

# Codex HACCP and ISO 22000:2005

### **Similarities and Differences**

## What is the difference between

- An auditable standard
- A guidelines

#### Auditable standard

A standard specification against which an independent audit can be conducted

#### Guidelines

Guidelines or best practices available for selection for achieving a certain objective



### **Correlation between various standards**



DNVGL

### **Co-relation between various standards**



DNV GL © 2014

### Codex HACCP vs ISO 22000



DNV GL © 2014

## **ISO 22000 Preliminary Steps**

- 7.3.2 Food Safety Team
- 7.3.3 Product Characteristics
  - Raw Material, Ingredients, In-process material
  - End Product

#### - Product contact surfaces

- 7.3.4 Identify intended use
- 7.3.5 Flow diagrams, process steps and control measures including on site verification of flow diagram.

# **Steps in Hazard Analysis**

Step 1	Hazard Identification (Biological, Chemical & Physical) and determination of acceptable levels		
Step 2	Conduct Hazard Assessment & determine the method (Probability & Severity)		
	Is elimination or reduction of hazard essential to the production of safe food	No control measure required	
Step 3	Selection of appropriate combination of control mure		
	Validation of control measure combination		
Step 4	Assessment of control measures using 7 parameters & determine the methodology		
Step 5	Categorization of control measures using methodology in <b>OPRP or HACCP Plan (CCP)</b>		

# **ISO 22000 Assessment parameters**

Hazard Assessment	<ul><li>Probability</li><li>Severity</li></ul>
<b>Control Measure</b>	
Assessment	<ul> <li>Probability of failure of control measure</li> <li>Severity in case of failure</li> </ul>
	Feasibility of monitoring control measure
	Is there Successive control measure in food chain
	<ul> <li>Synergetic effect of other control measures</li> </ul>
	Impact on hazard control
	Is control measure specifically designed?

# **Example of methodology of Hazard assessments**

LevelMarksProbability of Occurrence of hazardSeverity of hazardHIGH3Often occurrence Eg : Occurrence once inHigh (life-threatening) Examples include illnesses caused by				
HIGH       3       Often occurrence       High (life-threatening)         Eg : Occurrence once in       Examples include illnesses caused by	Leve	Marks	Probability of Occurrence of hazard	Severity of hazard
every month Listeria monocytogenes, Escherichia coli 0157:H7, Vibrio cholerae, Vibrio vulnificus, paralytic shellfish poisoning, amnesic shellfish poisoning	HIGH	3	Often occurrence Eg : Occurrence once in every month	High (life-threatening) Examples include illnesses caused by Clostridium botulinum, Salmonella typhi, Listeria monocytogenes, Escherichia coli 0157:H7, Vibrio cholerae, Vibrio vulnificus, paralytic shellfish poisoning, amnesic shellfish poisoning
MEDI UM2Moderate Eg: Occurrence once in 4 to 6 monthsModerate (severe or chronic) Examples include illnesses caused by Brucella spp., Campylobacter spp. Salmonella spp., Shigella spp Streptococcus type A, Yersinia entercolitica, hepatitis A virus, mycotoxins, ciquatera toxin	MEDI UM	2	Moderate Eg: Occurrence once in 4 to 6 months	Moderate (severe or chronic) Examples include illnesses caused by Brucella spp., Campylobacter spp. Salmonella spp., Shigella spp Streptococcus type A, Yersinia entercolitica, hepatitis A virus, mycotoxins, ciquatera toxin
LOW1Marginal Eg: May occur once in a yearLow (moderate or mild)Examples include illnesses caused by Bacillus spp., Clostridium perfringens, Staphylococcus aureus, Norwalk virus, most parasites, histamine-like substances and most heavy metals that cause mild acute illnesses	LOW	1	Marginal Eg: May occur once in a year	Low (moderate or mild) Examples include illnesses caused by Bacillus spp., Clostridium perfringens, Staphylococcus aureus, Norwalk virus, most parasites, histamine-like substances and most heavy metals that cause mild acute illnesses
Score: Probability x Severity greater than will be significant.				

# **Example of control measure assessment methodology**

Probability of failure of CM(A)	High (3) Often : once in a month	Medium (2) Occasionally : once in 6 months	Low (1) Marginal: maybe once in a year
Consequences in case of failure of CM (B)	High (3) Effect on end product	Medium (2) May effect on end product	Low (1) No effect on end product
Feasibility for Monitoring (C)	High (3) Continuous	Medium (2) Defined frequency	Low (1) Not feasible to monitor
Impact on hazard control (D)	High (3) Prevent	Medium (2) Reduce	Low (1) Eliminate
Is there successive control measure? (E)	Yes: 0	No: 3	
Is control measure specifically designed? (F)	Yes: 3	No: 0	
Is there synergistic effect with other CM? (G)	Yes: 0	No: 3	

Low :	4+3+3+3	= 13
Medium:	8+3+3+3	= 17 - OPRP
High :	12+3+3+3	= 24 - CCP

### Legal compliance and requirements of the standard

- Legal compliance involves compliance with the requirements of <u>national</u> and <u>international</u> legislation and regulations.
   Legal compliance is mandatory and basic requirement.
- Compliance with applicable Codex standards is recommended.
- Compliance with ISO standards is mandatory to the extent of fulfillment of certification requirements and is beyond meeting the legal requirements.

### **Other differences**

- Emergency preparedness
- Verification of food safety system including internal audits
- Traceability, Withdrawal & recall
- Corrections & corrective actions
- Validation of control measure combinations
- Continual improvement

# Thank You !!!

Chinmayee Deulgaonkar Chinmayee.Deulgaonkar@dnvgl.com +91 22 26669800

www.dnvgl.com

SAFER, SMARTER, GREENER

13 DNV GL © 2014