

CFS Policy Convergence Process on Sustainable Agricultural Development and Livestock

Comments from China

Regarding the recommendations, we have a comment concerning the sentence "the consensus of expert medical advice" in para 9. We would like to change "consensus" into "most". Regarding the red meat, we can't draw a general advice saying its consumption should be reduced.

We support the HLPE report on livestock in general with the following concerns:

1. "food and nutrition security" should be changed into "food security and nutrition" on page 58, 77 and back cover. Since FAO has reached a consensus on this expression, we hope CFS could align with it and avoid repeated errors.
2. On page 49, second line in the last but one paragraph, "but increasingly also in some developing countries including India and China". We think trade protection and tariff issue are complex and technical. It should not be stated simply like this.
3. In box 3, page 54, "Trade flows of livestock feeds in China. As a consequence, China is now a net exporter of soy meal". We doubt whether it is appropriate or not to have this sentence in the report - what is the indication?
4. On page 78, "while aquaculture is also an important user in some places (especially in Southeast Asia and Chile)". This sentence is not in the context.
5. On page 79, "Demand for antibiotics for livestock is growing especially rapidly in the emerging economies of Brazil, India and China. China's livestock industry alone could soon find itself consuming almost one-third of the world's available antibiotics". We are confused about the phrase "Demand for". The use of antibiotics is an inevitable stage for many developing countries. Now China has already limited the use of antibiotics all over the country.