Revisiting Garden Based Learning in Basic Education

Philosophical Roots, Historical Foundations, Best Practices and Products, Impacts, Outcomes, and Future Directions

Daniel Desmond
4-H Youth Development Advisor
University of California, Davis
El Dorado County Cooperative Extension
djd2@pacbell.net

James Grieshop
Specialist, Community Education Development
University of California, Davis
Department of Human and Community Development
jigrieshop@ucdavis.edu

Aarti Subramaniam
Research Assistant
University of California, Davis
Department of Human and Community Development
asubramaniam@ucdavis.edu

October 14, 2002

Prepared for
IIEP/FAO
SDRE Food and Agricultural Organization/United Nations, Rome, Italy
UNESCO International Institute for Educational Planning, Paris, France

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figures and Tables:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study, commissioned by the Education Group of the Extension, Education and Communication Service (SDRE) of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the International Institute for Educational Planning of UNESCO, was made possible through the collaboration of many organizations and individuals. Those who completed surveys and hosted case study visits by authors spent many hours dissecting their programs in an attempt to identify the roots of success and implications for education. Other contributors invested countless hours identifying resources, clarifying definitions, and reading drafts to insure clarity of content and meaning.

CONTRIBUTORS – Thank you for your time, energy, and input.

Survey/Case Study Contributors

Petter Akerblom	MOVIUM, Sweden
Chelsea Chapman	Edible Schoolyard at MLKing, Jr. Middle School, California
Henry Falan and Jim Stevenson	Yap SEED, Micronesia
Bonnie Freeman	Santa Monica School Garden Program, California
Joyce V. Hastings	Treadlight Primary School, Jamaica
Eileen Hiss-Corliss	Santa Monica School Garden Program, California
Sue Humphries	*Coombes County Infant & Nursery School, Great Britain*
Frances Laurino	Kitchen Garden at Collingwood College, Australia
Alonzo Lucero	Los Ninos, Mexico
Egidio Paez, ACTAF	Havana, Cuba
Arlene Marturano	Summit Parkway Middle School & South Carolina Garden Based Learning Network
Jennifer Pearsall	Cloud Forest School, Costa Rica
Illene Pevec	Escola Prof. Zelina Monteiro Lemos Elem, School, Brazil A Child's Garden of Peace, Brazil Grandview/U'UQINAK'UUH Elementary School, Canada
Dra. Emelia Icart Lic. A. Lavastida Nastia Moreno Alvarado	Hogar Castellana, Habana, Cuba
Evaristo Rodriguez Ramirez	Escuela 26 de Julio, Santiago de Cuba The Internado de Primaria “Abel Santamaria Cuadrado”, Caney, Santiago de Cuba Instituto Politecnico Agricola Jose Francisco Costa Velåsquez, Mabay in Granma Province: Escuela Especial “Ernesto Che Guevara”, Reparto Antonio Guiteras , Granma
David Roschli, Solomon Negash and Chernet Yilefu	Selam Technical & Vocational Center, Ethiopia

Sunanda Sawant	Indian Education Society's Jawaharlal, Nehru Port Vidyalaya, India
Katie Stinson and Fran Wagner	Munich International School
Kelli Wessman	Garden of Learning, California
Lisa Whittlesey	National Junior Master Gardener Program, Texas

Other Contributors

Zenobia Barlow	Center for Ecoliteracy
James Brenner	University of California, ANR
Margaret Aumann	California State Department of Education Garden
Deborah Beall	Team
Amy Evans	
Mary Lussier	
Deborah Tammanie	
Phoebe Tanner	
Rebecca Carver	4-H Youth Development Advisor, University of California
Vijaya Chakravarty	Landscape Designer & Educator
Shauna Cozad	School & Community Garden Consultant, University of California
Jane Delgado	Executive Director, Life Lab Science Program
Kendall Dunnigan	New College
Marcia Eames-Sheavly	Cornell University, Horticulture Department
Richard Engel	College of Agriculture & Environmental Science, University of California, Davis
Lisa Glick	Garden Educator/Consultant
Abby Goldstein	Graduate Student, University of California, Davis
Tim Grant	Green Teachers, Canada
Gail Littlejohn	
Carol Hillhouse	Regional School Garden Resource Center, University of California, Davis
Jean Landeen	California State Department of Education
Alex Markels	Author/Reporter
Fe Moncloa	4-H Youth Development Advisor, University of California,
Lori Nowell	Education Coordinator, Carolina Children's Garden
Mary Ann Patterson	American Horticulture Society
Richard Ponzio	4-H Youth Development Specialist, UC Davis
Laurette Rogers	The Bay Institute
Gina Sanguinetti	Jamaica National Environment & Planning Agency
Jennifer Meux White	University of California Botanical Garden
Joan White	National Gardening Association

Layout

Jeff Woled	University of California, Davis

PREFACE

The connection between garden based learning and basic education at one level is easy and straightforward. It appears that any effort to combine garden work with basic learning should be logical and natural. But, as one digs deeper into the connection, particularly at a practical level, the union becomes more complicated. As you consider the multiple and sometimes contradictory expectations under which educators in all parts of the world must operate, it is quickly seen that to implement a garden based learning effort requires skill, resourcefulness, resources and persistence. Complicating the situation further is the question of What constitutes Garden Based Learning?."

One of the challenges of this study was defining the discipline or even the practice of Garden Based Learning (GBL). There is in fact no single definition. In this study Garden Based Learning is defined by the practitioners, and this paper hopefully serves as one tool to move all of us toward a better understanding of Garden Based Learning and its potential contributions to basic education. Despite the challenges, the effort to connect GBL and Basic Education is well worth the effort.

This paper will review the theoretical-conceptual background of Garden Based Learning as it seeks to provide insights into its role and effectiveness in education globally. There is no defined discipline of garden based learning but rather a collection of philosophies and practices that draw from a variety of fields. Much of the information presented here was collected from the industrialized world where research and communication are most accessible.

However, with a look into some significant garden based learning programs in developing economies, coupled with a review of the historical role of garden based learning, garden based learning appears to offer an effective strategy for basic education and sustainable development in any socio-economic setting.

Methods: A mix of techniques and methods was used to gather the information on Garden Based Learning (GBL) and Basic Education. Attempts were made to systematically gather data and information from practitioners around the world. A triangulated approach was used and included the use of surveys, observations, and information from the literature and other secondary data sources. These techniques involved the development and distribution of an original questionnaire sent to garden experts/practitioners in both developing and developed

countries.[1] Over 50 questionnaires were sent, carried, and/or e-mailed to identified experts/practitioners in Central and Latin America, Asia, Africa, Australia, North America and Europe (see Figure 1). While we had a relatively good return from respondents in the latter three continents, we were disappointed with the return from our identified experts in the other sites. We have no way of knowing if the questionnaires arrived to their destination but we do know we had a low response. One author (Desmond) also visited sites in Africa (Ethiopia), Canada and Europe, while another author (Grieshop) gathered first hand information on GBL and education in Cuba. As well, the lead author has drawn upon his nearly 30 years of work in the area of garden based learning. In that time he has established many contacts in North America and Europe and has visited multiple sites. Lessons learned in that time are incorporated along with those noted by our other respondents. Finally, the ever growing literature on gardening, schools, education, and learning was a rich source of information and experiences

This paper is organized in a manner that takes the reader first to some fundamental definitions of basic education and garden based learning (GBL) as used by the authors. Then it moves on to a description of how garden based learning is most often integrated within educational programming in both formal and nonformal settings (Introduction). The authors then review the evolution of the practice of garden based learning (Philosophical Roots and Historical Foundations) and summarize relevant literature (Chapter 3) in order to set the stage for a review of current practices (Chapter 4) in developed and developing countries in several locations around the world. From the analysis of cases and related experience, the authors also suggest principles and best practices that seem to be common to successful garden based learning programs. As well, curricular and other "best products" are detailed. In the final section (Chapter 5) of the paper, results of these programs are identified (Impacts and Outcomes) and an attempt is made to identify how garden based learning will continue to evolve within basic education and some of the unique needs (Future Directions). Appendix I is a collection of information of resources, organizations, web sites, etc. that can be used to assist practitioners and researchers to continue to explore and improve the practice of garden based learning.

This paper is not a recipe or blueprint for creating a garden based learning program. Such an objective is far outside the purposes or scope of this work. Rather, it is the desire of the authors that this manuscript will provide some ideas for creatively and productively linking garden related work to learning and education. As well, it is our goal that the paper will energize practitioners and policy makers to do more, to take action, and to support local and national efforts to make garden based learning a reality.

Good planting and harvesting!

[1] We use the terms "developing" and "developed" countries/economies only as a form of identification. Other terms such as "north" and "south", "third world" and "first world", and "resource rich" and "resource poor" were considered. For ease of identification we chose the former. No implication is intended nor any inference should be drawn that one is better than the other. We must communicate and learn from one another.

Chapter 1
INTRODUCTION

Basic education and garden based learning

In considering the role of garden based learning in basic education, it seems important to first explore some definitions and roles for both concepts. In many societies basic education is focused on developing academic skills or capacities (cognitive development) through a core curriculum that includes language arts, science, math, social studies, and visual/performing arts. In addition we believe most educators would agree that basic education also includes personal, moral, and social development. In some cultures education is also called upon to provide vocational or subsistence training that allows the individual to provide food, clothing, and shelter through employment or subsistence production. There may be another component of basic education that occurs in most cultures either in formal educational institutions or non-formal educational settings. This component is frequently referred to as life skill education and focuses on skills that allow children to be capable as well as competent. This aspect would include skills such as critical thinking, cooperation, community service, self-discipline, and wise use of resources. In reality the concept of basic education is a continuum of educational practice that varies from community to community and is dependent on the interests of the community and on the various social and political forces (religion, cultural norms, and values) that dominate the cultural landscape.

The approach to basic education offered by the "World Conference on Education for All" (2000) presents another insight into the world of teaching and learning. In the past "education" occasionally has been misused as a tool segregation and discrimination (e.g., consider the role of education in colonial settings). Here, we believe it is critical to focus on a philosophy of equality as stressed by the United Nations and one that assures equal rights for all taking into account the unique needs and culture of each community.

Garden Based Learning (GBL) can be defined simply as an instructional strategy that utilizes a garden as a teaching tool. The pedagogy is based on experiential education, which is applied in the living laboratory of the garden. This simple definition however is misleading in that it does not take into account some of the powerful elements of the garden experience. It overlooks the relationship of these experiences to educational reform and to the transformation of contemporary basic education from a sedentary, sterile experience to one that is more engaging of the whole child. It also misses the elements of the garden experience that contribute to ecological literacy and sustainable development. Hopefully we have captured some of these subtler aspects of the practice in the discussion that follows.

In our view garden based learning has the potential to enrich basic education in all cultural settings. The paper that follows documents the contributions in a number of communities around the world. In cases where it is most effective, garden based learning is a pedagogy that is used with all children. It has something to contribute to each learning style and to children at

each developmental level. It cannot be viewed as a "make work" curriculum for slow learners or socially disenfranchised youth, although it has been shown to be a powerful tool in motivating and educating youth who have been identified with such labels.

It is our intention to look at how garden based learning affects basic education in all of the realms mentioned above. By design and necessity the review is not comprehensive. This review is limited by the number of responses from practitioners and observations by the authors. Nevertheless, these responses and observations do help illustrate how the use of garden based learning can influence different aspects of basic education. This is not to suggest that all of the influences are positive or that their impact is significant in all arenas. It is only to point out that the review will comment on the influence of garden based learning on aspects of basic education including academic skills, personal development, social and moral development, vocational and/or subsistence skills and life skills.

Theoretical Background of GBL

Theoretical and methodological approaches to garden based learning vary greatly across the educational landscape. However the application of the pedagogy within GBL falls principally under one of two frameworks: experiential education and/or environmental education. In theoretical terms garden based learning finds relevance in a number of contemporary educational theories including Howard Gardener's (1983) theory on multiple intelligences and his 1999 work on the naturalist intelligence, and Daniel Goldman's (1995) theory of emotional intelligence. As well, the theory of experiential learning as proposed by Kolb (1975, in Weatherford and Weatherford, 1987) supports much of GBL as experiential education. Additionally, two other theoretical approaches are relevant to GBL - the theories about Children's Environment proposed by Moore and Young (1978) and theories from various developmental psychologists (see Tuan, 1978 and Cobb (1969).

Theories of intelligence such as Howard Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences and Daniel Goleman's conceptualization of emotional intelligence have contributed to the value of experiential education. They have been applied to work in developing linguistic, musical, logical-mathematical, spatial, bodily kinesthetic and personal abilities as well as emotional skills (Carver, 1999). Furthermore, Gardner re-framed his early theory of seven intelligences, making additions with one being naturalist intelligence. Intelligence is identified in reference to a socially recognized and valued role that appears to rely heavily on a particular intellectual capacity (Gardner, 1999). In this way a naturalist intelligence is characterized by a person's ability to recognize and classify his or her natural environment. Gardner claims that just as most children are ready to master language at an early age, so too are they predisposed to explore the world of nature.

According to Kolb's experiential learning model (Kolb, 1975 in Weatherford & Weatherford, 1987), concrete experience leads to observations and reflections that result in the formation of abstract concepts and generalizations of these concepts as well as the capacity to test the implications of these concepts in new situations. Piaget and other scientists have shown that a child's understanding is developed through his actions on the environment and not merely through language. Another unique point about experiential education is that it is based on the intrinsic motivation of the learner.

In a socio-ecological model of a child's outdoor landscape (Moore & Young, 1978), it is theorized that a child lives simultaneously in three interdependent realms of experience. These three are the physiological-psychological environment of body/mind, the sociological environment of interpersonal relations and cultural values, and the physiographic landscape of spaces, objects, persons, and natural and built elements. The freedom of the outdoor environment serves as a balance to a child's supervised indoor environment, resulting in vocational learning.

Developmental psychologists have tried to study children's relationships with nature and whether an innate sense of kinship with nature manifests itself by the time children reach a certain age (Tuan, 1978). Edith Cobb (1969) wrote that middle childhood, approximately from five to six years of age to eleven or twelve—that is, the period between the "strivings of animal infancy and the storms of adolescence"—is when the "natural world is experienced in some highly evocative way." Tuan (1978) additionally suggests that children have to be taught by adults about their natural environment, as "nature is an inarticulate teacher." Children show a natural curiosity about the world, but this curiosity may be easily repressed if adults fail to nurture it.

At a pedagogical level it is the approaches labeled Experiential Education and Environmental Education that are most relevant to Garden Based Learning. There has been a significant growth in interest in experiential education and project based learning as educators recognize the value of hands-on learning. In its simplest form experiential education is concisely described by the Association for Experiential Education (2002) as "a process through which a learner constructs knowledge, skill, and value from direct experiences." Project based learning (PBL) has been at the roots of effective education and was called for by early educational philosophers and practitioners. The current call to return to this pedagogy is prompted by research on children's learning (Kandel & Hawkins, 1992) and by exemplary projects around the world that demonstrate the value of hands-on learning. The preschools of Reggio Emilia, Italy, (Edwards et al., 1993), and models such as the Coombs Infant and Nursery School in Great Britain as studied by MOVIUM–Center for the Urban Environment in Sweden, clearly demonstrate the unique contributions made by project based learning.

While experiential education and project based learning offer excellent strategies or pedagogies, they require a contextual framework or thematic structure in which to operate. Environmental education and more specifically Garden Based Learning can provide that context or thematic focus. We'll look at some examples of this when we examine a few programs currently in operation around the world.

Much of the activity in GBL is classified as environmental education.
One definition of environmental education as proposed by the North American Association for Environmental Education (2002) states:

> "a process that aims to develop an environmentally literate citizenry that can compete in our global economy; has the skills, knowledge, and inclinations to make well-informed choices; and exercises the rights and responsibilities of members of a community."

Ecological literacy is a holistic yet applied variation of environmental education. It has been defined as the understanding of the principles of organization that ecosystems have developed to sustain the web of life along with the skills to act on that understanding in one's daily life to insure sustainable communities that support all forms of life.

Agricultural literacy and GBL can also be an example of agricultural education and a variant of environmental education. The United States National Academy of Sciences, in a 1989 report entitled "Understanding Agriculture–New Directions for Education," defined agricultural literacy "as education *about* agriculture and was to include a person's understanding of the food and fiber system, its history and current economic, social and environmental significance." This definition encompasses some knowledge of food and fiber production, processing, and domestic and international marketing.

Agricultural education, in turn, often infers a type of vocational education in agriculture which includes the development of the specific skills and knowledge necessary to become effectively employed in some aspect of the system of commerce that provides a society's food and fiber. A developing country example in a agricultural education can be seen at the elementary level in the "Adopt A Garden" programs of the Selam Technical and Vocational Center in Ethiopia. Here, the programs seek to develop the necessary skills and knowledge in elementary and secondary students so that they can provide vegetables for the family diet.

Whether garden based learning occurs under the definition of environmental education, ecological literacy, agricultural literacy, or agricultural education, it appears to have the potential to contribute to basic education in both developed and developing world settings. The practice of garden based learning must consider rigorous guidelines, procedures and practices. For example, to be truly effective garden based learning programs must be tied to a comprehensive and cohesive educational plan/program or garden curriculum that is implemented across grade levels and ideally is tied to local, state, or national education standards or needs.

The literature suggests that garden based learning can be a unique and effective strategy to be used in basic education to introduce an experiential component in support of the traditional curriculum. It can also be used as an environmental education curriculum. As we later look at programs that utilize garden based learning (in Chapter 4), it will be seen that garden based learning has the potential not only to contribute to academic skills, but also to address a child's development in a social, moral, and practical or life skills sense.

But what are the roots of GBL? As well, where lies the foundation for garden based learning? The next chapter provides some answers to those questions.

Chapter 2
THE ROOTS AND FOUNDATIONS OF GARDEN BASED LEARNING

. . . . . educators will need to frame clear rationales for including gardening in an already full schedule of mandates. (Marturano, 1999).

Dr. Arlene Marturano, educator and coordinator of South Carolina's (USA) Garden Based Learning Network, has written extensively about the philosophical roots of garden based instruction. She has also addressed many of the practical challenges that teachers and educators throughout the world face as they attempt to combine GBL with all forms of education, including basic education. Those challenges may be as basic as developing schedules and times for GBL to finding resources such as shovels and seeds, and from issues of how to harvest the products of a garden to finding the financial resources needed.

She also reminds all of us who seek to practice GBL that we must strive to understand the philosophical roots of GBL and to learn from the past and to appreciate the historical foundations of GBL. Those from the past might not be able to teach us, but their words should give us pause to think about GBL and its potential. The following table highlights the thoughts of a mix of GBL philosophers. Take a moment to read and reflect on their ideas.

Table 1: Some thoughts on Garden Based Learning:

Comenius: For every school " . . . there should be a garden attached where they (students) may feast their eyes on trees, flowers, and plants . . . where they always hope to hear and see something new. Since the senses are the most trusty servants of the memory, this method (gardens) of sensuous perception will lead to the permanent retention of knowledge" (Keating, 1967).

Rousseau: " . . . since everything that enters into human understanding comes through the senses, the first reason of man is a reason of the senses. Our first masters of knowledge are our feet, our hands, and our eyes" (Boyd, 1956).

Pestalozzi: "Students observe first all of the objects in the classroom, observing and naming everything. When this is exhausted, they are taken into the garden, into the fields, and woods—where they are led to notice objects in greater detail, their permanent and changeable qualities, the qualities that are general and those that are peculiar to them, their influence, their function, their destiny" (Green, 1969).

Froebel: "The pupil will get the clearest insight into the character of things, of nature and surroundings, if he sees and studies them in their natural connection. . . the objects that are in closest and most constant connection with him, that owe their being to him . . . these are the things of his nearest surroundings . . . the garden, the farm, the meadow, the field, the forest, the plain . . . Instruction should proceed from the nearest and known to the less near and less known" (Froebel, 1826).

Dewey: "Where schools are equipped with gardens . . . opportunities exist for reproducing situations of life, and for acquiring and applying information and ideas in carrying forward of progressive experiences. Gardening need not be taught either for the sake of preparing future gardeners, or as an agreeable way of passing time. It affords an avenue of approach to knowledge of the place farming and horticulture have had in the history of the human race and which they occupy in present social organization. Carried on in an environment educationally controlled, they (gardens) are means for making a study of the facts of growth, the chemistry of soil, the role of light, air, moisture, injurious and helpful animal life, etc. There is nothing in the elementary study of botany, which cannot be introduced in a vital way in connection with caring for the growth of seeds. Instead of a subject belonging to a peculiar study called 'botany,' it will then belong to life, and will find, moreover, its natural correlation with the facts of soil, animal life, and human relations . . . It is pertinent to note that in the history of man, the sciences grew gradually out of useful social occupations." (Dewey, 1944).

Montessori: "When he (student) knows that the life of the plants that have been sown depends upon his care in watering them . . . without which the little plant dries up, . . . the child becomes vigilant, as one who is beginning to feel a mission in life" (Montessori, 1912).

More contemporary educators and public figures also speak to the value of garden based instruction. For example, Delaine Eastin, former California (US) State Superintendent of Schools, as she launched a major effort in 1995 to encourage "a garden in every school," articulated a set of principles or values that apply world-wide:

- Gardens can create opportunities for children to discover fresh food, make healthier food choices and become better nourished.
- Gardens offer dynamic settings in which to integrate every discipline including science and math, language arts, history and social studies, and art.
- Young people can experience deeper understandings of natural systems and become better stewards of the earth.

- School garden projects nurture community spirit and provide numerous opportunities to build bridges among students, school staff, families, local businesses, and community based organizations.
- Links with school gardens, school food service programs, and local farms can ensure a fresh nutritious diet for children while teaching about sustainable food systems.

While the advice and principles provided by these historical and contemporary figures is relevant to other forms of experiential and/or environmental education, the garden may be the most basic and sophisticated model for such learning. Howard Gardner makes the same point: "just as most ordinary children readily master language at an early age so too are most children predisposed to explore the world of nature" (Gardner, 1999).

Historical Foundations

Although the history of children's gardens and garden based learning in the United States from the 1890s to the present is well documented, a similar history of school gardens in other parts of the world and through earlier civilizations is less well documented. (Hopefully that history will receive a boost from the publication of this study. Other studies in the planning stages, such as that of the National Gardening Association in the U.S. will add new knowledge and insights to the history and evolution of garden based learning.)

Elizabeth Meyer (1997), in a paper titled "Cultivating Change – An Historical Overview of the School Garden Movement," describes the early school garden movements, which had their origins in Europe. Meyer discusses the Austrian book *The School Garden* by Erasmus Schwabb, published in 1879 and translated into English by Mrs. Horace Mann. This publication illustrates much of the early motivation for garden based learning in Europe. An actual timeline of the early development of school gardens in Europe and the Unites States has been presented by Kendall Dunnigan (1999) who, following Meyer's accounts, traces gardening in schools from the late 1800s in Europe through 1997 at which time a National Gardening Association survey found that over 3.6 million youth in the United States were gardening in school programs. Dunnigan points out that in 1869 Austrian law mandated a garden in every rural school. By 1898 there were 18,000 school gardens in Austria and Hungary, and by 1905 over 100,000 school gardens in Europe. Thomas Bassett (Bassett, 1979) also documented the early history of school gardens in North America. Bassett notes that many American educators were impressed by the use of school gardens in Germany, Sweden, and Austria for nature study and promoted adoption of the school garden concept. Bassett elaborately describes the school garden movement in the U.S., including a description of the "school garden par excellence" (Green, 1910) with illustrations from school gardens in Canada and the United States.

What is important here is not the chronology of this movement but the historical underlying motivations that led educators, parents, and public officials to embrace the garden as an effective learning environment. An equally important question we must address is why this rich early history in garden based learning did not become mainstreamed into the educational curriculum of schools. We summarize the motivations here, drawing on the studies previously mentioned along with an article by Brian Trelstad (1997), titled "Little Machines in Their

Gardens: A History of School Gardens in America, 1891 to 1920." He and other authors in turn draw from important names in education, child development, and psychology such as Dewey, Kilpatrick, and Cuban. In addition the voice of well-known landscape architects and designers such as Francis (1995) and Moore (1995) are drawn upon to offer commentary on garden designs appropriate to enrich the learning experience.

Those who have studied the history of the school garden movement and garden based learning draw a strong connection to the ongoing cycle of educational reform (Meyer, 1997). In the United States the school garden movement reaches its highest points in the following eras and in response to specific reform efforts:

- early twentieth century (1900-1930s)—Progressive Education and Social Reform movements encourage garden based learning
- mid–twentieth century (1960-1970)—Counter Culture and Environmental movements create a resurgence in school and community gardens
- late twentieth century (1990-2000)—rebirth of Progressive Education coupled with renewed interest in Environmental Education and nutrition/health issues for children

There has also always been a vocational and practical side to garden based learning. That aspect of the practice has not shown the cyclical swings seen in the more academic settings. In this case, using the garden to teach basic vocational skills in plant science, horticulture, agriculture, and environmental science has continued virtually uninterrupted in a variety of formal and nonformal educational settings. Those settings include such diverse ones as Pioneros in Cuba, 4-H and Future Farmers of America (FFA) in the United States, and the Adopt A Garden program at Selam Vocational Center in Ethiopia. Garden based learning as an informal educational practice also occurs throughout the world as communities and families teach succeeding generations to garden as a source of food, fiber, and medicinal/social products.

In addition, as Meyer (1997) states, school gardens were seen as settings that "create a sense of community, instill concern for the environment, foster a connection with nature, and help students to develop self-confidence, discipline, skills in cooperation, and multi-cultural understanding."

In summary, from a historical perspective we see that garden based learning has been viewed as contributing to all aspects of basic education, including academic skills, personal development, social development, moral development, vocational and/or subsistence skills, and life skills. In each era the lure of garden based learning in basic education was premised on its facilitation of educational strategies that are universally accepted as valid, if not essential, pedagogical approaches to meaningful learning. While certainly related, these concepts—learn-by-doing, project based learning, real world learning, child-centered learning—clearly focus on engaging the learner as the central figure in educational experience and in allowing individual and social constructivism.

If, as these authors suggest, garden based learning can have a significant positive influence in basic education, why hasn't the pedagogy become institutionalized in the educational mainstream? There are several possible explanations.

One is that the pedagogy has not been critically examined and endorsed by educational researchers and practitioners. A second is that there is no developed discipline in garden based learning that makes the connection to project based learning, effective experiential education, and advancement in academic performance. Related to that shortcoming is the lack of infrastructure support for school gardens or related garden based learning efforts. And finally there is often no local strategy to sustain the physical plant of the garden site as a permanent part of the school or program facility. While school athletic facilities often receive significant school and community investment there are few examples of similar support in the fields of environmental education or garden based learning. There are significant exceptions to these shortcomings, notably programs such as the Life Lab Science Program in California, the Junior Master Gardener Program out of Texas A&M University, and the work of Marcia Eames-Sheavly at Cornell University on school garden sustainability. Despite these excellent efforts, a larger national and global initiative is necessary to institutionalize the practice in the educational mainstream. Major horticulture organizations such as the National Gardening Association and American Horticulture Society are addressing these concerns and hopefully will encourage the partnership of major educational institutions such as the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development and other major educational research organizations.

The history of garden based learning and its relationship to basic education as represented here clearly has a Western bias, and there is a need to look at the history of this pedagogy in other cultural settings. The publication of this paper by the IIEP/FAO could contribute to the identification of additional resources to help tell the story of garden based learning globally.

Chapter 3
A REVIEW OF GARDEN BASED LEARNING IN BASIC EDUCATION

> " . . . to open the child's mind to his natural existence, develop his sense of responsibility and of self dependence, train him to respect the resources of the earth, teach him the obligations of citizenship, interest him sympathetically in the occupations of men, touch his relation to human life in general, and touch his imagination with the spiritual forces of the world" (Bailey, 1909).

These early 20th century words were expressed with the goal of nature study in mind. It can be seen that the idea of incorporating the natural outdoors as an integral part of the child's educational curriculum is not new. The philosophy behind garden based education is actually an amalgamation of the philosophies behind experiential education, ecological literacy and environmental awareness, and agricultural literacy. In other words, it involves teaching children through a method where they learn through personal discovery, teaching them in a natural setting where they learn ecological principles that govern all life and inculcate an awareness of the physical environment, and developing in them a sense of connectedness with their land, and all that grows on it. Tracing back these thoughts to their propagators we find some of the most prominent philosophers and leaders in the field of education espousing their views on experiential and environmental education as well as agricultural literacy, subsequently steering the course of school gardens to its present status.

History and Philosophy of Garden Based Learning

As far back as the seventeenth century, John Ames Comenius (1592–1670) believed that education should be universal, optimistic, practical, and innovative and should focus not only on school and family life but also on general social life. He stated "A school garden should be connected with every school, where children can have the opportunity for leisurely gazing upon trees, flowers and herbs, and are taught to appreciate them" (Weed, 1909, cited in Sealy, 2001). A hundred years later, Jean Jacques Rousseau (1712-1771) described the defect of teaching a child "about" things rather than the things themselves. He stated, "You think you are teaching what the world is like; he is only learning the map." Rousseau emphasized the importance of nature in education, stating that nature was the child's greatest teacher and that "his knowledge of the natural world serves as a foundation for his later learning" (cited in Sealy, 2001). Rousseau's teachings were adopted by Heinrich Pestalozzi (1746-1827) who spoke of observation and activity in learning rather than learning mere words. Pestalozzi started his school after working with 25 orphans using gardening, farming, and home skills as practical education. He visualized the balance between the three elements, "hands, heart, and head." Fredrich Froebel (1782-1852) who studied Pestalozzi's fundamental principles, went a step further to emphasize "doing" as well as observing in such a way that is not merely mechanical, but rather incorporates the creative energies of the child such that the child is "elevated to

productive activity in the full sense of the word" (Froebel website, 1998). Froebel was one of the most effective proponents of school gardens in the nineteenth century (Sealy, 2001).

The First School Gardens in Europe and Australia

In 1811 Prussia developed the first compulsory school system that included gardening and in 1869 school gardens became a law. Erasmus Schwab, who was hired to enforce this law, published *The Public School Garden* in 1871 emphasizing that the natural sciences and agricultural and vocational sciences could be learned in the garden (Sealy, 2001). New educational theories swept the world around the turn of the century and the kindergarten movement developed by Froebel started to spread quickly around Europe. The school child was no longer considered an "information receptacle" but rather a "growing flower" (Robin, 2001). In Australia, the school garden movement was strongly influenced by the annual School Garden Conference in 1903, sponsored by the Australian Natives Association. This led to the propagation of school gardens in the early decades of the twentieth century that were viewed as ideal for integration with the educational curriculum and for incorporating the standards of "progressive conservation" with its concerns for the responsible stewardship of nature as well as the ideas about connections between nature, hard work and moral improvement (Robin, 2001).

School Gardens in the United States

In the United States gardens were first introduced in urban schools as aesthetic and educational rather than practical (Sealy, 2001). School gardens were thus not intended to create gardeners and farmers. The Massachusetts Horticulture Society was instrumental in providing educators with a background for teaching gardening in schools. In 1891 Henry Lincoln Clapp was sent to Europe to study school gardens and on his return he installed the first school garden in America at George Putnam School in Roxbury, Massachusetts. John Dewey (1915) referred to the reorganization of rural schools and the utilization of agriculture in education in the early part of the twentieth century, as a "movement towards greater freedom and an identification of the child's school life with his environment and outlook." Maria Montessori (1870-1952) also spoke of "first the education of the senses, then the education of the intellect". She believed that a garden could help children in their moral development and appreciation of nature. Van Evrie Kilpatrick, who was hired as director of the School Garden Association of New York wrote, "School gardens should be maintained by the city, the city owes it to the children whom it has deprived of breathing places and beauty spots through want of foresight" (cited in Sealy, 2001). Youth gardening had become a national movement and by 1918 every state in America and every province in Canada had at least one school garden (Sealy, 2001). In 1916 over one million students contributed to the production of food during the war effort, following the proclamation by President Woodrow Wilson. However, the educational value of school gardens diminished and waned after World War I and their brief resurgence during World War II by the growing of Victory Gardens, declined after 1944. Playgrounds and athletic fields took over garden plots and schools became more focused on technology (Sealy, 2001).

The second wave of school gardens in the United States occurred between 1964 and 1975 as an offshoot of the educational reform strategy for the "war on poverty" (Meyer, 1997 cited in Yamamoto, 2000). With the birth of the environmental movement, public concern for the

environment led to the conception of school gardens as a progressive, interactive educational link for children to understand and connect with "life processes" and environmental understanding. However, school gardens did not gain firm roots in public education, weakened by the conservatism of the 1980s (Yamamoto, 2000).

In the early 1990s there were changes in the trend of education toward more innovative ways of learning. The focus on experiential and environmental education came together with the interest in agricultural literacy, making this decade ripe for school gardens to spread and grow.

Contemporary Movements: People, Organizations and Trends

The People

The contemporary impetus to the school garden movement in the United States is largely influenced by the thoughts of educators, environmentalists, and agricultural reformists. In 1995, California's State School Superintendent Delaine Eastin mandated "a garden in every school" to "create opportunities for our children to discover fresh food, make healthier food choices, and become better nourished." Though this aim has not been fully realized, Eastin's vision gave impetus to the development of gardens in other states as well.

With regard to the value of outdoor experience on child development, David Orr, author of *Earth in Mind* (1994) and *Ecological Literacy* (1992), states that children raised in ecologically barren settings are deprived of the sensory stimuli and the kind of imaginative experience that can only come from biological richness. Robin Moore (1995) suggests that children's gardening can be introduced within the broader frame of reference of sustainable development, regenerative design, and biodesign. He argues that children, the future consumers and participants of democracy, must interact daily with an educational environment containing a diversity of living ecosystems. Gardening in the primary grades is "the most feasible" pedagogical approach for ensuring this type of daily learning experience as well as for "reversing a worrisome trend" in the opposite direction.

Alice Waters, a prominent figure in the school garden and organic agricultural movement as well as the founder of "The Edible Schoolyard" in Berkeley, California, believes that having a garden for food production at schools will teach compassion, patience, and self-discipline. The Edible Schoolyard reflects this belief as a model in the education of social responsibility, community participation, and sustainable agriculture. The program involves students in all aspects of farming a one acre garden, including preparing, serving, and eating the food harvested.

Organizations

One organization that has been especially significant in propagating the school garden movement is the American Horticultural Society (AHS), which hosts the Children's Garden Conference series. The AHS is one of the oldest national gardening associations in the United States. In 1993 the AHS created the first Youth Garden Symposium in order to educate and inspire people to look at garden design as an attempt to reconnect children with nature. Another such organization is the National Gardening Association that has taken an active role in

children's gardening activities and offers resources for starting and maintaining children's gardens in schools.

International Trends

As one considers trends around the globe, Learning Through Landscapes (LTL) is noteworthy. LTL is an organization in the United Kingdom that has attempted to move school grounds to the top of the educational agenda. Bill Lucas, describing the goals of LTL, states that a school garden is as important for urban as for rural schools, "helping to bring about a better understanding between town and country," and a "keen power of observation in all things alive." LTL recognizes the importance of gardening by which children gain firsthand experience with the seed-to-seed cycle; the joy of the harvest; the taste, touch, and smell of fruit, vegetables, and flowers.

In African schools (Horst, Morna & Jonah, 1990) there has been little curricular emphasis in practical skills. However, the scenario is gradually changing with gardens being the main elements in Niger's new educational policy and in Sierra Leone where up to 80 percent of all schools have hands-on gardening classes. After gardening in schools, children are more likely to help their parents farm at home, eager to show them what they have learned. This develops prestige for farming in the minds of children.

In Bolivia, the "schoolyard ecology" program conducted by Audubon, an organization committed to ecological conservation, uses the schoolyard as an extension of the classroom. In this hands-on laboratory, children learn about their physical and biological surroundings through exercises that also allow them to develop basic academic skills. This form of education is clearly setting a new trend as opposed to the standard curriculum of rote recitation of multiplication and vocabulary.

School Garden Programs–Strategies, Evaluations, and Impacts

Garden based learning programs have gained popularity across the international educational landscape and there are innumerable programs in both formal as well as informal education with myriad strategies and impacts. Much of the literature on garden based programs, however, has focused on practical approaches for starting and managing school gardens. Proponents of children's garden programs talk of the multiple developmental benefits that school gardens can have on children—namely, emotional, aesthetic, and even spiritual in addition to the more obvious social and intellectual benefits.

Priscilla Logan, educational consultant and permaculture instructor from Santa Fe, New Mexico, in "The Why, What, and How's of Outdoor Classrooms" in *Branching Out*, the newsletter for Permaculture Drylands Institute, listed four reasons for using gardens as a teaching method (Sealy, 2001):

- High retention rate—When children work in gardens 90 percent of their experience is classified as hands-on. In a study conducted by Bethel Learning Institute on student retention, it was found that learning by doing produced 75 percent retention rate and

90 percent retention rate if the student teaches another student as averse to 11 percent for lectures.

- Empowerment—A connection to the earth gives students a sense of achievement and motivation.
- Academics—Science, math, social studies, art, language, and any other subject can be taught as life skills using nature as the learning lab, making these concepts more meaningful.
- Teamwork—Facilitating cooperation and communication in a real world setting rather than a classroom, makes learning teamwork possible, as does the class goal of a successful garden become more significant than individual achievement.

The Nutrition Education and Training Section of the California Department of Education (NET) states five ways in which garden enhanced nutrition education could contribute (Sealy, 2001). These five are building bridges between school and community; promoting the transfer of information from one generation to another; developing environmental awareness in students by caring for a living environment; providing opportunities for cultural exchange; and building life skills.

The developmental impacts of school gardens have, however, been difficult to evaluate and hence there are only few evaluations made in this area. The literature ranges from subjective accounts about the importance of gardens in the form of self-reports, parents' and teachers' observations as well as more empirical assessments of the impact of gardens.

Impact on Academic Achievement

One well-evaluated study on experiential education has been reported in *Closing the Achievement Gap: Using the Environment as an Integrative Context for Learning* (Lieberman & Hoody, 1998). In it, the State Education and Environment Roundtable, consisting of 12 states' education agencies, sought to identify successful environment based educational programs and conduct evaluations in various domains. The 40 successful programs that use the EIC design share the basic educational strategies of a multidisciplinary approach, hands-on learning experience, problem solving, team teaching, individualized design, and an emphasis on developing knowledge, understanding and appreciation for the environment. The documented impacts of the programs were found to be:

- Better performance on standardized achievement tests of reading, writing, math, social studies and science;
- Reduced classroom management and discipline problems;
- Increased attention and enthusiasm for learning; and
- Greater pride and ownership of accomplishments.

Programs such as Life Lab have created garden based projects for learning science and connecting it to all areas of learning. Their mission has been to encourage respect for life and the environment, an appreciation and understanding of ecological systems, and to create an environmental stewardship toward a goal of a sustainable future. The LASERS program, a Monterey Bay Science Project (Stoddart, et al., 1999), aims to educate teachers in the use of a

constructivist, inquiry based approach to the teaching of science and language. Most of the partnership schools use the Life Lab Science based curriculum and are carried out in a classroom grow lab or a school garden. Analyses of the data from the previous seven years of LASERS activities indicate that students who have been with LASERS-trained teachers for two consecutive years grow at a faster rate in language and math when compared to students who have not been taught by LASERS-trained teachers.

Impact on Environmental Education

Garden based learning has been especially beneficial in environmental education (or ecological literacy) as well as in teaching scientific concepts. According to the North Carolina Environmental Education Plan (1995), hands-on experiences are the best way for students to develop an understanding of their complex world and their place in it. The Down-to-Earth Program (DTE) aims to provide this kind of learning with the help of school gardens as a knowledge building tool (Williamson & Smoak, 1999). The main purpose of the DTE program is to introduce youth to sustainable agriculture and environmental education using the scientific method as a conceptual and hands-on learning process that stresses critical thinking, reasoning, and problem solving. Youth educators thus draw on rich mixture of multi-disciplinary topics such as agriculture, natural resources, environmental management, health and human safety, and horticulture. The impact of the Down-to-Earth Program has been seen through increased knowledge of the scientific method, plants, fertilizer, and pests as well as positive attitudinal and behavioral changes, increased awareness, and facilitation of higher order thinking processes.

With similar goals of achieving an interdisciplinary approach to environmental education, Project Green incorporates the school garden and gardening activity into all disciplines, including math, science, English, history, social studies, and art (Skelly & Zajiceck, 1998). An evaluation of the project comparing experimental and control groups found that children in the experimental group, who participated in the garden program, had more positive environmental attitudes, with second graders showing higher scores than fourth graders. More specifically, it was found that the more out-door related activities a child experienced, the more positive environmental score they recorded.

Impact on Children's Health and Nutrition

School gardens have been used to teach children about nutrition and how to make healthier food choices (Lineberger & Zajiceck, 2000). In a garden project called Nutrition in the Garden, teachers were guided to integrate nutrition education as it relates to fruits and vegetables. Evaluations of students participating in the program showed that their attitudes toward fruits and vegetables had become more favorable and they were also more likely to choose fruits or vegetable as snacks, compared to before they participated in the gardening program.

In a garden project with similar goals described by Irene Canaris, the impacts of the garden have led to more benefits than the original aim of improving nutrition and nutritional awareness in children (Canaris, 1995). The gardening activities enhanced the quality and

meaningfulness of their learning on a wider level, with children communicating with their communities and parents as well as learning mathematical and scientific principles in the garden.

Impacts on Families and Communities

The Evergreen Elementary School in West Sacramento, California offered small garden plots to families who were non-English speaking immigrants, primarily from Hmong and Mien cultures, who rarely participated in their children's activities. A demonstration garden grew vegetables and other plants familiar to the Hmong and Mien participants, thus encouraging participation by the parents. This project raised the self-esteem of the children as well as the non-English speaking parents who were then valued as teachers.

Hands-on involvement in children's designing, creating, caring for, and using school nature areas can help improve children's academic performance as well as inculcate the willingness and capacity to work for the communities of which they are a part (Bell, 2001). Anne Bell also states that teachers are gaining an appreciation for the potential of school ground projects that integrate disciplines, produce tangible outcomes and encourage children to build ties with their communities. "Lived experience" motivates students and shapes their learning in lasting and personally significant ways.

The Master Gardener Classroom Garden Project provides inner-city children in the San Antonio Independent School District with an experiential way of learning about horticulture, gardening, themselves, and their relationships with their peers (Alexander, North & Hendren, 1995). The gardens are used as part of the curriculum as well as a reward for hard work during the day. An evaluation of the benefits of this project was conducted by collecting data in the form of qualitative interviews of second and third graders as well as parents, teachers, a Master Gardener, and a school principal. These interviews indicate that there were many positive effects of working in the garden. According to the researchers, the children had received lessons in moral development, enhanced their daily academic curriculum, gained pleasure from watching the products of their labor flourish, and had a chance to increase interactions with their parents and other adults. In addition, the children learned the value of living things, plus the anger and frustration that occurs when things of value are harmed out of neglect or violence.

The literature presented here reinforces the value of the idea of connecting nature with each child's educational curriculum and learning. We began this chapter with that idea with the quote from Liberty Hyde Bailey, a late 19th Century U.S. advocate of gardens for children. We close the chapter with a similar idea found in a quote by a 19th century contemporary of Bailey. Jose Martí, the 19th century Cuban revolutionary, expressed a similar idea – to connect nature with a child's education and learning activities- quite simply:

"Y detras de cada escuela un taller agricola ...
donde cada estudiante sembrase un arbol."
(And behind every school is found a garden...
where every student plants a tree.)
(Martí, n.d. Cuba, on the cover of a
Cuban student garden journal).

Chapter 4
MODEL GARDEN BASED EDUCATION PROGRAMS: BEST PRACTICES AND BEST PRODUCTS FROM THE WESTERN AND THIRD WORLD

During the course of this study several individual programs were identified for a close review of how garden based learning was being used within the framework of basic education. The location of those programs around the world is shown in the map above and they are listed in the appendix of this paper. They cover a cross section of developmental stages and represent a variety of approaches to incorporating a garden within the educational curriculum of the school or program. Many of the case studies were completed using a standard survey format. In the segment that follows, we examine the responses from selected sections of those surveys. These surveys, coupled with recent site visits and years of observation of the garden based learning movement, form the background for the later discussions of best practices and products, impacts, outcomes, and future directions.

In attempting to gather information for this paper a survey was developed and sent to representatives of garden programs in schools and community settings around the world. This survey was coupled with site visits by the authors and interviews via email and telephone. The response to mailed surveys sent to developing economies was small despite strategies to facilitate a response. Gathering information in the developing world is challenging and a comprehensive study would require time and resources beyond the scope of this paper. We do feel however that the insights we did gain allow us to make some generalizations about the practice of garden based learning worldwide.

In addition to the case study sites listed, there are a number of sites across the United States and around the world that serve as major models of garden based learning on a broader scale or in a niche sense. These include the 4-H Children's Garden at Michigan State University; the Carolina Children's Garden; the Life Lab Garden at the University of California, Santa Cruz; Selam Adopt A Garden Program in Ethiopia; The Spiral Garden in Toronto; ACTAF and other garden sites in Cuba; and a variety of other programs that use the garden as a classroom, teaching tool, curriculum, and/or a food and fiber source. In some cases these gardens are also used for therapy, creative inspiration, motivation, and thoughtful reflection - rare commodities in the formal education systems of many developed countries.

An analysis of the case study sites listed above combined with historical observations of garden based learning and a review of the literature resulted in the identification of a set of *Core Uses* for garden based learning. These *Core Uses* are identified within the context of formal education as well as in a broader community context. The analysis also resulted in the identification of a set of critical *Best Practices & Products* which can serve as an agenda for action in organizing an effective garden based learning program. These practices and products are at one level essential to an effective and sustainable program and at a deeper level hold the key to strengthening and deepening basic education in any setting whether it be in rural eastern

Africa or inner city New York. And finally garden based learning offers one effective strategy for implementing the educational reforms identified over the ages but implemented in only rare pilot programs and seldom mainstreamed.

The *Core Uses* are summarized in two tables below with a few descriptors of how the use may be applied within and beyond basic education.

Table 2
Core Uses for Garden Based Learning In Basic Education

A review of the responses from garden based learning programs in developed and developing countries show many similarities in basic motivations for using the garden as an instructional tool. In virtually all settings the garden is viewed as a tool of multiple uses.

Academic Skills
To support core academic training, particularly in science and math – real world hands on experiences
Enrichment of core curriculum in language arts through introduction of new learning landscapes
To support standards based education in countries with national or regional education standards

Personal Development (Mental & Physical)
To add a sense of excitement, adventure, emotional impactand aesthetic appreciation to learning
To improve nutrition, diet and health
To teach the art and science of cooking with fresh products from the garden or local farms
To re-establish the celebratory nature of a shared meal

Social & Moral Development
To teach sustainable development
To teach ecological literacy and/or environmental education
To teach the joy and dignity of work
To teach respect for public and private property

Vocational and/or Subsistence Skills
To teach basic skills and vocational competencies
To produce food and other commodities for subsistence consumption and trade

Life Skills
To teach about food and fiber production
To engage children in community service and environmental care
To involve students in lessons of leadership and decision making

It may be useful to consider the way garden based learning is used in the context of the broader society, outside of the classroom. It seems clear that the this practice makes contributions beyond those to basic education and it may be that the contributions outside formal education are equally important to the growth and development of a healthy society.

Table 3
Core Uses of Garden Based Learning Beyond Basic Education

Community Development
Gardens often serve as a focal point for community dialogue,
capacity building, and partnerships
Gardens often organize individuals for action –
for water delivery, cooperatives, and transportation

Food Security
Gardens can address hunger at the individual, family,
and community levels through planning, growing, and sharing
Gardens can be the beginning point for teaching and developing food policy

Sustainable Development
Gardens are an appropriate arena to introduce children to the
interconnections that link nature to economic systems and society*

Vocational Education
Gardens represent a historic and contemporary model
for developing vocational skills in agriculture,
natural resource management, and science

School Grounds Greening
Gardens provides practical productive strategies to
transform sterile school grounds into attractive
and productive learning centers
Hands-on activities in outdoor classrooms make learning
more interesting while demonstrating other benefits
such as decreased absenteeism and discipline problems
"… enriching students' outdoor learning environment
reduces anti-social behavior such as violence,
bullying, vandalism, and littering." **

*(The 1992 United Nations Conference on the Environment and Development states that "education is critical for promoting sustainable development.")
**("Transforming School Grounds" form Greening School Grounds, New Society Publishers)

Garden based leaning is a very diverse practice, one that cannot be prescribed but must be adapted for each school/community setting. The **Best Practices** we describe here are key

elements that should be considered in any setting and adopted as appropriate. They were collected form schools and garden programs around the world and reflect ideas for developed and developing economies. The **Best Products** (detailed at the end) provide detailed and concrete samples of how these practices can be implemented and present ideas and examples of methodology, scope and sequence in curricula planning, unit/lesson plans and ideas for scheduling within the school calendar.

Best Practices

The review of model programs in developed and developing economies has provided some important insights into the practice of garden based learning. The lessons learned point to a collection of best practices in two arenas. The first and most important arena involves organizational considerations that must be addressed initially before the curriculum is identified and a garden setting is considered. The second arena involves operational considerations. These are the practices essential for effective, sustainable implementation of the garden experience.

Organizational Considerations

The first step in the establishment of effective programs in garden based learning is the development of an understanding, appreciation and acceptance of the pedagogy. For educators, administrators and parents this requires an introduction to the philosophy and history of garden based learning along with an explanation of how this fits into effective contemporary education. It is also important to point out the fact that garden based learning can allow for implementation of many of the educational reforms called for today. We have provided a discussion of these elements in the early chapters of this paper. If this step is successful what will follow is a long-term commitment of support for the effort. The next step is the detailed planning with an emphasis on developing a significant connection with the community and a plan that is focused on long term sustainability of the site and curriculum. The final organizational step is the curriculum selection or development.

Practitioners must begin with strategic planning for short (3-5 year) and long (5-10 year) horizons. These plans must include a vision, mission, core values and strategic statement of how garden based learning fits into the overall instructional strategy of the school or program. Does it meet the school and community expectations for producing competent and capable youth? Does it meet other broader community goals related to food security, environmental preservation or restoration, and vocational training? The core values of the program must be articulated and should include concepts such as; learn by doing – hands on instruction, child centered and student directed (participatory democracy), inquiry based, gender equity, inclusive, etc. Another core value that is evident in the educational philosophy of Cuba is that they identify education about the natural world and food as one of the requirements to creating a truly "cultured child."

The planning must also specify a realistic annual operating plan which should include a budget and realistic goals in terms of academic performance, student attitudes, leadership development and community engagement. The plan must address sustainability and strategies for start up. Marcia Eames-Sheavly at Cornell University has captured some of the best thinking along these lines in the publication entitled "Sewing the Seeds of Success." In California, the

Center for Ecoliteracy also produces an excellent publication for creating school gardens as outdoor classrooms. Their publication "Getting Started" talks about many of the guiding principles we outline above: garden purpose, administrators, connecting to the classroom, theme gardens, community involvement, a student centered environment, etc..

The plan is best developed with the involvement of school administrators, community leaders, parents, and students. This engagement is critical. It ensures understanding, recognition and support of garden based learning as an appropriate and necessary element of basic education. It also assures that these stakeholders understand and can articulate the importance of this pedagogical approach. Without this level of understanding and support throughout the educational community, the practice cannot be mainstreamed into the educational bureaucracy and will have a short time-line of sustainability, despite short-term success.

Once the planning is completed (or concurrently if staff, time, and energy permit) attention can turn to curriculum considerations. One of the first decisions in this arena is whether garden based learning will be integrated into all core subjects using thematic instruction or some other integration strategy. If this is not the case, then the garden based learning instruction must become one of the core subjects such as science, environmental education or a core garden class that addresses content standards at various grade levels. There are successful examples of both approaches and the local planning team described above must make the final decision on what approach is most effective in their setting. Other important considerations for the curriculum include insuring a high degree of organization, while remaining flexible and not dependent on a teacher with a high degree of garden knowledge.

Another curriculum consideration that appeared important was the use of real life learning in which authentic learning experiences are provided. One example of this would be teaching with the food cycle where children are directly involved in 1) planting and/or growing a living organism, 2) use of a growing medium (soil, water, range land, etc.), 3) stewardship of plants and/or animals, harvesting of crops or products, 4) productive use or consumption of products, recycling of by-products, and 5) extensions to different levels of plant production in the community (nurseries, farms forests, etc.). The curriculum must adhere to the core values identified in the planning process and must also identify its own additional values. Those that seem important in case studies are activities that include a sense of fun and adventure, engaging all senses, and using garden technology that is appropriate and sustainable (an example of which is the non-mechanical drip irrigation used in Adopt A Garden programs in Ethiopia).

In the developed world, and to a lesser extent the developing economies, the experiential learning cycle seems to be the curriculum strategy of choice for garden based learning. This is where students are allowed to construct their own knowledge through research, discussion, exploration and application. The application phase of this cycle may occur in the school or community but is most powerful when students apply what they have learned in the family home and/or community. This offers one of the great opportunities for developing economies where children can apply what they have learned about growing food and good nutrition in their own backyards. This was the strategy employed by the Land Grant Universities in the U.S. as they attempted to bring about earlier adaptation of agricultural innovations. In the developing world there is still a reliance on the more didactic system on lecture and drill. One of the repeated

warnings in the literature about the effective use of garden based learning is that it cannot be a forced add-on, practiced on an occasional or seasonal basis, but instead must be developed through a thoughtful process and included on a daily basis. Robin Moore at North Carolina State University states that "... children (future consumers and participants in democracy) must interact daily with an educational environment containing a diversity of living ecosystems. Gardening in the primary grades is proposed as one of the most feasible pedagogical approaches for ensuring this type of daily learning experience . . ."

Operational Considerations

Once organizational considerations are addressed then attention turns to operational details that include issues such as the physical site, teaching strategies, community connections, food system linkages and school grounds greening.

One of the first operational considerations is the growing medium of the garden. The size and scale must be appropriate to the curriculum or learning objectives. There is considerable variability in practice with regard to garden size. Some suggest that a large-scale garden (e.g., 1/2 acre or 1/5 hectare) is best. Others suggest that container gardening or raised beds can have the same impact as the larger garden experience. In our view gardening in the earth, exposed to the natural environment, with a garden plan that allows multiple plant species, is probably the best model. However, raised beds, container gardens or indoor grow-labs provide valuable practical alternatives when other options are not available. In the ideal world the garden space would also include a complete horticultural environment including, native plants, fruit trees, vegetables, traditional medicinal and/or ceremonial plants and fiber plants. A question that must be answered early in garden development is: "Will the garden be organic, IPM (integrated pest management) or conventional?" Most school gardens attempt to manage the garden without the use of synthetic pesticides or herbicides. They do, however, often use synthetic fertilizers in combination with compost. In working with children and volunteers, the safest alternative is probably organic. In settings where there is a large student body working the garden, as at Selam in Ethiopia, a labor intensive organic system of gardening seems most appropriate. Related to garden scope and scale are the concepts of composting and crop rotation. When size and scale permit, composting is always a powerful learning experience which closes the loop of the food cycle or growing cycle. Crop rotation also teaches a collection of scientific concepts in one simple activity.

A second operational consideration is the identification of effective teaching strategies. This is closely related to curriculum but has more to do with day-to-day pedagogical practices in the garden. An initial strategy here is to involve the designation of children as garden coordinators (working with adult mentors or coaches) who manage the garden and products form the garden. At Selam in Ethiopia this practice engages children in a form of vocational education as they manage large garden tracts, oversee the processing of significant harvests and prepare the products for consumption in two restaurants open to the public on the school grounds. Another successful teaching strategy involves active engagement of the students in the garden on a daily basis. The educational experience also appears richest when students plan, plant, harvest, and prepare a meal or snack from the garden. In non-food gardens some other activity can replace the food preparation, e.g. flower arrangement, wood gathering, etc.

There exists a large collection of unique operational teaching strategies for garden based learning from around the world. Ideas that weave drama into the garden through the use of food, or insect puppets, music (recordings from the Banana Slug Band in California), and plays or skits are some examples. Other teachers use themes garden which focus on student identified themes such as, insects (butterflies), food (pizza or bread), historical or cultural gardens.

While the practice of garden based learning is evolving, there is no formal pre-service education for prospective teachers. A considerable amount of in-service instruction is provided in developing economies through organizations such as Evergreen (Canada), Learning Through Landscapes (Great Britain), American Horticulture Society (U.S.) and National Gardening Association (U.S.).

Developing Economies

Much is to be learned about best practices from sites throughout the world. Consequently, we were interested in the lessons to be learned from those who do GBL in developing countries. In exploring garden based learning in developing economies, we looked at programs in Ethiopia, Brazil, Costa Rica, Cuba, India, Jamaica, Mexico and Micronesia. In general the programs reflect the challenges faced by other facets of education and industry within these communities such as the lack of adequate physical resources and shortage of technical expertise. Both conditions could be significantly addressed by linking garden based learning efforts in the developed and developing world. Despite these challenges there are amazing examples of garden based learning occurring in developing economies. It is informative to mention a number of exemplary programs.

In Ethiopia, the Selam Technical and Vocational Center in Addis Ababa has one of the best garden based learning programs we have seen. Elementary and high school student are engaged in gardening on site. Selam also provides training and technical materials and support for other schools interested in garden development. The goals are focused on food production, vocational training, and environmental education, but staff also sees an increase in self-confidence and self-worth of the students. At the Selam Center, students use garden products in two on-site restaurants open to the public. One restaurant features traditional Ethiopian cuisine and the other an international menu. Students are thus involved in all aspects of the food cycle from production through consumption and on to recycling. This is clearly a model that could contribute ideas to the movement in developed countries, and especially California, where there is a trend toward using garden based learning to teach the entire food cycle.

Cuba is a country where education is highly valued and where garden based learning is a part of the culture. In the words of one Cuban educator, the goal of Cuban education is "to create the most cultured children in the world." The definition of cultured here includes and understanding and appreciation of the food cycle and its importance to the family, community, and country. Children and others who work in the school gardens are seen as both a means to achieve food security and recipients of knowledge important to being a well-educated person. Among the values central to the school gardens is that students should learn and work. Expressed in another way: "Aprender con la mente y con las manos" (Learn both with the mind and hands).

In cases where schools do not have adequate space for gardens, student will travel to nearby community gardens that serve as sites in which these children can learn and work.

Youth Pioneros (or Pioneers) are a key component to Cuban education. This is the out-of-school, non-formal program to which a remarkable number of children (2 million) continue through secondary school. The Pioneros programs are also operated at camps where students learn about nature, ecology, and agriculture. In every case the garden is used as a learning/work site and is designed to establish the cultural value associated with working and learning. In this sense the use of the school garden in basic education contributes to reaching a prime objective of Cuban education – linking learning to work. The Pioneros program also has interest circles composed of students, teachers, and other collaborators. One example would be the Urban Agriculture Interest Circle. This group works in agricultural sites in Granma Province developing medicinal plant gardens, flower gardens, and kitchen gardens. Some students have even produced a recipe book on medicinal plants, condiments, and even wines. Thus, in addition to producing food, leaning about nature, and agricultural production, students test out recipes and also write and produce material for larger audiences, thereby linking garden work to more academic learning.

In addition to these programs, Cuba has specialized institutes like Instituto Politecnico Agricola Jose Francisco Costa Velasquez that is dedicated to agricultural education and seeks to connect agriculture with basic education for youth ages 14 and up. There is a major emphasis on agricultural and environmental literacy as they attempt to teach sustainable agriculture to produce healthy and adequate supplies of vegetables and livestock. In this setting like all educational landscapes across Cuba, there is an effort to ensure that learning and work are directly linked. One of the greatest challenges for Cuba in these efforts is the lack of adequate technical expertise to support school garden programs. This is similar to the situation faced in other developing economies.

In Cuba, special education students from pre-school ages to those of university age are provided special schools that work to develop the abilities of each student. Of these 26 schools throughout Cuba, some are residential while others offer programs from eight in the morning to early evening. In each case, the goal is to develop the abilities and skills of these students so that they can contribute and be productive. Gardens and agriculture are important components of some of these schools and provide for developing educational and vocational abilities. Again, the focus is on learn-work. Two examples are highlighted: the Hogar Castellana in Havana and the Escuela Especial "Ernesto Che Guevara" in Bayamo, Granma.

> The **Hogar Castellana** in Havana is a special education school of psychotherapy that provides care and learning programs for 205 students from four years of age to adults. Students who attend include those with Down's syndrome and other types of mental and even physical disorders (e.g., blindness and deafness). Of these, 53 currently participate in agricultural programs that begin with a focus on learning about plants to more advanced work in planting, cultivating, and harvesting crops. Throughout the school the focus is on the development of manual skills. In addition to agriculture, skill development in arts and crafts, cooking, and maintenance work is emphasized. In all cases the

vocational training is combined with intellectual skill development. For example, as students learn to harvest crops, they also learn to count. The goal of the school is to help develop the abilities of the students so that they are capable of functioning outside the school in daily life. To date over 200 students have gone through the agricultural program. The ultimate goal for the agricultural education program is to equip the students with enough skills so that they can work in neighborhood gardens and urban agricultural projects. The school, which is administered by the Ministry of Health, works with the Ministry of Agriculture and ACTAF (the Cuban Association of Agricultural and Forestry Workers) to ensure that the agricultural skills and resources are appropriate. To date the agricultural program has built and operated seed and plant nurseries, outdoor gardens, and hydroponic greenhouse gardens. Teachers work with students who spend five hours during the day doing the gardening work.

Escuela Especial "Ernesto Che Guevara" is located in Reparto Antonio Guiteras, Bayamo in the province of Granma. This special school provides agricultural training for 190 children who reside at the school. The approach here is to help develop the work skills needed through agricultural programs while also producing the food necessary to provide an adequate diet for students, teachers and workers. The support staff includes 20 teachers, 24 pedagogical aides, two agricultural workers along with eight specialists. Before students work to plant, cultivate, and harvest crops, they participate in technical workshops that seek to develop basic agricultural production skills. Students learn and then practice skills related to gardening, composting, harvesting, as well as skills needed to attend to animals. The kitchen garden is also a work area for the students. From September to June, students work 12 hours per week on a rotation of 15 days at the school with 3 days of rest. A major outcome of this program is the production of the food needed for the school ("auto consumo"). In addition the program develops vocational skills through its attention to the learn-work approach.

The effective use of garden based learning with physically and mentally challenged children has also been demonstrated in India. For the first time in 2001 five challenged participants took part in India's National Children's Science Congress using skills and knowledge they had gained while working in a gardening program at the Sanjivani Deep School of the Paraplegic Foundation. Vijaya Chakravarty, a landscape designer working at the school, discovered that jobs related to gardening, such as soil preparation, digging, watering, and harvesting were therapeutic and contributed to significant changes in the knowledge, skills, and behavior of these children.

Gardens have also been developed to address other issues and possibilities. Again, we have much to learn from practitioners in the so-called developing countries throughout the world. What follows are a few summaries of promising developments and approaches.

Food Security, Nutrition, Health:. In the garden settings we investigated in developing economies, the production of food was often a key factor in the design of the educational program. Growing food for the students and their families was an end in itself and a practical

way of making school (and education) a valued asset in the community. Teaching the community how to grow their own food in an environmentally sound manner was also viewed as an important step toward sustainable development. The incorporation of fresh vegetables into the diet and learning about food safety points to garden based learning as an effective tool for nutrition and health education

Urbanization, Sustainable Development, and Early Education for Democratic Participation: Mary Chambliss, the acting administrator of the U.S. Foreign Agricultural Service, indicates that "The urban population in developing countries is expected to double to nearly 4 billion by 2020." Roger Hart, in his book *Children's Participation*, notes that when families of developing economies leave the land for urban life, there is a tremendous interruption in the child's informal learning about the environment. In order for these citizens to make appropriate decisions that will contribute to sustainable development, they will have to have access to education and experience with environmental issues. Hart, Robin Moore, Gary Nabhan and others have repeatedly called for, in Hart's words, "everyday enjoyment of natural environments close to home — wild commonlands, gardens, ponds, city farms, or schoolyards." Hart also suggests that, "As they (children) develop they should also have gradually expanding opportunities to be directly involved in developing these places and caring for them." This speaks directly to developing a child's active democratic participation in the governance of their communities. In the case studies we explored in Brazil, Mexico, Costa Rica, and Cuba, interest and practice in issues around environmental education and sustainable development were clearly evident and the opportunity to involve children in the planning, design, construction, management, and monitoring of such activities would be an appropriate next step.

In an informal program in Bombay, India designed to introduce children to the world of plants, landscape designer Vijaya Chakravarty indicates that "... our children are from an urban background and many of them live under fly overs and in densely packed slums—this exposure to nature is very stimulating."

In Ethiopia, the Ethiopian Social Rehabilitation and Development Fund (ESRDF), working with a number of local and international partners recently sponsored Ethio Forum 2002. This forum was designed for poverty reduction through community driven restorative development. Ethiopia is the second most populated nation in sub-Saharan Africa with over 60,000,000 inhabitants. Over 50 percent of that population is under 20 years of age. Because of the large youth population in Ethiopia, the Ethio Forum organizers place a considerable emphasis on youth development and included an eight-day training for community workers from around the country on 4-H and FFA, two models of youth development with an emphasis on education in agriculture and the environment. Out of this workshop four regional plans were developed. Of those, one involved the development of the Wilbur Primary School Garden in Gambella. This plan expressed multiple objectives including:

- to increase recognition of youth as a valuable community asset and engage teenage boys and girls in school garden activities;
- to bring attitudinal change in the field of agriculture and leadership skills;
- to train youth in agricultural skills, soil conservation, and lab (science) skills;
- to provide a market orientation; and

- to mobilize local and international resources and materials to support the school garden project on a pilot basis through 4-H/FFE Youth Development.

Other plans that emerged from this training included a community-based Afforestation Project in Akaki Woreda, Soil and Water Conservation in Goncha Woreda, and Family Planning through 4-H Youth Development.

Vocational Education:. This element of garden based learning is a more visible component in developing economies and varies significantly in design and intensity. In settings such as the Selam Vocational and Technical Center, the garden based learning activities are part of a developmental continuum of education and experience moving the student toward knowledge and skills that will make them immediately employable. In the Garden of Peace in Brazil the goal is to expose children to the "value, dignity, and fun of labor."

Recruitment for formal education: In developing economies specifically at Los Ninos in Mexico and the Garden of Peace in Brazil, the garden based learning projects are seen as vehicles for developing a relationship with parents and children, a first step in directing them to formal education. In the words of Vijaya Chakravarty, working with children in India, "We also trained children from ARAMBH, an informal school for slum children—many of whom have never gone to a regular school. Our programs are used to motivate and enthuse children into joining the educational system."

Educational enrichment in science, language arts, etc.: The identified contributions to basic education are numerous and speak to a general enrichment of the existing curriculum. Key points as expressed by three practitioners illustrate the approaches:

- The Zelina Monteiro Lemos Elementary School in Brazil uses gardening in order to make "basic education come alive, to have content and meaning, and to expand the scope of vision"; and
- The Los Ninos program in Mexicali, Mexico provides "for practical environmental education that moves and touches people."
- The Cloud Forest School in Costa Rica applies "concepts covered in the classroom in a very practical ways";

Children's Participation, Self-confidence/Self-esteem:. In reviewing the reported contributions of garden based learning, a great deal of discussion is heard about the opportunity for children to improve their self-confidence and self-esteem through successful experiences in the garden, to see tangible results of their efforts, to provide support for their families through the growing of food, and to participate in community service. In many cases, garden based learning experiences build capacity in children that is then shared with families and community members.

Best Products

This manuscript is designed to articulate the philosophical and historical foundations of garden based learning and to highlight some examples of garden based learning around the world. To detail a curriculum, educational strategy, lessons plans, and related practical strategies

of launching a garden based learning program is beyond the scope of this paper. Nevertheless, the products detailed below coupled with the resources (see Appendix I) and references listed can provide the level of detail necessary of program development and delivery. Most of the products listed are from the western world but it is our hope that this paper will generate additions to the list from around the world.

Growing Classroom – Garden Based Science. This is a teacher sourcebook for hands-on science and nutrition education in grades 2 through 6. It is a year-long science curriculum made up of a collection of indoor and outdoor experiential activities taught within the context of a garden laboratory. The curriculum is a product of Life Lab Science Program. They can be reached at www.lifelab.org or at 831-459-2001.

GrowLab – Activities for Growing Minds. The National Gardening Association in the U.S. is a premier resource for ideas, activities, and products that make for effective garden based learning. Their newsletter *Growing Ideas – A Journal of Garden Based Learning* is full of inspirations to enrich basic education through thoughtful review of existing programs and new publication of interest to teachers and non-formal educators. Their GrowLab Indoor Gardens make garden based learning practical in any season and provide ideal conditions for growing plants through a full life cycle. Their website is the passport to all of their garden based learning resources, www.kidsgardening.com or call them at 800-538-7476.

Junior Master Gardener (JMG). This is an innovative 4-H youth gardening program with an extensive collection of activities designed to teach science, environmental education, leadership, and life skills. Individual and group activities are supported by a JMG youth handbook and a teacher/leader guide. Group activities can be held with a school class or after-school program, home school or any group interested in youth gardeners. The program can be accessed via the World Wide Web at www.jmgkids.com.

Nutrition to Grow On. This curriculum has two main objectives: (1) to teach upper elementary school children and their caregivers the importance of making healthful food choices and the ways to do so, and (2) to improve children's preferences for fruits and vegetables by giving children an opportunity to work with the land and grow their own produce. The curriculum can be obtained from the California State Department of Education at www.cde.ca.gov/cdepress or call 800-995-4099.

Project Food, Land, and People (FLP). This curriculum contains over 50 lesson plans for grades preK-12. It covers all aspects of food and fiber production and consumption. It also weaves environmental concepts into each lesson. It is in use throughout the United States and in several other countries. Many of the lessons are also available in Spanish. FLP can be reached at their U.S. headquarters in San Francisco by phoning 415-561-4445 or visiting their website at www.foodlandpeople.org.

Teams With Intergenerational Support (TWIGS). This is a 125-page curriculum package that consists of 30 field-tested lessons that focus on connecting gardening with healthy food choices. Lessons promote increased awareness of the wide variety of vegetables and fruits, increased knowledge of their nutritive value, and increased willingness to taste through planting and

harvesting a vegetable garden. Written for teachers, after-school care providers, youth agency staff, and volunteers, the curriculum is flexible and emphasizes hands-on activities geared to kindergarten through sixth graders. Suggestions are also included on recruiting community collaborators from teens, college students, and seniors to partner with teams of youth participants in program activity. The curriculum can be obtained by contacting the author, Marilyn Johns, in California at 650-726-9267 or email at mjjohns@ucdavis.edu.

Garden of Learning. This is a management plan for effective, sustainable school gardens. The program offers a plan to operate and sustain a school garden with modest resources. It is made up of four essential elements: system, curriculum, materials, and training/consultation. The system is described in the Garden of Learning Owners Manual, which provides a detailed framework to organize and operate school gardens for grades K-6. The curriculum includes more than 50 Weekly Activity Plans designed to integrate with classroom studies in science, math, English, arts, social studies, nutrition, and environmental education, while also getting the garden planted, mulched, weeded, and fertilized. The materials include sample newsletters, press releases, and grant applications. It also provides information on how to raise funds and build business partnerships. The Garden of Learning also conducts training for staff and parents and provides ongoing consultation for member schools. It can be obtained from the author Kelli Wessman at 530-622-2309. No website is available.

Guide for Supporting California State Standards through Garden Based Education. This is a guide for classroom teachers and school administrators (principals and school board members) who want to gain a general understanding of how a school garden can fit into their educational goals. It demonstrates that state standards can be strongly supported through garden based learning activities. The guide also provides an easy way for educators to identify garden based activities in each core subject area appropriate at grade levels second through sixth. It also enables educators to focus their program in one or more of the "Seed-to-table" content areas: gardening, nutrition, cooking, waste management, and food systems. It uses nine different sets of instructional materials to provide teachers with ideas for a variety of materials that they can use to meet their needs while addressing state standards. The guide can be obtained from the California State Department of Education at www.cde.ca.gov/cdepress or call 800-995-4099.

The utilization of garden based learning in developing and developed countries and can contribute to basic education and to community development. However its future is precarious not simply because of limited resources but also because there is not an accepted framework within which to apply the pedagogy. A partnership with schools and programs between developing and developed economies could contribute to a more rapid expansion of the practice. This is being attempted on a limited basis by individual schools/programs and on a more broad scale by organizations in the U.S. These include programs such as the National Gardening Association, the Junior Master Gardener Program, and 4-H. Organizations which work in the international arena, such as UNICEF, FAO, AID, World Health Organization, and World Bank, could facilitate an expanding dialogue on the role that garden based learning can play not only in strengthening basic education but also in supporting global food supply, health, and sustainable development.

The future of garden based learning in a more general sense is not easy to predict. One key element is the future of outdoor and environmental education. If the knowledge, appreciation, and application of environmental education can be infused into the practice of working teachers and introduced into the preparation of new teachers, then it has an opportunity to become a mainstream practice within our educational framework. If the environmental education were to become a permanent fixture within the schooling framework (much as athletics are currently viewed) then there might be a move to hire specially trained environmental educators (like athletic coaches) who will design and deliver the curriculum, which could easily include a garden. A similar relationship may evolve with experiential education or project based leaning (PBL). If this pedagogy becomes a mainstream educational practice, then gardens will certainly continue to expand as a vehicle to easily implement PBL at the preschool and elementary levels. We made the point earlier in the paper that many of the educational reforms currently being promoted around the world can be implemented through garden based learning.

Chapter 5
IMPACTS , OUTCOMES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Earlier sections of this paper looked at the collection of work that surrounds garden based learning and several specific studies conducted in this arena were cited. In this chapter, however, we take a broader view of garden based learning in an attempt to identify some of the results of the practice, as well as some trends and needs in the future.

Impact indicators are the specific information or evidence that can be gathered to measure progress toward program goals (Cornell University). Impacts of garden based learning on basic education have not been examined critically except in a few cases such as the Monterey Bay Science Project where Life Lab gardens were used to assist teachers in developing a constructivist, inquiry-based approach to teaching science and language. Further study is needed in order to point to impacts such as improvement in science education or greater understanding of ecological cycles. This will require that garden program establish specific goals for their efforts. The outcomes of garden based learning are observable in the many different settings described throughout this paper. Outcomes are the things that occur as a result of having conducted the program. They can be intended or unintended, positive or negative, and relevant or nonrelevant. The outcomes cited are predominately based on anecdotal evidence and there is little research that demonstrates a clear cause and effect relationship such as that which has been demonstrated with broader environmental education research as in the "California Student Assessment Project – The Effects of Environment Based Education on Student Achievement." This study, reported by the State Education and Environment Roundtable (SEER) in March 2000, suggests a methodology that could be applied (and some would suggest has been applied) to garden based learning.

In many settings around the world a portion of the school day has been devoted to garden based learning. Resources (teacher time, school budget, land, school volunteers, etc.) have been redirected from traditional classroom instruction to a more experience-based activity that takes place outdoors or in classroom growlabs. The number of students involved in such activities has not been carefully studied; however, in the United States the National Gardening Association is developing plans for a national study of the garden movement in schools. In California the State Department of Education is currently surveying all schools under their jurisdiction to determine the level of garden activity. Outside of the United States the movement is less well defined or takes on more of a school grounds/greening perspective. In Canada, Evergreen has studied and recorded the experience of six schools that participated in a school ground naturalization project, many of which have included garden development. The outcomes they record are in school grounds transformation (e.g., change in area covered by asphalt and grass). They do, however,

note some related behavioral changes in the school and community culture, which are a part of our summary finding of outcomes: increased ecoliteracy, improved sense of school as community, etc. In Vancouver, Canada the challenges of school gardens can be seen where a rising interest in establishing school gardens has surpassed the ability of the school district to fund grounds personnel to supervise and/or maintain such projects. The interest of schools exceeds the capacity of the school board to respond positively, so they have placed a moratorium on all new school gardens.

In developing countries the picture is more variable. In Cuba, school gardening, while not studied in the formal sense, can be observed as pervasive, as the garden experience exists in almost every educational setting where it is an accepted value within the school and community. In Ghana, Ethiopia, and other African countries the use of school gardens and their contribution to basic education varies tremendously. At the Sealm Technical & Vocational Center in Addis Ababa the garden is a central part of the educational experience and is used to enhance academic performance, teach natural resource conservation (restorative development), develop vocational skills, and allow youth and families to produce nutritious food for personal consumption. In South America there are excellent examples of garden based learning that share the outcomes stated below, but there is no pervasive movement. A cover story in the Audubon Society's November 2001 issue of *Audubon* describes a growing interest in schoolyard ecology, but the goal here seems to be focused on ecological literacy and conservation. The case studies we looked at in Brazil demonstrated similar outcomes to those listed below, however these are small-scale activities even though they are locally important programs.

The outcomes observed that support basic education occur in schools or programs that follow the best practices identified in the previous section. Those outcomes include shifts in teaching practice toward great use of experiential education (e.g., Project Based and hands-on learning). They also make greater use of outdoor school areas for instruction, an important consideration for schools with minimal physical infrastructure. There are also positive outcomes that improve and expand academic skills. These include an increase in environmental education (ecological literacy), enhanced use of the scientific process, and improved understanding of scientific principles. Outcomes were also observed that contributed to a greater appreciation for the environment and concern for human impact on that environment. Related to this latter outcome was an increased interest in food and fiber production. There were also a set of outcomes related to school community relationships with an improved sense of community within the school and a greater positive interaction between the school/program and community. And finally, for students there is an increased sense of self-esteem and a consensus that these children of the garden are a more cultured group of students.

Garden based learning also generates outcomes that represent challenges for the school or program. Such outcomes usually occur when the best practices noted earlier are either ignored or only given superficial attention. When that happens, outcomes emerge that create problems for garden development and/or maintenance. There can also be challenges related to heavier workloads for teachers or program staff and a distraction from core curriculum objectives or standards based instruction.

When we look at garden based learning in developed or developing economies the outcomes are similar and their contribution to basic education can be significant if the program is developed and implemented using the best practices identified. As with any innovative curriculum introduction, if there is not a structured process for planning and implementation then the system is destined for marginal outcomes, minimal impacts and eventually failure. Unfortunately this has been a pattern in many individual school gardens where the concept, content, and implementation are the product of a few individuals and they do not have the support of the larger educational community.

SOME DIRECTIONS FOR THE FUTURE

For the existing programs in garden based learning in Australia, Canada, Europe, and the U.S., there are a few trends that seem to illustrate future directions. These trends and some needs are summarized below:

Educational Integrity: In the developed economies, garden based learning is viewed by some as a more effective strategy for basic education. However, to accept this idea requires a general improvement of the educational integrity of the practice. There is a need for an overall educational strategy statement and implementation guide for garden based learning that articulates the advantages of the pedagogy and makes the connection between the practice and various proposals for educational reform (experiential education, emotional intelligence, etc.). Such guidelines exist for environmental education and agricultural education and could serve as a template for garden based learning. A strong emphasis on improved academic performance in schools within some countries has meant that garden based learning must be tied to the standards and benchmarks in core subjects to attain credibility within the educational community. Some curricula such as the Junior Master Gardener Program have already established the tie to national standards. There is a large body of knowledge that suggests that science education can be improved though use of an applied, hands-on curriculum. If the garden can be "marketed" as a learning laboratory in a credible fashion, similar to the Life Lab Program based in California, then the emergence of school gardens could have a significant impact on elementary science education.

There is also a need for more research on the impacts of garden based learning on student academic achievement, environmental attitudes, and self-esteem. Another area of research that might contribute to the understanding of garden based learning may be an analysis of the experiences of unique educational environments such as the schools of Reggio Emilia and the Waldorf Schools as well as individual sites of exemplary educational innovation such as the Coombs County Infant and Nursery School in Great Britain. Each of these incorporates the child's outdoor environment as a garden of learning.

Some of the insightful responses to the question of "what garden based learning contributes to basic education" also offer some opportunities for further practical research. Each of the three illustrative responses below creates more questions about the depth and breadth of such outcomes. For example:

- The Garden of Learning in California (U.S.) believes gardening "brings basic education to life in living color"; and

- The Junior Master Gardener Program, Texas (U.S.) is concerned over "how gardening makes learning real"; and

- The Munich International School in Munich (Germany) feels gardening "inspires learning and creativity in all subjects. The (children's) joy is self-evident and the learning experiences are not soon forgotten."

Garden Maintenance:. For schools and programs with a significant investment in garden infrastructure (physical site, equipment, plant material, etc.) there is a growing realization that a garden coordinator or strategic plan (e.g., Garden of Leaning) must be in place to effectively engage these resources as educational tools. Relying on overworked teachers, custodians, groundskeepers or transient volunteers is not a sustainable strategy. The garden must be viewed as an integral part of the educational plan for the school (e.g., as a classroom) and financed accordingly as a part of the overhead of operations. If this is not the case, then long-term sustainability is in jeopardy and the garden becomes a burden to the creative energies of staff, parents, and community volunteers.

Educational Linkages:. School gardens and garden based learning in some settings (those following best practices identified above) seem to lead to a new sense of community at the school. This encourages greater participation by parents and community members, not unlike athletics, but perhaps in a more nurturing, less competitive environment. This is another rich area for research and a University of California, Davis study is currently attempting to analyze parent participation in school gardens.

Food Cycle and Nutrition Connections: Increasingly school gardens are being used as vehicles to teach the food cycle, nutrition, and culinary science. In California's "Edible School Yard" at Martin Luther King, Jr. Middle School and Australia's "Kitchen Garden" at Collingwood College there is a serious investment in using the garden to change the attitudes and eating practices (thus nutrition) of students. At the same time these schools are attempting to develop a new or renewed cultural respect for food, the land that provides it, and the way we enjoy it as a family or community. This new emphasis or identification of food and its origins as a cultural imperative to be understood and appreciated by children is not only a developed world phenomena, but is also found in less affluent economies such as Cuba. In many schools in California there is a growing movement to connect the school garden with the school cafeteria (school food service), and with local farms that produce the food. The U.S. Department of Agriculture and California State Department of Education are actually providing small grants to initiate such projects (such as Crunch Lunch), and members of the state legislature in California are exploring legislation to institutionalize such garden grants.

School Grounds Greening: . Many schools are attempting to recapture an element of the natural environment on their school grounds. The reasons for schoolyard greening are many and articulated in an excellent new publication by the Green Teacher entitled "Greening School Grounds." Whatever the reason for the growing interest in school grounds greening, the garden

seems to be one of the most practical strategies for achieving a more natural environment. Evergreen, a Canadian based nonprofit environmental organization has published a study of six Canadian schools with school ground naturalization initiatives. The study identifies the strategies and outcomes of the naturalization experience.

International Linkages:. Many gardens are used to grow the traditional food of a variety of cultures. This emphasis on cultural diversity has led a number of programs to establish international linkages for exchange of ideas, seeds, and, hopefully, students. There are exciting opportunities for the established school gardens of the developed economies to partner with the developing world school gardens to support their growth. The National Gardening Association in the United States has perhaps the best database for global children's gardens and supports efforts to expand this network.

The authors hope that a new action develops and grows in the international arena. There is an urgent need for the practitioners of garden based learning in both the "developed" and "developing" worlds to learn from one another. People in all parts of the world are doing incredible work and the lessons learned, the impacts felt, and the outcomes revealed need to be shared with one another. If the GBL practices are to grow the connections between and among the many players have to be enhanced.

The electronic medium of the internet can meet part of this challenge. But, only a part! The resources listed in Appendix I include a number of Websites and listserv's that can be accessed for information and interchange. Unfortunately there are many parts of the world that do not have the luxury of telecommunications and computer connections.

The challenge is for an international broker to take on the challenge and accelerate the trend of mutual learning. That broker of information will have to use a mix of approaches to communicate in addition to the web. Paper documents and video-cassettes filled with information can be effectively used to communicate. As powerful can be the use of audio-cassettes and radio that can efficiently reach most parts of the world. But who will take on that challenge? It may be that FAO through IIEP, the sponsors of this work, have to consider this new challenge. Or, is it an opportunity?

Chapter 7
CONCLUSIONS

Although this paper is not a recipe or blueprint for creating a garden based learning program, the hope is that the models, the lessons, and the approaches included will energize practitioners and policy makers to do more. It is the hope of the authors that this manuscript has provided worthwhile ideas for creatively and productively linking garden related work to learning and education.

The practice of garden based learning is a global phenomenon. In some settings it is the educational curriculum and in others it supports or enriches the curriculum. This study takes a quick look at the pedagogy and offers some observations that can be useful to practitioners, educational administrators, and researchers. The contributions to effective use of garden based learning have come from developing and developed economies. In the developed world the resources to support a garden of learning are often more readily available. However the practices, ideas, and strategies being used in the developing economies can also make a significant contribution to garden based learning. A unique opportunity exists to further investigate garden based learning globally and to initiate an exchange of ideas and resources that can strengthen the practice in all settings. This is a role for an organization with a global perspective and connections.

There is no universal model of garden based learning that can be applied to every community. Each culture or community must design a plan that addresses the needs of their learners and educators. Hopefully the design process will engage youth at each step in a developmentally appropriate way, as suggested by Roger Hart in *Children's Participation.*

Any model should also incorporate the best practices identified here. Garden based learning applied while using the best practices can contribute to basic education in any society in several ways. Academic performance, ecological literacy, school environment and culture, community linkages, nutrition and health, and vocational education, as has been demonstrated, can be impacted. The practice of garden based learning like most pedagogy relies on some key concepts of instruction to be effective. And, as has been detailed, hands-on learning and integrated, interdisciplinary instruction can be used with GBL. However GBL also makes a unique contribution not replicated in other pedagogies. It engages the student in a stewardship relationship with other living organisms and teaches not only the science of life but also the interconnected nature of the web of life and how everyday actions can have profound effects on the long-term health of the system.

Garden based learning can perhaps make its greatest contribution in both developed and developing economies by providing a path into ecological literacy. "Sustainable development" is a great challenge that continues to lie before us. Such a development is hampered by the great urban migration of the past half-century and the even greater urban/consumer mentality that has

crept into even the most rural communities on the globe. Garden based learning can create a greater sensitivity and appreciation for life and a deeper understanding of the interconnectedness of all living organisms.

School gardens have evolved through the ages, changing with the philosophies of our education systems and the values developed by various cultures. It seems reasonable to expect that our current ideals of educating children through experiential means, inculcating a sense of ecological awareness and connection with their land, and recognizing the unique potentials of every child, could be practically realized through the stable establishment of school gardens. As Arlene Marturano, coordinator of South Carolina Garden Based Learning Network aptly states, "All children can experience success in a school garden" (Marturano, 1999, in Sealy, 2001).

In the developed world children are increasingly addicted to technology. Their world is filled with monitors for television, video games, and computers. Their daily schedule is programmed and they are absorbed in a virtual reality that sometime isolates them from their biological or ecological roots. This virtual world is real and will impact future generations in ways we are still discovering. However technology alone cannot satisfy all human needs and desires. If we listen to educational philosophers of the past and present such as Jose Martí, E. O. Wilson, David Orr, and Wendell Berry, we learn that there must be a link to nature and nurture in our everyday life. Can we take every child into the wilderness? Maybe, but only occasionally! Can we take every child into the garden? Most certainly and daily!

Again - Good planting and harvesting!

REFERENCES

Alexander, J., M.W. North, D.K. Hendren (1995). Master Garden Classroom Garden Project: An Evaluation of the Benefits to Children. *Children's Environments* 12(2): 256–263.

Appadurai, A. (1996). Modernity at Large: Cultural Dimensions of Globalization. Minnesota: University of Minnessota.

Association for Experiential Education. (2002). WebSite: www.aee.org.

Babcock, E.B. (1909). Suggestions for Garden Work in California Schools. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Bailey, L.H. (1909). The Nature Study Idea. New York: McMillan Co.

Bassett, T. (1979). Vacant Lot Cultivation: Community Gardening in America, 1893–1978. Unpublished Master's Thesis, Department of Geography, University of California, Berkeley: Division of Graduate Studies.

Bell, A. (2001). The Pedagogical Potential of School Grounds. In T. Grant & G. Littlejohn, eds., *Greening School Grounds. Creating Habitats for Learning*. New York: New Society Publishers.

Blakely, E.J. (1989). Theoretical Approaches for a Global Community. In James A. Christenson and Jerry Robinson, eds., *Community Development in Perspective*. Lawrence: University of Kansas Press.

Carver, R. (1998). Education for All: From Experience, Through Guidance and Reflection. Doctoral Dissertation, Stanford: Stanford University, Division of Education.

California State Department of Education & California Energy Commission (1994). Environmental Education Compendium for Human Communities. Sacramento: State Department of Education

California State Department of Education, Office of Environmental Education (2000). California Student Assessment Project–The Effects of Environment Based Education on Student Achievement. Sacramento: State Department of Education.

Canaris, I. (1995). Growing Foods for Growing Minds: Integrating Gardening and Nutrition Education into the Total Curriculum. *Children's Environments*, 12(2): 264–270.

Capra, Fritjof (1997). Web of Life. New York: Double Day.

Cheskey, E. (2001). How Schoolyards Influence Behavior. In T. Grant & G. Littlejohn, eds., *Greening School Grounds*. *Creating Habitats for Learning.* New York: New Society Publishers.

Center for Ecoliteracy & Life Lab Science Program (1997). Getting Started. Berkeley: Center for Ecoliteracy.

Champeau, R. (1992). Environmental Education in Wisconsin: Are We Walking the Talk? Stevens Point: Wisconsin Center for Environmental Education, University of Wisconsin.

Cobb, E. (1969). The ecology of imagination in childhood. In P. Shepard & D. McKinley, eds., *The Subversive Science: Essays Toward an Ecology of Man.* Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Coffey, A. (2001). Transforming School Grounds. In T. Grant & G. Littlejohn, eds., *Greening School Grounds*. *Creating Habitats for Learning.* New York: New Society Publishers.

Comenius, J.A. (1592–1670). The School of Infancy. Edited with an introd. by Ernest M. Eller. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Dewey, J. (1915). Schools of Tomorrow. New York: E.P. Dutton

Disinger, J., et al. (1994). Defining Environmental Education. Workshop Resource Manual. Report ISBN 1-884782-03-5. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.

Dunnigan, K. (1999). Presentation: A History of Gardening. International Agricultural Development Graduate Group Seminar. University of California, Davis. March 11, 1999.

Eames-Sheavly, M.M. (1999). Sowing the Seeds of Success. Vermont: National Gardening Association.

Edwards, C., et al. (Eds.) (1993). The Hundred Languages of Children: The Reggio Emilia Approach to Early Childhood Education. Norwood: Ablex.

Evergreen (2001). Grounds for Greening. Toronto: Evergreen.

Francis, M. (1995). Childhood's Garden: Memory and Meaning of Gardens. *Children's Environments*. 12 (2).

Froebel Web online (1998). The Education of Man. Retrieved January 20, 2002 from http://members.tripod.com/~FroebelWeb/web7000.html.

Gardner, H. (1999). Intelligence Reframed. New York: Basic Books.

Gardner, H. (1993). Frames of Mind. New York: Basic Books.

Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ. New York: Bantam Books.

Grant, T. & G. Littlejohn (2001). Greening School Grounds. Canada: New Society Publishers.

Greene, M.L. (1910). Among School Gardens. New York: Russel Sage.

Hart, R. (1997). Children's Participation. New York: UNICEF.

Horst, S., C.L. Morna & D.O. Jonah (1995). Educating our Children to Be Farmers. *Children's Environments*. 12(2): 192–196.

Iozzi, L. & T. Marcinkowski (1990). Assessment of learning outcomes in environmental education. In M. Maldague, ed., *Methods and Techniques for Evaluating Environmental Education*. Paris: UNESCO.

Kandel, E. & R.D. Hawkins (1992). The Biological Basis of Learning & Individuality. *Scientific America*. 267(3): 78-86.

Katz, L. (1990). Impressions of Reggio Emilia Preschools. *Young Children*. 45(6).

Keniry, J. & B. Trelstad (1992). Student Environmental Organizations. *New Directions for Higher Education*. 20(27): 103-112.

Lieberman, G.A. & L. Hoody (1998). Closing the Achievement Gap: Using the Environment as an Integrating Context for Learning. San Diego: State Education and Environment Roundtable, 1998.

Lineberger, S.E. & J.M. Zajicek (2000). School Gardens: Can a Hands-on Teaching Tool Affect Students Attitudes and Behaviors Regarding Fruit and Vegetables? *Hortechnology*. 10(3): 593–597.

Marturano, A. (1999). The Educational Roots of Garden-Based Instruction and Contemporary Gateways to Gardening with Children. *Kindergarten Education: Theory, Research, and Practise* 4(1): 55–70.

Meyer, E. (1997). Cultivating Change: A Historical Overview of the School Garden Movement. Unpublished paper from graduate seminar on Social and Cultural Studies in Education. University of California, Davis.

Montessori, M. (1870–1952). The Absorbent Mind, translated from the Italian by Claude A. Claremont. New York: Dell Pub. Co. 1969.

Moore, R.C. (1995). Children Gardening: First Steps Toward a Sustainable Future. *Childern's Environments*. 12 (2).

Nabham. G. & S. Trimble (1994). The Geography of Childhood. Boston: Beacon Press.

National Academy of Sciences (1989). Understanding Agriculture: New Directions for Education. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.

North American Association for Environmental Education. (2002). NAAEE Home Page. http://naaee.org.

North American Montessori Teachers Association (1998). Maria Montessori: A Brief Biography. Retrieved January 10, 2002 from http://www.montessorinamta.org/generalinfo/biog.html.

Orr, D.W. (1992). Ecological Literacy. New York: State University of New York Press.

Orr, D.W. (1994). Earth In Mind. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.

Patton, M.Q. (1997). Utilization Focused Evaluation. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.

Pivnick, J. (1994). Sowing a School Garden: Reaping an Environmental Ethic. In T. Grant & G. Littlejohn, eds., *Greening School Grounds. Creating Habitats for Learning*. New York: New Society Publishers.

Rilla, E. & D.J. Desmond (2000). Connecting Children to the Land: A Review of Programs in Agricultural Literacy in California. Oakland: University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources.

Rilla, E., et al. (1995). Agricultural Education Feasibility at Walker Creek Ranch. Oakland: University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources.

Salvin, R.E. (1988). Educational Psychology—Theory into Practice. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Sealy, M.R. (2001). A Garden for Children at Family Road Care Center. Unpublished Master's Thesis. Graduate faculty of Louisiana State University and Agricultural Mechanical College: School of Landscape Architecture.

Skelly, S.M. & J.M. Zajiceck (1998). The Effect of an Interdisciplinary Garden Program in the Environmental Attitudes of Elementary School Students. *Hortechnology*. 8(4): 579–583.

State Education and Environment Roundtable, Closing the Achievement Gap. San Diego, Calif.

Stoddart, T., D. Canaday, M. Clinton, M. Erai, E. Gasper, A. Gershon, S. Lasky, M. Latzke, A. Pinales, E. Ponce & J. Ryan (1999). Language Acquisition through Science Inquiry. Symposium presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Association, Montreal, Canada. Santa Cruz: University of California, Santa Cruz.

Trelstad, B. (1997). Little Machines in Their Gardens: A History of School Gardens in America, 1891-1920. *Landscape Journal* 16(2).

Tuan, Y. (1978). Children and the Natural Environment. In I. Altman & J. F. Wohlwill, eds., *Children and the Environment*. New York: Plenium Press.

Waliczek, T.M., J.C. Bradley, R.D. Lineberger & J.M. Zajicek (2000). Using a Web-based Survey to Research the Benefits of Children Gardening. *Hortechnology*. 10(1): 71–76.

Weatherford, E. & C.G. Weatherford (1987). A Review of Theory and Research found in Selected Experiential Education, Life Skill Development, and 4-H Program Impacts Literature. Printed through the resources of North Carolina State University, Extension Service and the National 4-H Council.

Weed, C.M. & P. Emerson (1909). School Garden Book. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.

Williamson, R. & E. Smoak (1999). Creating a Down-to-Earth Approach to Teaching Science, Math, and Technology. *Journal of Extension.* 37(3).

Yamamoto, B.T. (2000). But Who's Going to Water? Complexity and Thick Explanation on a Critical Ethnographic Study of Two School Garden Projects. Unpublished Master's Thesis, Department of Human and Community Development, University of California, Davis: Division of Graduate Studies.

Appendix I
RESOURCES IN
GARDEN BASED LEARNING

There is a wealth of material available to support garden based learning. The resource topics range from basic gardening, children's gardens, school gardening, school grounds greening, food security, and nutrition through environmental education, experiential education and project based learning. Periodical articles appear monthly that focus on various aspects of the garden as a learning tool. The intent in this section is not to provide a comprehensive list of resources, but to offer a few key sources that might be useful in a more targeted search for tools to enhance garden based learning. The hope is that through this initial paper a global dialogue will be established to expand the resource base so that garden based learning can be assessed and implemented in a manner that contributes to basic education in any cultural setting. Hopefully those reading this study will contact the authors to add their name, organization, or resource to the list.

Organizations

American Horticulture Society
7931 East Boulevard Drive
Alexandria, VA 22308
Tel: 703-768-5700 Toll Free: 800-777-7931
Fax: 703-768-8700
Website: www.ahs.org

Center for Ecoliteracy
Zenobia Barlow
Executive Director
2522 San Pablo Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94702.
Tel: 510-845-4595.
E-mail: zenobia@ecoliteracy.org
Website: www.ecoliteracy.org

Cornell University's School Garden Program
Marcia Eames-Sheavely
Extension Support Specialist
College of Agriculture & Life Sciences
Dept. of Fruit & Vegetable Science
134-A Plan Science Bldg.
Ithaca, NY 14853-5908
Tel: 607-255-0599

Evergreen – Canada
355 Adelaide St. West, 5th Floor,
Toronto, ON M5V 1S2
Tel: 416-596-1495
Website: www.evergreen.ca

Food and Fiber Systems Literacy Project

Department of Agricultural Education
448 Agricultural Hall
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, OK 74078-0484
Tel: 405-744-8036
Website: www.food-fiber.okstate.edu

Food, Land & People
Presidio of San Francisco
P.O. Box 29474
Tel: 415-561-4445
Website: www.foodlandandpeople.org

Junior Master Gardener Program–USA & California
Susan Gloeckler
669 County Square Drive, Suite 100
Ventura, CA 93003
Tel: 805-662-6943
Fax: 805-645-1474
E-mail: sygloeckler@ucdavis.edu
Website: www.jmgkids.com

Junior Master Gardener Program–International
JMG Kids
1515 Emerald Plaza
College Station, Texas 77845
Tel: 800-JMG-KIDS
Website: www.jmgkids.com

Learning Through Landscapes
3rd Floor, Southside Offices
The Law Courts
Winchester S023 9DL
United Kingdom

Life Lab Science Program–USA
1156 High Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
Tel: 831-459-2001
Fax: 831-459-3483
E-mail: lifelab@zzyx.ucsc.edu
Website: www.lifelab.org

MOVIUM – Center for the Urban Environment–Sweden
Peeter Akerblom, State Extension Specialist
Box 54
Alnarp, Sweden SE-230 53
E-mail: petter.akerblom@movium.slu.se
Website: www.movium.slu.se

National FFA Organization
P.O. Box 68960
Indianapolis, IN 46268-0960
Tel: 317-802-5334
E-mail: jarmbruster@ffa.org
Website: www.ffa.org

National Gardening Association
1100 Dorset Street
Burlington, VT 05403
Tel: 800-863-5251
Website: www.kidsgardening.com

North American Association for Environmental Education–USA
410 Tarvin Road
Rock Spring, GA 30739
Tel: 706-764 2946
Fax: 706-764-2094
e-mail@naaee.org

Websites

(Many of the websites cited here were selected from the *California Foundation for Agriculture in the Classroom's Teacher Resource Catalog*.)

American Botanical Society
www.herbalgram.org

Botanical Society of America
www.botany.org

California Foundation for Agriculture in the Classroom
www.cfaitc.org

Center for Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems
zyx.ucsc.edu/casfs

Children's Gardening
www.hort.vt.edu/human/Children'G.html

Common Ground Urban Garden Program
celosangeles.ucdavis.edu

Corn World
www.ohiocorn.org

Cotton's Journey
www.cottonsjourney.com

Easy Garden

www.easy-garden.com

Entomological Society of America
www.entsoc.org
Garden Gate Magazine
www.gardengatemagazine.com

Garden in Every School Project
www.cde.ca.gov/nsd/nets/g_index.htm

Gardens for Growing People
www.svn.net/growpepl

The Great Plant Escape
www.urbanext.uiuc.edu/gpe

Growing Seasons
www.growingseasons.com

Historic Tree Nursery
www.historictrees.org

Insect Lore
www.insectlore.com

Internet Gardening
www.learning.lib.vt.edu

Junior Master Gardener Program
www.jmgkids.com

Kids CORNer
www.ohiocorn.org/kids

KIDSGARDEN
www.kidsgardening.com

Let's Get Growing!
www.letsgetgrowing.com

Life Lab Science Program
www.lifelab.org

National Arbor Day Foundation
www.arborday.org

National Gardening Association
www.garden.org
www.kidsgardening.com

Pumpkin Circle

www.pumpkincircle.com

Seeds of Change Garden
www.mnh.si.edu/garden

Shelburne Farms
www.shelburnefarms.org

Sid's Home and Garden Showplace
www.sidsgreenhouses.com/www/newsltr/

Worm Woman
www.wormwoman.co

Printed Materials

Resource Catalogs/Guides

University of California
Agriculture & Natural Resources Catalog
Communication Services
6701 San Pablo Ave., 2nd Floor
Oakland, CA 94608-1239
Tel: 510-642-2431 Toll Free: 800-994-8849
Website: www.anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu

Resources for Garden Based Education 2002 Catalog
Gardens for Growing People
P.O. Box 630
Point Reyes Station, CA 94956
Tel/fax: 415-663-9433
E-mail: growpepl@svn.net
Website: www.svn.net/growpepl

Acorn Naturalists
800-422-8886
Website: www.acornnaturalist.com

2002 Teacher Resource Guide: A Guide to Educational Materials about Agriculture
California Foundation for Agriculture in the Classroom
2300 River Plaza Drive
Sacramento, CA 95833-3293
Tel: 916-561-5625
Fax: 916-561-5697
E-mail: cfaitc@cfbf.com
Website: www.cfait.org

Periodicals

Green Teacher–Canada
95 Robert Street
Toronto, ON M5S 2K5
Tel: 416-960-1244
E-mail: greentea@web.net
Website: www.greenteacher.com

Science & Children
National Science Teachers Association
1840 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington VA 22201-3000
Tel: 703-243-7100
E-mail: s&c@nsta.org
Website: www.nsta.org

Newsletters

Cream of the Crop
California Foundation for Agriculture in the Classroom
2300 River Plaza Drive
Sacramento, CA 95833-3293
Toll Free: 800 - 700-AIT
E-mail: cfaitc@cfbf.com

Growing Ideas
National Gardening Association
180 Flynn Avenue
Burlington VT 05401
Tel: 800-538-7476
E-mail: eddept@garden.org
Website: www.garden.org

Books

(Many of the books cited here were selected from the California Foundation for Agriculture in the Classroom's Teacher Resource Catalog)

For Teachers and Administrators

Center for Ecoliteracy & Life Lab Science Program. *Getting Started: A Guide for Creating School Gardens as Outdoor Classrooms.* For ordering this publication write to Life Lab Science Program or Center for Ecoliteracy (see addresses above).

Hancock, Judith M. *Biology is Outdoors: A comprehensive Resource for Studying School Environments.* 142p. J. Weston Walsh.

Hogan, Kathleen. 1994. *Eco-Inquiry: A guide to ecological learning experiences for the upper elementary/middle grades.* 392p. Kendall Hunt.

Hunken, Jorie. 1994. *Ecology for All Ages: Discovering Nature Through Activities for Children and Adults.* 194p. Globe Pequot Press.

Kemple, M. and J. Keifer. 1998. *Digging Deeper: Integrating Youth Gardens into Schools and Communities.* Canada: Foodworks.

Project Learning Tree: Environmental Education Pre K-8 Activity Guide. 1996. 402p. The American Forest Foundation.

For Primary Schools

Barner, Bob. 1999. *Bugs! Bugs! Bugs!* Chronicle Books.

Brown, Laura Krasny. 1995. *The Vegetable Show.* Little, Brown and Company.

Bunting, Eve. 1996. *Sunflower House.* Harcourt Brace Company.

Cole, Henry. 1995. *Jack's Garden.* William Morrow & Company.

Dannenmaier, Molly. 1998. *A Child's Garden: Enchanting Outdoor Spaces for Children and Parents.* Simon and Schuster Editions.

Darian, Shea. 1996. *Grandpa's Garden.* Dawn Publications.

Ehlert, Lois. 1998. *Planting a Rainbow.* Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Ford, Miela. 1999. *My Day in the Garden.* Greenwillow Books.

Fowler, Allan. 1996. *It Could Still Be a Worm.* Children's Press, Inc.

French, Vivian. 1995. *Oliver's Vegetables.* Orchard Books.

Gibbons, Gail. 1984. *The Seasons of Arnold's Apple Tree.* Harcourt Brace Jovanoich.

Glaser, Linda. 1992. *Wonderful Worms.* Milbrook Press.

Hart, Avery and Mantell Hart. 1995. *Kids Garden.* Williamson Publishing Co.

Herd, Meg. 1995. *Learn and Play in the Garden: Games, Crafts, and Activities for Children.* Barron's Educational Series, Inc.

Hickman, Pamela and Heather Collins. 1997. *A Seed Grows.* Kids Can Press Ltd.

Kalman, Bobbie and Janine Schaub. 1992. *Squirmy Wormy Composters.* Crabtree Publishing Company.

King, Elizabeth. 1993. *Backyard Sunflower.* Dutton Children's Books.

Krudwig, Vickie Leigh. 1998. *Cucumber Soup.* Fulcrum Publishing.

Lavies, Bianca. 1993. *Compost Critters.* Dutton's Children's Press.

Lindhberg, Reeve. 1987. *The Midnight Farm.* Dial Books for Young Readers.

Marzollo, Jean. 1995. *Sun Song.* HarperCollins.

Mcmillan, Bruce. 1991. *Eating Fractions.* Scholastic Press.

Neuschwander, Cindy. 2001. *88 Pounds of Tomatoes.* Scholastic Inc.

Pallotta, Jerry and Bob Thomson. 1992. *The Victory Garden Alphabet.* Charlesbridge.

Ray, Mary Lyn. 1992. *Pumpkins.* Harcoutr Brace & Co.

Rendon, Marcie R. and Cheryl Walsh Bellville. 2001. *Farmers' Market*. Carolrhoda Books, Inc.

Titherington, Jeanne. 1986. *Pumpkin Pumpkin.* Mulberry Books.

Watts, Barrie. 1989. *Tomato.* Silver Burdett Press.

Ziefert, Harriet. 1986. *A New Cat for Anna.* Knopf.

For Intermediate Schools

Badt, Karin Luisa. 1994. *Good Morning, Let's Eat.* Childrens Press.

Baldwin, Robert F. 1998. *This is the Sea that Feeds Us.* Dawn Publications.

Bjork, Christina and Lena Anderson. 1988. *Linnea's Windowsill Garden.* R & S Books.

Bourgeois, Paulette. 1990. *The Amazing Apple Book.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.

Bourgeois, Paulette. 1993. *The Amazing Dirt Book.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.

Bourgeois, Paulette. 1991. *The Amazing Potato Book.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.

Burn, Diane L. 1994. *Cranberries: Fruit of the Bogs.* Carolrhoda Books, Inc.

Caduto, Michael J. and Joseph Bruchac. 1996. *Native American Gardening: Stories, Projects and Recipes for Families.* Fulcrum Publishing.

Demi, 1997. *One Grain of Rice.* Scholastic Inc.

Hart, Avery and Mantell Hart. 1995. *Kids Garden.* Williamson Publsihing Co.

Iverson, Diane. 1999. *My Favorite Tree.* Dawn Publications.

Lavies Bianca. 1993. *Compost Critters.* Dutton's Children's Press.

McMillan, Bruce. 1991. *Eating Fractions.* Scholastic Press.

Raftery, Kevin and Kim Gilbert Raftery. 1989 *Kid's Gardening: A Kid's Guide to Messing Around in the Dirt.* Klutz Press..

Rushing, Felder. 1999. *New Junior Garden Book.* Meredith Books.

For Middle Schools

Caduto, Michael J. and Joseph Bruchac. 1996. *Native American Gardening: Stories, Projects and Recipes for Families.* Fulcrum Publishing.

Denee, Joanne. 1995. *In the Three Sisters Garden.* Common Roots Press, Food Works Publisher.

Julivert, Angels. 1991. *The Fascinating World of Ants.* Barron's.

National Gardening Association. 1994. *Dictionary of Horticulture.* Viking.

Rushing, Felder. 1999. *New Junior Garden Book.* Meredith Books.

For High Schools

Fleischmann, Paul. 1997. *Seedfolks.* HarperCollins Publishers.

Hershey, David R. 1995. *Plant Biology Science Projects*. John Wiley& Sons, Inc.

Koch, Maryjo. 1995. *Seed, Leaf, Flower, Fruit.* Collins.

Scheuring, Ann Foley. 1995. *Science and Service.* University of California Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources.

United States Department of Agriculture. 1991. *Agriculture and the Environment: The 1991 Yearbook of Agriculture.*

Viola, Herman J. and Carolyn Margolis. 1991. *Seeds of Change.* Smithsonian.