

## **WFO comments on the session on “uptake”- Co-facilitators’ proposal second draft**

Dear Co-facilitators,

First of all, WFO would like to thank you for all your efforts in preparing this second draft.

Further to the meeting on April 23<sup>rd</sup>, please find below a few brief comments on the session on “uptake” as per your request:

- Regarding the heading, we would like to echo some comments made during the meeting and suggest to delete the word “voluntary”. In fact, in spite of their voluntary nature, our aim as CFS stakeholders is to promote the dissemination, use and application of the CFS policy products and recommendations. Furthermore, we would suggest specifying at which “levels” the use of the above-mentioned products should be promoted;
- Paragraph 26: we would suggest again to delete the word voluntary and specify the levels. In the last sentence, after “financial institutions” we would prefer the use of the term “stakeholders” instead of “concerned actors”;
- Paragraph 29: we would suggest that the RBAs should report not only to the Bureau but also to the Advisory Group for the sake of transparency and inclusiveness;
- Paragraph 30: as per the RBAs in para 29, even for the Advisory Group we would suggest to specify the time-frame for them to report to the Bureau and share the outcomes of the events they are encouraged to organize with the other CFS stakeholders.