













EVALUATING THE COST-EFFICIENCY OF REMOTE SENSING IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES



Elisabetta Carfagna

Research Coordinator of the Global Strategy to Improve Agricultural and Rural Statistics - FAO Statistics Division





COST EFFICIENCY OF REGRESSIONE ESTIMATOR

- Relative efficiency of estimator using remote sensing data as auxiliary variable
- Variance of estimates with ground survey only/
- Variance of estimator using remote sensing data
- With the same budget
- Cost efficient if relative efficiency higher than 1



COST EFFICIENCY OF STRATIFICATION (1)

- Relative efficiency of stratification is the ratio between the variance that would have been obtained without stratification and the estimated stratified variance
- Efficiency strongly depends on landscape complexity
- Where crops are very mixed up efficiency low
- In pilot areas of the MARS Project ranged in most cases from 1.1 to 1.6



COST EFFICIENCY OF STRATIFICATION (2)

- High efficiency where a certain crop is dominant (in Catalonia a value of 10 for rice in 1992)
- Cheap stratification using existing land cover maps
- Test in Spain with an agricultural intensity index computed on CLC
- efficiency between 1.7 and 2.2 for main crops (Gallego et al., 1999a)



EARLY EXPERIENCES OF ASSESSMENT OF COST EFFICIENCY

- USDA-NASS runs most important operational application based on area frame survey and remote sensing for agricultural statistics
- Cost-efficiency analysis has given positive conclusions for this program
- MARS project of the JRC in the 90's demonstrated insufficient cost-efficiency in the EU



COST EFFICIENCY FOR ITALIAN PRGRAMME

- Italian AGRIT programme realized low cost efficiency of use of satellite data at estimator level, when sampling frame moved from segments with physical boundaries to points on regular grid
- Dropped remote sensing correction through regression estimator
- Kept photo-interpretation of orto-photos for stratifying points



LITERATURE REVIEW (1)

Allen, J.D. (1990) A look at the Remote Sensing Applications Program of the National Agricultural Statistics Service. Journal of Official Statistics, 6, 393–409

Brun, C. Delincé, J. Léo, O. Porchier, J. C. (1992). Pilot use of the TER-UTI data in agricultural statistics procedure using remote sensing, The application of remote sensing to agricultural statistics, Office for Official Publications of the E.C. Luxembourg.

Carfagna E., (2007). A comparison of area frame sample designs for agricultural statistics, Bulletin of the International Statistical Institute, the 56th Session, 2007, Proceedings, Special Topics Contributed Paper Meetings (STCPM11) "Agricultural and Rural Statistics" organized by Michael A. Steiner (NASS-USDA), 1470, Lisboa, August 22-29, 2007 F:\papers\1470.pdf, pp. 1-4.

Carfagna E., (2001). Cost-effectiveness of Remote Sensing in Agricultural and Environmental Statistics", in Caesar: Conference on Agricultural and Environmental Statistical Applications in Rome, 5-7 June 2001, Rome. Invited paper, volume III, pp. 617-627

Carfagna E., Gallego F.J. (2005). Using remote sensing for agricultural statistics. International Statistical Review, 73: 389–404.

Delincé, J. (2001). A European approach to area frame survey. Proceedings of the Conference on Agricultural and Environmental Statistical Applications in Rome (CAESAR). June 4-8, Vol. 2 pp. 463-472. http://www.ec-gis.org/.



LITERATURE REVIEW (2)

Dadhwal, V.K., Singh, R.P., Dutta, S. and Parihar, J.S. (2002) Remote sensing based crop inventory: A review of Indian experience. Tropical Ecology, 43, 107–122

Gallego, F. J. 2004. Remote Sensing and Land Cover Area Estimation. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 25 (15): 3019–3047.

Gallego, F. J. 2004. Remote Sensing and Land Cover Area Estimation. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 25 (15): 3019–3047.

Gallego F.J., Carfagna E, Baruth B. (2010) Accuracy, Objectivity and Efficiency of Remote Sensing for Agricultural Statistics" in Benedetti, Bee, Espa, Piersimoni (Editors), Agricultural Survey Methods, Wiley, Chichester, UK, April 2010, ISBN: 978-0-470-74371-3, pages 193 – 211. http://eu.wiley.com/WileyCDA/WileyTitle/productCd-0470743719.html

Gallego F.J., Peedell, Carfagna E. (1999). The use of CORINE Land Cover to improve area frame survey estimates in Spain" (with F.J. Gallego and S. Peedell), ROS - Research in Official Statistics, 1999, vol. 2, n. 2, pp. 99-122, EUROSTAT

GEOSS (Global Earth Observation System of Systems). 2009. Best Practices for Crop Area Estimation with Remote Sensing

http://www.earthobservations.org/documents/cop/ag_gams/GEOSS%20best%20practices%20area%20estimation%20final.pdf



FINANCIAL BENCHMARK FOR COST-EFFICIENCY

- A key financial benchmark for cost efficiency is cost of current surveys
- FAO reports an average expenditure per country in Africa in 2007 of US\$ 657 000; FAO (2008) The State of Food and Agricultural Statistical Systems in Africa – 2007, RAF publication 2008E, FAO regional office for Africa, Accra
- Including estimation of crop area and yield, production means and socio-economic information



MARGIN FOR COST-EFFICIENCY

- Remote sensing applications to agricultural statistics can be sustainable if their total cost fits in the budget without endangering the feasibility of surveys that cannot be substituted by satellite technology
- Narrow margin for cost-efficiency
- free data policy helps



SUB-TOPICS REQUIRING FURTHER RESEARCH

- Efficiency of remote sensing at:
 - design level in various kinds of landscape in developing countries (stratification of segments, photointerpretation of points, kind of satellite data)
 - estimator level in various kinds of landscape (small fields, mixed cropping)
- Other kinds of use or remote sensing (remote sensing for reducing sampling area or observation, agro-meteorological yield forecasting model, other models)
- Labour cost
- New satellites free of charge
- Capacity development at country or regional level?



THANK YOU

Elisabetta Carfagna, FAO – ESS elisabetta.carfagna@fao.org

