


Session 3 Experiences with voluntary land consolidation and Rural Development programmig

Kiril Stoyanov, Ph.D., LANDNET, Belgrade 2014 Kirilstoianov_maf@yahoo.com







Improvements in LC procedure

- Continuous monitoring
- Bureaucracy as a main problem of the governmental institutions
- Improvements of legislation

Demand for mandatory LC

- Who wants it?
- Why?

The Rural Development Programme 2014-2020 vs. Land consolidation

- The fragmented land as a key issue for sustainable investments
- Relation between irrigation and fragmentation of private property
- Why RDP investment measures fail in such circumstances

Questions to auditory

- What is the best type of structure that can be financed by PA in the case of voluntary LC
- Should it be a State body, Municipal body, a cooperative, a legal entity registered by the participants or other?
- What happened with RDP funding if the project is compromised and the funding is consumed
- Are there good and bad examples of voluntary LC completely financed by RDP without any co-financing?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION! • PLEASE DO NOT HESITATE TO ASK QUESTIONS!