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Background

• Kick-off – January 2018

• Objective – Guidance document on drafting land consolidation law / Based on regulatory practices in Europe

• In line with VGGT
Experts involved

• Main working group

• Key persons from all analysed countries

• Participants of the 10th LANDNET workshop

Analysed countries

• Selection criteria
  • long LC tradition
  • specific approach (illustrating the diversity of land consolidation practices in Europe)
  • relevance for the FAO programme countries
  • importance for a particular group of countries, sharing comparative historical background
  • efficiency of the research tools available regarding a specific jurisdiction
Analysed countries

- Detailed analysis
  - Denmark
  - Finland
  - Germany
  - Lithuania
  - Netherlands
  - Serbia
  - Spain (Galicia)
  - Turkey
- “Cherry-picking countries“
  - France, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovenia

Initial information

- Key persons from analysed countries provided initial information on:
  - Legal acts, regulating LC in the country
  - Hierarchy of such legal acts
  - Sources, where the acts may be found
  - Eventual English translations of legal acts
  - Additional information and comments
Country summaries

- **Objective** – gather country information and ensure comparability

- Key legal issues in LC identified

- Country summaries prepared following standardised structure

- **Method**: desk work + consultations with key persons

Country summary example

---

**INTRODUCTION**

According to [source], in Denmark, there were 85,000 agricultural holdings in 2010. The number of holdings in Denmark (2%) over the last ten years suggests a declining trend. However, the declining trend in the number of farms has not been observed in the countries of the EU-27. The number of holdings decreased in Denmark from 276,000 in 2001 to 250,000 in 2011. However, there were 3.6 million hectares in 2015, covering 36% of the country. This was one of the highest proportions recorded in the EU-27. As the number of farms decreased and agricultural land declined, the average size of the holdings grew significantly. Since the 1990s, Denmark has been among the 11 countries with the largest average farm size in the EU-27. The average farm size in Denmark, with 17% of the total agricultural land, is larger than the average farm size in the EU-27, which is 11%. These three classes, with 1% of the total agricultural land, have a significant share of the total agricultural area in Denmark.
GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

• Identification of “BEST” practice

• Identification of “poisonous instruments” (efficient in one country, but dangerous in another)

• Getting away from “standard” legal logic (wide variations in legal systems, where Guidance will be potentially used)

LOGIC OF GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

• LC LEGAL ISSUE

• RELEVANT COUNTRY PRACTICE

• RECOMMENDATIONS
Legal wording samples

4.4.2 Elected representative bodies

Country practice reveals that in most of the cases, where stakeholders' meetings are organized, bodies representing stakeholders in further LC procedures are elected. It is recommended to make the election procedures of representative bodies comparatively flexible, in order to best ensure the representation of all stakeholders. To this end the land consolidation legislation should not determine the exact number of the representatives to be elected. This issue may be left to the stakeholders to decide and if there is a disagreement on who should be elected into a representative body, instead of arguing on personalities, the number of the representatives could be increased by mutual consent and additional persons added. This would ensure better representation of different interests and save the stakeholders from unnecessary conflicts. Such is the case in Denmark.

In most countries such representative body members or representatives are elected by a simple majority of votes of the landowners present in the meeting. Such is the case, for example, in Germany, Denmark, Finland.

BOX 24 Landowners' committee in Law on Land Consolidation, 2017 (Denmark)

Article 3 paragraph 3

[…] The Minister or the person authorized by the Minister may call a landowners meeting where the case is explained and where the majority of the landowners that are present elect a landowners committee. (...) The Landowners' Committee represents the interests of the Landowners' Community. […]

BOX 25 Landowners' representatives in Real Estate Formation Act, 1995 (Finland)

Section 84 paragraph 3

(...) In cases of land consolidation meetings, the majority of the joint-owners present may elect one or more representatives to take part in the pre-assessment of the matters to be handled in the cadastral procedures. (…)

Key recommendations

Women's organizations. Women's organizations should be involved in the whole land consolidation process. On one hand, they could ensure the respect of general gender equality in the process. On the other hand, these organizations may best express the position and needs of women in land management in general and in land consolidation in particular. It may be that interests of farmers of different genders may conflict. Or it may be the situation that the decisions regarding the consolidation of a parcel, which is of essential importance for the family are taken without equally considering the position of both spouses. Therefore, women's organizations would be an excellent instrument in detecting inequalities and raising the relevant issues, without subjecting individual women to a supplementary pressure in the community or family.

Environmental organizations. Land consolidations should be implemented in a sustainable way and respect environment. This instrument may also be used for attaining environmental objectives. Therefore, inclusion of environmental organizations in the process may bring essential value to it. Having a possibility to hear the opinions and positions of such organizations, besides respecting sustainability and environmental principles, would add additional trust in society with regard to land consolidation.

Key recommendations

- All legitimate rights should be considered and recognized in land consolidation regulations. Regularization of land tenure rights should be part of the LC process.
- In voluntary land consolidations regulations should provide for instruments to include parcels of unknown owners into the LCP area, if they are essential for the implementation of the whole project.
- Other stakeholders, such as professional organizations, communities, women’s organizations, environmental organizations and other should be involved in the LC process.
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