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Moves Enhancing Forest Sector Visibility

• Policy
  – Community-based Forest Management Strategy
  – NRM for Hunger Mitigation (P2 billion in 2009)
  – National REDD+ Strategy 2010

• Legal
  – Protected Areas System (NIPAS) established PA Management Boards & Integrated PA Fund
  – Indigenous Peoples’ Rights Act paved way for strengthening tenure through ancestral domain titling
  – Energy Power Industry Reform Act set up a watershed mgt fund

• Institutional
  – People’s Organizations & Federations
  – National Forest Program (nfp)
  – Convergence initiative (departments of environment and natural resources, agriculture, agrarian reform)
  – Landscape-level Alliances led by local governments
Landscape Alliance: Caroood Watershed

20,000 hectare watershed shared by 6 municipalities

Land use analysis using watershed perspective shows the inconsistencies of land use plan of adjacent municipalities

Political boundaries are inadequate management units to secure environmental services.

Availability of institutional arrangement & data paved way for membership in International Model Forest Network.

11 more alliances in Philippines (www.essc.org.ph)
Some Financing Mechanisms

- **Domestic**: DENR budget, local government allocations, CSR programs
- **ODA**: AECID (biodiversity & livelihoods); EU (local governance, indigenous peoples, disaster risk reduction, small grants program); GTZ (forest & climate change); US (ecological governance); FAO (nfp, assisted natural regeneration); IFAD (ancestral domain titling); private foundations
- **Debt-for-Nature Swaps**: Foundation for Philippine Environment, Philippine Tropical Forest Conservation Foundation
- **PES**: 15 documented PES cases (watershed)
Watershed PES: Scoping schemes

15 cases documented (Winrock, 2007; ADB-INREM draft 2010)

- **Initiator**: 4 government, 11 CSO
- **Aim**: change or maintain land use
- **Buyers**: water districts, hydropower companies, electric cooperatives, consumers, local gov’ts
- **Sellers**: local governments, communities (organizations/cooperatives of farmers, indigenous peoples), private landowners
- **Intermediaries**: line agencies, CSOs, international dev’t agencies, media
- **Contracts**: 3 w/ contracts, 6 in process, 6 w/o contracts
Forest Financing from Energy Sector

- P0.01/kwh of electricity sales from power generation companies
- P0.0025/kwh from electricity end users
- Proceeds allocated across 3 trust funds, one of which is for reforestation, watershed management, health & environment enhancement (RWMHEEF)
Energy Sector Financing Mechanism for Reforestation and Watershed Management

How proceeds are allocated

- RWMHEEF: 50%
- EF: 25%
- DLF: 25%

Who benefits and how it is shared

- Resettlement: 35%
- Host Province: 30%
- Host Barangay: 20%
- Host Municipality: 10%
- Host Region: 5%

RWMHEEF: Reforestation, Watershed Management, Health, Environment Enhancement Fund
(P1.02 billion released to 845 projects as of July 2009)

EF: Electrification Fund (P1.7 billion, 2000+ projects)

DLF: Development and Livelihood Fund (P0.83 billion, 980 projects)
How to get the fund

- Memorandum of Agreement between Dept of Energy, Power Plant and Local Government
- Fund released based on result of public bidding/canvass
- Funds subject to liquidation prior to the approval of succeeding project/s

HOST LGU/Region
- Submits project proposal/s

Power Plant
- Evaluates proposal/s
- Coordinates with LGUs & Region/s
- Endorses proposal to DOE

DOE
- Process proposal/s
- Forges MOA with the LGUs/Region & P/P
- Facilitates release of project fund

Criteria for Approving projects: fund availability, capacity to increase incomes, generate employment and uplift living conditions of host communities, no adverse environmental effects, promote equitable benefit-sharing, demonstrate effective and successful implementation
Where the P1 billion was spent

Reforestation and Watershed Management: improving forest cover through community-based forest management, agro-forestry, mangrove conservation, seedling nursery

Environment Enhancement: fire truck, garbage truck, sanitary landfill, facility for recycling & sanitary waste water collection, bulldozer, buckhoe/loader

Health: water supply system, medicinal plant gardens, health center, municipal hospital, medical equipment/facilities, ambulance
Carbon PES: National REDD+ Strategy

- nested, scaling up approach
- community tenured areas and protected areas as priority areas
- community-focused
- multi-level governance approach: decentralization and maximizing existing working mechanisms
- intersectoral coordination: participatory, multi-stakeholder partnerships
- rigorous carbon accounting
- watershed, natural ecosystems and landscape approach

(DENR forwarded Strategy to President, Aug 2010)
Climate Fund Sources for REDD+

- funds where Philippines is included

ODI 2009
### REDD+ Financing, 2010-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>MULTILATERAL</th>
<th>BILATERAL</th>
<th>Total per Country</th>
<th>In-Country Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FCPF + RF</td>
<td>UN-REDD</td>
<td>CIF-FIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global allocation 1/</td>
<td>101.90</td>
<td>86.32</td>
<td>542.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Technical Notes

- 2/ for FCPF + RF
- 4/ for UN-REDD
- 7/ for Australia
- 9/ for Finland
- Total per Country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>FCPF + RF</th>
<th>UN-REDD</th>
<th>CIF-FIP</th>
<th>GEF SFM/REDD+</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>UK</th>
<th>US</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Total per Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China, People's Republic of</td>
<td>80.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>83.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>53.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>39.07</td>
<td>45.70</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>55.75</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>527.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>15.92</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia and the Pacific per Fund Source</td>
<td>28.80</td>
<td>25.52</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>278.26</td>
<td>48.70</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>85.75</td>
<td>94.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>963.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Asia and the Pacific to Global Allocation</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REDD+ Demonstration/Learning Sites

- Panay & Leyte (GTZ)
- Palawan (EU)
- Cagayan, Quirino & Palawan (CI)
- Linking Communities to Voluntary Carbon Market (FAO)
- Watersheds of Chico, Wahig-Inabanga, Bukidnon, Lake Lanao (ADB-INREM)
REDD+ incipient actions: Chico & Upper Pulangi Watersheds

• **No-Fire Bonus Scheme**: Linked awarding of barangay development projects to environmental criteria in Mt. Province, Chico Watershed

• **Flights for Forests**: Youth assisting natural regeneration in Bukidnon, Upper Pulangi Watershed financed by a Jesuit forest carbon offset program (http://essc.org.ph/content/view/365/160/)

• **Agroforestry initiatives** in critical watershed areas
Lessons

• Process for agreeing on how to value environmental service/s is crucial to the establishment of contractual agreements.

• Capacity to establish baseline and monitor progress of ES improvement is often weak.

• Governance measures and incentives/payment schemes need to blend interests of users (buyers) and service providers (sellers).

• Where government fails to direct payments to actual service providers, SFM efforts cannot be sustained.