gob.mx

Mr Juan José LINARES MARTINEZ

Director General de Normalización

SAGARPA

Guillermo Pérez 127 Col del Carmen E-mail: juan.linares@sagarpa.gob.mx

MOROCCO / MAROC / MARRUECOS

Mr Mustapha AARAR

Chef de Division

Etablissement Autonome de Contrôle et de Coordination

des Exportations

Département de l'Agriculture Numero 72, rue mohamed smiha

CASABLANCA MOROCCO

Tel: +21222305104 Fax: +21222305168

E-mail: aarar@eacce.org.ma

Mr Dris BARIK

Ingénieur Agronome

Office National de Sécurité Sanitaire des Produits

Alimentaires

Ministère de l'Agriculture et de la Pêche Maritime

Avenue Hadj Ahmed Cherkaoui- Agdal

Rabat MOROCCO

Tel: +212 537 67 6631 Fax: +212 537 682049 E-mail: <u>barikdris@gmail.com</u>

Ms Asmaa OUAGARI

Responsable recherche et développement Association des professionnels du thé du Maroc 328 Boulevard Lina, Sidi maarouf, Casablanca Maroc

Casablanca MOROCCO

Tel: +212 529 088 880 Fax: +212 529 088 800

E-mail: asmaa.ouagari@damandis.ma /

asmaa.ouagari@me.com

Mr Ahmed ZOUAOUI

Chef de Service Pesticides

Laboratoire Officiel d'Analyses et de Recherches Chimiques

Département de l'Agriculture

N° 25 Rue Nichakra Rahal (Ex Rue de

20110 Casablanca

MOROCCO

Tel: +212 5 22 30 21 96/98 Fax: +212 5 22 30 19 72 E-mail: <u>zouaouiloarc@yahoo.fr</u>

NETHERLANDS / PAYS-BAS / PAÍSES BAJOS

Mr Martijn MARTENA

Policy Officer

Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport

Department of Nutrition, Health Protection and Prevention

P.O. Box 20350 2500 EJ The Hague NETHERLANDS Tel: +31 70 340 5463

E-mail: mj.martena@minvws.nl

Ms Erica MULLER

Officer Plant Health

Netherlands Food and Consumer Product Safety Authority

(NVWA)

Geertjesweg 15 6706EA Wageningen NETHERLANDS Tel: +31 88 2231904

E-mail: <u>e.muller@nvwa.nl</u>

NEW ZEALAND / NOUVELLE-ZÉLANDE / NUEVA ZELANDIA

Mr Warren HUGHES

Principal Adviser ACVM Standards Ministry for Primary Industries

Standards PO Box 2526 6011 Wellington NEW ZEALAND Tel: +64 4 8942560

E-mail: warren.hughes@mpi.govt.nz

Ms Nicola JOHNSON

Market Access Solutionz Ltd

PO Box 10629 Wellington NEW ZEALAND Tel: +64-4-4736040

E-mail: Nikki@solutionz.co.nz

Mr Dave LUNN

Principal Adviser (Residues)
Ministry for Primary Industries
Food & Environment Directorate
25 The Terrace
6140 Wellington
NEW ZEALAND
Tel: +64-4-8942654

E-mail: dave.lunn@mpi.govt.nz

NIGERIA / NIGÉRIA

Ms Stella Agegbu DENLOYE

Director

National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control

3/5 Apapa Oshodi Express Way, Oshodi Lagos

Lagos NIGERIA

Tel: +2348023118986, +2348136614310 E-mail: <u>denloye.s@nafdac.gov.ng</u>

Mr Umar Farouk HUSSAINI

Director

Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development Federal Ministry of Agric. FCDA Secretariat Area 11 Garki, PMB 135 Garki, Abuja

Abuja

NIGERIA

Tel: +2348033116625

E-mail: umarfhussaini@gmail.com

Mr Nuhu Adamu KILISHI

Assistant Director

Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development Federal Ministry of Agric. FCDA Secretariat Area 11 Garki,

PMB 135 Garki, Abuja

Abuja NIGERIA

Tel: +2348035916885 E-mail: nakilishi@yahoo.co.uk

Mr Kush Peter KUKWI

Assistant Director

Nigeria Agricultural Quarantine Service

Plot 81 Raph Shodende Street, Central Area, Abuja

+234 ABUJA NIGERIA

Tel: +234-8023775828, +234-80365539

E-mail: kush_peter@yahoo.com

Mr Boniface Chibueze OGUOBI

Principal Regulatory Officer

National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and

Control

3/5 Apapa Oshodi Express Way, Oshodi Lagos

Lagos NIGERIA

Tel: +2348037728394, +2348085476224 E-mail: pat bon2000@yahoo.com

NORWAY / NORVÈGE / NORUEGA

Ms Ingunn HAARSTAD GUDMUNDSDOTTIR

Advisor

Norwegian Food Safety Authority

Department of Legislation, Plants, Ecology and GM

Pb 3 1431-As(Aas)

NORWAY

Tel: (+47)64944354 E-mail: <u>inhqu@mattilsynet.no</u>

PARAGUAY

Mr Jose Eduardo GIMENEZ DUARTE

Jefe, Dpto. Trazabilidad Fitosanitaria Humaita 145 c/ Nstra. Senora de la Asuncion

Tel: 595992431450

E-mail: josegd78@hotmail.com

REPUBLIC OF KOREA / RÉPUBLIQUE DE CORÉE / REPÚBLICA DE COREA

Mr Geon-Jae IM

Senior Researcher

National Academy of Agricultural Science, Rural

Development Administration Agro-Materials Evaluation Division 126 Suin-Ro Gwonseon-gu

441-707 Suwon

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Tel: 82-31-290-0587 Fax: 82-31-290-0508 E-mail: <u>gunjim@korea.kr</u>

Mr Moon-lk CHANG

Deputy Director

Ministry of Food and Drug Safety

Pesticide & Veterinary Drug Residue Division

Osong Health Technology Administration Complex, 187

Osongsaengmyeong2(i)-ro, Osong-eup

363-700 Chungcheongbuk-do REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Tel: +82-43-719-4204 Fax: +82-43-719-4200 E-mail: 1004@korea.kr

Ms Hye-Soon KANG

Scientific Officer

National Agricultural Products Quality Management Service

Gangwon Provincial office 125 Chungyueol-ro 200-939 Chuncheon-si REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Tel: 82-33-252-6028 Fax: 82-33-241-1388

E-mail: k6300n@korea.kr

Ms Hyochin KIM

Scientific Officer

Ministry of Food and Drug Safety

Food Standard Division

Osong Health Technology Administration Complex, 187

Osongsaengmyeong2(i)-ro, Osong-eup

363-700 Chungcheongbuk-do

REPUBLIC OF KOREA Tel: +82-43-719-2434 Fax: +82-43-719-2400 E-mail: hckim77@korea.kr

Ms Heejung KIM

Scientific Officer

Ministry of Food and Drug Safety

Pesticide & Veterinary Drug Residue Division

Osong Health Technology Administration Complex, 187

Osongsaengmyeong2(i)-ro, Osong-eup

363-700 Chungcheongbuk-do

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Tel: +82-43-719-4211 Fax: +82-43-719-4200

E-mail: heejung731@korea.kr

Mr Chan-Hyeok KWON

Scientific Officer

Ministry of Food and Drug Safety

Food Standards Division

Osong Health Technology Administration Complex, 187

Osongsaengmyeong2(i)-ro, Osong-eup

363-700 Chungcheongbuk-do

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Tel: +82-43-719-2420

Fax: +82-43-719-2400

E-mail: chkwon@korea.kr

Ms Mi-Gyung LEE

Professor

Andong National University

Dept. of Food Science & Biotechnology College of Natural

Science

1375 Gyeongdong-ro, Andong-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do

Republic of Korea Tel: +82-54-820-6011 Fax: +82-54-820-6264

E-mail: leemig@andong.ac.kr

Ms Kyeong-Ae SON

Researcher

Rural Development Administration Agro-Materials safety Evaluation Division

126 Suin-Ro Kweonseonku

441-707 Suwon

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Tel: 82-31-290-0577 Fax: 82-31-290-0508 E-mail: sky199@korea.kr

RUSSIAN FEDERATION / FÉDÉRATION DE RUSSIE / FEDERACIÓN DE RUSIA

Ms Anna MISHINA

Deputy Head of Division

Federal Service for Surveillance on Consumer Rights Protection and Human Well-being (Rospotrebnadzor)

Legal Department

E-mail: mishina_al@gsen.ru

Mr Valerii RAKITSKII

Director

Institute for Pesticides Hygiene, Toxicology and Chemical Safety Federal Budgetary Establishment of Science «Federal Scientific Center of Hygiene named after

F.F.Erisman»

2. Semashko Str.

141000 Mytischi

RUSSIAN FEDERATION Tel: +7 495 586-11-44

E-mail: pesticidi@yandex.ru

SAUDI ARABIA / ARABIE SAOUDITE / ARABIA SAUDITA

Mr Zaid AL-GHAMDI

Pesticides Specialist

Saudi Food and Drug Authority Executive Department for Pesticide

3292 North Ring road Al Nafel Area Unit (1)

13312 – 6288 Riyadh SAUDI ARABIA

Tel: +966 11 2038222 -3190 Fax: +966 11 2751282

E-mail: CODEX.CP@sfda.gov.sa

Mr Fahad AL-RUWAYSHID

Chemical Specialist

Saudi Food and Drug Authority Executive Department for Pesticide

3292 North Ring road Al Nafel Area Unit (1)

13312 – 6288 Riyadh SAUDI ARABIA Tel: +966 11 2038222

Tel: +966 11 2038222 Fax: +966 11 2751282

E-mail: CODEX.CP@sfda.gov.sa

SENEGAL / SÉNÉGAL

Mr Nar DIENE

Bio-toxicologue

BP 4024 Dakar, fann Senegal

SENEGAL

Tel: +221 77 649 61 56 E-mail: <u>snardiene@yahoo.fr</u>

Mr Mamadou FALL

ENSEIGNANT-CHERCHEUR BP 25 064 Dakar, Fann Senegal

Tel: +221 77 454 99 00 E-mail: madoufal@gmail.com

SINGAPORE / SINGAPOUR / SINGAPUR

Mr Yuan sheng WU

Deputy Director

Agri-Food & Veterinary Authority of Singapore Laboratories Group, VPHL Chemistry Department 10 Perahu Road

718837 Singapore SINGAPORE Tel: +6567952837 Fax: +6568619491

E-mail: wu yuan sheng@ava.gov.sg

Mr Joachim CHUA

Senior Scientist

Agri-Food & Veterinary Authority of Singapore VPHL Chemistry Dept, Laboratories Group 10, Perahu Road

718837 Singapore SINGAPORE Tel: +6567952818 Fax: +6568619491

E-mail: JOACHIM_CHUA@AVA.GOV.SG

SPAIN / ESPAGNE / ESPAÑA

Mr César CASADO DE SANTIAGO

Head of Service Pesticide Residues in Food Spanish Agency for Consumer Affairs, Food Safety and Nutrition C\ Alcalá, 56 28071 MADRID **SPAIN**

Tel: +34 91 338 0620 E-mail: ccasado@msssi.es

SUDAN / SOUDAN / SUDÁN

Ms Fatima ABD ELRHMAN

Quality Control Inspector Ministry of Agriculture & Irrigation Quality Control & Export Development Aiamaa Street P.O.Box285 +11111 Khartoum **SUDAN**

Tel: +249122220263

E-mail: amelbraa69@gmail.com

Ms Ihlam AHMED ELHAG

Head of Pesticides Registration Section Federal Ministry of Agriculture & Irrigation Plant Protection Directorate(PPD) Khartoum North +11111 Khartoum **SUDAN**

Tel: +249912839500 Fax: +249 185 339 423

E-mail: ahlamhassan424@yahoo.com

Ms Swsan BALLA

Head of Food Labortory Federal Ministry of Health Food Labortory Elgaser street-stak lab +11111 Khartoum **SUDAN**

Tel: +249915801538

E-mail: swasan_balla@yahoo.com

Ms Nour GRASHI

head of conformity assessment section sudanese standard &metrology organization Gama St sudanese standard &metrology organization **SUDAN**

Tel: +249912367408 Fax: 24983765726

E-mail: nourssmo2009@hotmail.com

Mr Azhari MOHAMED

Head of the pesticied residue technical committee, SSMD and professor of toxicology Department of crop protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum plant protection Khartoum 13314 Khartoum **SUDAN**

Tel: +249912139933

E-mail: azharibagi@yahoo.com

Ms Nour MUKHTAR GRASHI

Pesticide Residue Speachilist Sudanese Standards & Metrology Organization Pesticide Residue/department Sudanese Standards & Metrology Organization/Khartoum +11111 Khartoum

SUDAN

Tel: +249912258234-+24912367408

Fax: +249-83-765726

E-mail: nourssmo2009@hotmail.com>

Mr Ismail OMER ABUSAEED

Director of Pesticide Residue lab. Agriculture Research Corporation Pesticide Residue lab. Sudan-Medani/Agriculture Research Corporation P.O. Box 126 Medani +11111 Khartoum

SUDAN

Tel: +244922658852

E-mail: ismaladd55@hotmail.com

Mr Ahmed Mohamed OSMAN ELAMIN

Agriculture engineer Ministry of Agriculture & Irrigation plant protection department Ajamaa Street P.O.Box285 +111111 Khartoum

SUDAN

Tel: +249123133339

E-mail: moafsqcu@yahoo.com

SWITZERLAND / SUISSE / SUIZA

Ms Lucia KLAUSER

Scientific Officer Food and Nutrition Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office Schwarzenburgstrasse 155 3003 Bern **SWITZERLAND**

Tel: +41 58 462 9569

E-mail: lucia.klauser@blv.admin.ch

Mr Henri DISERENS

Nestlé Research Center Post Box 44 1000 Lausanne 26 **SWITZERLAND** Tel: +41 21 785 82 39

Fax: +41 21 785 85 53

E-mail: henri.diserens@rdls.nestle.com

THAILAND / THAÏLANDE / TAILANDIA

Ms Prapassara PIMPAN

Advisor of Department of Agriculture on Pesticides Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives Department of Agriculture 50 Phaholyothin Rd. Bangkok 10900 THAILAND

Tel: + 662 579 3577 ext.2310 Fax: + 662 561 4695

E-mail: ppimpan04@yahoo.com

Ms Lamai CHUKIATWATANA

Senior scientist

Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives

Department of Agriculture 50 Phaholyothin road Chatujak Bangkok 10900

THAILAND

Tel: (662) 579 3577 Fax: (662) 940 6875 E-mail: lamai c@yahoo.com

Mr Charoen KAOWSUKSAI

Vice Chairman

The Federation of Thai Industries (Food Processing Industry

Queen Sirikit National Convention Center, Zone C, 4th Floor, 60 New Rachadapisek Rd.,

10110 Bangkok **THAILAND**

Tel: + 662 976 3088 Fax: + 662 976 2265

E-mail: charoen@cpram.co.th

Mr Pisan PONGSAPITCH

Deputy Secretary General

National Bureau of Agricultural Commodity and Food

Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives 50 Phaholyothin Road, Lad Yao, Chatuchak Bangkok 10900

THAILAND Tel: 662-561-3707 Fax: 662-561-3712 E-mail: pisan@acfs.go.th

Ms Jintana POOMONGKUTCHAI

Senior scientist

Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives

Department of Agriculture 50 Phaholyothin road Chatujak Bangkok 10900 THAILAND

Tel: (662) 579 3577 Fax: (662) 940 6875

E-mail: kunjintana@yahoo.com

Ms Panpilad SAIKAEW

Standards Officer

Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives

National Bureau of Agricultural Commodity and Food

50 Paholyothin Rd. Ladyao Chatujak

Bangkok 10900 **THAILAND**

Tel: +662-561-2277 ext 1427 Fax: +662-561-3373,+662-561-3357

E-mail: panpilad@acfs.go.th

Ms Chitra SETTAUDOM

Senior advisor in standards of health products Ministry of Public Health Food and Drug Administration Tiwanon Rd., Taladkwan, Muang Nonthaburi 11000

THAILAND

Tel: +66 (2) 590 7140 Fax: +66 (2) 591 8446 E-mail: schitra@fda.moph.go.th

Ms Julaporn SRINHA

Senior Veterinary Officer

Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives Department of Livestock Development

Division of Animal Feed and Veterinary Products Control, 91

Moo 4, Tivanont Road, Bang Kadi

12000 Pathum Thani

THAILAND

Tel: (662) 967 9714 Fax: (662) 963 9213

E-mail: julaporn19 dld@yahoo.com

Ms Nuansri TAYAPUTCH

Consultant

Central Laboratory

50 Phaholyothin Rd., Ladyao, Chatuchak,

10900 Bangkok THAILAND

Tel: (662) 5798482 Fax: (662) 941 1267

E-mail: nuantaya@hotmail.com

TUNISIA / TUNISIE

Mr Dekhil HAMMADI

Directeur

Agence Nationale de Contrôle Sanitaire et

Environnementale des Produits.

Ministère de la Santé 2 rue Ibn Nadim Montplaisir

1073 Tunis **TUNISIA**

Tel: +21671901724 Fax: +216 71909233 E-mail: hamadi.dekhil@rns.tn

Mr Abdelbaki LABIDI

Head of service pesticide analysis laboratory Ministry of Agriculture 30 rue Alain Savary Tunis

Tel: +216 71 788 979 Fax: +216 71 784 419

E-mail: laabidiabdelbaki@gmail.com

Ms Monia NEFZI

Cadre technique Ministry of environment Tel: +21678442082

E-mail: monianefzi30@yahoo.fr

UGANDA / OUGANDA

Mr Onen GEOFFREY

Pricipal Government Analyst Directorate of Government Analytical Laboratory P.O. Box 2174 256 Kamapala **UGANDA**

Tel: +256 71 283 2871

E-mail: onengff1@yahoo.com/ onengff@hotmail.com

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA RÉPUBLIQUE-UNIE DE TANZANIE REPÚBLICA UNIDA DE TANZANÍA

Ms Charys NUHU UGULLUM

Director of Laboratory Services Tanzania Food and Drugs Authority (TFDA) Off Mandela Road, Mabibo - External, P.o.Box: 77150 DAR ES SALAAM

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA Tel: +255 713 265014; +255 22 24505

Fax: +255 22 2450793 E-mail: cha_ug@yahoo.com

Mr Bakari SALIM KIONDO KAONEKA

Chief Research Officer Tropical Pesticides Research Institute (TPRI) P.O BOX 3024 +255 ARUSHA UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

Tel: +255754476346 Fax: +255 272508217

E-mail: kaonekab@yahoo.com / bkaoneka2012@gmail.com

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE ESTADOS UNIDOS DE AMÉRICA

Ms Lois ROSSI

U.S. Delegate to CCPR Director, Registration Division Office of Pesticide Programs U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, 7505 PY 20460 Washington, DC UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: +1-703-305-5447 Fax: +1-703-305-6920 E-mail: rossi.lois@epa.gov

Dr. Parthapratim BASU

Senior Leader - Chemistry/Toxicology& Related Sciences Office of Public Health Science, Food Safety & Inspection Service

U.S. Department o Agriculture 1400 Independence Ave. SW, PP3 Rm. 9-205 Washington, DC 20250

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: +1-202-690-6558 Fax: +1-202-690-2364 E-mail: pat.basu@fsis.usda.gov

Ms Kimberly BERRY

Senior Manager Regulatory Data Services Bryant Christie Inc. 500 Union Street, Suite 701 98101 Seattle, WA UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: +1-206-292-6340 Fax: +1-206-292-6341

E-mail: kimberly.berry@bryantchristie.com

Ms Michal ELDAN

Vice President Global Regulatory & Scientific Affairs Luxembourg-Pamol, Inc. 3647 Willowbend Blvd. 77054 Houston, TX UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: +1.212.495.9717 E-mail: meldan@luxpam.com

Mr Raul GUERRERO

Consultant 793 N. Ontare Road 93105 Santa Barbara, CA UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: +18058981830 Fax: +18058981830

E-mail: guerrero raul j@yahoo.com

Mr Jamin HUANG

Senior Regulatory Manager Bayer CropScience Regulatory Affairs 2. T.W. Alexander Drive Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Tel: +1-919-549-2634 E-mail: jamin.huang@bayer.com

Mr Daniel KUNKEL

Associate Director, Food and International Programs Rutgers University IR-4 Program Project Headquarters 500 College Road, Suite 201 W 08540, Princeton, NJ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Tel: +1 732 932 9575 x 4616

Fax: +1 609 514 2612

E-mail: kunkel@aesop.rutgers.edu

Dr. Young LEE

Director Regulatory Scientist U.S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition 5100 Paint Branch Pkwy College Park, MD 20740 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Tel: +1-240-402-1943

Fax: +1-301-436-2632 E-mail: Young.Lee@fda.hhs.gov

Ms Barbara MADDEN

Minor Use Officer U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Pesticide Programs, Registration Division 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. 20460 Washington DC UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: +1 703 305 6463 Fax: +1 703 605 0781

E-mail: madden.barbara@epa.gov

Ms Marie MARATOS

International Issues Analyst

U.S. Codex Office

U.S. Department of Agriculture

1400 Independence Ave, Room 4861, SW

20250 Washington, DC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: +12026904795 Fax: +12027203157

E-mail: marie.maratos@fsis.usda.gov

Mr Doug NELSON

Senior Advisor for Trade, Intellectual Property & Strategic

ومرزووا

CropLife America

1156 15th Street, NW, Suite 400

20005 Washington, D.C.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: +1-202-872-3880 Fax: +1-202-355-1417

E-mail: dnelson@croplifeamerica.org

Mr Todd SCHOLZ

Director of Research & Information USA Dry Pea & Lentil Council 2780 W. Pullman Road 83843 Moscow, ID

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: +12088823023 Fax: +12088826406

E-mail: scholz@pea-lentil.com

Mr Ronald WILLIAMS

Director, Crop Protection Technology Safety

The Coca-Cola Company

Corporate Scientific and Regulatory Affairs

PO Box 1734

30301 Atlanta, GA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: +1 404 676 7035 Fax: +1 404 598 7035

E-mail: ronaldwilliams@coca-cola.com

YEMEN / YÉMEN

Mr Walid OTHMAN

General Director

Yemen standardization metrology and quality control

YSMO headquarters

2472 Sanaa

YEMEN

Tel: 00967773323456 Fax: 009671402636

E-mail: codex@ysmo.org

Mr Abdullah NAEEM

Sanaa YEMEN

E-mail: codex@ysmo.org

ZIMBABWE

Mr Livingstone Munyaradzi MUSIYAMBIRI

Director

Ministry of Health and Child Welfare

Gvt Analyst Laboratory

P.O.Box CY231, Causeway

Harare ZIMBABWE

Tel: +2634792026/7

Fax: None

E-mail: mlmusiyambiri@yahoo.com

UNITED NATIONS AND OTHER RELATED ORGANANIZATIONS NATIONS UNIES ET AUTRES ORGANISATIONS APPARENTÉES NACIONES UNIDAS Y OTRAS ORGANIZACIONES AFINES

International Atomic Energy Agency Agence international de l'énergie atomique Organismo Internacional de energía atómica

Mr Johannes CORLEY

Food Safety Specialist

International Atomic Energy Agency

Nuclear Sciences and Application, Joint FAO/IAEA Division of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture, Food and

Environmental Protection Section

Vienna International Center

1400 Vienna

AUSTRIA

Tel: +43-1-2600-21695

E-mail: j.s.corley@iaea.org

INTERNATIONAL GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS ORGANISATIONS GOUVERNEMENTALES INTERNATIONALES ORGANIZACIONES GUBERNAMENTALES INTERNACIONALES

Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture Institut interaméricain de coopération pour l'agriculture Instituto Interamericano de Cooperación para la Agricultura

Ms María de Lourdes FONALLERAS

Agricultural Health and Food Safety Specialist IICA

Agricultural Health and Food Safety Program

Luis Piera 1992 Montevideo URUGUAY

Tel: (598) 2410 1676

E-mail: lourdes.fonalleras@iica.int

INTERNATIONAL NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS ORGANISATIONS NON-GOUVERNEMENTALES INTERNATIONALES ORGANIZACIONES NO GUBERNAMENTALES INTERNACIONALES

Croplife International

Mr Philip BRINDLE

Senior Manager

BASF

BASF Agricultural Solutions

26 Davis Drive

NC27709 Durham NC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: 00 1 919 547 2654 Fax: 00 1 919 547 2850

E-mail: philip.brindle@basf.com

Mr Peter CHALMERS

Head Of Development and Registration Adama Asia Pacific. #16-03A, Suntec Tower Two 038989 Singapore SINGAPORE

Tel: 00 65 64999328 Fax: 00 65 64999324

E-mail: peter.chalmers@ma-apac.com

Mr Kenneth CHISHOLM

Consultant Nichino America Regulatory Affairs 4550 New Linden Hill Rd, Suite 501 19808 Wilmington, DE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Tel: 00 1 302 547 37 74

Fax: 00 1 302 636 9122 E-mail: kchisholm@nichino.net

Mr John CUFFE

Research and Development Dow AgroSciences LLC Regulatory Sciences and Regulatory Affaris 9330 Zionsville Road 46268-1054 Indianapolis, IN UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Tel: 00 1 317 337 4973

Fax: 00 1 317 337 3252 E-mail: jcuffe@dow.com

Mr Fang DONG

Nihon Nohyaku CO., LTD. Overseas Division, Marketing&Technical Support DEPT. 19-8, Kyobashi 1-chome, chuo-ku 104-8386 Tokyo JAPAN

Tel: 00 81 -3-6361-1425 Fax: 00 81 3-6361-1451 E-mail: <u>Tou-hou@nichino.co.jp</u>

Mr Craig DUNLOP

Regulatory Affairs Manager APAC Syngenta Asia Pacific Pte Ltd Regulatory Affairs 1 HarbourFront Ave, #03-03/10, Keppel Bay Tower 098632 Singapore

SINGAPORE

E-mail: craig.dunlop@syngenta.com

Ms Wanda EGIDO MOYA GIMENEZ

Manager Food Value Chain

BASF

Regulatory

Avenida das Nacoes Unidas 14171, Cristal Tower

04794-000 Sao Paulo

BRAZIL

Tel: 00 55 11 - 2039-2760 Fax: 00 55 11 2039-2285

E-mail: wanda.gimenez@basf.com

Mr Yoshiyuki EGUCHI

Director Nippon Soda 2-1, Ohtemachi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku 100-8165 Tokyo JAPAN

Tel: +81-3-3296-8151 Fax: +81-3-3296-8016

E-mail: y.eguchi@nippon-soda.co.jp

Mr Richard GARNETT

Global Chemistry Regulatory Lead Monsanto Avenue de Tervueren 270-272 1050 Brussels

BELGIUM Tel: +32 2 776 76 14

E-mail: richard.p.garnett@monsanto.com

Mr William GOODWINE

Director, Regulatory Affairs Janssen PMP

Regulatory Affairs

1125 Trenton-Harbourton Rd

NJ 08560 Titusville

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: 00 1 609 730 2607 Fax: 00 1 609 730 2080 E-mail: <u>bgoodwi@its.jnj.com</u>

Mr Wakimori HIROO

Chemical Regulatory Affairs Lead Monsanto Japan Limited Ginza Sannou Bldg. 4-10-10, Ginza, Chuo-ku 104-0061 Tokyo JAPAN

Tel: +81 3 6226 6080 Fax: +81 3 3546 6191

E-mail: hiroo.wakimori@monsanto.com

Mr Ricky HO

Head of Regulatory Affairs, Asia Pacific
Bayer (South East Asia) Pte Ltd
63 Chulia Street, 14th Floor, OCBC Centre East
49514 Singapore
SINGAPORE

Tel: 00 65 64961719 E-mail: ricky.ho@bayer.com

Mr Peter HORNE

Global Regulatory Affairs Manager DuPont Crop Protection Products

Global Registration

Stine-Haskell Research Center, 1090 Elkton Rd.

19711 Newark, DE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: 00 1 302 366 6228 Fax: 00 1 302 351 7022

E-mail: peter.horne-1@dupont.com

Mr Mitsuhiro ICHINARI

Acting General Manager Summit Agro International Ltd 1-8-12, Harumi, Chuo-ku 104-6223 Tokyo JAPAN

Tel: 00 81 3-6221-3224 Fax: 00 81 3-6221-3035

E-mail: mitsuhiro.ichinari@summit-agro.co.jp

Mr Yasuyuki IIJIMA

Manager

Nissan Chemical Industries, LTD.

Regulatory Affairs, Planning & Development Agricultural

Division

Kowa Hitotsubashi Building, 3-7-1, Kandanishiki-cho,

Chiyoda-ku 101-0054 Tokyo JAPAN

E-mail: ijima@nissanchem.co.jp

Mr Kazuaki IIJIMA

Chief, Laboratory of Residue Analysis The Institute of Environmental Toxicology 4321 Uchimoriya-machi, Joso-shi 303-0043 Ibaraki JAPAN

Tel: +81-297-27-4516 Fax: +81-297-27-4517 E-mail: <u>iijima@iet.or.jp</u>

Mr Naoto IKEGAMI

Head of Section Domestic Registration Sec. Regulatory Affairs Dept. Agro Products Div.

Nippon Soda Co. Ltd.

2-1, Ohtemachi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku

100-8165 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: 00 81 80-5987-5487 Fax: 00 81 3-3245-6289

E-mail: n.ikegami@nippon-soda.co.jp

Ms Heidi IRRIG

Regulatory Residue Manager Syngenta Regulatory Affairs 410 Swing Road NC 27409 Greensboro UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tel: 00 1 336-632-7243 Fax: 00 1 336-632-5688

E-mail: heidi.irrig@syngenta.com

Mr Michael KAETHNER

Bayer CropScience RD-GRA-RPIM Alfred-Nobel Strasse, 50 40789 Monheim GERMANY Tel: 00492173387521

Fax: 00492173383572 E-mail: michael.kaethner@bayer.com

Mr Yukio KIMURA

Manager

Nihon Nohyaku CO.,LTD.

Regulatory Affairs Unit, Research & Development Division

KYOBASHI OM BLDG. 19-8, KYOBASHI

1-CHOME, CHUO-KU 104-8386 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: +81 3 6361 1411 Fax: +81 3 6361 1451

E-mail: kimura-yukio@nichino.co.jp

Ms Takako KUMETA

Manager, Registration & Regulatory Affairs Department Mitsui Chemicals Agro, Inc. 1144, Togo, Mobara-shi 297-0017 Chiba JAPAN

Tel: 00 81 475-25-6742 Fax: 00 81 475-23-8297

E-mail: Takako.Kumeta@mitsui-chem.co.jp

Mr Neil John LISTER

Operator and Consumer Safety Syngenta Jealott's Hill Research Centre, Bracknell RG42 6EY Berkshire UNITED KINGDOM Tel: 00 44 1344 414381

E-mail: neil.lister@syngenta.com

Ms Yanjing LIU

Regulatory Manager FMC Chemical (Thailand) Ltd D&R

15/F K Tower building, Tower A, 209 Sukhumvit 21 road, Kwang Klongtoey Nua, Khet Wattana 10110 Bangkok

THAILAND
Tel: 00 662 664 43 22
E-mail: christina.liu@fmc.com

Mr Shigeru MARUYAMA

General manager Kyoyu-Agri Co., Ltd. Registration and RC Department Yamaman Bldg. 11F, 6-1 Koami-cho, Nihonbashi, Chuo-ku 103-0016 Tokyo JAPAN

Tel: +81-3-5645-708 Fax: +81-3-3693-5299

E-mail: maruyama-shigeru@kyoyu-agri.co.jp

Ms Mary Jean MEDINA

D&RA Manager

Sumitomo Chemical Singapore Pte Ltd

Crop Protection

150 Beach Road #19-05 Gateway West

189720 Singapore SINGAPORE

Tel: +65 6671 4106 Fax: +65 6296 3779

E-mail: jeanmedinascs@gmail.com

Mr Yoshihiro NISHIMOTO

R&RA Manager Sumitomo Chemical Co.,Ltd. 27-1, Shinkawa 2-chome, Chuo-ku 104-8260 Tokyo JAPAN

Tel: 00 81 3-5543-5692 Fax: 00 81 3-5543-5695

E-mail: nishimotoy@sc.sumitomo-chem.co.jp

Mr Masaru NOKATA

Adviser

Nihon Nohyaku CO., LTD.
Research & Development Division
19-8, Kyobashi OM Building, Kyobashi 1-chome, chuo-ku
104-8386 Tokyo
JAPAN

Tel: 00 81 3 6361 1411 Fax: 00 81 3 6361 1451

E-mail: nokata-masaru@nichino.co.jp

Mr James William PICKERING

Registration Manager Nihon Nohyaku Co Ltd Regulatory Affairs Unit 39 Landcroft Lane, Sutton Bonington LE12 5RE Loughborough UNITED KINGDOM

Tel: 00 44 1509 670743

E-mail: bpickering@nichino-europe.com

Mr Dai SAKUMA

Assistant to General Manager Summit Agro International Ltd. Product Promotion Dept. 1-8-12, Harumi, Chuo-ku 104-6223 Tokyo JAPAN

E-mail: dai.sakuma@summit-agro.co.jp

Mr Yasushi SHIMADA

Manager
Hokko Chemical Industry CO.,LTD.
Development & Regulatory Affairs
4-20, Nihonbashi Hongoku-cho 4-chome, Chuo-ku
103-8341 Tokyo
JAPAN

Tel: +81-3-3279-5831 Fax: +81-3-3279-5067

E-mail: shimada-y@hokkochem.co.jp

Mr Toshio SHIMOMURA

Consultant

National Federation of Agricultural Cooperation Associations (ZEN-NOH)

Fertilizers and Agrochemicals Division

1-3-1 Otemachi Chiyoda-ku 100-6832 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: +81-3-6271-8289 Fax: +81-3-5218-2536

E-mail: shimomura-toshio-q1@zennoh.or.jp

Ms Ying SONG

Registration and Regulatory Affairs Manager -AP, DuPont

Crop Protection DuPont

Crop Protection

Building 11, 399 Keyuan Road

201203 Shanghai

CHINA

Tel: 00 86-21-3862-2039 E-mail: <u>Ying.song-1@dupont.com</u>

Ms Hiroko TANAKA

Registration and Regulatory Affairs

DuPont

Crop Protection

2-11-1 Sanno Park Tower, Nagata-cho, Chiyoda-ku

100-6111 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: 00 81 3 5521 2472 Fax: 00 81 3 5521 2470

E-mail: hiroko.tanaka-1@dupont.com

Mr Yukiharu TANAKA

Manager, Japan and Asia Regulatory Affairs Arysta LifeScience Corpration Regulatory Affairs, JCEE 8-1, Akashi-cho, Chuo-ku 104-6591 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: 00 81 3 3547 4587 Fax: 00 81 3 3547 4695

E-mail: yukiharu.tanaka@arysta.com

Mr Toshiya UCHIDA

General manager Nihon Nohyaku CO.,LTD.

Overseas Division, Marketing & Technical Support Dept. Kyobashi OM BLDG. 19-8, KYOBASHI 1-Chome, Chuo-Ku

104-8386 Tokyo

JAPAN

Tel: + 81-3-6361-1425 Fax: + 81-3-6361-1451

E-mail: uchida-toshiya@nichino.co.jp

Mr Kota UTSUMI

Regulatory Affairs Division Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha, Ltd. Biosciences Sales & Marketing 3-15, Edobori 1-Chome, Nishi-ku 550-0002 Osaka

JAPAN

Tel: +81-6-6444-7354 Fax: +81-6-6444-7156 E-mail: k-utsumi@iskweb.co.jp

Mr Patil VASANT

Director CropLife Asia Regulatory Affairs 150 Cantonment Road, Block B # 01-07 89762 Singapore

SINGAPORE Tel: 00 65 91501802 Fax: 00 65 62221615

E-mail: vasant.patil@croplifeasia.org

Mr Yoshihiro WADA

Manager SDS Bioteck K.K. 1-1-5, Higashi-Nihombashi, Chuo-ku 103-0004 Tokyo JAPAN

Tel: +81-3-5825-5516 Fax: +81-3-5825-5501

E-mail: Yoshihiro_wada@sdsbio.co.jp

Mr Peter WATSON

Regulatory Leader Dow AgroSciences Regulatory Sciences and Regulatory Affairs Dow AgroSciences, 3B Park Square, Milton Park OX144RN Abingdon, Oxfordshire UNITED KINGDOM

Tel: + 44 1235 437920 Fax: + 44 1235 437998 E-mail: <u>pwatson@dow.com</u>

Mr Tokunori YOKOTA

General Manager Japan Crop Protection Association Regulatory Affairs 2-3-6 Kayaba-cho Nihonbashi Chuoku 103-0025 Tokyo JAPAN

Tel: 00 81 3-5649-7191 Fax: 00 81 3-5649-7245 E-mail: Yokota@jcpa.or.jp

Grain and Feed Trade Association Association pour le commerce des céréales et des aliments pour animaux Asociación de Comercio de Granos y Semillas

Mr Alan DING

Director of GAFTA Beijing Office GAFTA 1-1-1607 Leading International Centre No.1 Guang Qu Men Nan Xiao Jie 100061 BEIJ Tel: 86-10-67121741

Fax: 86-10-67121742 E-mail: <u>TradePolicy@gafta.com</u>

International Alliance of Dietary/Food Supplement Associations

Ms Yifan JIANG

Advisor, Regulatory Affairs
IADSA
IADSA Secretariat
3 Killiney Road #07-04 Winsland House I
239519 Singapore
SINGAPORE
Tel: +65 6681 0105

Tel: +65 6681 0105 E-mail: yifanjiang@iadsa.org International Life Sciences Institute Institut international des sciences de la vie Instituto Internacional de Ciencias de la Vida

Ms Wei MIN

Administrative Manager ILSI Focal Point in China Room 903, 27 Nan Wei Road 100050 Beijing CHINA

Tel: 8610-6317-0892-8315-9165

Fax: 8610-8315-9164

E-mail: weichristina@ilsichina.org

International Life Sciences Institute

Ms Jenny ZENG

Senior Regional Regulatory Affairs Manager Unilever Services (Hefei) Co. Ltd. No. 35 Dongixiao Road, Haizhu District Guangzhou CHINA

Tel: 8620 89162735 Fax: 86 21 22015001

E-mail: jenny.zeng@unilever.com

International Nut and Dried Fruit Council Foundation

Dr. Gabriele LUDWIG

International Nut & Dried Fruit Council 1150 9th St, Suite 1500, Modesto, CA, USA 95354

Tel: +209 765 0578

E-mail: gludwig@almondboard.com

International Society of Citriculture Société internationale des travailleurs de l'agrumiculture Sociedad Internacional de Citricultura

Mr James CRANNEY

President California Citrus Quality Council 853 Lincoln Way, Suite 206 95603 Auburn

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Tel: 5308851894

Fax: 5308851546

E-mail: cprice@calcitrusquality.org

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS (FAO)
ORGANISATION DES NATIONS UNIES POUR L'ALIMENTATION ET L'AGRICULTURE
ORGANIZACIÓN DE LAS NACIONES UNIDAS PARA LA ALIMENTACIÓN Y LA AGRICULTURA

Ms Yongzhen YANG

FAO JMPR Secretary 00153, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla Rome, Italy

Tel: +39 0657054246 Fax: +39 06 57053224

E-mail: Yongzhen.Yang@fao.org

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO) ORGANISATION MONDIALE DE LA SANTÉ ORGANIZACIÓN MUNDIAL DE LA SALUD

Dr Philippe VERGER

WHO JMPR secretariat

Avenue Appia 20, CH-1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland

Tel: +41 22 791 3053 Fax: +41 22 791 48 07 E-mail: vergerp@who.int

CODEX SECRETARIAT / SECRÉTARIAT DU CODEX / SECRETARÍA DEL CODEX

Ms Gracia BRISCO

Food Standards Officer Secretariat, Codex Alimentarius Commission. Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 Rome, Italy

Tel: +39 06 5705 2700 E-mail: Gracia.Brisco@fao.org

Ms Annamaria BRUNO

Senior Food Standards Officer Secretariat, Codex Alimentarius Commission. Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme Viale delle Terme di Caracalla

00153 Rome, Italy Tel: +39 06 5705 6254

E-mail: Annamaria.Bruno@fao.org

Ms Takako YANO

Food Standards Officer

Secretariat, Codex Alimentarius Commission. Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 Rome, Italy

Tel: +39 06 5705 5868 E-mail: Takako.Yano@fao.org

CCPR SECRETARIAT / SECRÉTARIAT DU CCPR / SECRETARÍA DEL CCPR **HOST GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT** SECRÉTARIAT DU GOUVERNEMENT HÔTE SECRETARÍA DEL GOBIERNO HOSPEDANTE

Dr Pengfei SUI

Director General Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals Ministry of Agriculture NO.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District 100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4395

Fax: 86-10-5919 4252

E-mail: yejiming@agri.gov.cn

E-mail: suipengfei@agri.gov.cn

Mr Jiming YE

Deputy Director Institute for Control of the Agrochemicals Ministry of Agriculture (ICAMA) No. 22, Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District Beijing 100125, P.R. China Tel:86-10-5919 4081 Fax: 86-10-5919 4252

Ms Ying JI

Chief Agronomist,

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4106 Fax: 86-10-5919 4252 E-mail: jiying@agri.gov.cn

Dr Hongjun ZHANG

Director

CCPR Secretariat

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

No.18 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4257 Fax: 86-10-5919 4252

E-mail: zhanghongjun@agri.gov.cn

Ms Qiu JIAN

Deputy Director Residue Division Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals Ministry of Agriculture No.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District 100125, Beijing, China

Tel: 86-10-5919 4033 Fax: 86-10-5919 4107 E-mail: jianqiu@agri.gov.cn

Ms Xiaojun WANG

Deputy Director International cooperation Division Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals Ministry of Agriculture No.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District 100125, Beijing, China

Tel: 86-10-5919 4342 Fax: 86-10-5919 4107

E-mail: wangxiaojun@agri.gov.cn

Dr Mao YANG

Deputy Director General Office

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

No.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4552 Fax: 86-10-5919 4047 E-mail: yangmao@agri.gov.cn

Dr Wencheng SONG

Deputy Director Information Division Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals Ministry of Agriculture NO.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District 100125, Beijing, China

Tel: 86-10-5919 4277 Fax: 86-10-5919 4075

E-mail: songwencheng@agri.gov.cn

Ms Dongmei QIN

Professor

Residue Division

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4078 Fax: 86-10-5919 4107

E-mail:qindongmei@agri.gov.cn

Mr Yong GONG

Professor

Residue Division

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4077 Fax: 86-10-5919 4107

E-mail: gongyong@agri.gov.cn

Ms Guangyan ZHU

Senior Agronomist

Residue Division

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4105 Fax: 86-10-5919 4107

E-mail: zhuguangyan@agri.gov.cn

Ms Xiuying PIAO

Senior Agronomist Re-evaluation Division

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: +86 10 5919 4373

E-mail: piaoxiuying@agri.gov.cn

Ms Min LI

Toxicologist Health Division

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4062 Fax: 86-10-5919 4244 E-mail: linmin@agri.gov.cn

Mr Zuntao ZHENG

Senior Agronomist Residue Division Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals Ministry of Agriculture

NO.22 Maizidian Street, ChaoyangDistrict 100125, Beijing, China

Tel: 86-10-5919 4078 Fax: 86-10-5919 4107

E-mail: zhengzuntao@agri.gov.cn

Ms Lifang DUAN

Senior Agronomist

CCPR Secretariat

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.18 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4255 Fax: 86-10-5919 4252

E-mail: duanlifang@agri.gov.cn

Dr Xianbin Ll

Senior Agronomist

CCPR Secretariat

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.18 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4254 Fax: 86-10-5919 4252 E-mail: <u>lixianbin@agri.gov.cn</u>

Ms Jun XU

Associate Professor Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences

No.2 West Yuan Ming Yuan Road

100193, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-62815938

Fax: 86-10-62815938 E-mail: xujun1977927@163.com

Ms Changjie KE

Agronomist

CCPR Secretariat

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.18 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4255 Fax: 86-10-5919 4252 E-mail: ccpr@agri.gov.cn

Mr Yizhou YAN

Toxicologist Health Division

Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals

Ministry of Agriculture

NO.22 Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District

100125, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-5919 4244 Fax: 86-10-5919 4244 E-mail: yyz_8848@sina.com

APPENDIX II

DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITES FOR PESTICIDES

(At Step 8)

	Commodity	MRL (mg/kg)	Step	Note
238	Clothianidin			
	VR 0075 Root and tuber vegetables	0.2	8	

APPENDIX III

PROPOSED DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES (At Step 5/8)

			(At Step	5/8)		
	Commod	ity M	RL (mg/kg)		Step	Note
31	Diquat					
	FI 0327	Banana	0.02 (*)	5/8	
	FT 2352	Cajou (pseudofruit)	0.02 (*)	5/8	
	FT 0292	Cashew apple	0.02 (*)	5/8	
	TN 0295	Cashew nut	0.02 (*)	5/8	
*	FC 0001	Citrus fruits	0.02 (*)	5/8	
	SB 0716	Coffee beans	0.02 (*)	5/8	
	VO 0050	Fruiting vegetables other than cucurbits	0.01 (*)	5/8	(except sweetcorn, fungi and mushrooms)
	AL 0072	Pea hay or pea fodder (dry)	50		5/8	
	VD 0072	Peas (dry)	0.3		5/8	
	FP 0009	Pome fruits	0.02 (*)	5/8	
	VR 0589	Potato	0.1		5/8	
	SO 0495	Rape seed	1.5		5/8	
	VD 0541	Soya bean (dry)	0.3		5/8	
	FS 0012	Stone fruits	0.02 (*)	5/8	
	FB 0275	Strawberry	0.05 (*)	5/8	
	SO 0702	Sunflower seed	0.9		5/8	
49	Malathior	1				
	FS 0013	Cherries	3		5/8	
143	Triazopho	os				
	CM 1205	Rice, Polished	0.6		5/8	
158	Glyphosa	ite				
	SO 0495	Rape seed	30		5/8	
160	Propicon	azole				
	FC 0004	Oranges, Sweet, Sour (including Orange-like hybrids): several cultivars	9	Ро	5/8	
	FS 0247	Peach	5	Po	5/8	
	FS 0014	Plums (including prunes)	0.6	Po	5/8	
	VO 0448	Tomato	3	Po	5/8	
172	Bentazon	e				
	AL 1020	Alfalfa fodder	0.5		5/8	
	AS 0640	Barley straw and fodder, Dry	0.3		5/8	
	VD 0071	Beans (dry)	0.04		5/8	

^{*} Codex Secretariat's Note: As for discussion of 46th CCPR this group MRL also applies to Kumquats

	Commod	ity	MRL (mg/kg)		Step	Note
	VP 0061	Beans, except broad bean and soya bean	0.01 (*)		5/8	(green pods and immature seeds)
	VP 0062	Beans, Shelled	0.01 (*)		5/8	(succulent=immature seeds)
	GC 0080	Cereal grains	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	AS 0162	Hay or fodder (dry) of grasses	2		5/8	
	HH 0092	Herbs	0.1		5/8	
	SO 0693	Linseed	0.02 (*)		5/8	
	AS 0645	Maize fodder (dry)	0.4		5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	AS 0646	Millet fodder, dry	0.3		5/8	
	AS 0647	Oat straw and fodder, Dry	0.3		5/8	
	VA 0385	Onion, Bulb	0.04		5/8	
	SO 0697	Peanut	0.05 (*)		5/8	
	VP 0063	Peas (pods and succulent=immature seeds)	1.5		5/8	
	VR 0589	Potato	0.1		5/8	
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.03	(fat)	5/8	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.07		5/8	
	AS 0650	Rye straw and fodder, Dry	0.3		5/8	
	VD 0541	Soya bean (dry)	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	VA 0389	Spring Onion	0.08		5/8	
	VO 0447	Sweet corn (corn-on-the-cob)	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	AS 0653	Triticale straw and fodder, Dry	0.3		5/8	
	AS 0654	Wheat straw and fodder, Dry	0.3		5/8	
175	Glufosina	ate-Ammonium				
	FI 0327	Banana	0.2		5/8	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	3		5/8	
	FI 0341	Kiwifruit	0.6		5/8	
	VL 0483	Lettuce, Leaf	0.4		5/8	
180	Dithianor	1				
	TN 0660	Almonds	0.05 (*)		5/8	
	FB 0021	Currants, Black, Red, White	2		5/8	
	DF 0269	Dried grapes (=currants, raisins and sultanas)	3.5		5/8	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	DH 1100	Hops, Dry	300		5/8	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	FP 0009	Pome fruits	1		5/8	

	Commod	ity	MRL (mg/kg)		Step	Note
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	FS 0012	Stone fruits	2		5/8	
	FB 1235	Table-grapes	2		5/8	
	FB 1236	Wine-grapes	5		5/8	
193	Fenpyrox	rimate				
	FI 0326	Avocado	0.2		5/8	
	FS 0013	Cherries	2		5/8	
	VP 0526	Common bean (pods and/or immature seeds)	0.4		5/8	
	VC 0424	Cucumber	0.3		5/8	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.02		5/8	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.2	(fat)	5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	VR 0589	Potato	0.05		5/8	
	DF 0014	Prunes	0.7		5/8	
	FS 0012	Stone fruits	0.4		5/8	(except cherries)
	FB 0275	Strawberry	0.8		5/8	
197	Fenbuco	nazole				
*	FC 0001	Citrus fruits	0.5		5/8	(except lemons and limes)
	OR 0001	Citrus oil, edible	30		5/8	(except lemons and limes)
	AS 0001	Citrus pulp, Dry	4		5/8	
	FC 0002	Lemons and limes (including citron) 1		5/8	
	OR 0002	Lemons and limes, edible oil refined	60		5/8	
205	Flutolanil					
	VB 0040	Brassica (Cole or Cabbage) Vegetables, Head Cabbage, Flowerhead Brassicas	0.05 (*)		5/8	
	VL 0054	Brassica leafy vegetables	0.07		5/8	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.5		5/8	
207	Cyprodin	il				
	FI 0326	Avocado	1		5/8	
	VD 0071	Beans (dry)	0.2		5/8	
	VP 0061	Beans, except broad bean and soya bean	0.7		5/8	(green pods and immature seeds)
	VP 0062	Beans, Shelled	0.06		5/8	
	FB 0018	Berries and other small fruits	10		5/8	(except grapes)
	VL 0054	Brassica leafy vegetables	15		5/8	

	Commod	lity	MRL (mg/kg)		Step	Note
	VB 0041	Cabbages, Head	0.7		5/8	
	VR 0577	Carrot	0.7		5/8	
	DH 0170	Dried herbs	300		5/8	(except hops, dry)
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.01		5/8	
	VB 0042	Flowerhead brassicas (includes Broccoli: Broccoli, Chinese and Cauliflower)	2		5/8	
	VO 0050	Fruiting vegetables other than cucurbits	2		5/8	(except sweet corn and mushroom)
	VC 0045	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits	0.5		5/8	
	HH 0092	Herbs	40		5/8	
	VL 0053	Leafy vegetables	50		5/8	(except brassica leafy vegetables)
	VR 0588	Parsnip	0.7		5/8	
	HS 0444	Peppers Chili, dried	9		5/8	
	FP 0009	Pome fruits	2		5/8	
	VR 0494	Radish	0.3		5/8	
211	Fludioxo	nil				
	FI 0326	Avocado	0.4		5/8	
	VD 0071	Beans (dry)	0.5		5/8	
	VP 0061	Beans, except broad bean and soya bean	0.6		5/8	(green pods and immature seeds)
	VP 0062	Beans, Shelled	0.4		5/8	
	DH 0170	Dried herbs	60		5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	VC 0045	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits	0.5		5/8	
	VR 0604	Ginseng	4		5/8	
	HH 0092	Herbs	9		5/8	
	VL 0483	Lettuce, Leaf	40		5/8	
	VO 0051	Peppers	1		5/8	
	HS 0444	Peppers Chili, dried	4		5/8	
	VR 0589	Potato	5	Po	5/8	
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.01 (*)		5/8	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.05 (*)		5/8	
	VR 0494	Radish	0.3		5/8	
	VL 0494	Radish leaves (including radish tops)	20		5/8	
	VP 4453	Snap bean (young pods)	0.6		5/8	
	VL 0502	Spinach	30		5/8	
	VO 0448	Tomato	3	Po	5/8	
216	Indoxaca	rb				
	DT 1114	Tea, Green, Black (black, fermented and dried)	5		5/8	

	Commod	lity	MRL (mg/kg)		Step	Note
224	Difenoco	nazole				
	VB 0040	Brassica (Cole or Cabbage) Vegetables, Head Cabbage, Flowerhead Brassicas	2		5/8	
*	FC 0001	Citrus fruits	0.6		5/8	
	VC 0424	Cucumber	0.2		5/8	
	DF 0269	Dried grapes (=currants, raisins and sultanas)	6		5/8	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	1.5		5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.03		5/8	
	VO 0050	Fruiting vegetables other than cucurbits	0.6		5/8	(except sweet corn and mushroom)
	VC 0425	Gherkin	0.2		5/8	
	VR 0604	Ginseng	0.08		5/8	
	DV 0604	Ginseng, dried including red ginseng	0.2		5/8	
	DM 0604	Ginseng, extracts	0.6		5/8	
	FB 0269	Grapes	3		5/8	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.2	(fat)	5/8	
	VC 0046	Melons, except watermelon	0.7		5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.02		5/8	
	VA 0385	Onion, Bulb	0.1		5/8	
	HS 0444	Peppers Chili, dried	5		5/8	
	FP 0009	Pome fruits	0.8		5/8	
	VR 0589	Potato	4	Po	5/8	
	VA 0389	Spring Onion	9		5/8	
	VC 0431	Squash, summer	0.2		5/8	
226	Pyrimeth	anil				
	DV 0604	Ginseng, dried including red ginseng	1.5		5/8	
	FB 2009	Low growing berries	3		5/8	
	FP 0009	Pome fruits	15	Po	5/8	
229	Azoxystr	obin				
	GC 0640	Barley	1.5		5/8	
	SB 0716	Coffee beans	0.03		5/8	
	GC 0647	Oats	1.5		5/8	
	AL 0072	Pea hay or pea fodder (dry)	20		5/8	
	VR 0589	Potato	7	Po	5/8	
	VD 0070	Pulses	0.07		5/8	(except soya beans)
	VR 0075	Root and tuber vegetables	1		5/8	(except potato)

	Commod	lity	MRL (mg/kg)	Step	Note
	GC 0651	Sorghum	10	5/8	
	AS 0651	Sorghum straw and fodder, Dry	30	5/8	
	AS 0081	Straw and fodder (dry) of cereal grains	15	5/8	(except maize and sorghum)
230	Chlorant	raniliprole			
	VS 0620	Artichoke, Globe	2	5/8	
	VP 0061	Beans, except broad bean and soya bean	0.8	5/8	(green pods and immature seeds)
	VR 0577	Carrot	0.08	5/8	
	GC 0080	Cereal grains	0.02	5/8	(except rice)
	SB 0716	Coffee beans	0.05	5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.2	5/8	
	DH 1100	Hops, Dry	40	5/8	
	VL 0053	Leafy vegetables	20	5/8	(except radish leaves)
	VP 0063	Peas (pods and succulent=immature seeds)	2	5/8	
	VP 0064	Peas, Shelled (succulent seeds)	0.05	5/8	
	FI 0355	Pomegranate	0.4	5/8	
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.01 (*) (fat)	5/8	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.01 (*)	5/8	
	VR 0494	Radish	0.5	5/8	
	VL 0494	Radish leaves (including radish tops)	40	5/8	
	SO 0495	Rape seed	2	5/8	
	GC 0649	Rice	0.4	5/8	
	CM 1205	Rice, Polished	0.04	5/8	
	VR 0075	Root and tuber vegetables	0.02	5/8	(except carrot and radish)
	SO 0702	Sunflower seed	2	5/8	
231	Mandipro	ppamid			
	DH 1100	Hops, Dry	90	5/8	
234	Spirotetra	amate			
	VS 0620	Artichoke, Globe	1	5/8	
	FB 2006	Bush berries	1.5	5/8	
	FB 0265	Cranberry	0.2	5/8	
239	Cyproco	nazole			
	SB 0716	Coffee beans	0.07	5/8	
	SM 0716	Coffee beans, Roasted	0.1	5/8	
240	Dicamba				
	VD 0541	Soya bean (dry)	10	5/8	
252	Sulfoxafl	or			
	VD 0071	Beans (dry)	0.3	5/8	
	VR 0577	Carrot	0.05	5/8	

	Commod	ity	MRL (mg/kg)		Step	Note
253	Penthiopy	yrad				
	AL 1020	Alfalfa fodder	20	(DM)	5/8	
	AM 0660	Almond hulls	6	(DM)	5/8	
	GC 0640	Barley	0.2		5/8	
	AS 0640	Barley straw and fodder, Dry	80	(DM)	5/8	
	VB 0041	Cabbages, Head	4		5/8	
	AB 1204	Cotton gin trash	20	(DM)	5/8	
	SO 0691	Cotton seed	0.5		5/8	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.08		5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.03		5/8	
	GC 0645	Maize	0.01		5/8	
	CF 1255	Maize flour	0.05		5/8	
	OC 0645	Maize oil, Crude	0.15		5/8	
	MF 0100	Mammalian fats (except milk fats)	0.05		5/8	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.04		5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.04		5/8	
	GC 0646	Millet (Including Barnyard Millet, Bulrush Millet, Common Millet, Finger Millet, Foxtail Millet, Little Millet)	0.8		5/8	
	AS 0646	Millet fodder, dry	10	(DM)	5/8	
	AS 0647	Oat straw and fodder, Dry	80	(DM)	5/8	
	GC 0647	Oats	0.2		5/8	
	AL 0072	Pea hay or pea fodder (dry)	60	(DM)	5/8	
	SO 0697	Peanut	0.05		5/8	
	AL 0697	Peanut fodder	30	(DM)	5/8	
	OR 0697	Peanut oil, Edible	0.5		5/8	
	FP 0009	Pome fruits	0.4		5/8	
	PF 0111	Poultry fats	0.03		5/8	
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.03		5/8	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.03		5/8	
	SO 0495	Rape seed	0.5		5/8	
	OC 0495	Rape seed oil, Crude	1		5/8	
	OR 0495	Rapeseed oil, Edible	1		5/8	
	GC 0650	Rye	0.1		5/8	
	AS 0650	Rye straw and fodder, Dry	80	(DM)	5/8	
	GC 0651	Sorghum	0.8		5/8	
	AS 0651	Sorghum straw and fodder, Dry	10	(DM)	5/8	
	VD 0541	Soya bean (dry)	0.3		5/8	
	AL 0541	Soya bean fodder	200	(DM)	5/8	
	VR 0596	Sugar beet	0.5		5/8	

	Commod	lity	MRL (mg/kg)		Step	Note
	SO 0702	Sunflower seed	1.5		5/8	
	GC 0653	Triticale	0.1		5/8	
	AS 0653	Triticale straw and fodder, Dry	80	(DM)	5/8	
	GC 0654	Wheat	0.1		5/8	
	CF 0654	Wheat bran, Processed	0.1		5/8	
	CM 0654	Wheat bran, Unprocessed	0.2		5/8	
	CF 1210	Wheat germ	0.2		5/8	
	AS 0654	Wheat straw and fodder, Dry	80	(DM)	5/8	
263	Cyantran	iliprole				
	VB 0040	Brassica (Cole or Cabbage) Vegetables, Head Cabbage, Flowerhead Brassicas	2		5/8	
	FB 2006	Bush berries	4		5/8	
	VS 0624	Celery	15		5/8	
	FS 0013	Cherries	6		5/8	
	SB 0716	Coffee beans	0.03		5/8	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.05		5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.015		5/8	
	AM 1051	Fodder beet	0.02		5/8	
	VO 0050	Fruiting vegetables other than cucurbits	0.5		5/8	(except mushrooms & sweet corn)
	VC 0045	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits	0.3		5/8	
	VA 0381	Garlic	0.05		5/8	
	VL 0053	Leafy vegetables	20		5/8	(except Lettuce, Head)
	AL 0157	Legume animal feeds	0.8		5/8	
	VL 0482	Lettuce, Head	5		5/8	
	MF 0100	Mammalian fats (except milk fats)	0.01		5/8	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.01		5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.02		5/8	
	VA 0385	Onion, Bulb	0.05		5/8	
	VA 0387	Onion, Welsh	8		5/8	
	FS 0247	Peach	1.5		5/8	
	HS 0444	Peppers Chili, dried	5		5/8	
	FS 0014	Plums (including prunes)	0.5		5/8	
	FP 0009	Pome fruits	0.8		5/8	
	VR 0589	Potato	0.05		5/8	
	PF 0111	Poultry fats	0.01		5/8	
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.01		5/8	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.01		5/8	
	DF 0014	Prunes	8.0		5/8	
	VR 0075	Root and tuber vegetables	0.05		5/8	(except potato)

	Commod	ity	MRL (mg/kg	1)	Step	Note
	VA 0388	Shallot	0.05		5/8	
	VA 0389	Spring Onion	8		5/8	
	AS 0161	Straw, fodder (dry) and hay of cereal grains and other grass-like plants	0.2		5/8	
	AM 0506	Turnip fodder	0.02		5/8	
266	Imazapic					
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	1		5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	AS 0162	Hay or fodder (dry) of grasses	3		5/8	
	GC 0645	Maize	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	MF 0100	Mammalian fats (except milk fats)	0.1		5/8	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.1		5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.1		5/8	
	SO 0697	Peanut	0.05	(*)	5/8	
	PF 0111	Poultry fats	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	SO 0495	Rape seed	0.05	(*)	5/8	
	GC 0649	Rice	0.05	(*)	5/8	
	GS 0659	Sugar cane	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	GC 0654	Wheat	0.05	(*)	5/8	
	AS 0654	Wheat straw and fodder, Dry	0.05	(*)	5/8	
267	lmazapyr					
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.05	(*)	5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	VD 0533	Lentil (dry)	0.3		5/8	
	GC 0645	Maize	0.05	(*)	5/8	
	MF 0100	Mammalian fats (except milk fats)	0.05	(*)	5/8	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.05	(*)	5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	PF 0111	Poultry fats	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.01	(*)	5/8	
	SO 0495	Rape seed	0.05	(*)	5/8	
	SO 0702	Sunflower seed	0.08		5/8	
	GC 0654	Wheat	0.05	(*)	5/8	
	AS 0654	Wheat straw and fodder, Dry	0.05	(*)	5/8	
268	Isoxafluto	ole				
	VD 0524	Chick-pea (dry)	0.01	(*)	5/8	

	Commod	ity	MRL (mg/kg)		Step	Note
	AL 0524	Chick-pea fodder	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.1		5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	GC 0645	Maize	0.02 ((*)	5/8	
	AS 0645	Maize fodder (dry)	0.02 ((*)	5/8	
	MF 0100	Mammalian fats (except milk fats)	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	SO 0698	Poppy seed	0.02 ((*)	5/8	
	PF 0111	Poultry fats	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.2		5/8	
	GS 0659	Sugar cane	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	AM 0659	Sugar cane fodder	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	VO 0447	Sweet corn (corn-on-the-cob)	0.02 ((*)	5/8	
269	Tolfenpyr	rad				
	DT 1116	Tea, green	30		5/8	
270	Triflumizo	ole				
	FS 0013	Cherries	4		5/8	
	VC 0424	Cucumber	0.5		5/8	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.1		5/8	
	FB 0269	Grapes	3		5/8	
	DH 1100	Hops, Dry	30		5/8	
	MF 0100	Mammalian fats (except milk fats)	0.02		5/8	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.03	(fat)	5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.02 ((*)	5/8	
	FI 0350	Papaya	2		5/8	
271	Trinexapa	ac-ethyl				
	GC 0640	Barley	3		5/8	
	CF 0640	Barley bran, processed	6		5/8	
	AS 0640	Barley straw and fodder, Dry	0.9		5/8	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.1		5/8	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	MF 0100	Mammalian fats (except milk fats)	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.01 ((*)	5/8	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.005 ((*)	5/8	
	AS 0647	Oat straw and fodder, Dry	0.9		5/8	
	GC 0647	Oats	3		5/8	
	PF 0111	Poultry fats	0.01 ((*)	5/8	

Commod	lity	MRL (mg/kg)	Step	Note
PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.01 (*)	5/8	
PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.05	5/8	
SO 0495	Rape seed	1.5	5/8	
GS 0659	Sugar cane	0.5	5/8	
GC 0653	Triticale	3	5/8	
AS 0653	Triticale straw and fodder, Dry	0.9	5/8	
GC 0654	Wheat	3	5/8	
CM 0654	Wheat bran, Unprocessed	8	5/8	
AS 0654	Wheat straw and fodder, Dry	0.9	5/8	

APPENDIX IV

54

MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES

(For Revocation)

	Commodity		MRL (mg/kg)		Step	Note
31	Diquat	•	, ,	•	•	
	•	Alfalfa fodder	100		CXL-D	
	GC 0645	Maize	0.05	(*)	CXL-D	
	VD 0072	Peas (dry)	0.2		CXL-D	
	VR 0589	Potato	0.05		CXL-D	
	SO 0495	Rape seed	2		CXL-D	
	GC 0649	Rice	10		CXL-D	
	CM 0649	Rice, Husked	1		CXL-D	
	CM 1205	Rice, Polished	0.2		CXL-D	
	GC 0651	Sorghum	2		CXL-D	
	VD 0541	Soya bean (dry)	0.2		CXL-D	
	SO 0702	Sunflower seed	1		CXL-D	
	OC 0172	Vegetable oils, Crude	0.05	(*)	CXL-D	
	AO1 0002	Vegetables (except as otherwise listed)	0.05	(*)	CXL-D	
143	Triazopho	os				
	GC 0080	Cereal grains	0.05	(*)	CXL-D	
158	Glyphosa	te				
	SO 0495	Rape seed	20		CXL-D	
172	Bentazon	е				
	GC 0640	Barley	0.1		CXL-D	
	VD 0071	Beans (dry)	0.05	(*)	CXL-D	
	VD 0523	Broad bean (dry)	0.05	(*)	CXL-D	
	VP 0526	Common bean (pods and/or immature seeds)	0.2		CXL-D	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.05	(*)	CXL-D	
	VP 0528	Garden pea (young pods)(=succulent, immature seeds)	0.2		CXL-D	
	VP 0534	Lima bean (young pods and/or immature beans)	0.05		CXL-D	
	SO 0693	Linseed	0.1		CXL-D	
	GC 0645	Maize	0.2		CXL-D	
	AS 0645	Maize fodder (dry)	0.2		CXL-D	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.05	(*)	CXL-D	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.05	(*)	CXL-D	
	GC 0647	Oats	0.1		CXL-D	
	VA 0385	Onion, Bulb	0.1		CXL-D	
	SO 0697	Peanut	0.05		CXL-D	

	Commodi	ity	MRL (mg/kg	1)		Step	Note
	VR 0589	Potato	0.1			CXL-D	
	GC 0649	Rice	0.1			CXL-D	
	GC 0650	Rye	0.1			CXL-D	
	GC 0651	Sorghum	0.1			CXL-D	
	VD 0541	Soya bean (dry)	0.1			CXL-D	
	GC 0654	Wheat	0.1			CXL-D	
175	Glufosina	te-Ammonium					
	FI 0327	Banana	0.2			CXL-D	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.1	(*)		CXL-D	
180	Dithianon						
	FS 0013	Cherries	5			CXL-D	
	FB 0269	Grapes	3			CXL-D	
	DH 1100	Hops, Dry	100			CXL-D	
	FP 0009	Pome fruits	5			CXL-D	
193	Fenpyrox	imate					
	MO 1280	Cattle kidney	0.01	(*)		CXL-D	
	MO 1281	Cattle liver	0.01	(*)		CXL-D	
	MM 0812	Cattle meat	0.02		(fat)	CXL-D	
	ML 0812	Cattle milk	0.005	(*)	F	CXL-D	
	VC 0424	Cucumber	0.03			CXL-D	
205	Flutolanil						
	MO 0098	Kidney of cattle, goats, pigs and sheep	0.1			CXL-D	
	MO 0099	Liver of cattle, goats, pigs & sheep	0.2			CXL-D	
207	Cyprodin	il					
	FP 0226	Apple	0.05			CXL-D	
	VP 0061	Beans, except broad bean and soya bean	0.5			CXL-D	
	VC 0424	Cucumber	0.2			CXL-D	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.01	(*)		CXL-D	
	VO 0440	Egg plant	0.2			CXL-D	
	VL 0482	Lettuce, Head	10			CXL-D	
	VL 0483	Lettuce, Leaf	10			CXL-D	
	FP 0230	Pear	1			CXL-D	
	VO 0445	Peppers, Sweet (including pimento or pimiento)	0.5			CXL-D	
	FB 0272	Raspberries, Red, Black	0.5			CXL-D	
	VC 0431	Squash, summer	0.2			CXL-D	
	FB 0275	Strawberry	2			CXL-D	
	VO 0448	Tomato	0.5			CXL-D	
211	Fludioxor	nil					
	HH 0722	Basil	10			CXL-D	

	Commod	ity	MRL (mg/kg	1)		Step	Note
	DH 0722	Basil, dry	50			CXL-D	
	VD 0071	Beans (dry)	0.07			CXL-D	
	VP 0061	Beans, except broad bean and soya bean	0.3			CXL-D	
	VP 0062	Beans, Shelled	0.03			CXL-D	
	HH 0727	Chives	10			CXL-D	
	DH 0727	Chives, dry	50			CXL-D	
	VC 0424	Cucumber	0.3			CXL-D	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.05	(*)		CXL-D	
	VC 0046	Melons, except watermelon	0.03			CXL-D	
	VO 0445	Peppers, Sweet (including pimento or pimiento)	1			CXL-D	
	VR 0589	Potato	0.02			CXL-D	
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.01	(*)		CXL-D	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.05	(*)		CXL-D	
	VC 0431	Squash, summer	0.3			CXL-D	
	VO 0448	Tomato	0.5			CXL-D	
224	Difenoco	nazole					
	VB 0400	Broccoli	0.5			CXL-D	
	VB 0402	Brussels sprouts	0.2			CXL-D	
	VB 0041	Cabbages, Head	0.2			CXL-D	
	VB 0404	Cauliflower	0.2			CXL-D	
	MO 0105	Edible offal (mammalian)	0.2			CXL-D	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.01	(*)		CXL-D	
	VR 0604	Ginseng	0.5			CXL-D	
	FB 0269	Grapes	0.1			CXL-D	
	MM 0095	Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.05		(fat)	CXL-D	
	ML 0106	Milks	0.005	(*)		CXL-D	
	FP 0009	Pome fruits	0.5			CXL-D	
	VR 0589	Potato	0.02			CXL-D	
	VO 0448	Tomato	0.5			CXL-D	
226	Pyrimetha	anil					
	FP 0009	Pome fruits	7		Po	CXL-D	
	FB 0275	Strawberry	3			CXL-D	
229	Azoxystro	obin					
	GC 0640	Barley	0.5			CXL-D	
	SB 0716	Coffee beans	0.02			CXL-D	
	GC 0647	Oats	0.5			CXL-D	
	VR 0075	Root and tuber vegetables	1			CXL-D	
	AS 0081	Straw and fodder (dry) of cereal grains	15			CXL-D	

	Commodit	ty	MRL (mg/kg)	Step	Note
230	Chlorantra	niliprole			
	GC 0080	Cereal grains	0.02	CXL-D	
	PE 0112	Eggs	0.1	CXL-D	
	VL 0053	Leafy vegetables	20	CXL-D	
	PM 0110	Poultry meat	0.01 (*) (fat)	CXL-D	
	PO 0111	Poultry, Edible offal of	0.01 (*)	CXL-D	
	VR 0075	Root and tuber vegetables	0.02	CXL-D	

APPENDIX V

DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES

(At Step 7)

	Commod	lity	MRL (mg/k	(g)	Step	Note
90	Chlorpyr	ifos-Methyl				
	GC 0640	Barley	3	Po	7	
	GC 0640	Barley	10	Po	7	
	GC 0647	Oats	10	Po	7	
	GC 0649	Rice	10	Po	7	
	GC 0654	Wheat	3	Po	7	
	CM 0654	Wheat bran, Unprocessed	6	PoP	7	
	CF 1210	Wheat germ	5	PoP	7	
126	Oxamyl					
	FC 0001	Citrus fruits	3		7	
	VC 0424	Cucumber	1		7	
	VC 0046	Melons, except watermelon	1		7	
	VO 0051	Peppers	5		7	
178	Bifenthri	n				
	FI 0345	Mango	0.5		7	
	VO 0442	Okra	0.2		7	
	FI 0350	Papaya	0.4		7	
189	Tebucon	azole				
	VP 0526	Common bean (pods and/or immature seeds)	2		7	
	VC 0432	Watermelon	0.1		7	
212	Metalaxy	I-M				
	FP 0226	Apple	0.02	(*)	7	
	SB 0715	Cacao beans	0.02		7	
	FB 0269	Grapes	1		7	
	VL 0482	Lettuce, Head	0.5		7	
	VA 0385	Onion, Bulb	0.03		7	
	VO 0445	Peppers, Sweet (including pimento or pimiento)	0.5		7	
	VR 0589	Potato	0.02	(*)	7	
	VL 0502	Spinach	0.1		7	
	SO 0702	Sunflower seed	0.02	(*)	7	
	VO 0448	Tomato	0.2		7	
224	Difenoco	nazole				
	FI 0350	Papaya	0.3		7	

APPENDIX VI

59

PROPOSED DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES

(At Step 4)

	Commodity	MRL (mg/kg)		Step	Note
31	Diquat				
	VD 0071 Beans (dry)	0.05		4	
	MO 0105 Edible offal (mammalian)	0.01 (*)		4	
	PE 0112 Eggs	0.01 (*)		4	
	MM 0095 Meat (from mammals other than marine mammals)	0.01 (*)		4	
	ML 0106 Milks	0.001 (*)		4	
	PM 0110 Poultry meat	0.01 (*)		4	
	PO 0111 Poultry, Edible offal of	0.01 (*)		4	
90	Chlorpyrifos-Methyl				
	GC 0080 Cereal grains	5	Po	4	(except maize and rice)
	CM 0649 Rice, Husked	1.5	Po	4	
	CM 1205 Rice, Polished	0.2	Po	4	
175	Glufosinate-Ammonium				
	VD 0541 Soya bean (dry)	3		4	
178	Bifenthrin				
	FB 0275 Strawberry	3		4	
243	Fluopyram				
	VO 0051 Peppers	0.5		4	
	HS 0444 Peppers Chili, dried	5		4	
252	Sulfoxaflor				
	FC 0001 Citrus fruits	0.9		4	
	FP 0009 Pome fruits	0.4		4	
	FS 0012 Stone fruits	3		4	(except cherries)
	TN 0085 Tree nuts	0.015		4	
253	Penthiopyrad				
	AS 0645 Maize fodder (dry)	10	(DM)	4	(omitted from CX/PR 14/46/5)
	VL 0485 Mustard greens	50		4	

APPENDIX VII

PROPOSED DRAFT AND DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITES FOR PESTICIDES

(Withdrawn	by	CCPR)
------------	----	-------

C	Commodity		MRL (mg/kg)	Step	Note
143 T	riazopho	s			
C	CM 0649	Rice, Husked	2	MRL-W	(2013)
C	CM 0649	Rice, Husked	2	MRL-W	(2010)
240 C	Dicamba				
V	/D 0541	Soya bean (dry)	5	MRL-W	
253 P	Penthiopyrad				
(GC 0640	Barley	0.15	MRL-W	
(GC 0647	Oats	0.15	MRL-W	
C	GC 0650	Rye	0.04	MRL-W	
C	GC 0653	Triticale	0.04	MRL-W	
C	GC 0654	Wheat	0.04	MRL-W	
C	CF 1210	Wheat germ	0.1	MRL-W	

APPENDIX VIII

REVISED GROUP MRLS FOR "CITRUS FRUITS" AND "LEMONS AND LIMES" THAT APPLY TO KUMQUATS (For Adoption)

	Pesticide	MRL (mg/kg)
173	Buprofezin	1
8	Carbaryl	15
17	Chlorpyrifos	1
90	Chlorpyrifos-methyl	2
238	Clothianidin	0.07
118	Cypermethrins	0.3
193	Fenpyroximate	0.5
206	Imidacloprid	1
146	Lambda-cyhalothrin	0.2
49	Malathion	7
94	Methomyl	1
103	Phosmet	3
101	Pirimicarb	3
142	Prochloraz	10
210	Pyraclostrobin	2
196	Tebufenozide	2
65	Thiabendazole	7
245	Thiamethoxam	0.5

APPENDIX IX

DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED

(At Step 7)

ROOT AND TUBER VEGETABLES

Class A

Type 2 Vegetables Group 016 Group Letter Code VR

Group 016. Root and tuber vegetables are the starchy enlarged solid roots, tubers, corms or rhizomes, mostly subterranean, of various species of plants, mostly annuals.

The underground location protects the edible portion from pesticides applied to the aerial parts of the crop during the growing season; however the commodities in this group are exposed to pesticide residues from soil treatments and from applications that can be washed away by rain and can move into the soil.

The entire vegetable may be consumed in the form of fresh or processed foods.

This group contains 3 subgroups based on the morphology and growing practice:

16A Root vegetables

16B Tuberous and corm vegetables

16C Aquatic root and tuber vegetables

<u>Portion of the commodity to which the MRL applies (and which is analysed)</u>: Whole commodity after removing tops. Remove adhering soil (e.g. by rinsing in running water or by gentle brushing of the dry commodity).

Group 016 Root and tuber vegetables

Code No. Commodity

VR 0075 Root and tuber vegetables

Subgroup 16A Root vegetables

Code No.CommodityVR 2070Root vegetables

(includes al commodities in this subgroup)

American Ginseng, See Ginseng, VR 0604

Panax quinquefolius L.

VR 0574 Beetroot

Beta vulgaris L., var. conditiva

VR 2940 Bellflower, Chinese

Platycodon grandiflorus (Jacq.) A. DC.

Black caraway, see Caraway, black root, VR 2941

Black salsify, see Scorzonera, VR 0594

VR 0575 Burdock, greater or edible

Arctium lappa L.;

syn: Lappa officinalis All.; L. major Gaertn.

VR 2941 Caraway, black root

Bunium persicum (Boiss.) B. Fedtsch.

VR 0577 Carrot

Daucus carota L.

VR 0578 Celeriac

Apium graveolens L., var. rapaceum (Mill.) Gaudin

VR 0579	Chervil, Turnip-rooted
	Chaerophyllum bulbosum L.
VR 0469	Chicory, roots
	Cichorum intybus L.
-	Chik, see Kudzu, VR 1024
-	Chinese radish, see Radish, Japanese, VR 0591
-	Daikon, see Radish, Japanese, VR 0591
VR 2942	Dandelion root
	Taraxacum officinale F.H. Wigg. Aggr.
VR 2943	Deodeok
	Codonopsis lanceolata (Siebold&Zucc.) Trautv.
-	Doraji, see Bellflower, Chinese, VR 2940
VR 0604	Ginseng (CODEX STAN 295R-2009)
	Panax spp.
VR 0583	Horseradish
	Armoracia rusticana Gaertn. et al
	syn: Cochlearia armoracia L.; Armoracia lapathifolia Gilib. ex Usteri
-	Korean Ginseng, see Ginseng, VR 0604
	Panax ginseng C.A. Mey.
VR 1024	Kudzu
	Pueraria lobata (Willd.) Ohwi
VR 2944	Ladybell root
	Adenophora triphylla DC.; Adenophora spp.
VR 2945	Маса
	Lepidium meyenii Walp.
VR 2946	Madeira vine
	Anredera cordifolia (Ten.) Steenis
VR 2947	Mauka
	Mirabilis expansa (Ruiz & Pav.) Standl.
VR 2948	Murnong
	Microseris scapigera (Sol. ex A. Cunn.) Sch. Bip.
VR 2949	Mustard, tuberous rooted Chinese
	Brassica juncea (L.) Czern. subsp. napiformis (Pailleux & Bois) Gladis
-	Oyster plant, see Salsify, VR 0498
VR 0587	Parsley, Turnip-rooted
	Petroselinum crispum (Mill.) Nyman ex A.W. Hill var. tuberosum
VR 0588	Parsnip
	Pastinaca sativa L.
VR 2950	Pencil yam
	Vigna lanceolata Benth.
-	Pseudoginseng, see Ginseng, VR 0604
	Panax pseudoginseng Wall.

VR 0494	Radish
	Raphanus sativus L. var. sativus
VR 0590	Radish, Black
	Raphanus sativus L., subvar. niger Pers.
VR 0591	Radish, Japanese
	Raphanus sativus L., var. longipinnatus Bailey
VR 0592	Rampion roots
	Campanula rapunculus L.
-	Rutabaga, see Swede, VR 0497
-	Red beet, see Beetroot, VR 0574
VR 0498	Salsify
	Tragopogon porrifolius L.
-	Salsify, Black, see Scorzonera, VR 0594
VR 0593	Salsify, Spanish
	Scolymus hispanicus L.
VR 0594	Scorzonera
	Scorzonera hispanica L.
VR 0595	Skirret
	Sium sisarum L.
VR 0596	Sugar beet
	Beta vulgaris L., var. sacharifera;
	syn: B. vulgaris L. var. altissima
VR 0497	Swede
	Brassica napus L., var. napobrassica (L.) Reichenbach
VR 2951	Ti palm
	Cordyline fruticosa (L.) A. Chev.
-	Turnip, see Swede, VR 0497
VR 0506	Turnip, Garden
	Brassica rapa L., var. rapa;
	syn: B. campestris L., var. rapifera
-	Turnip, Swedish, see Swede, VR 0497
-	Vietnamese ginseng, see Ginseng VR 0604
	Panax vietnamensis Ha &Grusshv.

Subgroup 16B Tuberous and corm vegetables

Code No.	<u>Commodity</u>	
VR 2071	Tuberous and corm vegetables	
	(includes al commodities in this subgroup)	
-	Achira, see Canna, edible, VR 0576	
-	Ahipa, see Yam bean, VR 0601	
	Pachyrhizus ahipa (Wedd.) Parodi	
	Ajanhuiri, see Potato, VR 0589	
	Solanum ajanhuiri Juz. &Bukasov	

VR 0570	Alocasia(corm)
	Alocasia macrorrhiza (L.) G Don.;
	A. indica (Lour.) Spach
VR 2970	American potato bean
	Apios americana Medik.
-	Andigena, see Potato, VR 0589
	Solanum tuberosum L. subsp. Andigenum (Juz. &Bukasov) Hawkes
VR 0571	Arracacha
	Arracacia xanthorrhiza Bancr.;
	syn: A. esculenta DC.
VR 0573	Arrowroot
	Maranta arundinacea L.; several cultivars
VR 0598	Arrowroot, Guinea
	Calathea allouia (Aubl.) Lindl.
VR 2971	Arrowroot, Polynesian
	Tacca leontopetaloides (L.) Kuntze
VR 2972	Banana, Abyssinian
	Ensete ventricosum (Welw.) Cheesman
-	Blue ape, see Tannia, VR 0504
	Xanthosoma violaceum Schott.
VR 0576	Canna, edible
	Canna indica L.
	syn: <i>C. edulis</i> Ker. Gawl.
VR 0463	Cassava
	Manihot esculenta Crantz;
	syn: M. aipi Pohl; M. ultissima Pohl; M. dulcis Pax; M. palmata MuellArg.
-	Cassava, Bitter, see Cassava (CODEX STAN 300-2010), see VR 0463
	Manihot esculenta Crantz, bitter cultivars
-	Cassava, Sweet, see Cassava (CODEX STAN 238-2003), see VR 0463 Manihot esculenta Crantz, sweet cultivars
-	Chamma, see Yams, VR 0600
	Dioscorea japonica Thunb.
VR 0423	Chayote root
	Sechium edule (Jacq.) Swartz
VR 0584	Chinese artichoke
	Stachys affinis Bunge
	Syn: S. sieboldii Miq.
VR 2973	Chinese potato
	Plectranthus rotundifolius (Poir.) Spreng.
	Syn: Solenostemon rotundifolius (Poir.) J. K. Morton
-	Chufa, see Tiger nut, VR 0580
-	Ckaisalla, see Potato, VR 0589
	Solanum juzepczukii Bukasov
-	Cocoyam, see Tannia, VR 0504 and Taro, VR 0505

VR 2974	Cowpea, wild
	Vigna vexillata (L.) A. Rich.
-	Dasheen, see Taro, VR 0505
-	Eddoe, see Taro, VR 0505
	Colocasia esculenta L., var. antiquorum (Schott), Hubbard &Rehder
	syn: C. esculenta, var. globifera Engl. & Krause
VR 2975	Earthnut pea
	Lathyrus tuberosus L.
VR 2976	Elephant foot yam
	Amorphophallus paeoniifolius (Dennst.) Nicolson
	Syn: A. campanulatus (Roxb.) Blume ex Decne
VR 2977	Gastrodia tuber
	Gastrodia elata Blume
VR 2978	Giant swamp taro
	Cytosperma chamissonis (Schott) Merr.
	Syn: C. merkusii (Hassk.) Schott
-	Giant taro, see Alocasia (corm), VR 0570
VR 0530	Goa bean root
	Psophocarpus tetragonolobus (L.) DC.
-	Gruya, see Canna, edible, VR 0576
-	Hausa potato, see Chinese potato, VR 2973
-	laraj, see Giant swamp taro, VR 2978
-	Japanese artichoke, see Chinese artichoke, VR 0584
VR 0585	Jerusalem artichoke
	Helianthus tuberosus L.
-	Jicama, see Yam bean, VR 0601
VR 2979	Kaffir potato
	Plectranthus esculenthus N.E. Br
-	Kape, see Alocasia (corm), VR 0570
VR 2980	Konjac
	Amorphophallus konjac K. Koch
-	Kötak, see Taro, VR 0500
-	Kumara, see Sweet potato, VR 0508
-	Leren, see Arrowroot, Guinea, VR 0598
-	Manioc, see Cassava, VR 0463
VR 2981	Mashua
	Tropaeolum tuberosum Ruiz & Pav.
VR 0586	Oca
	Oxalis tuberosa Mol.
VR 2982	Pignut
	Conopodium majus (Gouan) Loret & Barrandon

VR 0589	Potato
	Solanum tuberosum L. and other potato species
-	Potato bean, see Yam bean, VR 0601
	Pachyrhizus tuberosus (Lam.) Spreng.
-	Potato, Specialty, see Potato, VR 0589
	Solanum spp.
-	Potato yam, see Yam bean, VR 0601
-	Queensland arrowroot, see Canna, edible, VR 0576
-	Rucki, see Potato, VR 0589
	Solanum curtilobum Juz. & Bukasov
VR 0508	Sweet potato
	Ipomoea batatas (L.) Poir
-	Talo futuna, see Taro, VR 0505
-	Tanier, see Tannia, VR 0504
VR 0504	Tannia (CODEX STAN 224-2001)
	Xanthosoma sagittifolium (L.) Schott;
	X. violaceum Schott.
-	Tapioca, see Cassava, VR 0463
VR 0505	Taro
	Colocasia esculenta (L.) Schott, var. esculenta
-	Taro tarua, seeTannia, VR 0504
VR 0580	Tiger nut
	Cyperus esculentus L.
-	Topeetambu, See Arrow root, Guinea, VR 0598
-	Ufi, see Yams, VR 06600
VR 0599	Ullucu
	Ullucus tuberosus Caldas
-	Winged bean root, see Goa bean root, VR 0530
VR 2983	Yacon
	Smallanthus sonchifolius (Poepp. & Endl.) H. Rob.
	Syn: Polymnia sonchifolia Poepp.
VR 0600	Yams
	Dioscorea L.; several species
-	Yam, Asiatic bitter, See Yams, VR 0600
	Dioscorea hispida (Dennst.)
-	Yam, Chinese, see Yams, VR 0600
	Dioscorea polystachya Turcz.
	syn: D. opposita auct.
-	Yam, Cush-cush, see Yams, VR 0600
	Dioscorea trifida L.f.
-	Yam, Greater, see Yams, VR 0600
	Dioscorea alata L.

Yam, Lesser, see Yams, VR 0600

Dioscorea esculenta (Lour.) Burkill

- Yam, White Guinea, see Yams, VR 0600

Dioscorea rotundata Poir.

- Yam, Yellow Guinea, see Yams, VR 0600

Dioscorea cayenensis Lam.

VR 0601 Yam bean

Pachyrhizus erosus (L.) Urban;

syn: P. angulatus Rich. ex DC.; P. bulbosus (L.) Kurz; Dolichos erosus L.

Pachyrhizus tuberosus (Lam.) Spreng.

Pachyrhizus ahipa (Wedd.) Parodi

- Yautia, see Tannia, VR 0504

Subgroup 16C Aquatic root and tuber vegetables

Code No. Commodity

VR 2072 Aquatic root and tuber vegetables

(includes all commodities in this subgroup)

VR 0572 Arrowhead

Sagittaria sagittifolia L.; S. latifolia Willd.;

VR 3000 Cattail

Typha latifolia L.

VR 3001 Chinese water chestnut

Eleocharis dulcis (Burm. f.) Trin. ex Hensch.

VR 3002 Lotus tuber

Nelumbo nucifera Geartn.

VR 3003 **Olbanggae**

Eleocharis kuroguwai Ohwi

APPENDIX X

PROPOSED DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED (At Step 5)

PULSES

Class A

Type 2 Vegetables Group 015 Group Letter Code VD

Group 015. Pulses are derived from the mature seeds, naturally or artificially dried, of leguminous plants known as beans (dry) and peas (dry). Pulses are dry seeds without the pods.

The seeds in the pods are protected from most pesticides applied during the growing season except pesticides which show a systemic action. The dry beans and peas however are often exposed to post harvest treatments.

The dry pulses are consumed after processing or household cooking.

Commodities in this group are grouped in 2 subgroups:

15A Dry beans

15B Dry peas

Portion of the commodity to which the MRL applies (and which is analysed): Whole commodity.

Group 015 Pulses

Code No.CommodityVD 0070PulsesSubgroup 015A Dry beans

Code No.	Commodity	
VD 2065	Dry beans	

(includes all commodities in this subgroup)

VD 0071 Beans (*Phaseolus* spp.) (dry)

Phaseolus spp.; several species and cultivars

VD 2890 Beans(Vigna spp.) (dry)

Vigna spp.; several species and cultivars

VD 0560 Adzuki bean (dry)

Vigna angularis (Willd.) Ohwi & Ohashi syn: Phaseolus angularis (Willd.) W. Wight;

VD 2891 African yam bean

Sphenostylis stenocarpa (Hochst. Ex A. Rich.) Harms

VD 0520 Bambara groundnut (dry seed)

Vigna subterranea (L.) Verde.;

syn: Voandzeia subterranea (L.) Thou.

Black-eyed pea, see Cowpea (dry), VD 0526

Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. subsp. unguiculata

Black gram (dry), See Urd bean (dry), VD 0521

Bonavist bean, see Lablab bean (dry), VD 0531

VD 0523 Broad bean (dry)

Vicia faba L, subsp. faba, var. faba Syn: V. Faba L. var. major (Harz) Beck

- **Butter bean**, see Lima bean (dry), VD 0534

-	Catjang(dry), See Cowpea, Dry), VD 0527
	Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. subsp. cylindrical (L.) Verdc.
	syn: Dolichos catjang Burm.
VD 0526	Common bean (dry)
	Phaseolus vulgaris L.
VD 2892	Common vetch
	Vicia sativa L.
VD 0527	Cowpea (dry)
	Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp;
	syn: V. sinensis (L.) Savi ex Hassk.; Dolichos sinensis L.
-	Cranberry bean, see Common bean (dry), VD 0526
-	Dwarf bean (dry), see Common bean (dry), VD 0526
-	Field bean (dry), see Common bean (dry), VD 0526
-	French bean, see Group 014: Legume vegetables
-	Geocarpa groundnut or Geocarpa bean, see Kersting's groundnut, VD 0563
VD 2893	Goa bean (dry)
	Psophocarpus tetragonolobus (L.) DC.
-	Green beans, see Group 014: Legume vegetables
-	Green gram (dry), see Mung bean (dry), VD 0536
VD 2894	Guar (dry)
	Cyamopsis tetragonoloba (L.) Taub;
	syn: C. psoralioides (lam.) DC.
-	Hairy vetch, see Wooly-pod vetch, VD 2904
-	Haricot bean, see Common bean, Group 014: Legume vegetables
-	Horse bean (dry), see Broad bean (dry), VD 0523
VD 0562	Horse gram
	Macrotyloma uniflorum (Lam.) Verdc.
	syn: Dolichos uniflorus Lam.; D. biflorus auct. non L.
-	Hyacinth bean (dry), see Lablab bean (dry), VD 0531
VD 2895	Jack bean, (dry)
	Canavalia ensiformis (L.) DC.
VD 0563	Kersting's groundnut
	Macrostyloma geocarpum (Harms) Marcechal & Baudet;
	syn: Kerstingiella geocarpa Harms.
-	Kidney bean (dry), see Common bean (dry), VD 0526
VD 0531	Lablab bean (dry)
	Lablab purpureus (L.) Sweet spp. purpureus
	syn: Dolichos lablab L.; Lablab niger Medik; L. vulgaris Savi
VD 0534	Lima bean (dry)
	Phaseolus lunatus L.;
	syn: Ph. limensis Macf.; Ph. inamoenus L.

VD 0545	Lupin (dry)
	Lupinus spp., sweet spp. varieties and cultivars with a low alkaloid content
-	Mat bean (dry), see Moth bean (dry), VD 0535
VD 2896	Morama bean
	Tylosema esculentum (Burch.) A. Schreib.
VD 0535	Moth bean (dry)
	Vigna aconitifolius (Jacq.) Verde.
	syn: Phaseolus aconitifolius Jacq.; Ph. trilobus Ait;
VD 0536	Mung bean (dry)
	Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek, var. radiata;
	syn: Phaseolus aureus Roxb;
VD 2897	Narbon bean
	Vicia narbonensis L.
-	Narbon vetch, see Narbon bean VD 2897
-	Navy bean (dry), see Common bean (dry), VD 0526
-	Pinto bean, see Common bean (dry), VD 0526
VD 2898	Purple Vetch
	Vicia benghalensis L.
VD 0539	Rice bean (dry)
	Vigna umbellata (Thunb.) Ohwi & Ohashi;
	syn: V. calcarata (Roxb.) Kurz; Phaseolus calcaratus Roxb.
-	Runner bean, see Common bean, Group 014: Legume vegetables
VD 2899	Scarlet runner bean (dry)
	Phaseolus coccineus L.
-	Sieva bean (dry), see Lima bean (dry), VD 0534
-	Southern pea, see Cowpea (dry), VD 0527
	Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. subsp. unguiculata
VD 0541	Soya bean (dry)
	Glycine max (L.) Merr.;
-	Soya bean, black (dry), see Soya bean (dry), VD 0541
-	Soybean (dry), see Soya bean (dry), VD 0541
VD 2900	Sword bean (dry)
	Canavalia gladiata (Jacq.) DC.
VD 0564	Tepary bean (dry)
	Phaseolus acutifolius Gray, var. acutifolius
	Syn: Phaseolus acutifolius Gray, var. latifolius Freem.
VD 2901	Tick bean
	Vicia faba L. var. minor
VD 0521	Urd bean (dry)
	Phaseolus mungo L.;
	syn: Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper

VD 2902

Velvet bean (dry)

Mucuna Pruriens (L.) DC.

VD 2903

Winged pea (dry)

Lotus tetragonolobus L.

syn: Tetragonolobus purpureus Moench

VD 2904

Wooly-pod vetch

Vicia villosa (Roth)

Syn: V. villosa spp. dasycarpa (Ten.) Cavil.

Subgroup 015B Dry peas

oungroup vion biy peas		
Code No.	Commodity	
VD 2066	Dry peas	
	(includes all commodities in this subgroup)	
VD 0072	Peas (dry)	
	Pisum spp.	
-	Cajan pea, see Pigeon pea (dry), VD 0537	
-	Chickling vetch, see Grass-pea (dry), VD 2920	
VD 0524	Chick-pea (dry)	
	Cicer arietinum L.	
VD 0561	Field pea (dry)	
	Pisum sativum L., subsp. sativum var. arvense (L.) Poir.	
	syn: <i>Pisum arvense</i> L.	
-	Garden pea, see Group 014: Legume vegetables	
VD 2920	Grass-pea (dry)	
	Lathyrus sativus L.	
VD 0533	Lentil (dry)	
	L. culinaris Medik subsp. culinaris	
	syn: Lens esculenta Moench.; Ervum lens L.	
VD 0537	Pigeon pea (dry)	
	Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.	
	syn: C. indicus Spreng.	
-	Red gram (dry), see Pigeon pea (dry), VD 0537	
-	Wrinkled pea (dry), see Field pea (dry), VD 0561	

APPENDIX XI

PROPOSED DRAFT REVISION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FOOD AND FEED (At Step 3)

FRUITING VEGETABLES, CUCURBITS

Class A

Type 2 Vegetables Group 011 Group Letter Code VC

Group 011 Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits are derived from the immature or mature fruits of various plants, belonging to the botanical family Cucurbitaceae: usually these are annual vines or bushes.

These vegetables are fully exposed to pesticides during the period of fruit development.

The edible portion of those fruits of which the inedible peel is discarded before consumption is protected from most pesticides, by the skin or peel, except from pesticides with a systemic action.

The entire fruiting vegetable or the edible portion after discarding the inedible peel may be consumed in the fresh form or after processing. The entire immature fruit of some of the fruiting vegetables species may be consumed, whereas only the edible portion of the mature fruit of the same species, after discarding the then inedible peel, is consumed.

The group Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits is divided in 3 subgroups:

11A Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Cucumbers and Summer squashes

11B Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons

11C Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits – Winter squashes

Portion of the commodity to which the MRL applies (and which is analysed): Whole commodity after removal of stems.

Group 011 Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits

Code No.	Commodity

VC 0045 Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits

Subgroup 011A Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits – Cucumbers and Summer squashes

Subgroup UTTA	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits – Cucumbers and Summer squasnes
Code No.	Commodity
VC 2039	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Cucumbers and Summer squashes
	(includes all commodities in this subgroup)
VC 0420	Balsam apple
	Momordica balsamina L.
VC 0421	Bitter melon
	Momordica charantia L.
-	Bitter cucumber, see Bitter melon, VC 0421
-	Bitter gourd, see Bitter melon, VC 0421
-	Balsam pear, see Bitter melon, VC 0421

VC 0422 Bottle gourd

Lagenaria siceraria (Molina) Standl.;

syn: L. vulgaris Ser.; L. leucantha (Duch.) Rusby

VC 0423 Chayote

Sechium edule (Jacq.) Schwartz;

syn: Chayota edulis Jacq.

VC 2650 Chieh qua (young Chinese waxgourd)

Benincasa hispida (Thunb.) Cogn. var. chieh-qua How

REP 14/PR - Appendix A	N .
VC 2651	Chinese cucumber
	Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.
-	Christophine, see Chayote, VC 0423
-	Courgette, see Squash, Summer, VC 0431
VC 0424	Cucumber
	Cucumis sativus L.; English and forcing cucumber cultivars
-	Cucumber, brown-netted, see Cucumber, VC 0424
	Cucumis sativus L. var. sikkimensis
VC 2652	Cucumber, exploding
	Cyclanthera brachystachya (Ser.) Cogn.
VC 2653	Cucumber, stuffing
	Cyclanthera pedata (L.) Schrad.
-	Cucuzzi, see Bottle gourd, VC 0421
VC 2654	Gac
	Momordica cochinchinensis (Lour.) Spreng.
VC 0425	Gherkin
	Cucumis sativus L.; pickling cucumber cultivars
VC 0426	Gherkin, West Indian
	Cucumis anguria L.
VC 2655	Gourd, bitter snake
	Trichosanthes tricuspidata Lour.
VC 2656	Gourd, buffalo
	Cucurbita foetidissima Kunth
-	Gourd, club, see Snake gourd, VC 0430
VC 2657	Gourd, Malabar
	Cucurbita ficifolia Bouché
VC 2658	Gourd, pointed
	Trichosanthes dioica Roxb.
VC 2659	Gourd, round
	Benincasa fistulosa (Stocks) H. schaef. & S.S. Renner
-	Gourd, Xishuangbanna, see Cucumber, VC 0424
	Cucumis sativus L. var. xishuangbannansis ined.
VC 2660	Ivy gourd
	Coccinia grandis (L.) Voigt
VC 2661	Japanese snake gourd
	Trichosanthes ovigera Blume
VC 0427	Loofah, Angled
	Luffa acutangula (L.) Roxb.
VC 0428	Loofah, Smooth
	L. aegyptiaca Mill.
	syn: Luffa cylindrica (L.) M. J. Roem;

-	Marrow, see Squash, Summer, VC 0431
	Cucurbita pepo L., several cultivars
-	Patisson, see Squash, Summer, VC 0431
-	Sinkwa or Sinkwa towel gourd, see Loofah, Angled, VC 0427
VC 0430	Snake gourd
	Trichosanthes cucumerina L.;
	syn: <i>T. anguina</i> L.
-	Spiny bitter gourd, see Gac, VR 2654
-	Sponge gourd, see Loofah, Smooth, VC 0428
VC 0431	Squash, Summer
	Cucurbita pepo L.; Cucurbita pepo L. subsp. pepo; Cucurbita pepo L. subsp. Ovifera (L.) Harz; several cultivars, immature
-	Squash, White Bush, see Squash, Summer, VC 0431
-	Sweet gourd, see Gac, VR 2654
VC 2662	Тасасо
	Sechium tacaco (Pittier) C. Jeffrey
-	Vegetable sponge, see Loofah, Smooth, VC 0428
-	Wax gourd (immature fruit), see Chieh qua, VC 2650
-	West Indian gherkin, see Gherkin, West Indian, VC 0426
-	Zucchetti, see Squash, Summer, VC 0431
-	Zucchini, see Squash, Summer, VC 0431
	Zuccinin, see oquasii, Summer, vo 0401
Subgroup 011B	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons
Subgroup 011B Code No.	·
• .	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons
Code No.	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons <u>Commodity</u>
Code No.	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons <u>Commodity</u> Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons
Code No. VC 2040	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup)
Code No. VC 2040	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon
Code No. VC 2040	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin
Code No. VC 2040	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
Code No. VC 2040 VC 2670	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser.
Code No. VC 2040 VC 2670	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser. Casabanana
Code No. VC 2040 VC 2670	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser. Casabanana Sicana odorifera (Vell.) Naudin
Code No. VC 2040 VC 2670	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser. Casabanana Sicana odorifera (Vell.) Naudin Casaba or Casaba melon, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
Code No. VC 2040 VC 2670	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser. Casabanana Sicana odorifera (Vell.) Naudin Casaba or Casaba melon, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., var. inodorus H. Jacq.
Code No. VC 2040 VC 2670	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser. Casabanana Sicana odorifera (Vell.) Naudin Casaba or Casaba melon, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., var. inodorus H. Jacq. Citron melon, see Watermelon, VC 0432
Code No. VC 2040 VC 2670	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser. Casabanana Sicana odorifera (Vell.) Naudin Casaba or Casaba melon, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., var. inodorus H. Jacq. Citron melon, see Watermelon, VC 0432 Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Mansf., var. edulis; syn: Citrullus edulis Pang. Kiwano, see African horned melon, VC 2670
Code No. VC 2040 VC 2670	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser. Casabanana Sicana odorifera (Vell.) Naudin Casaba or Casaba melon, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., var. inodorus H. Jacq. Citron melon, see Watermelon, VC 0432 Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Mansf., var. edulis; syn: Citrullus edulis Pang. Kiwano, see African horned melon, VC 2670 Korean Melon
Code No. VC 2040 VC 2670 - VC 2671 -	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser. Casabanana Sicana odorifera (Vell.) Naudin Casaba or Casaba melon, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., var. inodorus H. Jacq. Citron melon, see Watermelon, VC 0432 Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Mansf., var. edulis; syn: Citrullus edulis Pang. Kiwano, see African horned melon, VC 2670
Code No. VC 2040 VC 2670 - VC 2671 -	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons Commodity Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Melons (includes all commodities in this subgroup) African horned melon Cucumis metuliferus E. Meyer ex Naudin Cantaloupe, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser. Casabanana Sicana odorifera (Vell.) Naudin Casaba or Casaba melon, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046 Cucumis melo L., var. inodorus H. Jacq. Citron melon, see Watermelon, VC 0432 Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Mansf., var. edulis; syn: Citrullus edulis Pang. Kiwano, see African horned melon, VC 2670 Korean Melon

-	Melon, Crenshaw, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	Cultivar of Cucumis melo L. subsp. melo var. inodorus H. Jacq.
-	Melon, Dudaim, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	Cucumis melo L., var. dudaim (L.) Naudin.
-	Melon, Garden, see Melon, Mango
-	Melon, Honey Ball, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	Cultivar of Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser.
-	Melon, Honeydew, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	Cultivar of Cucumis melo L., var. inodorus Naud.
-	Melon, Mango, see Vine peach
VC 2673	Melon, nara
	Acanthosicyos horridus Welw. ex Benth. & Hook. f.
-	Melon, Oriental Pickling
	Cucumis melo L. subsp. agrestis (Naudin) Pangalo var. conomon (Thunb.) Makino
-	Melon, Persian, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	Cultivar of Cucumis melo L., subsp. melo var. cantaloupo Ser.
-	Melon, Pomegranate, see Melon, Dudaim
-	Melon, Serpent, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	Cucumis melo L., var. flexuosus (L.) Naudin.
-	Melon, Snake, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	synonym of Melon, Serpent
-	Melon, Snap, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	Acanthosicyos horridus Welw. Ex Benth. & Hook. f.
-	Melon, White-skinned, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	Cultivars of Cucumis melo L. subsp. melo var. inodorus H. Jacq.
-	Melon, Winter, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	synonym of Melons, White-skinned, see there
-	Muskmelon, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	Cultivar of Cucumis melo L.; C. melo L. var. melo
-	Oriental melon, see Korean melon, see VC 2672
-	Vine peach, see Melons, except Watermelon, VC 0046
	Cucumis melo L. subsp. agrestis (Naudin) Pangalo var. chito (C. Morren) Naudin
VC 0432	Watermelon
	Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai var. lanatus
	syn: C. vulgaris Schrad.; Colocynthis citrullus (L.) O. Ktze.
Subgroup 011C	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits - Winter squashes
Code No.	Commodity
VC 2041	Fruiting vegetables, Cucurbits – Winter squashes
	(includes all commodities in this subgroup)
-	Acorn squash, see Winter squash, VC 0433
	Cucurbita pepo var. ovifera (L.) Harz

Butternut squash, see Winter squash, VC 0433 Cucurbita moschata Duchesne Calabaza, see Winter squash, VC 0433 Cucurbita pepo L. Cheese pumpkin, see Pumpkins, VC 0429 Cucurbita moschata Duchesne Chinese wax gourd, see Wax gourd, VC 0434 Cucumber, Armenian, see Melon, Serpent Cushaws, see Pumpkins, VC 0429 Mature cultivars of Cucurbita argyrosperma C. Huber Giant pumpkin, see Pumpkins, VC 0429 Cucurbita moschata Duchesne Hubbard squash, see Winter squash, VC 0433 Cucurbita maxima Duchesne VC 2680 Indian round gourd Praecitrullus fistulosus (Stocks) Pangalo Marrow (late variety), see Pumpkins, VC 0429 Pumpkin, see Pumpkins, VC 0429 Cucurbita pepo L.; C. pepo L. subsp. pepo VC 0429 **Pumpkins** Mature cultivars of Cucurbita maxima Duchesne; Cucurbita argyrosperma C. Huber; C. moschata Duchesne; C. pepo L. and C. pepo L. subsp. pepo Silver Seed gourd, see Pumpkins, VC 0429 Cucurbita argyrosperma C. Huber Spaghetti squash, see Winter squash, VC 0433 Cucurbita pepo subsp. pepo VC 0434 Wax gourd Benincasa hispida (Thunb.) Cogn.; syn: B. cerifera Savi VC 0433 Winter squash, see also Pumpkins VC 0429 Mature cultivars of Cucurbita maxima Duchesne; C. maxima subsp. maxima; C. moschata Duchesne;. C. pepo (L.); Cucurbita pepo subsp. pepo and Cucurbita pepo var. ovifera (L.) Harz

LEGUME VEGETABLES

Class A

Type 2 Vegetables Group 014 Group Letter Code VP

Group 014. Legume vegetables are derived from the succulent seed and immature pods of leguminous plants commonly known as beans and peas.

Pods are fully exposed to pesticides during the growing season, whereas the succulent seed is protected within the pod from most pesticides, except pesticides with systemic action.

The succulent forms may be consumed as whole pods or as the shelled product. Immature soya bean is usually marketed and served with pods, but pods are not edible and only succulent seeds are eaten.

This group contains 4 subgroups based on the morphology and growing practise:

14A Beans with pods

14B Peas with pods

14C Succulent beans without pods

14D Succulent peas without pods

Portion of the commodity to which the MRL applies (and which is analysed): Whole commodity, unless otherwise specified.

Portion of the comm	odity to which the MRL applies (and which is analysed): Whole commodity, unless
Group 014 Code No,	Legume vegetables Commodity
VP 0060	Legume vegetables
Subgroup 14A	Beans with pods
Code No.	Commodity
VP 2060	Beans with pods
	(includes all commodities in this subgroup)
VP 0061	Beans (Phaseolus spp.) (green pods and immature seeds)
VP 2840	Beans with pods (Vigna spp.) (green pods and immature seeds)
-	Asparagus bean (pods), see Yard-long bean, VP 0544
-	Asparagus pea (pods), see Goa bean, VP 0530
-	Black gram (green pods), see Urd bean, VP 0521
-	Bonavist bean (young pods and immature seeds), see Lablab bean, VP 0531
VP 0522	Broad bean (green pods and immature seeds)
	Vicia faba L. subsp. faba, var. faba
VP 2841	Catjang (immature pods and green seeds)
	Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. subsp cylindrical (L.) Verdc.
	syn: Dolichos catjang Burm.
-	Chinese longbean, see Yard-long bean, VP 0544
-	Cluster bean (young pods), see Guar, VP 0525
VP 0526	Common bean (pods and immature seeds)
	Phaseolus vulgaris L., several cultivars
VP 0527	Cowpea (immature pods)
	Vigna unguiculata (L) Walp. subsp. unguiculata
-	Four-angled bean (immature pods), see Goa bean, VP 0530
-	French bean (immature pods and seeds), see Common bean (pods and immature seeds), VP 0526
-	Garden bean, see see Common bean, VP 0526

VP 0530	Goa bean (immature pods)
	Psophocarpus tetragonolobus (L.) DC.
-	Green bean (green pods and immature seeds), see Common bean (pods and immature seeds), VP 0526
-	Green gram (green pods), see Mung bean, VP 0536
-	Green soya bean, see Soya bean (succulent seeds in pods), VP 0546
VP 0525	Guar (young pods)
	Cyamopsis tetragonoloba (L.) Taub;
	syn: C. psoralioides (lam.) DC.
-	Haricot bean (green pods, and immature seeds), see Common bean (pods and immature seeds), VP 0526
-	Hyacinth bean (young pods, and immature seeds), see Lablab bean (pods and immature seeds), VP 0531
VP 0532	Jack bean (young pods and immature seeds)
	Canavalia ensiformis (L.) DC.
-	Kidney bean (pods), see Common bean (pods and immature seeds), VP 0526
VP 0531	Lablab bean (pods and immature seeds)
	Lablab purpureus (L.) Sweet spp. purpureus
	syn: Dolichos lablab L.; Lablab niger Medik; L. vulgaris Savi
-	Manila bean (immature pods), see Goa bean (immature pods), VP 0530
-	Mat bean (green pods), see Moth bean (green pods), VP 0535
VP 0535	Moth bean (green pods)
	Vigna aconitifolius (Jacq.) Verde.
	syn: Phaseolus aconitifolius Jacq.; Ph. trilobus Ait;
VP 0536	Mung bean (green pods)
	Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek, var. radiata;
	syn: Phaseolus aureus Roxb;
VP 0539	Rice bean (young pods)
	Vigna umbellata (Thunb.) Ohwi eg Ohashi;
	syn: V. calcarata (Roxb.) Kurz; Phaseolus calcaratus Roxb.
-	Runner bean, see see Common bean, VP 0526
VP 0540	Scarlet runner bean (pods and seeds)
	Phaseolus coccineus L.
-	Slicing bean, see Common bean (pods and immature seeds), VP 0526
-	Snap bean (young pods), see Common bean, VP 0526
VP 0546	Soya bean (succulent seeds in pods)
	Glycine max (L.) Merr.;
VP 2842	Stink bean (pods and immature seeds)
	Parkia speciosa Hassk.
VP 0542	Sword bean (young pods and bean)
	Canavalia gladiata (Jacq.) DC.

80

REP14/PR - Appendix XI VP 0521 Urd bean (green pods) Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper var. mungo syn: Phaseolus mungo L.; Vegetables soybean (edamame), see Soya bean (succulent seeds in pods), VP 0546 Wax bean, see Common bean, VP 0526 Winged bean (immature pods), see Goa bean, VP 0530 VP 0543 Winged pea (young pods) Lotus tetragonolobus L. syn: Tetragonolobus purpureus Moench VP 0544 Yard-long bean (pods) Vigna unguiculata subsp sesquipedalis (L.) Verdc. Subgroup 14B Peas with pods Commodity Code No, VP 2061 Peas with pods (includes all commodities in this subgroup) VP 0063 Peas (pods and succulent = immature seeds) Pisum spp. Dwarf pea, see Podded pea (young pods), VP 0537 VP 0528 Garden pea (young pods) Pisum sativum L. var. sativum VP 2850 Grass pea (young pods) Lathyrus sativus L. VP 0533 Lentil (young pods) L. culinaris Medik subsp. culinaris syn: Lens esculenta Moench.; Ervum lens L. Mangetout or Mangetout pea, see Podded pea VP 0537 Pigeon pea (green pods and young green seeds) Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp. syn: C. indicus Spreng. VP 0538 Podded pea (young pods) Pisum sativum L., subsp. sativum var. macrocarpon Ser.; P. sativum L., spp. sativum, var. sacharatum Red gram (green pods and young green seeds), see Pigeon pea, VP 0537 Snow pea, see Podded pea (young pods), VP 0537 Sugar pea (young pods), see Podded pea, VP 0538 Pisum sativum L., spp. sativum, var. sacharatum Subgroup 14C Succulent beans without pods Commodity Code No, VP 2062 Succulent beans without pods (includes all commodities in this subgroup)

Beans without pods (*Phaseolus* spp.) (succulent seeds)

Beans without pods (Vigna spp.) (succulent seeds)

VP 0062

VP 2860

	Bambara groundnut (immature seeds)		
	Voandzeia subterranea (L.) Thou.		
-	Blackeyed peas (succulent seeds), see Cowpea (succulent seeds), VP 2863		
-	Bonavist bean (immature seeds), see Lablab bean, VP 2864		
VP 0523	Broad bean, shelled (succulent) (immature seeds)		
	Vicia faba L. subsp. faba, var. faba		
VP 2861	Catjang (succulent seeds)		
	Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. subsp cylindrical (L.) Verdc.		
	syn: Dolichos catjang Burm.		
VP 2862	Common bean (succulent seeds)		
	Phaseolus vulgaris L., several cultivars		
VP 2863	Cowpea (succulent seeds)		
	Vigna unguiculata (L) Walp. subsp. unguiculata		
-	Fava bean (immature beans), see Broad bean, shelled, VP 0523		
-	Flageolet (fresh beans), see Common bean (succulent seeds), VP 2862		
VP 0530	Goa bean (succulent seeds)		
	Psophocarpus tetragonolobus (L.) DC.		
-	Hyacinth bean (immature seeds), see Lablab bean (succulent seeds), VP2864		
VP 2864	Jack bean (immature seeds)		
	Canavalia ensiformis (L.) DC.		
VP 2865	Lablab bean (succulent seeds)		
	Lablab purpureus (L.) Sweet spp. purpureus		
	syn: Dolichos lablab L.; Lablab niger Medik; L. vulgaris Savi		
VP 0534	Lima bean (succulent seeds)		
	Phaseolus lunatus L.;		
	syn: Ph. limensis Macf.; Ph. inamoenus L.		
VP 0545	Lupin		
	Lupinus ssp, sweet spp., varieties and cultivars with a low alkaloid content		
-	Mat bean (fresh seeds), see Moth bean (fresh seeds), VP 2866		
VP 2866	Moth bean (fresh seeds)		
	Vigna aconitifolius (Jacq.) Verde.		
	syn: Phaseolus aconitifolius Jacq.; Ph. trilobus Ait;		
VP 0540	Scarlet runner bean (succulent seeds)		
	Phaseolus coccineus L.		
-	Sieva bean (green fresh beans), see Lima bean, VP 0534		
-	Southern pea, see Cowpea (succulent seeds), VP 2863		
VP 0541	Soya bean (succulent seeds)		
	Glycine max (L.) Merr.;		
-	Soybean, see Soya bean (succulent seeds), VP 0541		
VP 2867	Stink bean (succulent seeds)		
	Parkia speciosa Hassk.		

Red gram (young green seeds), see Pigeon pea (young green seeds), VP 2882

VP 2868 Velvet bean Mucuna Pruriens (L.) DC. Subgroup 14D Succulent peas without pods Code No, Commodity VP 2063 Succulent peas without pods (includes all commodities in this subgroup) VP 0064 Peas without pods (succulent seeds) Pisum spp. VP 0524 Chick-pea (succulent seeds) Cicer arietinum L. Garbanzos, see Chick-pea (succulent seeds), VP0524 VP 0529 Garden pea, (succulent seeds) Pisum sativum L. var. sativum Green pea, see Garden pea (succulent seeds, VP 0529) VP 2881 Lentil (succulent seeds) L. culinaris Medik subsp. culinaris syn: Lens esculenta Moench.; Ervum lens L. VP 2882 Pigeon pea (young green seeds) Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.;

syn: C. indicus Spreng.

APPENDIX XII

PROPOSED DRAFT GUIDELINES ON PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR METHODS OF ANALYSIS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF PESTICIDES RESIDUES

(At Step 3)

DEFINITIONS

Analyte: The chemical substance sought or determined in a sample.

Analyte protectant: Compounds that strongly interact with active sites in the gas chromatographic (GC) system, thus decreasing degradation, adsorption, or both of co-injected analytes.

Confirmatory method: A method that provides complementary information in agreement with a previous result. Ideally, a different subsample is analysed with a method involving a different chemical mechanism than in the first analysis, and one of the methods meets analyte identification criteria with an acceptable degree of certainty at the level of interest

False positive: A result wrongly indicating that the analyte concentration is present or exceeds a specified value

False negative: A result wrongly indicating that the analyte concentration is not present or does not exceed a specified value.

Identification: Process of unambiguously determining the chemical identity of a pesticide or metabolite in experimental or analytical situations.

Incurred residue: Residue identified in a commodity resulting from specific use of a pesticide or from consumption by an animal or environmental contamination in the field, as opposed to residues identified from laboratory fortification of samples.

Interferent: Any chemical or physical phenomenon that can interfere with or disrupt a reaction or process

Limit of detection (LOD): The true net concentration or amount of the analyte in the material to be analysed which will lead to the conclusion that the concentration or amount of the analyte in the analysed material is larger than that in the blank material (generally expressed as 3XSignal/Noise ratio or 3XStandard Deviation of blank matrix signal).

Limit of quantification (LOQ): A method performance characteristic generally expressed in terms of the signal or measurement (true) value that will produce estimates having a specified relative standard deviation (RSD), (generally expressed as 10XSignal/Noise ratio or 10XStandard Deviation of blank matrix signal).

Note: LOQ also known as limit of determination or limit of quantitation.

Lowest level of method validation (LLMV): The lowest concentration level at which the analytical method has actually been validated in the laboratory.

Matrix: The material or component sampled for pesticide residue analysis.

Matrix blank: Sample material containing no detectable concentration of the analytes of interest.

Matrix effects: An influence of one or more undetected components from the sample on the measurement of the analyte concentration or mass. These matrix effects derive from various physical and chemical processes and may be difficult or impossible to eliminate. They may be observed as increased or decreased detector responses, compared with those produced by simple solvent solutions of the analyte.

Matrix-matched standards: Standard solutions prepared in a matrix extract similar to that of the sample to be analysed which compensate for matrix effects and acceptable interference, if present.

Maximum Residue Limit/Level (MRL): Maximum concentration of a residue that is legally permitted or recognised as acceptable in, or on, a food, agricultural commodity or animal feedstuff as set by Codex or a national regulatory authority. The term tolerance used in some countries is, in most instances, synonymous with MRL (Normally expressed as mg/kg matrix weight).

Multiresidue methods (MRMs): Analytical methods which detect/quantify a number of pesticide residues simultaneously.

Quantitative method: A method capable of producing analyte concentration (determinative) results with trueness and precision that comply with established criteria.

Relative Standard Deviation (RSD): It is the standard deviation, divided by the absolute value of the arithmetic mean and expressed as a percentage. It is a measure of the precision of the method. Considering a single laboratory, the precision is expressed in terms of repeatability (RSD_r) and reproducibility (RSD_{wR}) within the laboratory.

Relative Standard Deviation of repeatability (RSD_r): The precision of measurement of an analyte, obtained using the same method on the same sample(s) in a single laboratory over a short period of time, during which differences in the materials and equipment used and/or the analysts involved will not occur.

Relative Standard Deviation of within laboratory reproducibility (RSD_{wR}): The precision of measurement of an analyte obtained using the same method on different samples, in a single laboratory, over a long period of time, during which differences in the materials and equipment used and the analysts involved will occur.

Repeatability: For an analytical method, the closeness of agreement between results of measurements on identical test material subject to the following conditions: same analyst, same instrumentation, same location, same conditions of use, repetition over a short period of time.

Reproducibility: For an analytical method, the closeness of agreement between results of measurements on identical test material where individual measurements are carried under changing conditions such as: analyst, instrumentation, location, conditions of use, time.

Screening detection limit (SDL): The screening detection limit of a qualitative screening method is the lowest concentration for which it has been demonstrated that a certain analyte can be detected (not necessarily meeting unequivocal identification criteria) in at least 95% of the samples (i.e. a false negative rate of 5% is accepted).

Screening method: A method that meets predetermined criteria to detect the presence of an analyte or class of analytes at or above the minimum concentration of interest.

Selectivity: Selectivity refers to the extent to which the method can be used to determine particular analytes in mixtures or matrices without interferences from other components of similar behaviour. Some regulatory authorities use the term specificity to refer to selectivity.

Sensitivity: Quotient of the change in the indication of a measuring system and the corresponding change in the value of the quantity being measured.

Single Residue Method (SRM): An analytical method specific for the analysis of a single pesticide and related metabolite only.

Specificity: The ability of the detector to provide signals that effectively identify the analyte. (GC-MS with EI is a fairly nonselective determination system capable of high specificity. High resolution mass MS and MSⁿ can be both highly selective and highly specific).

SCOPE

- 1. The purpose of this guidance document is to describe the performance criteria of methods to analyse pesticide residues in food and feed. It addresses characteristics/parameters that analytical methods should have in order to provide internationally acceptable confidence in the method to produce accurate results to evaluate pesticide residues for either domestic programmes or in international trade.
- 2. This document is applicable to single, multiresidue, or multiclass multiresidue methods (MRMs) to analyse target compounds in food commodities, including parent pesticide residues and/or their metabolites and degradates in food and feed commodities, as per the definition of residue.
- 3. In this document, a MRM is considered to be a method which can determine three or more analytes in the same chemical class or in more than one class of pesticide. This guidance covers qualitative (screening, identification, confirmation) and quantitative analyses, each having different method performance requirements. It should be noted that a validated MRM may be used to determine analytes only where performance characteristics for quantitative analysis have been fully validated, but should be limited to qualitative purposes for analytes lacking full validation.

PRINCIPLES FOR THE SELECTION AND VALIDATION OF METHODS

Identification of Methods Requirements

- 4. The intended purpose of the method is usually defined in a statement of *scope* which defines the analytes (residues), the matrices and the concentration range to which the method applies. It also states whether the method is intended for screening, quantification, identification, and/or confirmation of analytes.
- 5. The MRL is expressed in terms of the "definition of residue", which may include the parent compound, a major metabolite, a sum of parent and/or metabolites, or a reaction product formed from the residues during analysis. Residue analytical methods should be able to measure all components of the residue definition.
- 6. Selection of methods is discussed in CAC/GL 40-1993, Guidelines on Good Laboratory Practice in Pesticide Residue Analysis.

Implementing other Codex Alimentarius Commission Guidelines

- 7. The Codex Alimentarius Commission has issued a guideline for laboratories involved in the import/export testing of foods which recommends that such laboratories should:
 - (a) Use internal quality control procedures, such as those described in the "Harmonised Guidelines for Internal Quality Control in Analytical Chemistry Laboratories";
 - (b) Participate in appropriate proficiency testing schemes for food analysis which confirm to the requirement laid out in "the International Harmonised Protocol for Proficiency Testing of (Chemical) Analytical Laboratories"
 - (c) Comply with the general criteria for testing laboratories provided in latest version of ISO/IEC 17025 "General requirements for the competence of calibration and testing laboratories"; and
 - (d) Whenever available, use methods which have been validated according to principles provided by the Codex Alimentarius Commission.

8. The methods should be used within the internationally accepted, approved, and recognised laboratory Quality Management System, which is consistent with the principles in the document for quality assessment (QA) and quality control (QC) referenced above. The on-going performance must be monitored through the Quality Management System in place in the laboratory.

Method Validation and Fitness for Purpose

9. The process of method validation is intended to demonstrate that a method is *fit-for-purpose*. This means that in the hands of a properly trained analyst using the specified equipment and materials, and following the procedures described in the method, reliable and consistent results can be obtained within specified statistical limits for the analysis of a sample. The validation should specify the analyte (identity and concentration), account for the matrix effect, and provide a statistical characterisation of the recovery results. When the method protocol is followed, using suitable analytical standards, results within the established performance limits should be obtained on the same or equivalent sample material by a trained analyst in any experienced residue control laboratory.

SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS TO BE CHARACTERISED AND DEFINED FOR ANALYTICAL METHODS

10. The general requirements for the individual performance characteristics for a method are summarised below from IUPAC's Harmonised guidelines for single-laboratory validation of methods of analysis.

A. APPLICABILITY

- 11. After validation, the documentation should provide, in addition to any performance specification, the following information:
 - identity of the analyte, including speciation where appropriate;
 - concentration range covered by method validation;
 - specification of the types of matrices of the test materials covered by validation (e.g., "crops and/or crop groups"); (for representative commodities which may be used in method validation, see Sanco 12571-2013, and CAC/GL 40)
 - protocol, describing the equipment, reagents, procedure (including permissible variation in specified instructions, e.g., "heat at 100 ± 5°C for 30 ± 5 min"), calibration and quality procedures, and any special safety precautions required; and
 - intended application and critical uncertainty requirements (see CAC/GL 59).

B. SELECTIVITY

12. Ideally, selectivity should be evaluated for analytes of interest in contrast to any potential interferents likely to be present. It is particularly important to check interferents that are likely, on principal chemicals, to respond to the test. It may be impracticable to consider or test every potential interferent; where that is the case, it is recommended that the likely worst cases are checked. As a general principle, selectivity should be sufficiently good for any interference to be ignored. In many types of analysis, selectivity is essentially a qualitative assessment based on the significance or otherwise of suitable tests for interferents.

C. CALIBRATION AND LINEARITY

- 13. With the exception of gross errors in preparation of calibration materials, calibration errors are usually (but not always) a minor component of the total uncertainty budget, and can usually be safely subsumed into other categories. For example, random errors resulting from calibration are part of the run bias, which is assessed as a whole, while systematic errors from that source may appear as laboratory bias, likewise assessed as a whole. Nevertheless, there are some characteristics of calibration that are useful to know at the outset of method validation, because they affect the strategy for the optimal development of the procedure. In this class are such questions as whether the calibration function plausibly (a) is linear, (b) passes through the origin, and (c) is unaffected by the matrix of the test material. The procedures described here relate to calibration studies in validation, which are necessarily more exacting than calibration undertaken during routine analysis. For example, once it is established at validation that a calibration function is linear and passes through the origin, a much simpler calibration strategy can be used for routine use (with at least a three-point repeated design is recommended). Errors from this simpler calibration strategy will normally be subsumed into higher-level errors for validation purposes.
- 14. In general, the use of weighted-linear regression is recommended rather than linear regression.

Linearity and intercept

- 15. Linearity can be tested informally by examination of a plot of residuals produced by linear regression of the responses on the concentrations in an appropriate calibration set. Any curved pattern suggests lack of fit due to a nonlinear calibration function. Despite its current widespread use as an indication of quality of fit, the correlation coefficient is misleading and inappropriate as a test for linearity and should not be used.
- 16. Replicate measurements are needed to provide an estimate of pure error if there is no independent estimate. In the absence of specific guidance, the following should apply (for univariate linear calibration):
 - · there should be three or more calibration standards;
 - · the calibration standards should be evenly spaced over the concentration range of interest;

 the range should bracket LLMV-150% or 50-150% of the concentration likely to be encountered, depending on which of these is the more suitable; and

- the calibration standards should be run at least in duplicate, and preferably triplicate or more, in a random order.
- 17. The value of the intercept should be as close to zero [e.g. less than 20% of the lowest calibration standard] as possible to avoid errors in calculating sample concentrations at low residue levels.

Test for general matrix effect

18. A test for general matrix effect can be made by applying the method of analyte additions (also called "standard additions") to a test solution derived from a typical test material. The test should be done in a way that provides the same final dilution as the normal procedure produces, and the range of additions should encompass the same range as the procedure-defined calibration validation. If the calibration is linear, the slopes of the usual calibration function and the analyte additions plot can be compared for significant difference. A lack of significance means that there is no observable general matrix effect. If the calibration is not linear, an alternative method is needed for a significance test, but a visual comparison at equal concentrations will usually suffice. A lack of significance in this test will often mean that the matrix variation effect (Section I) will also be absent.

D. TRUENESS AND RECOVERY

- 19. Trueness is the closeness of agreement between a test result and the accepted reference value of the property being measured. Trueness is stated quantitatively in terms of "bias", with smaller bias indicating greater trueness. Bias is typically determined by comparing the response of the method to a reference material with the known value assigned to the material. Significance testing is recommended. Where the uncertainty in the reference value is not negligible, evaluation of the results should consider the reference material uncertainty as well as the statistical variability.
- 20. Recovery refers to the proportion of analyte remaining at the point of the final determination, following its addition (usually to a blank sample) immediately prior to extraction, usually expressed as a percentage. Routine recovery refers to the determination(s) performed with the analysis of each batch of samples.

E. PRECISION

- 21. Precision is the closeness of agreement between independent test results obtained under stipulated conditions. It is usually specified in terms of standard deviation or relative standard deviation. The distinction between precision and bias is fundamental, but depends on the level at which the analytical system is viewed. Thus, from the viewpoint of a single determination, any deviation affecting the calibration for the run would be seen as a bias. From the point of view of the analyst reviewing a year's work, the run bias will be different every day and will act like a random variable with an associated precision. The stipulated conditions for the estimation of precision take account of this change in viewpoint.
- 22. For single-laboratory validation, two sets of conditions are relevant: (a) precision under repeatability conditions, and (b) precision under run-to-run conditions. It is important that the precision values are representative of likely test conditions. First, the variation in conditions among the runs must represent what would normally happen in the laboratory under routine use of the method. For instance, variations in reagent batches, analysts, and instruments should be representative. Second, the test material used should be typical, in terms of matrix and (ideally) the state of comminution, of the materials likely to be encountered in routine application.
- 23. Precision very often varies with analyte concentration. Typical assumptions are (i) that there is no change in precision with analyte level, or (ii) that the standard deviation is proportional to, or linearly dependent on, analyte level. In both cases, the assumption needs to be checked if the analyte level is expected to vary substantially (that is, by more than about 30% from its central value).
- 24. Precision data may be obtained for a wide variety of different sets of conditions in addition to the minimum of repeatability and between-run conditions indicated here, and it may be appropriate to acquire additional information. For example, it may be useful to the assessment of results, or for improving the measurement, to have an indication of separate operator and run effects, between or within-day effects or the precision attainable using one or several instruments. A range of different designs and statistical analysis techniques is available, and careful experimental design is strongly recommended in all such studies.

F. RANGE

25. The validated range is the interval of analyte concentration within which the method can be regarded as validated. It is important to realise that this range is not necessarily identical to the useful range of the calibration. While the calibration may cover a wide concentration range, the remainder of the validation (and usually much more important part in terms of uncertainty) will cover a more restricted range. In practice, most methods will be validated at least two levels of concentration (e.g. LOQ and 10X LOQ) and should cover existing/target MRLs. The validated range may be taken as a reasonable extrapolation from these points on the concentration scale.

G. LIMIT OF DETECTION (LOD)

26. In broad terms, the LOD is the smallest amount or concentration of analyte in the test sample that can be reliably distinguished from matrix blank. For analytical systems where the validation range does not include or approach it, the LOD does not need to be part of a validation.

- 27. Despite the apparent simplicity of the idea, the whole subject of the LOD is beset with problems outlined below:
 - There are several possible conceptual approaches to the subject, each providing a somewhat different definition of the limit. Attempts to clarify the issue seem ever more confusing.
 - Although each of these approaches depends of an estimate of precision at or near zero concentration, it is not clear
 whether this should be taken as implying repeatability conditions or some other condition for the estimation.
 - Unless an inordinate amount of data is collected, estimates of LOD will be subject to quite large random variation.
 - Estimates of LOD are often biased on the low side owing to operational factors.
 - Statistical inferences relating to the LOD depend on the assumption of normality, which is at least questionable at low concentrations.
- 28. Techniques used to determine LOD include: 3XSignal/Noiseratio, or 3X standard deviation of matrix blank signal. It is important that the method LOD and the technique used be stated in the method validation report.

H. LIMIT OF QUANTIFICATION (LOQ)

- 29. It is useful to state a concentration below which the analytical method cannot quantify with an acceptable confidence level of precision and trueness. Sometimes that precision is arbitrarily defined as 10% RSD, sometimes the limit is equally arbitrarily taken as a fixed multiple (typically three times) of the LOD. Hence, the use of this type of limit in validation is not recommended here.
- 30. It is preferable to try to express the uncertainty of measurement as a function of concentration and compare that function with a criterion of fitness for purpose agreed between the laboratory and the client or end-user of the data.
- 31. Techniques used to determine LOQ include: 10XSignal/Noise ratio, or 10X standard deviation of matrix blank signal. It is important that the method LOQ and the technique used be stated in the method validation report.

I. SENSITIVITY

32. The sensitivity of a method is the gradient of the calibration function. As this is usually arbitrary, depending on instrumental settings, it is not useful in validation. (It may be useful in quality assurance procedures, however, to test whether an instrument is performing to a consistent and satisfactory standard).

J. RUGGEDNESS

- 33. The ruggedness of an analytical method is the resistance to change in the results produced by an analytical method when minor deviations are made from the experimental conditions described in the procedure. The limits for experimental parameters should be prescribed in the method protocol (although this has not always been done in the past), and such permissible deviations, separately or in any combination, should produce no meaningful change in the results produced. (A "meaningful change" here would imply that the method could not operate within the agreed limits of uncertainty defining fitness for purpose.) The aspects of the method that are likely to affect results should be identified, and their influence on method performance evaluated by using ruggedness tests.
- 34. Examples of the factors that a ruggedness test could address are: changes in the instrument, operator, or brand of reagent; concentration of a reagent; pH of a solution; temperature of a reaction; time allowed for completion of a process, etc.

K. FITNESS FOR PURPOSE

35. Fitness for purpose is the extent to which the performance of a method matches the criteria, agreed between the analyst and the end-user of the data that describe the end-user's needs. For instance, the errors in data should not be of a magnitude that would give rise to incorrect decisions more often than a defined small probability, but they should not be so small that the end-user is involved in unnecessary expenditure. Fitness-for-purpose criteria could be based on some of the characteristics described here, but ultimately will be expressed in terms of acceptable combined uncertainty.

L. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

36. The formal approach to measurement uncertainty estimation calculates a measurement uncertainty estimate from an equation, or mathematical model. The procedures described as method validation are designed to ensure that the equation used to estimate the result, with due allowance for random errors of all kinds, is a valid expression embodying all recognised and significant effects upon the result. Guidelines on estimation of uncertainty of results are provided in CAC/GL 59.

PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF METHODS

PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF SCREENING METHODS

37. Screening methods are usually either qualitative or semi-quantitative in nature, with the objective being to discriminate samples which contain no detectable residues above a threshold value ("negatives") from those which may contain residues above that value ("potentially positives"). The validation strategy therefore focuses on establishing a threshold concentration above which results are "potentially positive", determining a statistically based rate for both "false positive" and "false negative" results, testing for interferences and establishing appropriate conditions of use. Screening methods should be checked for their selectivity and sensitivity. They can be based on test kits and their selectivity may be increased when a detection system is used after chromatographic or other separation techniques. Another approach is to use screening methods that involve automated Mass Spectrometry-based detection systems, which are very selective. These methods offer laboratories a cost-effective means to extend their analytical scope to analytes which potentially have a low probability of being present in the samples. Analytes that occur more frequently should continue to be sought and measured using validated quantitative multiresidue methods.

- 38. The selectivity of screening methods should be adequate and must be able to distinguish the presence of the target compound, or group of compounds, from other substances which may be present in the sample material. It is normally not as great as that of a quantitative method. Screening methods often take advantage of a structural feature common to a group or class of compounds and may be based on immunoassays, or chromogenic responses which may not unambiguously identify a compound. Mass spectrometric techniques also are used for screening purposes. The selectivity of a screening method may be increased when it is used as a detection system after chromatographic or other separation technique.
- 39. The validation of a screening method based on a screening detection limit (SDL) can be focused on detectability. For each commodity group, a basic validation should involve analysis of at least 20 samples spiked at the estimated SDL. The samples selected should represent multiple commodity categories from the commodity group, with a minimum of two different samples for each commodity category and should be representative for the intended scope of the laboratory. Additional validation data can be collected from on-going AQC-data and method performance verification during routine analysis. The SDL of the qualitative screening method is the lowest level at which an analyte has been detected (not necessarily meeting the MS-identification criteria) in at least 95% of the samples (i.e. an acceptable false-negative rate of 5%).

PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF QUANTITATIVE METHODS

- 40. Selectivity is of particular importance in defining the performance characteristics of quantitative methods used in regulatory control programmes for pesticide residues in foods. The method needs to provide a signal response which is free from interferences from other analytes and matrix compounds which may be present in a sample or sample extract. Chromatographic analyses based on peaks which are not fully resolved provide less reliable quantitative results. Use of element-specific detectors or different detection wavelengths or mass-selective detectors which are better able to distinguish a particular compound or structure, combined with chromatographic separation, improves the selectivity of quantitative methods.
- 41. The requirement to recover a range of different pesticide residues in one extraction increases the potential for compromised selectivity in MRMs compared to single analyte methods. Using less selective extraction and clean-up procedures is likely to result in greater co-extracted matrix material in the final extract. The nature and quantities of such co-extracted material can vary markedly depending on the history of the individual sample. Particular care is therefore required when setting criteria for the precision and trueness of MRMs to ensure that quantification will not be affected by interference from other compounds present in the sample matrix.
- 42. In addition to the selectivity of a method, the ability of the method to provide a quantitative result which is reliable, i.e. accuracy must be demonstrated. This consists of two factors:
 - (a) The closeness of the result to the true or accepted value for the concentration of analyte present in the sample material, i.e. trueness (bias) of the result and
 - (b) The ability of the method to provide consistent results on replicate determinations, expressed in terms of precision (repeatability and reproducibility).
- 43. Acceptability criteria for a quantitative analytical method should be demonstrated at both initial and extended validation stages, as being capable of providing acceptable mean recovery values at each spiking level. A minimum of 5 replicates is required (to check the recovery and precision) at the targeted LOQ or reporting limit of the method, and at least one other higher level, for example, 2-10x the targeted LOQ or the MRL. Where the residue definition includes two or more analytes, then wherever possible, the method should be validated for all analytes included in the residue definition. Acceptable mean recoveries and associated repeatability are presented in Table 1). The method-LOQ is the lowest spike level of the validation meeting these method performance acceptability criteria. In certain cases and typically with multiresidue methods, recoveries outside this range may be accepted. Exceptionally, where recovery is low but consistent (i.e. demonstrating good precision) and the basis for this is well established (e.g. due to analyte distribution in a partitioning step), a mean recovery below 70% may be acceptable. However, a more accurate method should be used, if practicable. Within-laboratory reproducibility (RSD_{wR}), which may be determined from on-going quality control data in routine analyses, should be ≤ 20%, excluding any contribution due to sample heterogeneity.

Concentration level	Range of mean recovery (%)	Precision, RSD (%)
> 0.01 mg/kg ≤ 0.1 mg/kg	70 - 120	20
> 0.1 mg/kg ≤ 1.0 mg/kg	70 - 110	15
> 1 mg/kg	70 - 110	10

Table 1: Mean recovery and precision criteria for plant / animal matrices

- 44. The accuracy of a method may be determined by analysis of a certified reference material, by comparison of results with those obtained using another method for which the performance parameters have previously been rigorously established (typically, a collaboratively studied method) or by determination of the recovery of analyte fortified into known blank sample material. The latter determination of accuracy as recovery is frequently used in validation of methods for pesticide residues in foods, as both certified reference materials and methods validated by an inter-laboratory trial are often not available. The accuracy of a measurement is closely related to random error (repeatability error or within-lab reproducibility error), systematic error (analytical method bias) and analyte recovery (measured as percent recovery). Recovery should be assessed over concentrations which cover the analytical range of the method. In interpreting recoveries, it is necessary to recognise that analyte added to a sample may not behave in the same manner as the same biologically incurred analyte (pesticide residue). In many situations, the amount of an incurred residue that is extracted (the yield or recovered fraction) is less than the total incurred residues present. This may be due to losses during extraction, intra-cellular binding of residues, the presence of conjugates, or other factors that are not fully represented by recovery experiments conducted with analyte-fortified blank matrices. At relatively high concentrations, analytical recoveries are expected to approach one hundred percent. At lower concentrations, particularly with methods involving extensive extraction, isolation, and concentration steps, recoveries may be lower. In general, residues data do not have to be adjusted for recovery when the mean recovery is within the range of 70-120%. Exceptionally, where recovery is low but consistent (i.e. demonstrating good precision) and the basis for this is well established (e.g. due to analyte distribution in a partitioning step), a mean recovery below 70% may be acceptable. However, a more accurate method should be used, if practicable. If residues data are adjusted for recovery, then this must be stated.
- 45. Recovery corrections should be made consistent with the guidance provided by the Codex Alimentarius Commission. It is of over-riding importance that all data, when reported, should (a) be clearly identified as to whether or not a recovery correction has been applied and (b) if a recovery correction has been applied, the amount of the correction and the method by which it was derived should be included with the report. This will promote direct comparability of data sets. Correction functions should be established on the basis of appropriate statistical considerations, documented, archived and available to the client.
- 46. Quantitative methods are usually based on a comparison of the response from an analyte in a sample with the response from standards of the analyte in solution or in a matrix at known concentrations. In method development and validation, the calibration curve should first be determined to assess the detector response to standards over the range of concentrations of analytical interest. Possible matrix enhancement or suppression effects of sample co-extractives, on the chromatography system or detection system response should be addressed both in Gas Chromatography (GC) and Liquid Chromatography (LC) based methods. When appropriate, the detection system may be calibrated using standard solutions in a blank matrix similar to that of the sample to be analysed (matrix-matched standards) which compensate for matrix effects and acceptable interference, if present. An alternative practical approach to compensate for matrix effects in GC-analyses is the use of analyte protectants that are added to both the sample extracts and the calibration solutions in order to equalise the response of pesticides in solvent calibrants and sample extracts. When no suitable blank commodity is available for the preparation of the matrix-matched standards, the most effective way to compensate for matrix effects is the use of standard addition or use of isotopically labelled internal standards. The standard addition approach may compensate for matrix effects and also recovery of the analytical procedure but does not overcome chromatographic interferences. Using a standard addiction approach, it is essential to assure a linear response in the concentration range investigated for achieving accurate results.

PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF METHODS FOR ANALYTE IDENTIFICATION

- 47. The development of a separate confirmatory method is not generally needed when the original method is based on mass spectrometry or another highly specific technique. On a case-by-case basis, additional confirmation may be necessary, for example when the first method is an immunoassay or when selective detectors, which offer only limited specificity, are coupled with GC or LC techniques as their use, even in combination with different polarity columns, does not provide unambiguous identification.
- 48. Selectivity is the primary consideration for methods of identification. The method should be sufficiently selective to provide unambiguous identification. Mass spectrometry coupled to a chromatographic separation method is a very powerful combination for identification of an analyte in the sample extract. These are often the techniques on which confirmatory methods are based. It simultaneously provides retention time (RT), ion/charge ratios and relative abundance (intensity) data.

49. The following chromatography-MS/MS identification criteria should be met for regulatory purposes: 1.) the retention time of the detected analyte peak must be within 5 percent of the contemporaneously analysed analyte reference standard peak; 2.) the different ion transitions for the analyte should co-elute with similar peak shapes; 3.) the ratios of peak areas for each ion transition should match the ratios of the reference standard(s) within the criteria given in Table 2; 4.) reagent and matrix blanks should be shown to be free of carry-over, contamination, and/or interferences above an appreciable level; 5.) signal / noise ratios for measured peaks should be > 3; 6.) the signal should exceed the threshold intensity level as compared to the signal of a suitable reference standard or control encompassing the level of interest; and 7.) the ion transitions chosen for identification purposes should make chemical / structural sense.

Table 2: Recommended maximum	(default) tolerances f	or ion	ratios usir	a different MS techniques

lon ratio (least/most intense ion)	Maximum tolerance (relative) for GC-EI-MS	Maximum tolerance (relative) for LC-MS ⁿ , LC-MS, GC-MS ⁿ , GC-CI-MS
0.50-1.00	± 10%	± 30%
0.20-0.50	± 15%	± 30%
0.10-0.20	± 20%	± 30%
< 0.10	± 50%	± 30%

- 50. The relative abundances (intensities) or ratios of selective ions (full-scan MS or SIM) or product ions (MS/MS), expressed as a ratio relative to the most intense (product) ion, should correspond to those of the calibration standard at comparable concentrations and measured under the same conditions. Matrix-matched calibration solutions may need to be used. Table 2 indicates the recommended maximum tolerances for ion ratios. The tolerances given in Table 2 should not be taken as absolute limits and automated data interpretation based on the criteria without complementary interpretation by an experienced analyst is not recommended.
- 51. Methods based on high resolution mass spectrometry are considered to give a higher reliability through more precise measurement of mass than can be obtained using low resolution mass spectrometry techniques. Different types and models of mass spectrometric detectors provide different degrees of selectivity, which relates to the confidence in identification. The requirements for identification are given in Table 3. They should be regarded as guidance criteria for identification, not as absolute criteria to prove presence or absence of a compound.

Table 3: Identification requirements for different types of mass spectrometers

MS mode	Single MS (unit mass resolution)	Single MS (high resolution/high mass accuracy)	MS/MS
Typical systems (examples)	Quadrupole, ion trap, time-of-flight (TOF)	TOF, Orbitrap, FTMS, magnetic sector	Triple quadrupole ion trap, hybride MS (e.g. Q-TOF, Q-trap)
Acquisition	Full scan, Limited m/z range, Selected ion monitoring (SIM)	Full scan, Limited m/z range, Selected ion monitoring (SIM)	Selected/multiple reaction monitoring (SRM/MRM), full scan product-ion spectra
Requirements for identification	≥ 3 diagnostic ions, (preferably including quasi molecular ion)	≥ 2 diagnostic ions (preferably including the quasi molecular ion). Mass accuracy < 5 ppm. At least one fragment ion.	≥ 2 product ions

52. Additional confidence is provided with the use of high resolution mass spectrometers (or detection using mass spectrometers with high resolving power, typically > 20,000 FWHM) which offer more precise identification of the mass and may be used to predict the elemental composition of each fragment. In addition, at least one ion ratio must also be measured to eliminate the potential for fragments of the same mass arising from isobaric compounds of similar structure.

53. The minimum acceptable retention time for the analyte(s) under examination should be at least twice the retention time corresponding to the void volume of the column. The retention time of the analyte in the extract should correspond to that of the calibration standard (may need to be matrix-matched) with a tolerance of ±5 percent of retention time for gas chromatography and liquid chromatography. Greater retention time deviations are acceptable where both retention time and peak shape of the analyte match with those of a suitable IL-IS, or evidence from validation studies is available.

PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF CONFIRMATORY METHODS

- 54. For enforcement actions, confirmation that analytes are present in the samples must be made by a second analysis, and one of the confirmatory methods should involve analyte identification, typically using MS techniques. Moreover, the confirmatory methods should use independent approaches based on different chemical mechanisms, such as liquid and gas chromatography (LC and GC) separations. In some situations, confirmation by independent laboratories may be appropriate.
- 55. Whenever chromatographic techniques are used in confirmation, proper settings of the retention time windows are pivotal. Care should be taken that the instrument is adjusted correctly before starting the analysis; a system suitability test should be performed prior to each batch of analysis. Retention time data base should be adjusted for the current conditions. In phase 1, tolerance intervals of 1.5 to 3% of the absolute retention time may be applied for capillary GC depending on the peak shape. For confirmation of the retention time, the absolute tolerance intervals will increase at higher retention time. The tolerance interval should be less than 1 sec for an RT less than 500 sec. For retention times between 500 and 5000 sec. an interval of 0.2% RRT is recommended. For higher retention times 6 sec. is a suitable interval. Additional guidance is given in CAC/GL 56-2005, Guidelines on the Use of Mass Spectrometry for Identification, Confirmation and Quantitative Determination of Residues.

Table 4: Examples of detection methods suitable for the confirmatory analysis of substances

Detection method	Criterion
LC or GC and Mass Spectrometry	If sufficient number of fragment ions are monitored
LC-DAD	If the UV spectrum is characteristic
LC – fluorescence	In combination with other techniques
2-D TLC – (spectrophotometry)	In combination with other techniques
GC-ECD, NPD, FPD	Only if combined with two or more separation techniques
Derivatisation	If it was not the first choice method
LC-immunogram	In combination with other techniques
LC-UV/VIS (single wavelength)	In combination with other techniques

Other chromatographic systems (applying stationary and/or mobile phases of different selectivity) or other techniques

References:

1	CAC/GL 64-1995	Protocol for the Design, Conduct and Interpretation of Method Performance Studies
2	CAC/GL 40-1993 and its revisions	Guidelines on Good Laboratory Practice in Pesticide Residue Analysis
3	CAC/GL 56-2005	Guidelines on the Use of Mass Spectrometry (MS) for Identification, Confirmation and Quantative Determination of Residues
4	CAC/GL 59-2006	Guidelines on Estimation of Uncertainty of Results
5	CAC/GL 72-2009	Guideline on Analytical Terminology
6	CAC/GL 49-2003	Harmonised IUPAC Guidelines for Single-Laboratory Validation of Methods of Analysis © 2002 IUPAC, Pure and Applied Chemistry 74, 835–855
7	CAC/GL 27-1997	Guidelines for the Assessment of the Competence of Testing Laboratories Involved in the Import and Export Control of Food
8	CAC/GL 65-1997	Harmonised Guidelines for Internal Quality Control in Analytical Chemistry Laboratories, Pure & Appl. Chem., 67(1995) 649-666
9	CAC/GL 71-2009	Guidelines for the Design and Implementation of National Regulatory Food Safety Assurance Programme associated with the Use of Veterinary Drugs in Food Producing Animals
10	CAC/GL 37-2001	Harmonised IUPAC Guidelines for the use of Recovery Information in Analytical Measurement. Pure Appl. Chem., Vol. 71, pp. 337 – 348, 1999
11	SANCO/12571/2013	SANCO Analytical quality control and validation procedures for pesticide residues analysis in food and feed update of SANCO/12495/2011
		Guidance Document on Pesticide Residue Analytical Methods
12	ENV/JM/MOMO(2007)17	OECD Environment, Health and safety Publications, Series on Testing and Assessment, No. 72, Series on Pesticides No. 39
13	ENV/JM/MONO(2009)30	OECD Guidance Document on the Definition of Residue
14	SANCO/825/00 rev 8.0 (16/11/2010)	"Guidance document on pesticide residue analytical methods".
15	IUPAC Selectivity in Analytical Chemistry	Selectivity in analytical chemistry, International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry Vol. 73 No. 8, pp. 1381-1386, 2001
16	IUPAC Glossary of Terms Relating to Pesticides	Glossary of Terms Relating to Pesticides. International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry Vol. 68, No.5, pp. 1167-1193, 1996
17	<u>ISO VIM</u>	ISO International Vocabulary of Basic and General Terms in Metrology (VIM)
18	S.J. Lehotay et. al.	Identification and Confirmation of Chemical Residues in Food by Chromatography – Mass Spectrometry and Other Techniques. Trends in Analytical Chemistry Vol 27, No. 11, pp.1070-1090, 2008

APPENDIX XIII

RISK ANALYSIS PRINCIPLES APPLIED BY THE CODEX COMMITTEE ON PESTICIDE RESIDUES (For Adoption)

1. SCOPE

1. This document addresses the respective applications of risk analysis principles by the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (CCPR) as the risk management body and the Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) as the risk assessment body and facilitates the uniform application of the Working Principles for Risk Analysis for Application in the Framework of the Codex Alimentarius. This document should be read in conjunction with the Working Principles for Risk Analysis for Application in the Framework of the Codex Alimentarius.

2. GENERAL ASPECTS

SUMMARY OF THE MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMIT (MRL)-SETTING PROCESS

- 2. In addressing pesticide residue issues in Codex, providing advice and taking decisions on risk management is the responsibility of the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) and CCPR, while conducting risk assessment is the responsibility of JMPR.
- 3. The MRL-setting process begins with a member or observers nominating a pesticide for evaluation by the JMPR. In considering the nomination, the CCPR, in consultation with the JMPR Joint Secretaries may then prioritise and schedule the pesticide for evaluation.
- 4. The WHO Core Assessment Group considers available data encompassing a wide range of toxicological endpoints with the aim of estimating an acceptable daily intake (ADI) and an acute reference dose (ARfD) where necessary and if sufficient data are available.
- 5. The FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment considers data on registered use patterns, fate of residues, animal and plant metabolism, analytical methodology and residue data derived from supervised residue trials in order to propose residue definitions and maximum residues levels for the pesticide in food and feed.
- 6. The JMPR risk assessment includes the estimation of both short-term (single day) and long-term dietary exposures and their comparison with the relevant toxicological benchmarks. MRLs in or on food and animal feeds are based on Good Agricultural Practice (GAP) information, taking into consideration information on dietary intakes, and foods derived from commodities that comply with the respective MRLs are intended to be toxicologically acceptable.
- 7. The CCPR considers the recommendations of JMPR in the light of information provided in the relevant JMPR reports and monographs. MRL recommendations accepted by the CCPR are submitted to the CAC for adoption as Codex MRLs (CXLs). An active periodic review program complements this process.
- 8. CCPR and JMPR should ensure that their respective contributions to the risk analysis process result in outputs that are scientifically based, fully transparent, thoroughly documented and available in a timely manner to members¹.

3. RISK ASSESSMENT POLICY

- 9. CCPR shall consider the following when preparing its priority list of pesticides for JMPR evaluation:
 - a. CCPR's Terms of Reference;
 - b. JMPR's Terms of Reference;
 - c. The CAC's Strategic Plan;
 - Nomination requirements and criteria for the prioritisation and scheduling of pesticides.
- 10. When referring pesticides to JMPR, the CCPR shall provide background information and clearly specify the reasons for the request when pesticides are nominated for evaluation.
- 11. When referring pesticides to JMPR, the CCPR may also refer a range of risk management options, with a view to obtaining JMPR's guidance on the attendant risks and the likely risk reductions associated with each option.
- 12. CCPR shall request JMPR to review any risk assessment policies, methods and guidelines being considered by CCPR for assessing MRL for pesticides.
- 13. When establishing its standards, CCPR shall clearly state when it applies any considerations based on other legitimate factors² relevant for the health protection of consumers and for the promotion of fair practices in food trade, in addition to JMPR's risk assessment and recommended MRLs and specify its reasons for doing so.

Submission and evaluation of pesticide residues data for the estimation of maximum residue levels in food and feed, FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper, 197, 2009, ISBN 978-92-5-106436-8.

14. JMPR applies a transparent, science based risk assessment process for establishing an ADI and ARfD, where appropriate.

- 15. JMPR, in consultation with CCPR, must continue to explore developing minimum data requirements necessary for JMPR to perform risk assessments.
- 16. The JMPR Secretariat shall consider whether these minimum data requirements have been met when preparing the provisional agenda for meetings of JMPR.

3.1 MRLs for Specific Groups

3.1.1 MRLs for Foods of Animal Origin

- 17. Farm animal metabolism studies are required whenever a pesticide is applied directly to livestock, to animal premises or housing, or when significant residues remain in crops or commodities used in animal feed, (e.g. forage crops, plant parts that could be used in animal feeds, by products or co-products of industrial productions). The results of farm animal feeding studies and residues in animal feed serve also as a primary source of information for estimating maximum residue levels in foods of animal origin.
- 18. If no adequate studies are available, no MRLs will be established for foods of animal origin. MRLs for feeds (and the primary crops) should not be established in the absence of animal transfer data. Where the exposure of livestock to pesticides through feeds leads to residues at the limit of quantitation (LOQ), MRLs at the LOQ must be established for foods of animal origin. MRLs should be established for groups of foods of animal origin, for example, edible offal (mammalian), if animals are exposed to pesticide residues via animal feed, and for specific foods, for example, cattle kidney, in cases where animals are directly treated with a pesticide.
- 19. If the recommended maximum residues levels or limits for foods of animal origin resulting from direct treatment of the animal and residues from animal feed do not agree, the higher recommendation will prevail regardless of whether they are recommended by JMPR or the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA).

3.1.2 MRL for fat-soluble pesticides

- 20. If a pesticide is determined as "fat soluble" after consideration of the following factors, it is indicated with the text "The residues are fat soluble" in the residue definition:
 - a. When available, information concerning the partitioning of the residue (as defined) in muscle versus fat or residue in whole milk versus milk fat in the metabolism studies and livestock feeding studies determines the designation of a residue as being "fat soluble";
 - b. In the absence of useful information on the distribution of residues in muscle and fat or in milk or milk fat, residues with Octanol-Water Partition Coefficient (log Pow) > 3 are likely to be "fat soluble".
- 21. For milk and milk products, two maximum residue levels would be estimated for fat-soluble pesticides, if the data permits; one maximum residue level for whole milk and one for milk fat. When needed, MRLs for milk products can then be calculated from the two values, by taking into account the fat content and the contribution from the non-fat fraction
- 22. For regulation and monitoring of residues of fat-soluble pesticides in milk, where CXLs have been established for both whole milk and milk fat, whole milk should be analysed and the result should be compared with the CXLs for whole milk.

3.1.3 MRLs for spices

23. MRLs for spices can be established on the basis of monitoring data in accordance with the guidelines established by JMPR.

3.1.4 MRLs for processed or ready-to-eat foods or feeds

24. The JMPR evaluates processing studies to derive processing factors used to estimate residue concentrations in processed foods or feeds for dietary risk assessments and, if necessary, recommends MRLs for processed foods or feeds.

25. The CCPR:

- a. Establish MRLs for important processed foods and feeds moving in international trade;
- b. Establish MRLs for processed foods and feeds only if the resulting value is higher than the MRL established for the corresponding raw agriculture commodity (RAC)¹, Processing Factor > 1.3 (PF > 1.3);
- c. Continue the practice of establishing MRLs for processed foods and feeds where, due to the nature of the residues during some specific process, significant amounts of relevant metabolites appear or increase; and
- d. Support the current JMPR practice of evaluating all processing studies provided and including in each evaluation or review a summary table of all validated processing factors.

Statement of Principle Concerning the Role of Science in the Codex Decision-Making Process and the Extent to Which Other Factors are Taken into Account, Codex Alimentarius Commission Procedural Manual.

3.2 ESTABLISHMENT OF EXTRANEOUS MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS (EMRLS)

26. The EMRL refers to a pesticide residue or a contaminant arising from environmental sources due to former agricultural uses not from the use of the pesticide directly or indirectly on the food or feed. It is the maximum concentration of a pesticide residue that is recommended by the CAC to be legally permitted or recognised as acceptable in or on a food or animal feed.

- 27. Pesticides for which EMRLs are most likely to be needed are persistent in the environment for a relatively long period after uses have been discontinued and are expected to occur in foods or feeds at levels of sufficient concern to warrant monitoring.
- 28. All relevant and geographically representative monitoring data (including nil-residue results) are required to make reasonable estimates to cover international trade. JMPR has developed a standard format for reporting pesticide residues monitoring data.
- 29. The JMPR compares data distributions in terms of the likely percentages of violations that might occur if a given EMRL is proposed to the CCPR.
- 30. Because residues gradually decrease, CCPR evaluates every 5 years, if possible, the existing EMRL, based on the reassessments of the JMPR.

4. RISK ASSESSMENT

4.1 ROLE OF JMPR

- 31. The JMPR consists of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group. It is an independent scientific expert body convened by both Directors General of FAO and WHO according to the rules of both organisations, charged with the task of providing scientific advice on pesticide residues.
- 32. JMPR is primarily responsible for performing the risk assessments and proposing MRLs upon which CCPR and ultimately the CAC base their risk management decisions. JMPR proposes MRLs based on residue data from GAP/registered uses or in specific cases, such as EMRL and MRL for spices, based on monitoring data.
- 33. JMPR provides CCPR with science-based risk assessments that include the four components of risk assessment as defined by CAC, namely hazard identification, hazard characterisation, exposure assessment and risk characterisation that can serve as the basis for CCPR's discussions.
- 34. JMPR should identify and communicate to CCPR in its assessments any information on the applicability and any constraints of the risk assessment in regard to the general population and to particular sub-populations and shall, as far as possible, identify potential risks to populations of potentially enhanced vulnerability (e.g. children).
- 35. JMPR communicates to CCPR possible sources of uncertainties in the exposure assessment and/or in the hazard characterisation of the pesticide that, if resolved, would allow a refinement of the risk assessment.

4.2 DIETARY INTAKE

- 36. JMPR is responsible for evaluating exposure to pesticides. JMPR must strive to base its exposure assessment and hence the dietary risk assessments on global data, including that from developing countries. In addition to Global Environment Monitoring System (GEMS)/Food data, consumption monitoring data and exposure studies may be used. The GEMS/Food diets are used to assess the risk of chronic exposure. The acute exposure calculations are based on the available high percentile consumption data as provided by members and compiled by GEMS/Food.
- 37. In undertaking dietary exposure risk assessments to assist the CCPR, the JMPR uses the WHO and FAO Guidance Documents³⁴. The JMPR recommends Supervised Trial Median Residues (STMRs) and Highest Residues (HRs) for dietary intake purposes.
- 38. The JMPR establishes the ADI and calculates the International Estimated Daily Intake (IEDI). The JMPR also establishes ARfDs, where appropriate, and indicates cases where an ARfD is not necessary. Where an ARfD is set, the JMPR calculates the International Estimate of Short-term Intake (IESTI) for the general population and for children (less than 6 years old), following a procedure described by JMPR.
- 39. The JMPR uses the most up-to-date and most refined residue and consumption data available to calculate the IEDI. When the IEDI exceeds the ADI in one or more of the GEMS/Food cluster diets, the JMPR flags this situation when recommending maximum residue levels to the CCPR. The JMPR also indicates relevant data to refine the IEDI.
- 40. Where the IESTI exceeds the ARfD for a pesticide/food combination, the JMPR report should describe the particular situation that gives rise to that acute intake concern. The JMPR shall indicate the possibilities to refine the IESTI.
- 41. If either IESTI exceeds the ARfD or IEDI exceed ADI, JMPR indicates that the provision of additional data would be necessary to refine these calculations. Members / observers have the opportunity to supply the new data and shall commit to provide them in accordance with the four-year-rule.

WHO. Guideline for predicting dietary intake of pesticide residues.

FAO. Pesticide Residues in Food 2003- Report.FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper No. 176 FAO, Rome. Chapter 3.

42. In these cases, the four-year-rule is applied when insufficient data have been submitted to set a new CXL. Members/observers may provide a commitment to the JMPR and CCPR to provide the necessary data for evaluation within four years. The proposed MRL is maintained for a period of no more than four years, pending the evaluation of the additional data. A second period of four years is not granted. If there is no commitment to provide additional information, or no data are supplied despite a commitment being made in relation to the four-year-rule, the CCPR considers withdrawal of the draft MRL.

43. The estimate of the short-term dietary intake requires substantial food consumption data that currently are only sparsely available. Governments are urged to generate relevant consumption data and to submit these data to the WHO.

5. RISK MANAGEMENT

5.1 ROLE OF CCPR

- 44. CCPR is primarily responsible for recommending risk management proposals, such as MRLs, for adoption by the CAC.
- 45. CCPR shall base its risk management recommendations to the CAC on JMPR's risk assessments of the respective pesticides, considering, where appropriate, other legitimate factors² relevant for health protection of consumers and for the promotion of fair practices in food trade.
- 46. In cases where JMPR has performed a risk assessment and the CCPR or the CAC determines that additional scientific guidance is necessary, the CCPR or the CAC may make a specific request to JMPR to provide further scientific guidance necessary for a risk management decision.
- 47. CCPR's risk management recommendations to the CAC shall take into account the relevant uncertainties as described by JMPR.
- 48. CCPR shall consider only maximum residue levels recommended by JMPR.
- 49. CCPR shall base its recommendations on the GEMS/Food diets used to identify consumption patterns. The GEMS/Food diets are used to assess the risk of chronic exposure. The acute exposure calculations are not based on those diets, but available consumption data provided by members and compiled by GEMS/Food.
- 50. If no validated methods of analysis are available for enforcing an MRL for a specific pesticide, no MRL will be established by CCPR.

5.2 SELECTION OF PESTICIDES FOR JMPR EVALUATION

51. Each year CCPR, in cooperation with the JMPR Secretariat, agrees on a schedule of JMPR evaluations in the following year and considers prioritisation of other pesticides for future scheduling.

5.2.1 Procedure for the preparation of the Schedules and Priority Lists

- 52. CCPR submits the Schedules and Priority Lists of Pesticides for JMPR Evaluation to the CAC for approval each year, as new work, and requests the re-establishment of the Electronic Working Group (EWG) on Priorities.
- 53. The EWG on Priorities is tasked with preparing a Schedule of Pesticides for JMPR (evaluations for the following year) for the consideration of CCPR and the maintenance of a Priority List of Pesticides for future scheduling by CCPR.
- 54. The Schedules and Priority Lists are provided in the following Tables:
 - a. Table 1 CCPR Proposed Schedule and Priority Lists of Pesticides (new pesticides, new uses, and other evaluations);
 - b. Table 2A Schedule and Priority Lists of Periodic Reviews;
 - c. Table 2B Periodic Review List (Pesticides that have been last evaluated 15 years ago or more, but not yet scheduled or listed, 15 years-rule);
 - d. Table 3 Record of Periodic Review;
 - e. Table 4 Pesticide/Food combinations for which specific GAP is no longer supported.
- 55. Each year, the Codex Secretariat issues a letter, one month after the CAC, seeking application for membership of the EWG on Priorities.
- 56. In early September of each year, the EWG Chair will issue a broadcast e-mail to member/observers of the EWG requesting nominations for:
 - a. New pesticides;
 - New uses of pesticides previously reviewed by JMPR;
 - c. Other evaluations to address, for example, review of toxicological endpoint and alternative GAP;
 - d. Periodic reviews of pesticides for which there are concerns including public health.

57. Nominations for new pesticides and new uses of pesticides previously reviewed by JMPR are submitted by members/observers to the EWG Chair and the JMPR Joint Secretariat using the form in the FAO Manual¹.

- 58. The nomination form shall provide a clear indication of the availability of data and national evaluations, as well as, give an indication of the number of crops and residue trials to be evaluated. The request should also indicate the current status of national registrations for the pesticide.
- 59. Nominations for other evaluations and periodic reviews should be submitted, on concern forms Annex A and Annex B respectively, with accompanying scientific data addressing the relevant concern. For periodic reviews, the request should also provide information on the most recent evaluation, ADI and ARfD.
- 60. Nominations complying with the requirements are incorporated into a list, prioritised and scheduled according to the criteria specified below:
 - a. Those received by 30 November are incorporated into the draft agenda paper which is distributed as a circular letter in early January.
 - Members and observers are allowed two months from the date of distribution to provide comment to the EWG Chair and JMPR Joint Secretariat.
 - c. On the basis of comments received in response to the circular letter, the EWG Chair incorporates the new nominations into the Schedule and Priority Lists, and prepares an agenda paper for CCPR. The Schedule seeks to provide a balance of new pesticides, new uses, other evaluations and periodic reviews.
 - d. Following plenary discussions on MRL recommendations, the EWG Chair revises the Schedule and Priority List, which is then presented as Conference Room Document (CRD) for CCPR's consideration. To cover the possibility that a member/observer cannot meet the JMPR data call-in deadline for new pesticide evaluations, CCPR will include reserve pesticides.
 - e. Following plenary discussion on CRD, the CCPR will agree on a JMPR Evaluation Schedule for the following year. The final Schedule will take into account available JMPR resources.
 - f. At this point, the Schedule will be closed for the inclusion of additional pesticides. However, with the agreement of the JMPR Secretariat, the inclusion of additional foods or feeds for scheduled pesticides may be accepted.

5.2.2 Nomination requirements and criteria for the prioritisation and scheduling pesticides for evaluation by JMPR

New pesticides

Nomination Requirements

- 61. Before a nomination is accepted the following requirements must be met:
 - a. An intention to register the pesticide for use in a member country;
 - b. The foods or feeds proposed for consideration should be traded internationally;
 - c. There is a commitment by the member/observer of the pesticide to provide supporting data for review in response to the JMPR "data call-in";
 - d. The use of the pesticide is expected to give rise to residues in or on a food or feed moving in international trade;
 - e. The pesticide has not been already accepted for consideration;
 - f. The nomination form has been completed.

Prioritisation Criteria

- 62. The following criteria are applied when preparing the Schedules and Priority Lists:
 - The period of time since the pesticide was nominated for evaluation; a pesticide that was nominated first will have higher priority;
 - b. Timing of data availability;
 - c. Commitment by the member/observer to provide supporting data for review with a firm date for data submission;
 - d. The provision of information on the foods or feeds for which CXL are sought and the number of trials for each food or feed.

Scheduling Criteria

- 63. In order for CCPR to schedule a pesticide for JMPR evaluation in the following year:
 - It must be registered for use in a member country and formulation labels made available by the time of JMPR "data call-in";

b. If the use of the pesticide does not give rise to detectable residues in foods and feeds, it will be afforded a lower priority than those listed pesticides for which use does give rise to measurable residues.

5.2.3 New Uses of Pesticides previously Reviewed by JMPR

Nomination Requirement

64. At the request of a member/observer, pesticides previously evaluated by JMPR may be listed in Table 1 for the inclusion of additional uses.

Prioritisation Criteria

- 65. When prioritizing new use evaluations, the EWG on Priorities will consider the following criteria:
 - a. The date the request was received;
 - b. Commitment by the member/observer to provide the required data for review in response to the JMPR "data call-in".

Scheduling Criteria

66. Scheduling criteria are as specified in the new pesticide section (para 63).

5.2.4 Other Evaluations

Nomination Requirements

- 67. Pesticides previously evaluated by JMPR may be listed for further toxicological and/or residue evaluations by the JMPR as a result of requests from CCPR or members when:
 - A member seeks to obtain a revised MRL for one or more foods or feeds; for example, on the basis of alternative GAP;
 - The CCPR requests a clarification or reconsideration of a recommendation from the JMPR;
 - c. New toxicological data becomes available to indicate a significant change in the ADI or ARfD;
 - A data deficiency is noted by JMPR during a new pesticide evaluation or periodic review and members/observers will supply the required information;
 - e. The CCPR elects to schedule the pesticide under the four-year rule.
- 68. In this case, the four-year-rule is applied when insufficient data have been submitted to confirm or amend an existing CXL. The CXL is recommended for withdrawal. However, members/observers may provide a commitment to the JMPR and CCPR to provide the necessary data for review within four years. The existing CXL is maintained for a period of no more than four years pending the review of the additional data. A second period of four years is not granted.

Prioritisation Criteria

- 69. When prioritizing pesticides for other evaluations, the EWG on Priorities will consider the following criteria:
 - The date the request was received;
 - b. Commitment by the member/observer to provide the required toxicological and / or residue data for review in response to the JMPR "data call in";
 - c. Whether the data is submitted under the four-year-rule for evaluations;
 - d. The reason for its submission; for example, a request from CCPR.

Scheduling Criteria

70. Scheduling criteria are as specified in the new pesticides section.

5.2.5 Periodic Review

- 71. Pesticides that have not been reviewed toxicologically for more than 15 years and/or not having a significant review of CXL for 15 years will be listed in Table 2B of the Schedules and Priority Lists.
- 72. Pesticides listed in Table 2B should be considered for scheduling for periodic review when concerns, including public health concerns are identified and nominated for inclusion in Table 2A. The nominating member should submit the concern form in Annex B and accompanying relevant scientific information substantiating the concern for consideration by JMPR Secretariat /eWG on Priorities.

73. Pesticides listed in Table 2B may be nominated for inclusion in Table 2A and thus considered for scheduling for periodic review on the basis of the availability of data necessary for the review. The nominating member should submit an inventory and brief explanation of the relevant toxicological and residue data package for consideration by JMPR Secretariat/eWG on Priorities. The member should inform the eWG on Priorities whether all or some of the CXLs will be supported and should specify each supported and unsupported CXL.

- 74. Pesticides listed in Table 2B, for which no periodic review has been undertaken for 25 years, will be brought to the attention of CCPR with a view to transfer to Table 2A and subsequent scheduling.
- 75. Pesticides which have been the subject of a periodic review during the previous 15 years, and thus are not listed in Table 2B, may be considered for transferring to Table 2A where a concern form in Annex B and accompanying scientific information, upon review, demonstrates a public health concern.

Scheduling and Prioritisation Criteria for pesticides listed in Table 2A

- 76. The EWG on Priorities and CCPR will consider the following periodic review criteria:
 - a. If scientific data concerning the intake and/or toxicity profile of a pesticide indicates some level of public health concern:
 - b. If no ARfD has been established by Codex or if an established ADI or ARfD are of public health concern and information is available from members on national registrations and/or the conclusions from national/regional evaluations indicated a public health concern;
 - c. The availability of current labels (authorised GAP) arising from recent national reviews;
 - d. The CCPR has been advised by a member that the residues from apesticide has been responsible for trade disruption;
 - e. The date the data will be submitted:
 - f. If there is a closely related pesticide that is a candidate for periodic review that can be evaluated concurrently.
 - g. The CCPR agrees to schedule the pesticide under the four-year rule.
- 77. In this case, the four-year rule is applied when insufficient data have been submitted to confirm or amend an existing CXL. The CXL is recommended for withdrawal. However, members/observers may provide a commitment to JMPR and CCPR to provide the necessary data for review within four years. The existing CXL is maintained for a period of no more than four years pending the review of the additional data. A second period of four years is not granted.

5.2.6 Periodic Review Procedure

Identify pesticides for Periodic Review and solicit data commitments

- 78. Pesticides are listed for periodic review according to the process and procedures described in section "Selection of pesticides for JMPR evaluation". The process provides members/observers a notice of a periodic review.
- 79. When a pesticide is listed for periodic review, members/observers are able to support it, regarding the two following possibilities:
 - a. <u>Case A</u>: The pesticide is supported by the original sponsor, who is committed to submit a complete data package to meet JMPR's data requirements.
 - If the original sponsor does not support some uses, members/observers may support them.
 - b. <u>Case B</u>: The pesticide is not supported by the original sponsor; in this case, interested members / observers may support the review of the pesticide.

Commitment to support pesticides or existing CXL or new proposed MRL

- 80. The commitment of members/observers to provide data for the periodic review should be addressed to the Chair of the EWG on Priorities and the JMPR Joint Secretariat according to the FAO Manual¹ and the considerations of the JMPR on pesticides no longer supported by the original sponsor.
- 81. For Case A and Case B, data should be submitted in accordance with the guidance of the JMPR for the respective cases.5
 - In cases where some uses are not supported by the manufacturer, but are supported by members/observers:
 - If the current GAP support the current CXL, justification for it as well as relevant labels are required;
 - If GAP were modified, supervised residue trial studies conducted according to current GAP, and relevant studies to support new MRL in animal and processed foods are required.

Submission and evaluation of pesticide residues data for the estimation of maximum residue levels in food and feed, FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper, 197, 2009, ISBN 978-92-5-106436-8, EHC 240 and General Consideration, Section 2.1, Report of the 2012 JMPR

5.3 ELABORATION PROCEDURE

5.3.1 Utilisation of the Accelerated Procedure for Elaboration of MRL (Step 5/8-Procedure)

82. In order to accelerate the adoption of a proposed MRL, the CCPR can recommend to the CAC to omit Steps 6 and 7 and adopt the proposed MRL at Step 8. This procedure is called "Step 5/8-procedure". The preconditions for utilisation of Step 5/8 Procedure are:

- a. The new proposed MRL is circulated at Step 3;
- b. The JMPR report is available electronically by early February;
- No intake concerns were identified by JMPR.
- 83. If a delegation has a concern with advancing a given MRL, a concern form in Annex A must be submitted following the procedure described in section "Procedure for submitting concerns and clarifications", at least one month before the CCPR session.
- 84. If that concern is addressed at the CCPR session and the JMPR position remains unchanged, the CCPR will decide if the MRL will be advanced to Step 5/8.
- 85. If the concern cannot be addressed at the CCPR session, the MRL will be advanced to Step 5 to the CCPR session and the concern will be addressed by the JMPR according to the procedure described in section "Procedure for submitting concerns and clarifications". Any other draft MRLs for the pesticide, satisfying the above conditions, should be advanced to Step 5/8.
- 86. The result of the consideration of the concern by the JMPR will be considered at the next CCPR session. If the JMPR position remains unchanged, the CCPR will decide if the MRL will be advanced to Step 8.
- 87. If either IEDI exceeds ADI or IESTI exceedsARfDin one or more cluster diets, or the ARfD is exceeded in one or more foods or feeds, the accelerated procedure shall not be applied and the procedure described in section "DIETARY INTAKE" applies (para 41).

5.4 REVOCATION OF CXLS

- 88. CXLs are proposed for revocation in the following scenarios:
 - a. As a result of the periodic review procedure including CXLs of pesticides that have not been reviewed for more than 25 years and are not supported by any member/observer;
 - b. Where new scientific data, following the JMPR risk assessment, indicate that the pesticide use may compromise human health:
 - c. The pesticide is no longer produced and commercialised, and there is no remaining stock;
 - d. The pesticide is produced but is not used in food or feed;
 - e. There is no international trade of foods or feeds in which the pesticide may have been used.
- 89. When a pesticide meets one or more of conditions (a-e), its CXL list will be included in the agenda for the next CCPR session for the Committee to consider a recommendation to the CAC for revocation of the CXL. Decisions of the CAC on revocation of CXL will take effect a year after the close of the session of the CAC where such decisions were made.
- 90. If a pesticide meeting the above stated conditions is environmentally persistent, the need for EMRLs to cover international trade should be considered before its CXLs are revoked. A member/observer should indicate the need to maintain CXLs for a period not exceeding four years. Within that period, members/observers will be requested to provide monitoring data to allow EMRLs to be established. CCPR will make a decision to establish EMLs when JMPR has evaluated monitoring data and all CXLs will be revoked.

5.5 PROCEDURE FOR SUBMITTING CONCERNS AND CLARIFICATIONS

5.5.1 Concerns with the advancement of an MRL or the evaluation of a pesticide

- 91. If members intend to express concerns with advancement of an MRL or the evaluation of a pesticide, they should complete and submit the concern form in Annex A to the Codex and JMPR Secretaries accompanied by scientific data at least one month before the CCPR session:
- 92. The JMPR will evaluate the scientific data provided with the concern form. The CCPR will decide whether JMPR should address the concern and schedule it based on the JMPR recommendations and workload.
- 93. When a concern form is not submitted one month prior to the CCPR session, the JMPR will consider the concern at a following meeting and the CCPR would subsequently decide on the status of the MRL.
- 94. When considering concerns expressed by members, CCPR should recognise the position taken by the JMPR as the best available scientific opinion (applicable at the international level) until and if a different position is indicated;
- 95. Science based concerns based on the same data/information should be considered only once by the JMPR in relationship to any specific pesticide, MRL or CXL.

96. If the same information is submitted, JMPR should simply note that this information has already been reviewed and therefore no further review is warranted.

5.5.2 Concerns with public health on previously evaluated pesticides

- 97. If members intend to express a public health concern on a previously evaluated pesticide for prioritisation, they should complete and submit the form in Annex B along with the accompanying relevant scientific information substantiating the concern to the Chair of EWG on Priorities and the JMPR secretaries, in accordance with "Selection of pesticides for JMPR evaluation" based on their potential higher concern regarding public health.
- 98. JMPR, in consultation with the EWG on Priorities, will consider whether the submitted information indicates some level of public health concern and present proposals at the subsequent CCPR session.
- 99. If the concern in regard to a pesticide is supported by CCPR, the pesticide will be assigned a high priority and scheduled for the next available year.
- 100. However, if a member or observer disagrees with the proposal by the EWG on Priorities, it must lodge additional scientific data to the Chair of the EWG on Priorities one month before the next CCPR session. At the following CCPR session, the EWG on Priorities will report its proposal. CCPR will make its final decision on prioritisation.

5.5.3 Request for Clarification

101. If members seek clarification on a pesticide, they must complete the form provided in Annex A and indicate the specific parts of the JMPR evaluation for which they seek clarification. Such requests must be included in the response to relevant Codex Circular Letters or other Codex papers. The JMPR will address such requests for clarification during the next JMPR meeting and provide a response to such requests by the following CCPR session. The CCPR will record any responses or changes in decisions made resulting from the request for clarification. Pending JMPR's respond to the request of the clarification, the MRL relevant to the request can proceed through the Codex 5/8 Step process for the elaboration of CXL.

5.5.4 Addressing differences in procedures for risk assessment

- 102. MRLs should not be prevented from advancement when there is a science-based concern regarding current JMPR risk assessment procedures that JMPR has addressed through the concern form process. However, where differences exist in procedures for risk assessment (i.e., use of variability factor, use of human studies) it is imperative that CCPR/JMPR attempt to address these differences in order to limit them where possible. Appropriate action by CCPR to address these issues may include referring the issue:
 - to JMPR if there is additional or new information, or if the CCPR wishes to provide risk management input to JMPR on the conduct of risk assessments;
 - b. to national governments or regional authorities for input with a discussion and decision at the next CCPR; and/or
 - c. where justified by its nature, to a scientific consultation if the resources are available. Members recommending any such action by CCPR should provide information supporting their recommendation for the consideration of the Committee.

6. RISK COMMUNICATION

- 103. In accordance with the Working Principles for Risk Analysis for Application in the Framework of the Codex Alimentarius, the CCPR, in cooperation with JMPR, shall ensure that the risk analysis process is fully transparent and thoroughly documented and that results are made available in a timely manner to members and observers.
- 104. In order to ensure the transparency of the assessment process in JMPR, the CCPR provides comments on the guidelines related to assessment procedures being drafted and published by JMPR.
- 105. CCPR and JMPR recognise that good communication between risk assessors and risk managers is an essential requirement for successfully performing their risk analysis activities.
- 106. CCPR and JMPR must continue to develop procedures to enhance communication between the two bodies.

Annex A

FORM FOR EXPRESING CONCERNS WITH ADVANCEMENT OF AN MRL OR REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF CONCERNS

Submittedby:			
Date:			
Pesticide/PesticideCodeNumber	Food/FoodCode Number	MRL (mg/kg)	Present Step
Is this a request for clarification?		l	
Request for clarification (Specific st	ratement of clarification requested)		
Isthis a concern?			
Is this a continuing concern?			
	on for concern to the advancement of the proposed	I MRL)	
, ,		,	
Do you wish this concern to be not	ed in the CCPR Report?		
Data/Information (Description of each secretary within one month of the CC	h separate piece of data/information which will be PR meeting)	provided to the appro	priate JMPR

Annex B

FORM FOR EXPRESING CONCERNS WITH PUBLIC HEALTH ON A PESTICIDE FOR PRIORITISATION OF PERIODIC REVIEW

FORM FOR EXPRESING CONCERNS WITH P	UBLIC HEALTH ON A PESTICIDE FOR PRIORITIS	SATION OF PERIODIC REVIE
Submittedby:		
Date:		
Pesticide/PesticideCodeNumber	Food(s)/FoodCode Number(s)	CXL (mg/kg)
Isthis a concern?		
		_
The concern relates to which prioritisation c	riterion/criteria (Specific statement of concern)	
Is supporting data being provided?		
Data/Information (Description of each separate and the appropriate JMPR Secretary within one	e piece of data/information which is attached or will pronth of the CCPR meeting)	provided to the EWG Priorities
Is this a continuing concern?		
Outline ongoing concern and provide suppo	rting data	

Annex C

PRINCIPLES AND GUIDANCE FOR APPLICATION OF THE PROPORTIONALITY CONCEPT FOR ESTIMATION OF MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES

- 1. Use of the concept for soil, seed and foliar treatments has been confirmed by analysis of residue data. Active substances confirmed included insecticides, fungicides, herbicides, and plant growth regulators, except desiccants.
- 2. The proportionality concept can be applied to data from field trials conducted within a rate range of between 0.3x and 4x the GAP rate. This is only valid when quantifiable residues occur in the dataset. Where there are no quantifiable residues, i.e. values are less than the limit of quantitation may only be scaled down. It is unacceptable to scale up in this situation.
- 3. The variation associated with residue values derived using this approach can be considered to be comparable to using data selected according to the ±25% rule for application rate.
- 4. Scaling is only acceptable if the application rate is the only deviation from critical GAP (cGAP). In agreement with JMPR practice, additional use of the ±25% rule for other parameters such as PHI is not acceptable. For additional uncertainties introduced, e.g. use of global residue data, these need to be considered on a case-by-case basis so that the overall uncertainty of the residue estimate is not increased.
- 5. Proportionality cannot be used for post-harvest situations at this time. It is also recommended that the concept is not used for hydroponic situations due to lack of data.
- 6. Proportionality can be applied for both major and minor crops. The main difference between minor and major crops is the number of trials required by national/regional authorities, which has no direct relevance to the proportionality of residues. If scaling is applied on representative crops, there is no identified concern with extrapolation to other members of an entire crop group or subgroup.
- 7. Regarding processed commodities, it is assumed that the processing factor is constant within an application rate range and resulting residues in the commodity being processed. Therefore existing processing factors can also be used for scaled datasets.
- 8. With respect to exposure assessments, no restrictions appear to be necessary. The approach may be used for distribution of residues in peel and pulp, provided the necessary information for scaling is available from each trial. Scaled datasets for feeds may also be used for dietary burden calculations for livestock.
- 9. The approach may be used where the dataset is otherwise insufficient to make an MRL recommendation. This is where the concept provides the greatest benefit. The concept has been used by JMPR and different national authorities on a case-by-case basis and in some cases MRLs may be estimated from trials where all of the data (100%) has been scaled.
- 10. Although the concept can be used on large datasets containing 100% scaled residue trials, at least 50% of trials at GAP may be requested on a case-by-case basis depending for example on the range of scaling factors. In addition, some trials at GAP might be useful as confirmatory data to evaluate the outcome in cases where the uses result in residue levels leading to a significant dietary exposure.

APPENDIX XIV

QUESTIONS TO THE 2014 JMPR REGARDING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITES FOR PESTICIDES FOR MINOR CROPS / SPECIALTY CROPS

(For Consideration by JMPR)

QUESTIONS

For setting MRL on minor crops, it is recognised that, due to lower importance in term of consumption, a lower number of trials than for major crops may be required. Therefore, CCPR requests JMPR to consider the followings:

- 1. Can JMPR agree to use the proposed approach as astarting point to set MRL on minor crops?
- 2. Under which condition JMPR gould consider these reduced numbers of trials to set MRL on minor crops?

In order to assist JMPR in the consideration of about the questions background information on the criteria, methodology and crop lists is provided in this Appendix.

BACKGROUND

Crops repartition to support the establishment of Codex MRLs for minor crops

- 1. For setting MRL on minor crops, it is recognised that, due to lower importance in term of consumption, a lower number of trials than for major crops may be required.
- 2. Since the Committee didn't agree on an international definition for minor crops, in order to assist member countries in identification of minor crops, it was considered appropriate to define criteria for use by CCPR and JMPR to determine the minimum number of trials necessary to support the establishment of MRLs for minor crops and to facilitate data submission to JMPR.
- 3. The Committee had agreed on 3 categories based on consumption levels (% of total daily consumption/capita) for which a lower number of trials than for major crops can be required.
- 4. A methodology was defined to assign crops to these categories. It is based on two tiers selection, the first tiers based on worldwide consumption and the second one on "local" consumption as defined in GEMS/Food clusters.
- 5. During its 44th session, the Committee proposed a minimum number of trials for each category:
 - Category 1 No data in FAO Stat and No GEMS/Food Cluster data: minimum of 3 trials
 - Category 2 < 0.5% worldwide and < 0.5% in all of the clusters: minimum of 4 trials
 - Category 3 < 0.5% worldwide and > 0.5% in one or more clusters: minimum of 5 trials
- 6. In any case, it is recommended to the data submitter to present as many trials as possible to establish robust MRL. These trials must be usable to make a recommendation according to the Good Agricultural Practice. It has to be pointed out that this minimum number of trials is a recommendation and that JMPR, based on expert judgment and practical situation of the submitted data, can require as many trials as necessary to constitute what can be considered a data set robust enough to set reliable MRL.
- 7. These minimum numbers of trials are only relevant to establish MRLs on individual crops. Group MRLs are not in the scope of this document. Furthermore, the use of monitoring data to set MRLs is not considered.
- 8. Based on this methodology, crops for which worldwide consumption values are above the threshold of 0.5% of the total daily consumption/capita are listed in Table 1. Crops for which worldwide consumption values are below this threshold of 0.5% enter the 3 above categories and are listed in Table 2.
- 9. These lists of crops were refined using national consumption data and on the request of member countries. On very specific cases, additional criteria were used considering seasonal crops that are major during part of the year and large portion instead of average consumption.

Table 1: List of crops for which consumption values are above the threshold of 0.5% worldwide total consumption.

CODEX	Commodity	CODEX	Commodity
001	CITRUS FRUITS	012	FRUITING VEGETABLES OTHER THAN CUCURBITS
FC 0003	Mandarin + mandarin-like hybrid	VO 0445	Peppers, sweet (incl. pim(i)ento) (bell pepper, paprika)
FC 0004	Orange, sweet, sour + orange-like hybrid	VO 0440	Egg plant (aubergine)
FC 0204	Lemon	VO 0448	Tomato
002	POME FRUITS	013	LEAFY VEGETABLES
FP 0226	Apple	014	LEGUME VEGETABLES
FP 0230	Pear	015	PULSES (dry harvested)
003	STONE FRUITS	VD 0071	Beans (dry) (Phaseolus spp)
FS 0013	Cherries	VD 0072	Peas (dry) (Pisum spp, Vigna spp)
FS 0014	Plum	VD 0541	Soya bean (dry) (Glycine spp)
004	BERRIES AND OTHER SMALL FRUITS	016	ROOT AND TUBER VEGETABLES
FB 0269	Grape	VR 0463	Cassava (Manioc, Tapioca)
FB 0275	Strawberry	VR 0508	Sweet potato
005	ASSORTED (SUB)TROPICAL FRUITS - EDIBLE PEEL	VR 0577	Carrot
FT 0305	Olive	VR 0589	Potato
006	ASSORTED (SUB)TROPICAL FRUITS-INEDIBLE PEEL	VR 0596	Sugar beet
FI 0327	Banana	VR 0600	Yams
FI 0354	Plantain	017	STALK AND STEM VEGETABLES
F10341	Kiwi Fruit	020	CEREAL GRAINS
009	BULB VEGETABLES	GC 0640	Barley
VA 0385	Onion, bulb	GC 0645	Maize (corn)
010	BRASSICA	GC 0646	Millet
VB 0041	Cabbage, head	GC 0649	Rice
011	FRUITING VEGETABLES, CUCURBITS	GC 0651	Sorghum (Chicken corn, Dari seed, Durra, Feterita)
VC 0046	Melons, except watermelon	GC 0654	Wheat

CODEX	Commodity	CODEX CODE	Commodity
VC 0424	Cucumber	021	GRASSES FOR SUGAR OR SYRUP PRODUCTION
VC 0429	Pumpkins	GS 0659	Sugar cane
VC 0432	Watermelon		
022	TREE NUTS	024	SEED FOR BEVERAGES AND SWEETS
TN 0665	Coconut	SB 0716	Coffee beans
023	OILSEED	027	HERBS
SO 0495	Rapeseed	028	SPICES
OR 0696	Palm fruit (oil)	057	DRIED HERBS
SO 0702	Sunflower seed	066	TEAS
		DT 1114	Tea, green, black (black, fermented and dried)

Table 2: List of crops for which consumption values are below the threshold of 0.5% worldwide total consumption

CODEX CODE	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
		tier	1	tie	er 2	
001	CITRUS FRUITS					
FC 0005	Shaddock or pomelo + shaddock-like hybrid	1.351	0.1%	1	3	
FC 0205	Lime	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
002	POME FRUITS					
FP 0227	Crab-apple	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
FP 0228	Loquat (Japanese medlar)	available under GEMS/FAO code 619: fruit fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FP 0229	Medlar	available under GEMS/FAO code 619: fruit fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FP 0231	Quince	0.174	0.01%	0	2	
003	STONE FRUITS					
FS 0240	Apricot	0.953	0.1%	0	2	
FS 0245	Nectarine	5.486	0.4%	4	3	
FS 0247	Peach	5.400	0.476	7	3	
004	BERRIES AND OTHER SMALL FRUITS					
FB 0019	Vaccinium berries (incl. Bearberry) (excl blueberries)	0.242	0.02%	0	2	
FB 0020	Blueberries					see vaccinium berries
FB 0021	Currants, red, black, white	0.309	0.02%	0	2	
FB 0264	Blackberries	available under GEMS/FAO code 558: berries nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FB 0266	Dewberries, incl boysen- & loganberry	available under GEMS/FAO code 558: berries nes	N/A	N/A	2	

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
FB 0267	Elderberries	available under GEMS/FAO code 619: fruit fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FB 0268	Gooseberries	0.057	0.004%	0	2	
FB 0271	Mulberries	available under GEMS/FAO code 558: berries nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FB 0272	Raspberries, red, black	0.195	0.01%	0	2	
FB 0273	Rose hips	available under GEMS/FAO code 619: fruit fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
005	ASSORTED (SUB)TROPICAL FRUITS - EDIBLE PEEL					
FT 0287	Barbados cherry (acerola)	5.43	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
FT 0289	Carambola (= star fruit)	available under GEMS/FAO code 9024/603: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FT 0291	Carob (Locust Tree, St John's Bread)	0.068	N/A	N/A	2	no GEMS consumption data but FAO world production data/capita
FT 0292	Cashew apple	available under GEMS/FAO code 591: Cashewapple	N/A	N/A	2	
FT 0295	Date	2.249	0.1%	3	3	
FT 0297	Fig	0.305	0.02%	0	2	
FT 0300	Jaboticaba	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
FT 0301	Jujube. Indian	available under GEMS/FAO code 619: fruit fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
FT 0302	Jujube. Chinese	available under GEMS/FAO code 619: fruit fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FT 0303	Kumquats	available under GEMS/FAO code 512: citrus fruit nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FT 0307	Persimmon, Japanese	1.137	0.1%	0	2	
FT 0309	Rose apple	11.4			2	National data, 1 country
FT 0312	Tree tomato	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
006	ASSORTED (SUB)TROPICAL FRUITS-INEDIBLE PEEL					
FI 0326	Avocado	1.257	0.1%	0	2	
FI 0329	Breadfruit	available under GEMS/FAO code 9024/603: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0331	Cherimoya	available under GEMS/FAO code 9024/603: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0332	Custard apple	available under GEMS/FAO code 9024/603: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0334	Durian	available under GEMS/FAO code 9024/603: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0335	Feijoa (Pineapple guava)	available under GEMS/FAO code 9024/603: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0336	Guava	available under GEMS/FAO code 9024/603: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	Individual data provided in 2013

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
FI 0338	Jackfruit	available under GEMS/FAO code 9024/603: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0339	Jambolan	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
FI 0340	Java apple	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
FI 0342	Longan	available under GEMS/FAO code 9024/603: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0343	Litchi	available under GEMS/FAO code 619: fruit fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0345	Mango	Individual data Gems Food 2006	< 0.5%	5	3	Individual data provided in 2013
FI 0346	Mangosteen	available under GEMS/FAO code 571: Mangoes. mangosteens. guavas	N/A	N/A	2	Individual data provided in 2013
FI 0350	Papaya	3.174	0.2%	3	3	
FI 0351	Passion fruit	available under GEMS/FAO code 9024/603: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0352	Persimmon, American	1.137	0.1%	0	2	
FI 0353	Pineapple	5.880	0.4%	6	3	
FI 0355	Pomegranate	available under GEMS/FAO code 619: fruit fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0356	Prickly pear (Indian fig)	available under GEMS/FAO code 619: fruit fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0358	Rambutan	available under GEMS/FAO code 603/9024: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
FI 0359	Sapodilla	available under GEMS/FAO code 603/9024: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0360	Sapote, black	available under GEMS/FAO code 603/9024: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0364	Sentul	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
FI 0365	Soursop (Guanabana)	0.134	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
FI 0367	Star apple	available under GEMS/FAO code 603/9024: Fruit. tropical fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
FI 0369	Tamarind (sweet)	available under GEMS/FAO code 619: fruit fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
009	BULB VEGETABLES					
VA 0380	Fennel, bulb	available under GEMS/FAO code 711: Anise. badian. fennel. corian and 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VA 0381	Garlic	5.422	0.3%	1	3	
VA 0384	Leek					
VA 0386	Onion, Chinese	2.115	0.14%	1	3	
VA 0387	Onion, Welsh (Japanese bunching onion, multiplying onion)	2.113	V. 1 4 /0	I	J	
VA 0388	Shallot (i.e. dry harvested small onion)	2.115	0.14%	1	3	
VA 0389	Spring onion					
010	BRASSICA					
VB 0402	Brussels sprouts	1.18	N/A	N/A	2	National data 15 countries

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
VB 0405	Kohlrabi	0.78	N/A	N/A	2	National data 4 countries
VB 0400	Broccoli	C 444	0.40/	2	3	
VB 0404	Cauliflower	6.141	0.4%	3	3	
011	FRUITING VEGETABLES, CUCURBITS					
VC 0421	Balsam pear (Bitter cucumber, Bitter gourd, Bitter melon)	1.619	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 2 countries
VC 0422	Bottle gourd (Cucuzzi)	0.53	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
VC 0423	Chayote (Christophine)	1.325	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 4 countries
VC 0425	Gherkin	available under GEMS/FAO code 397:Cucumbers and gherkins	N/A	N/A	2	
VC 0427	Loofah, Angled (Sinkwa, Sinkwa towel gourd)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VC 0428	Loofah, Smooth	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VC 0430	Snake gourd	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VC 0431	Squash, summer (courgette, marrow, zucchetti, zucchini)	available under GEMS/FAO code 394: pumpkins, squash and gourds			3	
012	FRUITING VEGETABLES OTHER THAN CUCURBITS					
VO 0444	Peppers, chilli	0.02	N/A	N/A	3	National data (20 countries)
VO 0442	Okra (Lady's finger)	2.388	0.2%	2	3	
VO 0443	Pepino (Melon pear, Tree melon)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VO 0447	Sweet corn (corn-on-the-cob)	2.768	0.18%	3	3	

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
VO 0449	Fungi, edible (mainly wild, not including mushrooms)	1.142	0.1%	1	3	
VO 0450	Mushrooms (cultivated)					
013	LEAFY VEGETABLES					
VL 0269	Grape leaves	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VL 0460	Amaranth (Bledo)	Amaranthus caudatus available under GEMS/FAO code 9004/108: Cereals. nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VL 0464	Chard (silver beet)	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VL 0465	Chervil	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VL 0466	Chinese cabbage, type pak-choi	available under GEMS/FAO grouped with head cabbage	N/A	0	2	Head cabbage represent more than 80% of the group
VL 0467	Chinese cabbage, type pe-tsai	available under GEMS/FAO grouped with head cabbage	N/A	0	2	Head cabbage represent more than 80% of the group
VL 0469	Chicory leaves (sugar loaf)	available under GEMS/FAO code 372: Lettuce and chicory	N/A	N/A	2	
VL 0470	Corn salad (lambs lettuce)	0.132	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
VL 0472	Cress, garden	0.252	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 4 countries
VL 0473	Watercress	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VL 0474	Dandelion leaves	0.01	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 3 countries

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
VL 0478	Indian mustard (Amsoi)	available under GEMS/FAO code 358: Cabbages and other brassicas	N/A	N/A	2	
VL 0479a	Japanese greens: Chrysanthemum leaves (Chrysanthemum spp)	0.56	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
VL 0479b	Japanese greens: Mizuna (Brassica rapa nipposinica)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VL 0480	Kale (borecole, collards)	available under GEMS/FAO code 358: Cabbages and other brassicas	N/A	N/A	2	
VL 0481	Komatsuna	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VL 0482	Lettuce, head				3	National Data (20
VL 0483	Lettuce, leaf	8.241	0.5%	4	3	countries) suggest 50% each variety
VL 0476	Endive	0.8	N/A	N/A	3	Individual data were submitted. European cluster might be above the threshold of 0.5%
VL 0485	Mustard greens	0.104	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
VL 0492	Purslane	0.067	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 2 countries
VL 0495	Rape greens	5.79	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
VL 0496	Rucola (arrugula, rocket salad, roquette)	0.23	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 4 countries
VL 0501	Sowthistle	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VL 0502	Spinach	4.776	0.3%	1	3	
VL 0505	Taro leaves	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VL 0506	Turnip greens (Namenia, Tendergreen)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VL 0507	Kangkung (water spinach)	3.86	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
VL 0510	Cos lettuce	4.218	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
014	LEGUME VEGETABLES					
VP 0061	Beans except broad bean & soya bean (green pods & immature seeds) (Phaseolus spp)	3.216	0.2%	1	3	No individual Data were submitted. however the consumption is very
VP 0062	Beans, shelled (immature seeds)	3.216	0.2%	1	3	high for some countries
VP 0063	Peas (green pods & immature seeds) (Pisum spp, Vigna spp)	3.014	0.2%	1	3	No individual Data
VP 0064	Peas, shelled (immature seeds) (Pisum spp, Vigna spp)	3.014	0.2%	1	3	NO IIIUWuual Data
VP 0520	Bambara groundnut (immature seeds) (Voandzeia spp)	available under GEMS/FAO code 9016/203: Groundnuts and bambara Shelled/Bambara beans	N/A	N/A	2	
VP 0522	Broad bean (green pods & immature seeds) (Vicia spp)	0.485	0.03%	0	2	
VP 0523	Broad bean, shelled (immature seeds) (Vicia spp)	0.403	0.03 %	U	2	
VP 0541	Soya bean (immature seeds) (Glycine spp)	available under GEMS/FAO code 236: Soybeans	N/A	N/A	2	
VP 0542	Sword bean (young pods and bean) (Canavalia spp)	available under GEMS/FAO code 211: Pulses. nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VP 0553	Lentil (young pods) (Lens spp)	1.150	0.1%	1	3	
015	PULSES (dry harvested)					
VD 0523	Broad bean (dry) (Vicia spp) 1.049		0.1%	0	2	
VD 0524	Chick-pea (dry) (Cicer spp)	2.97	0.2%	1	3	

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
VD 0531	Hyacinth bean (dry) (Lablab spp)	available under GEMS/FAO code 211	0.1%	0	2	no individual data (pulse_nes)
VD 0537	Pigeon pea (dry) (Cajanus spp)	1.107	0.1%	0	2	
VD 0533	Lentil (dry) (Lens spp)	1.150	0.1%	1	3	
VD 0545	Lupin (dry) (Lupinus spp)	0.378	-	N/A	2	no GEMS consumption data but FAO world production data/capita
016	ROOT AND TUBER VEGETABLES					
VR 0469	Chicory, roots	0.111	0.01%	1	3	
VR 0494	Radish	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VR 0497	Swede (rutabaga)	available under FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VR 0498	Salsify (Oyster plant)	available under FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VR 0504	Tannia (tanier, yautia)	0.118	0.01%	1	3	
VR 0505	Taro (dasheen, eddoe)	2.378	0.2%	6	3	
VR 0506	Turnip, garden	available under GEMS/FAO code 426: Carrots and turnips	N/A	N/A	2	grouped with carrot. no individual data. however turnip consumption are assumed to be very low compared to carrot's
VR 0573	Arrowroot	available under GEMS/FAO code 149: Roots and Tubers. nes		N/A	2	
VR 0574	Beetroot	0.98	N/A	N/A	2	National data 17 countries

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
VR 0575	Burdock, greater or edible 0.855 N/A				2	National data, country
VR 0578	Celeriac	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VR 0583	Horseradish	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VR 0585	Jerusalem artichoke	available under GEMS/FAO code 149: Roots and Tubers. nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VR 0587	parsley. turnip-rooted	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VR 0588	Parsnip	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VR 0590	Radish, black	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VR 0591	Radish, Japanese (Chinese radish, Daikon)	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
017	STALK AND STEM VEGETABLES					
VS 0469	Witloof chicory (sprouts)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
VS 0620	Artichoke globe	0.485	0.03%	0	2	
VS 0621	Asparagus	2.417	0.2%	0	2	
VS 0622	Bamboo shoots available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes		N/A	2		
VS 0623	Cardoon	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes		2		

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
VS 0624	Celery	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
VS 0626	Palm hearts	0.211	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 6 countries
VS 0627	Rhubarb	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
020	CEREAL GRAINS					
GC 0641	Buckwheat	0.133	0.01%	0	2	
GC 0643	Hungry rice (fonio)	0.074	0.005%	0	2	
GC 0644	Job's tears	adlay or Job's tears (Coix lacryma-jobi) available under GEMS/FAO code 9004/108: Cereals. nes	N/A	N/A	2	
GC 0647	Oats	0.760	0.05%	0	2	
GC 0648	Quinoa	0.026	-	-	2	no GEMS consumption data but FAO world production data/capita
GC 0650	Rye	1.842	0.1%	3	3	
GC 0653	Triticale	5.5	-	-	2	no GEMS consumption data but FAO world production data/capita
GC 0655	Wild rice	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
021	GRASSES FOR SUGAR OR SYRUP PRODUCTION					
GS 0658	Sorgho or sorghum, sweet	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
022	TREE NUTS					
TN 0295	Cashew nut	1.129	0.1%	1	3	

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
TN 0660	Almonds	0.421	0.03%	0	2	
TN 0662	Brazil nut	0.022	0.001%	0	2	
TN 0664	Chestnuts	0.488	0.03%	0	2	
TN 0666	Hazelnut	0.146	0.01%	0	2	
TN 0669	Macadamia nut	available under GEMS/FAO code 434: Nuts. nes	N/A	N/A	2	
TN 0672	Pecan	available under GEMS/FAO code 434: Nuts. nes	N/A	N/A	2	
TN 0673	Pine nut	available under GEMS/FAO code 434: Nuts. nes	N/A	N/A	2	
TN 0675	Pistachio nut	0.168	0.01%	0	2	
TN 0678	Walnut	0.380	0.02%	0	2	
?	Arecanut	0.353	0.02%	0	2	No codex code found. But under the FAO code 236:Arecanuts
023	OILSEED					
SO 0090	Mustard seed	0.153	0.01%	0	2	
SO 0691	Cotton seed	5.875	-	0	2	expressed in raw commodities: standard industrial yield used. For cottonseed oil. a factor of 5 was applied from oil to seed: 1.175 x 5 = 5.875 g prod/hab/day
SO 0692	Kapok	0.145	-	-	2	no GEMS consumption data but FAO world production data/capita
SO 0693	Linseed (Flax-seed)	0.830	-	-	2	no GEMS consumption data but FAO world production data/capita

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
SO 0697	Peanut, shelled (groundnut)	6.077	0.4%	4	3	
SO 0698	Poppy seed	0.012	0.001%	0	2	
SO 0699	Safflower seed	0.045	0.003%	0	2	
SO 0700	Sesame seed	0.772	0.05%	0	2	
024	SEED FOR BEVERAGES AND SWEETS					
SB 0715	Cocoa beans	1.272	0.1%	0	2	
SB 0717	Cola nut	0.091	0.01%	0	2	
027	HERBS					
HH 0624	Celery leaves	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HH 0720	Angelica, including Garden Angelica	0.002	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
HH 0722	Basil	0.104	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 11 countries
HH 0723	Bay leaves	available under GEMS/FAO code 723: Spice. nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HH 0727	Chives	available under GEMS/FAO code 407: Leeks. other alliaceous veg	N/A	N/A	2	
HH 0730	Dill	available under GEMS/FAO code 723: Spice. nes	N/A	N/A	2	
НН 0731	Fennel	available under GEMS/FAO code 711: Anise. badian. fennel. corian and 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HH 0733	Hyssop	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
HH 0735	Lovage	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
HH 0736	Marjoram (incl Oregano)	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HH 0738	Mints	0.031	0.002%	0	2	
HH 0740	Parsley	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HH 0741	Rosemary	0.003	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 4 countries
HH 0743	Sage and related salvia species	0.01	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 4 countries
HH 0745	Savory, summer, winter	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HH 0749	Tarragon	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HH 0750	Thyme	available under GEMS/FAO code 723: Spice, nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HH 0751	Land cress	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
028	SPICES					
HS 0624	Celery seed	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0730	Dill seed	available under GEMS/FAO code 723: Spice, nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0731	Fennel, seed	available under GEMS/FAO code 711: Anise, badian, fennel, corian and 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0771	Anise seed	0.181	0.01%	0	2	

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
HS 0773	Caper buds	available under GEMS/FAO code 463: Vegetables fresh nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0774	Caraway seed	available under GEMS/FAO code 711: Anise, badian, fennel, corian	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0775	Cardamom seed	0.033	0.002%	0	2	
HS 0777	Cinnamon bark	0.061	0.004%	0	2	
HS 0778	Cloves. Buds	0.019	0.001%	0	2	
HS 0779	Coriander, seed	available under GEMS/FAO code 711: Anise, badian, fennel, corian	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0780	Cumin seed	available under GEMS/FAO code 711: Anise, badian, fennel, corian	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0782	Fenugreek, seed	available under GEMS/FAO code 723: Spice, nes	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0783	Galangal, rhizomes	0.00005	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
HS 0784	Ginger, root	0.504	0.03%	0	2	
HS 0786	Juniper, berry	available under GEMS/FAO code 711: Anise, badian, fennel, corian	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0787	Liquorice, roots	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
HS 0788	Mace	available under GEMS/FAO code 702: Nutmeg. mace and cardamoms	N/A	N/A	2	

CODEX	Commodity	Consumption weighted with population (g/hab/day)	% of total consumption	N° of Cluster > 0.5%	Consumption category	Comments
HS 0789	Nutmeg	available under GEMS/FAO code 702: Nutmeg. mace and cardamoms	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0790	Pepper (black, white)	0.171	0.01%	0	2	
HS 0792	Pimento, fruit (allspice fruit)	available under GEMS/FAO code 689: Chillies and peppers, dry	N/A	N/A	2	
HS 0794	Turmeric, root	0.028	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 2 countries
HS 0795	Vanilla, beans	0.004	0.0002%	0	2	
057	DRIED HERBS					
DH 1100	Hops, dry	0.008	0.0005%	0	2	
066	TEAS					
DT 0446	roselle, dry	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
DT 1110	camomile or chamomile	0.001	N/A	N/A	2	National data, 1 country
DT 1111	Lemon verbena (dry leaves)	N/A	N/A		1	
DT 1112	lime blossoms	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	
DT 1113	mate (dry leaves)	0.335 0.02%		0	2	

Annex: Methodology

Tiers 1 Calculation

- 1. Tier one ranking was calculated from GEMS/Food Cluster Diet as follow:
- 2. Items from the same origins were grouped together. Basic grouping was proposed to have only one item per crop if possible, which is more in line with the process of MRL setting and residue trials, for example all commodities containing wheat and wheat extracts were tentatively grouped together.
- 3. For each country, consumption data (GEMS/Food five years average: 2002-2007) were compiled in accordance with the predefined list for each group of commodities, the corresponding consumption value were added.
- 4. Then, each compiled consumption value was weighed with the corresponding country population and divided by the world population. The resulted sum for each commodity consequently simulates better the relative importance of each commodity in the world and was considered to fit better with the tier 1 approach.
- 5. Hence, for each commodity, the following calculation was realised:

$$\%_i = \left(\frac{\sum_{c} \frac{consumption_{i,c} \ x \ population_{c}}{population_{w}}}{\sum_{c} \frac{total \ consumption_{c} \ x \ population_{w}}{population_{w}}} \right) x \ 100$$

- % i: percentage of the commodity "i" in worldwide
- consumption_{i,c}: consumption of the commodity "i" in the corresponding country "c" (g/hab/day):
- total consumption_c: total consumption (including sugars, beverages and commodities from animal origins, etc.) in the corresponding country "c" (g/hab/day):
- population_c: population in the country "c" (hab)
- population_w: world population (hab)

Tiers 2 calculation

- 6. Tier 2 focuses on different existing consumption profiles within each cluster. Indeed a crop considered of minor importance calculated on a world basis could be of relative high importance in a national diet (depending on the quantity and variety of crops or commodities consumed in the country).
- 7. The clustering system gathers together similarities between diets and gets a good overview of consumption profiles in the world. Nevertheless, in order not to influence excessively the results by a high local consumption inside a cluster, and in addition since a very local consumption is in all likelihood not the commodity the most subjected to international trade and consequently for which a CXL is required, each country consumption was weighted by its population inside its cluster to get a better consumption profile of the cluster. This better takes into account the real number of consumer within each cluster.
- Hence, for each commodity and each cluster, the following calculation was realised:

$$\%_{j} = \left(\frac{\sum c \frac{consumption_{j,c} \times population_{c}}{population_{z}}}{\sum c \frac{total\ consumption_{c} \times population_{c}}{population_{z}}}\right) \times 100$$

- % j: percentage of the commodity "j" in the cluster
- consumption_{j,c}: consumption of the commodity "j" in the corresponding country "c" (g/hab/day):
- total consumption_c: total consumption (including sugars, beverages and commodities from animal origins, etc.) in the corresponding country "c" (g/hab/day):
- population_c: population in the country "c" (hab)
- population_z: total population in the cluster (hab)

APPENDIX XV

127

CCPR SCHEDULE AND PRIORITY LISTS

TABLE 1: CCPR SCHEDULE AND PRIORITY LISTS OF PESTICIDES (NEW COMPOUNDS, NEW USES AND OTHER EVALUATIONS) (For Adoption)

	2015 JMPR - NEW COMPOUND EVALUATIONS - PROPOSED SCHEDULE							
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Prioritisation criteria	Commodities	Residue trials provided				
Acetochlor (999) (herbicide) USA [Monsanto]	Acetochlor	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Corn, field, forage; corn, field, grain; corn, field, stover; corn, pop, grain; corn, pop, stover; corn, sweet, forage; corn, sweet, kernels plus cob with husks removed; corn, sweet, stover; cotton, gin by-products; cotton, undelinted seed; sorghum, forage; sorghum, grain, grain; sorghum, grain, stover; soybean, meal; soybean, seed; beet, sugar, dried pulp; beet, sugar, molasses; beet, sugar, roots; beet, sugar, tops; peanut; peanut, hay; peanut, meal For crops planted in rotation which are included in a crop group tolerance or which have a stand-alone tolerance in the USA: Rice, grain; rice, straw; wheat, forage; wheat, hay; wheat, wheat, grain; alfalfa, forage; alfalfa, hay; clover; potatoes; sunflower seed	Corn, field, forage; corn, field, grain; corn, field, stover; corn, pop, grain; corn, pop, stover; corn, sweet, forage; corn, sweet, kernels plus cob with husks removed; corn, sweet, stover (21 total); gin by-products; cotton, undelinted seed (13 total); sorghum, grain forage; sorghum, grain, grain; sorghum, grain, stover (13 total); soybean, meal; soybean, seed (21 total); beet, sugar, dried pulp; beet, sugar, molasses; beet, sugar, roots; beet, sugar, tops (15 total); peanut; peanut, hay; peanut, meal (13 total) For crops planted in rotation which are included in a crop group tolerance or which have a stand-alone tolerance in the USA: rice, grain; rice, straw; wheat, forage; wheat, hay; wheat, straw; wheat, grain; alfalfa, forage; alfalfa, hay (11); clover (10); potatoes (10); sunflower seed (8); dried beans (9)				
Cyazofamid (999) (fungicide) [Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha] USA	Cyazofamid	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Hops; potato; tomato; grape; cucurbits; carrots; brassica vegetables; okra; spinach; other fruiting vegetables; leafy vegetables US add on: Basil; succulent bean*; succulent shelled bean*; chives**; lettuce; spinach US registration date: 02/07/13 – pending registration	USA/Canada: potato (27); tomato (35); cucurbits (11); cucumber (11); muskmelon (9); summer squash; grape (3-USA) (1-Argentina); (10-EU) (1-Mexico); pepper (9-bell and non-bell); carrot (14); broccoli (6); cabbage (9); mustard greens (9); spinach (10); hops (3) Basil (6); succulent bean (8); succulent shelled bean (8); chives (9); lettuce (21); spinach (10)				

	2015 JMPR - NEW COMPOUND EVALUATIONS – PROPOSED SCHEDULE						
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Prioritisation criteria	Commodities	Residue trials provided			
Fenazaquin (999) (insecticide) [Gowan company] USA	Fenazaquin	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Alfalfa; apples; apricots; berries; citrus; cotton; cucurbits (cucumbers, melons, zucchini, squash, pumpkin); eggplant; grapes; hops; nectarines; peaches; pears; peppers; pineapples; plums; prunes; strawberries; tea; tomatoes; tree nuts; zucchini	Cucurbits (cucumbers – 6; cantaloupe – 6; zucchini squash – 5); stone fruit (sweet cherries – 3; sour cherries – 3; peach – 9; plum – 6); fruiting vegetable (tomato – 12; bell peppers – 6; chili peppers – 3); strawberries – 8; tree nuts (pecan – 5; almond – 5); berries (blueberry – 6; raspberry – 5); Hops – 3; mint (spearmint – 1; peppermint – 4); alfalfa – 4; corn (field, sweet) – 24; cotton – 12; bean (edible podded legumes – 9; succulent shelled pea & bean – 11; dried shelled pea & bean – 14); grape – 12; avocado – 5; citrus (orange – 12; lemon – 5; grapefruit – 6)			
Flonicamid (999) (insecticide) [Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha] USA	Flonicamid	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Cucurbit, vegetables; fruiting vegetables; leafy vegetables; pome fruit; potato; stone fruit; head/stem brassica; mustard greens; brassica leafy greens; root vegetables; radish tops; tuberous/corm vegetables; hops; okra; cottonseed; hops US add on: Bean, dry and succulent**; canola; mint*; strawberry US registration date: 02/14— pending registration	USA/Canada: peach (9); cherry (6); plum (6); apple (12); pear (6); cucumber (6); cantaloupe (6); summer squash (5); tomato (21); bell pepper (6); non-bell pepper (3); broccoli (6); cabbage with wrapper leaves (6); cabbage without wrapper leaves (6); mustard greens (5); head lettuce with wrapper leaves (6); head lettuce without wrapper leaves (6); leaf lettuce (6); celery (6); spinach (6); potato tubers (17); carrot roots (8); carrot roots (2); radish roots (5); radish tops (5); dried hop cones (3) Bean, dry (12); canola (8); mint (5); strawberry (8)			
Fluazifop-p-butyl (herbicide) [Syngenta] (999) Switzerland moved from 2014	Fluazifop-p-butyl	Registered MRsL > LOQ	Oil seed rape; soybean; dry beans; cotton; potato; sweet potato; sugar beets; citrus fruits; pome fruit; stone fruit; grapes; tree nuts; onion (could include bulb veg); cabbage; carrots; vegetables; bananas; coffee bean; (palm oil) US Add-ons: Lettuce**; rhubarb**; caneberry**; blueberry** Pending registration Brasil - sugarcane; sunflower; cotton seeds; potato; broccoli; onion; soya; tomato	Soybean (20); dry bean (12); oil seed rape (12); cotton (6); potato (16); sweet potato (6); carrots (12); onion (12); sugar beet (16); sugar cane (4); citrus fruit (16); pome fruits (16); stone fruit (16); grape (16); cabbage/brassica (12); lettuce (6); coffee (6); tree nutspecan (12); palm oil (4); tomato (16); asparagus (6); banana (10); cucumber/cucurbit (12) Lettuce (26); rhubarb (2); caneberry (6); blueberry (9); coffee (2) Animal feeding study data to support MRLs in animal			
			Animal feeding study data to support MRLs in animal commodities given use of cotton seed, rape seed and soybeans or their by-products as animal feeds	commodities Brasil - sugarcane; sunflower; cotton seeds; potato; broccoli; onion; soya; tomato			

		2015	JMPR - NEW COMPOUND EVALUATIONS - PROPOSED SCHE	EDULE
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Prioritisation criteria	Commodities	Residue trials provided
Flupyradifurone (insecticide) (999) [Bayer CropScience] Germany	Flupyradifurone	Registered; MRLs > LOQ	Citrus fruit; table and wine grapes and small berries (including blueberry); pome fruit; tree nuts; hops; fruiting and brassica vegetables; lettuce; potatoes; sugar beets; onions; cereals; coffee; soya and cotton US Add-ons:prickly pear cactus	Citrus fruit (54); table & wine grapes & small berries (78); pome fruit (39); tree nuts (10); hops (11); fruiting vegetable, cucurbits (89); fruiting vegetables other than cucurbits (96); brassica vegetables (56); leafy vegetables including brassica leafy vegetables (76); legume vegetables (52); root and tuber vegetables (43); onions (18); cereals (107); coffee (18); soya and cotton (44) Prickly pear cactus (8); blueberry (26)
Flumioxazin USA (herbicide) [Sumitomo] (999)	Flumioxazin	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Alfalfa; artichoke; asparagus; bushberry subgroup; cabbage and Chinese cabbage; cactus; corn; cotton; fish, freshwater; fruit, pome; fruit, stone; garlic; grape; hop; leaf petiole subgroup 4B; nut, tree; okra; olive; onion, bulb; pea and bean; dried shelled, except soybean; peanut; peppermint; pistachio; pomegranate; rapeseed subgroup 20A; shallot bulb; soybean; spearmint; strawberry; sugarcane; sunflower (subgroup 20B); vegetable; cucurbit; group 9; vegetable, fruiting; group 8; vegetable, tuberous and corm subgroup 1C (potato); wheat US add ons: broccoli**; caneberry**; prickly pear cactus Pending registration	Alfalfa: 13; artichoke: 3; asparagus: 8; bushberry subgroup: 5 (blueberry); cabbage and Chinese cabbage: 8; cactus: 2; corn: 21; cotton: 13; freshwater fish: 1 (catfish); 1 (bluegill sunfish); fruit, pome 12 (apple), 6 (pear); fruit, stone 9 (peach), 6 (plum), 6 (cherry); garlic: 9 (dry bulb onion); grape: 13; hop: 3; leaf petiole subgroup 4B; 8 (celery); nut, tree: 5 (pecan), 5 (almond); Okra: included in vegetable, fruiting, group 8; olive: 5; onion, bulb: 9; pea and bean, dried shelled, except soybean: 6 (dry pea), 12 (dry bean); peanut: 16; peppermint: 6; pistachio: 5 (almond); pomegranate: 3; rapeseed subgroup (canola): 8; shallot bulb: 9 (dry bulb onion); soybean: 42; spearmint: 6; strawberry: 8; sugarcane: 9; sunflower (subgroup 20B): 8; vegetable, cucurbit, group 9: 8 (cantaloupe), 8 (squash), 8 (cucumber); vegetable, fruiting, group 8: 12 (tomato), 9 (bell and non-bell pepper); vegetable, tuberous and corm subgroup 1C (potato): 14; wheat: 3 (pre-emergent), 20 (foliar) Broccoli (10); caneberry (8); prickly pear cactus (3)
Lufenuron (999) (insecticide) Brasil [Syngenta] RESERVE	Lufenuron	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Soybean; citrus; pome fruit; stone fruit; grapes; fruiting vegetables; melon; cucumber/squash; flowering brassica; head brassica; leafy vegetables; cotton; potato; sunflower; sugarcane; corn; wheat; rice; coffee	Soybean (8); citrus (18); pome fruit (16); stone fruit (16); fruiting vegetables (tomato, pepper) (21); melon (8); cucumber/squash (9); flowering brassica (16); head brassica (8); leafy vegetables (lettuce) (16); cotton (4); potato (4); sunflower (4); sugarcane (4); corn (4); wheat (4); coffee (4); rice (4); tea (4)

2015 JMPR - NEW COMPOUND EVALUATIONS - PROPOSED SCHEDULE				
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Prioritisation criteria	Commodities	Residue trials provided
Phosphorous acid	Phosphorous acid	Registered	Grapes	To be advised
(fungicide) [Nufarm] Australia (999) RESERVE	fosetyl-aluminium [Bayer CropScience]	MRLs > LOQ	US add on: Citrus Post harvest	Citrus (8)
Quinclorac (999) (herbicide) USA [BASF]	Quinclorac	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Barley; canola; cranberry; rhubarb; rice; sorghum; wheat; and animal feed items	Barley (5); canola (23); cranberry (5); rhubarb (4); rice (40); sorghum (24); wheat (67); and animal feed items (13)

	2015 JMPR - NEW USES AND OTHER EVALUATIONS – PROPOSED SCHEDULE					
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Residue trials provided			
	2,4-D (020) [Dow AgroSciences] moved from 2012 on request	New GAP for soya bean	Soya bean (24)			
	Acetamiprid (246) [Nippon Soda]	Fruiting vegetables other than cucurbits, China (tomatoes and cucumbers); seed spices [HS 190]; fruit and berry spices [HS 191] (India); pistachio (Iran); US Add-ons: Mustard greens; sweet corn; aspargus	Mustard greens (8); sweet corn (8); aspargus (8)			
	Bifenthrin [FMC] (178)	Strawberry; mango – authorised GAP US Add-ons: Chives; head lettuce; spinach; celery; snap bean; pea; lima bean; blueberry; grape; basil; artichoke	Strawberry; mango – (authorised GAP) Chives (3); head lettuce(6); spinach (5); celery (12); snap bean (6); pea (6) lima bean (7); blueberry (9); grape (7); basil (2); artichoke (2)			

2015 JMPR - NEW USES AND OTHER EVALUATIONS – PROPOSED SCHEDULE					
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Residue trials provided		
Moved from 2014 to allow JMPR to conduct one evaluation for all commodity requests.	Chlorothalonil [Syngenta] (81) (4 year rule)	Carrot; cherry; cranberry; bulb onion; peach; sweet and chilli pepper; tomato; common beans; asparagus Blueberry USA; apple and pear (KOREA) US Add-ons: radish (root veg)**; ginseng; horseradish; rhubarb; mustard greens**; pepper (bell); pepper (NB); orange**; lemon**; grapefruit (citrus fruit)**; almond; pistachio; mushroom; guava**; lychee**; mango; papaya; persimmon - **not submitted Brasil - coffee; mango; citrus; watermelon; soya; potato	Cherry (12); peach (12); bulb onion (8); sweet pepper (8); tomato (24); asparagus (8); cranberry (6); blueberry (8); radish (7); ginseng (5); horseradish (3); rhubarb (4); mustard greens (9); pepper (bell) (9); pepper (NB) (8); orange (12); lemon (5); grapefruit (6); almond (5); pistachio (3); mushroom (3); guava (5); lychee (4); mango (4); papaya (4); persimmon (2) Apple, 6 (KOREA); pear 6 (KOREA), Brasil - coffee; mango; citrus; watermelon; soya; potato		
	Cyantraniliprole (263) [DuPont] USA	Cucumber; carrot; radish; legumes (succulent and dried); green beans; peas; maize; strawberries; artichokes; tobacco; peanuts; soybeans Potato; coffee; citrus; oil seeds; grapes; olives; sunflower; pomegranate; green beans; rice and tree nuts	Carrots (42 trials); brussels sprouts (10 trials); beans without pods (16 trials); peas without pods (16 trials); cucumber (greenhouse – 5 trials); cherries (14 trials); strawberries (28 trials); peanuts (13 trials); soybeans (21 trials); maize (23 trials); artichokes (6 trials)		
	Cyprodinil (207) [Syngenta]	Rapeseed / Canola - MRL > LOQ Brasil - cotton; potato; citrus; sunflower; apple; soya	Rapeseed / Canola (16); Brasil - cotton; potato; citrus; sunflower; apple; soya		
	Lambda-cyhalothrin (146) [Syngenta]	Basil (Thailand); Brasil - pineapple; coffee	Brasil - pineapple; coffee		
	Carbofuran (145) FMC	Seed spices [HS 190]; fruit and berry spices [HS 191] (India)			
	Dicamba USA [Monsanto] (240)	Cotton – undelinted seed; cotton – gin by-products	Cotton (13)		

	2015 JMPR - NEW USES AND OTHER EVALUATIONS – PROPOSED SCHEDULE				
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Residue trials provided		
	Difenoconazole (224) [Syngenta] USA	Papaya (Kenya); Canada – rapeseed / canola US Add-ons: Almond; soybean	Rapeseed / canola (13) Almond (5); soybean (20); strawberry (9)		
		13-07G. Low growing berry subgroup - Bearberry; bilberry; blueberry; lowbush; cloudberry; cranberry; lingonberry; muntries; partridgeberry; strawberry; cultivars, varieties, and/or hybrids of these			
		Brasil - avocado; cotton seeds; peanut; rice; coffee; watermelon	Brasil - avocado; cotton seeds; peanut; rice; coffee; watermelon		
	Fluopyram [Bayer CropScience] (243)	Grapes; berries and small fruits; artichoke; tuber vegetables; leek; plum; tomato/aubergine; onion; peppers; cucumber; melon; chicory; beans); peas; maize; wheat & barley; soya bean; cotton; Peanut	Grapes; berries and small fruits (36 trials); artichoke (4); tube vegetables (16); leek (20); plum (21); tomato/aubergine (12); onion (16); peppers (9); cucumber (8); melon (9); chicory (8); beans (9); peas (12); maize (16); wheat & barley (44); soya bean (21); cotton (11); Peanut (12)		
	Flutriafol USA [Cheminova] (248)	Pears; peach/nectarine; plum; cherry; sugar beet; rice; strawberry; almond; pecan; tomato; cucumber; muskmelon; summer squash	Pears (6); peach/nectarine (12); plum (8); cherry (16); sugar beet (12); rice (8); strawberry (10); almond (5); pecan (5); tomato (19); cucumber (9); muskmelon (8); summer squash (
	Fluxapyroxad USA [BASF] (256)	Tree nuts; berries and small fruit; grape; strawberry; bulb vegetables; brassica, leafy and head and stem, cucurbits; leafy vegetables (lettuce, spinach, celery); root and tuber vegetables (radish, carrot); cereal grains; grasses for sugar production (sugar cane); sorghum	Tree nuts (almond (5), pecan (5)); berries and small fruit (blueberry (6), blackberry (1), raspberry (2)); grape (12); strawberry (8); bulb vegetables (green onion (3); dry bulb oni (6)); brassica (broccoli (6); cabbage (6); mustard greens (5)); cucurbits (cucumber (6); cantaloupe (6); summer squash (5)) leafy vegetables (head lettuce (6), leafy lettuce (6), spinach (celery (6)); root and tuber vegetables (radish (5), carrot (7)); cereal grains (rice (16)); sorghum (9); grasses for sugar production (sugar cane (8))		
	Imazapic (266), imazapyr (267) [BASF] Australia	Soya bean			
oved from 2014	Imidacloprid (206) [Bayer CropScience]	Stone fruit; olive; tea; Chinese cabbage; kale; pistachio (Iran); seed spices [HS 190]; fruit and berry spices [HS 191] (India), Goji (China), Basil (Thailand)	Stone fruits (40); olive (28); tea (8); Chinese cabbage and ka		

2015 JMPR - NEW USES AND OTHER EVALUATIONS – PROPOSED SCHEDULE					
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Residue trials provided		
	Methoxyfenozide [Dow AgroScience] (209)	Fruiting vegetables / cucurbits, spring onion			
	Pyrimethanil [Bayer CropScience] (226)	Blueberry; blackberry; raspberry; cucumber	Blueberry (8); blackberry (3); raspberry (2); cucumber		
	Spirotetramat [Bayer CropScience] (234) USA	Avocado; guava; sweet corn US Add ons: Artichoke; blueberry; coffee; cranberry; onion, green onion; pineapple; pomegranate; watercress	Avocado (5); guava (4); sweet corn (7) Artichoke (5); blueberry (11); coffee (5); cranberry (6); onion (12); green onion (5); pineapple (5); pomegranate (4); watercress (3)		
	Tebuconazole (189) [Bayer CropScience] USA	China (banana and cucumber); lettuce head – Ginseng (KOREA); US Add-ons:sunflower; aspargus; onion, bulb; onion, green; garlic	Ginseng (6); sunflower (7); aspargus (8); onion, bulb (8); onion, green (3); garlic (9)		
	Trifloxystrobin [Bayer CropScience] (213)	Lentils; chick pea; beans; peas; soya beans	Beans (9); peas (9); soya beans (24);		
Spices [India]	Spices [India]	Cardamon – cypermethrin (118); lambda-cyhalothrin (146); profenofos (171); triazophos (143)	Monitoring data		
		Black Pepper – profenofos (171); ethion (34); triazophos (143)			
		Cumin – phorate (112); profenofos (171); dithiocarbamates (50 and 105);			
		Curry leaves – profenofos (171); chorpyrifos (17); cypermethrin (118); methyl parathion (59); triazophos (143); ethion (34); bifenthrin (178)			

2016 JMPR- NEW COMPOUND EVALUATIONS – PRIORITY LIST				
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Prioritisation criteria	Commodities	Residue trials provided
Acibenzolar-S methyl (999) (fungicide) [Syngenta] New Zealand	Acibenzolar-S methyl	Registered	Kiwifruit	Awaiting advice
Bixafen (Tox 2013)	Bixafen [Bayer CropScience] (262)	Registered	To be advised	
Cyclaniliprole [Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha] USA (999) (insecticide)	Cyclaniliprole	Not Registered MRLs > LOQ	Potato; broccoli; cabbage; mustard green; brussels sprout; kale; cauliflower; soybean, dried; soybean, immature (with pods); tomato; pepper; apple; pear; cherry; peach; plum; apricot; plum; nectarine; almond hulls; almond; pecan; lettuce, head; lettuce, leaf; spinach; grape; cucumber; muskmelon; summer squash; tea	Potato (8); broccoli (21); cabbage (34); mustard green (5); brussels sprout (6); kale (4); cauliflower (8); soybean, dried (6); soybean, immature (with pods) (3); tomato (53); pepper (36); apple (46); pear (16); cherry (17); peach (24); plum (26); apricot (6); plum (26); nectarine (2); almond hulls (5); almond (5); pecan (5); lettuce, head (9); lettuce, leaf (11); spinach (9); grape (43); cucumber (9); muskmelon (10); summer squash (9); tea (6)
Ethiprole (insecticide) [Bayer CropScience] – Germany (999)	Ethiprole	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Coffee; corn/maize; rice; soybean and food of animal origin	Coffee (15); corn/maize (10); rice (12); soybean (10)
Imazethapyr BASF – USA (999) (herbicide)	lmazethapyr	Registered? Yes MRLs > LOQ? Yes	Alfalfa; canola; clover; corn; lentils; peanut; fresh peas; dry peas; fresh beans; dry beans; rice; soybean; sunflower Canada Rapeseed/canola	Alfalfa (35); canola (11); clover (12); corn (35); lentils (10); peanut (12); fresh peas (22); dry peas; (26); fresh beans (6); dry beans (14); rice (19); soybean (32); sunflower (5); rapeseed/canola (trials?)

	2016 JMPR- NEW COMPOUND EVALUATIONS – PRIORITY LIST				
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Prioritisation criteria	Commodities	Residue trials provided	
Isofetamid [Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha] USA (999) (fungicide)	Isofetamid	Not Registered MRLs > LOQ	Lettuce; apricot; cherry; peach; plum; grape; strawberry; almond; canola/oilseed rape	Lettuce (49); apricot (8); cherry (8); peach (8); plum (12); grape (40); strawberry (33); almond (5); canola/oilseed rape (24)	
MCPB [Nufarm] – USA Herbicide (999)	МСРВ	Registered – yes MRLs > LOQ – No	Peas (fresh and dried)	Peas (fresh and dried) – 8 US trials 8 EU trials	
Norflurazon (herbicide) (999) [Syngenta] –USA moved from 2014	Norflurazon	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Almond; apple; apricot; asparagus; avocado; blackberry; blueberry; cranberry; cherry (sweet and tart); citrus fruits group; cottonseed; grape; hazelnut; hops; nectarine; peach; peanut; pear; pecan; plums and prunes; raspberry; soybean; and walnut	Almond: 7; apple: 8; apricot: 2; asparagus: 6; avocado: 3; blackberry: 1; blueberry: 6; cranberry: 5; cherry: 3; citrus fruits: 8; cottonseed: 10; filberts: 3; grapes: 14; nectarine: 2; peach: 4; peanut: 10; pear: 4; pecans: 4; plums: 6; raspberry: 6; soybeans: 22; walnuts: 2	
Oxathiapiprolin [Du Pont] – USA (fungicide) (999) RESERVE (possible 2 nd 2015 meeting)	Oxathiapiprolin	Registered - No MRLs > LOQ	Grapes; potato; dry bulb onion; green onion; tomato; bell pepper; non-bell pepper; courgette; cucumber; melon; summer squash; cantaloupe; broccoli; cauliflower; head cabbage; lettuce; spinach; succulent peas; ginseng; and tobacco	Grapes (16); potato (40); dry bulb onion (12); green onion (5); tomato (37); bell pepper (12); non-bell pepper (6); courgette (18); cucumber (16); melon (17); summer squash (10); cantaloupe (12); broccoli (6); cauliflower (4); head cabbage (10); lettuce (40); spinach (10); succulent peas (12); ginseng (4); and tobacco (6)	
Pinoxaden [Syngenta] Switzerland (herbicide) (999)	Pinoxaden	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Wheat; barley	Wheat (60); barley (60)	

	2016 JMPR- NEW COMPOUND EVALUATIONS – PRIORITY LIST					
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Prioritisation criteria	Commodities	Residue trials provided		
Pendimethalin (herbicide) BASF – USA (999)	Pendimethalin	Registered? y MRLs > LOQ? Most	Leafy Lettuce; leafy brassica (mustard greens, kale); alfalfa and grass hay; fresh legumes/dry pulses; citrus; tree nuts; carrot/other root and tuber; bulbs: onion; dry and green onion; asparagus; leeks; celery	Leafy brassica (kale)(7); alfalfa (23); grass hay (12); fresh legumes/dry pulses (21); citrus (13); tree nuts (5); carrot (16); celeriac (5); green onion (3); asparagus (4); leeks (7); celery (11) US Data: Leafy lettuce (9); leafy brassica (mustard greens (9); grass hay (8); citrus (16); tree nuts (23); carrot (10); green onion and onion (13); asparagus (6)		
Pyrifluquinazon (999) (insecticide) [Nihon Nohyaku] Japan	Pyrifluquinazon [moved from 2015 at the request of manufacturer]	Registered Japan; KOREA	Citrus; pome fruits; potatoes; stone fruits; grapes; tree nuts; melons; tea; grapes (table grapes, raisins, wine); fruiting vegetables, cucurbits; cotton; leafy vegetables; brassica leafy and head/stem vegetables	Almonds (10); pecans (10); grape (table) (24); raisin, juice (if MRL not included under table grape); plum (18); peach (24); cherry (16); apple (24); pear (12); lemon (10); grapefruits (12); oranges (24); cantaloupe (12); cucumbers (14); summer squash (10); peppers (24); tomatoes (28); cauliflower/broccoli (12); cabbage (16); potatoes (33); cotton seed (24); tea (6) and corresponding animal commodity MRLs		
Spiromesifen Germany [Bayer CropScience] (insecticide) (999) RESERVE (possible 2 nd 2015 meeting)	Spiromesifen	Registered MRLs > LOQ	Legume vegetables (beans/peas (dry, succulent, edible podded); leafy vegetables (head lettuce, leaf lettuce, spinach, celery); brassica vegetables (broccoli, cabbage, mustard, green); root and tuber vegetables (potato); fruiting vegetables (tomato, bell pepper, chili pepper); cucurbits (cucumber, melon, summer squash); pulses; (beans dry, peas dry); cereals (wheat, maize, sweet corn, field corn, popcorn); oilseeds (cotton); berries (strawberries); tea, coffee, herbal infusions and cocoa (tea, coffee); tropical fruits (papaya, passion fruit); herbs; rotational crops (alfalfa, barley, oat, sugar beet, bulb vegetables (Welsh / green onions, wheat)	Legume vegetables (27); leafy vegetables (26); brassica vegetables (21); root and tuber vegetables (16); fruiting vegetables (67); cucurbits (34); pulses (19); cereals (88); oilseeds (15); berries (16); tea (8); coffee (10); herbs (5); tropical fruits (9); rotational crops (66)		

2016 JMPR - NEW USES AND OTHER EVALUATIONS – PRIORITY LIST						
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Residue trials provided			
	Azoxystrobin (229) [Syngenta] – Uganda	Uganda: pineapple (or passion fruit); Tanzania: guava; Egypt or Morocco: olive; Indonesia: dragon fruit (this needs to be moved from 2013 to 2016)				
	Bixafen [Bayer CropScience]	FAO followup evaluation to consider rotational crop scenario				
	Chlorantraniliprole (230)	Philippines – pineapple				
	Deltamethrin (135) [Bayer CropSciences] - Canada	Rapeseed/canola – MRL > LOQ	Rapeseed/canola (13 trials)			
	Difenoconazole (224) [Syngenta] – Uganda	Uganda: pineapple (or passion fruit); Tanzania: guava; Egypt or Morocco: olive; Indonesia: dragon fruit (this needs to be moved from 2013 to 2016)	Paprika (6); chili pepper (6)			
		Paprika; chili pepper (Korea)				
	Fipronil (202) [BASF]	Basil (Thailand)				
	Fluensulfone (265) [Makhteshim]	Root tuber; leafy vegetable; brassica vegetable; strawberry; cereal grain; product of animal origin; radish; legume vegetables; tree fruit				
	Flutolanil (205) [Nihon Nohyaku] – USA	Carrot; potato; radish; sugar beet; ginseng	Carrot (9); potato (17); radish (5); sugar beet (12); ginseng (3)			
	Imazapic (266), imazapyr (267) [BASF] Australia	Barley	Barley (xxx)			
	Isoxaflutole [Bayer CropScience] (268)	Soya bean (label review)				
	Penthiopyrad (253)	Mustard greens (alternative GAP)				

2016 JMPR - NEW USES AND OTHER EVALUATIONS – PRIORITY LIST					
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Residue trials provided		
Moved at request of USA	Picoxystrobin- [Dupont] -USA (258)	Fruiting vegetables, cucurbits; stone fruit; pome fruit; grapes; legume vegetables; bulb vegetables; strawberry; brassica vegetables; leafy vegetables; root and tuber vegetables; sunflower; tree nut; peanut; rice; cotton and tomato	Brassica (broccoli, cauliflower, cabbage, mustard greens), 30; bulb vegetables (green onion, dry bulb onion), 15; coffee, 4; cotton, 13; cucurbits, 30 (cucumbers, 12); muskmelons, 9; summer squash, 9; fruiting vegetables, 44 (tomatoes, 24); bell peppers, 13; (7 non-bell peppers); grape, 13; leafy vegetables, 44 trials (leaf lettuce 10); head lettuce, 11; celery, 10; spinach, 9; peanut, 13; pome (apple, pear), 26 (apple 17, pear 9); rice, 11; root and tuber vegetables, 56 trials (potatoes, 21; sugarbeets, 13; radishes, 6; carrots, 10; turnips, 6); stone fruit (cherries; peaches, plums), 30; strawberry, 9; succulent/edible podded legumes, 40 (8 edible podded bean, 4 edible podded pea, 17 succulent bean, and 11 succulent pea); sugarcane, 4; sunflower, 9; tree nuts, 12 (6 almond, 6 pecan)		
Propylene oxide [Balchem] (250) – USA - JMPR 2013	Propylene oxide [Balchem] (250)	Tree nuts	Moved at the request of manufacturer		
	Pyriproxyfen (200) - Costa Rica	Costa Rica: banana; Peru: avocado; Philippines: papaya; Malaysia/Singapore: mango; Panama: pineapple			
	Spinetoram (233) – Thailand; (Dow AgroSciences USA)	Thailand: mango, lichi; Egypt or Morocco: olive; Colombia: avocado; Costa Rica: papaya; Bolivia and Ghana: banana; Senegal: pineapple –	NZ trials - feijoa (4); passionfruit (4); avocado(4); tamarillo (4).		
	John	NZ – feijoa; passionfruit; avocado; tamarillo US - olives; avocado; papaya; banana; pineapple; mango; cucurbits; pepper; strawberries; plum; cherry; apricot; potato; soybean; corn; tangerine; sweetcorn; kiwi; passion fruit	US- Olives (8); avocado (6); papaya (6); banana (6); pineapple (6); mango (6); cucurbits (8); pepper (8); strawberries (8); plum (8); cherry (8); apricot (4); potato (4); soybean (4); corn (4);		
	Spirotetramat (234)	Strawberry; carrot; sugarbeet	tangerine (8); sweetcorn (4); kiwi (3); passion fruit (4) Strawberry (10); carrot (24); sugarbeet (19)		
	Ophototraniat (204)	Onambony, carrot, sugarboot	Stambolly (10), ballot (27), sugalbeet (13)		

	2016 JMPR - NEW USES AND OTHER EVALUATIONS – PRIORITY LIST					
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Residue trials provided			
Sulfoxaflor (252) [Dow AgroSciences] USA - Re-evaluation of developmental tox, based upon new data.	Sulfoxaflor [Dow AgroSciences] – USA Request for new MRLs, based upon new residue data	Corn, grain; corn, sweet; sorghum, grain; pineapple, cacao, beans, rice, grain; avocado	Corn, field (15); corn, sweet (9); sorghum (9); pineapple (8); cacao (8); rice (12); avocado (5)			
	Tolfenpyrad [Nihon Nohyaku] – USA (269)	Almonds; pecans; pistachio; hazelnuts; walnuts; grape (table); raisin; juice (if MRL not included under table grape); apricots; plum; prunes; peach; nectarine; cherry; pear; lemon; lime; grapefruit; tangerine (mandarin); oranges; cantaloupe; cucumbers; summer squash; pumpkin; watermelon; peppers; tomatoes; cabbage; head lettuce; leaf lettuce; celery; spinach; cauliflower; potatoes; cotton seed; and corresponding animal commodities.	Brassica (cole) leafy vegetable: Cabbage (6); cauliflower (6); mustard greens (5); cotton (12); Citrus fruit: Grapefruit (6); lemon (5); orange (12); Fruiting vegetables, cucurbits (cantaloupe (6); cucumber (6); summer squash (5); Fruiting vegetables, other than cucurbits: pepper (9); tomato (12); Berries and other small fruits: Grape (12); raisin (1); Leafy vegetable: Head lettuce (6); leaf lettuce (6); spinach (6); pear (6); Root and tuber vegetables: Potato (16); Stalk and stem vegetables: Celery (6); Stone fruits: Cherry, sweet (6); peach (9); plum (6); prune (dried plum) (2); Tree nuts: Almond (5); pecan (5)			
	Tebuconazole (189) [Bayer CropScience] USA	Kenya (common beans)				

	2017 JMPR - NEW COMPOUND EVALUATIONS – PRIORITY LIST					
TOXICOLOGY	TOXICOLOGY RESIDUE Prioritisation Commodities Residue trials provided criteria					
Tox evaluation JMPR 2012	Chlorfenapyr [BASF] (254)	Registered	Awaiting advice			

2017 JMPR - NEW COMPOUND EVALUATIONS - PRIORITY LIST					
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Residue trials provided		
Isoprothiolane (999) India	Isoprothiolane (999) India		Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes		
Quinalphos (999) India	Quinalphos (999) India		Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes		
Tricyclazole (999) India	Tricyclazole (999) India		Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes		

	2017 JMPR – NEW USES AND OTHER EVALUATIONS – PRIORITY LIST					
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Residue trials provided			
	Prothioconazole (232) [Bayer CropScience]	Cotton	Cotton (16)			
	Trifloxystrobin (213) [Bayer CropScience]	Cotton; Ginseng (Korea)	Cotton (12) Ginseng (6)			
	Pirimicarb (101) [Syngenta]	Public health concerns - acute dietary risk– Netherlands – check uses for peach and lettuce based on existing residue data and labels				
	Cypermethrins (118) [BASF], [FMC]	Public health concerns - acute dietary risk– Netherlands – check uses for peach based on existing residue data and labels				
	Acephate (95)	Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes	Await field trial information			
	Acetamiprid (246)	Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes	Await field trial information			

Bifenthrin (178) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Chlorpyrifos (017) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Ethion (34) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegeta		
and capsicum), grapes Chlorpyrifos (017) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Diazinon (22) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Dimethoate (27) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Ethion (34) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Ethion (34) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Imidacloprid (206) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Lambda-cyhalothrin (146) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Methomyl (94) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Profenofos (171) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Profenofos (171) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes	Bifenthrin (178)	Await field trial information
and capsicum), grapes Diazinon (22) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Dimethoate (27) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Ethion (34) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Imidacloprid (206) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Lambda-cyhalothrin (146) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Methomyl (94) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Methomyl (94) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Profenofos (171) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Spiromesifen (999) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Await field trial information and capsicum), grapes	Carbendazim (72)	Await field trial information
and capsicum), grapes Dimethoate (27) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Ethion (34) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Lambda-cyhalothrin (146) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Lambda-cyhalothrin (146) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Methomyl (94) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Profenofos (171) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Profenofos (171) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes	Chlorpyrifos (017)	Await field trial information
and capsicum), grapes Ethion (34) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Imidacloprid (206) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Lambda-cyhalothrin (146) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Methomyl (94) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Profenofos (171) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Spiromesifen (999) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Triazophos (143) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Await field trial information	Diazinon (22)	Await field trial information
and capsicum), grapes Imidacloprid (206) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Lambda-cyhalothrin (146) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Methomyl (94) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Profenofos (171) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Spiromesifen (999) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Triazophos (143) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum). Await field trial information and capsicum), grapes	Dimethoate (27)	Await field trial information
and capsicum), grapes Lambda-cyhalothrin (146) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Methomyl (94) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Profenofos (171) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Spiromesifen (999) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Triazophos (143) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal Await field trial information	Ethion (34)	Await field trial information
methomyl (94) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Profenofos (171) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Spiromesifen (999) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Triazophos (143) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum). Await field trial information Await field trial information	Imidacloprid (206)	Await field trial information
and capsicum), grapes Profenofos (171) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal Await field trial information and capsicum), grapes Spiromesifen (999) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal Await field trial information and capsicum), grapes Triazophos (143) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal Await field trial information	Lambda-cyhalothrin (146)	Await field trial information
and capsicum), grapes Spiromesifen (999) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal and capsicum), grapes Triazophos (143) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal Await field trial information Await field trial information	Methomyl (94)	Await field trial information
and capsicum), grapes Triazophos (143) Rice, fresh vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, okra, green chilli, grean pea, bitter gourd, cucumber, brinjal Await field trial information	Profenofos (171)	Await field trial information
	Spiromesifen (999)	Await field trial information
	Triazophos (143)	Await field trial information

			2018 JMPR - NEW COMPOUND EVALUATIONS – PRIORITY LIST	
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Prioritisation criteria	Commodities	Residue trials provided

2018 JMPR – NEW USES AND OTHER EVALUATIONS – PRIORITY LIST					
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Residue trials provided		
	Bifenthrin [FMC] (178)	Barley; barley (straw fodder); - 4 year rule granted in 2014			
	Bentazone [BASF] (172)	Field pea (USA) - 4 year rule granted in 2014			
	Diquat [Syngenta] (031)	Cereals – wheat, barley, oat (Australia) Pulse (Canada) – 4 year rule granted in 2014			
	Metalaxyl-M [Syngenta] (212)	Cocoa beans (4 year rule grnated in 2014)			
	Dithianon [BASF] (180)	Shaddock / pomelo and mandarin (4 year rule granted in 2014)			

TABLE 2A: SCHEDULE AND PRIORITY LISTS OF PERIODIC REVIEWS - 2014-2018

Note 1: NR denotes "following evaluation, JMPR has deemed the establishment of an ARfD unnecessary"

Note 2: N/A denotes "not assessed – JMPR has not had the opportunity to consider, or determine the need for, an ARfD"

	2015 PERIODIC REVIEW – PROPOSED SCHEDULE							
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Comments	Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD		
Abamectin (177) [Syngenta]	Abamectin (177)	Pome fruits; cucurbits (edible and inedible peel); grapes; citrus fruits; stone fruits; strawberries; hops; leafy vegetables (lettuce, spinach, endive, celery); potato; almond; walnut; bean; coffee; cotton; fruiting vegetables (tomato, aubergine, pepper, sweet pepper); avocado; papaya; mango; avocado; onion Chili peppers (Thailand) Tomato; mango; papaya (Indonesia REP12/PR, CRD 26) (appears to be no support for animal product CXLs)	Pome fruits (16); cucurbits (edible and inedible peel) (40); grapes (12); berries (8); citrus fruits (24); stone fruits (29); strawberries (30); hops (18); leafy vegetables (lettuce, spinach, endive, celery) (22); tree nuts (almond, walnut) (15); bean (28); coffee (5); cotton (8); fruiting vegetables (tomato, aubergine, pepper, sweet pepper) (40); avocado (5); papaya (4); mango (5); bulb vegetables (leek, onion, shallots, spring onion) (20); rice (6); celery (7); roots and tuber vegetables (27)	1997	0.002 1997	N/A		
Ethephon (106) [Bayer CropScience]	Ethephon (106)	Apple; barley; barley straw and fodder; blueberries; cantaloupe; cherries; chili peppers (dry); cotton seed; dried grapes; figs; grapes; hazelnuts; peppers; pineapple; rye; rye straw and fodder; tomato; walnuts; wheat; wheat straw and fodder; chicken eggs; edible offal of cattle; goats; horses; pigs & sheep; meat of cattle; goats; horses; pigs & sheep; milk of cattle; goats & sheep; poultry meat; poultry; edible offal - US Add on: Coffee	Apple (38); barley (41); barley straw and fodder; blueberries; cantaloupe; cherries (15); chili peppers (dry); cotton seed (59); dried grapes; figs (6); grapes (43); hazelnuts; olives (8); peppers; persimmon (4); pineapple (17); rye (9); rye straw and fodder; tomato (38); walnuts; wheat (42); Coffee (5 trials)	1994	0.05 1997	0.05 2002		
Lindane (48)	Lindane (48)	Review of monitoring data with a view to converting MRLs to EMRLS.						

	2015 PERIODIC REVIEW – PROPOSED SCHEDULE							
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Comments	Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD		
Metalaxyl (138) Quimicas del Vallés - SCC GmbH	Metalaxyl (138)	Review in 2004 for residues was for evaluation of metalaxyl-M; support from Quimicas del Vallés - SCC GmbH; USA – Grapes; tomatoes; potatos; lettuce; oranges; strawberries; broccoli; cauliflower; head cabbage; onion Supervised trials by Thailand – pineapples	Grapes (21); tomatoes (20); potatos (16); lettuce (10); oranges (4); strawberries (8); broccoli (8); cauliflower (4); head cabbage (4); onion (8) Thailand has agreed to provide field trials – pineapples	2004	0.08 2004	NR 2004		
Chlormequat (15) [BASF] RESERVE	Chlormequat (15)	Cereals; cottonseed; maize; rapeseed; maize fodder; cereals fodder/straw; meat; milk; eggs	Cereals - 64 trials (16 trials each for wheat, barley; oats and rye); grapes - 8 trials; soybean - 8 trials; ottonseed - 4 trials; potato - 4 trials; onion - 4 trials; meat/milk/eggs	1994	0.05 1997	0.05 1999		
Penconazole (182) [Syngenta] Moved at request of manufacturer RESERVE	Penconazole (182)	Pome fruit; stone fruit; grapes; cane berries; bush berries; strawberries; fruiting vegetables other than cucurbits; fruiting vegetables cucurbits, globe artichokes (appears to be no support for animal product CXLs)	Apples/Pears (18); peach (12); cherries (4); grapes (16); raspberry/Blackberry (4); currants (4); gooseberry (4); strawberry (29); tomatoes/aubergines (20); peppers (12); cucumbers/gherkins (24); melons (23); globe artichokes (8)	1992	0.03 1992	N/A		

	2016 PERIODIC REVIEW – PRIORITY LIST					
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Comments	Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD
Fenpropimorph (188) [BASF]	Fenpropimorph (188)	Banana; cereals; sugar beet; cereals fodder/straw; meat; milk; eggs All CXLs supported	Cereals (56 trials); banana (23); sugar beet (8)	1993	0.03 2006	N/A

	2016 PERIODIC REVIEW – PRIORITY LIST									
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Comments	Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD				
Imazalil (110) [Janssen] EU – public health concerns	Imazalil (110)	Awaiting advice	Awaiting advice	1994R, 2005T	0.03 2001	0.05 2005				
Iprodione (111) (BASF)	Iprodione (111)	Tree nuts; cereals; beans, (dried); blackberry; broccoli; carrots; cheery; cucumber; grapes; kiwi; lettuce (head and leafy); onion; stone fruit; pome fruit; rapeseed; raspberry; sugar beet; sunflower; tomato; witloof (All CXLs appear to be supported)	BASF Trials: Almond (6); hazelnut (4); cherry (9); peach (22); plums (18); grapes, table & wine (38); strawberry (28); raspberry (6); currants, red, black, white (9); carrots (34); onion, bulb (17); onion, spring (10); tomato (18); pepper (8); cucumber (21); cucurbits w inedible peel (8); cauliflower (18); Brussel sprouts (8); Chinese cabbage (12); lettuce (38); witloof (4); beans, fresh w pods (15); peas, fresh w/o pods (16); asparagus (4); peas, dry (19); rapeseed (12); rice (8) FMC Trials: Almonds (4); barley (13); blackberries (8); broccoli (4); carrot (12); cherry (5); lettuce, leaf (12); peach (9); raspberries, red/black (8); rice, husked (18); Spices, seeds (4); spices, roots & rhizomes (4); apricots (8); artichoke (4); banana (8); bean, succulent - lima and snap (12); Brassica, head and stem vegetables (12); coffee (6); eggplant (8); mandarins (8); mango (4); melon (12); pea (12); peanut (12); plum (12); potato (16); soybean (12); wheat (16)	1994	0.06	N/A				

	2016 PERIODIC REVIEW – PRIORITY LIST									
TOXICOLOGY	OLOGY RESIDUE Commodities Comments		Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD					
Teflubenzuron (190) [BASF]	Teflubenzuron (190)	Apple; orange; coffee; field corn; soybean; sugarcane; sunflower; tomato; melon; broccoli; cauliflower; grape; papaya (no support for plum; potato; cabbage and Brussels sprout CXLs)	Apple (12); orange (16); coffee (9); field corn (6); soybean (5); sugarcane (5); sunflower (8); tomato (12); melon (8); broccoli (8); cauliflower (8); grape (12); papaya (4); mango (4); cucumber (8); gherkin (4); sweet pepper (4)	1996	0.01 1994	N/A				

	2017 PERIODIC REVIEW – PRIORITY LIST									
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Comments	Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD				
Clethodim (187) USA Arysta LifeScience	Clethodim (187)	Bean; broccoli; cabbage; carrot; cranberry; cucurbits; hops; lettuce; pea; strawberry; blueberry	Blueberry (9) – Awaiting further advice	1994	0.01 1994	NR 2004				
Dithiocarbamates (105) Netherlands - public health concerns [Taminco]	Dithiocarbamates (105)	Await advice on commodities to be supported	Residue definition applies to all DTC – propineb; mancozeb; ferbam; ziram; thiram; maneb; metiram; zineb	1996T, 1993R, (2004 propineb)	Range of group ADIs	Interim ARfD propine b 0.1 mg/kg 1995				
Fenpyroximate (193) [Nihon Nohyaku]	Fenpyroximate (193)	Awaiting advice on commodities US Add-ons: potato; bean (snap); melons; cucumber; stone fruit; avocado; mint	US Data: potato (16); bean (snap) (8); melons (8); cucumber (9); cherry (8); peach (10); plum (6); avocado (5); mint (6)	1995	0.01 1995	0.02 2007				
Oxamyl (126) [Dupont]	Oxamyl (126)	No details – awaiting advice	Awaiting advice	1986R 2002T	0.009 2002	0.009 2002				

	2017 PERIODIC REVIEW – PRIORITY LIST									
TOXICOLOGY RESIDUE Commodities Comments Previous ADI evaluation										
Tolclofos-methyl (191) [Sumitomo Chemical]	Tolclofos-methyl (191)	Lettuce head; lettuce leaf; potato; radish	Await advice	1994	0.07 1994	N/A				

	2018 PERIODIC REVIEW – PRIORITY LIST									
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Comments	Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD				
Bromopropylate (70) [Syngenta] Not supported by the manufacturer Concern Form lodged	Bromopropylate (70)	The active substance was first included in 1973 and re-evaluated in 1993, but not since. In the evaluation of 1993 an ADI was set at 0.03 mg/kg bw/d but no ARfD. Since no ARfD was ever set and data for evaluation are missing (supervised field trials, processing studies), the MRLs should be re-evaluated after 41 years	Since in 1993 it was not yet common practice to set an ARfD, EFSA used the ADI to assess the acute effects in the short term intake. A risk assessment was performed using the EFSA PRIMo including the existing CXLs for citrus fruits, pome fruits and grapes. The highest chronic exposure was calculated for the German child, representing 124% of the ADI. Since there were no supervised field trials complying with the critical GAP or reliable processing studies, the intake could not be further refined. The acute intake assessment (using the ADI-value) shows exceedance of the toxicological reference value for citrus fruits (884% for oranges, 594% for grapefruit, 371% for mandarins, 230% for lemons, and 134% for limes), pome fruits (653% for apples, 607% for pears), table grapes (437%) and wine grapes (158%). For further details see EFSA evaluation on the internet at http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/1640.pdf .	1993	0.03 - 1993	N/A				

Methidathion (51) [Syngenta] Not supported by the manufacturer Concern form lodged	Methidathion (51)	The active substance has been re-evaluated for residues (after it's first inclusion in 1972) in 1992. An ARfD was derived in the toxicological re-evaluation in 1997. As a consequence of this ARfD a couple of MRLs are not safe for consumers. Due to the fact that no periodic re-evaluation of residues took place in 42 years it is proposed to carry out a new evaluation.	The JMPR has established an ADI of 0.001 mg/kg bw/d and an ARfD of 0.01 mg/kg bw/d in 1997. A risk assessment was performed using the EFSA PRIMo including all MRLs that were considered relevant for international trade. The ADI was exceeded for 25 European diets with the highest exposure representing 2392% of the ADI. Citrus fruits, olives for oil production and milk were shown to be the main contributors. Citrus fruits also exceeded the ARfD (up to 6631%). A second exposure calculation delete the existing MRLs for citrus fruits, pome fruits and sunflower seeds still showed an that the ADI for 5 European diets was exceeded (up tp 301%). For further details see EFSA evaluation on the internet at http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/1639.pdf .	1992	0.001 - 1997	0.01 - 1997
Dichlofluanid (82) – [Bayer CropScience]	Dichlofluanid (82)	No longer supported by manufacturer	No longer supported by manufacturer, Last reviewed over 30 years ago	1983	0.3 - 1983	N/A
Flumethrin (195) [Bayer CropScience]	Flumethrin (195)	Cattle milk; cattle meat		1996	0.004, 1996	N/A
Permethrin (120)	Permethrin (120)	Not supported by manufacturer	Not supported by manufacturer Last reviewed over 25 years ago	1987	0.05 - 1999	NR - 1999

2019 PERIODIC REVIEW – PRIORITY LIST									
TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Commodities	Comments	Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD			
(Methyl bromide) Bromide ion (47)	Bromide ion (47)	No Croplife manufacturer responsible	Support unknown Last reviewed over 25 years ago	1988	1.0 - 1988	N/A			
Hydrogen phosphide, (zinc and aluminium salts) (46)	Hydrogen phosphide (46)	No Croplife manufacturer responsible	Support unknown, Last reviewed over 40 years ago	1971	NR	N/A			

2019 PERIODIC REVIEW – PRIORITY LIST									
TOXICOLOGY RESIDUE		Commodities	Comments	Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD			
Fenarimol (192) [Gowan] Not supported by the manufacturer Concern form lodged	Fenarimol	Fenarimol was first included as active substance in 1995. The ADI was set at 0.01 mg/kg bw/d. The COM set an ADI of 0.01 mg/kg bw/d in 2007 as well as an ARfD of 0.02 mg/kg bw/d. Since the JMPR hasn't evaluated the active substance in 19 years whereas now an ARfD-value is available it is proposed to re-evaluate all MRLs.	An ADI- and ARfD-value were derived in a peer-review under 91/414/EEC. EFSA identified in the acute risk assessment for children a possible risk for peppers (157.4%), peaches (148.3%), apples (146.9%), tomatoes (145.4%), pears (136.6%) and bananas (125.4%). A refined calculation was carried out using the HR. For further details see EFSA evaluation on the internet at http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/161r.pdf .	1995	0.01 - 1995	N/A			
Dimethoate [xxx] (027)	Dimethoate	EU concerns ARfD JMPR 2003 New use and other evaluation OR Periodic review ?????	Acute risk for citrus and cherries Sum of dimethoate and omethoate expressed as dimethoate		0.002, 1996	0.02, 2003			

TABLE 2B: PERIODIC REVIEW LIST (COMPOUNDS LISTED UNDER 15 YEAR RULE BUT NOT YET SCHEDULED OR LISTED)

Note 3: Compounds listed in this table meet criterion 2 (15 year rule).

Decisions on the prioritisation of these compounds should be based on criterion 1 (public health concerns), criteria 4 and 7 (date that data will be submitted and availability of current labels arising from recent national evaluations) and other relevant criteria found in pp135-136 of the *Codex Procedural Manual*.

Compounds are listed in Table 2b awaiting advice on supporting data packages and/or an indication of manufacturer/member country support.

TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Issue – Commodities supported	Comments	Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD
Fenbutatin oxide (109) [BASF]	Fenbutatin oxide (109)	No longer supported by manufacturer 4 year rule (member country ????)	No longer supported by manufacturer	1992	0.03 - 1992	N/A
	Azinphos-methyl (002) [Makhteshim – Agan] ??	Support unknown	Support unknown	2007	0.03 - 2007	0.1 - 2007
Tecnazene (115)	Tecnazene (115)	Support unknown	Support unknown	1994	0.02 - 1994	N/A
Fenthion (39) [Bayer CropScience]	Fenthion	Not supported by the manufacturer	Not supported by the manufacturer	1995	0.007 - 1995	0.01 - 1997
Dinocap (87) [Dow AgroSciences]	Dinocap (87)	Not supported by the manufacturer	Not supported by the manufacturer t	1998	0.008 - 1998	0.008 WCBA 0.03 general
Bioresmethrin (93) previously Sumitomo Chemical	Bioresmethrin (93)	Not supported by the manufacturer	Not supported by the manufacturer	1991	0.03 - 1991	N/A
	Aldicarb (117) [Bayer CropScience]	Not supported by the manufacturer	Not supported by the manufacturer	1995	0.003 - 1992	0.003 - 1995
Diazinon (22) [Makhteshim-Agan]	Diazinon (22)	Awaiting advice on commodities		1996	0.005 - 2006	0.03 - 2006
Phosalone (60) [Cheminova]	Phosalone (60)	Awaiting advice on commodities	Durian (Thailand)	1997	0.02 - 1997	0.3 - 2001
Quintozene (64) [Crompton – AMVAC]	Quintozene	Awaiting advice on commodities		1995	0.01 - 1995	N/A

TOXICOLOGY	RESIDUE	Issue – Commodities supported	Comments	Previous evaluation	ADI	ARfD
Disulfoton (74) – [Bayer CropScience]	Disulfoton (74)	Awaiting advice on commodities	Support from USA Confirmation of support is required	1996	0.0003 - 2006	0.003 - 2006
Carbofuran (96) FMC Corporation	Carbofuran	Awaiting advice on commodities		1997	0.001 - 1996	0.001 - 2009
Amitraz (122) – [Arysta Lifesciences]	Amitraz (122)	Awaiting advice on commodities	Await further advice	1998	0.01 - 1998	0.01 - 1998
Carbosulfan (145) [FMC Corporation]	Carbosulfan	Awaiting advice on commodities	Asparagus; egg plant (Thailand)	1997	0.01 (1986)	0.02 (2003)
Fenbuconazole (197) [Dow AgroSciences]	Fenbuconazole	Awaiting advice on commodities	Awaiting advice on commodities	1997	0.03 (1997)	0.2 (2012)
Kresoxim-methyl (199) [BASF]	Kresoxim-methyl	Awaiting advice on commodities		1998	0.4 (1998)	NR (1998)
Pyriproxyfen [xx] (200)	Pyriproxyfen	Awaiting advice on commodities		1999	0.1 (1999)	NR (1999)
Malathion [xxx] (049)	malathion	Awaiting advice on commodities	Requested at CCPR46	1999	0.3 (1997	2.0 (2003)

TABLE 3: RECORD OF PERIODIC REVIEWS

Note 4: All information is derived from the current "DRAFT AND PROPOSED DRAFT MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS IN FOODS AND FEEDS AT STEPS 7 AND 4"

Note 5: The year value provided in the schedule (tox) and (residue) columns is based on chronological order and is for guidance only.

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
007	Captan	1963	1995T, 2004T(ARfD), 2000R			
800	Carbaryl	1965	2001T(ADI, ARfD), 2002R			
017	Chlorpyrifos	1972	1999T, 2000R			
020	2,4-D	1970	1996T, 2001T(ARfD), 1998R			
025	Dichlorvos	1965	2011T, 2012R			AMVAC
026	Dicofol	1968	1992, 2011T			Not supported by manufacturer
030	Diphenylamine	1969	1998T, 2001R			
031	Diquat	1970	1993T, 1994R, 2013			Syngenta
032	Endosulfan	1965	1998T, 2006R			
035	Ethoxyquin	1969	2005T, 1999R			
037	Fenitrothion	1969	2007T(ADI, ARfD), 2003R			
041	Folpet	1969	1995T, 2007T(ARfD), 1998R			
056	2-phenylphenol	1969	1999			
057	Paraquat	1970	2003T, 2004R			
059	Parathion-methyl	1965	1995T, 2000R			
062	Piperonyl butoxide	1965	1995T, 2001T(ARfD), 2001R			

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
063	Pyrethrins	1965	2003T, 2000R			
065	Thiabendazole	1970	1997T, 2006T(ARfD), 1997R			
067	Cyhexatin	1970	2005T, 2005R			
072	Carbendazim	1973	1995T, 2005T(<i>ARfD</i>), 1998R			
079	Amitrole	1974	1997T, 1998R			
081	Chlorothalonil	1974	2009T, 2010R			
083	Dicloran	1974	1998			
084	Dodine	1974	2000T, 2003R			
085	Fenamiphos	1974	1997T, 2002T(<i>ARfD</i>), 1999R			
086	Pirimiphos-methyl	1974	1992T, 2006T(<i>ARfD</i>), 2003R			
090	Chlorpyrifos-methyl	1975	2009			
094	Methomyl	1975	2001			
095	Acephate	1976	2005T, 2003R			
100	Methamidophos	1976	2002T, 2003R			
101	Pirimicarb	1976	2004			
102	Maleic hydrazide	1976	1996T, 1998R			
103	Phosmet	1976	1994T, 2003T, 1997R 2002R			0.01 (1998), 0.2 (2003) Gowan
112	Phorate	1977	2004T, 2005R			
113	Propargite	1977	1999T, 2002R			

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
118	Cypermethrin	1979	2006T, 2008R			
119	Fenvalerate	1979	2012			Sumitomo Chemical
129	Azocyclotin	1979	2005T, 2005R			
130	Diflubenzuron	1981	2001T, 2002R			
132	Methiocarb	1981	1998T, 1999R			
133	Triadimefon/triadimenol	1979	2004T, 2007R			133 /168
135	Deltamethrin	1980	2000T, 2002R			
142	Prochloraz	1983	2001T, 2004R			
143	Triazophos	1982	2002T, 2007R			
144	Bitertanol	1983	1998T, 1999R			
146	Cyhalothrin	1984	2004(JECFA)			
146	Lambda-cyhalothrin	1984	2007T, 2008R			
147	Methoprene	1984	2001T, 2005R			
148	Propamocarb	1984	2005T, 2006R			
149	Ethoprophos	1983	1999T, 2004R			
151	Dimethipin	1985	1999T, 2004T(<i>ARfD</i>), 2001R			
155	Benalaxyl	1986	2005T, 2009R			
156	Clofentezine	1986	2005T, 2007R			
157	Cyfluthrin	1986	2006T, 2007R			

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
158	Glyphosate	1986	2004			
160	Propiconazole	1987	2004T, 2007R			
162	Tolylfluanid	1988	2002			
165	Flusilazole	1989	2007			
166	Oxydemeton-methyl	1989	2002T, 1998R			
167	Terbufos	1989	2003T			
169	Cyromazine	1990	2006T, 2007R			
171	Profenofos	1990	2007T, 2008R			
172	Bentazone	1991	2012T, 2004T(ARfD), 2013			BASF
173	Buprofezin	1991	2008			
174	Cadusafos	1991	2009T, 2010R			
175	Glufosinate-ammonium	1991	2012			Bayer CropScience
176	Hexythiazox	1991	2008T, 2009R			
178	Bifenthrin	1992	2009T, 2010R			
179	Cycloxydim	1992	2009T, 2012R			BASF
180	Dithianon	1992	2010T, 2013R			
184	Etofenprox	1993	2011T,R			Mitsui Chemical Inc
185	Fenpropathrin	1993	2012T		2014	Sumitomo Chemical
189	Tebuconazole	1994	2010T, 2011R			

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
194	Haloxyfop	1995	2006T, 2009R			
196	Tebufenozide	1996	2003T(ARfD)			
201	Chlorpropham	2000	2005T(ADI, <i>ARfD</i>)			
116	Triforine	1977	1997T	2014	2014	Support from Sumitomo Co.
181	Myclobutanil	1992	None	2014	2014	Support from Dow AgroSciences
015	Chlormequat	1970	1997T, 1999T(ARfD) 1994	2015	2015	Support from BASF
048	Lindane	1965	2002T, 2003R	2015	2015	
106	Ethephon	1977	1997T, 2002T(ARfD), 1994R	2015	2015	Bayer CropScience
138	Metalaxyl	1982	2002T	2015	2015	Quimicas del Vallés - SCC GmbH
177	Abamectin	1992	1997T	2015	2015	Syngenta
182	Penconazole	1992	None	2015	2015	Syngenta
110	Imazalil	1977	1977, 2000T, 2005T(ARfD)	2016	2016	Janssen
111	Iprodione	1977	1995T, 1994R	2016	2016	Support from BASF
188	Fenpropimorph	1994	2004T(ARfD)	2016	2016	Support from BASF
190	Teflubenzuron	1994	None	2016	2016	Support unknown
105	Dithiocarbamates - incl propineb, ferbam, ziram	1965	1993R, 1996T ferbam, ziram, 2004 propineb	2017	2017	Individual DTCs are evaluated, propineb 2004, ferbam/ziram 1996
126	Oxamyl	1980	2002	2017	2017	Dupont
187	Clethodim	1994	1999T(ARfD)	2017	2017	Support from USA

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
191	Tolclofos-methyl	1994	None	2017	2017	Sumitomo Chemical
193	Fenpyroximate	1995	2007T(ARfD)	2017	2017	Nihon
051	Methidathion	1972	1997T, 1992	2018	2018	Not supported
070	Bromopropylate	1973	1993	2018	2018	Syngenta
082	Dichlofluanid	1969	1983T	2018	2018	Not supported by manufacturer
120	Permethrin	1979	1999T	2018	2018	Not supported by manufacturer
195	Flumethrin	1996	None	2018	2018	Bayer CropScience
027	Dimethoate	1965	1996T, 2003T(ARfD), 1998R	2019	2019	
046	Hydrogen phosphide	1965	1966T	2019	2019	Support unknown
047	Bromide ion	1968	1988T	2019	2019	Support unknown
192	Fenarimol	1995	None	2019	2019	
002	Azinphos-methyl	1965	2007T	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Makhteshim
022	Diazinon	1965	2006Т, 1993	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Makhteshim-Agan
039	Fenthion	1971	1995, 1997T(ARfD)	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Not supported by manufacturer
049	Malathion	1965	1997T, 2003T(ARfD), 1999R	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	
060	Phosalone	1972	1997T, 2001T(ARfD), 1994R	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Cheminova
064	Quintozene	1969	1995	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Chemtura
074	Disulfoton	1973	1996T(ARfD)	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Bayer CropScience
087	Dinocap	1969	1998T, 2000T(ARfD)	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Not supported by manufacturer

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
093	Bioresmethrin	1975	1991T, none	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Not supported by manufacturer
096	Carbofuran	1976	1996T, 2008T(<i>ARfD</i>), 1997R	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	
109	Fenbutatin oxide	1977	1992T, 1993R	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Not supported by BASF
115	Tecnazene	1974	1994T	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Support unknown
117	Aldicarb	1979	1992T, 1995T(ARfD), 1994R	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Bayer CropScience
122	Amitraz	1980	1998T	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Arysta Lifesciences
145	Carbosulfan	1984	2003T, 1997R	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	
197	Fenbuconazole	1997	None	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	Dow
199	Kresoxim-methyl	1998	None	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	
200	Pyriproxyfen	1999	None	Listed-not scheduled	Listed-not scheduled	
202	Fipronil	2000/2001	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	BASF
203	Spinosad	2001	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
204	Esfenvalerate	2002	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
205	Flutolanil	2002	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
206	Imidacloprid	2001	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
207	Cyprodinil	2003	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
208	Famoxadone	2003	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
209	Methoxyfenozide	2003	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
210	Pyraclostrobin	2003	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
211	Fludioxonil	2004	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
212	Metalaxyl-M	2002	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
213	Trifloxystrobin	2004	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
214	Dimethenamid-P	2005	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
215	Fenhexamid	2005	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
216	Indoxacarb	2005	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
217	Novaluron	2005	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
218	Sulfuryl fluoride	2005	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
219	Bifenazate	2006	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
220	Aminopyralid	2007	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
221	Boscalid	2006	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
222	Quinoxyfen	2006	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
223	Thiacloprid	2006	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
224	Difenoconazole	2007	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
225	Dimethomorph	2007	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
226	Pyrimethanil	2007	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
227	Zoxamide	2007	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
229	Azoxystrobin	2008	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
230	Chlorantraniliprole	2008	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
231	Mandipropamid	2008	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
232	Prothioconazole	2008	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
233	Spinetoram	2008	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
234	Spirotetramat	2008	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
235	Fluopicolide	2009	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
236	Metaflumizone	2009	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
237	Spirodiclofen	2009	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
238	Clothianidin	2010	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
239	Cyproconazole	2010	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
240	Dicamba	2010	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
241	Etoxazole	2010	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
242	Flubendiamide	2010	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
243	Fluopyram	2010	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
244	Meptyldinocap	2010	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
245	Thiamethoxam	2010	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
246	Acetamiprid	2011	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
247	Emamectin-benzoate	2011	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
248	Flutriafol	2011	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
249	Isopyrazam	2011	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
250	Propylene oxide	2011	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
251	Saflufenacil	2011	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
252	Sulfoxaflor	2011	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
253	Penthiopyrad	2011	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
253	Ametoctradin	2012	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	[BASF] – USA
254	Chlorfenapyr	2012	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	[BASF] – Brazil
255	Dinotefuran	2012	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	[Mitsui Chemicals Agro] – Japan
256	Fluxapyroxad	2012	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	[BASF] – USA
257	MCPA	2012	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	[Nufarm] – USA
258	Picoxystrobin	2012	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	[Dupont] -USA
259	Sedaxane	2012	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	[Syngenta] – USA
261	Benzovindiflupyr	2013	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Syngenta
262	Bixafen	2013	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Bayer CropScience
263	Cyantraniliprole	2013	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	DuPont
264	Fenamidone	2013/14	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Bayer CropScience
265	Fluensulfone	2013/14	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Makhteshim
266	Imazapic	2013	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	BASF
267	Imazapyr	2013	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	BASF
268	Isoxaflutole	2013	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Bayer CropScience

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
269	Tolfenpyrad	2013	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Nihon Nohyaku
270	Triflumizole	2013	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Nippon Soda
271	Trinexapac	2013	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Syngenta
999	Aminocyclopyrachlor	2014	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	DuPont
999	Cyflumetofen	2014	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	BASF
999	Dichlobenil	2014	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Chemtura
999	Flufenoxuron	2014	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	BASF
999	Imazamox	2014	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	BASF
999	Mesotrione	2014	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Syngenta
999	Metrafenone	2014	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	BASF
999	Pymetrozine	2014	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Syngenta
999	Acetochlor	2015	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Monsanto
999	Cyazofamid	2015	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha
999	Fenazaquin	2015	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Gowan
999	Flonicamid	2015	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha
999	Fluazifop-p-butyl	2015	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Syngenta
999	Flumioxazin	2015	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Sumitomo
999	Flupyradifurone	2015	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Bayer CropScience
999	Phosphorous acid	2015	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	?

Code	Chemical	Initial JMPR evaluation	Periodic reviews	Scheduled (Tox)	Scheduled (Residues)	Notes
999	Pyrifluquinazon	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Nihon Nohyaku
999	Quinclorac	2015	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	BASF
999	Norfluazuron	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Syngenta
999	Spiromesifen	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	Bayer CropScience
999	Acibenzolar-S methyl	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999	cyclaniliprole	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999	ethiprole	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999	imazethapyr	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999	isofetamid	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999	МСРВ	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999	norflurazon	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999	oxathiapiprolin	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999	pinoxaden	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999	pendimethalin	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999	spiromesifen	2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999		2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999		2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	
999		2016	None	Never scheduled	Never scheduled	

TABLE 4: CHEMICAL-COMMODITY COMBINATIONS FOR WHICH SPECIFIC GAP IS NO LONGER SUPPORTED

Code	de Chemical Comments		
49	Malathion Apple; citrus; grapes (EU GAP no longer supported by EU)		
39	Fenthion Cherry; citrus fruits; olive oil (virgin); olives (EU GAP no longer supported by EU)		
162	Tolyfluanid	All commodities (EU GAP no longer supported)	