

CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



World Health
Organization

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org

Agenda Item 9

CX/FFV 17/20/10

July 2017

JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME CODEX COMMITTEE ON FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

20th Session

Kampala, Uganda, 2 – 6 October, 2017

PROPOSED LAYOUT FOR CODEX STANDARDS FOR FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES (Outstanding issues)

(Prepared by the Electronic Working Group chaired by the United States of America
and co-chaired by Germany)

Codex Members and Observers wishing to submit comments on this draft should do so as instructed in CL 2017/17-FFV available at the Codex webpage/Circular letters 2017: <http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/circular-letters/en/>. Comments will only be accepted through the online commenting system within the deadline indicated in the CL.

BACKGROUND

1. After discussions on the proposed Codex Fresh Fruits and Vegetables Layout at the 19th Session of the Committee on Fresh Fruits and Vegetables (CCFFV) that met in Ixtapa Zihuatanejo, Guerrero, Mexico, from 5 – 9 October 2015, CCFFV established an Electronic Working Group (EWG) chaired by USA and co-chaired by Germany, working in English only, to consider those unresolved issues within Standard layout text located in brackets within¹:
 - **Section 3.1 – Minimum Requirements:** Allocation of a note on the application of phytosanitary rules to the provisions on presence of pests and damage caused by pest in all Codex standards for fresh fruits and vegetables.
 - **Section 4 – Provisions concerning sizing:** Point (E) - Definition of miniature produce.
 - **Section 5 – Provisions concerning tolerances:**
 - Inclusion of tolerances for decay, soft rot and internal breakdown in “Extra” Class.
 - Tolerance percentage of 1% in “Extra” Class.
 - **Section 7.2 – Non-retail Container:** Applicability of the provision to alternatively / additionally provide separate information to those indicated on the package (i.e. in the documents accompanying the shipment).
2. The list of participants of the EWG is contained in Appendix II. The document with proposed solutions (indicated as options) to the unresolved section of the standard layout was circulated to EWG members on November 14, 2016 with a deadline of March 30, 2017 for submission of comments.
3. This document focuses only on the four unresolved sections of the standard layout indicated in the Terms of Reference of the EWG, as mandated by CCFFV19. The following table summarizes the comments from Argentina, Costa Rica, European Union, Iran, New Zealand, Switzerland, Thailand, and USA along with recommendations.
4. Four delegations submitted comments that are not within the current mandate. These delegations are advised to submit their comments to CCFFV20.

¹ REP 16/FFV para 101, Appendix VII.

THE DISCUSSION IN THE EWG

Table 1: Section 3.1 – Minimum Requirements

Text for discussion is underlined or stroke through	Proposals	Comments received	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Practically free from pests¹ • < Practically of from damaged caused by pests¹ > {or} • < Free of damage caused by pests affecting the flesh¹ > <p>¹ <u>The provisions for pests applies without prejudice to the applicable plant protection rules applied by governments in line with the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC).</u></p>	<p><u>Option 1:</u> Adding the underlined footnote to the provisions on pests.</p> <p><u>Option 2:</u> Omitting the bracketed text and retaining the original text.</p>	<p>Argentina, European Union, New Zealand, Switzerland: support</p> <p>Thailand: support (footnote to pests)</p> <p>Costa Rica, Iran, USA: support</p>	<p>Option 1: Considering this issue always result in lengthy discussions in the elaboration of every CCFFV standard, it is recommended that Option 1 be accepted. This option provides clarity through a direct reference to International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC).</p>

Table 2: Section 4 – Provisions concerning sizing

Text for discussion is underlined or stroke through	Proposals	Comments received	Recommendation
<p>{In cases when minimum size are established the size requirements might not apply to miniature produce: <u>In case of introduction of the exemption for miniature produce, it should be checked whether other provisions on maturity and/or ripeness sufficiently developed are already in the standard or should be introduced, to guarantee the adequate development of miniature produce.</u>}</p> <p>< The size requirements shall not apply to miniature produce. [Miniature produce means produce obtained from a variety or cultivar of vegetable, obtained by plant breeding and/or special cultivation techniques. <u>These produce though smaller in size than the minimum size requirement in the standard when applicable), however, they must meet all other requirements of the standard.</u>] ></p>	<p>Option 1 Retain underlined text</p> <p>Option 2: Excluding miniature produce from the standard.</p>	<p>Argentina, European Union, New Zealand, Switzerland, Thailand, USA: support</p> <p>Costa Rica: Support</p> <p>Iran: support the exclusion of sizing requirements from the CCFFV standards.</p>	<p>Option 1: One comment indicated that “Miniature Produce” needs to be sufficiently defined to preclude small fruits of standard varieties; while another comment was opposed to sizing requirements in the standard due to new plant varieties, crop manipulation and differences in socio-cultural taste. It is recommended that Option 1 be accepted and whenever a request is made to include miniature produce in a CCFFV standard-supporting scientific information must be provided to show that apart from size the produce meets other minimum and maturity requirements of the standard.</p>

Table 3: Section 5 – Provisions concerning tolerances

Text for discussion is underlined or stroke through	Proposals	Comments received	Recommendation
<p>5.1.1. Extra Class Included therein, is <u>[one]</u> percent tolerance for decay, soft rot and/or internal breakdown.</p> <p>5.1.2 Class I Included therein, is <u>[one]</u> percent tolerance for decay, soft rot and/or internal breakdown.</p> <p>5.1.3 Class II Included therein, is two percent tolerance for decay, soft rot and/or internal breakdown.</p>	<p>Option 1: Make allowance for decay, soft rot and internal breakdown in all classes, thereby facilitating the international application of the standard.</p>	<p>Argentina, Costa Rica, Thailand, USA: support</p> <p>Iran: support (tolerances can be adjusted depending on the characteristics of the produce)</p> <p>New Zealand: support, if option 4 is not accepted.</p>	<p>Option 1: Based on the comments received it is recommend that Option 1 be adopted. However, to reflect the support expressed for option 3, it is recommended that under the heading of 5.1. Quality Tolerances the following text be added.</p> <p>“{The tolerances for decay may be established depending on the characteristics/ nature of produce.}”</p>
	<p>Option 2: Set a progression of tolerance limits for soft rot, decay and internal breakdown at various points within the distribution channel.</p>		
	<p>Option 3: Maintain the exclusion of tolerance for decay, soft rot and internal breakdown in Extra Class.</p>	<p>European Union, Switzerland: support</p>	
	<p>Option 4: Discontinuing Extra Class in all CCFV standards.</p>	<p>New Zealand: support</p>	

Table 4: Section 7.2 – Non-retail Container

Text for discussion is underlined or stroke through	Proposals	Comments received	Recommendation
<p>Each package must bear the following particulars, in letters grouped on the same side, legibly and indelibly marked, and visible from the outside [or in the documents accompanying the shipment].</p>	<p>Option 1: Keeping existing text.</p>	<p>New Zealand, Switzerland, USA: support</p>	<p>Option 3: There was no consensus on any of the options provided. However, Option 3 avoids redundant text and encompasses Option 2 while providing the information required. Therefore Option 3 is recommended.</p>
	<p>Option 2: Keeping the first part of the sentence; replacing “or”, and accepting the text in the square brackets.</p>		
	<p>Option 3: Deleting the text in square brackets and introducing the 2nd paragraph which is in pointed brackets whenever a produce is allowed – after grading – to be presented without any packaging but in bulk in a transport vehicle. This 2nd paragraph is drafted for sea, air and rail containers containing produce in bulk.</p>	<p>Argentina, European Union: support</p>	
		<p>Iran, Thailand: none of the options selected</p>	

RECOMMENDATIONS

5. The EWG recommends that CCFFV20:
- Note the report of the EWG above; and
 - Approve the Proposed Layout for Codex Standards for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables (Appendix I) containing the four sections as a template for for future standards development.

PROPOSED LAYOUT FOR STANDARDS FOR FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

Standard for {name of produce}

CODEX STAN {number of the Standard} {year of the first adoption}

INTRODUCTION

- This Layout is for use by the Codex Committee on Fresh Fruits and Vegetables (CCFFV);
- The Standard Layout must be followed when developing new or revising existing Codex/FFV Standards. However, it is permissible to use other appropriate texts in the Standard Layout to reflect individual FFV characteristics.

In the text the following conventions are used:

- {name of produce} must be replaced by the common name of the produce to be covered by the standard.
- {text}: For text which explains the use of the Standard Layout. This text does not appear in the standards.
- <text>: For optional texts or text for which several alternatives exist, depending on the products. Depending on the nature of produce the provision(s) in brackets may be removed as not applicable/necessary.

1. SCOPE

The purpose of the standard is to define the quality requirements for {name of produce} at the export-control stage after preparation and packaging. However, if applied at stages following packaging, products may show in relation to the requirements of the standard:

- a slight lack of freshness and turgidity;
- <for products graded in classes other than the “Extra” Class,> a slight deterioration due to their development and their tendency to perish.

The holder/seller of products may not display such products or offer them for sale, or deliver or market them in any manner other than in conformity with this standard. The holder/seller shall be responsible for observing such conformity.

2. DEFINITION OF PRODUCE

This Standard applies to <part of the produce being standardized of> commercial varieties (cultivars) of { name(s) of produce} grown from {Latin botanical reference}¹ from the {Latin botanical reference}¹ family to be supplied fresh to the consumer <{Name of produce} for industrial processing is/are excluded.>.

{The Latin botanical reference is given in accordance with the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature}

{Additional provisions concerning the definition of the produce may be included under is heading.}

3. PROVISIONS CONCERNING QUALITY

3.1 MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS

In all classes, subject to the special provisions for each class and the tolerances allowed, the {name of produce} must be:

- intact {depending on the nature of the produce, a deviation from the provision or additional provisions are allowed};
- sound; produce affected by rotting or deterioration such as to make it unfit for consumption is excluded;
- firm;

¹ All information on botanical names is taken from the GRIN database (www.ars-grin.gov) or Mansfeld's World Database of Agricultural and Horticultural Crops (<http://mansfeld.ipk-gatersleben.de/apex/f?p=185:3:0>) or any other suitable database.

- clean, practically free of any visible foreign matter;
- practically free from pests²;
- <practically free of damage caused by pests {For fresh fruits and vegetables with edible skin²}>{or};
- <free of damage caused by pests affecting the flesh {For fresh fruits and vegetables with inedible skins or skins that are peeled off prior to consumption²}>;
- free of abnormal external moisture excluding condensation following removal from cold storage;
- free of any foreign smell and/or taste;
- fresh in appearance;
- free of damage caused by low and/or high temperature;
- {Additional provisions may be made for specific standards, depending on the nature of the produce}.

The development and condition of the {name of produce} must be such as to enable them:

- To withstand transportation and handling; and
- To arrive in satisfactory condition at the place of destination.

3.1.1 Minimum Maturity Requirements

The {name of produce} must have reached an appropriate degree of development and/or maturity in accordance with criteria proper to the variety <and/or commercial type>, to the time of harvesting/picking/etc.>, and to the area in which they are grown.

The {name of produce} must display sufficient development for the intended purpose in accordance with criteria appropriate to the variety and to the area in which they are grown {for non-climacteric fruit}.

The development and state of maturity of {name of produce} must be such as to enable them to continue their ripening process and to reach the degree of ripeness required in relation to the varietal characteristics <and the growing area> {for climacteric fruit}.

<The {name of produce} must be sufficiently developed and display such in relation to the varietal characteristics <and the growing area>.>

3.2 CLASSIFICATION

OPTION 1 – Existing text

The {name of produce} are/is classified into three classes defined below:

3.2.1 “Extra” Class

{Name of produce} in this class must be of superior quality. They must be characteristic of the variety <and/or commercial type>. They must be free from defects, with the exception of very slight superficial defects, provided these do not affect the general appearance of the produce, the quality, the keeping quality and presentation in the package.

<They must be:

.....
.....
.....>

{Add additional Provisions/defects allowed, depending on the nature of the produce.}

3.2.2 Class I

{Name of produce} in this class must be of good quality. They must be characteristic of the variety <and/or commercial type>.

² The provisions for pests applies without prejudice to the applicable plant protection rules applied by governments in line with the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC).

<They must be:

.....
.....
.....>

{Add additional Provisions/defects allowed, depending on the nature of the produce.}

The following slight defects, however, may be allowed, provided these do not affect the general appearance of the produce, the quality, the keeping quality and presentation in the package:

- a slight defect in shape;
- slight defects in colouring;
- slight skin defects;

.....
.....
.....

{Add additional provisions/defects allowed, depending on the nature of the produce.}

<The defects must not, in any case, affect the <flesh/pulp/etc.> of the <fruit/produce/etc.> or {name of produce}.>

3.2.3 Class II

This class includes {name of produce} that do not qualify for inclusion in the higher classes but satisfy the minimum requirements specified in Section 2.1 above.

<They must be:

.....
.....
.....>

{Add additional provisions/defects allowed, depending on the nature of the produce.}

The following defects may be allowed, provided the {name of produce} retain their essential characteristics as regards the quality, the keeping quality and presentation:

- defects in shape;
- defects in colouring;
- skin defects;

<The flesh must be free from major defects.>

OPTION 2: CLASSIFICATION – alternative in connection with table on tolerances

In accordance with <sizing requirements in Section 4 – Provision concerning Sizing (when applicable) and> Section 5 – Provisions concerning Tolerances, {name of produce} are classified into the following class(es).

“Extra” Class, Class I and Class II.

4. PROVISIONS CONCERNING SIZING

{Sizing should not be a factor in classification unless there is a direct correlation between size and sufficient development and market acceptance.}

{Name of produce} may be sized by < diameter, count, length or weight>; < or in accordance with existing trade practices. When sized in accordance with existing trade practices, the package must be labelled with the size and method used.>

- (A) When sized by count, size is determined by the number of individual fruit per package< in accordance with the following table>. <The following table is a guide and may be used on an optional basis.>
- (B) When sized by length, size is determined by the length of the longitudinal axis <excluding

the peduncle>.

- (C) When sized by diameter, size is determined by either the maximum diameter of the equatorial section of each fruit or a diameter range per package < in accordance with the following table>. <The following table is a guide and may be used on an optional basis.>.
- (D) When sized by weight, size is determined based on the individual weight of each fruit or a weight range per package. < in accordance with the following table>. <The following table is a guide and may be used on an optional basis.>
- (E) The minimum size shall be {should be only defined in cases to guarantee sufficient development}

{In case when minimum sizes are established the size requirements might not apply to miniature produce: In case of introduction of the exemption for miniature produce, it should be checked whether other provisions on maturity and/or ripeness sufficiently developed are already in the standard or should be introduced, to guarantee the adequate development of miniature produce.}

<The size requirements shall not apply to miniature produce. Miniature produce means produce obtained from a variety or cultivar of vegetable, obtained by plant breeding and/or special cultivation techniques. These produce though smaller in size than the minimum size requirement in the standard when applicable), however, they must meet all other requirements of the standard.].>

- (F) <There is no sizing requirement for {name of produce, variety, commercial type or class depending on the nature of produce}>.

<To ensure uniformity in size, the range in size between produce in the same package shall not exceed ...>

- (a) For fruit sized by diameter: x mm.
- (b) For fruit sized by weight: x grams.
- (c) For fruit sized by count: the difference in size should be consistent with the difference indicated in point (a).
- (d) In case size codes are applied, the codes and ranges in the following table have to be respected.

{When tables and size codes are used to define uniformity in size, the size codes should be arranged in descending order ... example to be included}

<There is no sizing uniformity requirement for Class II.>

{Provisions can be added on minimum and maximum sizes and size range, depending on the nature of produce, the variety, the commercial type and possibly the individual classes}.

5. PROVISIONS CONCERNING TOLERANCES

OPTION 1: Classification/Tolerances

5.1 QUALITY TOLERANCES

At all marketing stages, tolerances in respect of quality and size shall be allowed in each lot for produce not satisfying the requirements of the class indicated. Produce that fail conformity assessment, may be allowed to be resorted and brought into conformity in accordance with the *Guidelines for Food Import Control System* (CAC/GL 47-2003) paragraphs 9, 10 and 27.

{The tolerances for decay may be established depending on the characteristics/ nature of produce.}

5.1.1 "Extra" Class

Five percent 5.0%, by number or weight, of {name of produce} not satisfying the requirements of the class, but meeting those of Class I. Included therein, is one percent tolerance for decay, soft rot and/or internal breakdown

{Add possible tolerances for individual defects, depending on the nature of the produce.}

5.1.2 Class I

Ten percent, 10.0% by number or weight, of {name of produce} not satisfying the requirements of the class, but meeting those of Class II. Included therein, is one percent tolerance for decay, soft rot and/or internal breakdown.

{Add possible tolerances for individual defects, depending on the nature of the produce.}

5.1.3 Class II

Ten percent, 10.0% by number or weight, of {name of produce} not satisfying the requirements of the class. Included therein, is two percent tolerance for decay, soft rot and/or internal breakdown.

{Add possible tolerances for individual defects, depending on the nature of the produce.}

{The percentages for decay shall be adapted to the characteristics of the produce.}

5.2 SIZE TOLERANCES

For all classes if sized: Ten percent 10.0% by number or weight of {name of produce} not satisfying the requirements as regards to sizing.

OPTION 2 – Classification/Tolerances

Provisions concerning tolerances

At all marketing stages, tolerances in respect of quality and size shall be allowed in each lot for produce not satisfying the requirements of the class indicated. Produce that fail conformity assessment, may be allowed to be resorted and brought into conformity in accordance with the *Guidelines on Food Import and Export Inspection and Certification* (CCFICS) Guideline 47-2003 sections 9, 10 and 27.

Quality Tolerances	Tolerances allowed percentage of defective produce by count or weight		
	Extra Class	Class I	Class II
(a) Total Tolerance {name of produce} not satisfying the quality requirements	5	10	10
of which no more than {examples given below}			
- Condition (Progressive) Defects Shriveling Unhealed bruises Mechanical damage Pest damage Unhealed bruises Mechanical Damage Pest damage			
- Quality (Non-Progressive) Defects Sunburn Misshapen Immature/not sufficiently developed			
• [Decay, soft rot, internal breakdown	1	1	2]
Additional tolerances			
(b) Size Tolerances- off size from what is indicated/marked	10	10	10
(c) Produce belonging to other similar varieties than marked			

{Additional condition and quality factors may be added depending on the product characteristics.}

{The percentages for decay shall be adapted to the characteristics of the produce.}

6. PROVISIONS CONCERNING PRESENTATION

6.1 UNIFORMITY

The contents of each package <(or lot for produce presented in bulk in the transport vehicle)> must be uniform and contain only {name of produce} of the same origin, quality and size <(if sized)>.

<However, a mixture of {name of produce} of distinctly different <species> <varieties> <commercial types> <colours> may be packed together in a <package> <sales package>, provided they are uniform in quality and, for each <species> <variety> <commercial type> <colour> concerned, in

origin.>

{It is recommended, not to require uniformity in size for this type of mixtures.}

{In addition, for individual standards, uniformity concerning variety and/or commercial type may be laid down, depending on the nature of the produce.}

{If specific requirements, including net weight limits of sales packages, are needed, they can be added within the context of individual standards.}

{Other possible provisions, depending on the nature of the produce.}

The visible part of the contents of the package <(or lot for produce presented in bulk in the transport vehicle)> must be representative of the entire contents.

6.2 PACKAGING

{Name of produce} must be packed in such a way as to protect the produce properly. The materials used inside the package must be of food-grade quality, clean, and of a quality such as to avoid causing any external or internal damage to the produce. The use of materials, particularly of paper or stamps bearing trade specifications, is allowed, provided the printing or labelling has been done with non-toxic ink or glue.

<Stickers individually affixed to the produce shall be such that, when removed, they neither leave visible traces of glue nor lead to skin defects.>

{Name of produce} shall be packed in each container in compliance with the *Code of Practice for Packaging and Transport of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables* (CAC/RCP 44-1995).

6.2.1 Description of Containers

The container shall meet the quality, hygiene, ventilation and resistance characteristics to ensure suitable handling, shipping and preserving of the {name of produce}.

Packages <(or lots for produce presented in bulk)> must be free of all foreign matter and smell.

7. PROVISIONS CONCERNING MARKING OR LABELLING

7.1 CONSUMER PACKAGES

In addition to the requirement of the General Standard for the *Labelling of Pre-packaged Foods* (CODEX STAN 1- 1985), the following specific provisions apply:

7.1.1 Nature of Produce

Each shall be labelled as to the name of the produce and may be labelled as to name of the variety <and/or commercial type>.

7.1.2 Origin of Produce

Country of origin³ and, optionally, district where grown, or national, regional or local place name.

<In the case of a mixture of distinctly different varieties <species> of {name of produce} of different origins, the indication of each country of origin shall appear next to the name of the variety<species> concerned.>

7.2 NON-RETAIL CONTAINERS

Each package must bear the following particulars, in letters grouped on the same side, legibly and indelibly marked, and visible from the outside.

<For {name of produce} transported in bulk (direct loading into a transport vehicle) these particulars must appear on a document accompanying the goods, and attached in a visible position inside the transport vehicle unless the document is replaced by an electronic solution. In that case the identification must be machine readable and easily accessible.>

³ The full or a commonly used name should be indicated.

7.2.1 Identification

Name and address of exporter, packer and/or dispatcher. Identification code (optional)⁴.

<Packer and/or dispatcher/shipper: Name and physical address (e.g. street/city/region/postal code and, if different from the country of origin, the country) or a code mark officially recognized by the national authority⁵.

7.2.2 Nature of Produce

- Name of the produce <name of the variety <and/or commercial type>(optional).>
- <The name of the variety can be replaced by a synonym. A trade name⁶ can only be given in addition to the variety or the synonym.>
- <name of the variety. In the case of a mixture of {name of produce} of distinctly different varieties
- <species>, names of the different varieties <species>.>
- <“Mixture of {name of produce}”, or equivalent denomination, in the case of a mixture of distinctly different commercial types and/or colours of {name of produce}. If the produce is not visible from the outside, the commercial types and/or colours and the quantity of each in the package must be indicated.>

{Add name of the commercial type, depending on the nature of the produce}.

7.2.3 Origin of produce

Country of origin⁷ and, optionally, district where grown, or national, regional or local place name.<In the case of a mixture of distinctly different varieties <species> of {name of produce} of different origins, the indication of each country of origin shall appear next to the name of the variety<species> concerned.>

7.2.4 Commercial Specifications

- class;
- Size <(if sized)>

{Add other possible particulars, depending on the nature of the produce}.

7.2.5 Official control mark (optional)

8. FOOD ADDITIVES

<No food additives are permitted in these products.>

<For untreated {name of vegetables}, food additives listed in Tables 1 and 2 of the *General Standard for Food Additives* (CODEX STAN 192-1995) in Food Category 04.2.1.1 (Untreated fresh vegetables (including mushrooms and fungi, roots and tubers, pulses and legumes (including soybeans), and aloe vera), seaweeds, and nuts and seeds) are acceptable for use in foods conforming to this standard. >

{For untreated fruits, as currently no food additives are permitted according to the GSFA. Therefore, only untreated vegetables are mentioned as above.}.

<For treated {name of produce} Food additives listed in Tables 1 and 2 of the *General Standard for Food Additives* (CODEX STAN 192-1995) in Food Categories 04.1.1.2 (Surface-treated fresh fruit) or 04.2.1.2 (Surface- treated fresh vegetables, (including mushrooms and fungi, roots and tubers, pulses and legumes, and aloe vera), seaweeds, and nuts and seeds) are acceptable for use in foods conforming to this Standard.>

{Include the appropriate provisions, depending on the nature of the produce}.

⁴ The national legislation of a number of countries requires the explicit declaration of the name and address. However, in the case where a code mark is used, the reference “packer and/or dispatcher (or equivalent abbreviations)” has to be indicated in close connection with the code mark.

⁵ The national legislation of a number of countries requires the explicit declaration of the name and address. However, in the case where a code mark is used, the reference “packer and/or dispatcher (or equivalent abbreviations)” has to be indicated in close connection with the code mark, and the code mark should be preceded by the ISO 3166 (alpha) country/area code of the recognizing country, if not the country of origin.

⁶ A trade name can be a trade mark for which protection has been sought or obtained or any other commercial denomination.

⁷ The full or a commonly used name should be indicated.

9. CONTAMINANTS

- 9.1** The produce covered by this Standard shall comply with the maximum residue limits for pesticides established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission.
- 9.2** The produce covered by this Standard shall comply with the maximum levels of the *General Standard for Contaminants and Toxins in Food and Feed* (CODEX STAN 193-1995).

10. HYGIENE

- 10.1** It is recommended that the produce covered by the provisions of this Standard be prepared and handled in accordance with the appropriate sections of the *General Principles of Food Hygiene* (CAC/RCP 1-1969), *Code of Hygienic Practice for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables* (CAC/RCP 53-2003), and other relevant Codex texts such as codes of hygienic practice and codes of practice.
- 10.2** The produce should comply with any microbiological criteria established in accordance with the *Principles and Guidelines for the Establishment and Application of Microbiological Criteria related to Foods* (CAC/GL 21-1997).

11. METHODS OF ANALYSIS AND SAMPLING

{Methods of analysis to be included as appropriate / necessary}.

Annex Glossary

[To be Developed]

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**Chair: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

Dorian A. LaFond
 International Standards Coordinator
 AMS Fruit and Vegetable Program
 Specialty Crops Inspection Division
 Stop 0247, 1400 Independence Ave. SW
 Washington DC 20250-0247
 Tel: 202-690-4944
 Cell: 202-577-5583
 E-mail: dorian.lafond@ams.usda.gov

Co-chair: GERMANY

Dr. Ulrike Bickelmann
 Head of Division
 Control Procedures Plant Products, Marketing Standards
 Federal Office for Agriculture and Food
 Deichmanns Ave 29 D-53179
 Bonn GERMANY
 Tel: +49 (0) 228 6845 3357
 Fax: +49 228 6845 3945
 E-mail: ulrike.bickelmann@ble.de

ARGENTINA

Silvia Santos
 Secretaria técnica del CCFFV Nacional
 Coordinación de Frutas, Hortalizas y Aromáticas
 Dirección de Calidad Agroalimentaria
 SENASA
 Tel: 5411 4121-5293/96
 E-mail: ssantos@senasa.gov.ar
codex@minagri.gob.ar

COSTA RICA

Ing. Amanda Lasso Cruz Ministerio de Economía
 Industria y Comercio
 400 m al Oeste de la Contraloría
 General de la República Sabana Sur
 San Jose, Costa Rica
 Tel: 506-25491434
 Email: alasso@meic.go.cr

EUROPEAN UNION

Bernadette Klink-Khachan
 European Union Codex Contact Point
 European Commission
 Health and Food Safety Directorate-General
 Unit D 2: Multilateral International Relations
 Tel: +32-2-295 79 08
 Fax: +32-2-299 85 66
 E-mail: codex@ec.europa.eu

IRAN

Nadia Ahmadi
 Secretaria of CCFFV in Iran
 Email: nady.ahmadi@yahoo.com
 Dr. Darab Hassani
 Head of CCFFV in Iran
 Ministry of Agriculture
 E-mail: hassanida@gmail.com

NEW ZEALAND

Dr. Henry Pak
 Senior Adviser, Plant Exports
 Plants, Food & Environment
 Regulation & Assurance
 Ministry for Primary Industries
 Pastoral House 25, The Terrace
 PO Box 2526. Wellington, New Zealand
 Telephone: 64-4-8940756
 Email: Henry.Pak@mpi.govt.nz

SWITZERLAND

Mr. Manuel Boss
 Federal Office for Agriculture FOAG
 Plant Products Unit
 Mattenhofstrasse 5
 CH-3003 Bern
 Switzerland
 Tel: +41 58 462 25 26
 E-mail: manuel.boss@blw.admin.ch

THAILAND

Ingorn Panyakit
 Director of Office of Standards Development,
 National Bureau of Agricultural Commodity and
 Food Standards (ACFS) Codex Contact Point of
 Thailand
 E-mail: codex@acfs.go.th

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Kenneth Lowery
 International Issues Analyst
 U.S. Codex Office
 Room 4861-S
 1400 Independence Avenue SW
 Washington DC 20250-3700
 Tel: +1 202 690 4042
 E-mail: Kenneth.lowery@fsis.usda.gov

Dr. David Ingram
OFS, CFSAN, FDA
5100 Paint Branch Parkway
College Park, MD
United States of America
Tel: (240) 402-0335
Email: David.Ingram@fda.hhs.gov