

Country Road Map

Ethiopia

Content

A. Objective of the group work.....	2
B. Background.....	2
C. Analysis of nutrition problems.....	2
D. Goals, objectives and activities.....	3
E. Indicators related to progress in the nutrition situation.....	4
F. Institutional arrangements and coordination mechanisms	4
G. Capacities needed for integrating Nutrition	5
H. Costing / funding issues	5
I. Next steps.....	5

Participants:

Name	Organization / Post	Email
Samuel Abiyou	Ministry of Agriculture, Head of Plan and Programme Directorat, CAADP Focal Point	S_abiyu@yahoo.com
Beyene Haile	Ministry of Agriculture, Disaster Risk Management Directorate	hbeyu@yahoo.com
Ferew Lemma	Nutrition Advisor & research facilitator-Ministry of Health	lferew@gmail.com
Zewdu Felleke	Ministry of Finance and Economic Development, Department of Budget	Zewdu_fel@yahoo.com
Mesfin Gose Beko	Nutrition Focal Person for Agrarian Health Promotion and Prevension Directorate, Ministry of Health	megobe2010@gmail.com
Aregash Samuel	Director-Food science & Nutrition Directorate;Ethiopian Health and	aregashs@yahoo.com

Name	Organization / Post	Email
	Nutrition Institute	
Theodros Shewarget	Assistant to the Minister-Ministry of Education	tshewarget@yahoo.com
Demese Chanyalew	Director-Private Sector; Education, Training & Research Service PLC	demesec@yahoo.com
Eleni Asmare Jenberie	FAO	Eleni.Asmare@fao.org
Lenesse G Bonja	VSF	lensegb@yahoo.com
Wigdan Madani	UNICEF, Nutrition Specialist	wmadani@unicef.org
Dr. Belaynash Yifru	Save the Children	maharvey@usaid.gov

A. Objective of the group work

To build consensus on key nutritional problems in the country and identify ways to ensure these nutritional problems are effectively addressed in food security and agriculture strategies and investment plans (*Specify the name of the policy/investment plan which was reviewed during country group work*)

- **ETHIOPIA'S AGRICULTURAL SECTOR POLICY AND INVESTMENT FRAMEWORK (PIF) 2010-2020**

B. Background

You could provide here further information about the status of your country in the CAADP process:

0. *Launch of the process (Focal Point appointed, CAADP launch, TC appointed, experts engaged)*
 1. *Compact design and signature*
 2. *Development of Investment Plan*
 3. *Technical review of Investment Plan*
 4. *Business meeting*
 5. *Implementation*
 6. *M&E / Impact assessment*

You could also insert here key activities that were carried out in preparation for the workshop (i.e. setting up a multi-sectoral country team, production of the NCP, identification of key relevant policy and strategies (including main National Agriculture and Food Security Investment Plan – NAFSIP; organization of a pre-workshop meeting with country team members, etc.) and specify the objectives of these pre-workshop activities.

- **BUSINESS MEETING HELD**

C. Analysis of nutrition problems

1. **What are the main nutrition problems in your country? Have malnutrition rates changed over the last decade? Is it likely to change further in future 10 years? If so, how? What do you think are the major reasons for these changes? (Refer to group work on Wednesday 27th Feb)**

Guidance: Consider the various types of malnutrition. Analyzing trends (seasonal and historical) can help identify causes of malnutrition and understand the evolution of the situation.

2. **Are particular geographic areas / population groups (age, gender and socio-economic groups) more vulnerable to malnutrition? Which ones, and why?** (Refer to group work on Wednesday 27th Feb)

3. **Are the main nutrition problems and causes for malnutrition that you have identified already described in your NAFSIP? If not, which information should be added?** (Refer to group work on Thursday morning 28th Feb)

E.g. different types of malnutrition, key nutritional trends, analysis of nutritionally vulnerable groups and geographic areas, immediate and underlying causes of malnutrition)

D. Goals, objectives and activities

4. **What specific objectives and targets would you need to include in your CAADP Compact and / or CAADP Investment plan to ensure that nutrition is effectively addressed?** (Refer to group work on Wednesday 27th Feb)

Guidance: try to be more specific than “reducing malnutrition” / or “improving food and nutrition security” which are impact level goals.

5. **On the basis of the nutrition objectives that you have formulated above, how could specific objectives in the NAFSIP be revised / formulated to better address nutrition issues?** (Refer to group work on Thursday morning 28th Feb)

6. **Should any specific population groups (age, gender and socio-economic groups) or geographical areas be targeted to achieve these objectives?** (Refer to group work on Wednesday 27th Feb)

7. **What nutritionally vulnerable groups / geographic areas do you recommend to add / further target in the NAFSIP?** (Refer to group work on Thursday morning 28th Feb)

- Ethiopia PIF-Strategic Objectives:
 - SO1: To achieve a sustainable increase in agricultural productivity and production
 - SO2: To accelerate agricultural commercialisation and agro-industrial development.
 - SO3: To reduce degradation and improve productivity of natural resources.
 - SO4: To achieve universal food security and protect vulnerable households from natural disasters.
- Gaps Identified in the current PIF
 - Country Nutrition Situation not described in the PIF
 - Clear nutrition indicators are not set
- Recommendation
 - CAADP focal point to liaise with the NNTC for better coordination
 - CAADP /PIF Revision
 - Include promotion of nutrition sensitive agriculture
 - Include nutrition situations and indicators in the PIF

- Add strategic objective 5: Enhance availability access and consumption of diversified nutritious and safe food at HH level
- Areas of implementation:- Food insecure, pastoralist, and food secure areas (AGP) or high potential areas
- Targets:- Life cycle approach and economically disadvantaged HHs

8. What are the possible interventions to achieve the goals / targets and meet the needs of identified target groups? (Refer to group work on Wednesday 27th Feb)

Guidance: when identifying interventions, think about what worked / did not work until now. Try to build on existing opportunities, successful initiatives and find ways to maximise the impact of existing investments. Make sure that interventions are adapted to different livelihoods.

For identified interventions, ask yourselves:

- *Are these interventions relevant to address the nutrition problems and causes that were identified?*
- *Are they feasible, given existing capacities (technical, institutional and financial)? Which intervention(s) have the highest potential to reach the goals / impact you desire with the lowest additional funding requirement?*
- *What are the major constraints that can hinder their implementation? Can these be addressed?*
- *Which intervention has the most potential to create synergies and complementarities (between programs and sectors, between field and policy, etc)?*

9. Which interventions do you recommend to add / revised in the NAFSIP to achieve the suggested objectives? (Refer to group work on Thursday morning 28th Feb)

- Strategic interventions
 - Increase production and promotion of diversified diet at HH level (Livestock, fishery, poultry, fruits and vegetables)
 - Increase availability of micronutrient rich seeds (Bio-fortified) through support from agriculture research institution for homestead and school garden
 - CD of MoA to implement nutrition sensitive Ag (Curriculum revision of ATVET, pre and in-service training)
 - Promotion of Value addition, post harvest management and value chain
 - Promotion of laborsaving technologies

E. Indicators related to progress in the nutrition situation

10. Which indicators should be included to monitor progress in addressing the identified objectives? Are they already in the NAFSIP? (Refer to group work on Thursday morning 28th Feb)

11. Should the NAFSIP include activities to strengthen food security and nutrition information systems? (Refer to group work on Thursday morning 28th Feb)

F. Institutional arrangements and coordination mechanisms

(Refer to group work on Thursday afternoon 28th Feb)

12. Which partners (national institutions, development partners, private sector) / initiatives (SUN, REACH, etc.) should be involved for strengthening the nutritional impact of the NAFSIP? Are their roles and responsibilities clearly identified in the NAFSIP? What are the main structure(s) responsible for leading the components linked to nutrition in the NAFSIP? Is this relevant?
13. What needs to be coordinated and for what: what do you want to achieve with coordination?
14. What kind of coordination mechanisms do you think would be most effective in your country to achieve the coordination goals in nutrition?
15. Which coordination structures are suggested in the NAFSIP and would they be effective for the nutrition coordination and communication purpose? If not, how could they be strengthened to ensure optimal coordination (within the agriculture sector and between sectors)?

G. Capacities needed for integrating Nutrition

(Refer to group work on Thursday afternoon 28th Feb)

16. Which capacities do you need to achieve your objectives and implement the nutrition-related interventions? Do you have these capacities in your country?
Guidance: Look at operational, strategic and research capacities for both individuals and institutions.
17. Which are the partners who can provide technical and institutional support to develop the necessary capacities?
18. Should activities in terms of capacity development be included / added in your NAFSIP to support the achievement of your identified objectives? If yes, which ones and for what exact purpose?

H. Costing / funding issues

(Refer to group work on Thursday afternoon 28th Feb)

19. Would the implementation of activities / recommendations described above require additional resources compared to what is actually planned?

I. Next steps

(Refer to group work on Friday morning 29th Feb)

Guidance: Think about:

- How each team member will report back to their individual organization?
- How to sensitize/influence decision-makers to take on board recommendations coming out from the workshop?

- *What are the key events/opportunities in the CAADP process to integrate your suggestions on nutrition?*
- *What are your needs for external support/assistance?*
- *Who will be the main contact person for nutrition-related issues in the CAADP process after the workshop?*

Action point	Responsible person	Date	Comments (i.e. resources required, potential constraints) Budget
Present SO5 in PIF review meeting			
PIF Revision (by the consultant)			10,000
Prepare nutrition project proposal for funding			10,000
Recruitment of technical support			15,000
Promotion of nutrition through media			500,000
Preparation of supplementary materials for ATVET and schools			500,000
Training of Agri experts and DAs in nutrition			500,000
FTC/PFS equipped to mainstream nutrition			500,000
Support to ATF and FSTF to Mainstream Nutrition			100,000

- Responsible : CAADP focal point and PR in MOA with technical support from partners based on their technical capacity
- Sponsors will be Development Partners
- Time frame : March to June 2013 then to be continued....