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#### Abstract

This protocol is the result of two training courses which were conducted in Egypt in November 2010 in Port Said and in June 2012 in Alexandria. A pilot survey first on Catch and Effort data which was then followed by biological sampling has been started in Egypt with the Support of the EastMed project. The aim of the protocol is to assist the data collectors both in the field and in the laboratories to conduct sampling during the pilot phase. The first part of this document contains guidelines on the routine collection of catch and effort data from the ports of Alexandria, Damiette, Kafr-El-Sheikh, Madiaa and Port Said. The second part of the document describes guidelines for the collection of biological data including length, weight, sex, sexual maturity, gonad weight and hard structures for age reading. It also includes guidelines on sampling frequencies and number of samples to be collected for catch, effort and biological data. The protocol will also serve as a basis if Egypt intends to pursue a routine data collection system for the collection of fisheries data for stock assessment purposes.
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# SAMPLING PROTOCOL FOR THE COLLECTION OF CATCH, EFFORT AND BIOLOGICAL DATA IN EGYPT 

Part I - Egyptian Fisheries<br>prepared by

Alaa Eldin El-Haweet

### 1.1 Administrative set-up of the Fisheries Department in Egypt

Egypt is situated in the Eastern part of North Africa, in the South Eastern Mediterranean Sea, in the General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean (GFCM) Geographical Sub-Area (GSA) 26 South Levant. The country has borders with Libya in the West and Gaza Strip in the East. The coastline of Egypt on the Mediterranean Sea is about 1,100 km long, extending from Sallum in the West to Rafah in the East, and contains six Northern coastal lagoons opening to the Mediterranean Sea (Maruit, Edku, Burollus, Manzala, Port Fouad and Bardawil).

The main fishing ground used by the Egyptian fishing fleet is the continental shelf off the Nile delta. Recently the fleet also extended its activities to the Eastern side off Sinai and seasonally to the Western side of Alexandria. The region near the Nile delta has a large continental shelf which becomes progressively narrow on the western and eastern parts. Along the middle and eastern coast, the seabed is flat with mostly muddy and sandy bottoms. On the western coast trawlable grounds are limited since the region is dominated by rocky bottoms. Apart from trawling, inshore fisheries are very common with a high number of artisanal fishers along the coast. There are ten fisheries centres along the coast with five developed fishing ports in Alexandria, Maaddia, Borollus, Damietta and Port Said (Fig. 1).

The General Authority for Fish Resources Development (GAFRD) within the Ministry of Agriculture is the state agency responsible for managing and controlling Egyptian fisheries including the enforcement of the fisheries legislation with the support of the coastal guard. Four central offices, for the western coastal provinces (Western region), Delta provinces (Central delta region), Damietta provinces and Port Said provinces (Eastern region), are part of the headquarter complex in Cairo, with another three local offices for the Nile provinces, Aswan region and Red Sea province. The headquarters office is also responsible for development projects, applied research, national and international agreements, and maintenance activities (Seham and Salem, 2004).


Fig. 1. Egyptian coast at Mediterranean Sea.
The department for fisheries is chaired by the vice head of the GAFRD (Head of Central Department for the Chairman's Office Affairs) and comprises 4 sections: the cooperation section, which manage the Union of the Fishers Cooperatives, the rental and the ports sections and the fisheries section. The latter is composed of a department for fisheries management and a department for the development of natural resources.

The department for fisheries management is an administrative one and controls several local fisheries "sub departments", which are in charge of the control activities and of the updating of the database of the fishing fleets. It establishes the licences for fishing vessels and fishers, renews them every year, registers all fishing vessels with the respective technical characteristics and keeps a daily record of the fish landings by gear and landing site.

For the collection of information of the fishing fleets, every GAFRD site has a local office in order to register its fishing vessels, whether they are motorized or have sails and gathers information on fishing gears. The offices update the information on a yearly basis and send it to the main office in Cairo at the last quarter of every year. The GAFRD maintains a fishing fleet register for motorized vessels, which contains information on the serial number, ID number, date and port, owners, and each owners share, vessel name, length overall (LOA), width, body material, gross tonnage (GT), net tonnage, engine
power (hp), manufacture name, cooperative name, fishing area, gear and number of fishers.

For the estimation of the catch statistics, GAFRD uses two different systems simultaneously in almost every landing site to estimate fisheries production with a special data entry form containing: GAFRD site, GAFRD office, vessel identification, name, engine power, number of fishers, navigator equipment, landing port, fishing area, arrival and departure date, gear, species in local name, number of boxes, number of fish per kilo, landing price and recorder name. Fisheries officers estimate the landings of every boat per species, when the vessels offload their landings. The simple random sampling approach involves two sampled vessels for each gear category twice per week. Effort is estimated by determining the number of active boats per month. These data are compiled, aggregated and summarized, then sent to GAFRD head office in Cairo, to check data quality. The computerization of this system is actually ongoing and the communication between the various local staffs and the GAFRD headquarters will be facilitated by an internet system, which at the moment is in the process of being installed. To allow the storage and the processing of these data, a computer application SAMAC (Statistical Approaches for the Monitoring and Assessment of Capture fisheries) was developed by GAFRD staff during 2003-2005. This application incorporates international standards (including FAO/GFCM standards) to be able to respond to requests from international partners. Two important parts of the fishery information system are the fishing fleet census, and the catch statistics collection system. SAMAC is now under implementation in the GAFRD main office in Cairo. The application is designed to integrate monthly estimates into a database and to produce statistical reports and plots on biological and economic data. Due to the high dispersion of landing sites of the artisanal small scale fishery the activity of this sector, which contributes substantial part of the landings, has certainly been underestimated but empirical correction factors have been applied recently in order to produce statistics that give a more realistic picture of the Egyptian Mediterranean fisheries.

The department for the development of natural resources is working in cooperation with another department of the GAFRD, the department of productivity, which is the main body dedicated to the management of the fisheries and aquaculture sector. To achieve this task the department cooperate with the National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries (NIOF) which is the official scientific consultant of the GAFRD in the field of fisheries. The chairman of the NIOF is a member of the board of directorial of the GAFRD. Among other tasks this department is also responsible to follow up the activities of the FAO EastMed project.

### 1.2 Bottom Otter Trawl Fishery

There are 1061 registered bottom otter trawlers in Mediterranean coast of Egypt, with an average length of 19.2 m , which varies from 16 to 30 m (GAFRD, 2009). Each vessel is powered by a main engine of 50 to 800 hp with the majority ( $86 \%$ ) having an engine from of $100-250 \mathrm{hp}$. All vessels are provided with mechanised winches. Some of them are equipped with echo-sounders and GPS and use an old Italian type of net with some
modifications. Trawlers of different sizes exploit different fishing grounds depending on depth and distance from the port. The main target species during the entire year are shrimps (Penaeus spp., Metapenaeus spp., and Marsupenaeus spp.), Sepia officinalis, and some fish species like Mullus spp, Saurida undosquamis and species of the family Sparidae. Many other commercial species are also caught as bycatch. Discards are mainly composed of small sized fish and non commercial species including fish and some invertebrates.

### 1.3 Purse Seine Fishery

Purse seining is a very important fishery in Egypt for the capture of pelagic species. In 2008 there were 238 registered purse seiners, which ranged from 15 to 25 m in length. They are powered by engines from 50 to 500 hp with the majority ( $68 \%$ ) having engines from 100-200 hp (GAFRD, 2009). Small purse-seiners operate during the day without artificial light in shallow regions, while larger seiners operate at night with the assistance of slave boats equipped with lights that concentrate the fish before setting the net. Usually fishing at night stops for a period of approximately 10 days per month when there is full moon. The net's length is between 200 and 400 m and its depth ranges from 40 to 60 m . The nets are hauled manually and the number of crew ranges between 25 and30 persons per vessel. Sardines (Sardinella aurita) and European anchovy Engraulis encrasicolus are the main target species.

### 1.4 Artisanal Fisheries

With respect to the artisanal fisheries there are 1797 vessels, which range from $7-15 \mathrm{~m}$ in length and are powered by small outboard or inboard engines from 8 to 150 hp (GAFRD, 2009). The fishing trip takes from 1-5 days and the number of crew ranges from 2 to 8 fisher per vessel. The main fishing gears include hand lines, longlines, gillnets and trammel nets. They target both demersal and pelagic species which change from one season to another.

# Part II - Guidelines for the collection of Catch and Effort data 

## prepared by

## Constantine Stamatopoulos

### 2.1 Introduction

This chapter contains guidelines for the routine collection of catch and effort data. Data collection activities (which also include biological data) constitute the principal component of an EastMed Pilot Phase for Egypt involving selected ports and sites from the major statistical strata of W. Mediterranean (Alexandria, Mex, Aboukir, Madyaa), Delta (Damiette and Kafr-El-Sheikh) and Eastern Mediterranean (Port Said and Arish).

During November 2010 and June 2011 a series of presentations and training sessions took place in Port Said and Alexandria respectively. The proceedings were attended by data collectors, supervisors and fisheries officers and had as objective the setting-up and implementation of regular data collection programmes for catch/effort and biological data. Data collection forms were drafted and field-tested and workplans were agreed upon with respect to data collection. Concerning computer operations the GAFRD-owned catch/effort system SAMAC has been operating since June 2011 for handling the basic functions of the sample-based catch/effort programme; the software was revised in February 2012 to use internet services and operate in a decentralized mode (see Fig 2).

The present chapter does not intend to repeat theoretical and practical aspects that were presented at the two workshops of November 2010 and June 2011. It would nevertheless seem practical to highlight a number of key points that concern collection of catch/effort data by means of sample-based surveys.
a) There can be up to four surveys in a catch/effort sampling programme and each survey corresponds to a specific component (box) of the generic catch/effort formula ${ }^{1}$ described in Figure 1.
b) Estimation of CPUE requires only one survey commonly known as "landings".
c) Estimation of fishing effort may require up to three surveys: One for determining PBA (e.g. Probability Boat Active), a second one for determining boat totals ${ }^{2}$ and a third for setting-up temporal extrapolation factors (Active Days ${ }^{3}$ ).
d) Footnotes (2) and (3) indicate that the present data collection schemes focus only on landings (for CPUE) and monthly fishing effort (for PBA).

[^0]e) The generic estimation approach is repeated for each estimation context that is formed by a combination of: month - stratum - boat/gear category.
f) Regularly monitored sampling accuracy is of paramount importance in order to maintain the quality of catch/effort estimates on a long-term basis.
g) Sampling accuracy has two components: Spatial and Temporal.
h) A $90 \%$ temporal accuracy is achieved by 8 sampling days (2 days/week); a 95\% by 12 sampling days ( 3 days/week).
i) Spatial accuracy is a direct function of sample size, i.e. total number of samples collected over a month.
j) Accuracy levels for spatial accuracy are variable, depending on the size of population under study. When the populations are large then 32 samples will suffice for a sampling accuracy of $90 \%$, whereas 128 will be needed for an accuracy level of $95 \%$. This general rule is good and simple for large populations but it can lead to over-sampling when the populations are small, as it happens for some ports and boats/gears.
k) In this document sample sizes will be determined by port and boat/gear category.
l) Annex A illustrates the data collection form in use for landings.
m) Annex B illustrates the data collection form in use for monthly fishing effort.


Figure 2.1. Illustration of the generic approach for estimating catch, effort and secondary parameters. The approach is generic because it can adapt to any data collection scheme. Please note that application of the formula is repeated for each estimation context.


Figure 2.2. SAMAC configuration

### 2.2 Data reliability aspects

There are two major considerations in the EastMed Pilot Phase: (i) risks of bias in data collection and, (ii) controlling the size and frequency of samples to attain a certain level of accuracy.

Concerning consideration (i) Tables 3.1 - 3.4 provide a summary of observations and suggested actions aiming at reducing the level of uncertainty and/or bias in data collection operations for fleet, catch and effort information.

Regarding consideration (ii) three case studies are presented illustrating sampling size and frequencies for the three ports of Port Said, Damiette and Kafr-El-Sheikh. The examples in Sections 4, 5 and 6 are tabulated to correspond to two accuracy levels: $90 \%$ and $95 \%$. For purposes of operational simplicity and in anticipation of eventual difficulties in data collection activities the recommended sample sizes are slightly higher than those resulting directly from basic sampling theory. The latter are also referred to as "red lower limits" and are displayed in red. These limits constitute the minimum indispensible sample size below which desired accuracy levels cannot be guaranteed.

Separate detachable pages are used to describe sample size requirements by port and boat/gear category.

It should be noted that:

- Current figures in sampling requirements for Port Said, Damiette and Kafr-ElSheikh will be revised sites as soon as reasonably accurate figures of fleet data have become available.
- The detachable pages of sampling size requirements will include all selected ports and sites as soon as reasonably accurate figures of fleet data have become available.


### 2.3 Data collection aspects

## Fleet data

Total numbers of fishing units by port and boat/gear category are used as spatial extrapolating factors in the estimation of total fishing effort. These figures are of key importance since they affect directly the scaling-up of sampled fishing effort and catch, irrespective of the data quality of the latter.

Table 1. Potential risks of bias in the fleet data

| Potential problem(s) | Impact | Suggested action |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| (a) Whole boat / gear classes <br> are missing and/or current <br> figures do not reflect the <br> actual situation. | Effort underestimated. | Set-up procedures for the <br> seasonal reviews of all <br> boat/gears as categorized by <br> SAMAC. The decentralized |  |
| SAMAC operations make |  |  |  |
| such a review feasible. |  |  |  |

## Fishing effort

The sampling scenario for fishing effort is day-orientated and uses monthly responses from selected fishermen regarding their activities during the past month. These data are used to formulate the variable PBA (=Probability Boat Active) separately for each boat/gear category. It is recalled that fishing effort is estimated by multiplying PBA by the number of boats/gears (see fleet data considerations above) and the number of Active Days which, in the scenario used by the EastMed Pilot Phase, will always coincide with the calendar days of the reference month. The following considerations apply:

Table 2. Potential risks of bias in the monthly effort survey

| Potential problem(s) | Impact | Suggested action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Fishermen have responded accurately as to the number of days worked but these include a second (or even a third) gear. | PBA will be overestimated for the gear inspected and underestimated for the alternative gears unmentioned. | In cases where use of alternative gears is possible, the question regarding the days worked should be formulated accurately to include alternative gears. The different answers should be recorded as separate effort samples by gear. |
| (b) Concern about bad weather, weekend days, holidays, etc. | No impact. | These "inactive" days have been incorporated into the responses. No adjustment is needed for effort samples or Active Days. |

## Landings

The sampling scenario for landings involves inspection of selected landings by port and boat/gear. The minimum frequency of visits should be twice a week for four weeks. The following considerations apply:

Table 3. Potential risks of bias in the landings survey

| Potential problem(s) | Impact | Suggested action |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (a) A landing shows zero or <br> partial catch. This occurs <br> because the fisherman has landed <br> his catch elsewhere. | CPUE will be <br> underestimated. | Fishermen should be asked if they <br> have landed any quantity anywhere <br> before landing at this port. If the <br> answer is yes, the sample should be <br> dropped. |
| (b) A landing shows zero or very <br> little catch. This occurs because <br> the fisherman has not been <br> successful during his trip. | CPUE will be <br> overestimated if this <br> catch is not included. | Include "zero" catch if real fishing <br> effort has been exerted. |


| (c) A landing shows zero or very little catch. This occurs because the fisherman has encountered a technical problem and returned to port. | CPUE will be underestimated if this catch is included. | The sample should be dropped since no real fishing effort was exerted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (d) Misreporting of trip duration when this is longer than one day. | CPUE will be overestimated. | Except for in obvious cases, the trip duration should be queried and recorded accurately. |
| (e) Multiple trips during the same day but each trip made with different gear. | No impact. | No action to be taken. |
| (f) Rare cases of multiple trips during the same day using the same gear. | CPUE will be underestimated. | If multiple trips are suspected/declared, the sample should be dropped. |
| (g) Regular occurrences of multiple trips during the same day using the same gear. | CPUE will be underestimated. | Asking how many trips were made last time the fisherman worked. Set duration $=1$ /answer. <br> Example: 2 trips yesterday. <br> Duration $=1 / 2=0.5$ days. |
| (h) Boat landed at this port but has operated from elsewhere. | No impact. | Sample to be included. |
| (i) Boat has used gear X but is licensed with gear Y. | No impact. | Sample should be recorded with the actual gear Y inspected. |
| (j) Species are locally identified but have not been included in the standard pre-printed species list and are recorded as OTHER. | If there are too many such cases the OTHER species entry will disproportionately high. | Data collectors and local supervisors must agree on a commonly accepted species description and add the species manually into the form. At a later stage GAFRD will assign these species a scientific name and produce an updated species list. <br> Such difficulties are expected at the initial stage of a fisheries statistical monitoring programme. |
| (k) Recording the number of individuals in the catch. First approach concerns larger fish. |  | The data collector estimates the total number of fish in the observed catch. |
| (1) Recording the number of individuals in the catch. Second approach concerns small fish. |  | The data collector estimates the total number of fish in one kg . $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{she}$ then multiplies it by the species catch to estimate the number of individuals. |

Table 4. Data consistency checks

| Variable(s) | Data consistency action |
| :--- | :--- |
| (a) Total catch | The data collector to calculate manually the species totals <br> and record the result in the special box of the input form. |
|  | This total will be inputted together with catch by species. <br> SAMAC computes automatically the species catch and <br> compares it to the inputted total. The two figures must tally, <br> else an error occurs. |
|  | Refer also to SAMAC manuals - Inputting of Landings. |$|$| (b) Days worked during the |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| month. | SAMAC checks that this figure must be less than or equal to <br> the number of calendar days. |
| (b) CPUE, prices, duration of <br> trip, number of fish per kg. | SAMAC offers the MAX-MIN function under REPORTS. <br> This function lists extreme values and corresponding input <br> documents. |
| Refer also to SAMAC manuals - Data Quality Checks. |  |

### 2.4 Port Said: Sampling size and frequency in tabular form

Landings - daily sampling requirements for >=90\% spatial and temporal accuracy ------ Sampling days

| Boat/Gear | \# of units | POP <br> size $^{4}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | TOT | RED $^{5}$ <br> LIMIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 231 | 6930 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| Longliners | 237 | 7110 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ |
| Purse seiners | 55 | 1650 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |

Landings - daily sampling requirements for >=95\% spatial and temporal accuracy -------------------------- Sampling days

| Boat/Gear | \# of <br> units | POP <br> size | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOT | RED <br> LIMIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 231 | 6930 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ |
| Longliners | 237 | 7110 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ |
| Purse <br> seiners | 55 | 1650 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |

Effort - monthly sampling requirements for $>=90 \%$ spatial accuracy

| Boat/Gear | \# of units | POP <br> size $^{6}$ | \# boats to be <br> sampled (asked) | RED <br> LIMIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 231 | 231 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| Longliners | 237 | 237 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| Purse seiners | 55 | 55 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |

Effort - monthly sampling requirements for $>=95 \%$ spatial accuracy

| Boat/Gear | \# of units | POP <br> size | \# boats to be <br> sampled (asked) | RED <br> LIMIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 231 | 231 | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
| Longliners | 237 | 237 | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |
| Purse seiners | 55 | 55 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |

[^1]
### 2.5 Damietta: Sampling size and frequency in tabular form

Landings - daily sampling requirements for >=90\% spatial and temporal accuracy
----- Sampling days --------

| Boat/Gear | \# of units | POP <br> size $^{7}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | TOT | RED <br> LIMIT $^{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 638 | 19140 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
| Longliners | 166 | 4980 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |
| Purse seiners | 16 | 480 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
| Trammel net | 12 | 360 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |

Landings - daily sampling requirements for >=95\% spatial and temporal accuracy

| Boat/Gear | \# of <br> units | POP <br> size | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOT | RED <br> LIMIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 638 | 19140 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ |
| Longliners | 166 | 4980 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ |
| Purse <br> seiners | 16 | 480 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |
| Trammel <br> net | 12 | 360 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ |

Effort - monthly sampling requirements for $>=90 \%$ spatial accuracy

| Boat/Gear | \# of units | POP <br> size $^{9}$ | \# boats to be <br> sampled (asked) | RED <br> LIMIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 638 | 638 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| Longliners | 166 | 166 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| Purse seiners | 16 | 16 | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Trammel net | 12 | 12 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |

## Effort - monthly sampling requirements for $>=95 \%$ spatial accuracy

| Boat/Gear | \# of units | POP <br> size | \# boats to be <br> sampled (asked) | RED <br> LIMIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 638 | 638 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ |
| Longliners | 166 | 166 | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ |
| Purse seiners | 16 | 16 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| Trammel net | 12 | 12 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

[^2]
### 2.6 Kafr-El-Sheikh: Sampling size and frequency in tabular form

Landings - daily sampling requirements for $>=90 \%$ spatial and temporal accuracy
----- Sampling days

| Boat/Gear | \# of units | POP <br> size $^{10}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | TOT | RED <br> LIMIT $^{11}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 13 | 390 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Longliners | 193 | 5790 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| Purse seiners | 24 | 720 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |

Landings - daily sampling requirements for >=95\% spatial and temporal accuracy
---------------------- Sampling days

| Boat/Gear | $\#$ of <br> units | POP <br> size | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOT | RED <br> LIMIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 13 | 390 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| Longliners | 193 | 5790 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Purse <br> seiners | 24 | 720 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |

## Effort - monthly sampling requirements for $>=90 \%$ spatial accuracy

| Boat/Gear | \# of units | POP <br> size $^{12}$ | \# boats to be <br> sampled (asked) | RED <br> LIMIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 13 | 13 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Longliners | 193 | 193 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| Purse seiners | 24 | 24 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

Effort - monthly sampling requirements for $>=95 \%$ spatial accuracy

| Boat/Gear | \# of units | POP <br> size | \# boats to be <br> sampled (asked) | RED <br> LIMIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers | 13 | 13 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Longliners | 193 | 193 | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| Purse seiners | 24 | 24 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

[^3]
# Part III - Guidelines for the collection of Biological data 

prepared by

Mark Dimech, Alaa Eldin El-Haweet, Eugenia Lefkaditou, Hatem Hanafy Mahmoud, Argyris Kallianiotis, Constantina Karlou-Riga.

### 3.1 Introduction

This part of the document was developed after a training course, which was conducted in Egypt in June 2011. It contains guidelines for the collection of biological data including length, weight, sex, sexual maturity, gonad weight and hard structures for age reading during the pilot study in Egypt. It also includes guidelines on sampling frequencies, number of samples to be collected, sampling methodology, the treatment of the samples and the laboratory analyses, which need to be carried out.

During the pilot study the samples for the following species will be collected Sardinella aurita, Saurida undosquamis, Mullus surmuletus, Metapenaeus stebbingi and Sepia officinalis.

The final aim of the data collection process is to analyse the data using analytical models in order to undertake stock assessments and give scientific advice to the fisheries administration on the status of the resources. The protocol will also serve as a basis if Egypt intends to pursue a routine data collection system for the collection of biological data for stock assessment purposes.

### 3.2 Sampling methods and frequency of sampling

### 3.2.1 General Guidelines

Sampling must be performed in order to evaluate the quarterly length distribution of the species in the landings. Biological data should be collected by gear category and for the stocks listed in table 1. The spatial units for sampling will be the 4 separate ports, Port Said, Damietta, Kafr-El-Sheikh and Maadia.

During the pilot study in Egypt the collection of biological data will be conducted from the landing ports without any sampling of discards, since discard are mainly the non target species and smaller sizes of commercial species which may considered as lower economical value in Egyptian fisheries (Alsayes et al., 2009). For the landings, the sampling unit shall be the fishing trip (landing trip) and the number of fishing trips to be sampled shall ensure good coverage of the fleet segment. When sampling a fishing trip, the species mentioned in table 1 shall be sampled. This means that a sample of every species should be collected from a fishing trip. It is important to note that when a species
is sampled for biological parameters the total weight of the catch from the vessel of the particular species should be recorded.

For species in which a size category exists, samples should be collected from each size category and the total weight of the catch of each market category should be recorded. When sampling a species, the number of individuals measured must ensure quality and accuracy of the resultant length frequency.

Table 1 shows the species selected for biological sampling together with the quantity of samples ( kg ) per port, month and size category. From the quantity of fish sampled, length weight, and sex measurements should be taken for all the individuals in the sample. For the other biological parameters including individual maturity, gonad weight and age hard structures, not more than $25 \%$ of the sample should be collected per port per month.

### 3.2.2 Port sampling

Port sampling should be conducted when the majority of the fleet enters into port. Sampling in periods outside the main landing period should be avoided. Once a month, the data collector should enter the landing site and purchase the fish according to table 1. If, due to storage problems all the fish cannot be purchased in one visit to the port, two or more visits can be conducted to purchase different species, working in continuous days, to ensure that the sampling came from the same fish stock. The data collector will have to adjust the visits to the port depending on the local conditions.

When the catch is landed, the field data collector should purchase a random box per species and size category from one random fisherman. The data collector should also record the total weight of the catch per size category of that particular species from the fisherman.

### 3.2.3 Sample storage

Once the fish are purchased they should be transferred immediately to the laboratory and stored for further processing. Fish samples should be stored at -20 to $-32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in a freezer and can be stored up to 6 months. If some of the fish will be processed the same day or the day after they can be stored in a refrigerator at 1 to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Although samples can be stored for a long period of time, ideally samples should be processed during the same week they are purchased. This is important to be able to identify accurately the maturity stages, especially for crustaceans such as shrimps, since colouration of the gonads tends to pale out by time. In the case that fish gonads are oing to be weighed it is also important to measure this parameter as soon as possible after the sampling.

If samples have been frozen, they should be taken out of the freezer and put into the refrigerator one day before processing (overnight). This will allow the fish to thaw. If fish do not thaw properly, one can always put the fish under water for one hour or two until the thawing process has been completed. However in species that can easily deteriorate, such Mullus surmuletus, it is better to work with a semi frozen samples.

| GFCM Fleet segment |  | GFCM Fishing gear class | Stock | GFCM Target species group | Port | Size category at landings | Quantity of Fish to be sampled (Kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purse Seine | 12-24 | Seine Nets | Sardinella aurita | Small gregarious pelagic | Port Said | Large specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Damietta | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Kafr-El-Sheikh | Large specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maadia | Small specimens | 2 |
| Trawl | $12-24$ | Trawl | Mullus surmuletus | Demersal shelf Species | Port Said | Large specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Damietta | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Kafr-El-Sheikh | Large specimens | $\frac{2}{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maadia | Large specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  | Saurida undosquamis | Demersal shelf Species | Port Said | Large specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Damietta | $\frac{\text { Large specimens }}{\text { Small specimens }}$ | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Kafr-El-Sheikh | Large specimens |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maadia | Large specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  | Penaeus semisulcatus Metapenaeus stebbingi | Demersal shelf Species | Port Said | Large specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Damietta | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Kafr-El-Sheikh | Large specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maadia | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  | Sepia officinalis | Demersal shelf Species | Port Said | $\frac{\text { Large specimens }}{\text { Small specimens }}$ | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Damietta | Large specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Kafr-El-Sheikh | Small specimens | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maadia | Large specimens | 2 |

### 3.3 Collection of Biological parameters

Once the samples have been thawed the laboratory officers should check the sample for any accessory species. Once the extra species have been identified these should be discarded. In the case of shrimps since many species can be present in one sample, all the individuals should be identified and separated. The total weight of the sample per species should be measured.

The data entry sheets should be prepared before collecting the biological parameters. The date of sampling, name of vessel, gear, the species name (Arabic \& scientific), and the type of length measurement should be recorded in the data entry sheet. Once the data collection for a specific month has been completed, all the data should be immediately entered into an excel database according to the template provided.

Length, individual weight and sex measurements should be taken for all the individuals in the sample. For the other biological parameters including, maturity, gonad weight, and age, hard structures not more than $25 \%$ of all the sample should be collected per port per month. However the data collectors must make sure to sample all the size categories of the samples, (i.e. do not collect biological parameters for only small or big individuals).

With respect to otoliths, once removed, both otoliths (left and right) are cleaned with water and subsequently preserved dry in small paper envelopes with the numeration of the specific fish. This is important in order to be able to trace the biological data of the fish from which the otoliths were collected (for details see section 3.3.6 on age sampling).

Each specimen will be characterized by a progressive number (1, 2, 3 etc.) and by its specific measures.

Irrespective of the taxa considered, for a given sample any damaged/broken specimens will be removed, counted and weighed separately.

The apparatus and materials required for the measurement of biological parameters include data sheets, writing equipment, electronic balance (up to 1 decimal place), fish measuring boards, vernier callipers (for shrimps), identification keys and manuals, dissecting instruments (scissors, scalpels, tweezers, etc.), envelopes or epindorf tubes and labels for the storage of otoliths.

### 3.3.1 Length sampling

The length measurements to be taken depend on the species under study. The length of fishes is measured with graduated fish measuring boards, while vernier callipers are used for shrimps (Jennings et al., 2001). It is important that any specimen whose length is not measurable is considered as "damaged/broken", and the total weight of the "damaged/broken" individuals should be recorded on the data sheet.

For fish, the Total Length (TL) is measured to the lower half centimetre from the tip of the snout to the end of the caudal fin (Fig. 3). The length measurement is shown in the figures below:


Fig. 3. Illustration showing the measurement of Total Length (TL) in teleost fish species.
For crustaceans, Carapace Length (CL) is measured in millimetres from the back border of the eye orbit to the back median border (Fig. 4) using vernier callipers. The length measurement is taken to the lower millimetre.


Fig. 4. Illustration showing the measurement of Carapace Length (CL) in crustaceans.
For cephalopods, Dorsal Mantle Length (DML) is measured to the nearest half inferior centimetre from the median line, passing for the eyes, to the apex of the mantle as shown in figure 5.


Fig. 5. Illustration showing the measurement of Dorsal Mantle Length (DML) and definition of arms in cuttlefish.

### 3.3.2 Individual weight

Before taking weight measurements, make sure that the windows are closed and/or there is no draft in the room and that ventilators or air conditioners are far away from the balance. Any wind in the laboratory may change the reading on the electronic balance. Make sure to weight the fish on a tray and not directly on the balance. This will avoid blood or any other fluids from entering the balance which may damage it beyond repair. Once the tray is placed on the balance use the tare button to have a zero value.

For fish and cephalopods the total weight of each individual is weighed to the nearest 1.0 g using an electronic balance. In the case of shrimps, the weight should be recorded to the nearest 0.1 g . For every individual always make sure that the reading on the balance is zero before taking any further weight measurements.

### 3.3.3 Sex

Sex is defined into three categories: Male (M), Female (F), and undetermined (U; when it is impossible to determine it by the naked eye). Some species are hermaphrodites. This means that fish can change sex during their life either from male to female (protandrous) or from female to male (protogynous), a change which may occur more than once. Some others even have both sexes, at the same time. In the latter case the sex is determined on the base of the most developed gonad.

The determination of sex for fish is only possible by dissecting the specimens since the internal body cavity must be exposed, and the shape and appearance of the gonads must be examined (Fig. 6).


Fig. 6. Sexual macroscopic determination of male (top) and female (bottom) of Saurida undosquamis

In the case of shrimps, the petasma ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ pleopods) and thelycum ( $4^{\text {th }}$ sternite) will indicate males and females, respectively (Fig. 7).


Fig. 7. Sexual macroscopic determination of shrimps.

In the case of cephalopods sex may be determined by the size and distribution pattern of suckers at the proximal part of the left ventral arm, or based on the presence of male and female gonads in the mantle cavity (Fig. 8).


Fig. 8 Sexual macroscopic determination of female and male Sepia officinalis.

### 3.3.4 Sexual maturity stage

The number of maturity stages on macroscopic keys varies from a minimum of two (immature-mature) to a maximum of fourteen and more which can only be identified microscopically. For fisheries monitoring, four-six (4/6) stages can be considered acceptable. For Unsexed specimens the maturity stage cannot be determined and is usually marked as 0 .

For fish the six stage Nikolsky scale (table 2) can be used for the determination of the maturity stage (Nikolsky, 1976). The six stages (from 1 to 6 ) are based on the relative volume, the consistence and the colouring of the gonads, the presence of the sperm in males and the presence and the degree of hydration of the eggs in females. It should be noted that once the individuals spawn for the first time, they return to the stage 2 (resting). However serial spawners (females which spawn many times during their annual spawning cycle), after releasing the eggs of a batch, they return to a developing stage ( 3 or 4 ). In this case the gonads remain flaccid in order to continue the development of those oocytes which are kept behind in the ovaries. The serial spawners continue to do follow this spawning pattern until all the oocytes have been developed and spawned (Karlou-Riga and Economidis 1997). In case of a need to award a maturity stage to a serial spawner, the observed maturity stage should be recorded together with the notice of "serial spawner". Appendix VIII shows a reference set of photos for some of the maturity stages.

Table 2. Macroscopic maturity scale of teleost fish (Nikolsky 1976).

| Maturity stage |  | Females - Ovary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | Undetermined | Sex not distinguished with the naked eye |  |
| 1 | Immature | Rounded translucent up to 2 mm <br> broad; less than a quarter of <br> length of body cavity; no oocytes <br> are visible with the naked eye. <br> Sex may be difficult to determine | Flattened, 1-2 mm broad, <br> translucent; less than a quarter of <br> length of body cavity |
| 2 | Resting | Rounded translucent, yellow to <br> orange; about a third of length of <br> body cavity; oocytes are visible <br> only with the use of microscope | Flattened, pink; about a third of <br> length of body cavity |
| 3 | Developing | Rounded yellow or orange; about <br> a half of length of body cavity; <br> oocytes are visible with naked eye | Becoming fatter; off white; about a <br> half of length of body cavity |
| 4 | Maturing | Firm and yellow; half to whole of <br> length of body cavity; hydrated <br> oocytes may be visible as grey <br> spots, which may run on big <br> pressure | Firm becoming whiter; half to <br> whole of length of body cavity |
| 5 | Mature | Fill the whole length of body <br> cavity; hydrated grey oocytes are <br> visible as grey spots on the ovary <br> surface, which run from vent on <br> slight pressure | Becoming soft; fill the whole <br> length of body cavity; milt runs <br> from vent on slight pressure |
| 6 | Spent | Flaccid dark red; ; less than half <br> of length of body cavity; a few <br> large residual oocytes may be <br> visible | Flaccid off yellow; less than half <br> of length of body cavity |

For shrimps the maturity stage is determined on four stages for males and five stages for females based on the colouring and appearance of ovary lobes (females), and the fusion degree of the petasma, presence/absence of the spermatic masses on seminal ampullae and the dimension of the rostrum (males). However in most cases it is either extremely difficult or considerable experience is required to determine the maturity stage of crustaceans macroscopically (Fig. 9).


Fig. 9. Diagram to show the development of the female ovaries in shrimps.
For the purpose of fisheries monitoring the maturity stage is recorded only for females in crustaceans with only 4 stages: immature, maturing, late mature and spent. Table 3 can be used to identify the sex and maturity stages of crustaceans (Yassien, 1992). Appendix IX shows a reference set of photos for some of the maturity stages.

Table 3. Macroscopic maturity scale of crustacean species (Yassien, 1992).

| Maturity stages |  | Female | Male |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | Undetermined | Sex not distinguished with the naked eye |  |
| 1 | Immature | Ovary is thin, transparent and <br> thread-like. | Testis is very thin and transparent., <br> difficult to distinguish from other <br> tissues |
| 2 | Maturing | Ovary increases in size, anterior <br> and middle lobes are developing, <br> slightly visible through the <br> exoskeleton and have a pale <br> colour (according to species). | Testis could be easily differentiated <br> from other tissues, increasing in size <br> and has a pale white colour. |
| 3 | Late mature | Ovary occupies all the available <br> space in the abdomen and <br> cephalothorax, very dark in <br> colour and clearly visible <br> through the exoskeleton along <br> the whole length of the <br> abdomen. (colour differ <br> according to species). | Testis has a milky white colour <br> through the whole lobes in the <br> cephalothorax. |
| 4 | Spent | Ovary greatly reduced in size <br> and cream in colour, after the <br> extrusion of eggs. | Testis greatly reduced in size and <br> has a pale white colour, after the <br> extrusion of sperms. |

Sepia officinalis is a cephalopod species with a structurally complex reproductive system (Fig. 8), consisting of a gonad (testis in males and ovary in females) located in the coelom in the posterior part of the body (under the ink sac), one gonoduct and a complex of glands which produce different secretions for enhancement and protection of ripe sexual cells (Arkhipkin, 1992). A five-stage scale of maturity has been recently proposed by an expert workshop on cephalopods (WKMSCEPH) of the International Council for the Exploration of the SEA (ICES, 2010b), based on the development (or size, colouring and appearance) of ovary ( Ov ) and Nidamental glands (NG) in females and that of testis and spermatophoric complex (SC) including the Needham 's sac (spermatophore depository) in males. Appendix X shows a reference set of photos for each maturity stage and both the maturity scale and the reference set of photos are used.

Table 3. Macroscopic maturity scale of the family Sepiidae (ICES, 2010b).

|  | urity stages | Female | Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Undetermined | Sex not distinguished with the naked eye |  |
| 1 | Immature | Translucent ovary, small, with granular structure. Small and translucent Nidamental glands (NG) and Oviducal Glands (OG). Oviduct meander not visible. | Small, white and clearly visible testis. <br> Semitransparent Spermatophoric Complex (SC) with no visible vas deferens. |
| 2 | Developing | Creamy ovary, enlarged but not reaching the posterior half of the mantle cavity. Developing and white NG/OG. NG covering some internal organs oviduct meander clearly visible. | Testis increased in volume but not reaching the posterior half of the mantle cavity. SC white with visible vas deferens. Penis appears as a small prominence of SC. |
| 3 | Maturing | Pale-yellow ovary, occupying the whole posterior half of the mantle cavity and containing only reticulated oocytes. Large NG and OG; NG covering the viscera below. Oviduct fully developed but empty. | Testis filling the posterior half of the mantle cavity. Spermatophoric duct "Vas deferens" (SD) white, meandering and enlarged. The Needham`s Sac (SS) may contain few spermatophores partially developed (visible as whitish particles) and/or few fully developed spermatophores \\ \hline 4 & Mature & Amber-coloured and gelatinous ovary, containing reticulated and smooth oocytes. Enlarged and turgid NG/OG. Oocytes may occur in the oviduct & Well-developed testis with large and white vas deferens. Spermatophores packed in the Needham`s Sac and sometimes present in the penis. |
| 5 | Spent | Flaccid ovary with strikingly loose disorderly aspect. Few oocytes, which may be attached to the central tissue. Flaccid NG/OG. | Testis flaccid. SS empty or with few spermatophores |

### 3.3.5 Gonad Weight

In all taxa, the gonad weight will be recorded to the 0.01 g . In bony fishes, the gonad weight refers to the unique and well defined gonad.

For crustacean decapods, the evaluation of gonad weight is not included as a routine procedure. It may be taken in case of specific objectives related to a separate project. Crustaceans, in fact, exhibit such structured, the extraction of which is very difficult and time consuming, especially in small and immature females. For the sake of precision, it is worth mentioning that in any case the extruded eggs on the pleopods (such is the case of Norway lobster) should not be included in the measurement of gonad weight.

### 3.3.6 Extraction of otoliths and scales for age reading

## Otolith extraction

The otoliths (or ear bones) of fish are small structures located in the semi-circular canals at the base of the brain. They are formed by the daily accretion of a layer of calcium carbonate bound within a protein matrix. In most teleost fish, there are 3 pairs of otoliths. The sagittal otoliths are the largest of the 3 pairs and are generally used for age determination.

Otoliths can be extracted without the need of magnification. There are various techniques for removal of otoliths from fish, the choice of which depends on the plane of the cranium section.

The common used method is from the ventral side behind the head after removal of the gills (Fig. 10). The otoliths are present at the bony auditory capsules at the back of the cranium in which the semi-circular are found. After locating the otoliths in this area, open the capsules, and carefully removed the otoliths with a forceps.


Fig. 10. Otolith of Saurida undosquamis.
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## ANNEXES

## Annex I Landings data collection form



## Annex II Data collection form for monthly fishing effort

| FAO EastMed : Egypt Pilot Survey - Fishing effort (days at sea in a month) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Port : | afr-El-Sheikh |  | Recorder: |  |
| Target period | Month: |  | Year: |  |
| Monthly effort expressed in "days at sea" |  |  |  |  |
| Vessel no. | Vessel Name | Length class | Gear class | Days at sea |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Annex III Stratification Scheme for Eastern Delta

Associations sites > Minor strata - March
2011

| Code | Minor strata | Sites | Code |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | PORT SAID |  |  |
|  |  | Port Said | 2 |
| 2 | DAMIETTE |  |  |
|  |  | Ezbet Elborg | 3 |
| 3 | KAFR-EL-SHEIKH | Borolus |  |
|  |  | El Jazeera | 4 |
|  |  |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Annex IV SAMAC-generated recommended sample size

Frame survey - March 2011

| Code | Sites | Fishing units | \# Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S0002+B0001 | Port Said (PORT SAID STRATUM) | TRAWLERS | 231 |
| S0002+B0002 |  | LONGLINERS | 237 |
| S0002+B0003 |  | PURSE SEINERS | 55 |
| S0002+B0004 |  | TRAMMEL NET | 0 |
| S0002+B0005 |  | OTHER GEAR | 0 |
| S0003+B0001 | Ezbet Elborg (DAMIETTE STRATUM) | TRAWLERS | 638 |
| S0003+B0002 |  | LONGLINERS | 166 |
| S0003+B0003 |  | PURSE SEINERS | 16 |
| S0003+B0004 |  | TRAMMEL NET | 12 |
| S0003+B0005 |  | OTHER GEAR | 0 |
| S0004+B0001 | Borolus (K.E.SHEIKH STRATUM) | TRAWLERS | 13 |
| S0004+B0002 |  | LONGLINERS | 193 |
| S0004+B0003 |  | PURSE SEINERS | 24 |
| S0004+B0004 |  | TRAMMEL NET | 2 |
| S0004+B0005 |  | OTHER GEAR | 0 |
| S0005+B0001 | El Jazeera (K.E.SHEIKH STRATUM) | TRAWLERS | 0 |
| S0005+B0002 |  | LONGLINERS | 0 |
| S0005+B0003 |  | PURSE SEINERS | 0 |
| S0005+B0004 |  | TRAMMEL NET | 0 |
| S0005+B0005 |  | OTHER GEAR | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| BY STRATUM | SURVEY TYPE | RECOMMENDED SAMPLE SIZE |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| M0001+B0001 | PORT SAID | TRAWLERS | 231 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Landing samples for CPUE | Accuracy level : 90\% | 28 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 105 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Boat Activity - Monthly effort : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 13 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |
| M0001+B0002 |  | LONGLINERS | 237 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Landing samples for CPUE | Accuracy level : 90\% | 28 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 106 |


|  | Boat Activity - Monthly effort : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 33 |
| M0001+B0003 |  | PURSE SEINERS | 55 |
|  | Landing samples for CPUE : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 21 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 67 |
|  | Boat Activity - Monthly effort : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 9 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 17 |
| M0002+B0001 | DAMIETTE | TRAWLERS | 638 |
|  | Landing samples for CPUE : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 32 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 127 |
|  | Boat Activity - Monthly effort : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 17 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 48 |
| M0002+B0002 |  | LONGLINERS | 166 |
|  | Landing samples for CPUE : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 26 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 95 |
|  | Boat Activity - Monthly effort : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 12 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 28 |
| M0002+B0003 |  | PURSE SEINERS | 16 |
|  | Landing samples for CPUE : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 16 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 43 |
|  | Boat Activity - Monthly effort : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 6 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 9 |
| M0002+B0004 |  | TRAMMEL NET | 12 |
|  | Landing samples for CPUE : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 15 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 39 |
|  |  |  |  |


|  | Boat Activity - Monthly effort : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 7 |
| M0003+B0001 | KAFR-EL-SHEIKH | TRAWLERS | 13 |
|  | Landing samples for CPUE | Accuracy level : 90\% | 15 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 40 |
|  | Boat Activity - Monthly effort : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 5 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 8 |
| M0003+B0002 |  | LONGLINERS | 193 |
|  | Landing samples for CPUE : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 27 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 100 |
|  | Boat Activity - Monthly effort : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 12 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 30 |
| M0003+B0003 |  | PURSE SEINERS | 24 |
|  | Landing samples for CPUE : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 17 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 50 |
|  | Boat Activity - Monthly effort : | Accuracy level : 90\% | 7 |
|  |  | Accuracy level : 95\% | 11 |

## Annex V Biological Data Entry Sheets

| BIOLOGICAL DATA ENTRY SHEET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Vessel name |  | Gear | Total weight of sample (kg) |  |  | Page No. |
| Arabic name : |  |  |  | Scientific name: |  |  |  |
| No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | Individual Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gutted } \\ \text { Weight (g) } \end{gathered}$ | Sex $(\mathbf{F} / \mathbf{M} / \mathbf{U})$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Maturity } \\ \text { Stage } \end{gathered}$ | Gonads <br> wt. (gm) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Otolith } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Annex VI Species found in Egyptian Mediterranean waters.

| Family | Scientific Name | English Common Name | Arabic Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bony fish |  |  |  |
| Pomacentridae | Abudefduf sexfasciatus | Scissortail Sergeant | دمسل |
| Apogonidae | Apogon imberbis | Cardinal Fish | أبجون |
|  | Apogonichthyoides taeniatus | Two belt Cardinal Fish | أبجون |
| Atherinidae | Atherinomorus lacunosus | Hardyhead Silverside | بساريا |
| Balastidae | Balistes capriscus | Grey Triggerfish | خنزير بثلاث شوكات |
|  | Belone belone | Garfish | خرم |
| Blennidae | Blenius ocellaris | Butterfly Blenny | أبو قراع |
|  | Parablennius incognitus | Blenny | أبو قراع |
| Bothidae | Arnoglossus kessleri | Scaldback | موسى |
|  | Bothus podas | Wide-Eyed Flounder | سنجتا |
| Carangidae | Alectis alexandrina | Alexandria Pompano | الجمل |
|  | Alepes djedaba | Shrimp Scad | ميرا |
|  | Caranx crysos | Blue Runner | باغة |
|  | Trachinotus ovatus | Pompano | غانفش |
|  | Trachurus mediterraneus | Mediterranean Horse Mackerel | شاخورة |
|  | Trachurus trachurus | Atlantic Horse Mackerel | شاخورة |
| Centracanthidae | Spicara flexuosa | Blotched Picarel | موزة الجر |
|  | Spicara maena | Blotched Picarel | هوزة |
|  | Spicara smaris | Picarel | موزة |
| Cichlidae | Oreochromis aureus | Blue Tilapia | بلطي حساني |
|  | Tilapia zilli | Redbelly Tilapia | بلطى أخضر |
| Citharidae | Citharus linguatula | Spotted Flounder | موسى |
| Clupeidae | Sardina pilchardus | European Pilchard | سردينا بلشارد |
|  | Sardinella aurita | Round Sardinella | سردينا مبرومهن |
|  | Sardinella maderensis | Madeiran Sardinella | سردينا هفطرهن |
|  | Etrumeus teres | Red-eye Round Herring | مدوبوزيا سرديبا |
|  | Dussumieria acuta | Rainbow Sardine | سردينا |
| Engraulidae | Engraulis encrasicolus | European Anchovy | أنشوجه |
| Congridae | Ariosoma balearicum | Bandtooth Conger | ثعبان |
|  | Conger conger | European Conger | ثعبان |
| Exocoetidae | Parexocoetus mento | African Sailfin flying fish | طيارة |


| Family | Scientific Name | English Common Name | Arabic Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bony fish continued |  |  |  |
| Fistulariidae | Fistularia commersonii | Bluespotted Cornetfish | ابو صفارة |
| Gobiidae | Gobius niger | Black Goby | أبوكرش |
|  | Gobius paganellus | Rock Goby | أبوكرش |
| Hemiramphidae | Hemiramphus far | Black-barred Halfbeak | أبو منقار |
|  | Hemiramphus picarti | African Halfbeak | أبو منقار |
| Holocentridae | Sargocentron rubrum | Redcoat | جحاية |
| Labridae | Coris julis | Mediterranean Rainbow Wrasse | عروسه |
|  | Labrus spp. | Wrasse | عرائس |
|  | Pteragogus pelycus | Sideburn Wrasse | عروسة بخط بنى |
|  | Symphodus spp. | Grey Wrasse | عرائس |
|  | Xyrichthys novacula | Pearly Razorfish | ببغاء |
| Leiognathidae | Leiognathus klunzingeri | Pony Fish | أبو العريان |
| Merluccidae | Merluccius merluccius | European Hake | نازلي |
| Monacanthidae | Stephanolepis diaspros | Reticulated Leatherjacket | خنزير بشوكة |
|  | Stephanolepis hispidus | Planehead Filefish | خنزير بشوكة |
| Moronidae | Dicentrarchus labrax | European Seabass | قاروص |
|  | Dicentrarchus punctatus | Spotted Seabass | نقط |
| Mugilidae | Liza aurata | Golden Grey Mullet | أصفر ودن |
|  | Liza ramada | Thinlip Grey Mullet | طوباره |
|  | Liza saliens | Leaping Mullet | جران |
|  | Mugil cephalus | Flathead Grey Mullet | بوري حر |
| Mullidae | Mullus barbatus barbatus | Red Mullet | بربوني |
|  | Mullus surmuletus | Surmullet | بربون حجر |
|  | Upeneus francisi | Francis' Goatfish | بربونى |
|  | Upeneus moluccensis | Goldband Goatfish | بربونى |
| Nemimpteridae | Nemipterus japonicus | Japanese Threadfin Bream | صرع |
| Ophichthidae | Dalophis imberbis | Armless Snake Eel | ثعبان |
| Pomacentridae | Chromis chromis | Damselfish | فناشة |
| Pomatomidae | Pomatomus saltatrix | Bluefish | مياس |
| Scaridae | Sparisoma cretensis | Parrotfish | مرزبان |
| Sciaenidae | Argyrosomus regius | Meagre | لوت |
|  | Umbrina cirrosa | Shi Drum | شفش |


| Family | Scientific Name | English Common <br> Name | Arabic Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bony fish continued |  |  |  |
| Scombridae | Scomberomorus commerson | Narrow-barred Spanish Mackerel | دراكـ |
|  | Katsuwonus pelamis | Skipjack Tuna | بلاميطه |
|  | Thynnus alalunga | Albacore | تونه |
|  | Thunnus thynnus | Atlantic Bluefin Tuna | تونه زرقاء |
|  | Scomber japonicus | Chub Mackerel | سكومبر |
|  | Scomber scomber | Atlantic Mackerel | سكومبر |
|  | Euthynnus alletteratus | Little Tunny | بلاميطه -كبريت |
| Scorpaenidae | Scorpaena notata | Small Red Scorpionfish | عقرب أحمر |
|  | Parascorpaena picta | Northern Scorpionfish | عقرب بنى |
|  | Scorpaena porcus | Black Scorpionfish | عقرب بنى |
| Serranidae | Epinephelus aeneus | White Grouper | وقار |
|  | Epinephelus fasciatus | Blacktip Grouper | وقار |
|  | Serranus cabrilla | Comber | شيخ |
|  | Serranus hepatus | Brown Comber | شيخ |
|  | Serranus scriba | Painted Comber | شيخ |
| Siganidae | Siganus luridus | Dusky Spinefoot | بطاطا |
|  | Siganus rivulatus | Marbled Spinefoot | بطاطا |
| Singnathidae | Hippocampus hippocampus | Short Snouted Sea Horse | حصـان البحر |
| Soleidae | Microchirus ocellatus | Foureyed Sole | شبه موسي بدوائر |
|  | Solea aegyptiaca | Egyptian Sole | موسى |
|  | Solea impar | Adriatic Sole | موسى |
|  | Solea nasuta | Blackhand sole | موسى مزركشّ |
|  | Solea vulgaris | Common Sole | موسى |
| Sparidae | Boops boops | Bogue | موزة |
|  | Dentex dentex | Common Dentex | عضاض |
|  | Diplodus annularis | Annular Seabream | سبارس |
|  | Diplodus bellottii | Senegal Seabream | وزانية |
|  | Diplodus cervinus | Zebra Seabream | تيس |
|  | Diplodus puntazzo | Sharpsnout Seabream | شرغوش بيوز |
|  | Diplodus sargus sargus | White Seabream | شرغوش حر |
|  | Diplodus vulgaris | Common TwoBanded Seabream | شرغوش رشيدى |
|  | Lithognathus mormyrus | Sand Steenbras | مرمار |


| Family | Scientific Name | English Common Name | Arabic Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bony fish continued |  |  |  |
| Sparidae | Oblada melanura | Saddled Seabream | كـلة |
|  | Pagellus acarne | Axillary Seabream | غزيله برونزية |
|  | Pagellus erythrinus | Common Pandora | غزيله حمراء |
|  | Pagrus pagrus | Red Porgy | مرجان |
|  | Sarpa salpa | Salema | سرب |
|  | Sparus aurata | Gilthead Seabream | دنيس |
| Sphyraenidae | Sphyraena chrysotaenia | Yellowstripe Barracuda | مغازل |
|  | Sphyraena sphyraena | European Barracuda | مغازل |
| Synodontidae | Saurida undosquamis | Brushtooth Lizardfish | مكرونة مخطبة |
|  | Synodus saurus | Atlantic Lizardfish | مكرونة صفراء |
| Terapontidae | Terapon puta | Small-Scaled Terapon | شخرم |
| Tetraodontidae | Lagocephalus sceleratus | Silver-Cheeked <br> Toadfish | أرنب ببقع |
|  | Lagocephalus spadiceus | Half-Smooth Golden Pufferfish | أرنب |
|  | Tetraodon lineatus | Globe Fish | فهاقة |
| Torbedenidae | Torpedo spp. | Torpedo | رعاد |
| Trachinidae | Trachinus araneus | Spotted Weever | بلامة |
|  | Trachinus draco | Greater Weever | بلامة |
|  | Trachinus radiatus | Starry Weever | بلامة |
| Trichiuridae | Trichiurus lepturus | Largehaid Hairtail | سيوف |
| Triglidae | Lepidotrigla cavillone | Large-Scaled Gurnard | فرخة |
|  | Trigloporus lastoviza | Streaked Gurnard | فرخة حمراء |
|  | Chelidonichthys lucerna | Tub Gurnard | فرخة |
|  | Trigla lyra | Piper Gurnard | فرخة |
| Uranoscopidae | Uranoscopus scaber | Stargazer | قط |
| Zeidae | Zeus faber | John Dory | عفريت |


| Family | Scientific Name | English Common Name | Arabic Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cartilaginous fish |  |  |  |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis pastinaca | Common Stingray | راية هزركشة بازرق |
|  | Himantura uarnak | Honeycomb Stingray | بقره |
| Triakidae | Mustelus mustelus | Smooth-hound | قرش |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis aquila | Common Eagle Ray | وطواط |
| Scyliorhinidae | Scyliorhinus canicula | Small-Spotted Catshark | قرش |
| Rajidae | Raja miraletus | Brown Ray | راي بعينين |
|  | Raja radula | Rough Ray | رايه |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatus rhinobatus | Common Guitarfish | محرات |
| Mollusca |  |  |  |
| Loliginidae | Loligo vulgaris | Common European Squid | كاليماري |
| Sepiidae | Sepia officinalis | Common cuttlefish | سبيط |
| Octopodidae | Octopus vulgaris | Common octopus | أخطوبوط |
|  | Eledone moschata | Musky octopus | أخطوبوط |
|  | Octopus macropus | Grass octopus | أخطوبوط |
| Crustacea |  |  |  |
| Penaeidae | Metapenaeus monoceros | Speckled shrimp | جمبري أحمر |
|  | Metapenaeus stebbingi | Peregrine shrimp | جمبري ابيض |
|  | Parapenaeus longirostris | Deep water pink shrimp | جمبرـاحمر انجليزى |
|  | Marsupenaeus japonicus | Kuruma prawn | جمبرى يابنى |
|  | Penaeus kerathurus | Caramote prawn |  |
|  | Penaeus latisulcatus | Western king prawn | جمبرى لاتى |
|  | Penaeus semisulcatus | Green tiger prawn | جمبرسويسى |
|  | Trachypenaeus curvirostris | Southern rough shrimp | (جمبرى عجوز(عقر |
| Portunidae | Liocarcinus vernalis | Grey swimming crab | كبوريا بشعر |
|  | Polybius henslowii | Henslow's swimming crab | كابوريا زيتونى |
|  | Portunus pelagicus | Blue swimmer crab | كابوريا زرقاء |
| Palinuridae | Panulirus homarus | Scalloped spiny lobster | أستاكوزا |
| Squillidae | Oratosquilla massavensis | Red Sea mantis shrimp | شكاله |
| Squillidae | Squilla mantis | Spottail mantis shrimp | شكالة |
| Scyllaridae | Scyllarus latus | Locust lobster | استاكوزا |

## Annex VII List of species for which biological sampling will be conducted

| Species name | Type of length measurement |
| :---: | :---: |
| Penaeus semisulcatus | CL to the lower mm |
| Metapenaeus stebbingi | CL to the lower mm |
| Mullus surmuletus | TL to nearest inferior $1 / 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Sardinella aurita | TL to nearest inferior $1 / 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Saurida undosquamis | TL to nearest inferior $1 / 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Sepia officinalis | DML to the lower mm |

## Annex VIII Teleost Fish Reference photos

Brushtooth lizardfish - Saurida undosquamis


Developing Female - Maturity Stage 3


Maturing Female - Maturity Stage 4


Mature Female - Maturity Stage 5

Photos by Alaa Eldin El-Haweet


Developing Male - Maturity Stage 3


Maturing Male - Maturity Stage 4


Mature Male - Maturity Stage 5

## Photos by Alaa Eldin El-Haweet

For more reference photos please refer to ICES Maturity workshops in which other Mediterranean teleost fish species were examined.

## Annex IX Crustacean Shrimp Reference photos

Peregrine shrimp - Metapenaeus stebbingi


Immature Female - Maturity Stage 1


Maturing Female - Maturity Stage 2


## Late Mature Female - Maturity Stage 3

## Photos by Alaa Eldin El-Haweet

For more reference photos from other Mediterranean species please refer to ICES (2010). Report of the Workshop on crustaceans (Aristeus antennatus, Aristaeomorpha foliacea, Parapenaeus longirostris, Nephrops norvegicus) maturity stages (WKMSC), 19-23 October 2009, Messina, Italy. ICES CM 2009/ACOM:46. 77 pp.

## Annex X Cephalopod Reference photos

Common cuttlefish - Sepia officinalis (NG: Nidamental glands, Ov: ovary, AC: Accessory glands, OG: Oviducal gland, TS: testis, SC: Spermatophoric complex, SD: Vas defeerens, SS: Needham's sac)


Developing Female - Maturity Stage 2


Maturing Female - Maturity Stage 3


Mature Female - Maturity Stage 4


Developing Male - Maturity Stage 2


Mature Male - Maturity Stage 4

## Photos supplied by Eugenia Lefkaditou

For more reference photos from the Mediterranean please refer to ICES (2010). Report of the Workshop on Sexual Maturity Staging of Cephalopods, 8-11 November 2010, Livorno, Italy. ICES CM 2010/ACOM:49. 97 pp.

## Beneficiary countries

Countries with waters included in the GFCM
Geographical Sub-Areas (GSAs) 19-20 and 22-28

Donors
Greece

- Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- Ministry of Rural Development and Food

Italy

- Ministry of Agriculture Food and Forestry Policies


## European Community

- Directorate General of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (DG-MARE)



## FAO - EastMed Project

1 Androu str. 11257 Athens Greece
Tel: +30 2108847960 Fax: +30 2108837600
E-mail: Eastmed@fao.org http: //www.faoeastmed.org


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The document assumes that readers are familiar with the structure and functions of this generic expression which was examined in detail during the two workshops.
    ${ }^{2}$ If boat totals are obtainable from a reliable vessel register then this survey is not needed.
    ${ }^{3}$ Due to the type of effort scheme in use (collection of information on monthly effort), the Active Days are automatically set to the number of calendar days in the reference month, and hence no Active Days survey is needed.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ In landings surveys the target population is the maximum number of landings. In the current approach the population size is determined by the number of boats multiplied by 30 .
    ${ }^{5}$ Red limit is the lowest permissible number of samples collected over the month. Below this point the desired accuracy level cannot be guaranteed.
    ${ }^{6}$ In monthly effort surveys the target population is the answers on monthly effort from all boats, hence the population size is equal to the number of boats.

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ In landings surveys the target population is the maximum number of landings. In the current approach the population size is determined by the number of boats multiplied by 30 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Red limit is the lowest permissible number of samples collected over the month. Below this point the desired accuracy level cannot be guaranteed.
    ${ }^{9}$ In monthly effort surveys the target population is the answers on monthly effort from all boats, hence the population size is equal to the number of boats.

[^3]:    ${ }^{10}$ In landings surveys the target population is the maximum number of landings. In the current approach the population size is determined by the number of boats multiplied by 30 .
    ${ }^{11}$ Red limit is the lowest permissible number of samples collected over the month. Below this point the desired accuracy level cannot be guaranteed.
    ${ }^{12}$ In monthly effort surveys the target population is the answers on monthly effort from all boats, hence the
    population size is equal to the number of boats. population size is equal to the number of boats.

