UNSCN input on the Co-Facilitator’s proposal – First Draft

Para 10:

As we already said in our previous comments, we believe SO1 can be considered an “internal” objective for CFS, which contributes to the achievement of the other two “external” objectives. Therefore, we suggest to change the order of the strategic objectives in:
- SO1 – POLICY: “Develop global policy guidance for policy convergence and coherence building on national policies that address food insecurity and malnutrition”; considering the relevance of policy convergence processes
- SO2 – UPTAKE: “Foster the uptake of CFS work on FSN at all levels”
- SO3 – Platform: “Leverage the convening power as the foremost inclusive international and intergovernmental platform to exchange views on situation of FSN at all levels and develop key policy messages on FSN” – we believe the language “exchange views” and “develop key messages” is too weak, so we suggest to delete the last part of the sentence.

Para 19:

We believe the independency of the HLPE should be maintained and highlighted more in the text. Also, the language of para 19 is a bit limiting on the role of HLPE. Indeed, we cannot know how the report will support the preparation of policy guidance before the report itself has been completed. Moreover, HLPE reports are not only meant to support the preparation of policy guidance but also to “assess and analyse the current state of food security and nutrition and its underlying causes” and “provide scientific and knowledge-based analysis and advice on specific policy-relevant issues, utilizing existing high quality research, data and technical studies” (para 37 of the Reform document; para 163 of the Evaluation report).