Resolving agricultural trade issues and disputes through the WTO: practical advice to agribusiness

Presentation to the FAO Regional Workshop

Odessa, Ukraine

11 September 2018

Brendan McGivern – Partner of Counsel White & Case LLP, Geneva

Overview of presentation:

- Introduction
- Identifying WTO issues in agricultural trade
- Advantages and disadvantages of seeking recourse to the WTO
- Choosing the right instrument to address a trade dispute
- Importance of internal coordination
- WTO Agricultural disputes
- Conclusions

Introduction

- WTO Member countries here today have negotiated for access to agricultural markets of other countries
- Not self-enforcing: requires action by government
- Governments typically act only when pressured by their own industry
- Agribusiness should be active in determining and addressing barriers to market access

Introduction

WTO provides a rules-based system: means for agribusiness to protect and enhance market access

Identifying a trade issue

- Reduction of access to a foreign market should be assessed in light of WTO rules
- Agribusiness will want to work closely with government and counsel to identify whether reduction of access by a foreign country is illegal
- Important to assess measures impeding access both at the border and internally

Identifying a trade issue

- Key issue will be loss of competitive advantage in foreign market
- Assess reasons for this: could be the result of discrimination
- Can challenge discrimination and other violations under WTO rules

Advantages of seeking recourse to WTO

- WTO dispute settlement has been extremely successful
 - Binding, effective, credible system
- WTO rules can also provide basis for effective negotiations
 - Negotiations based on rules rather than power politics

Disadvantages of seeking recourse to WTO

- Resource-intensive for industry and governments
- Can be time consuming and costly but this has be weighed against extent of loss of market access
- System is currently facing challenges
- Litigation is the last resort
- But there are many WTO tools other than litigation

Choosing the right instrument: Negotiations

- Rules-based system can provide advantage for smaller countries negotiating with larger ones
- Bilateral negotiations remain an option at all times:
 before, during, or after a dispute.
- Maximizes control over outcome.

Choosing the right instrument: WTO Committees

- WTO Members can raise complaints before
 Committees: this is a well-established procedure.
- Full range of committees covering trade, including in agriculture
- Can be effective in applying multilateral pressure on another WTO Member.

Choosing the right instrument::Trade Policy Review Mechanism

- TPR mechanism provides for periodic, multilateral review of the full range of trade policies by each WTO Member.
- Frequency of review depends on share of world trade.
 - Most countries on a 6 year cycle.
- Can be used to maximize attention and pressure on illegal practices

Choosing the right instrument: : WTO Consultations

WTO Consultations

- First step in a dispute
- Intended to facilitate diplomatic discussion and resolution of the dispute – has not performed this role in practice.
- Consultations within 30 days.
- 60 days from Consultations to Panel Request.

Choosing the right instrument: : WTO dispute settlement

- Important features of the WTO dispute settlement system:
 - WTO Panels have compulsory jurisdiction over all WTO Members.
 - WTO Panel and Appellate Body decisions, once adopted, are binding.

Choosing the right instrument: : WTO dispute settlement

- State-to-state dispute settlement system.
 - Private companies cannot bring their own cases but are usually active behind the scenes in any dispute.
- Three independent experts serving on panel.
- Standing Appellate Body to review errors of law.
- System has high degree of confidence among WTO Members.

Internal coordination

- For agribusiness to pursue a WTO complaint, full internal coordination is needed between industry and government
- Governments may have broader agendas
- Governments also have limited resources: reliance on industry

- Wide range of disputes covering agriculture, including:
 - Food safety: Food safety measures found to be WTO-inconsistent include:
 - Russia's EU-wide ban on live pigs and pork products:
 - Infected wild boars found in Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Poland, but Russia closed its borders to imports of pigs from the entire EU.
 - No risk assessment.

- Wide range of disputes covering agriculture, including:
 - Food safety: Food safety measures found to be WTOinconsistent include:
 - EU ban on hormone-treated beef from USA and Canada
 - EU ban on genetically-modified food products

- Wide range of disputes covering agriculture, including:
 - <u>Distribution networks</u>: Korea's "dual retail system" for beef (requiring imported beef to be sold in separate stores) found to violate the WTO Agreement

- Wide range of disputes covering agriculture, including:
 - Subsidies: WTO has a range of rules limiting subsidies to agricultural producers and exporters.
 - Subsidies found to violate the WTO agreements include:
 - US subsidies to cotton
 - EC subsidies to sugar
 - Canadian subsidies to milk producers

- Wide range of disputes covering agriculture, including:
 - Import licensing for food: Indonesia' use of import licensing for food products found to constitute an impermissible import restriction.

Conclusions

- Agricultural trade has led to some of the most difficult WTO disputes.
- The system is strong and effective, and can be used to keep foreign markets open.
- Agribusiness should remain vigilant in ensuring that other countries do not limit market access through illegal measures.

Questions?

White & Case LLP

Quai du Mont-Blanc 5 1201 Geneva Switzerland T +41 22 906 98 00 F +41 22 906 98 10