

Banana manure pits and mulching Rwanda - Gusasira no gutera urutoki mu myobo irimo ifumbire mborera

Banana planted in a regularly spaced manured pits and in combination with grass and banana mulch application to enhance soil fertility and moisture and improve crop production.

Banana is planted in a manure pit and the soil surface is carefully covered by banana or grass mulch. The manured pit is 0.6m deep and 2.0-2.0m wide. During establishment activities pits are filled with a mixture of soil and organic manure. Attention should be made when adding the mixed soil to the pits as a radius of 20 cm starting from the center of the pit where the banana is planted must not be filled with the mixture but filled merely with soil. This will assure that banana roots grow deeper in search for the nutrients. Recommended parallel and perpendicular spacing between the banana planting pits is 5m.

Banana manured pits and mulch application is a combination of an agronomic and structural technique. This method allows nutrients to be concentrated around the roots zone and keeps longer the available soil water content and controls the soil erosion. The banana manure pits and mulching provides easy crop management options. The high demand of water and nutrients by banana can be easily met to maximize the fruiting potential. To achieve this potential, farmers are required to maintain a minimum of 3 plants per pit, one mature (grand) banana plant fruiting, a second half grown (mother), and one sucker (child) growing in the same pit. Every four months a farmer is expected to harvest a bunch of banana of around 80 kg per pit.

soil organic carbon, provides shade to plant roots, and most importantly keeps longer soil moisture in dry seasons. **left:** Applying mulching in banana plantation (Photo: Ngenzi Guy) **right:** Banana manure pit (Photo: Desire Kagabo)

Location: Rwanda Region: Kirehe District (Eastern province) Technology area: 10 - 100 km2 Conservation measure: agronomic, structural Stage of intervention: mitigation / reduction of land degradation Origin: Developed through land user's initiative, 10-50 years ago Land use type: Cropland: Perennial (non-woody) cropping Land use: Grazing land: Extensive grazing land (before), Cropland: Perennial (non-woody) cropping (after) Climate: subhumid, tropics WOCAT database reference: T RWA004en Related approach: Spontaneous farmer to farmer adoption (A RWA002en) Compiled by: Desire Kagabo, Not a member of an institution Date: 2014-01-22 Contact person: Desire Dr Kagabo, Rwanda Agriculture Board, Rwanda, (+250)788769080, desirekagabo@yahoo.com.



Classification

Land use problems:

- Banana plantation is sensitive to dry season where evapotranspiration is high, and high erosion impact in rainy season. (expert's point of view)

Surface runoff (land user's point of view)



Environment

Natural Environment

Average annual rainfa	ll Altitude (m a.s.l.)	Landform	Slope (%)
(mm)			
> 4000 mm 3000-4000 mm 2000-3000 mm 1500-2000 mm 1000-1500 mm 750-1000 mm 500-750 mm 250-500 mm	> 4000 3000-4000 2500-3000 2000-2500 1500-2000 1000-1500 500-1000 100-500	plateau / plai ridges mountain slo hill slopes footslopes valley floors	ns flat gentle pes moderate rolling hilly steep very steep
< 250 mm	<100		
Soil depth (cm) Growing season(s): 180 days (Sept to mid Febr), 150 days (mid March to mid Jun) 0-20 Soil texture: medium (Ioam) 20-50 Soil fertility: medium 50-80 Topsoil organic matter: medium (1-3%) 80-120 Soil drainage/infiltration: medium >120		ot to mid Soil water un) Ground - Availabil Water q (1-3%) Biodiver n	er storage capacity: medium water table: 5 - 50 m lity of surface water: poor / none uality: poor drinking water sity: medium
Sensitive to climatic extremes: droughts / dry spells			

Human Environment

Cropi	and per household (ha)	Land user: Individual / household, medium scale land users, common / average land users	Importance of off-farm income: 10-50% of all income: Access to service and infrastructure: low: technical assistance,
	<0.5	Population density: 200-500 persons/km2	employment (eg off-farm), market, energy, drinking water and
	0.5-1	Annual population growth: 2% - 3%	sanitation, financial services; moderate: roads & transport; high: health,
	1-2	Land ownership: individual, titled	education
	2-5	Land use rights: Individual	Market orientation: mixed (subsistence and commercial)
	5-15	Relative level of wealth: poor which represents 78% of the	livestock grazing on cronland: no
	15-50	land users: 20% of the total area is owned by poor land users	Livestock grazing on cropiana no
	50-100	······································	
	100-500		
	500-1,000		
	1,000-10,000		
	- 10 000		



Technical drawing

This technology consists of a pit of 0.6x2x2m respectively, for depth, length and width. Banana is planted in center of each pit in which organic manure from different sources is added and mixed with the soil. The spacing along the row and between rows is 5 m. The top soil is taken back and only 15-30 kg of organic manure are added and mixed with soil. Attention should be made when adding the mixed soil to the pits as a radius of 20 cm starting from the center of the pit where the banana is planted must not be filled with the mixture but filled merely with soil. (Kagabo Desire and Ngenzi Guy)

Implementation activities, inputs and costs

Establishment activities

- Establishment of pits

- Seedling plantationSeedling transportation

Inputs	Costs (US\$)	% met by land user
Labour	42.00	100%
Equipment		
- tools	30.00	100%
- Labour of thinning and weeding	85.00	100%
- Land preparation and planting	250.00	100%
Agricultural		
- compost/manure	650.00	100%
- Acquisition of suckers	937.50	100%
TOTAL	1994.50	100.00%

Maintenance/recurrent activities	Maintenance/recurrent inputs and costs per ha per year		
- Thinning banana field - Weeding - Planting seedlings	Inputs	Costs (US\$)	% met by land user
	Labour	42.00	100%
	Equipment		
	- Labour of thinning and weeding	25.00	100%
	TOTAL	67.00	100.00%

Remarks:

The labor affects most the cost of this technology. However, suckers or planting materials could increase the cost if not readily available at farm gate or in the neighborhood.

Assessment

Impacts of the Technology		
Production and socio-economic benefits		Production and socio-economic disadvantages
+++	increased crop vield	
++	reduced risk of production failure	
++	increased farm income	
+	reduced expenses on agricultural inputs	
Socio-cu	Itural benefits	Socio-cultural disadvantages
+++	improved food security / self sufficiency	
++	improved health	
Ecological benefits		Ecological disadvantages
+++	increased soil moisture	
+++	reduced evaporation	
++	reduced surface runoff	
++	improved soil cover	
+	reduced soil loss	
Off-site	benefits	Off-site disadvantages
+	reduced groundwater river pollution	
Contribution to human well-being / livelihoods		
+++	+ + + The technology increases banana production and the net farm income	
Benefits /costs according to land user		

Benefits compared with costs Establishment Maintenance / recurrent short-term: slightly negative slightly positive

long-term: very positive very positive

Acceptance / adoption:

90% of land user families (43000 families; 80% of area) have implemented the technology voluntary. There is strong trend towards (growing) spontaneous adoption of the technology.

Concluding statements

Strengths and \rightarrow how to sustain/improve	Weaknesses and \rightarrow how to overcome	
Increased food security and income of land users \rightarrow Scaling up the technology	This technology maybe expensive at establishment phase, maybe not affordable by every smallholder farmer \rightarrow Allow farmers to access credits through farmer saving schemes or	
Increased water holding capacity \rightarrow Good maintenance by regularly replacing mulch	cooperatives	
Increased soil moisture \rightarrow Good maintenance by adding very often organic manure		
Increase production \rightarrow Regular maintenance		
Reduce surface runoff by enhancing the retention soil moisture \rightarrow Regular maintenance		



Copyright (c) WOCAT (2014)