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African swine fever - definition

ASF is defined as:
“a highly contagious haemorrhagic disease of suids…”

-> ASF is not a very highly contagious disease

Defining ASF as “highly contagious” leads to false expectations and underestimation of the problem

Example: Speed of infection within a herd... rather a low contagiousity
The wild boar (*Sus scrofa*), also known as the wild swine or Eurasian wild pig, is a suid native to much of Eurasia, North Africa, and the Greater Sunda Islands.
A medium-sized, dark to rusty-brown haired subspecies with long and relatively narrow lacrimal bones

Currently distributed across almost all of mainland Europe, with the exception of some northern areas in both Scandinavia and European Russia and the southernmost parts of Greece.

---

African swine fever in wild boar

- **African swine fever cannot be managed directly:**
- **No treatment and**
- **No vaccine available...**

- **Following the detection of ASF cases in wild boars:**
- **Definition of the infected area;**
- **Protection of the domestic pig population (census and biosecurity);**
- **Management of the infected wild boar population.**
African swine fever in wild boar – risk factors

- Presence of the virus;
- Size of the population;
- Density of the population;
- Size and density define the wild boar geographical distribution;
- Age and gender of the infected wild boar population;
- Type of hunting;
- Period of the year during which the virus has been detected/introduced.

Wild boar - surveillance

- Wildlife surveillance mostly linked to hunting;
- Sampling rather irregular;
- Hunting seasonally limited;
- Rarely adequate number of samples collected;
- Mostly serological tests performed – only retrospective analysis.
Infected area – how to define?

- The historical and current geographical distribution of the infection;
- Epidemiological investigations;
- Wild boar home range, geographical distribution;
- Landscape structure;
- All the suitable wild boar habitat in geographical continuity;
- Borders defined by artificial or natural barriers;
- Not less than 200 km²:
- It is possible to see the infection after several months;
- It is a reasonable wild boar management unit;
- According to the average wild boar densities it is possible to sample with appropriate intensities.
- Easy to identify.

Measures in the infected area

Appropriate control and eradication measures have to be implemented in the infected area and these may include suspension of hunting and a ban on feeding wild boar.

Disease monitoring programme - to be enforced after a period of at least 12 months has elapsed since the date of the last confirmed case and it shall stay in place for at least 12 additional months.

An area where ASF has occurred in feral pigs can regain its free status only after 24 months after the last confirmed case.
Wild boar density

Do we really know??

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of WB counted in Lithuania</td>
<td>22325</td>
<td>27497</td>
<td>19699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of hunted WB during a season (data presented 15/04) in Lithuania</td>
<td>50172</td>
<td>48317</td>
<td>42188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Hunters data - Density of wild boars in 2016 (no/sqkm)**

![Map of wild boar density](image)

**Wild boar density - how to estimate?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of survey</th>
<th>Measurement tool(s)</th>
<th>Potential measurements</th>
<th>Potential metrics of abundance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Track</td>
<td>Tracking plots</td>
<td>Number of track intrusions</td>
<td>Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dung</td>
<td>Defined areas for Pellet counts</td>
<td>Number of pellet groups</td>
<td>Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road counts (counts from vehicles)</td>
<td>Human observers</td>
<td>Number of individuals and &quot;recaptures&quot;</td>
<td>Known to be alive index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spotlight</td>
<td>Distance to animals observed</td>
<td>M-R density estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerial surveys</td>
<td>Human observers</td>
<td>Counts</td>
<td>Density estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Video</td>
<td>Number of animals in strip transect(s)</td>
<td>Density estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal marking</td>
<td>Thermal imaging</td>
<td>Distance to animals from aerial transect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trap and mark</td>
<td>Resight/recapture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take rates</td>
<td>Hunter survey</td>
<td>Capture and check for mark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>Camera traps</td>
<td>Hunter take</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plot occupancy</td>
<td>Geographic units</td>
<td>Number photographed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resight (recapture)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimation methods

Other estimation methods:
• indirect :
  – snow traces calculation,
  – faces groups calculation (mainly for moose's);
• direct :
  – annual observation,
  – counting in habitats,
  – counting of animals entering open areas,
  – aerial surveys (open areas),
  – thermal counting (deer's and moose's).

Driven count—counting of animals driven away from a forest area surrounded by observers (direct method) with silent beater:
• on 10% of the area covered by animals’ counting;
• with a use of observers and beater (app. 50-70 persons);
• calculation error – around 20%;
• experienced personnel (discipline – extremely important) – to avoid double counting of the same animals;
• in winter – lack of leaves on the trees – good vision;
• additional information on animals age and sex can be obtained.
Estimation methods - driven count
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What is stated by ASF strategy?

ASF Strategy for Eastern Part of the EU SANTE/7113/2015-Rev 7

- Sustained feeding of wild boar is prohibited.

- Targeted hunting is encouraged in order to target adult and sub-adult females.

- The overall hunting bag should be balanced between male and females (50% each). Priority in reaching quotas should be given to adult and sub-adult females.

Can we control the hunters?

Role of hunters: wild boar population management and hunting

- To hunt or not to hunt?
- Winter feeding ban – how realistic?
- Reduction of the population – who else?
- Increased hunting – motivation is needed?
- Selective hunting (female hunting) – would that work?
- Hunting ban – can it work?
- Restricted driven hunting...
- Collection and disposal of dead carcasses...

Factors affecting population size

- Natural mortality – up to 20-30% (mostly piglets)
- ASF, CSF or other epidemics...

- Hunting:
  - Driven hunting (most efficient way to hunt wild boar)
  - Targeted (selective: sub-adult and adult female) hunting (most efficient way to reduce the population)

- Supplementary feeding – helps to sustain and increase the population
Hunting methods

Driven hunt

Hunting methods

Solo hunt
To hunt or not to hunt?

Hunting wild boars could appear a simple and direct way to manage the number of susceptible animals in order to facilitate the control and the eradication of ASF.

However, hunting pressure may be counterproductive, since it may increase the size of the home-range of wild boar meta populations, facilitating contacts between meta-populations, and promoting long distance movements of individual animals.

To hunt or not to hunt?

Hunting may pose some additional risks, namely those related to the handling of infected carcasses and possible dispersal of virus in the environment by hunters.

However, hunting may be necessary for sampling purposes...
Hunting in the infected area

- Targeted hunting (mainly young wild boar under one year of age) is assumed to temporarily decrease the number of susceptible animals and thus it should facilitate the fading out of the infection...
- However, harvesting juveniles may leave enough breeding females to maintain a high birth rate, yielding susceptible animals that enable the disease to persist...

Wild boar - population

Population pyramid showing the sex and age class composition of a typical population.
Wild boar hunting management

During a year, typically the hunting quota consists of the following age groups of wild boar:

• sub adults under 1 year of age: 40 – 60%,
• young wild boar between 1 and 2 years of age: 20 – 40%,
• adults (more than 2 years of age): up to 20%.

The proportion of sexes of wild boar in the hunting quota should be 1:1.

Hunting in the infected area

- Alternatively, hunting targeting breeding females would decrease the population long-term.
Something about wild boar biology

- Female maturity ~ at 15 months of age (even at 8-10 months of age) or 25-30 kg of weight;
- In Nord Europe - usually one litter per year (5-7 piglets) but could be and two;
- Piglets born in April-May (could be also August - September).
The wild boar is a highly versatile omnivore, whose diversity in choice of food rivals that of humans. A 50 kg boar needs around 4,000-4,500 calories of food per day, though this required amount increases during winter and pregnancy, with the majority of its diet consisting of food items dug from the ground like underground plant material and burrowing animals.

Baiting or supplementary feeding?

Source: piterhunt.ru
Supplementary feeding: why not?

- «Free ranging farm in the forest»;
- Concentration of the large number of animals;
- Could be the source of infectious agents and parasites;
- Unnecessary increase of the susceptible population - hunters cannot hunt / manage so many animals...

Baiting vs supplementary feeding?

ASF Strategy for Eastern Part of the EU

Baiting: (non-sustaining feeding of wild boar): Attracting of wild boar with limited food (e.g. maize) only for the purpose of hunting. The maximum amount of food should not exceed 10kg/km²/month. Baiting should not, in any case, represent a source of feeding wild boar for sustaining the population during winter.
How do we understand the baiting?

Photo: Ivars Koloda (LV)
Effectiveness of baiting controls

• The purpose of controls: to check whether the baiting is really baiting (amount of the feed used)!

• These are not veterinary controls but they are very important;

• Clear competence and responsibilities (Competent authority defined);

• National legislation is essential;

• Sanctions (penalty, restrictions on hunting, reduction of licenses given etc.) need to be foreseen.
Effectiveness of the baiting controls

How to organize these controls:

1. Planned controls (complex controls including other areas as hygiene, hunting etc.) – predefined frequency
2. Repeated controls (to check whether problem is solved)
3. Extraordinary controls – based on complaints, etc.

Feeding places for other species

Feeding places/devises for other species: (e.g. wild ruminants): Such feeding places for wild ruminants should not be accessible for wild boar. If possible only food should be used which is not attractive for wild boar (e.g. hay).
Awareness – is the key issue

- Regular training of hunters and forest rangers on clinical signs and contingency plan
- Ensure they know their role in the system
- Readiness – knowledge and equipment
- Awareness campaigns (regular and repeated)
- Regular communication ....

ASF control strategy

Continuous awareness campaigns should be foreseen for hunters for informing about the new strategy and the intended goals so to encourage the participation of hunters in the strategy.
How to make everybody involved?

- Legislation (National and European)
- Contingency plan
- Authorization / contract by/with Veterinary Service
- Funding should be foreseen for activities carried out by hunters & vets
- Clear rules must be set to make collaboration good
- Regular training
- Communication and collaboration (also in peace time)
- Motivation...

Thank you for your attention!