

Dear Colleagues,

I have the pleasure to submit some written comments to the latest version of the document. First of all I wish to underline that we highly appreciate all the hard work and the excellent job of the 2 co-facilitator, Jiani and Oliver.

We consider this new version much better than the previous one.

I wish to make only one comment on the prioritization.

Our main position is unchanged.

It is a matter of principle that prioritisation should be demand driven, taking into account urgent, critical emerging issues in order to fulfil its mandate and ensuring that CFS work is guided by its vision and the by needs of the most food insecure people.

It is also important that prioritization is separated from the workplan. While the workplan should be elaborated based on the CFS workload and to the availability of resources, the process of prioritization should not be resource driven, it should be completely independent of the resource availability.

Textual proposal:

7. bullet point 3) PLANNING – Ensuring the effective prioritization and selection processes of CFS activities that can most directly contribute to making a positive change for impact on food insecure and malnourished people in line with CFS vision, bearing in mind budget implications. While elaborating the workplan and selecting the processes and activities due attention should be given to the CFS workloads and to the availability of resources.

With regards to all the rest of the revised document we support it and consider it much better than the previous one.

Best regards,
Zoltan