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Green Economy: The Need for Change

- In 2009, global GDP reached US$58.22 trillion and yet, almost 80% of humanity continues to live on less than US$ 10/day.
- The poorest 40 percent of the world’s population produce only 5 percent of global income.

The threat to the planet and inequality go hand in hand.
Green Economy: The Need for Change

- **National Footprint Accounts 2010**
- **National Footprint Accounts 2009**

**World Real GDP Growth**

- Trend, 1970–2005²
What is a green economy?

- A **Green Economy** is one that results in increased **human well-being** and **social equity**, while significantly **reducing** **environmental risks** and **ecological scarcities**.
**Green Economy: The Need for Change**

Meeting the dual goals of sustainability – High human development and low ecological impact

*Source:* WWF Living Planet Report 2006

---

**Meets minimum criteria for sustainability**

---

**Exceeds biosphere’s average capacity per person, high development**

**World average biocapacity available per person, ignoring the needs of wild species**

**Within biosphere’s average capacity per person, low development**

---

**Historical trends for named selected countries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>More than 1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>More than 1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>More than 1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>More than 1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>More than 1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>More than 1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>More than 1 billion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Country population (coloured by region):**

- North America
- Europe EU
- Europe Non-EU
- Latin America and the Caribbean
- Middle East and Central Asia
- Asia-Pacific
- Africa

---

**Ecological Footprint:**

- Less than 1 million
- 1 million – 5 million
- More than 5 million

---

**Human Development Index:**
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---

**United Nations Human Development Index**

---

**Meets minimum criteria for sustainability**
Towards a **GREEN** economy
Pathways to Sustainable Development and Poverty Eradication
A Synthesis for Policy Makers
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Modeling
Enabling Conditions

www.unep.org/greeneconomy
One, generally

- Large scale
- Well supplied by nutrients, technological advances, innovation, Irrigation,
- Subsidized
- High productivity
- High emissions
- Damaging biodiv
- Small contribution to respective national economies

Two, generally

- Small scale
- Natural nutrients
- Cultivates about 60% of arable land by 525 mil farms
- lack access to tech, innovation, credit, capital
- feeding most of the hungry,
- Hosts majority of the poor
- Contributes to large part of economy and employment

Different realities, different solutions
Agriculture is facing a multitude of challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand side Challenge</th>
<th>Supply side challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Food security</td>
<td>• Limited availability of land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Population growth</td>
<td>• Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Changing pattern of demand driven by increased income</td>
<td>• Mineral inputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The growing pressure from bio-fuels.</td>
<td>• Rural labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increasing vulnerability of agriculture to climate change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pre-harvest and post-harvest losses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agriculture is producing more than needed

- Why ~ 1 Bil sleep hungry?

Poverty amid Plenty

Figure 9a-b: The makeup of total food waste

Source: Lundqvist et al., Godfray
Why ~ 1 Bil sleep hungry?

- MISMATCH between
  - Contribution to GDP and investment in Ag
Why ~ 1 Bil sleep hungry?

- MISMATCH between
  - Innovation and needs of the poor
  - Populations growth and production Growth
  - Expectations and reality (surplus production will trickle down to the hungry)
  - Labour productivity (US$23,081 in OECD, US$327 in Africa - 95 times higher).
Business as Usual is not an option
The cost of current model – Losses are more than gains…

Global trends in cereal and meat production

Global total use of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers.

Increased use of irrigation

Total global pesticides production

SOURCE: Tilman et al., 2002
Business as Usual is not an option

Industrial agriculture consumes on average 10 exosomatic energy calories for every food endosomatic energy calorie that is produced and delivered to the consumer.

- Water consumption & pollution
- bio-diversity losses
- Extraction of soil nutrients on small farms
- Loss of soil fertility
- Slowing productivity growth
- Shrinking agricultural labour force
- Shrinking profits despite increasing prices
Business as Usual is not an option

Estimated **annual costs** of agricultural externalities

- UK: GBP £ 5.16 billion (a cost greater than annual net farm income)
- USA: USD 34.7 billion
- Germany: USD 2 billion
- China: US D 1.4 billion (only from pesticides for rice)
- In China agriculture is larger source of water pollution than industry.
- Flow of phosphorus to the oceans: approximately 10 million tonnes
- Millions of cases of pesticide poisoning, thousands of death
Business as Usual is not an option

Figure 8: Projected losses in food production due to climate change by 2080.

Projected changes in agricultural productivity 2080 due to climate change, incorporating the effects of carbon fertilisation:

-50% -15% 0% +15% +35% No data

2080

Source: The environmental food crisis - the environment’s role in averting future food crises. A UNEP rapid response assessment.
Greening of Ag is needed, possible and profitable

Greening agriculture.....what's that?

.....the implementation of farming practices, knowledge, science and technologies (innovations):

• maintain and increase farm productivity and profitability while ensuring the provision of food, and agricultural goods and services on a sustainable basis;

• reduce negative externalities and gradually lead to positive ones; and

• rebuild ecological resources (i.e. soil, water, air and biodiversity “natural capital” assets) by reducing pollution and using resources more efficiently.
• Investments in R&D
• Farm mechanization
• Investing in maintaining and building soil organic matter
• Crops and livestock diversification
• Improving water management
**Towards a GREEN economy**

**Greening of Ag - Investment priorities**

Scaling up adoption of green agriculture by partnering with leading agribusinesses

- Top 4 seed companies control more than 50% of the commercial seed market.
- Top 10 corporations (four of them are among the top 10 seed companies) control 82% of the world pesticides business.
- Top 10 corporations control 28% per cent of the global market for food processing.
- Top 15 supermarket chains represent more than 30 per cent of global food sales.
- Investment decisions of these approximately 40 companies have the power to determine, to a large extent, how the global agriculture sector could endorse and encourage green and sustainable farming practices.
Reducing post-harvest storage

• Significant crop yields losses for small holders due to limited access to dry and sanitary storage and cold chain facilities.

• Over 300% price gains (US$13 vs 38/100 of maize) for those who invested US$20 (for a 120 kg small-capacity unit) to US$70-US$100 (for an 1800 kg large-capacity) metal silo.

Reducing post-harvest losses could be quickly achieved but less than 5% agricultural research and extension funding targets this problem. (Household Metal Silos, FAO 2008).
Governance

Regulations and taxes

Trade laws and ag subsidies

Ensuring equity in supply chains

Shifting the burden of proof to the polluter

Using “right” labels (XX KG or Litters of Pesticide was sprayed per hectar on this products).
Profitability & productivity of green ag

“The overwhelming majority of cases (analyzed in the USA) show that organic farms are more economically profitable.” Nemes, 2009. Published by FAO

Average yield-increase of nearly 80% as a result of farmers in 57 poor countries. The study covered 12.6 million farms, encompassing over 37 million hectares (3 per cent of the cultivated area in developing countries). Of projects with pesticide data, 77 resulted in a decline in pesticide use by 71%.
Energy efficiency
Growing organic rice: 4 times more energy-efficient than the conventional method (Mendoza 2002).

Energy consumption in organic farming systems is reduced by 10 to 70 per cent in European countries and by 28 to 32 per cent in the USA compared with high-input systems, with the exception of certain crops including potatoes and apples, where energy-use is equal or even higher.
Macroeconomic benefits

Investments aimed at increasing the productivity of the agriculture sector have proved to be more than twice as effective in reducing rural poverty than investment in any other sector.

10% increase in ag productivity is estimated to reduce poverty by 5% in Asia and 7% in Africa. Green practices can increase productivity, on average, by 79% on small farms.
Macroeconomic benefits

Green practices create more employment opportunities and provide higher return on labour inputs.

Greening agriculture can relax the foreign-exchange constraint by reducing the need for imported inputs and by increasing exports of sustainable agrifood products.
Benefits of Greening Agriculture

Climate Benefits

German organic farms annually sequester 402 kg carbon/hectare, while conventional farms experience losses of 637 kg (Küstermann et al. 2008 and Niggli et al. 2009).
Return on investments under BAU will continue to decrease, mainly owing to the increasing costs of inputs (especially water and energy) and stagnated/decreased yields; the cost of the externalities associated with agriculture will continue to increase gradually, initially neutralizing and eventually exceeding the economic and development gains; and by greening agriculture and food distribution, more calories per person per day, more jobs and business opportunities especially in rural areas, and market-access opportunities, especially for developing countries, will be available.
You cannot solve the problem with the same kind of thinking that created the problem. *Albert Einstein*

Thank You

Towards a GREEN economy

[www.unep.org/greeneconomy](http://www.unep.org/greeneconomy)
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