

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting on Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* (STEC)

Background

- Request from the 47th session of CCFH to FAO and WHO to develop a report compiling available relevant information on the following aspects of STEC:
 - (a) Global burden of disease
 - (b) Source attribution
 - (c) Hazard identification and characterization
 - (d) Current monitoring and assurance programs

FAO/WHO Approach

- Call for data
 - Approach for data collection;
 - Compilation and organization of the data.
- Established a core expert group and had a meeting to review the data and provide guidance for next steps.
- Meeting report published online.
- Multi-year project.

Burden of disease

- Burden of disease already estimated by WHO FERG on the global incidence of STEC illnesses and deaths.
- More than 1 million illnesses, 128 deaths, and nearly 13000 DALYs (Foodborne STEC).
- Way forward
 - Collation of the analysis undertaken by the WHO FERG estimate of the burden of STEC disease in 2010;
 - No additional work at this point.

Source attribution

FERG focus food vs non-food, therefore need for more work

Method	Principles	Data requirements
Occurrence approach		
Subtyping	Compare subtypes (sources and humans)	space/time related isolates
Comparative exposure assessment	Determine relative importance of transmission routes	Prevalence, concentration, effect of changes, exposure data Need data
Epidemiological Approach		
Case-control studies of sporadic infections	Interviewed cases and controls, estimation of relative role of exposures	Registry data, systematic review sufficient studies published
Analysis of data from outbreak investigations	Outbreaks caused by each food represent all illnesses	Data, number suspected/confirmed cases, implicated sources

Hazard Identification and characterization

- **STEC** The expert group agreed to only use the term STEC.
- **Challenge** There is no single trait of STEC that can be used to determine the public health risk.
- The group considered approaches to categorizing STEC on a risk-basis.
- Way forward
 - Approach to categorizing STEC to support decision-making
 - Historical database of strains and serotypes involved in human diseases.
 - Subjected to peer-review

Monitoring and assurance programs

- Limited number of information obtained on country programs
- Purpose of monitoring programs must be clearly defined (e.g. market access, baseline)
- Monitoring for STEC should be commodity specific
- Way forward
 - Targeted call for data
 - Report on currently available monitoring and assurance programs in member countries
 - Overview of available laboratory methods for STEC

Question to CCFH

- 1. Is everything covered
- 2. More data
- 3. Suggestion for peer-reviewers