# CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION **E**







Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - Fax: (+39) 06 5705 4593 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org

Agenda Item 2

**CX/FICS 14/21/2** August 2014

#### JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME

### CODEX COMMITTEE ON FOOD IMPORT AND EXPORT INSPECTION AND CERTIFICATION SYSTEMS

**Twenty-first Session** 

Brisbane (Australia), 13-17 October 2014

## MATTERS REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE BY THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION AND OTHER CODEX COMMITTEES AND TASK FORCES

MATTERS ARISING FROM THE 36TH AND 37TH SESSIONS OF THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION

## **Matters for Information**

## Standards and Related Texts adopted at Steps 8 and 5/8<sup>2</sup>

The 36<sup>th</sup> Session of the Commission adopted:

- 1. the Draft and Proposed Draft Principles and Guidelines for National Food Control System with some minor editorial amendments to the Spanish version and
- 2. the draft amendments to the Guidelines for the Exchange of Information in Food Control Emergency Situations (CAC/GL 19-1995) to address animal feeding<sup>3</sup>

## Regional Standard for Halal Food<sup>4</sup>

3. At the 36<sup>th</sup> Session of the Commission, the Chairperson recalled that the Executive Committee had recognised the importance of consumption and trade of halal products worldwide and agreed that, in the context of this new work proposal, the project document should be re-scoped in order to identify gaps with existing relevant Codex texts, and that the Member proposing new work should seek the advice of CCFL and CCFICS to assist the CCEXEC and Commission to take a decision.

## Guidance on Information documents<sup>5</sup>

- 4. The 37<sup>th</sup> Session of the Commission agreed to forward guidance on information documents to all Committees as recommended by the 28th Session of CCGP. The guidance on information documents is attached as Appendix I to this document.
- 5. The Committee is invited to **note** the information.

#### **Matters for Action**

## Codex Strategic Plan 2014 - 2019<sup>6</sup>

- 6. The 36th Session of the Commission adopted Strategic Plan 2014-2019.
- 7. The 37th Session of the Commission endorsed the conclusions and recommendations of the 69th Session of the Executive Committee to establish a monitoring framework for the implementation of the Strategic Plan including mechanisms for systematic data collection (e.g. templates) to make assessing information from multiple committees easier where these were the main providers of monitoring information.

<sup>5</sup> REP 14/CAC, Para 105; and REP 14/GP. 86

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This document only contains information on matters arising from or referred by the Codex Alimentarius Commission that are specific to the activities of the CCFICS. Other decisions and guidance of the 36th and 37th Session of the Commission are found in REP13/CAC and REP14/CAC, respectively. The Codex Secretariat will report verbally on matters of horizontal nature as appropriate to the discussion of the Committee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> REP13/CAC, paras 65-67 and Appendix III.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> REP13/CAC, paras 131 and Appendix III.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> REP13/CAC, para.119

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> REP13/CAC, para.177, Appendix IX, REP14/CAC, para.119 and REP14/EXEC, paras.42

8. In order to monitor the implementation of the strategic plan, the Committee is invited to provide replies to the activities of relevance as indicated in the provisional template attached at Appendix II to this document.

#### MATTERS ARISING FROM OTHER CODEX COMMITTEES AND TASK FORCES

## 68<sup>th</sup> Session of the Executive Committee of the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CCEXEC) *Monitoring of Standard Development* <sup>7</sup>

- 9. At the 68<sup>th</sup> Session, the Representative of WHO pointed out that the CCFICS had been very efficient in finalising many important texts, but currently only discussion papers were under consideration, and therefore the Committee may consider working by electronic means rather than convening meetings, or consider alternative options such as merging its activities with another committee. The Representative recalled that the use of Task Forces was an excellent example of focusing on specific tasks and should be more widely followed, and noted that the discussion scheduled under other business on the management of Codex work would allow the CCEXEC to discuss this question from a general perspective. In light of these comments one member proposed, to explore the potential for a pilot joint session of CCFICS and CCFL and that host governments would look into the feasibility of this approach. Such a pilot would also inform future CCGP discussions and deliberations on this issue.
- **10.** The Committee is invited to **note** the information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> REP13/EXEC, paras 42-46 and 154

Appendix I

## **Guidance on information documents**

- i. It is recognised that there is the occasional need for Codex committees to make available information documents, however Codex committees should not deliberately develop such documents and these documents should be by-products of ongoing work of the Committee.
- ii. Documents are considered to be information documents if they:
  - Have been developed and agreed upon by a Codex committee;
  - Have been determined by the Committee to contain information that is useful to national governments and/or Codex members and observers and Codex committees; and
  - Are not considered appropriate by the Committee to be adopted as Codex standards, guidelines or codes of practice or as recommendations for inclusion in the Procedural Manual.
- iii. Information documents will be made available on the Codex website of the relevant committee, clearly separated from official Codex documents and adopted texts.

Appendix II

4

2014-2019 Strategic Plan Activities for which "all committees" are responsible

2014-2019 Strategic Plan Activities for which fall committees are responsible				
Strategic Goal	Objective	Activity	Expected Outcome	Measurable Indicators/Outputs
1: Establish international food standards that address current and emerging food issues.	. •	1.1.1: Consistently apply decision-making and priority-setting criteria across Committees to ensure that the standards and work areas of highest priority are progressed in a timely manner.	New or updated standards are developed in a timely manner	<ul> <li>Priority setting criteria are reviewed, revised as required and applied.</li> <li># of standards revised and # of new standards developed based on these criteria.</li> </ul>
Question to the Co	mmittee:			
		Committee? YES/NO.		
•	e use any specific	criteria for standards deve	elopment? Does the	Committee intend to
	1.2: Proactively identify emerging issues and Member needs and, where appropriate, develop relevant food standards.	1.2.1: Develop a systematic approach to promote identification of emerging issues related to food safety, nutrition, and fair practices in the food trade.	Timely Codex response to emerging issues and to the needs of Members.	- Committees implement systematic approaches for identification of emerging issues Regular reports on systematic approach and emerging issues made to the CCEXEC through the Codex Secretariat.
•	nt to the work of the	Committee? YES/NO. ging issues and members r	needs? Is there a sys	tematic approach? Is
-		1.2.2: Develop and revise international and regional standards as needed, in response to needs identified by Members and in response to factors that affect food safety, nutrition and fair practices in the food trade.	Improved ability of Codex to develop standards relevant to the needs of its Members.	- Input from committees identifying and prioritizing needs of Members Report to CCEXEC from committees on how standards developed address the needs of the Members as part of critical review process.
Included in question to 1.2.				
2: Ensure the application of risk analysis principles in the development of Codex	2.1: Ensure consistent use of risk analysis	2.1.1: Use the scientific advice of the joint FAO/WHO expert bodies to the fullest extent possible in food	Scientific advice consistently taken into account by all relevant committees	# of times the need for scientific advice is: - identified,

standards.	scientific advice.	safety and nutrition standards development based on the "Working Principles of Risk Analysis for Application in the Framework of the Codex Alimentarius".	during the standard setting process.	- requested and, - utilized in a timely manner.
Question to the Co				
		Committee? YES/NO.		and a selection of the
	•	dvice in course of its work, lentific advice, if not, why no	•	uest such advice.
		2.1.2: Encourage engagement of scientific and technical expertise of Members and their representatives in the development of Codex standards.	Increase in scientific and technical experts at the national level contributing to the development of Codex standards.	- # of scientists and technical experts as part of Member delegations # of scientists and technical experts providing appropriate input to country positions.
Question to the Co	ommittee:			
How do members r composition of the	nake sure that the r national delegation	Committee? YES/NO. necessary scientific input is n allows to adequately pates tee or FAO and WHO?	resent and discuss	
		2.1.3: Ensure that all relevant factors are fully considered in exploring risk management options in the context of Codex standard development.	Enhanced identification, and documentation of all relevant factors considered by committees during the development of Codex standards.	- # of committee documents identifying all relevant factors guiding risk management recommendations # of committee documents clearly reflecting how those relevant factors were considered in the context of standards development.
How does the Com	ant to the work of the	Committee? YES/NO. all relevant factors have be	en taken into accour	nt when developing a
		2.1.4: Communicate the risk management recommendations to all interested parties.	Risk management recommendations are effectively communicated and disseminated to all interested parties.	<ul> <li># of web publication/ communications relaying Codex standards.</li> <li># of media releases disseminating Codex standards.</li> </ul>

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES/NO.

07011001171072				ŭ
		cision, does the committee consideration of this be he		to members how to
3: Facilitate the effective participation of all Codex Members.	3.1: Increase the effective participation of developing countries in Codex.	3.1.5: To the extent possible, promote the use of the official languages of the Commission in committees and working groups.	Active participation of Members in committees and working groups.	- Report on number of committees and working groups using the languages of the Commission
Question to the Co		O		
Is the use of offici	al languages in w	Committee? YES/NO. orking groups of the comow could the situation be in		Vhat are the factors
	3.2: Promote capacity development programs that assist countries in creating sustainable national Codex structures.	3.2.3: Where practical, the use of Codex meetings as a forum to effectively conduct educational and technical capacity building activities.	Enhancement of the opportunities to conduct concurrent activities to maximize use of the resources of Codex and Members.	# of activities hosted on the margins of Codex meetings.
Question to the Committee:  Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES/NO.  Does the Committee organize technical capacity activities or other activities in the margins of Committee sessions? If yes – how many and with which topics have been organized in the past. If no – could this be useful and what topics could be addressed?				
4: Implement effective and efficient work management systems and practices.	effective, efficient, transparent, and consensus based standard setting process.	4.1.4: Ensure timely distribution of all Codex working documents in the working languages of the Committee/Commission.	Codex documents distributed in a more timely manner consistent with timelines in the Procedural Manual.	- Baseline Ratio (%) established for documents distributed at least 2 months prior to versus less than 2 months prior to a scheduled meeting Factors that potentially delay the circulation of documents identified and addressed An increase in the ratio (%) of documents circulated 2 months or more prior to meetings.
<b>Question to the Committee:</b> Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES/NO.  Does the Committee have a mechanism in place to ensure timely distribution of documents? What could be done to further improve the situation?				
25 15 (3)	and once on the state of the st	4.1.5: Increase the scheduling of Work Group meetings in conjunction with Committee meetings.	Improved efficiency in use of resources by Codex committees and	- # of physical working group meetings in conjunction with committee

meetings,

where

			Members	appropriate.		
Question to the Co	Question to the Committee:					
Is this activity releva	nt to the work of the	Committee? YES/NO.				
Does the Committee necessary?	Does the Committee hold physical working groups independent of Committee sessions? If yes - why is this					
	4.2: Enhance capacity to arrive at consensus in standards setting process.	4.2.1: Improve the understanding of Codex Members and delegates of the importance of and approach to consensus building of Codex work.	Members and delegates awareness of the importance of consensus in the Codex standard setting process improved.	- Training material on guidance to achieve consensus developed and made available in the languages of the Commission to delegates Regular dissemination of existing material to Members through Codex Contact Points Delegate training programs held in association with Codex meetings Impediments to consensus being achieved in Codex identified and analyzed and additional guidance developed to address such impediments, if necessary.		

## **Question to the Committee:**

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES/NO.

Are there problems with finding consensus in the Committee? If yes – what are the impediments to consensus? What has been attempted and what more could be done?