AMR Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Platform: Results of the Public discussion
- Academic and research institutions
- Civil Society
- Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations
- International and regional financial institution
- Private Sector
- Other
Academic and research institutions
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Georgetown University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
USA
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities at county or sectoral level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✭✭✭✭</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
#24
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Q1
Name: [Name]

Q2
Email: [Email]

Q3
Job title: [Job title]

Q4
Organization/entity:
International Health Policy Program

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Thailand
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Organisation/expert who are working on AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Country experiences

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q23</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ☆ | 5 - Fully  
| Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: | Health Policy and Systems Research on AMR Network in Thailand, Produce the One Health Report (AMC &AMR) in humans and animals  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q24</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q) | Yes  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q25</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) | Yes  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q26</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below: | Respondent skipped this question  
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
St. George's University

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Grenada
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals, and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international, and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional, and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes  Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Existence of a national plan that identifies the role of the potential member entity
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

National action plan development, resource mobilization, development and operationalization of national AMR reporting

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Private sector may be more representative of businesses. Animal food producers and other grassroots stakeholders identified separately.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations. Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR. Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
The proposal is sufficient for effective action at the international level

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
None
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
University of Ghana

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Ghana
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes
The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Experts in the field
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Makerere University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Uganda
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
A common one health stakeholder engagement platform that brings together actors from different sectors has merit and provides an opportunity to harmonize the voices, build consensus, synergy and collective ownership.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Professional Associations and Consumer groups should be represented.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Antimicrobial use SOPs for the different sectors and purposes.

Yes

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
P lease provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Need to represent the interests of the final users e.g. farmers, consumers, patients and professional associations.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Academia and research

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: Ghana
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Certainly commitment, willingness and ability to act on matters related to Antimicrobial resistance for impact.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Development, review and advocating for effective implementation of best practice guidelines; creating a repository for information, gathering/synthesis and sharing information on AMR related issues

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

I am happy to serve in this initiative to address AMR related challenges.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

4

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Very much

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Academia and Research but also Governance, as I am the Deputy Chair of AMRCC in Ghana

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

None
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Auburn University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
United States
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**Q7**

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

---

**Q8**

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Medical, veterinary or agricultural background
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Science based recommendations and information sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Requires broad based representation

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No

Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Respondent skipped this question
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:
...

Q2
Email:
...

Q3
Job title:
...

Q4
Organization/entity:
ANIMAL SCIENCE RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF IRAN

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
IRAN
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

CHALLENGES OF AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

INFORMATION SHARING

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16  
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17  
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18  
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19  
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

GREAT
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

TREMENDOUS WORK
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
NMIMR

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Ghana
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
**Q9**  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?  
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

**Q10**  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Consent and interest in country-specific AMR objectives
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

NA

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

NO
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Edinburgh University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
UK
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It will be useful if it can help focus on a coherent analytical framework for AMR

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
These headings are a bit vague. I suggest that this works towards a common analytical framework to understand the costs and benefits of different interventions across On Heath settings. Otherwise I do not see the additionality
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
Yes - a bit vague and no entirely additional to what we have now

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
no - broaden the accountability to more stakeholders
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This whole area needs more economists - not just health economists either

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

No sure - but if you strive for inter sectional representation you may miss the Anglo Saxon white man who has a lot to offer
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

A cost-effectiveness framework to evaluate interventions to moderate use of antimicrobials across all settings

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Yes, providing the cluster group members have something to offer and are not just there to make up numbers
Q16 Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. Yes

Q17 Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. Yes

Q18 Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This survey is now taking us into rather micro process, which you cannot expect people to answer or even care about at this stage

Q19 Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Depends on the alternatives - what's the role of the "Global Leaders Group" anyway
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
who knows at this stage?

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Seems reasonable

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

How will this be funded?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>◆ 5 - Fully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: To provide any economic input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences

Q4
Organization/entity: 

Academic and research institutions

Q5
Type of organization: 

Q6
Country: 

Tanzania

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Requirement: be a representative capable of presenting the ideas at local, national and/or international body. The agreement should be done by signing a special designed forms
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation at sectoral levels especially on implementation and strengthening regulatory tools

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No. I think this is fine and well defined
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ √ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No comments
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
University of Liege

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Belgium
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Obvious, considering the concern

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Active literature knowledges, evidence-based data, meta-analysis, publications
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Strong literature review, evidences, data mining...

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Hard to say like this. This is a possible structure, among others.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No. Let’s start.

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 4 - Actively
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As academic and research structure

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q) Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) No,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
One related to nutrition and AMR

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
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Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Edinburgh Napier University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
UK
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Active programs or experience of different aspects of AMR, or ability to implement change. However care must be taken to ensure that a wide range of disciplines are considered beyond the traditional scientific/epidemiological perspective to take into account the economic and social aspects of AMU and the barriers towards implementation of change.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The action groups should focus on the specific challenges of different sectors within different countries/ discrete geographical regions otherwise the platform will fall into the trap of producing 'one-size fits all' guidelines that are not fit for purpose.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In principle this works up to a point however the groups need to demonstrate effective communication to work towards end goals together.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
São Paulo State University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Brazil
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

In my opinion, it should be welcome as a member all organizations that develop actions that have potential to reduce the risks of AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I suggest being more inclusive, making room for organizations that work in the development and dissemination of the “One Welfare” concept, after all there are several actions with the potential to reduce the AMR that are not directly linked to health.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Very important initiative, congratulations!
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Not sure,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I have no decision-making power on this, I have to present the proposal to my institution

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No, thanks
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 

KSPH

Q5
Type of organization: 

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: 

India
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
For rising public threat in covid Pandemic due to misuse of antibiotics

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Multilateral collaboration
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

NGO n CSO involved

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Segregation of activities for optimum feedback
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Community involvement

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Division of activities
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Duties of all groups

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Nodal agency

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
More intense total collaboration
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☀ 4</td>
<td>Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
The University of TransDisciplinary Health Sciences and Technology

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
India
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Only identifying the problem but where is the solution
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Given importance who are already working in this area

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Not getting opportunities for us
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
not identified the alternatives to antimicrobials

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

With alternatives they have to address the issues

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16  
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17  
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No

Q18  
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19  
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
They ahve not communicated.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ghent University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Belgium
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Knowledge on the topic of AMR or AM use
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

antimicrobial stewardship programs

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>★</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: academia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:  

Q2
Email:  

Q3
Job title:  

Q4
Organization/entity:  
University of Edinburgh  

Q5
Type of organization:  
Academic and research institutions  

Q6
Country:  
United Kingdom, Scotland  
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Enthusiasm on the topic should be the only requirement and members of all sectors should be warmly welcome, the more the better.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of agreed guidelines is usually the problem so would hope the action group would have some recognised authority to work on this.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think we should all be enmeshed together and listen to each other.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Quite complicated
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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**Q23**

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 - Not at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</td>
<td>In the veterinary sector, not enough is being done on the small animal side. The vets are not very well informed of the topic and do not find it important. Hospital management does not support the efforts of those that are trying to raise awareness nor are they required to evidence the efforts taken on this topic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q24**

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Q25**

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not sure, Clinical human pharmacist and a veterinary pharmacist at an academic institution I can see myself in multiple clusters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q26**

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Respondent skipped this question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Burnet Institute

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Papua New Guinea
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Organizations participating in delivering the AMR National Action Plan for respective countries should be encouraged to members
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

develop the framework and stools for wider stakeholder engagement

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✯</td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We (Burnet Institute) are supporting the PNG National AMR Steering Committee in facilitating the delivery of the PNG AMR National Action Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am interested to be a member</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My institution is a public health and research institute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

[redacted]

Q2
Email:

[redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
The University of Melbourne

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

Australia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Most high level "One Health" initiatives focus on human health and the input from other sectors on human health. It would be good if this was truly an inter-sectoral effort, not an "Our Health" approach.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

A commitment to cross-sectoral programs that address the drivers of AMR in all sectors and promote solutions that identify and encourage appropriate AMU in humans, animals and plants.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Better data capture platforms to record and report the indications for antimicrobial use in human, animal and plant sectors would enable inappropriate uses to be identified and action taken to reduce inappropriate antimicrobial use.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Academic and research institutions will likely be dominated by well funded human health organisations and animal health will (again) be under-represented and unheard.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

- Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

- Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans;
- Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR;
- Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

- Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy;
- Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
Q1
Name: [Name]

Q2
Email: [Email]

Q3
Job title: [Job title]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Jimma University

Q5
Type of organization: [Academic and research institutions]

Q6
Country: Ethiopia
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
excellent idea

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
definitely good

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

very good
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

no more

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
no more

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
no more

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
no more
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no more
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no more
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
The University of Zambia

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Zambia

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Past experience to management of AMRs
**Q13**

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

**Q14**

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practical guidelines

**Q15**

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.
Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.
Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No comments
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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**Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION**

**Q1**

Name: [Redacted]

**Q2**

Email: [Redacted]

**Q3**

Job title: [Redacted]

**Q4**

Organization/entity: Public Health Institute

**Q5**

Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

**Q6**

Country: Albania

---

**Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM**
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

to be actively involved in some aspect of the AMR, representative (technical people) of different AMR issues
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities at country, based on my experience in my country

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Action group

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

[Redacted]

Q2
Email:

[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:

CSIR-Animal Research Institute

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

Ghana
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: National farmer associations should also be invited to participate.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Ability to impact a larger group of stakeholders should also be considered. For example, leaders of national and regional farmer groups often have great influence on their members, and they will be useful for training purposes.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Actively soliciting feedback from national and regional stakeholders on activities

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Recognized national farmer groups and relevant NGOs may also be included
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
If members have to travel for meetings, their transportation will have to be catered for.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform; Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform; Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform; Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

In the short term target, extra effort should be made to have representations from regions where invitations to become members are not readily responded to

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

4 - Actively
Research is being carried out on AMR in livestock and poultry in order to understand the current AMR situation beyond first and second generation antimicrobials

---

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, Academic and research organizations

---

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
### Q1
Name:

### Q2
Email:

### Q3
Job title:

### Q4
Organization/entity:
Nossal Institute for Global Health, University of Melbourne

### Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

### Q6
Country:
Australia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The difference between the Platform, and the GLG and IPEA are not clear.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The objectives are somewhat relevant but fail to address the large difference in current action and progress on AM use & addressing resistance between different industries and regional/national stakeholders. It seems to show poor awareness of existing efforts to combat AMR.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I doubt it will be possible to balance inclusiveness and effectiveness, particularly in effectively addressing over-use by self-regulating industries (e.g. feedlot industry in high-income countries) and unregulated industries (e.g. emerging livestock production systems in lower-income countries). I don't see how these principles effectively support the Platform's objectives.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is vital the Platform is accountable but I don't think the Tripartite is the ultimate stakeholder for AMR - they're bureaucratic organisations that don't always show success at implementing change for these sorts of issues. I think the Tripartite's governing bodies will unconsciously politically derail the Platform's accountability because it will be difficult to have the OIE and WHO governing bodies reach consensus on how AMR should be managed.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This is worthy but I suspect certain key groups (e.g. private sector groups from high-income jurisdictions) will struggle to see the relevance of the Platform unless it affects, or is influenced by, IHRs or Phytosanitary regulations that affect international trade, and will not support it sufficiently. How will this membership particularly seek to include the environment sector?

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Industry stakeholders (livestock, medical, manufacturers, prescribers, regulators) AND/OR technical expertise AND/OR regulatory role (actual or potential), plus others
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
There should be a clear draft list of Action Groups determined a priori, with room for a limited number of additional ones later. The Vision is acceptable but the efficacy of Action Groups will critically depend on setting clear outcomes and timelines for AGs’ outputs.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Recommendations for improving AM regulation in jurisdictions currently lacking effective AM & other pharmaceutical regulation.
Practical implementation. Gap analysis of factors contributing to poor AM stewardship e.g. poor clinical capacity; lack of regulation; burden of disease

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.
Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Private Sector has a major role to play here (as cause and potential solution of the problem of AMR) but it seems underweighted compared to the other clusters. It does not represent the likely diversity of private sector stakeholders (e.g. different kinds of livestock producers, AM manufacturers/distributors/prescribers/etc, etc)
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Feels like another talk-fest.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Insufficient detail to endorse. It is unclear how a relatively small Steering Committee will represent the geographic, institutional and industry diversity of the Platform.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We will know after the first Plenary whether it was useful.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It looks like all roads lead to the Plenary, where everyone talks but with no clear pathway for action.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: No - see above.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Doesn’t seem to pay heed to any existing efforts on AMR e.g. European Parliament, national jurisdictions

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Main comment is that the pathway for effective actions is unclear
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐</td>
<td>Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Working in livestock production systems to enhance productivity using evidence-based interventions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
ETH Zurich

Q5
Type of organization: 
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: 
Switzerland
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

avoidance of financial conflict of interest
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

membership contingent on data sharing

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>No, Perhaps too complex. A leaner structure might be more efficient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
We a a research group working almost exclusively on the global epidemiology of AMR in animals

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Academia

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Università Politecnica delle Marche

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Italy
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

In my opinion could be better to include, in accountability roles, the government of the countries where occur the higher use of antibiotics and antimicrobials.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
However, in my opinion, it should be open also to private citizens

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

the requirements should be only for representatives groups, while for citizens it should be open-access. Obviously, citizens will be include in order to improve the problem awareness. So, they could just add comments and interact with the platform.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Business Marketing and Innovation Plan in all networks that are affected by the problem and influence itself the problem.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

see the previous comments
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
but see the previous comments

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
please see the previous comments

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
but please see the previous comments
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

But, only if the communications strategy will able to reach the citizen level through institutional channels (e.g. by Public TV of each countries)

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

You could find interesting suggestions on this topic, reading our scientific article, just published. Please read our article here:

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-021-00852-4
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Respondent skipped this question

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Respondent skipped this question

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
NO
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Infectious Diseases Institute

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Uganda
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**Q7**

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

**Yes,**

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

There is urgency in establishment of the platform to share experiences.

---

**Q8**

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

**Yes,**

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

I think it might also be an avenue to Technical Assistance on AMR.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
All basic principles are covered

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
However, it will be important to clarify to whom and how accountability will be done….perhaps by more clearly explaining how the platform will function.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Perhaps it should be constituted in a manner similar to GHSA.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All the actions mentioned in the example including resource mobilisation for LMICs

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But perhaps manufacturers of antibiotics should be a stand-alone cluster
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In general yes, BUT consideration should be made to support members from LMICs

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#27

## Part I: Respondent Information

**Q1**
Name:

**Q2**
Email:

**Q3**
Job title:

**Q4**
Organization/entity:

University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro

**Q5**
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

**Q6**
Country:

Portugal

Page 3: Part II: Proposed Key Elements of the Platform
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The requirements to qualify for membership are detailed in question 5: The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Training for Civil Society

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Suggestion: Communication network between action groups 1, 2 and 3 before plenary
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
**Q23**
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>★</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q24**
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

**Q25**
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Academic and research institutions

**Q26**
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [REDACTED]

Q2
Email: [REDACTED]

Q3
Job title: [REDACTED]

Q4
Organization/entity:
CARB-X at Boston University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
USA

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

One other area would be to perform estimation of the burden of AMR and the associated costs to society of AMR. The One Health crossover is crucial as this helps with the development and transmission of resistance and understanding the societal cost and the subsequent impact of inaction will hopefully help drive behavioral change.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The global nature of AMR is key, and representation from all geographies will be crucial

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

discipline expertise will be important, but key will be the inclusion of decision-makers (or people that decision-makers listen to) as this will be needed to help enact change
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Definitely ‘best practices’, but also ways to build capabilities at country levels, method of integrating data into policy recommendations

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Agree in principle, but certain representatives may have experience or relevant input into >1 cluster groups, and ways that this might be accommodated should be considered
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Q17      | Yes    |
| Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. |

| Q18      | Yes    |
| Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. |

| Q19      | Yes    |
| Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? |
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Clear communication channels will be crucial.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would like to see the long-term targets be achieved in a time frame shorter than 10 years. Given the paucity of the clinical pipeline, the time required and the scientific challenges involved in the development of new products, obtaining a global commitment to responsible stewardship is very important and achieving this in <10 years should be a high priority.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Universidad Central del Ecuador

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Ecuador
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Scientific background and/or representation of local authorities
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Funding local activities aiming to educate related professionals

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☀️</td>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
<td>My research group is specialized in AMR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, Academic, scientific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, please provide them in the space below:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
RSPH, Australian National University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Australia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Members need to have the ability and commitment to make a positive contribution toward containing AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It very much depends on the activity and the type/composition of action group

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Unsure

No,
Where do Professional Associations fit, particularly those with members working in the One Health space that may not be linked with academia
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes, I believe it is important to start somewhere. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No, unsure Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
unsure

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

N0
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Not at the moment
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Medical college

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
India
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Whoever is interested and involved in one health approach
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Advocacy and information sharing and practical application

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Twice a year to be more involved and active

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Long term approach
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✭</td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Vietnam One Health University Network (VOHUN)

Q5
Type of organization: 
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: 
Vietnam
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

create scientific-evidence to decision makers through policy dialogue
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines and enforcement of the regulations at different levels

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, producers, consumers associations

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

To be added a part is Monitoring, Evaluation, Accountability, Learning (MEAL)
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)

Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

2 - Slightly

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Based on education, training through case studies for pre-service and in-service training programs.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Institute for Infectious Diseases, University Bern

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Switzerland
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

A difficult questions. Probably it would be best to let health care authorities of individual countries suggest their representatives.
**Q13**

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

**Q14**

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Most important from my side would be to connect human, veterinary and enviromental health. For each of these compartments already a lot of collaborations are existing, and it is important not to overlap, too much with these existing formats.

**Q15**

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

I did not go into details of the organisational structure, as this is beyond my knowledge.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
Fully for human health, partly for animal health

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
academic

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications SRTA-City

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Alexandria, Egypt
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The requirements to qualify for membership (Bsc, Msc and PhD)
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Sharing information through different social media

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No thanks
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★ 5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Thanks
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Kotebe Metropolitan University

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: Ethiopia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles?
Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Contribution and engagement
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Local context application of interventions

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

This a good move.

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Respondent skipped this question
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
zhejiang university

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: china
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Aeres

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
The Netherlands
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

And be able to educate about the combat of AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Education to enhance knowledge level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Q18 | No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please make the output available |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Aeres currently educates future farmers in the Netherlands and on request abroad
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:

University of Glasgow

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

UK
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Proven experience in the relevant fields of AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the examples given above

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

No

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
WHO Collaborating Centre on Global Governance of Antimicrobial Resistance

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Canada
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
What does it mean for the platform to be accountable to the tripartite? What happens in a case of disagreement between members and the Tripartite or between Tripartite DGs?
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should be broadly open to those working in AMR specific or AMR sensitive (IPC, WASH, Climate etc.) areas.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Advocacy, Implementation, Evaluation

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Cluster groups should have a more active role
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: At 200+ members, this is an substantial request for volunteer labour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: The proposed structure is very difficult to understand and doesn't adequately delineate roles and responsibilities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Commitment to responsible antimicrobial use is a foundational principle for this group and consolidating this should be a 1 year goal, not a 10 year goal. More concrete outcomes and indicators will be needed to accompany these goals (e.g. build momentum)

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Brazil

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. the platform could have public information and a public space to share articles and data from antimicrobials use and antimicrobials resistance genes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The participation of researchers is very important together with the government representants.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Multicentric researchers together with productive sectors and government representants

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20  Yes

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21  Yes

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

This is a very important initiative!
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
- Yes

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
- Not sure,
  If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
  I am working with One Health approach in the livestock but I have important background with hospitalar infection.

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
- Respondent skipped this question
**Q1**
Name:

**Q2**
Email:

**Q3**
Job title:

**Q4**
Organization/entity:
Wildlife Research Center/Animal Resources Research Corporation

**Q5**
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

**Q6**
Country:
Sudan
Q7  Yes

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8  Yes

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

the member must be related to the health field such as medical, vet...ect and at research area
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Awareness is more important

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
**Q23**
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>⭐️</td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q24**
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

**Q25**
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
health of livestock,

**Q26**
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
no
Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
ILRI

Q5
Type of organization: 
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: 
Kenya
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

- Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health).
- Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
- Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Yes -- some level of coordination and management will be needed to achieve the targets set out.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions,philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Interest, some knowledge on any of the proposed topics including policies, institutional and programs on AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But a technical group can be set up to also design topical questions/issues which the action groups can work on

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All the above but more importantly how to transform existing knowledge into actions

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think the action groups should be a mix of the listed clusters
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Nice initiative
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below. 5

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
St. John's Medical College Hospital, Bangalore, India

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
India
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The groups that are already involved in work on antimicrobial resistance, who can bring value of either experience or insight can be preferred for membership.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Social Media experts who can advise on strategies to maximize use of these platforms

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16  Yes
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17  Yes
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18  Yes
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19  Yes
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

There should also be a Vigilance group or legal advisory group that can help formulate terms of compliance and penalties on non-compliance to aid and support monitoring by respective local authorities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|     | ☆ 4 - Actively  
|     | Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:  
|     | There is a full fledged Hospital Infection Control Committee as well as Antibiotic policy committee. The access to higher antibiotics is restricted and monitored. |
| Q24 | Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)                                                                 |
|     | Not sure                                                                                                                                  |
| Q25 | Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)  
|     | Yes,  
|     | If yes, could you please specify?Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:  
|     | Advocacy group                                                                                                                              |
| Q26 | If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:                                                        |
|     | Respondent skipped this question                                                                                                           |
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
University of Guelph

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Canada
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Data and visualizations should be shareable and downloadable

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Should build on existing initiatives and alliances
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Inclusion of private sector data presents a challenge due (concerns about privacy, security and liability)

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Also needs to comply with accepted data standards such as FAIR and CARE
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership requirements should evolve over time
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Data governance

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
There should be funding opportunities for coalitions of members.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Most of the work will have to be done prior to the actual meeting in order to gain consensus.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It would have been helpful to see this diagram at the beginning of the survey.
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Need to establish measurements of and targets for AMU and AMR as soon as possible

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3 - Moderately</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☆</td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Many individual researchers are working on AMR, but there is not a coordinated effort</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Respondent skipped this question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
The University of Melbourne

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Australia
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The wording of the last objective needs improvement for clarity. It is too long and difficult to read, possibly with grammatical errors.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Previous experience in AMR/AMU/AMS in any of the related disciplines.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

strategic planning of activities within each action group, development of tools/templates for all the above.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Clusters should have both administrative and active roles, with parallel meetings and activities to those of the clusters. Each cluster will likely include many different organisations which will need to articulate to set common goals and reach agreements on actions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes in principle, but “devising a comms strategy” is very vague.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
| Q23 | Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.  
|     | Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: | 5 |
| Q24 | Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q) | Yes |
| Q25 | Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) | Yes,学术和研究机构 |
|     | If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: | 学术和研究机构 |
| Q26 | If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below: | Respondent skipped this question |
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
EHA

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
India
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

ability to develop report
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

sop

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Respondent skipped this question
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Columbia University, College of Physicians & Surgeons

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
USA
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?  The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This is an area of my research and a topic that I teach to college level students.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?  The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Either direct or indirect involvement in this topic locally or internationally.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Some evidence of prior work that may enhance the project
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

One area that has been problematic has been surveillance in lower income areas.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes, provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Advance material I assume will be provided

Is there a means of follow up on recommendations?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆  4 - Actively

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Surveillance; stewardship; education

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Center for Infectious Disease Research and Policy (CIDRAP)

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
United States of America
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, and ability to take action...
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Developing a roadmap for actions

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
| Q16 | Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. | Yes |
| Q17 | Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. | Yes |
| Q18 | Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. | Yes |
| Q19 | Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? | Yes |
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

No

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
   Atlantic Veterinary College, University of Prince Edward Island

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
   Canada
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Important to include different species within “animals”

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Information sharing in standardized format is particularly important
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would suggest that vegetation is better descriptor than "plant"

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would prefer that there be a distinct governing body set up for this platform and it reports to the DGs, rather than "their governing bodies"
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
action groups should include the standardized information collection strategy

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Should consider different categories of membership so that those interested in receiving information are different than those interested in being part of operations.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Consideration for how to work in species groups (e.g. dairy cattle, tilapia, poultry) without lumping them all as one food animals or even as one group like aquaculture.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Need to include an ability to identify high AMU rather than just put out prudent AMU guidelines.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
there is more to cluster them on activities than on their representation. For example, dairy will transcend across gov, academia, NGO, etc.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
There also needs to be a secretariat that will facilitate the processes.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
virtual meetings will be more environmentally sustainable

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Will stakeholders listen? The coordinating team should have high level (CVO?) involvement

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
By “sharing evidence” I assume this means building a baseline of AMU and AMR so that temporal trends will be reported and used in AMR actions. I agree with this.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)

Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively
Faculty are involved in AMR monitoring as part of research initiatives. It is grant funded research so its magnitude is influenced by available resources.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
My specific background is aquaculture and I think it has a role to play somewhere in the larger scheme.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify?

学术和研究; 但物种在集群中较难识别。

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Clinical and epidemiological research is included under research and probably should be highlighted.
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Foundation

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Germany
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

natural, biological, medical and social science
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation and control on country level, demonstrate best practice examples

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
A term of two years for the chair seem rather short to be able to reach targets

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Practical implementation is missing - in my opinion
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Reducing use of antibiotics by improving health, housing and environment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Royal Veterinary College

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
UK
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

There may be overlap with other platforms such as the JPIAMR-VRI - alignment would be good

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think it should be interdisciplinary and also consider wide participation that includes the people on the ground

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think accountability should be to the members and users of the platform
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevant experience in AMR with practical application in any part of the system ranging from primary use to policy
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think the element of consensus building and joint vision is missing

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

agreeing on a shared vision; elaborating a detailed Theory of Change with subsequent support to implementing countries, e.g. advisors to country teams

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I am missing a clearer visual representation of the Global Plan of Action committee and the national teams defining and implementing National Action Plans. I think it would be important to make sure that the people working on this as part of their daily job have a clear role. I think the JPIAMR-VRI should also be formally listed: The JPIAMR-VRI (Virtual Research Institute) Digital Platform is a free access point for the entire AMR community to facilitate knowledge exchange and data sharing of antimicrobial resistance research data across the globe.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Looks great, but would change to interdisciplinary
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Fantastic initiative and survey!
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆  5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
MM(DU)

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
India
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

United efforts for enhancing preventive measures for AMR and Medication safety together.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Odisha University of Agriculture & Technology

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
INDIA
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Take action to combat AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Advocacy and Information sharing

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Great initiative to combat AMR
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

1 - Not at all

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Acharya Institutes

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
India

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This is an urgent need of the moment!

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
There has to be an incentivised accountability aspect included for translating the intent of the Platform.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

A passion in combating AMR, Alignment with the SDGs, and an understanding of One Health. Should have no financial expectations to start with.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

An official conditional Affiliation is required to ensure impactful translation of the vision of the Platform

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Meetings should be preceded with action taken data.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
You can also include Volunteer groups and Student groups
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

A brilliant initiative that needs to have a translational approach of accountability incorporated as part of the USP.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☜ 4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

sdf
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Yes
Q11  
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12  
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

s
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

s
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

sdf
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Q1
Name:

[Redacted]

Q2
Email:

[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:

University of Edinburgh

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

United Kingdom
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Provided that there is clarity over pathways to identify and implement best practice at a local level.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
An one-health approach is welcome - we have applied this in Scotland. Challenges include educating clinicians & the public to understand & follow best practice.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

This is fine; a block could be how these groups communicate their findings & recommendations to first line antimicrobial users. In my experience, this delays change (although stewardship has started moving more quickly in the last few years in the UK).

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Training, experience and actions in AMR/AMS (verified activity & publications); set points-based criteria for objective assessment of applications; commitment to activity/actions; points based review of activity for renewal of membership. This approach works well with the International Committee on Allergic Diseases in Animals (www.icacd.org) for example.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of those plus practical concerns over licensing and availability of antibiotics (e.g. using PK/PD based licensing, regulatory back up for antibiotic tiers), practical & effective approaches to diagnosis, overcoming challenges in low-medium income countries, training local/regional antimicrobial stewardship champions.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
I can see a ‘top-down’ approach stopping at national/regional levels though. The challenge will be filter everything into best practice guidelines appropriate to users. A ‘bottom up’ approach should be encouraged to raise awareness and desire for better practice. This could involve training local AMS champions for various stakeholder groups - e.g. medicine, veterinary medicine, public, animal owners/workers, microbiology labs etc.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
The aims are laudable but won’t achieve results unless there are practical ways to implement them & achieve change.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
We're heavily engaged in this through undergraduate & postgraduate teaching, clinical best practice, research and public engagement.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I'm primarily based in academia but I'm also involved with Scottish & UK governmental & other bodies working with AMR & AMS in veterinary work and one-health.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No.
Q1
Name: [redacted]

Q2
Email: [redacted]

Q3
Job title: [redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Ross University School of Veterinary Medicine

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: Saint Kitts
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Need to be completely free of conflict of interest. would be great to link with ACVCP and other pharmacological boards

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? 
Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. 
Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
No financial institution should be involved. This should be 100% free of conflict of interest
**Q13**

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

*Yes,*

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

*but financial institution and government should not have full open to speak*  

---

**Q14**

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

*best practice guideline/region and practice. Publish in JVIM and other journal consensus statement*

---

**Q15**

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

*No,*

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

*they should be more restriction to financial institution and government*
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
should be more rules about financial and government

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
financial and government should not be represented in the committee at same level than people without conflict of interest.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
government and financial institutions should be apart and with bigger restrictive power
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

n/a
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure,
If yes, could you please specify?
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I am planning to do ACVCP and hope in future to become part of eligible in these clusters

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
n/a
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Agricultural University of Georgia

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Georgia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? 
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR, as said above.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level. There are plenty of guidelines but farmers for instance are not eager to adhere them since there is not enough controlling measures implemented.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

at first glance approach looks multifaceted.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>some researchers cover AMR topic in their research activities including me.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey
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Q1
Name: [Name]

Q2
Email: [Email]

Q3
Job title: [Job title]

Q4
Organization/entity: Murdoch University

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: Australia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals, and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international, and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional, and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I agree with the statement of “relevance to some aspect of the AMR challenge, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR.” I also think that student organisations that represent students that will go into professions (human medicine, veterinary medicine) that will prescribe antimicrobials need to be engaged as they are the future of antimicrobial prescribing in the world.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above. Also ensure excellent teaching of students in medical and veterinary school to ensure that curricula reflect our global goals

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟 2 - Slightly

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

We teach veterinary students about AMR and have a traffic light system for using antimicrobials in our veterinary hospital

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q) Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Western College of Veterinary Medicine

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Canada

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Some ability to impact AMR initiatives
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practice guidelines, methods to measure antimicrobial use

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☆</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>university</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Utrecht University

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Netherlands
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance and experience
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Essential drug list for veterinary medicine, setting research priorities

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Interaction between the groups that are now clustered together is essential. Grouping according to issues/themes with representation from the different sectors may be more appropriate.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>★ 4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Utrecht University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Netherlands

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevant input from all perspectives- I would say that the biggest challenge is to get good representation from all perspectives...
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I would say best practice guidelines and practical implementation at country/sectoral level mainly

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

5 - Fully

Q24

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Academic

Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
UC Davis School of Veterinary Medicine

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
United States
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Members should be able to share a unique perspective on how AMR effects health and wellbeing of animals, humans or plants. They should have a demonstrated history of working in teams to solve challenging problems.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

clinical guidelines for small animal patients, broad monitoring of antimicrobial usage

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Beyond disclosure of what is listed, members should disclose all financial and potential (real or perceived) conflicts of interests

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✰</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:

Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine at Tufts University

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

USA
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Some ability to comment on the challenges of AMR in a specific field, research experience within the field of AMR in any sector (One Health or other)
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Development of guidelines for different sectors, development of education materials for the general public and prescribers/antimicrobial users, development of support for improved stewardship in countries without infrastructure for large scale stewardship efforts

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

It is important to ensure that veterinary representation includes those in the small animal field due to the risks companion animals pose to humans in terms of zoonotic transfer of possible AMR pathogens
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

4 - Actively
Currently involved in developing a stewardship program for the small animal veterinary hospital in addition to a program already in place at the human medical centre. There is a One Health team with representatives from both hospitals who regularly meet to help improve the program in the veterinary hospital.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Kenya
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Researchers in AMR. Product developer to combat AMR and AMS
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Research, monitoring and surveillance of AMR

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
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Q1
Name:

[Redacted]

Q2
Email:

[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:

agrarian university's vet clinic

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

gorgia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)

Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: | 1 |

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

| Yes |

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

| Not sure |

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

| Respondent skipped this question |
Q1
Name: [REDACTED]

Q2
Email: [REDACTED]

Q3
Job title: [REDACTED]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Embrapa Dairy Cattle

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Brazil
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Work in any area linked to AMR;
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Sharing best practices on rational antibiotic use on livestock.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No
Q20  Yes
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21  Yes
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</td>
<td>I am interested in participating as a member. However, I will consult with my boss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</td>
<td>Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
México
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes  Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Belonging to a university or government institution
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Validate tools for conducting epidemiological surveillance.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
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**Q1**
Name: 

**Q2**
Email: 

**Q3**
Job title: 

**Q4**
Organization/entity:
Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine

**Q5**
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

**Q6**
Country:
Malawi

---
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? 
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: 
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical 
challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the 
work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple 
disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–
environment interface (One Health). Transparency and 
open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, 
including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. 
Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on 
its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at 
national, regional and global levels across all relevant 
disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and 
inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will 
attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the 
platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The 
Platform will seek to achieve a balance between 
inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the 
voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability 
principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to 
the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing 
bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

In addition to the above suggestions, diversity is key in terms of geographical representation, gender balance and balance across sectors and disciplines.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above. I think there remains a gap between the awareness of policy makers of the importance of the problem and action, so practical implementation tool kits might be very valuable

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

As long as the clusters do not end up dividing people that needs to be talking to each other.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
can we state that reasonable expenses will be reimbursed?

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think this needs to include a statement on how the Platform will receive information. When the flow is obviously unidirectional, it tends to get ignored.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform:

- Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform:

- Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans;
- Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR;
- Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform:

- Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy;
- Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Perhaps an upfront statement about Engagement in the short term goals would be valuable.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

Q24

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I am an academic involved in translational AMR research in Malawi

Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:


Q2
Email:


Q3
Job title:


Q4
Organization/entity:

University of Guelph

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

Canada
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Balance will be the challenge given the common barriers/conflicts between groups and the common disregard for challenges outside rich countries.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Is it 'organizations' or 'individuals'? As an academic, as worded, it seems like it would require my institution to be a member, not me (meaning individuals might not be able to participate if their institution isn't motivated).

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It depends on how members will be managed and what they will do. At a highly inclusive level, anyone that loosely fits the criteria would be engaged. That's fine if members that come with a specific agenda and are not collaborative cannot derail processes.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All those are fine. There are myriad potential opportunities. Mapping these to existing recommendations (e.g. recent GLG statements) would be a good start to address the higher profile, more important and generally accepted areas.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The challenge will be implementation, as the potential participants are so numerous and broad. Getting all those areas alongside balances will be a challenge. It's important but hard to assess without more details.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Meetings aren't where things get done. They can be discussed, finalized and similar things, but content development really needs to be at a lower level with highly engaged working groups, to get the required depth of discussion and to address complex, controversial issues.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Diversity of representative across the human/animal/env areas will be important. Need to have all those represented adequately.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I agree somewhat. As indicated earlier, large plenary sessions may not be the best way to move forward and actually develop content or consensus. They are cumbersome and prone to being dominated by a vocal minority. They don't foster the amount of discussion needed to navigate this complex area. Plenary sessions are good for higher level discussion, approval and things like that, but working groups will be important to drive action.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Action groups may need working groups within or below them.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes, but communication pathways need to go both ways.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I’d be more granular. 200 members mainly from human health or 200 mainly from ag would not be a success. There must be adequate representation across the spectrum. Also, one area that I personally find missing from most of these activities is involvement of antimicrobial users/prescribers. Decisions are often made solely by people that are well informed but have not prescribed a drug in years (or even), leading to predictable deficiencies or unclear aspects in documents that are produced. From my work with groups, I know that my experience as a prescriber and someone who has to deal with the day-to-day aspects of AMU and AMR has helped shape discussions.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I'd have to see them again to make sure I was getting the detail correct (and can't go back with this survey) but my area is in the animal health sector, with a strong emphasis on AMU, AMR and One Health aspects.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [REDACTED]

Q2
Email: [REDACTED]

Q3
Job title: [REDACTED]

Q4
Organization/entity:
University of Milano-Bicocca

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: Italia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance to some crucial aspect of AMR and ability to take action to prevent the spread and progressively reduce AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Compliance with controls and sanctions imposed at any level for the use (or abuse) of antibiotics (for example in animal or fish farms), both in Europe and outside Europe for food materials and products (and not only) in and out of Europe. Active monitoring of nations that do not respect the regulations for the production and use of antibiotics.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

It could be suggested the creation of an intergovernmental task force with decision-making powers could serve to monitor, prevent and sanction the illegal activities related to the improper use of antibiotics and to make the various sectors such as Health, Environment, Agricultural and Animal Policies, etc. directly responsible.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The effectiveness of the project will occur precisely with the ability to make effective the actions that the stackholders, who will be selected, will be able to undertake to prevent the maintenance and spread of illicit procedures that support the AMR phenomenon and to propose new procedures that may gradually reduce the AMR.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
**Q23**
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>Active addressing of AMR challenge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q24**
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

I would be honored!

**Q25**
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify?
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Academia and active Research on the phenomenon of AMR

**Q26**
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
International Livestock Research Institute

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Kenya
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
There are no targets. I think we commitment on what specific changes we want e.g. X% reduction in AMU or x% of antimicrobial resistant infections, or a global ban on AGPs.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Equal balance between HIC and LMIC members, and gender

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Current active participation in AMR specific research or advocacy. A track record showing expertise with the subject area.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

LMIC specific guidelines or messaging, considering the diversity and informality of the systems. Can we establish targets and indicators?

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UNEP is excluded
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
CGIAR AMR Hub

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The CGIAR have for the last 50 years engaged in improving the agricultural sector in LMICs through research and innovation. The Hub address the agricultural AMR risks in a LMIC context particularly the informal, small holder farming system.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Research

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
India
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Willingness to collaborate with interdisciplinary groups and acceptance of OneHealth as the basis of AMR action
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

database building, standardized AMR surveillance protocol development

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16  
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17  
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18  
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19  
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Special focus can be made to involve youth in any of the term targets, which will help form a parallel cohort of young AMR professionals that can be motivated to take up AMR in social sciences or scientific phd courses.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

As mentioned in earlier responses, special attention can be given to engaging young researchers and professionals (Below 35/30 age). It can be substantial in outreach programs or taking up AMR topic for further studies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Mayo Clinic

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: United States
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
While the focus on technical or practical aspects of AMR is essential, stakeholder engagement should also address the social science and political aspects of AMR and AMS.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
These are great, but insufficient. What about commitments to health equity? To a OneHealth framework? To health security? While policy initiative like these have broad visions out of necessity, I'd suggest you consider some of the main obstacles to AMR mitigation and incorporate recognition and the imperatives to overcome these in your guiding vision

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Will there by public or community engagement that these governing bodies engage as well?
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

clarify individual-level membership; clear commitment of time
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

willingness to engage problems that seem tangential, but are actually crucial. E.g. consolidation in agricultural industry is a huge driver of overuse; global laboratory capacity building and supply chain issues; global IP agreements

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No, I think the cluster groups would be better organized by topic area and then include representatives across these areas, to better ensure the ability of products to speak across stakeholders.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Again, this is a little confusing because from before it sounded like all members are the institutions, not individuals.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would clarify the relationship of the full time staff to these entities. This will protect them from members as well as head-off internal platform internal conflicts when staff members are granted too little autonomy or, on the other extreme, too closely tied to some members over others.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Can you revise so as to clarify the relationship between member and the leaders group and steering committee?
There's no direct connection here, which is fine if that's the vision, but it seems from prior descriptions the intended link was tighter.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would move some of the policy deliverables to the medium-term targets, and specify policy deliverables in the long-term targets. Even if early successes are pilot programs or draft model legislation, it is better to start with action as early as possible. The convening power of these groups can crowd out other activities unless the organization develops an explicit policy arm early on that can be scaled over time.
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

I specialize in ethics, community engagement, biobanking, antimicrobial resistance. Please feel free to reach out.

---
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: 3

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes, I would be especially interested in social science and/or ethics groups of scholars/policy makers.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

---

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, Academic/Research institution.

If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

---

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Excellent work so far, it is so exciting to see a group like this coming together!
Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
University of Stirling

Q5
Type of organization: 
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: 
United Kingdom
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,

The One Health approach and the inclusion of multiple stakeholders from diverse geographical areas is absolutely crucial.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Expertise in AMR from either the human, animal or plant focus. They must be open to interdisciplinarity as this is a problem best explored through interdisciplinary collaboration. There should be a commitment to achieving positive environmental benefits (including the social and natural environment). The economy and private enterprise have a role to play in this but economic advantage should not be prioritised over that of human/animal/plants. They should respect a One Health approach.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This is something that may require some resource if Action Groups are to be an ongoing mechanism of change.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above. They might also identify evidence gaps and priority setting activities.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I am concerned that the overall balance of these groups might be weighted too heavily towards private/financial interests that may well also tie into or constrain government agendas.
## Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

**Answer:** No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

While I agree in part that members should participate on a voluntary basis, this might vary according to level of participation. If a core group were established that were regular contributors to various interests this could have capacity issues for individuals and may require some sort of remuneration to e.g. academic institutions rather than to the individuals themselves. This would ensure that higher education institutions supported staff and allowed them both recognition and time to contribute to initiatives.

## Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

**Answer:** Yes

## Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

**Answer:** Yes

## Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

**Answer:** Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☆ 4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: My area is anthropology and public health more broadly and I have a strong interest/commitment to interdisciplinarity and One Health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, UAE University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
United Arab Emirates
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Any researcher, policy maker, drug sponsor who has the mettle in combating the challenges of AMR should be allowed to be a member. The basic qualification for a membership needs to be having a graduate degree and a recommendation letter from a mentor or manager for early-career individuals.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Information sharing and implementation is one of things that will be a driving force. How long will it be sustained and how deep are we willing to advocate the best practices? Will the action group be empowered or supported to spread information at different sectors - veterinary or human use of antimicrobials at the grassroot levels?

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Sharing or publishing of research data on AMR from researchers seems to be tightly governed in UAE. This is often not clear or vastly unknown. This will have to be identified for the action groups to share information in an uninhibited way, if AMR needs to be combated.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Human and Veterinary Clinicians need to be involved, sensitized and be networked in addition to the suggested members.
**Q16**

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform members not receiving a fee or remuneration will be a difficult component in the mission. A nominal sum or a recognition that will count towards their service component at work will be necessary. Will participation or contributions be covered under an umbrella of continuing education so that it counts towards upkeep of their certifications for example

**Q17**

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

**Q18**

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

**Q19**

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
2 - Slightly
Just one researcher within the Department is addressing AMR for one bacterial species.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
none
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Fish Health Laboratory, MMAF

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Indonesia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multi-sectoral and multi-disciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multi-disciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment/supported by local/national policies
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

pilot project at representative sector/area

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
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Q1
Name:


Q2
Email:


Q3
Job title:


Q4
Organization/entity:

ICAR-CIFT

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

India
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
This kind of platform is need of the hour to guide and reach mitigatory measures in stipulated time.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Yes,
Information-sharing and networking shall be easily accessible, especially to low income group countries
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach needs to bring all sector with definition that include all sector , for instance when we say Line Departments , what they also be included

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I am fully supportive of Platform in view of its positive impact in improving health of humans, animals and environment
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As I have mentioned previously we need encompass very one in Action Group so as to ensure that ultimate goal are attained in time.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership as of now encompassed all stake holders. Some degree of leverage is necessitated to add new members to facilitate changes in policy matters or governments in developing and under developed countries.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes, the vision in appropriate

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Country level is very important, besides others,

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, this has taken care every one

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As of now get going will help us to know lacunae if any and necessary changes can be brought in at a later date.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The time period of committees need to extended when the outcome is too good as changes brought in the committee requires incubation period that may lead to lapses

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please encourage virtual in Plenary Sessions with quarterly in puts
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Non-Governmental Organizations need to be mentioned. No arrows are showing in the structure that can indicate relatedness and interactions.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This is fine.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We need target the way SDG are planned by 2030. In this case 2050 is more appropriate.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
We need target the way SDG are planned by 2030. In this case 2050 is more appropriate.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Very actively engaged

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As an individual I can provide what all the inputs necessary and can actively involves as I am carrying AMR related works for more than a decade.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

I have provided previously. To repeat once again aprt from short term, mid term and long term goals we need a target year that is 2050 to assess where we stand in three years intervals so as facilitate to know which direction we head for.
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
University of the Philippines Manila

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Philippines
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

member of the institution with role in taking action to combat AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
we have research, training and extension services to address the challenges of AMR

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Monash University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Australia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Organisations must have a reputation in the field of AMR - or have a dominant program/or significant number of leaders that work in the AMR space. A membership committee should be organised (perhaps on a volunteer basis) that will access the level of AMR research programs, and ability to take considerable action.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

advocacy and information sharing is critical,

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Formation of these clusters groups segregates the big-thinkers that need to be in the room together to identify the big issues and look for solutions.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This also concerns us, AMR requires big changes across every sector, this type of clustering of senior level thinking could essentially stagnate the drive to enact change.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

We are extremely excited that this initiative is taking consultations. AMR needs a co-ordinated global response and won’t work if it operates in silo’s.
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
<td>Our organisation is 100% committed to discovering sustainable solutions to AMR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

```
Our organisation is 100% committed to discovering sustainable solutions to AMR
```

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

```
Our research centre only works on AMR issues- we have no other research goals.
```

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

We are incredibly excited about this new opportunity, we think it is a high value initiative and are willing to commit staff in kind to helping it succeed
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
China
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Must have the willingness and work experience to address AMR issue.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Provide the direction of information collection or related research.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

3

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Tarlac Agricultural University

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: 
Philippines
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Strong commitment.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practices.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communication strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

NO
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
ICAR- Central Institute of Freshwater Aquaculture

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
INDIA
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes, 
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To develop Alternatives to Antimicrobial use in Animal & Fish disease management

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? 
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

People, Researchers, Scientists working on AMR to be involved
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Awareness programmes on Responsible use of Antibiotics, Strict Government regulation, monitoring and implementation of regulations by each Govt. of reg

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Further improvement may be discussion time to time

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please give emphasis on involvement of respective Governments, regulatory bodies

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Strict categorization of Antimicrobial for Use in Human, Agriculture, Animal and Aquaculture medicine

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

1. More emphasis of developing alternatives to antimicrobials use
2. Strict categorization of Antimicrobial for Use in Human, Agriculture, Animal and Aquaculture medicine
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: 4

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We are part of Govt. Research Institute and our group is involved in AMR surveillance in Two States in India

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Basic surveillance and research on AMR need to go together
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Institute of Fisheries of NAAS of Ukraine

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Україна
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey

Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Croatian Veterinary Institute

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Croatia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
the responsible government institutions should be included

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevant group within countries should be established
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

intersectorial cooperation at national leverl within national action group

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Aquaculture should be included in the cluster as it is immersed in the water environment

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
no
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

[Redacted]

Q2
Email:

[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:

Jimma University, Ethiopia

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

Ethiopia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Motivation to work on AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Outreach to educate AMR, researching,

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✡ 3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Academic and institutions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:

U o O

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

uk
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

commitment
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
advocacy

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.
Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
**Q23**
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>★★</td>
<td>2 - Slightly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q24**
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

- Yes

**Q25**
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

- Yes,
  If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
  academia

**Q26**
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

- Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Croatian veterinary institute

Q5
Type of organization: 
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: 
Croatia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It would be extremely valuable if we could have one platform where we can collate data on the use of antimicrobials and the data regarding antimicrobial resistance from all production, health and research sectors involved.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should be possible for any institution/organization/agency/society (or similar) that is affected by AMR, or has any impact on AMR, and is actively committed to prevention of the increase of AMR.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Agree with all of the above, but the first priority should be to determine the current use of antimicrobials across all involved sectors (production/health/research), and to assess the current status of AMR in the same sectors, to assess the areas of higher/increased risk.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16  Yes
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17  Yes
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18  Yes
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19  Yes
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☆ 2 - Slightly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Wageningen Bioveterinary Research, Lelystad, NL

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: the Netherlands
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Medicines for animals: also pet animals should be taken into account, like ornamental fishes. Thank you.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please also including AMR related to the private sectors of pet animals (with world trade of ornamental fish for instance). Thank you.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Very important initiative.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes, as they can make changes at a certain level.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
The approach looks sound and effective.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I would suggest, membership is whether linked to an important role in the business around AMU and AMR, or a proven expertise through highly relevant peer-reviewed publications of the expert or organisation.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

To start, I think, the action groups should draw the whole field of actors in the AMR-issue. Than, by taking parts of the scheme they made, they could make smaller groups to explore the background of the AMU and AMR for each animal husbandry and pet branch for instance. Keeping the globe in mind, I mean, the transport of live animals and humans, and the impact this may have on AMR spread. When the Action Group has made up the status quo based on all the information they gathered, they only can further devide the tasks, and develop a Best Practice Guideline, per branch/sector, per region (more than by country, I believe)... Then it is important to keep the important stakeholders on board, in the improvement development this action aims for, so, good and frequent communication in the right way is crucial.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Thereby it is important, that Action Group members can consult other experts outside the project to acquire information. Thank you.

Yes,
The Cluster Private sector partners to my opinion should also have input from (or participation by) some members of academia, as I expect, that as the Private sector partners depend on the trade f.i., they will not have an objective view on the must to battle against AMR, and the way to achieve this.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Seems fine.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Seems fine.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I understand, this Plenary Session is only for members of the consortium? Would external keynote speakers be invited as well, as a suggestion? Thank you.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes. Again with input from externals, when appropriate, when certain developments at a global level would ask for this. What is the mode of action/procedure for new members to join in, and old members to leave the groups?
Thank you.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Fine.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Fine. Just also important to keep monitoring the situation in practice. Promises are easily made, but do they work out? How would this be addressed?
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

As long as AMR is also a result of commerce and salaries, it is a tensed subject. I believe, especially the Private Sector needs good information, to keep them on board of the Platform. They know the situation in the field the best, and scientists measure the AMR in the field, and should convince the Private Sector. Thank you.

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
My direct colleagues are working at the AMR Laboratory of our Government Veterinary Institute WBVR. Regarding ornamental fish and AMR development I am also involved and have published with FAO in this.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I am interested, but my input would go no further than AMR issues in ornamental fish. I believe, my direct colleagues Kees Veldman and Mike Brouwer are much more candidates for this task. However, I would also be willing to give a keynote on the ornamental fish trade and issues regarding AMR.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please see above. If I would participate, and this would only be possible when costs would also be compensated for, I would be part of the research cluster, but would be in favor to have direct contact with the (ornamental) fish and shrimp branches.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Your initiative makes me happy! very important. I also have always appreciated to work for OIE (AAC, 2009-2012) and FAO (running), so, I wish you very good luck with this initiative! Kind regards, Olga Haenen
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Argentina
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

* Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.

* Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All members included in the Risk Analysis approach (assessors, managers and communication)
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Risk assessments, guidelines

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
NON COMMENTS
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Fiji
**Q7**

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

**Q8**

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreed on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance to some aspect of the AMR challenge
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical activities at country level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16 Yes
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17 Yes
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18 Yes
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19 No
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 - Slightly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Chemists College of Pharmaceutical Sciences And Research

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
India
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

To be aware of AMR and combat AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical action

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Do one objective at a time

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION

Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Respondent skipped this question
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Agricultural University of Georgia

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Georgia

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

members shall be selected from different fields; people who work or study AMR spread in Georgia and relevant topics
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Additional research shall be done to research AMR spread in Georgia through food chain and research results shall be shared with all concerned: e.g., producers; government and non-government organization, consumers

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16  Yes
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17  Yes
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18  Yes
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19  Yes
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

As representative of young Georgian scientists who is researching AMR spread in Georgia and works in Georgian food sectors having Abelites to be part of this project is upmost importance
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As representative of young Georgian scientists who is researching AMR spread in Georgia and works in Georgian food sectors, having the ability to be part of this project is of utmost importance; It will be a great benefit for my Ph.D research, contributing to filling the AMR knowledge gap in Georgian food sector.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
If possible, I would like to join two cluster groups and currently, I am doing Ph.D research and at the same time working as a food safety expert in one of the largest retail chains in Georgia. Cluster groups include: Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [REDACTED]

Q2
Email: [REDACTED]

Q3
Job title: [REDACTED]

Q4
Organization/entity:
University of Liverpool

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
United Kingdom
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Q7  Yes

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8  Yes

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I think emphasis should be placed on commitment rather than ability to take action on AMR. Significant sectors of the globe currently have limited ability to take action on AMR; this should be fairly recognised and incorporated into capacity building plans.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

These are all fair suggestions for Action Groups. Significant care will be needed to ensure such action groups are closely linked enough with those ‘on the ground’. For instance, best practice guidelines are only useful if widely implemented; this is ensured by engaging those with best local knowledge on how to develop guidelines that best reflect their local working environment.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
There could be value in creating cross-cluster groups. There is some degree of risk of echo chamber creation otherwise.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Clarification might be needed on fees/remuneration - would this include travel etc.? If no this might present a barrier to LMIC engagement especially.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>★ - Actively</td>
<td>A significant degree of focus is given to AMR research at my university. Prior to COVID-19, which has of course proved a massive distraction to everyone, I would have considered my institute as being fully engaged.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify?
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Academia / research organisation

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
NO
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
ETH Zürich, D-HEST

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Switzerland
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This has a lot of potential to include individuals and groups with a major conflict of interest in the prevention of AMR (e.g. individuals benefitting from the sales of antibiotics for medical or veterinary use). Also a lot of people with zero specific knowledge of the problem who can be included in discussions but should not have the right to express any opinions in areas where they do not have explicit expertise. The leadership and responsibility distribution within the platform seems to be a critical point when absolute clarity is required to avoid generating another public communication disaster.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

No conflict of interest (including share-holding). Tiered or segmented inclusion based on expert level. Suggest to establish this via a series of questions during recruitment rather than by self-declaration.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Support for non-antibiotic approaches to bacterial disease management (vaccination, hygiene, animal husbandry, ethical GMO application)

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Where an individual could fall into more than one group, they should be in the group with the highest potential conflict-of-interest risk (e.g. if both private sector and academic, they should be placed only in private sector).
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Specifically they need to be a verified expert in their field to be able to contribute to action groups. Non-experts opinions can be asked, but should not detract from the expert work.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Additional emphasis should be put on promoting alternatives to antibiotics (vaccines, hygiene, animal husbandry, GMOs etc). Increased AMR stewardship is not going to solve this alone.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

This should be a body for real action and not just another sounding box for people who like to hear themselves. The risk that this becomes overly beaurocratic is high I feel, and the ratio of academic/research to non-experts seems far too high to be useful. It would make sense to me to generate a leaner expert panel (covering medical, veterinary, pharmacy and international relations and development) with the potential to reach out to public/private sector representatives for feedback on actions.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fully</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research/Academic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Diponegoro University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Indonesia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

interest, concern and actively participate in aspect of research, monitoring and control of AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Regulation, monitoring and information system

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
in the future might be regrouped according to the problems similarity and combating approach
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

2 - Slightly
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
start to increase awareness for aquaculturist and policy makers through several seminar and conference

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Maringá State University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Brazil
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
**Q11**

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

**Q12**

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

multiple areas of knowledge and aggregated knowledge integrated thinking from different areas of knowledge to implement AMR combat actions
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines for best practices in combating and using medications; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities in specific areas of knowledge and in the total and global context

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Academic and research institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:
[Redacted]

Q2
Email:
[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:
[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Faculty of Agriculture

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
North Macedonia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Be a relevant stakeholder in the food chain supply
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above, but the most important is consumer’s education on the importance of prudent use of antibiotics in the food supply chains and therefore most important issue is the information presented in the marketing of any product for the “life cycle assessment of antimicrobials used in the chain bringing the product on the market” following the LCA (life cycle assessment of green house emission on products)

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Henry Ford Health System

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
USA
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

We agree that the combined efforts of human, plant, and animal sectors to combat AMR is important.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
We agree that it is important to have a One Health approach in order to most effectively combat the growing threat of AMR.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It may be beneficial to expand how accountability will be held.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
We agree that it is important to have a broad range of members in order to cover various areas of AMR issues, as well as to obtain multiple focuses of expertise.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

To qualify for membership there should be evidence of substantial participation, knowledge, and interest within the AMR community.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Action groups should come together to create frameworks such as guidelines, as well as work toward the practical implementation. It will be important to not only have guidelines and information in place and accessible, but to be sure that the plans are feasible and can be implemented and national and local levels.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
When feasible certain groups should have an active role, unless they are maintained as administrative with oversight of the action groups. Though then they should stay involved closely with the action groups to ensure progress at national and local levels.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
We have a fully functioning microbiology lab monitoring resistant pathogens, as well as a strong antimicrobial stewardship committee with continued addressing of motives to improved stewardship and decrease resistance within our hospital system and community.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Academic and research institutions

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
University of Valencia (Spain)

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
España
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment to foster the social awareness of the problem
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes, I agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes, I agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes, I have no suggestions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes, It’s right
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes, It’s a good approach
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
By means of educational innovative actions/projects

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
It's very important to foster and spread the awareness on the AMR problem in student community
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
The Netherlands
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Experience with or interest to work in combatting antimicrobial resistance; and more importantly in establishment of antimicrobial stewardship across all levels of care, community and the society as a whole.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Standardization of availability of Antimicrobial Stewardship programs in every healthcare setting; practical transfer of know-how in AMS

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In addition, the healthcare providers and medical societies/community also have to be considered into these groups or as a separate group

Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
If the composition is of 11 persons, than the first mention of the chair needs to be removed (chair will be elected from the PSC membership afterwards, as explained above).

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In addition, might be good to clarify the links between the action groups and the cluster groups; healthcare providers and medical community/societies need to be added to the clusters or form a separate cluster.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Provide quantitative indicators for medium and long term targets as well

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Thank you for the invitation to be part of this initiative
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)

Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
Radboudumc has established the innovative programme "Dutch Antimicrobial Stewardship: Masterclass & Expert consultancy" through which AMR is addressed at hospital level with training and coaching. Teams from interested hospitals are attending a 5-day course during which they develop their AMS programme for their healthcare setting, and aim to implement it with mentorship and guidance from Dutch senior consultants who visit and work with the teams in their local setting. The programme is running in the Netherlands, and since 2021 is being established in LMICs (starting with Egypt, India, to be followed by SouthEastern Europe, Suriname, and other countries).

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Academic and research cluster, but also in the health providers' / medical professional community.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Central Luzon State University

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Philippines

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment, ability and resources to take action to combat AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines and workable implementation at the national and sectoral level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Please include local government units
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
LGUs can help in the implementation and monitoring

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
University/Research

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

AMR is a global concern that requires participatory effort at the grassroots.
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
University children hospital Skopje

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
North Macedonia
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Thank you
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

3 - Moderately
AMR still is not priority, especially now with Covid-19 pandemic

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Name:
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Active participation in any aspect of AMR challenge
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Data sharing; Formation of multidisciplinary teams (national and international)

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.
Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Animal; Environment; novel alternatives to antimicrobials

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Iran University of Medical Sciences

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Iran
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows:

Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels.

Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors.

Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To conducting Global Action Plan(GAP) you should consider differences in internal laws of local governance across the world as a barrier in implementation of AMR activities at local level.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes
The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Mass media can play an important role in encouraging action group and cluster group to join AMR activities.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

High Authorities of every country should be selected as leader of national AMR committee for strengthening political and social commitment and ability to take action to tackle AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Other action can be request from donors group in each country to financial support of AMR activities.

Yes

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Yes

Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Under the responsibility of TJS on AMR, an specific coordinating team is required to support AMR activities in low income countries and area with civil unrest.
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.
☆
4 - Actively
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Iran University of Medical Sciences has an active antimicrobial resistance research center which conducts many research projects on AMR.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
To combatting AMR as a multidisciplinary issue more stakeholders from different sectors should be engaged as needed.
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
 University of the Philippines Visayas

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
 Philippines
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9  Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?  
Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.  
Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

published article regarding topic
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✡</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Lomonosov Moscow State University Medical Research and Educational Center

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Russian Federation
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Accountability is itself a system of norms that regulate the relations between "duty bearers" and "rights holders", who are affected by the measures taken by the first party. The mechanism of interaction between the platform and the tripartite organizations should not be based on accountability, since the Platform is a discussion expert platform for forming proposals to solve the problems of the AMR. Consequently, the Platform's activities can result in thematic reports, analytical reviews and reports, recommendations that are sent to the Directors General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. Perhaps an explanation of this provision should be made more extended. At the same time, the work of the Platform should be ensured through a Tripartite Secretariat.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The second paragraph duplicates the content of the first paragraph. It is difficult to agree with the underrepresentation of civil society, private sector and financial institutions in the topic of AMR. Agriculture and the pharmaceutical industry mainly represent the private sector, take an active part in the implementation of the NAPs.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The right to membership should be determined by: - work experience in the field of NAPs implementation; - participation in international projects and organizations on topics related to AMR; - work in agricultural, food, and pharmaceutical industries where antimicrobials are used and there are problems related to AMR (including the private sector); - work on scientific projects in the field of AMR, microbiology, pharmacology, infectious diseases in humans and animals, environmental protection. For civil society and financial organizations, the main criterion is their interest in participating in the work of the Platform.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level. These are very important proposals and they should be worked out on the Platform site. However, there is still a problematic issue of multisectoral interaction on the topic of AMR in the countries, including within the framework of the implementation of the NAP (exchange of information, maintaining unified databases on resistance, making complex decisions on AMR problems, etc.) One of the important issues is the integration of the environment sector into the solution of AMR issues, it is necessary to identify activities and indicators for them to be included in the GAP and NAPs.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level. These are very important proposals and they should be worked out on the Platform site. However, there is still a problematic issue of multisectoral interaction on the topic of AMR in the countries, including within the framework of the implementation of the NAP (exchange of information, maintaining unified databases on resistance, making complex decisions on AMR problems, etc.) One of the important issues is the integration of the environment sector into the solution of AMR issues, it is necessary to identify activities and indicators for them to be included in the GAP and NAPs.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The proposed structure does not quite correctly reflect the information provided in the introduction paragraph 1 of the questionnaire. The Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA) are independent groups, but the Platform is planned as an accountable platform of the Tripartite. Therefore, all interactions of these Groups should be carried out through a Tripartite Secretariat (Coordinating Team).

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Platform’s proposals aimed at the development of the GAP, which is the basis for the development of NAPs, will be effective.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I believe that 200 people is a difficult team to manage. It is necessary to understand how their work will be organized. More than 170 countries are already implementing NAPs, reporting to WHO on their implementation, teams of people who know the problems of AMR have already formed and are already working on the proposed goals. In this case, the Platform will be engaged in duplicating their work, and not in large-scale development of global and national efforts.
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Academe

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Philippines
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes, None yet

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

None yet

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes, None

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

None
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
None

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
None
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
None

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

None

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
None
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: None

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: None

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Text</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23 Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR?</td>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Text</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q24 Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform?</td>
<td>Yes, None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Text</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q25 Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters?</td>
<td>Yes, Academe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

None
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Q1
Name:

[Name]

Q2
Email:

[Email]

Q3
Job title:

[Job title]

Q4
Organization/entity:

Università Nacional del Nordeste - CONICET

Q5
Type of organization:

Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:

Argentina
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
We work with aquaculture, trying to substitute antimicrobial as growth promoters. Our results show that the increment in the number and proportion of resistant bacteria is huge after administration of ATB.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We must work before it is too late.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
YES! The idea is to tell everybody so that no more unnecessary ATB are ever used

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

In my field (academics) the background of the interested person or group should be evaluated. A relatively long period working in the field means interest and formation.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Sectoral level, in our area (animal production) we need to talk directly to producers and explain as simple as possible in order to be understood and generate an impact.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Who will spread all results to public and general audience. That should be clearly defined here.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✪</td>
<td>We started evaluating probiotics for aquaculture in order to avoid the use of ATB. Now we are showing how the use of antimicrobials, although enhancing biometrical parameters, increase significantly the number and proportion of resistant bacteria.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, Academic

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
New York Medical College

Q5
Type of organization: 
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country: 
United States
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Based on expertise and stakes on antimicrobial use
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Assessment of the problem in different sectors and countries

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Disclosure of conflict of interest

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
Tufts University

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
USA

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Seems reasonable. Need to somehow also recruit participants to represent areas with fewer formal organization such as companion animal medicine where stewardship is often championed by individuals at academic institutions.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Guidelines would be great and identification of areas for research calls (ideally supported by funds or at least recommended for funding support) could be useful.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate?</strong> Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate?</strong> The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leaders will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session?</strong> The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Think it sounds amazing!
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>We have launched a formal antibiotic stewardship program in our small animal hospital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: I would love to! I would be happy to be contacted regarding antimicrobial stewardship in dogs and cats. Tufts University Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: University; I am also a member of CIMAR - Center for Integrated Management of Antimicrobial Resistance at Tufts University <a href="https://www.tuftscimar.org/">https://www.tuftscimar.org/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Department of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Lavras

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Brasil
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
The purpose of the Platform is relevant for bringing together different sectors involved in the discussion on antimicrobial resistance. Our group is made up of nationally and internationally renowned researchers in the study of antimicrobials in animal production. A platform with the proposed objective is important to assist in the discussion of actions to be taken to globally regulate the use of antimicrobials.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
all platform objectives are of relevant importance
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
“One Health” is one of the main lines of investigation of our research group

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is important that the platform takes decisions for the collective well-being
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
organizações acadêmicas e de pesquisa em todo o espectro da One Health são extremamente relevantes para AMR

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Platform members should contribute to the scientific discussion on AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
In our institution we have a group formed by researchers who have adequate training for this discussion and carry out research in the field of AMR

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

another important action that could be implemented is to discuss the indiscriminate use of antimicrobials in animal production and try to regularize this situation

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
I agree
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
I agree
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I agree

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
I agree
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I agree

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
I agree
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I agree

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
I agree
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I agree
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes, I agree
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I agree

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes, I agree
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I agree

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
nos somos um grupo de pesquisadores especialistas na área de AMR
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

1 - Not at all

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
we are a group of specialist researchers in the field of AMR
Elaine Maria Seles Dorneles Christiane Maria da Rocha
Humberto de Melo Bamdão Marcos Ferrante Luis David
Solis Murgas-Coordinator of the Graduate Program

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
we are a group of specialist researchers in the field of AMR

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

not comment
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
FECAVA

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
United Kingdom
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would exclude any private sector companies.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Independent professional organisations only.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

International guidelines for the use of antimicrobials which should be updated on a regular basis

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private sector partners to be excluded
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private Sector to be excluded
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟 5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q) Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) Yes, International professional non-for-profit animal health organisation

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Embrapa

Q5
Type of organization: Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Brazil

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Try to create action during the first year to help in mobilize members and tract others

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

first year to help in mobilize members and tract others
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 - Slightly</td>
<td>Need a group with a goal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Additional Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
American University of Beirut

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Lebanon
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? 
The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? 
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.

Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Members should be aware of the circumstances of this global threat and willing to be fully responsible in taking actions.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Monitoring the production, trade and use of antibiotics globally. Improving surveillance systems. Increasing research.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>Research to study the emergence of antibiotic resistant in the region, and collaboration with the policymakers to ban the misuse and imports of “Highest Priority Critically Important Antimicrobials”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Respondent skipped this question

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Respondent skipped this question

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Name Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Email Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Job Title Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Institute of public health of North Macedonia

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
North Macedonia
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

everything mentioned
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

everything mentioned

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
| Q16 | Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. | Yes |
| Q17 | Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. | Yes |
| Q18 | Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. | Yes |
| Q19 | Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? | Yes |
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Veterinary faculty, University of Sarajevo

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
Bosnia and Herzegovina
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevance in the first place
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
University of Minnesota College of Veterinary Medicine

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
United States
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

those in areas of AMR, antimicrobial use measurement, antimicrobial stewardship, etc
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Guidelines, support for implementation, especially in areas (like veterinary medicine) where human and financial resources for stewardship programs are lacking

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes, BUT how will these groups share information and ideas? It could be counterproductive to work only in these silos. Perhaps representatives from each group could be assigned to other groups as well
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
What do you mean by “adequately high level”? This needs to be defined? - you may be missing important voices.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Can we wait 10 years for a global commitment?

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☆</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
<td>Academic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:
...

Q2
Email:
...

Q3
Job title:
...

Q4
Organization/entity:
Centro de Investigación en Alimentación y Desarrollo, A.C.

Q5
Type of organization:
Academic and research institutions

Q6
Country:
México
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Involved somehow in the use, sell, study of antimicrobials
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Investigation on the magnitude of resistance genes present in different ecosystems.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Include clearly if any conflict of interest exist

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Research

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

NO
Civil Society
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Commercial Farmers' Union

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Zimbabwe
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Veterinarians, chemists, farmers and veterinary distributors
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Above to all producers both large and small-scale

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.  

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.  

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.  

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?  

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

No

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
CARB-X

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
USA
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

no comments
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
no comments

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The AGs could be organized by topic: (1) Stewardship; (2) IPC; (3) Access to therapeutics and prevention; (4) R&D; (5) One Health, etc. Each group then would use the full toolkit of advocacy, guidelines, info sharing, implementation, etc.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Very useful to have a balance between gov’t, IOs, donors, civil society, academics, & private, but why organize the CGs along those lines? See my comment above on organizing the groups based on the key topics.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
For civil society and academics, offer a stipend for members from LMICs, to support diversity and inclusion. Otherwise, this will be dominated by governments, industry, and the Global North

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This is unclear on the “independence” of the IPEA
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes, but IPEA should have its own independent comms channels such as publication in peer-reviewed journals and issuing reports, in addition to the description above

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
No numerical targets other than 200. I would set medium-term targets of: (1) choose 3-5 target metrics (i.e., what we will measure, akin to CO2 levels or global temp rise. Not the numerical target itself, but get agreement on the thing we will attempt to quantify. Long term target: set numerical goals for these targets and measure progress globally, with adjustments as needed based on IPEA

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

I would like to speak to the team working on IPEA.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)

Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
CARB-X is the world's largest charitable supporter of preclinical antibacterial product R&D, including therapeutics, vaccines, and diagnostics, with a budget of approximately $100M/year, supporting 60 product development teams in 12 countries.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
R&D (in your categories, both academic networks and civil society)

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
INGO

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Mali
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

interest to combat AMR

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It should be open also to individuals interested in AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

guidelines, information sharing, continuous training, awareness campaign

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Individual to include in Civil society organizations and networks as distinct entity
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I am in transition in my current job in my organization

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
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Q1
Name:

[INCOMPLETE]

Q2
Email:

[INCOMPLETE]

Q3
Job title:

[INCOMPLETE]

Q4
Organization/entity:

Save the Children

Q5
Type of organization:

Civil society

Q6
Country:

United States
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Experience working in the relevant sectors. Emphasis on including decision makers.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Would prefer paid staff to putting the burden on action groups. Action groups should serve as reviewers.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

advocacy do donors and government, information sharing, contextualization of outputs

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Would like to see less silos between action groups and other stakeholders
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Long term goals should go beyond commitments. Perhaps enacted policy

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, Civil Society

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Dairy Science Park

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Pakistan
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Good governance models under the Triple Helix Academia-Industry Government Nexus would be introduced for establishing productive linkages among the stakeholders.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Traceability of the products across the food value chain would be provided its due importance in the implementation strategies.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The lethargy and hostility of the public sector organizations may impede achievement of the objectives so the civil society and private sector would be provided appropriate access to the activities of the Forum.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The country heads may be influence by the powerful local stakeholders, providing biased opinion.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The civil society and private sector must be provided due representation in the membership process.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Master degree in any social or natural science and active involvement with any private or public sector organization or civil society.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Implementation status of the best practices, state of preparedness of the stakeholders and management of database of traceability of products, may be compiled and shared.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Under Medium-term targets, Practitioners Guidelines on Antimicrobials may be published at quarterly intervals, based on academia-led field studies.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

3 - Moderately
We have trained our stakeholders in biorisk management through international workshops and integrated curriculum for integration into DVM degree awarding institutions in Pakistan with cooperation of Sandia Labs USA and Pak Vet Med Council.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Our outreach services including biorisk management, may be accessed at: https://dairysciencepark.org/outreach-services/

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

We are a network of academic, farmers, processors and service providers groups, registered with KP, Pakistan Government and UNSDG Action 9671.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Dzīve bez glutēna

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Latvia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment of input and network (coverage). Membership review on a 3 year basis according to delivered input.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
BENALPA

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Somalia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Global partners requesting as well as to develop regional platforms
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

requirement most include the professional responsible use medicines
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Awareness creation on the AMR

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Most train the CAHWs the responsible use of antibiotics
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

- Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

  2 - Slightly
  Our veterinary service delivery teams are well informed about the responsible use of the antibiotics.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

- Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

- Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

- Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Health and Beyond Foundation

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
India
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Once a year a Partners forum could be conducted whether online or in person to share information and gather feedback from all members who will have some experience to contribute but not able to be part of the Action and Cluster groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Secretariat could form a group from the 3 organisations to approve membership requests. They will base their decision on a set of agreed membership criteria.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

information posters to be displayed in pharmacies, clinics, hospitals, etc on AMR.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below. Can the interested organizations participate or they just observe?
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Acknowledgements of members contributions would be encouraging for further participation.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This is important for inclusivity

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Would there be a space to include media/journalists reporting on health to be part of contributing members instead of simply inviting them to events.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

I am a firm believer that partnerships with shared visions bring sustainability in our work
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Lake of the Woods District Hospital

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Canada

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

all of the above

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
**Q23**
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>★☆☆☆☆</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q24**
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

- Yes

**Q25**
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

- Not sure

**Q26**
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

- Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Georgian Beekeepers Union

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Georgia
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Our activities are defined by beekeeping. Since the population of bees as a pollinating insect is declining. It is necessary to study the diseases that prevent their development.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Collaboration with various organizations is one of our goals.
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Organizations that actually operate
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

We want cooperation and exchange of views
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

We protect the rights of beekeepers and cooperate with state structures

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

We protect the rights of beekeepers, focus on the greater interest of female beekeepers, we are involved in educational activities
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: VSF Germany

Q5
Type of organization: Civil society

Q6
Country: Ethiopia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Organizations and experts including privates practitioners who works on human, animal and environment including wildlife, people with traditional knowledge, etc and donors who provide funds and financial institute
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

First awareness creation at all levels policy makers, experts, community level, share research findings and recommendations to wider public, based on these research findings experience in the ground by practitioners, take forward to influence policies and initiate actions to be implemented, etc

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

none
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

none
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
European Patients' Forum

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Belgium
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Information in a lay-friendly way, using a range of appropriate channels, and following health literacy and numeracy principles is important to encourage awareness and build knowledge among lay persons (including patient advocates, patient organisations and other civil society actors). Our experience shows that awareness of the magnitude of the threat of AMR and its implications is low. Having easily accessible lay-friendly, factual information would be a cornerstone towards enabling meaningful engagement by civil society and the general public.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As above, open communication of key messages will be key to foster civil society engagement. Inclusivity also means reaching out to those people who are marginalized or whose voices are less heard; civil society organisations are an important conduit between the people whose interests they represent and institutions.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We believe that a commitment to some level of accountability towards civil society organisations and other stakeholders that contributed to the Platform’s work should be included. Adding this extra layer of accountability would enhance the Platform’s democratic structure. I would also enhance trust towards its structure, in turn fostering the scalability and implementation of its work.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Although we welcome that the platform is open to the participation of private sector partners affected by AMR, it should be ensured that its work is not dominated by industry’s concerns, or that the topics tackled are focused around public health objectives. This is a concern because in our experience the disparity of available resources between commercial organisations and civil society or public bodies can be huge and can inadvertently impact the outcome of, on the face of it, “equal” conversations.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

We believe that Members should be stakeholders who are affected by AMR directly, who represent other stakeholders affected by AMR, or who can substantially contribute to tackling AMR. In addition, applying members should be able to prove some previous work (e.g., articles, statements, or other forms of contribution) on AMR that they may have carried out in the past.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To ensure meaningful involvement and equal contributions, and to avoid action groups being dominated by private actors, we would recommend that certain supporting structures should be put in place to enable civil society organisations, which often work with extremely limited resources, to engage in these groups. These can include but not be limited to enough time (e.g., for internal consultations), targeted communications, compensation if available, and dedicated consultations with civil society.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

We suggest the following: - Best practice guidelines (particularly on how to engage with those stakeholders that are at the forefront of AMR, such as patients and the medical professions). - Activities aimed at fostering the advocacy capabilities of participating stakeholders (both within their membership, if they have any, as well as in front of other international stakeholders). - Capacity-building, including for civil society.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We agree that disclosure of funding sources is necessary to ensure transparency and avoid conflict of interest. The process should be kept as simple as possible with clearly defined criteria. We would like to point out that not reimbursing members the costs they may have incurred as part of their work within the Platform may systematically exclude those with more limited capacity (especially some civil society organisations).

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Balanced representation of stakeholders on the SC should be ensured.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The possibility of attending the meeting virtually should always be offered to participants, with the view to increase participation rates (regardless of if the meeting is taking place physically too, or not).

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
<th>2 - Slightly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Q25 | Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)  
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: | Yes,  
"Civil society organizations and networks" |
| Q26 | If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:                                                                                                                 | Respondent skipped this question |
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Round Table Antibiotics

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Switzerland
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
A global vision is essential.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Implementing coordinated global/collective actions are extremely important to control the AMR issue.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Transparency and information are essential.
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should be agreed on the indication of past actions aimed to fight AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities at national or international level.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes as a principle, but avoid complexity.
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The Swiss Round Table Antibiotics is very interested in a membership.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
The aims of the RTA are 100% compatible.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes, very interested.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
Civil society / economic issues (R & D)

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Antibiotic Resistance Coalition (see list of 12 co-signing CSOs members in the response to question 17)

Q5
Type of organization: Civil society

Q6
Country: International
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The assumption behind the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Platform is that convening a diverse set of stakeholders across the One Health spectrum will strengthen a “shared global vision,” build consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR. A more efficient approach to all of the above might be in identifying the coalition of the willing around strategic priorities. Even more important, it might be critical not to assume that coalescing around what are areas of consensus will necessarily address strategic priorities for tackling AMR, but instead may serve as a needless distraction. In fact, it is quite likely that actions contributing to the Global Action Plan on AMR will require resolving differences among key stakeholders, not all stakeholders. Yet the Platform proposes to recruit as many as 200 groups in the first phase of its efforts; has no clear principles to which participating stakeholders must be committed; and has no process for resolving, let alone defining, consensus (are we talking unanimity or overwhelming majority?). The IACG report, No Time to Wait: Securing the Future from Drug-Resistant Infections, called upon “All stakeholder groups – including governments, civil society and the private sector – need to be engaged and to collaborate in an unprecedented effort across the human, animal, plant, food and feed production and environmental sectors, based on a shared vision and goals.” However, “Stronger political leadership, advocacy, coordination and accountability are needed at all levels to enable a sustained One Health response to antimicrobial resistance.” The IACG indeed meant accountability at all levels, but none of the three component parts of global AMR governance—the Global Leaders Group on AMR, the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA), or the Multi-Stakeholder Panel—are set up to hold the Tripartite Agencies—the key implementers of the GAP on AMR—accountable. This is a serious shortcoming. The IACG not only called for the creation of a Multi-Stakeholder Platform, but also for strengthening the role of civil society groups in “accountability, advocacy, planning, monitoring progress and ensuring responsible and prudent use of antimicrobials.” Yet as the one policy venue in the proposed AMR governance structure, where civil society groups might be potentially well represented, the Multi-Stakeholder Platform seems moreso to stand behind the Tripartite Agencies as part of a consensus than as constituents to which the Tripartite Agencies might be held accountable.
Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
“Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?” is not answerable as a binary question. If this refers to the linkage between the three specific objectives and how they contribute to GAP and NAPs, the answer may be different for each of the three quite different objectives. Taking each in turn, the Platform could certainly support these objectives. However, these three objectives are neither necessary, nor sufficient to achieving the GAP and NAPs on AMR. After all, key barriers to tackling AMR often involve conflicting interests among stakeholders—antimicrobials used as growth promoters in food animal production; lack of commitment to controlling antibiotic pollution from hospitals or pharmaceutical manufacturing plants; misaligned economic incentives for prescribing antibiotics or paying for wastewater treatment. Agreeing on a shared vision is not meaningful unless there is also consensus, or at least commitment among key stakeholders, to implement a common approach to achieving the agreed-upon vision. Regulatory measures or tax-supported interventions may not draw a consensus, but might well be the optimal and needed approach to be taken. If the Multi-Stakeholder Forum just results in the paralysis of consensus, it will be a disservice to advancing the GAP and NAPs on AMR. As an objective, information sharing and networking are worthwhile. However, this should not substitute for ongoing, timely, and greater transparency and opportunity for public input into the Tripartite agency work and other global governance on AMR across the board, including of the Global Leaders Group on AMR’s Action Plan, priority setting and development of key performance indicators. We would also encourage the Tripartite Joint Secretariat to use these information-sharing sessions to discuss progress and accountability on their part to well-defined milestones in efforts to tackle the intersectoral challenge of AMR. Taking action similarly may or may not have value. Collective engagement limited by consensus among those with interests that run contrary to public health concerns on AMR could both distract and waste limited resources that otherwise could have been spent mobilizing a less inclusive, but more powerfully effective coalition of the willing. With a hoped-for membership and consensus among 200 organizations, the structure proposed for the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Forum risks paralysis or worse yet, diversion down unproductive paths. One partial solution might be to work instead to empower public interest, like-minded civil society groups to carry forward projects that promise effective change, even if there is no consensus from all parties. Governments, even the
Tripartite agencies, shy away from being held accountable, and private sector interests also defend at times their short-term economic interests over public health concerns. The IACG recommended clearly for the “systematic and meaningful engagement of civil society groups and organizations as key stakeholders in the One Health response to antimicrobial resistance at global, regional, national and local levels.” This includes the “provision of political, financial and technical support for civil society organizations to enhance their engagement, including for work with governments while keeping their independence.” We do not know if the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Forum could accommodate this, but there ought to be multiple mechanisms, including with the Tripartite Agencies more directly, that should make good on this IACG recommendation. Beyond the three objectives put forward, there ought to be more ambitious ones, such as reviewing the progress of the Tripartite Agencies towards achieving the milestones benchmarking implementation of the GAP on AMR and mobilizing sufficient resources and financing for such implementation.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.

Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

We do believe that these “guiding principles” speak to both operational and strategic approaches to tackling AMR, but they fall far short of what might be called guiding principles. A multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach is useful to take into account when designing, prioritizing and harnessing resources from AMR-sensitive intervention approaches. For example, an integrated, One Health AMR surveillance system can more effectively track the emergence of new drug-resistant pathogens across healthcare delivery, food production, and environmental systems. There may be co-benefits from developing a low-cost, rapid, point-of-care diagnostic in dual markets that serve remote healthcare clinics or points in the food production supply chain. A global wastewater surveillance network might piggyback on infrastructure still in place from poliovirus surveillance, already now being tapped for COVID-19 surveillance efforts. At the level of priorities, work plan and Plenary reports, transparency and open access are part of basic good governance practice. However, the transparency required should extend to how the Tripartite Agencies and other governing bodies notably the Global Leaders Group on AMR relate not just to members of the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Forum, but to the public more generally. Insofar as these other governance structures hope to tap the collective support of the stakeholders involved in the Forum, there needs to be reciprocal transparency of how they set their priorities, work plan, reports, and importantly, measures for accountability (e.g., how the Key Performance Indicators of the Global Leaders Group on AMR relate to AMR priorities, when public reporting might emerge for the indicators in the 2019 Tripartite Monitoring and Evaluation Framework). On its face, inclusivity may strike some as egalitarian. Of course, it is not for those who understand the principle of equity. In fact, the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Forum would have to work much harder to lift up the voices and perspectives from civil society and low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Some parts of the industry, notably the research-intensive pharmaceutical companies and their trade associations, have had little difficulty accessing and influencing policymakers at all levels. By passing these costs onto consumers and the public sector through sales of their products, they also can afford to attend in-person meetings, find staffing to ensure that their perspective on project implementation carries the day, and fund reports to sway the direction of policy efforts. Such resources do not abound for civil society groups or LMIC country governments. So the principle of inclusivity actually risks
fostering greater inequity, paving unequal access to Tripartite and other governance structures, unless steps are taken to level the playing field. Such efforts to level the playing field were, of course, the impetus behind the IACG recommendation to provide “political, financial and technical support for civil society organizations to enhance their engagement, including for work with governments while keeping their independence.” We recognize that it is challenging to lay out a set of guiding principles for such a diverse group of stakeholders. Even the guidance from the Tripartite Agencies on the use of antimicrobials in food animal production is not consistent, even though the “WHO Guidelines on Use of Medically Important Antimicrobials in Food-Producing Animals” came out in 2017. However, we do believe that true guiding principles on tackling AMR that offer clear direction, not just process points on good governance, are possible. It may be a work in progress for the Multi-Stakeholder Platform to undertake, particularly around potential projects where key stakeholders are willing to act collectively to take up new, catalytic approaches to address this global health and development challenge. Founded in 2014, the Antibiotic Resistance Coalition brought together an intersectoral coalition on these issues ahead of the WHA’s adoption of the GAP on AMR. This diverse group of stakeholders was able to arrive at a set of guiding principles in its inaugural Declaration on Antibiotic Resistance, upon which its members, now comprised of over 25 of the world’s leading public interest NGOs in this space, continue to build joint positions and actions.
Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The responsibility for the performance, success or failure, and return on investment to participants in the Platform certainly does involve ensuring that the Tripartite Joint Secretariat on AMR is accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. However, this is not the accountability principle that should be guiding the work of the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Forum. The real question is in what way will the Platform be accountable to those who volunteer their efforts in support of a “shared vision”. Furthermore, such a principle suggests an inequitable relationship between the Tripartite agencies and partner organizations in the Partnership Platform. After all, why would other UN agencies (e.g., UNICEF, UNEP and UNDP) in the global governance of AMR consider their AMR efforts as “ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies”? Instead, the accountability principle should consider how the Tripartite agencies and key implementing agencies might be held accountable to multiple stakeholders to clear milestones for making progress to address AMR and to mobilize financing for such efforts.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Membership implies those who are inside and those who are outside of the work of the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Forum have differential access and opportunity to contribute. That signals the opposite of inclusivity and of transparency and open access. Many civil society groups and LMIC groups might be enlisted for specific projects, but would have little reason or bandwidth to join a Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Forum with such unclear tangible direction or resourcing. Yet keeping the doors open, the Forum could build over time such projects that might draw new partners, local stakeholders, and others who may not initially have imagined that they could contribute to addressing AMR. Instead of a cumbersome bureaucracy, it could become a place where those with visionary approaches could recruit, with Tripartite Joint Secretariat support, new partners. What lessons could the Tripartite Joint Secretariat learn from efforts like the “Save Lives: Clean Your Hands” campaign that has enlisted over 23,000 healthcare facilities from around the world? The architecture of the Multi-Stakeholder Forum should be less focused on accommodating 200 stakeholders, but more committed to mobilizing the 20,000 that might be enlisted on campaigns. It is unclear what are the benefits of membership, and we would argue that this should not take the form of restricting access to policy briefings or the opportunity to contribute to potential projects on AMR by non-members. The benefits of membership could be in what the Tripartite Agencies in supporting this Forum as part of the AMR governance structure can do to advance the collective work of its members. While we do not support this as the only approach by which the Tripartite agencies might support the work of civil society groups, it would be useful to learn what resources—technical and financial—that the Tripartite Agencies, plus other governance bodies, might bring to facilitating Forum efforts to tackle AMR. The Antibiotic Resistance Coalition sees value in like-minded groups working together. However, we have many reservations over how the Action Groups and Cluster Groups might be set up. If made overly inclusive, it will freight the work of Action and Cluster Groups with unnecessary transaction costs, and we know well that industry groups have done exactly that in many policy processes. This will just result then in such work being taken outside of the auspices of the Forum as we already have effective, alternative channels for bringing together intersectoral partner organizations. So the value-added benefits of membership require a much clearer definition and deeper thought.
Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

As noted in the response to question 5, the Antibiotic Resistance Coalition has reservations over the notion of membership. It is at odds with the proposed “guiding principle” of inclusiveness and transparency and open access and erects a divide between those who might join and those who might be precisely the strategic partners that need to be recruited to advance efforts to address AMR, but who do not presently see AMR as a priority to which they can contribute meaningfully. So we would recommend rethinking membership and the unwieldy bureaucracy that the Forum’s proposed structure would entail. Maintaining this structure will be a huge drain on the limited resources of the Tripartite agencies and those involved as members. We should be focused on how to mobilize efficiently and speedily stakeholders that might make an actual difference in advancing AMR. Perhaps the Tripartite Joint Secretariat could put out examples of how they might support and facilitate the collective action of stakeholders in this policy space, and self-identified Action groups could step forward and request such resourcing under the Forum. At the start, these Action Groups might have limited membership, but as plans mature and with the nurturing support of the Tripartite Agencies and other governance bodies, they could over time bring on board a wider set of stakeholders. The Forum could provide varying levels of support, depending on its available resources and also the likelihood that the project might catalyze progress in addressing AMR.

Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The proposed vision for the Action Groups poses significant risks. If every Cluster Group must be represented and consensus is a prerequisite for taking action in each Action Group, then there remains a risk that this will do more to prioritize low-hanging fruit, where consensus already exists and where little value add would come from bringing together such stakeholders in the Forum, rather than high-yield activities. Under these operating rules, the Forum would not provide a safe space for like-minded groups to find common cause in an Action Group or enable a period for maturing ideas before those opposed might slow progress before the Forum could bring value add to the process by gradually recruiting likely supporters or even groups that might not know of their potential contribution to such a project. As already noted, the inequity across different stakeholder groups in having the staffing, time, and resources to join Forum activities is already considerable. The focus on year-round work “to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions” is not likely to be an invitation that most civil society groups or LMIC stakeholders are going to find attractive. Perhaps this calculus would change if the Forum’s vision made clear what is the value add of participating and what resources the Tripartite agencies plan to bring to the Forum’s work.
Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Given the currently proposed process, the Action Groups risk focusing on only areas, where there would be ready and likely existing consensus as well as already aligned or available, if minimal, investment. These might be productive activities, but these might not be catalytic, game-changing efforts. Worse yet, such activities might distract or take up limited resources from activities that might be. As for best practice guidelines, there certainly is value in identifying case studies of successful approaches to tackling AMR and sharing how such approaches might be scaled or replicated in similar settings. Of course, we would caution that this should not loosely be construed as the kind of practice guidelines involving clinical decision-making, the appropriate use of antimicrobials in food production, or regulatory limits on environmental discharge. Such practice guidelines require review of scientific evidence, expert evaluation and analysis, and careful consideration of context. These are best left to the work of the formal guideline processes, such as at WHO, or the soon-to-be-announced Independent Panel on Evidence for Action Against AMR.
Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

We are unclear as to how the proposed classifications by which Cluster Groups might be constituted would work. The Antibiotic Resistance Coalition is comprised largely of civil society groups working across healthcare, food, and environment sectors and a leading intergovernmental think tank with 52 Member States, but has a Secretariat housed in one ReAct’s nodes (a network of regional nodes headquartered in both academic and non-academic institutions). All of our members strive not to have financial conflict of interest that might unduly influence their work on behalf of the public’s interest in AMR. So should the Antibiotic Resistance Coalition consider membership as a whole in the Civil Society Action Group, or also in other Cluster Groups? We remain concerned as well that the “Civil Society organizations and networks” Cluster Group will face the same challenges that the WHO’s Non-State Actors designation has posed for years at the World Health Assembly. The only difference is that industry-aligned groups will have a seat at the table in both the “Private sector partners” group and also in the “Civil Society organizations and networks” Cluster group. Their presence in the latter could further vitiate the value of coming together under the Forum’s auspices. The attention to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum is useful when applied appropriately in context. Not every group, no matter how small, will have such representation, and it is a burden—particularly on those groups that are relatively under-resourced—to be called upon to be represented in every such Platform, Action or Cluster Group, whether value-added or not to their mission. Some initiatives also might be better focused on a specific geography or within a particular sector, and these might or might not benefit from an enforced effort to check off the box of broad representation, especially when there are more compelling issues of equity at stake.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As we have detailed in other responses, there appears to be an undue emphasis on the entitlement of members rather than the inclusivity, transparency and open access of the Forum’s work, and insufficient emphasis on how to build the value add of Forum deliberations. Civil society groups should have the right to designate who might best represent their organization and best positioned to support the work of the Forum. For particular Action Groups, this might well devolve upon an individual skilled in that particular area, but not necessarily “high-level.” This description of proposed roles and responsibilities of members lacks a clear understanding of how to mobilize the resources of participating organizations and weighs unduly non-relevant factors, like leadership status over technical expertise. Different Action Groups may call for different representatives of organizations participating. We would not want, for example, the best practice guidelines set by leaders who have no direct familiarity with the best practices implemented by actual, on-the-ground staff. While remuneration is not expected for most Platform activities, it is important to have clarity as to whether the Forum would work to level the playing field, for example, by providing reimbursement for travel expenses for non-profit, civil society groups without the means to participate in Forum activities, where possible. Also some projects from Action Groups may result in activities that might become fundable by outside donors, and civil society groups should not be denied the opportunity of receiving such support, especially if they were instrumental in developing these efforts. However, we are agreed that transparency of Member financing would be important if such funding might result in significant bias in the workings of the Forum’s Platform activities. However, we believe the paperwork and need to know legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities should be commensurate with how such information helps to determine financial conflict of interest in the Forum’s activities. Otherwise, this again will act against the interests of equitable participation by civil society and LMIC stakeholders by imposing another bureaucratic hurdle. Most of the Forum’s activities do not involve policy decision-making or expert guideline development, nor should it, so it would be important not to burden unnecessarily participation with paperwork.
Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The Platform Steering Committee could offer useful coordination of the Forum’s activities. However, its structure limits Action Group representation to three leads, despite the fact that most of the collaborative work is meant to unfold through Action Groups. So commitment to engaging in Forum activities may not be matched by the opportunity to participate in its governance. Yet governance is weighted heavily towards five Cluster Group leads, each of which are likely to be quite heterogeneous and not easily represented by any single stakeholder organization, and the three Tripartite agencies. The Tripartite agencies also should be playing a support role for Forum activities, but by also holding a governance position, undermine the Forum’s ability to ask for greater accountability of these agencies on making progress on tackling AMR. It would be much more strategic if the Tripartite agencies removed themselves from the governance structure, allowing for other intergovernmental organizations to step up. By focusing five Cluster Group leads, it also is entirely possible that the breadth of civil society groups, which is breathtakingly broad, might be limited to just one group, and low- and middle-income stakeholders might be missed entirely in the governance structure. The proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee focuses more on process than action. Its description is somewhat opaque about what support the Tripartite agencies would provide. Without that support, those stakeholders with the most to offer might not have the resources to take on all of the administrative tasks, whereas those stakeholders with the most financing behind them might have little trouble taking over the Forum for their purposes. Maybe the Forum governance might be developed stepwise, lifting up those most constructive and contributory to its mission.
Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The goals of the Plenary Session sound fine if these activities emerge organically out of the Forum’s activities. If there are important differences that surface from the consensus discussion process, lessons that might come from Action Groups that actually took action, or opportunities for coordination that otherwise would not have arisen outside of the Forum’s facilitation, the Annual Plenary Session would be an excellent venue to bring these forward. However, if the Plenary Session becomes one more show-and-tell gathering of stakeholders every year on AMR, we would not want key stakeholders nor the Tripartite Agencies to be distracted from more meaningful efforts to address AMR. While we may still have a dearth of novel antibiotic classes, we most assuredly do not have a shortage of such meetings on AMR to attend. Again given limited resources among civil society groups and stakeholders from LMICs, we are concerned that the Forum’s Annual Plenary Session not become an end unto itself, rather than the culmination of productive efforts by the Forum. So long as the Annual Plenary Session does not substitute for year-round, actual work on Forum activities, we are supportive of such efforts to exchange, share and inspire activities on AMR as well as to forge new, catalytic collective action on AMR.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The appropriateness of the structure depends on the relationships among these entities, and importantly, how collectively this ensures accountability to achieving milestones towards the GAP on AMR. The Global Leaders Group has been issuing brief position statements, but without the backing of expertise and evidence that might be recruited through the Independent Panel on Evidence on Action. The GLG has also called on a broad range of stakeholders, without clear target or strategy, to curb, but not ban the use of antimicrobials in food production. However, it does so without having mobilized constituencies that might draw upon members of a Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Forum. The locus of responsibilities for priority setting, coordinating, and mobilizing finances for the work ahead, let alone strategic planning, are unclear. The limitations of the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Platform were detailed earlier. For accountability to hold, the Tripartite Agencies should be in a supporting, not governing role, if their progress is to be held to independent review by any of these entities. Instead, they serve on and as Secretariat to these entities. So which of these entities is in a position to ask and hold publicly accountable a Tripartite agency to milestones that would mark progress on AMR? Absent that, who can independently make the case that their work is deserving of greater resources, even within their current budgets? Another way to assess the appropriateness of the structure is to ask what role each of these entities might play in aiding, accelerating or amplifying specific, key projects to advance the work to tackle AMR. Is the sum greater than the parts? The non-centrality and non-specificity of governments and international organizations, international financial institutions, civil society, universities and research institutions, and private sector are certainly not intended, but being lumped into a single box, one might also ask how might these entities actually relate to the multiple entities that comprise a global AMR governance structure.
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The focus on how the Platform might serve to bring IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders is but one strand of a much larger need for any proposed communications strategy. The Platform is not just an instrument to be used, without a clearly reciprocal relationship. How does the Platform’s work inform and set priorities for attention by the GLG or key issues for the IPEA to consider? How does it ensure that financial conflict of interest is managed in its own deliberations, so that its inputs to the GLG, IPEA, Tripartite agencies and other global governance structures will carry the weight of the public’s interest and not just the advancement of pecuniary interests? Little has been shared in this Public Questionnaire of how the Tripartite agencies will be accountable to the public through entities, like the Platform, or through other public consultation and Monitoring and Evaluation processes. Yet without the Tripartite agencies demonstrating commitment and progress towards addressing AMR in these various entities, mobilizing resources, financing and support for its work will be challenging.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Apart from attracting over 200 members for the Platform in the first year, it is impossible to gauge the value, let alone the content, of these targets. We have already noted reservations over structuring the Platform as a closed plenary, no matter how seemingly inclusive, of 200 or whatever number of members, as opposed to a dynamic coalition of partners working towards actual, concrete and catalytic projects. However, the targets as proposed are troublingly vague. For the organizers of the Platform, are there not any priority goals that might serve as initial guideposts or even examples of what is envisioned as part of the shared vision, opportunities for mobilizing finances, or even collective focus of the Tripartite agencies working towards One Health efforts? Is there a framework for priority setting that might unify and guide the Platform’s efforts? Change is a vector with magnitude and direction. The above targets suggest the need for a greater magnitude of efforts, but of the many directions this momentum might take us, where is the compass for the direction?
Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No, we have provided feedback under other items that cover what we might have volunteered here. Clearly, the range of concerns flagged over the proposed structure and governance of the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Platform requires significant changes and overhauling, if not further consultation and discussions with key constituencies, before it should proceed.
Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

5 - Fully
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The responses to this Public Questionnaire, in the timeframe allotted, have been reviewed and endorsed by the following organizations, all members of the Antibiotic Resistance Coalition: 1. Alliance to Save Our Antibiotics 2. Food Animal Concerns Trust (FACT) 3. Health Action International (HAI) 4. Health Care Without Harm (HCWH) 5. IFARMA Foundation 6. Initiative for Health & Equity in Society (IHES) 7. Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) 8. Pan-African Treatment Access Movement (PATAM) 9. People’s Health Movement (PHM) 10. ReAct-Action on Antibiotic Resistance 11. Third World Network (TWN) 12. Universities Allied for Essential Medicines (UAEM) By way of background, the Antibiotic Resistance Coalition is comprised of a diverse range of organizations, mostly from civil society, and to varying degrees, each Member organization works on dimensions of AMR. To name a few examples— Our Members have sat on global advisory bodies, including the UN IACG, the Global Leaders Group, the Codex’s Task Force on AMR, and the WHO STAG on AMR. Members actively engage with government policymakers in different venues: --ReAct Africa hosts an annual conference for African governments on NAPs on AMR --EPHA and HCWH organized a Members of the European Parliament Interest Group on AMR, and --The People’s Health Movement maintains the WHO Watch that tracks the Executive Board and World Health Assembly meetings. Our Members are actively working across the intersectoral dimensions of AMR. --Examples include the Centre for Science and Environment’s virtual conference on Containing the Silent Pandemic this year, and ReAct’s Strategic Policy Program and ReAct Asia Pacific convened food groups together in Bangkok a few years ago to plan strategy and hear perspectives from our Asian NGO colleagues. On the innovation and access side, we contributed to a consultation on SECURE, the newly proposed idea of a new pathway to bring and procure key antibiotics coming to market. We also have lifted the issue of antimicrobial use in crops through discussions with CABI and CGIAR. Many of the ARC members are engaged in accountability work. This includes multiple ARC members under the U.S.-based Keep Antibiotics Working Coalition, in their Chain Reaction Scorecard tracking procurement practices of the leading restaurant chains. The Alliance to Save Our Antibiotics has tracked UK leading grocery store chains, and CSE had its double standard report of multinational food franchises. Third World Network and Public Citizen are among civil society leaders on the COVID-19 vaccine access issues. Finally, we engage with the Tripartite agencies on a whole range of fronts: -- in expert consultations (as in food production diagnostics, the Monitoring and Evaluation Framework and Behavior Change); --in WHO efforts in Fair Pricing Forum, World
Antimicrobial Awareness Week, and Regional office activities, as well as in WHA Side Event; --and prior to 2020, we used to have an annual WHO-NGO Dialogue and partnered with WHO in staging the Innovate4AMR global student design competition.

**Q24**
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

**Not sure,**
We eagerly look forward to seeing how the Tripartite Agencies take up concerns and issues raised in this feedback on the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Platform from the Antibiotic Resistance Coalition, so that we can effectively gauge how best we might contribute, what form that might take, and whether we have the bandwidth and resources to participate. The Coalition’s members hope to learn more about how the Tripartite agencies will address particularly issues of equity in participation by civil society groups and LMIC stakeholders; support projects conceived and nurtured under the Forum’s efforts; and provide an opportunity for the Tripartite Agencies to share their challenges in being accountable in making milestones and progress on the GAP on AMR.

**Q25**
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

**Not sure,**
The Antibiotic Resistance Coalition sees itself as a potential member of one or more Cluster Groups, as currently defined. Our diverse membership includes groups that could be classified as civil society (e.g. Alliance to Save our Antibiotics, Consumers International, Keep Antibiotics Working, European Public Health Alliance, Health Care Without Harm, IFARMA, Instituto Brasileiro de Defesa do Consumidor, Pan-African Treatment Access Movement, People’s Health Movement, and Third World Network), intergovernmental organizations (e.g., South Centre), and academia (e.g., American Medical Student Association, ReAct network’s regional nodes, and Universities Allied for Essential Medicines).
Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

The Antibiotic Resistance Coalition also has concerns about the way in which the public questionnaire process for the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Forum is being conducted. First, by making the submissions confidential, the process for shaping the governance of the Forum is not transparent. Ironically, a key guiding principle put forward for the Forum is transparency, yet when it comes to setting the governance of the Forum, the inputs are wrapped under the cloak of confidentiality. This is not an auspicious start to the transparency that is a cornerstone to good governance of the Forum. Previous consultations by the Tripartite have operated in a more transparent manner (e.g., the publication of all comments received and with attribution to those submitting this input for the Terms of Reference for the One Health Global Leaders Group and the Independent Evidence Panel for Evidence). By not making the submissions to this Public Questionnaire transparent, this seems like a step backward at a time when the Global Leaders Group's deliberations in developing its Action Plan was similarly not transparent. It also hides whether consultations are being held to “check the box” of having done so, but without meaningful inputs from key constituencies. To correct this misstep, we would recommend that the Tripartite Secretariat ask all of those submitting comments whether, in retrospect, they would be willing to post publicly their submission to this Public Questionnaire and that regardless, the Tripartite Secretariat make transparent the percentage, by Cluster Area, of those refusing to make their submissions available in a public posting. Rather than sharing all submissions on a public website, the results of the public discussion will only be reported in aggregate. We urge the Tripartite Joint Secretariat to post all submissions and to consider only those that are made transparent and that are attributed to an individual or organization. In its aggregate reporting, it would be important to provide information that allows potential Forum participants to assess whether inputs came in from all quarters, notably from civil society groups and LMIC stakeholders. For its part, the Antibiotic Resistance Coalition will post on a public website its comments submitted as part of this Public Questionnaire process.
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
AMR Patient Alliance, IAPO Patients for Patient Safety Observatory

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Switzerland

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes, but in addition it should also be accountable to other stakeholders (especially citizens and the public), as ultimately the platform, the Tripartite and its governing bodies are publicly funded entities.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

AMR is truly cross-sectoral and we need more supporters, not only at global, but more so on local and individual levels. But, defining criteria for membership might be a very hard task. If we are to tackle the AMR sooner rather than later, it’s very relevant to involve as many stakeholders as possible, particularly at local and national levels. Some of the main drivers of AMR (e.g. antibiotic misuse, lack of IPC) are behaviour-related and need to be addressed at individual/settings level (in addition to policy-level for creating enabling environment). This entails enabling wide membership approach, whereby - besides the sector in which the institution/organisation belongs - the applicant will also have to either: 1) prove previous activities and commitments to combatting AMR (these would be experience-sharing members) or 2) be able to demonstrate interest and willingness to contribute to the combatting AMR (new stakeholders to widen the fight, expand awareness etc.). Our AMR Patient Alliance brings together patient and citizen organisations who already work in the field or are interested to expand their work in the area of AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All mentioned above are very relevant actions. In addition to the above: 1) Enlist all repositories with useful information materials - Our AMR Patient Alliance is creating a repository of useful educational and advocacy materials for patients, patient groups and civil society; similar knowledge hubs exist from other sectors (e.g. academia: University of Oxford; industry, etc.). Some of these are available in several languages; 2) Create implementation research program that will pilot-test and upscale successful interventions

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It's just not clear where the chair will be coming from, i.e. which category of SC membership. As it is written, the chair is listed by position, and all others are listed by category (i.e. tripartite, cluster or action group), which means that the Chair would have to be appointed before/during the election of the SC, and not be elected by the other SC members (as it is written now). To resolve this, the words "...a Chair." in the composition should be removed (since the chair is a position that is elected after the SC is composed).

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In addition, other elements in the fight of AMR and in setting up Antimicrobial Stewardship need to be considered, especially in the medium- and long-term targets

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Thank you
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Civil society and academia

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Thank you for the opportunity
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Q1
Name: [REDACTED]

Q2
Email: [REDACTED]

Q3
Job title: [REDACTED]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Medecins Sans Frontieres Access Campaign

Q5
Type of organization: Civil society

Q6
Country:
Switzerland
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

MSF AC applauds the efforts of the Tripartite Organizations in leading the development of this critical work on evidence-based global governance. As it is paramount for global and national policies governing access to and stewardship of antimicrobials, as well as incentive frameworks for research and development (R&D) to be catalyzed by an independent comprehensive partnership platform, MSF AC is keen to engage in this discussion for the benefit of patients and communities around the world. To that end, we put forward some points for consideration regarding the AMR Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Platform (the Platform). When defining the governance architecture to tackle AMR, the Inter-Agency Coordination Group on AMR called for creation of a Multi-Stakeholder Platform alongside increasing the role of civil society groups in “accountability, advocacy, planning, monitoring progress and ensuring responsible and prudent use of antimicrobials.” Yet as the one policy venue in the proposed AMR governance structure, where civil society groups might be potentially well represented, the Multi-Stakeholder Platform seems moreso to stand behind the Tripartite Agencies as part of a consensus than as constituents to which the Tripartite Agencies might be held accountable. Furthermore, the Platform with the unclear said complementarity between the Platform itself, the IPEA and the GLG in an absence of consensus-creating mechanisms in a diverse group of stakeholders risks falling short of an intended fulfilment of its purpose.
Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.
Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

It is essential that the needs of LMICs, and particularly neglected people, are not left behind. This must be assured through a transparent, accountable evidence generation framework – inclusive in scope of all Member States challenges and lessons learned – that also provides for civil society engagement, oversight and consultation. Transparency is currently mentioned as one of the guiding principle of governance of the Platform. Being a prerequisite for both accountability and legitimacy, we urge the Tripartite Organizations that the pathway towards the operationalization of transparency within the Platform and in its interactions with other governance bodies and beyond is likewise transparent, eg. the transparency required should extend to how the Tripartite Agencies and other governing bodies notably the Global Leaders Group on AMR relate not just to members of the Multi-Stakeholder Partnership Forum, but to the public more generally.

Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Composition of the Platform needs to practically reflect on the unique challenges communities face in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) and the stakeholders and implementers from these settings needs to be guaranteed a proportional representation. The evidence-generation and the implementational practice from low-resource settings where the most knowledge gaps lie is critical to complement the global picture in addressing the issue collectively. The form through which the Cluster and Action Groups, respectively, will be created is critical and should be conducted through an open and transparent process, adding legitimacy and independence to the Platform. The current proposal lacking mechanisms to hold the Tripartite Organizations accountable in selection and implementation processes may be in odds with sought Platform’s independence and efficiency. The principle of accountability must also be built into the process of development and formalization of the Platform.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

see above

Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
N/A

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

N/A

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
see above
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
see above

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
see above

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
N/A
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
To ensure an efficient science-policy translation, the Platform needs to be aligned with parallel governance structures, including GLG and IPEA. Currently, lack of clarity on the accountability linkages between these groups does not offer a room for a strategic discussion on the Platform's set-up with a lasting policy relevance and a robust policy for dealing with any conflict of interest. To add leverage to the process, an evidence-based, holistic systems approach with periodic reports that can inform governments, multilateral organizations and all other stakeholders should be anchored in existing Member States-led governing structures, such as WHO Executive Board meeting or UN General Assembly.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
N/A
Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
see above

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

N/A

Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
https://www.msf.org/antibiotic-resistance;
https://msfaccess.org/drug-resistant-infections

Q24

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure
Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
  Yes,
  If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
    Civil society

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
  Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Macedonian Ecological Society

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
North Macedonia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
- Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health).
- Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
- Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The basic requirements should be to have direct or indirect connection in their professional action regarding the human–animal–plant–environment
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Regarding the current situation in North Macedonia practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level should be the first action needed.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

- Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

- Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans;
- Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR;
- Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

- Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy;
- Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No comments
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

1 - Not at all

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Perssonaly by mine opinion YES, however from organisational point of view this topic should be discussed among mine superiors!

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no comment
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
United Nations Foundation

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
United States
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The proposed platform is relevant, timely, and necessary to convene diverse AMR stakeholders.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
All the objectives are relevant. Although the "Taking action" objective is the most challenging to deliver on, it is also the most critically needed, particularly at country level.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Again, we would emphasize the importance of inclusivity and representation of national and subnational stakeholders.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
It would be helpful to understand the governance structure of the Platform, namely, who will represent the Platform in communications with the Tripartite DGs.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Presumably, membership will be available on a rolling basis following the initial call to join.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance to the AMR ecosystem and stated organizational commitment to action on AMR in the coming ~3 years.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The size of the action groups will be important to consider. Groups that are too large risk difficulty establishing consensus and/or diminished engagement. It is unclear whether the action groups will be open to “all members of the platform” or selected and put forward by each cluster group. Governance and decision-making processes within each action group should be defined.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It depends on how many Action Groups are allowed. Implementation of multisectoral / One Heath approaches would be a valuable addition.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Referring to an earlier response, it would seem appropriate for cluster groups to be open to all membership, and for cluster groups to put forward names for inclusion on action groups.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This information isn't sufficiently clear to make a decision. What is an adequately high level? What criteria defines eligibility for participating in Action Groups? If members are required to disclose details of legal status, governance, etc., this should be included in earlier question re: criteria for membership.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Agree in principle. The steering committee should include as many Action Group leads as needed to correspond with the number of Action Groups (not previously indicated that there would be 3 maximum).

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Relationship between steering committee, GLG and IPEA is unclear.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Seems appropriate in principle, though more detail is needed on flow of information from Action Groups to CT.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Agree in principle, though targets would benefit from a higher level of ambition (especially long-term targets), and greater specificity.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The MSPP is valuable and needed. We are eager to see this initiative move forward. We generally agree with the parameters outlined above, and look forward to developing a deeper understanding of certain mechanisms and modalities for the platform, as indicated above.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

4 - Actively
The United Nations Foundation actively seeks to bring together voices from across the AMR ecosystem to sustain political will and attention on AMR.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify?

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Civil society

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Thank you for the opportunity to contribute; we look forward to next steps.
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Pegasus-Zion Community & Environmental Health

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Nigeria
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The purpose of the Platform will be more relevant if the objectives are not 'freely general' but 'freely specific', as human-animal-plant-environment interface are not same or well taken into cognisance in many developing countries, especially, as governmental data and reports are usually more political than genuine, and mostly at significant variance from the obtainable data on the fields and at grassroots.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
There is need for the Platform’s Stakeholders to be inclusive of relevant youths as well, particularly, through academic curricular of Agricultural Science as subject at elementary and secondary education, and as a course or degree at the Higher Education.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard, it is important that the Platforms have Resource Persons that would be readily available to partake, especially, on invitations, in workshops, conferences, symposia, etc., in order to harvest more genuinely relevant data, and to be able to disseminate appropriate information globally; through, very important Cluster Groups.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

-
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Action Groups may also have to be Regional for easier and more far-reaching Global workings.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

- 

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Academic and research institutions Cluster Group should include Elementary and High School Education.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Since the Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time, there should be recorded means of recognition of their time and efforts such as branded Platform Certificates of Appreciation / Recognition.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It would be appropriate if virtual Plenary Session can be bi-annually, one before the physical meetings. The Plenary Session, and the second after the physical Plenary Session. The world is in subtle pandemic, which is AMR pandemic. The Platform can therefore, not wait for one-dose annual plenary meeting for Members to be together!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes,</td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A major missing link in combating AMR is not recognising the importance of The Higher Education, as an independent organ much needed to address the global menace of AMR, particularly, in developing countries, where antimicrobials are not controlled or properly controlled.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q20</th>
<th>Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q21</th>
<th>Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The tragedies of adulterated, fake, and sub-standard antimicrobials should also take front stage in the AMR Platform’s Objectives.
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

3 - Moderately
My organisation, Pegasus-Zion Community & Environmental Health, Nigeria, is a grass-root Non-Governmental Organisation that is currently addressing the challenge of AMR at the communities and educational levels.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:


Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Steering Committee should ensure that Cluster Groups and Action Group Members assist with translation of Very important documents and findings of AMR Platform into local / native languages, for wider outreaches. There should be interactive section on the AMR Platform website(s), where the public can freely ask questions and also obtain first-hand information.
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Veterinary Chamber of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina

Q5
Type of organization:
Civil society

Q6
Country:
Bosnia and Herzegovina
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Only with multi sectorial approach there is possibility to put under control development and progress of AMR.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.
Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In order to have successful approach in solving certain problems it is necessary to have professionally organized chain of command with fully involvement of “decision makers”.

Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Efforts should be made to involve as many different participants as possible, in order to complete the approach in the fight against AMR. As an example of alleged shortcomings in the past when environmental representatives were very small, or not represented at all, which resulted in a great deal of ignorance about residues and amounts of antimicrobial substances in the environment.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Each membership should be supported by verified knowledge in the field of AMR (years of experience, participation in the work of national and international institutions dealing with problematic AMR, published scientific and professional papers)
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey

Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of them, but with priority of practical implementation of activities at country level (“Find a solution to the lack of commercial incentives to develop a new type of antibiotic”)

Yes

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Proposed structure is good, perhaps in addition to make description of communication among individual structures.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Commented in the previous answer.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It should be considered the length of long-term plans. (It should have in mind that no new antimicrobial agent has been developed for several last decades.)

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

When the work of the platforms comes to life, only then we will be able to see if there are certain shortcomings, of if something needs to be changed or improved.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As a longtime veterinary practitioner, and in addition a university professor, my contribution can be reflected in giving guidance to veterinarians in the field on the prudent use of antibiotics.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
-
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Public Health England

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
UK
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Working or collaborating with national public health bodies or government agencies - this could include civil society, academia, research foundations
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

surveillance activities; intervention groups; developing global research networks

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

think the cluster groups should be multisectoral to forge collaborations and insights - by keeping each of the clusters separate it promotes exclusivity
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

| Yes |

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

| Yes |

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

| Yes |

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

| No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: each action group should have members from govt, finance, civil, university/ research and private sector |
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fully</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No, given my previous answers - I would prefer if there were no clusters

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.
Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Respondent skipped this question
Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: Health

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: India

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7  
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8  
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Public Health, Microbiologist, Physician
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best Practices

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Formation Hospital infection control committee in all hospitals
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Have passion for AMR
Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: FAO

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Viet Nam
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Leave no one behind
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Changes have to happen at sub-national level. Can this platform facilitate and support the changes at sub-national level?
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Have the authority to take action
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Behavior and society change communication

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Remove Global Leaders Group and Steering Committee
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Commitment is nothing without action. The long term targets should include actions not just commitment.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The questionnaire took more than 15 minutes:)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☆ 4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: UN agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
NSW Health

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Australia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The suggestions seem like a good starting framework for membership.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Yes

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Cannot access the image
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>★</td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

- No

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

- No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

- Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
WHO

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Liberia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Basic to advanced knowledge in AMR, IPC; commitment to taking action to address AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Research; resource mobilization

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
| Q16 | Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. | Yes |
| Q17 | Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. | Yes |
| Q18 | Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. | Yes |
| Q19 | Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? | Yes |
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible.

Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

- Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

- Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans;
- Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR;
- Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

- Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy;
- Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

3 - Moderately

Financial resources remain a major challenge in addressing AMR

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
AMR Focal Point, WHO

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

None
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
FAO

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Ukraine
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

dkancks
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
cndn

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
FAO

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Italy

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think we need a capacity building element
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The stakeholders on the grounds should not be only heard, but they should be allowed to lead.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
How does this ensure that the on-the-ground stakeholders will be engaged as key stakeholders?

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

We should allow stakeholders whose political and scientific knowledge may not be extensive, but have strong experience in the field to participate.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will propose specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Consulting the prescribers/users in the field is necessary.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Not necessarily a document, but a vision carefully constructed from the ground up that is owned by all.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
How do we ensure inclusion of marginalized groups?
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

No,
Have we defined Global Leaders Group, Independent Panel on Evidence, etc. above? Who is accountable to whom?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
As it is, it looks as if WHO has to go through OIE and FAO before reaching the Steering Committee.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Start awareness raising and capacity building immediately with emphasis on the ground level, including ordinary citizens.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23  
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)  
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>★</td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24  
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)  
No

Q25  
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)  
No

Q26  
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:  
Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Sicilia "A. Mirri"

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Italy

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I hope that is going to be high multidisciplinary in the contest of ONE-Health approach and the involvement of political level is highly recommended.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think that it will be very important also the involvement of school at all levels to involve many young people in these actions.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As I stated I think that educational campaigns at local level involving also students might be effective to involve more people.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

members should be people taking care of the topic since one year at minimum maybe more, but also people with good communication skill in public health emergencies.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All actions mentioned but also the organization of educational campaigns toward high-school students but also primary school children with activities that involve also their partens. In this way a capillary sensibilization is reached.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
For me it is important the involvement of schools
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

3 - Moderately
There are some people like myself addressing the problem but is not considered a priority by the General Manager

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey

#9

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 12:43:25 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 1:48:00 PM
Time Spent: [Redacted]
IP Address: [Redacted]
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Govt. of Delhi, India

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: India
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I am interested to involve.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance on AMR activities.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Advocacy, Practical Implementation.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

As an individual expert, our knowledge must be considered too.

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☆</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

[redacted]

Q2
Email:

[redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:

Malawi government- Central veterinary laboratory

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

Malawi

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It should be compulsory to have already partaken in country AMR activities to ensure a basic understanding of the topic.
Q13  
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.  

Yes

Q14  
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Creating standarsized one health framework and methodologies that can be used across all sectors

Q15  
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.  

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I agree but you must remember those from lmics do not make enough money to travel internationally and can take time to convince high level government officials to release funds

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20 Yes

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21 Yes

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively

Partaking in Fleming fund grant

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,

If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Government

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

None
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
USAMD-AFRIMS

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Thailand
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Educating the population as part of the effort.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

An ability to contribute a body of work to support the work of the group.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Research data to support the action being put forward.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Conducting surveillance of AMR bacteria but has plan to expand effort into clinical setting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Naivasha Sheep and Goat Breeding Station

Q5
Type of organization: 
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: 
Kenya

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To link up with country institutions relevant in this field

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey

Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
An share with each country
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Any persons dealing with animals at any level and capacity
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

cascade this to farmers associations/breed associations at country level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q23</strong></th>
<th><strong>Question</strong></th>
<th><strong>Response</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</td>
<td>1 - Not at all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q24</strong></th>
<th><strong>Question</strong></th>
<th><strong>Response</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q25</strong></th>
<th><strong>Question</strong></th>
<th><strong>Response</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q26</strong></th>
<th><strong>Question</strong></th>
<th><strong>Response</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td>Awareness and publicity is needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
SAARC Agriculture Centre

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Bangladesh
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Should involve Regional organization

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical
challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the
work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple
disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–
environment interface (One Health). Transparency and
open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent,
including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on
its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at
national, regional and global levels across all relevant
disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and
inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will
attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the
platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The
Platform will seek to achieve a balance between
inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the
voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability
principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to
the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing
bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Willingness
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All mentioned above should be gradually initiated to move forward.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Livestock farmers, feed manufacturers, vet. pharmaceuticals should also included as separate clusters
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Should be also represented by poultry/ pig/ cattle/ buffalo/ goat/ sheep and cooperative organization

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Excellent initiatives to mitigate AMR challenges with collective responsibility.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes, My organization head/secretariat could confirm it.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Regional organization under the umbrella of SAARC

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey

Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
FAO of UN

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Ethiopia

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
country teams can be the building blocks

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Expertise and hands on experiences on the ground
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Work bottom-up and in reverse order up on syntheses

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All but tested. I have been contributing throughout the process for more than a decade on AMR, however, I did not see continuity of work and contribution at global level. I would suggest that this be improved.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would suggest strong at the base than heavy headed and merit based and hands on experiences.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
may need some improvement

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
as above

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
as above

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But simplify the matrix as often seems complex
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
as above

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
But where are all what has been initiated before? Are we starting from the scratch?

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

All but tested. I have been contributing throughout the process for more than a decade on AMR, however, I did not see continuity of work and contribution at global level. I would suggest that this be improved.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|     | Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.| 5 - Fully

All but tested. I have been contributing throughout the process for more than a decade on AMR, however, I did not see continuity of work and contribution at global level. I would suggest that this be improved. Strengthen the base.

| Q24 | Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)      | Yes

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

I have been contributing to the global teams and would like to continue sharing.

| Q25 | Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)              | Yes

| Q26 | If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below: | I will continue contributing at any level through the multidisciplinary education backgrounds and experiences gained over the years. |
#42

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, August 19, 2021 9:49:00 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, August 19, 2021 10:06:00 AM
Time Spent: 
IP Address: 
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity: FAO

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Mozambique
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?
The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To be more effective the platform should only have the action groups

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

na
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

all of the above

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Global leaders group and independent panel of evidence are they relevant, when we have all components? If too big a structure it may became ineffective

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.
Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
short and medium term are already in place in many countries. What we need urgently is global commitment and this should be the short term, or we may forget the current burden of AMR if we wait 10 years

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
NO
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fully</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
UN

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
FAO

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
SUDAN
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Aware with the consequences of AMR and commitment
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

- 

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q23</strong></th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q24</strong></th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q25</strong></th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Q26</strong></th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Health Security Partners, Fleming Fund Country Grant Pakistan

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Pakistan
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard. Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance to AMR
Q13  Yes

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

veterinary drug prescription guidelines, AM stewardship programs

Q15  Yes

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✭</td>
<td>5 - Fully Health Security patners, USA is sub-gratee of Fleming Fund Country Grant Pakistan. HSP leads on tackling AMR in the animal health sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Yes, Leading AMR and AMU surveillance and KAP surveys in the animal health sector and working towards capacity strengthening of federal and sentinel laboratories.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Yes, International and regional organizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If yes, could you please specify?Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Q26 | If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below: | NA                                                                                           |
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey
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Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
OIE

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Kazakhstan
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

should have a global vision.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of the activities

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Anyway clusters could lead to not work together

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
International organisation

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
NO
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
FAO-RAP

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Thailand
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It could be made more specific. It remains quite generic at this stage.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?  
Yes  
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.  
Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Interesting approach!

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I would make quite open. By joining, organizations could have to sign a declaration that they are joining with the aim to contribute to the fight against AMR. Organizations could have to explain why they would like to join (there could a list of answers to tick, related to different reasons for joining the platform). A company that has nothing to do with AMR should be excluded.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
I wonder how sustainable will be this platform and thus, if the monitoring of impact will be feasible. Organizations need to be engaged in the long run. Good if the platform aims to last long. Very relevant otherwise.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I support the practical implementation of activities. For the best practice guidelines: ok, but there are already many such guidelines, so they should be complementary and not conflicting guidelines.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Will NGOs and veterinary associations fall in civil society organizations?

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey

Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Not sure what is meant by "adequately high-level of their organizations". Proportionally instead of adequately?

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Partners need to have a forum for networking that operates on a continuous basis, right?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The targets are relevant but hard to measure.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

It takes much more than 10-15 minutes to fill out ;)

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Congratulations for the work done so far!
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
State Department of Livestock

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Kenya
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**Q7**

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

There's no time to watch or wait any longer. This should be expedited or else we'll continue loosing lives even to minor infections..

**Q8**

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Emphasis on research and development of novel therapeutics to mitigate against already existing AMR.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Good knowledge of AMR aspects especially at research consistency in follow up and execution of agreed action plans.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Active influence on government/relevant authority

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations. Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR. Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

3 - Moderately
There's need to raise the momentum on awareness among especially drug sellers and users alike

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I have done research on AMR and published a paper and also have a personal experience as a victim of AMR

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Government and as well as research

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

I will be glad to be part of this very important endeavor. Thank you.
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey

#23

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 6:40:08 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 6:56:56 PM
Time Spent:
IP Address:
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Phrae Provincial Livestock Office

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Thailand
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes

No
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

AMR end users
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Information sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

How to make AMR attitude?
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I'm not a leader of organization

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

How to share to another people to know about AMR.
#24

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 4:12:20 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 9:29:59 PM
Time Spent: [Redacted]
IP Address: [Redacted]

Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: African Sepsis Alliance

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Nigeria

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Members who are stakeholders, directly in relations to AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Advocacy and more advocacy, enforcement of compliance of the guidelines, building capacities in research and collaborative research.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Need to involve those at the bedsides of the patients, frontline staff in policy and decision making
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Still you need to involve the communities frontline staff
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>⊀ 1 - Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Technical Expert in any of the Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
National Center for Disease Control and Public Health

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Georgia

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Under MOU
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation/mentorship/training

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NA
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

NA
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Queensland Health

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Australia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance and commitment are both reasonable requirements
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

guideline creation will be challenging; focus on implementation probably best

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
There will be significant overlap between a number of these groups
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.
Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The targets are quite mild - only 200 members within a year and aiming to generate commitment at 10 years is probably not sufficient to address the challenge

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
no thanks
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, Government

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Rwanda agriculture and Animal Resources Development Board

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Rwanda
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes
The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR,
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16  
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17  
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18  
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19  
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>♠ 3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Belize

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Groups or persons working to combat AMR risks in their country or community.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Identification of funding sources to implement AMR surveillance plans.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
| Q16 | Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. | Yes |
| Q17 | Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. | Yes |
| Q18 | Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. | Yes |
| Q19 | Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? | Yes |
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO - I hope the bodies established will not be too bureaucratic and will be focused on actions in the field rather than talking and discussions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 - Slightly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is trying to identify funding to implement a national AMR surveillance plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Western Sydney Local Health District; Westmead Institute for Medical Research; University of Sydney

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
australia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?  The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes, positive approaches and bioremediation should be priority areas.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership must include commitment to and ability to take action, and these must be evident within a reasonable time frame.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

all these are useful; most important is to consider positive new approaches and how they can start to reverse the AMR cycle

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately inadequately funded

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes, I am presently managing the national surveillance for Gram negative AMR bacteria and the national bacteriophage therapy network

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

research/ clinical

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Livestock and Fisheries

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
TANZANIA

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9  Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes

The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All AMR related stakeholders
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Implementation of activities at regional level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Selected Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16 Do you think that the proposed roles and</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>responsibilities of members are appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members will be entitled to attend meetings of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Platform and will be encouraged to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participate at an adequately high-level of their</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizations, will be eligible to participate in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>their work. Members will be required to disclose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>details of their legal status, governance,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>membership, sources of funding and activities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Platform members will receive no fees or other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q17 Do you think that the proposed role and</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three representatives of the Tripartite, five</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Chair will be elected by the other members of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Platform’s strategic and financial plans,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long-term objectives and expected outputs, and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leads and Action Group leads will be elected by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q18 Do you agree with the proposed role of the</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>area for debate, coordination, decision-making,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>convergence by all stakeholders at a global level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intended to come together in the Plenary Session</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annually, in either virtual or physical meetings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Plenary Session will be attended by the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q19 Do you think the proposed structure is</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

None
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
None
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Health

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
France
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals, and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The idea is appealing, but the scope and objectives of this Platform must be clearly defined. Feasibility is key to deliver concrete outputs and a stepwise implementation is maybe needed (e.g. start with antibacterials, not all antimicrobials). The Platform should be articulated with already existing regional/national platforms or networks of stakeholders, to avoid redundancies, save time/resources and create synergies. Transparent selection criteria, with full disclosure of potential conflicts of interests, should be in place for all stakeholders. Finding the right threshold between engaging a varied group of stakeholders (governments, NGOs...) and having a manageable number of stakeholders (not too large) might also prove challenging.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
You will need innovative and user-friendly IT tools for that. The current WHO website is not the most easy-to-use tool right now.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

I suppose members will not be compensated for their time, which might be a problem. How will you deal with conflicts of interest and discrepancies between stakeholders? Will you limit the number of stakeholders? If yes, that might be a problem in terms of engagement and representativeness, unless you set up some kind of rotating membership and a flexible way to communicate with an unlimited number of stakeholders (e.g. regular webinars).

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Some kind of agreed written commitment is indeed needed. I agree with your suggestions and would add (absence or limited) conflicts of interest, past evidence of AMR action, outreach and representativeness.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Members should probably be compensated in some way for their work and time, and strongly supported by WHO-OIE-FAO for the day-to-day work. An excessive workload might be a deterrent to participation or hinder quality of work and progress.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

This needs to be agreed by the stakeholders, based on a needs' assessment. Developing best-practice guidelines is a complex and time-consuming process, I am not sure this is the main goal of the Platform here.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Gender balance is maybe not so relevant here, as the people will be nominated by their organization. Sectoral balance seems logical, but animal/plant sectors should probably count as one sector only (same 'weight' as for human and environment sectors). Stakeholders in the human sector are usually more numerous than in other sectors, so this also needs to be taken into account.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Disclosure of conflicts of interest is essential. It is important to avoid putting an excessive workload on members. Physical meetings are also important, to facilitate networking.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Strong logistical support from WHO-OIE-FAO staff is essential in order to avoid putting an excessive workload on stakeholders.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Possibly, but your figure is not self-explanatory, so I cannot say for sure.
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

There needs to be a mechanism in place to interact regularly with ALL governments, not only the ones represented in the Platform.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Regarding the first long-term objective, antimicrobial stewardship is essential, but so is infection prevention and control.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
5 - Fully
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
See antibiotiques.gouv.fr for more information

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But it will depend on internal validation + the workload and competing commitments (in particular within the national Platform we already have in France and the AMR One Health Network coordinated by the European Commission, that has some similar objectives as the Platform you are discussing here).

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The government representatives one

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
None, good luck!
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Agriculture and Lands

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Grenada
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

n/a

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

* Member being an active voice for proper use of antimicrobials (workshops, training, television programs). That member should be willing to set up a reporting mechanism to regional and international organizations on the type of antimicrobial used and how can it be accessed.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice on use and disposal of empty contents

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

N/A
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Respondent skipped this question
Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Agriculture

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Jordan
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

It also will be helpful in selecting the best anti microbial to be used in effective way to control and eliminate the diseases which affect animals.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Training courses, field experiences
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Update of the legislation towards drugs registration and importations

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Also, platform members must have encouraged for their participation and time

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But the chair person must be the CVO of veterinary department

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Veterinary association and pharmacy associates
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Short term 6 months, medium 1 year, long term 3 years

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☭</td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Nigerian Institute of Animal Science

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Nigeria
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?  
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.  
Yes

Q10  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.  
Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Experience in AMR either personally or professionally
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Advocacy and practical implementation of activities at country level are actions which will help drive our objectives because it will be country specific and we know that various countries have different work to be done in combating AMR

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The plenary session should be attended by all stakeholders

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

We should also partner with the FAO learning center to develop more trainings for professionals and the general public on AMR.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Looking forward to working together with experts and professionals to combat and proffer solutions to AMR in a one health approach.
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
MoH

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Oman
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

experience, accountability and competency
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

governance

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☆ 3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: policy making and governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
National Antimicrobial Utilisation Surveillance Program

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Australia

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The call for membership should probably be broader than "the relevant Tripartite online channels"

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Perhaps there should be levels of membership - a core membership, representative of major government and non-government sectors involved or directly impacted by AMR, and then a second-tier membership for any other organisations/individuals interested in membership, to allow all interested parties to have a voice.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
There needs to be transparency and clarity around the composition of Action Groups, to ensure representativeness and that there are no conflict of interest, but also that there is sufficient remuneration of key individuals to drive the particular action(s)

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines or policy oversight is very useful for countries/states etc to develop local guidelines. Practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level requires local political endorsement.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Not sure. It is not clear from the diagram whether the WHO is involved with the Action Groups or not
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Yes, Government organisation and researcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
If yes, could you please specify?
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q1
Name:
...

Q2
Email:
...

Q3
Job title:
...

Q4
Organization/entity:
salmaniya medical complex

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
bahrain
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?  The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

the candidate should have experience in the field of AMR, has worked actually in the field and has the commitment to and able to help
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

the steps involve several important aspects: having guidelines, advocacy and training but the most important is the practical steps for implementation

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| Star | 4 - Actively |

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:

Israeli Veterinary Services

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

Israel
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Govermental officials with knowledge and ability to take actions in this issue
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Intersectional collaboration between governmental ministries, information sharing including a general and uniform database to collect all relevant information for animals and humans. In addition, practical implementation especially in all livestock sectors

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

what is the influence of the government/civil/private/etc. on the Plenary session?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectored interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Israel is looking forward to participate in this platform.

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Q23 | 3 - Moderately  
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)  
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.  
☆  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:  
We are currently in the process of writing a national plan regrading AMU and AMR. |
| Q24 | Yes,  
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:  
what does this require? |
| Q25 | Yes,  
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)  
If yes, could you please specify?  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:  
Govermental institute |
| Q26 | Respondent skipped this question  
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:  |
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO)

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Guinea
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

But the platform may need to consider including the very high authorities of countries that have advanced AMR programs.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I would include ministries of the environment as microorganisms that are resistant to different antimicrobics may also prevail in unexpected environments.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

1. Members must recognise the serious consequences of resitant microorganisms
2. Those that are willing to develop a coherent national action plan (NAP) in line with what will be proposed by the TRIPARIATE forum
3. Countries that demonstrate transparency and willingness to have a legal AMR Unit responsible for coordination and reporting
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I look forward to be supporting these action groups in their deliberations

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Research Guideline development would be a useful component

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Action Groups may need to be more than 3 depending on the reports that come out after the plenary
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

an Office of Monitoring and Evaluation unit within the Tripartite Secretariat is key to the progress and implementation of the overall AMR agenda. I think it is missing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23 Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24 Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25 Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26 If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)

Q5
Type of organization: 
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: 
Italy

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q7</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?</td>
<td>Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q8</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?</td>
<td>Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, Commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR, Affecting or being affected by AMR, Expertise/knowledge/competence on AMR
Q13

Yes

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; Maintaining an enabling environment for inclusive participation, Recommend research priorities

Q15

Yes

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would be useful to clarify in the image that the 5 in the left-hand box are the ‘Cluster groups’
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would be useful, at least for the long terms targets, to include at least of 'outcome' indicator in terms of "reduction of AMR", or of the threat thereof. I understand it is not easy to develop and monitor an indicator of this, but the present long-term targets seem focusing only on functional aspects rather than concrete impacts.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4 - Actively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It would depend on the TOR of my upcoming contracts, and it should be discussed with my supervisor and team.

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
International organizations

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
International Center for Antimicrobial Resistance Solutions

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Denmark
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The platform should be open for all persons / institutions interesting in combatting AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Problems with grouping according to institution instead of grouping to e.g. actions - is that you do not get the interaction between the different groups
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☆ 5 - Fully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
National Institute of Health Pakistan

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Pakistan
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?  
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Members should be able to show their contribution in the field. Membership should require commitment in terms of any action or activity related to AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Actions groups should consider a standard platform for execution of their activities. For example, JEE tool helps to understand the position of the members to various action package. Similarly, a tool or framework must be identified, and each member should be given opportunity to assess themselves and present themselves for the action.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>★☆☆☆☆</td>
<td>1 - Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>★★★☆☆</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>★★★★☆</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>★★★★★</td>
<td>4 - Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>★★★★★</td>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Department of Agriculture & Livestock

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Papua New Guinea
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It very important stakeholders to share information together and benefit from each other.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Data sharing as well.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes, Excellent principles

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

National Action Plan
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Both of the above

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16  Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17  Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18  Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19  Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible.

Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☆ 3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: As a Codex Contact Point for my country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It an excellent platform for all of us to share information, data and evaluate the situation as we move forward</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
National Drug Authority Uganda

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Uganda
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human—animal—plant—environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard. Yes

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Interest and relevant expertise/skills
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines; political support, advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country and sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
A more active role
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

None
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
<td>The efforts are limited in comparison to what is needed. Some resources have been allocated in the strategic plan for the next 5 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name: [Replaced]

Q2
Email: [Replaced]

Q3
Job title: [Replaced]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Mozambique
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?

The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows:

Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels.

Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors.

Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

This will be also a way for political involvement in the fight against AMR
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Within the tripartites there is a need to have a coordenator. This role can be played rotatively
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Governments should demonstrate the commitment in the fight against AMR by budgeting activities of NAPs.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Identification of research areas in order to respond some issues regarding AMR

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The civil society should include medicines manufacture companies
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
If the meeting will imply travel costs, these should be shared by Governments and the donors.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Depending on the issue to be discussed, some experts, knowledgeable people can be invited to the Plenary Session.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20  
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21  
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22  
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
WHO Ghana

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Ghana

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Provides focused and a wholistic approach to tackling AMR and avoid duplication

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Do not forget to include CSOs from start and also an effective and a critical media presence for communication on AMR.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
are the primary action groups an equivalent to technical working groups?

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

should have been a recognised and accountable member of AMR CC of a country
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of all relevant activities with support from the secretariat

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
they may not be remunirated but should be incentivised to work, ie provided the needed logistics if need be to work on an assignment

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Would propose biannual meeting to especially if its a virtual session to encourage coverage of issues
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Think all groups should be reporting to the coordinating team who should sit between the steering committee and planary.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

It’s a nice piece of governance directives. I hope others make their inputs.
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)

Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>Work in one health with the country AMR platform and the secretariat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

- Work in one health with the country AMR platform and the secretariat.

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

- Yes

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

- Yes, coordinating team

If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

- Coordinating team

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

- Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Federal Agency for the Safety of the Food Chain

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Belgium
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It is relevant to also hear the voice of organisations from areas affected by AMR but mainly as ‘collateral damage’, who have no ability to commit to combating AMR. Representatives must have a good (technical) knowledge of AMR.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Overview of international standards and guidelines. Best practice examples with proven result.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The action groups are composed of members of the platform and should be directly linked to the members box. Action groups report directly to the steering committee. There should also be a link between the two. A description of the coordinating team is welcomed.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
No
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>★</th>
<th>4 - Actively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
National Government

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
### Part I: Respondent Information

**Q1**
Name:  

**Q2**
Email:  

**Q3**
Job title:  

**Q4**
Organization/entity:  
Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Marine Resources - Libya  

**Q5**
Type of organization:  
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations  

**Q6**
Country:  
Libya  

---
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9  Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

the true desire
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Community partnership so that everyone bears their responsibilities

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The United Nations Environment Program should be part of the structure.
Q20  
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21  
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22  
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

 severity: 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Strongly

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:
[Redacted]

Q2
Email:
[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:
[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
FAO

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Italy

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Very relevant to bring together people across the human-animal-plant-environment interface. The Platform need to be enlarged.

---

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The Platform’s membership shall include sectors that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, civil society private sector need to be focussed

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

A mechanism of qualified membership need to be established. Members should be more proactively engaged and contributing.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines are really needed.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No additional comments and suggestions. Thanks
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: 1

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

A

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

A
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Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Respondent skipped this question
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Respondent skipped this question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Department of Livestock Development

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Thailand
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?
The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes

The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevant to some aspect of AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20  Yes

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21  Yes

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Nothing
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Hong Kong
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The role of member is directly related to combating AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best Practice guidelines for veterinarians, livestock owners, pet owners, pharmacists and general public

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>★</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
NIPH

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Slovenia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Active participation and motivation
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Novel data sharing and analysis for research

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.
Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
### Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

### Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

### Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

### Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Research for targeted actions
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Australia

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I agree with the philosophy of this however I feel that you may not necessarily get the right people as these organisations do not widely consult for representation and it is very clicky

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

You should look at a wide, diverse and equal representation from different regions/jurisdictions, experience (human/animal/plant) and potential circles of influence (to disseminate and influence change)
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; best practice guidelines/standards; advocacy and information sharing; education; ancillary tools such as fact sheets or implementation tools

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
but have the power to make an influence on the ground through their organisation or through policy changes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
this is essential and should be done frequently and widely

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
anything on developing and discovering new antimicrobial agents? improving antimicrobial use in primary care?

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☆</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [redacted]

Q2
Email: [redacted]

Q3
Job title: [redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: SDC

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Switzerland

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should require ability to take action and to stay member, progress has to be achieved.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Special attention has to be given to the existing power imbalance between groups and invest in finding ways to mitigate them as well as work with transparent conflicts of interest approaches - not just declarations of not having such.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Attention has to be paid that progress on the ground is more relevant than progress with plans only

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines and sharing implementation experiences

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
interdependencies have to be made transparent (regarding finance, but also governance structures/ decision bodies etc)

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
pay attention that it serves more than just another talking/info sharing round

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
not visible
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

might be

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>STAR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency - Anvisa

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Brazil
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

In my opinion all organizations from countries, regardless of social and economic level, could qualify for membership. However, countries and organizations must have as state policy the engagement against antimicrobial resistance, through a national plan that is in line with the global plan.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The country’s experience and level of progress in relation to its state policy for engagement against antimicrobial resistance. The constitution of scientific committees composed of specialists in the subject.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Cluster Groups should be maintained as administrative groups, as well as advisory groups for global issues related to the topic.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Cluster Groups can actively participate in plenary sessions, bringing questions to discussions.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>★ 4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
JORDAN
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

- Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health).
- Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
- Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Granting authority to act when necessary
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I think all of the above

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

good job
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

[Redacted]

Q2
Email:

[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:

FAO

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

Senegal
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

ability to take action together
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

mutisectoral coordination at high level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below. 5

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
NO
Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: EFSA

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Spain
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Have relevant backgroung
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Make frequent meetings

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Administration for food safety, veterinary and phytosanitary affairs

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Montenegro
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It should be political level for each country. In that case government should appointed adequate person who have authority to put together all actors from country
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

all of the proposed answers

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The most important is to include all stakeholders in each country which in some cases will not be easy. For example in Montenegro we have appointed national coordination body which is formed by 18 institution and they prepared National strategy from 2017 to 2021 with action plan. All of this institutions are important for AMR control.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
UK
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance to AMR research, communication and policy implementation
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Intergovernmental / cross nation agreements. Embedding a one health human/animal working framework

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Governments formal approaches should feed directly onto steering committee
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Proactive engagement with governments ahead of development should be pursued.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Happy to join in personal capacity but if formal UK Defra representation is sought then engagement with VMD should be undertaken

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
Food and Agriculture Organisation

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
India
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Need to have or lead regular discussions with stakeholders

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership may be renewable and should be action oriented. Members should be encouraged to share their work. Renewable Membership for a two year period or three year period with reminders set will be helpful.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practices at any level should be shared with a larger audience and should be encouraged.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Easy to use infographics for sharing information will be helpful to dissemination of information
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
Ensure more visibility and reach to national level and institutional level may be encouraged

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

I view AMR as a black hole, where all the life will be sucked out, if we proceed in this current pace. Though, underprivileged community will be affected first, but no one will be spared from it, therefore I need to be in thick of action

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

United Nations organisations or even Governmental Agency (I worked prior to join UN)

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Public does not know the reach of AMR issues, and may not have an idea about how it will affect ones life including themselves. Most of the public may not have heard about AMR unless they have a level of education, therefore awareness materials should aim to reach out to them clearly. School advocacy may be promoted and AMR stewardship and leadership throughout communities should encouraged if possible. Measures of Antibiotic disposal methods needs to be stressed out, either as a community bin for Antibiotic collection etc should be reached out to public awareness realm.
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
National Center for Disease Control and Public Health

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: 
Georgia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Experience and respective qualifications on AMR-related topics
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Implementation of standardized guidelines

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16  Yes
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17  Yes
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18  Yes
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19  Yes
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No further comments
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟 5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No comments
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries, Kaberamaido District

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Uganda
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Members should be one who has been a champion in mitigating AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The vision addresses the objective of the group

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Advocacy and information sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: 3

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No comment
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
GHD/EMPHNET

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Jordan
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Organizations with experience in project implementation, strong network within relevant bodies in the country, preferably regional network as well. Able to demonstrate stories with similar projects.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Data sharing, sharing of protocols and SOPs, providing training activities for cluster groups

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
A more active role should be given to certain members depending on the relevance of their work and the extent of each member's experience.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We chose the 'Government representatives, UN agencies, intergGovernmental and regional organizations,' specifically GHD/EMPHNET is a regional NGO

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
National Food Agency of Georgia, Veterinary Control Division

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Georgia

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

1. advocacy and information sharing; 2. best practice guidelines

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
**Q23**

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 - Slightly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Q24**

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

**Q25**

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,

If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Government representatives,

**Q26**

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
National Agency for Food and Drug Admin and Control

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Nigeria
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should be open to all that have stake in AMR at all levels.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Actions that are relevant to effective policy development, monitoring and evaluation should be considered.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆  5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Government Agency

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
FAO

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Brazil
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Increased awareness about this global challenge

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Fostering inclusion and Better governance

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Let’s make requirements To Ensure plurality
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Autonomy

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Please involve as many (and plural) actors as possible
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure, depending on time demand

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Be trascendental
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
COMESA

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Zambia
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Also it will help to evaluate the impact of actions (M & E)
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Multistage actors cluster (Pyramid) needs to be created to form groups from grassroot to up the ladder
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The action group needs to start at the level of Ms and should be a part of MS (Government) structure

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The action group needs to be stronger at MS, there has to be commitment at grassroot level.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
3 - Moderately

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Resource is the limiting factor

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

ok
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Gov 

Q5
Type of organization: 
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations 

Q6
Country: 
country 
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q9</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?  
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard. | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q10</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

asd
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

asf

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
asfd
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

ar

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Respondent skipped this question
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Respondent skipped this question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Embrapa Pesca e Aquicultura

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Brasil
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
relevance in the topic of AMR, as researcher or member of private sector
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO

Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Respondent skipped this question
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>Respondent skipped this question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name: _____________________________

Q2
Email: _____________________________

Q3
Job title: _____________________________

Q4
Organization/entity: USAID

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Cameroon
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
AMR is a silent killer and will be responsible for thousands of deaths in the future if nothing is done to prevent it.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think the group should also advocate for funds mobilization to support developing countries. USAID and CDC are the only partners support the Cameroon government for the moment.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

ok
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

An expertise in one of the following domains: decision makers, diseases control, clinicians, lab experts, pharmaceutics, academics in disease control, members of national or international organizations in charge of disease control etc.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
advocacy (for additional funds for AMR)

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Groups need to play more active role
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We also need the advice from experts in administrative organization.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
When you say: The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership, you are limiting participation. What about country representatives?

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fully                                                                                                                                   USAID and CDC are the only partners fully addressing AMR issues in Cameroon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

No

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

x

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

x
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR?</td>
<td>1 - Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>being fully and 1 being not at all.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the</td>
<td>Respondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>space below:</td>
<td>skipped this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Agriculture and Food Board

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Estonia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Recognising the issue and commitment
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Best practice guidelines on sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>★ 3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Q1
Name:

### Q2
Email:

### Q3
Job title:

### Q4
Organization/entity:
Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture

### Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

### Q6
Country:

Germany
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As environment is addressed as well, UNEP needs to be included.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To reach all relevant private sector partners representing that sectors that affect/are affected by AMR, publication via Tripartite online channels might not be enough, as many specialised sectorspecific associations might not regularly receive Tripartite information. Representatives of relevant sectors should actively be contacted.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment to combat AMR should not be a requirement, as the views of sectors/regions/stakeholders not committed are an important factor in AMR work.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
not only evidence and science, but also practical experience should be considered by action groups. only actions which are actually implemented by the relevant stakeholders will produce progress.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical guidance connecting AMR-related measures with other relevant activities and goals of the given stakeholder, e.g. pointing out economic benefit, wellcome side effects, etc. This kind of document needs to be sector- and region-specific.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
these cluster groups are excluding the sector/topic as relevant factor. To ensure a true One Health Approach, it should at least be tried to have voices of all sides (human, animal, plant, environment, producer, consumer, ...) should equally be included.
## Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

| Yes |

## Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

| No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: UNEP should be included |

## Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

| No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: What kind of decisions should the platform make? Does it have any legal status? UNEP senior leadership should be included. The main role should be central area for debate and exchange of views. |

## Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

| No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: The roles of the different players are not clear. |
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The title includes communication with governments and stakeholders, the text only addresses communication with global players.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
before generating global commitment on prudent use a sector-specific common understanding on what exactly is prudent use needs to be generated. UNEP needs to be included

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

A clear distinction of the work of this platform to the work of specialised global organisations like OIE, FAO, WHO or Codex Alimentarius is missing!. What exactly is the benefit of this platform justifying that those people working on AMR at a global scale should take their scarce time for yet another new institution?

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)</th>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</td>
<td>Preparation of legislation to ensure prudent use, funding of research, participation in national, regional and global expert working groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Not sure,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Yes,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</td>
<td>If yes, could you please specify?Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Q26 | If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below: | Respondent skipped this question |
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [redacted]

Q2
Email: [redacted]

Q3
Job title: [redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: IVO

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Iran

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

National AMR Focal Points
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Strong Networking in member countries

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The UNEP representative should be included

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
UNEP should be assigned a role
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The structure and representatives and position should be ratified by OIE GS of Delegates next year.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☆</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
OIE

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Botswana
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment to assisting on combating AMR through either financial or in-kind contribution. Other kinds of commitment can be acceptable such as engaging with communities, research or lay person articles, advocacy campaigns, school or varsity lectures...etc
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Public engagement, Private-public partnerships

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Should also be attended by the platform members

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Thanks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Q23 | Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.  
☆ | 5 - Fully |
| Q24 | Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)  
| | Yes |
| Q25 | Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)  
| | Not sure |
| Q26 | If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:  
| | Respondent skipped this question |
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Agriculture Kenya

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Kenya
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

An employee working in a section of government relevant in combatting AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Drive governments to revise/update laws on use of antimicrobials.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Department of Livestock Services

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Bangladesh
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

| Yes |

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

| Yes |
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Organizations and Institutes who working on AMR and/or AMU surveillance, research, and containment could be a member. Also organizations who are the key stakeholders under One Health umbrella who are working for implement AMR containment strategy could be a members of this initiative.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Policy advocacy

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Food and Veterinary Agency

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
North Macedonia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All institutions directly or indirectly involved in AMR commitment and control have to be included as stakeholders to this platform. The participants should have some professional or educational background in ATB functioning, usage and microbial Atb resistance.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It would be useful to have some “successful story” of AMR multisectoral implementation, including coordination and management of different interest group

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
### Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

### Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

### Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

### Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20  Yes
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21  Yes
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| ☆ | 2 - Slightly |

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Livestock and Fisheries

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Tanzania
Q7  
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8  
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Considerations of Professional Organizations in memberships Could add value

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Possession of required knowledge by qualifications and experience on related topics could add value in obtaining right representatives from government institutions
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

May provisional of annual Monitoring plan data Could help implementation of the Action plan

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

3 - Moderately
No solid AMR monitoring plans and insufficient Awareness raising is done on the effects of using contaminated products

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
NO
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
Department of Agriculture RFO 10

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Philippines
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Collaboration between sectors is required to ensure that strategies address the need and constraints of each sector to bring sustainable change.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

none
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Active participation on the implementation of activities at country

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

No
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,  No

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,  No

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,  No

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,  No

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: 3

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q) 
Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) 
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Directorate of fisheries

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Bahrain

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  No

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It is supposed to include decision makers
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of activities in all sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
need more description
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

/

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

there is plan to control using Antibiotic in aquaculture with cooperation with other related directorate

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

-
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Department of Animal Production and Health, Sri Lanka

Q5
Type of organization: 
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: 
Sri Lanka

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In the process of developing this kind of program involvement of policy makers also important in implementation process

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think it is better to include laboratory networking and harmonization in the objectives
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Involvement of different stakeholders is highly important since these different sectors are under different ministries and authorities.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But with the agreement of the government authority of each country.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But, under the agreement of Sri Lankan government authority

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It is highly important to do all the actions under the regulatory mechanism and the respective authority of the country
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
At least acknowledgement of their honorary service needed.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes, communication should always be through OIE/WHO delegation

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✮</td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes,</td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: with the agreement of OIE delegation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Brackishwater Aquaculture Development Center Situbondo Indonesia

Q5
Type of organization: 
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: 
Indonesia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
no
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: UNFAO

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Philippines
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Perhaps people who can practice knowledge management of relevant AMR materials could be included as well.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Information dissemination for the general public on AMR.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

---

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible.

Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

---

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4 - Actively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No other comments.
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Biosecurity Queensland

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Australia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical
challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the
work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple
disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–
environment interface (One Health). Transparency and
open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent,
including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on
its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at
national, regional and global levels across all relevant
disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and
inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will
attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the
platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The
Platform will seek to achieve a balance between
inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the
voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability
principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to
the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing
bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

agree with relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR, also, willingness to work collaboratively with other sectors
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Social and behavioural science/learnings, best practice (including laboratory) guidelines,

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Representation should be from human health, animal health, food, plant, and environment. Although I agree with the 5 cluster groups above, how can you also get the One Health spectrum across sectors?
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:

Public Health England (to become UK Health Security Agency on 1 October)

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

England
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes

- Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health).
- Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
- Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes

- The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

expertise in AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

publishing list of research needs; best practice guidelines

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Would be good to have proportionate representation from all groups
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.
Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.
Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Yes
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
virtual meetings preferred

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

thank you for this important work
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☽</td>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, could you please specify?

If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

public health agency

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Public Health England

Q5
Type of organization: 
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: 
UK
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Goals and targets should try to be set, or even the development of legislature to encourage people to take action.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I agree with the ‘relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR’. Institutes that are directly implemented in potential links to enhancing AMR, institutes that suffer as a consequence of AMR, and education institutes that can aim to raise awareness of AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Emphasis on practical implementation of steps that can be taken almost immediately for groups to follow or specific legislature or financial penalties to encourage action.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

N/A
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:

EBRD

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

UK
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

recommendation
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

AMR related legal requirements & law review

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, AMR in livestock sector is the most challenging as business & trade interest is involved.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
note that the government response tend to be slow.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Antibiotics use in livestock and aquaculture is a challenge beyond EU
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
<th>4 - Actively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
<td>If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: human health, environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td>resource mobilization is key</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Veterinary Office of Bosnia and Herzegovina

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Bosnia and Herzegovina
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

CVO informed and approved the list of proposed national stakeholders
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Sharing the national AMR plans Coordination (with all relevant sectors and identified regional and national stakeholders including FVE) Awareness raising

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At the beginning of AMR activities and would appreciate any support in further development and information / experience exchange with regional stakeholders to facilitate implementation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Q24 | Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)                                                                                                                                   | Yes |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| Q25 | Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)                                                                                                                                           | Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|     | Government                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Government |

| Q26 | If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:                                                                                                                  | NO |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

---
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Veterinary Research Institute

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Sri Lanka
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

no
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

no

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

no
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>★ 4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes,                                                                                                                                  Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes,                                                                                                                                  If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Public Authority for Agriculture Affairs and Fisheries-PAAF

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Kuwait
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Support the veterinarians in Kuwait
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice of veterinary services

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

All leaders in the veterinary services in Kuwait are not veterinarians and they don’t respect the veterinarians.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

1 - Not at all

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Support the veterinarians to become leaders in veterinary authority
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Independent Health Regulatory Entity - ERIS

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Cabo Verde
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The association must be composed of elements who know the AMR or work directly or indirectly with it. There must be commitment, responsibility and engagement to fight AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

In addition to the aforementioned, monitor the implementation of the national action plan, promote the semi-awareness of AMR to different parties involved (human, animal, environmental), promote debates at the academic level, among others.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The proposed group should be more active mainly in promoting actions to combat AMR and in advocacy.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

4 - Actively
It has occasionally developed actions to promote the correct use of antimicrobials. It has actively participated in the actions of the National Plan for the Fight against Antimicrobial Resistance, which is in the implementation phase.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Government institutions

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
EU Commission

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Belgium

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It would be good to have more specific criteria allowing to rank the candidates e.g. by assuring the outreach of organizations, active role and experience in the antimicrobial resistance fight.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

One of activities of the Action Group can be brainstorming on the update of 2015 Global Action Plan including environmental aspects.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
| Q16 | Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. | Yes |
| Q17 | Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. | Yes |
| Q18 | Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. | Yes |
| Q19 | Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? | Yes, UNEP is missing in the Steering Committee. |
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
The proposed targets seem to be very generic. They should be more concrete (for example update of 2015 Global Action Plan) that would help to easily assess them in the future.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>★ 4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:

Public Health Center of the MOH of Ukraine

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

Ukraine

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The problem of AMR spread is extremely important for our country. We want to bring best practices and policies into our fight against the spread of AMR.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We are interested in involving all stakeholders to find ways to jointly combat the spread of AMR and strengthen cooperation.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
We fully support Platform’s guiding principles.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Ok, man, as you wish.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

We fully support this form of organization of the Platform. Each recommendation of the Platform should be supported by all experts of a particular cluster.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Both those who can share useful experiences and those who need new experiences should apply for membership in the Platform. First of all, it is necessary to involve representatives of national executive bodies that implement state policy in the field of health protection (in particular in the field of public health, infection control and infection prevention); and specialists in the field of microbiological research, hospital epidemiologists and clinical pharmacists. Also, members of non-governmental organizations should be represented, as they are highly motivated.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We fully support the vision for Action Groups.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The problem of AMR spread has been mentioned at every medical conference for decades. Advocacy and information sharing is not priority work area of the Platform but should remain among the list of Platform's actions. Some of the most important tasks today are:
- creation of a tool for assessing the consumption of antibiotics at the national level;
- integration of regional level data with the national level;
- expansion of the network of regional and national microbiological laboratories and active reporting on MDRO detection;
- dissemination of training programs in the field of infection control and prevention (ICP) and Antibiotic Stewardship directly to health care professionals, pharmacy and laboratory staff;
- making changes to legal documents in order to prevent the release of antibiotics without an electronic prescription.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We fully agree with the proposed Cluster Groups.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We fully agree proposed roles and responsibilities of members.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We support proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We support this decision.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We support the proposed structure of the Platform.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The recommendations of the Platform should be implemented by all members of the Tripartite Group and the governments of the participating countries.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Item “Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy” should be moved to the medium-term targets block.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
The Public Health Center of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine is a key executive body that implements public policy in the field of public health. The tasks of PHC of the MOH of Ukraine include: - development of draft regulations (orders of ministries) and submission of proposals and amendments to regulations (orders of ministries, laws of Ukraine) in the field of public health; - conducting epidemiological research and investigations; - conducting trainings for doctors, pharmacists, and laboratory staff in the field of IPC and Antibiotic Stewardship; - conducting a broad information and educational campaign among the general population on the prevention of infectious and non-infectious diseases; - development of tools for assessing the consumption of antibiotics at the national level; - development of assessment tools spread of AMR.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q) Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below: no
**Q1**
Name:

**Q2**
Email:

**Q3**
Job title:

**Q4**
Organization/entity:
Ministry for Primary Industries

**Q5**
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

**Q6**
Country:
New Zealand
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Qualifications and association to appropriate organisation
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

N/A
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Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Fisheries

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Mozambique

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It will contribute to standardize the actions to be taken to contain antimicrobial resistance at the global level.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard. Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. Yes
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Interest in containing RAM through multidisciplinary actions
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Summary of most advanced countries in the implementation of activities and the most backward countries

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| ★ | 5 - Fully |

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Veterinary Services

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Nigeria
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is a timely initiative if the war against antimicrobial resistance will be a success

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Information sharing is vital, bring all stakeholders perspective on board
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Very necessary

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
There must be a governing body/ overseer to guide the initiative goals.
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Breaking the process in clusters will allow more to be accomplished in a specified time frame.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Interested personnel /stakeholder that is determined to stem the AMR scourge.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

As stated above.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
No one will participate actively and follow guidelines if no money will be received.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>1 - Not at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: FAO

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Tanzania
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Multi-prong approach should be used for tackling AMR.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
The platform may also be a think tank to review some progress made and make important stakeholders' contributions.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes, AMR is also an OH issue.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Significant interest in AMR and AMU issues, commitment to the same issues.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The Action group should focus on how best to transform scientific/technical discussions on the platform to translational/action research, for example by bringing social science dimension into it and create societal ownership.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But there should be inter-cluster interactions so as not to create silo approach, or there should be time to time fora for open discussion wherein each cluster will present updates.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes, I will like to know where key steering and advisory groups on AMR globally fit.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The structure and final clustering will determine to have geographical, disciplinary and sectoral balance.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: DA-RADDL 4A

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Philippines
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Has the ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

conduct of research on AMR

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

3

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

none so far
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Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Bureau of Animal and Plant Health Inspection and Quarantine, Council of Agriculture, Executive Yuan

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Chinese Taipei

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

OIE Member Countries Relevant Research Institutes Relevant Universities
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

We agreed with all the examples described. No other comment.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No.

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
OIE National Focal Point for Veterinary Products

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No.
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
National Centre for Infectious Diseases

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Singapore
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Interest, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Guidelines, actions for implementation

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Administrative
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no

Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Respondent skipped this question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Office National de Sécurité Sanitaire des Produits Alimentaires

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Morocco
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform should also promote best practices

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform should be in different languages and propose materials in different languages
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Totally agree

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Totally agree
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should be agreed by UN agencies representatives with advice of different countries authories
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
No comments

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

To share training material including laboratory SOPs. May be videos sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Each cluster group should have representatives of human, veterinary, plant and environment sectors
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
No comments

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
No comments

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
No additional comments

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
No additional comments
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
No additional comments

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
No additional comments

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)

Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>No additional comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

No additional comments

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

No additional comments

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,

If yes, could you please specify?

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Government representatives

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No additional comments
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: Ministry for Primary Industries

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: New Zealand
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

This will depend on how encompassing the platform wishes to be, balanced against the logistical challenge of managing a very large membership. It may be appropriate to specify types of organisation that would automatically gain membership and then outline criteria for other organisations to join. It is assumed individuals would not be eligible for membership unless there were special reasons to do so. There also should be strong commitment to attend and contribute at meetings.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

- Infection prevention and control – best practice and exploring for novel methods to implement IPC. They need to also take into account the resources and situation in different countries or regions, a one fits all approach is not appropriate. • Surveillance in all sectors including collection of AMU data • Economic analysis of the impact of AMR in the agricultural sector

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

It would be useful to have a cross cutting mechanism to minimize silo approach by each cluster group.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
How often will the membership and leads for each cluster be reviewed?

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is assumed funding to attend the meetings will be at members own expense

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
WHO

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
United Kingdom
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Not only to take action but to share informations on successes and failures. To facilitate accountability by making available information on actions being taken, etc.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The AMR agenda would benefit also from incorporating a broader approach of sustainability - how can we live healthy lives in a sustainable way? how can we live and interact with this planet in a sustainable way? what are the sustainable ways of ensuring our continued well being?
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

organisations active in the field of AMR or those who are impacted by AMR should be represented.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

agree with all of the above. Also mobilization of patient groups to promote awareness and change.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?  
Yes,  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Also an online platform for sharing information?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible.

Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
long term targets could also include advocacy for WASH infrastructure, appropriate use of other tools such as vaccines, development of new drugs, etc

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟 5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
NONE
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Q1
Name: [REDACTED]

Q2
Email: [REDACTED]

Q3
Job title: [REDACTED]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Department of Agriculture Regional Field Office 3

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Philippines

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I'm interested to know result of researches on AMR

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Members should agree on the commitment required for each members.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Harmonization and sharing of laboratory protocols in conducting AMR researchers.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

No

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
FAO

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Egypt
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform may also envision to provide technical advise to the GLG.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? Yes
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It would be important to reduce layers of groups in order to minimize complexity of the platform.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

- role in AMR work at any level; - interest, relevance, mandate and commitment to contribute to the implementation of GAP and/or NAP; - direct and indirect contribution to the emergence and/or impact of AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Need to ensure synergy and linkage of these AGs with existing technical platforms such as the Codex Alimentarius, etc.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

-Action planning; - Standardization of actions; - Guidelines; - Monitoring of implementation

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
What would be the role of cluster groups, which is different from the AGs? Need to critically reflect in order to avoid multiplicity of sub-groups under the platform. Maybe it would be better to keep them as administrative groups.
### Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

**Yes**

### Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

**Yes**

### Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

**Yes**

### Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

**Yes,**

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

May need to clarify linkage between clusters and AGs.
Q20  
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21  
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22  
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Great initiative!
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☀ 4 - Actively</td>
<td>My organization is at the forefront in the fight against AMR.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The cluster that includes UN Agencies.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: Swedish Government Offices

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: SWEDEN
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The objectives are relevant, but the concept of "stakeholder" is so broad and inclusive that it is difficult to assess whether the goals can be achieved. Evaluation of the objectives might prove challenging.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes. It is important to protect the platform's openness and independence in its daily work, discussions, and proposals.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is very positive that the membership includes such variation of sectors and disciplines. It is important to have structures in place to ensure proportionality of influence, so that the focus on issues impacting human, animal and environmental health is maintained. Also, geographic and economic representativeness is important and any imbalances in the GLG and IPEA should be compensated.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Important to ascertain balance in geographic, gender and economic representation, as well as between private, public and non-governmental organizations. The precise requirement for membership is complex but should be elaborated with this goal in mind. Clear and transparent recruitment procedure is important.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The above description is more of a work plan than a vision, which is why a vision should be developed. In addition, a clarification is needed of how members will participate in the different action groups. Will members participate in their own capacity or as representatives of their cluster group or both? Please clarify how proportionality and balance will be maintained in the groups?

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best to let the specific actions develop with time, based on the knowledge and competence of the group and allowing their actions to be adapted to the needs of the situations. Engaged people create successful action.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please clarify the meaning of administrative role. It may be useful to divide the participants in different ways depending on the topics discussed. It is important that there are structures in place that facilitates communication between the groups and sufficiently dynamic to allow for action. In order to structure the work of the platform, the groups could be sorted under specific themes such as for example the ones highlighted in the GLG action plan.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Important to have a broad representation at the meetings, economic status should not be a factor. Sweden recommends that support for the groups should be possible ad hoc based on needs in emerging situations. Disclosing budget details and the like, is probably not possible for government stakeholders.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Important to secure geographical, gender and economic representation in this group.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please clarify relation between cluster group and action group (in both text and figure). Is the relationship between the Tripartite and the Platform as horizontal as the figure suggests?

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.
Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Some of the targets are goals rather than targets, clarify. If targets are used, it is important that they are reachable. Indicators are useful to include in planning. Suggestions: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices, of different economic status and a balance across regions globally; Build upon the already existing global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; multi-sectoral one health approach; Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way, to prevent spread of infections and to develop new treatments, diagnostics etc, to ensure that antimicrobials will keep their efficacy;

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
NO
Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully

NAP and measures in place

Q24

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Government representatives

Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

A big challenge will be to get a united movement forward with so many different stakeholders diverging agendas and priorities on the table. The survey response is a joint response from relevant Ministries and state authorities.
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
International Center for Antimicrobial Resistance Solutions

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Denmark
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes, we suggest to include in the description of the purpose that the platform is not only for Global level actors but also it would like to encourage the participation of regional/country level stakeholders to ensure that context specific needs are visible. Besides, the purpose should emphasize that the stakeholders should include those working with AMR specific and AMR sensitive topics. The latest group has not been given high priority in other forums/platforms.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We would like to propose that in the item “Information-sharing and networking” the following sentence is added: meaningful consultation. Meaningful consultation means that the information sharing implies bi-directional communication with regular feedback on the final decisions and the reasons to choose the selected course of action.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please include in the description of transparency and open access the implications of meaningful consultation explained in the previous question. Bi-directional communication to ensure Please emphasize in the item “inclusivity” the importance of balancing the voice of AMR sensitive and AMR- specific working groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
a) it should mention the quatripartite (UNEP), b) The accountability principle should include internal and external accountability with detail description of the procedures to ensure transparency.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please add in the description: a) membership is open to groups working on AMR specific and AMR sensitive issues, b) The media can join, c) The call for membership should be accompanied by commitment for short term actions.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

To qualify as a member the groups must demonstrate experience working on solution to solve AMR sensitive and/or specific challenges.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Greater clarity is required here. Stand-alone roadmaps from several different action groups may lead to AMR action fatigue & should be consolidated/align with & not duplicate efforts of the tripartite & countries, either individually or collectively. Space for members to put forward topics facilitating a bi-directional communication. How to secure the effective working process of these action groups?

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

This does not align with the purpose of the Action Groups mentioned above where emphasis is placed on roadmaps which implies incremental progress towards pre-determined goals. Action on topics/sub-topics should be unpacked/clarified in the context of the envisaged roadmaps. Furthermore, the groups should be the one bringing new or relevant topics not considered by formal bodies.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
These are key stakeholders who must have a voice. They should thus be active participants and not merely administrative groups. Each of these clusters should be able to weigh in on any work of the Action Groups to ensure that their perspective is heard and included as appropriate – gives meaning to inclusivity.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please include that members should declare conflicts of interest, and specify the conditions for the coverage of travel expenses for onsite meetings.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Priority setting should be a collective exercise & not the sole view of the Steering Committee whose main function should be overarching oversight and monitoring progress against agreed strategic and general workplans. The Steering Committee should be guided by a log frame and theory of change approach with milestones and process & output indicators

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please include in the description the words: consultation, adaptable best practice. Furthermore, after “convergence” add the explanation “taking due cognisance of differentially resourced One Health systems”. Finally, this is a very large group for the nature of activities to take place once a year. The agenda will have to be very structured with extensive pre-plenary preparation by members.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Include the reporting line of the Steering Committee. UNEP is glaringly omitted.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Communication should be bi-directional, from the Platform upwards & from the GLG, IPEA, TJS etc downwards.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Medium term targets resonate with the ToR of the GLG & IPEA as well as country-level NAPs. The ToR of the platform must be distinct & not duplicative. Is the platform meant to be the operational arm of the GLC & IPEA? We again caution against a proliferation of action plans – action plan fatigue. How to the roadmaps align with the GAP and tripartite-specific action plans?

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

None
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
None
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Agriculture and Food Industry of the Republic of Moldova

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Moldova
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

exchange of experience between countries
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>★ ★ ★ ★ ★ 3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Japan
Q7 Yes

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8 Yes

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✨ 5 - Fully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
USA
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership qualification should require expertise in at least one of the relevant AMR sectors (human, animal, plant, environment), with ability to take action to affect needed change.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Yes, all of the above.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Cluster Groups should have required qualifications to be experts in AMR from their specific areas of focus. Otherwise, guiding actions would potentially be led from personal perspective without scientific basis for need or realistic basis for ability to fix/change/improve.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Caution: This seems like a lot of responsibility for the Chair, so need to provide good amount of admin/support that the Chair can use/rely on.

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
From explanations provided above, yes, proposed structure seems appropriate. If there was supposed to be an image to open, that didn't work for me.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think the above makes sense. I am a little unclear of the role of the IPEA.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would suggest making the “Generate” statement much broader and stronger than just prudent antimicrobial use. I would include: global commitment to control inappropriate access to antimicrobials, so only through certified professionals (e.g., clinicians, veterinarians), to implement strong infection control/biosecurity practices across one health sectors, to clean up waters and institute appropriate sanitation globally and set needed regulations to maintain, and to work on maintaining health of humans, animals, plants, the environment in the first place so infections are avoided and antimicrobials are needed less.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
NO
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)

Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>As much as absolutely possible, on a regular growing basis, from the space we are responsible for, and with the funds, people, and collaborative relationships we have at any given time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes, I would be very interested in being a part of this large collaborative effort, to more wholly and intensively address AMR across the globe and One Health.

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, As part of country government and scientific AMR expert leadership.

If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

As part of country government and scientific AMR expert leadership.

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Ministry of Health

Q5
Type of organization: 
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: 
Brasil
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Knowledge in the area of AMOR and One Health. Have an interface with the National Action Plan. How should membership be agreed: Have a plan with objectives, strategies, execution plan and execution forecast.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Appropriate proposals for the Unique Health theme, with a scientific basis. Proposals must also consider the relevance of AMR as state policy in countries. The documents must integrate the various sectors related to AMR.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Representatives of the GLG should also be part of the Steering Committee, and, considering the One Health approach, there has to be in place some kind of a mechanism that guarantees balance and representativeness of the different sectors in the Steering Committee, when choosing the leads from the Cluster Groups and the Action Groups.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

[REDACTED]

Q2
Email:

[REDACTED]

Q3
Job title:

[REDACTED]

Q4
Organization/entity:

Ministry of Health & Min. Agriculture

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

The Netherlands
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
A platform bringing together stakeholders with the purpose of facilitating the exchange of best practices and sharing of experiences seems relevant. Nevertheless, duplication should be avoided. The platform should focus on bringing together stakeholders with the objective of strengthening the implementation of GAP and other relevant international agreements. The objective of the platform should not focus on the development of a new shared global vision. It is very improbable that such a group may achieve consensus on key elements of a global vision on AMR and this may affect the effectiveness of the platform.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
See answer Question 7. Trying to agree on a shared vision may be not possible (divergent opinions). This may affect the effectiveness of the platform and the level of ambition. It seems more adequate that the platform primarily focus on engaging stakeholders to support the implementation of the GAP and other relevant International agreements.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We consider important that the platform attempt to reflect different positions, but the aim should be to advance on the implementation of the GAP and other relevant international agreements. See answer to questions 7 and 8 above. The relation with the GLG and IPEA should be clarified. The GLG and IPEA should be independent of the platform.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform should also be accountable to the Ex Director of the UNEP, as member of the Tripartite plus.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Preference should be given to action groups, which may be underrepresented in other fora. For example, patient associations, civil society, NGOs, animal sector organisations, etc. Regional representativeness should be ensured. Official recognized/ associations representing sectors or professionals should be preferred above individuals, companies, individual academic institutions, etc.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Recognise commitment and ability to take action to tackle AMR is essential. Members should commit to catalyze and drive action to tackle AMR. Recognised stakeholder status e.g. by registration in relevant UN or regional organisations. Individual companies should be excluded from membership. Consider the possibility of “observer” status.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Membership in a specific Action group should have as objective catalyzing action to achieve the objectives rather than hinder action. The last part of the activity of the Action Group is not clear and should be better described: “Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.”

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The action should be limited to information sharing, and (advocacy for) practical implementation of best practices. The group should avoid practical work and should refrain from developing guidelines. These activities are the responsibility of other well established and recognised organisations, such as UN organisations (FAO, Codex, WHO, etc.).

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The clusters may also be defined based on the topic. Add the possibility of participation at the platform as an “Observer” status, as to ensure more engagement and facilitate the practical work.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
See comment above not to create such a “heavy” structure. GLG and IPEA should be independent from the Stakeholder group.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
No, the platform should be a more informal network group.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The goals should be linked to the outcome of the GLG and IPEA. Only short- and medium term goals seems relevant. Why the target “Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy” is a long-term, 10-year goal? This is in contradiction with the urgency of the GLG message and the messages of the UN resolution of 2016, Call to action 2021, etc.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The financing of the platform should be described and agreed before launching it.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Probably yes, but this should be discussed at national level, between ministries involved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: This requires more discussion at national level between ministries involved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Department of Veterinary Services Malaysia

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Malaysia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,

Do the objectives of The Platform lead to the development of AMR policies and do the member states need to comply with the policies that have been developed?

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We are somewhat satisfied and we would like The Tripartite to elaborate more details as follows: a. What are the conditions imposed to be accepted as a member? b. How The Tripartite make membership selection according to the geographical balance? c. How many memberships entries per country consisting of authorities and stakeholders?

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

We would like to suggest that the authorities of each country identify and recommend stakeholders who are committed to working together in addressing the problem of AMR in the country to become members of The Platform.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

We would like to suggest the following actions: 1. Development of guidelines, manuals and standards. 2. Organize training to members on AMR awareness, AMR surveillance, AMR risk analysis and management, AMU and laboratory

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We are agreed that the cluster group should have more active roles. We would like to ask The Tripartite to define and detail out roles and responsibilities of each administrative groups and how they carry out their roles.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We do not agree with that proposal. The Platform should consider in providing daily allowances to its members who participate in meeting.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

We would like to know to what extent the member states should comply the policies developed by The Platform.
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

4 - Actively
DVS is actively involved through One Health approach with various agencies and stakeholders in addressing the AMR issues with the establishment of the National Antimicrobial Resistance Committee (NARC) and the implementation of the Malaysian Action Plan on AMR (MyAP-AMR) at the national level.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
a. We would like to have more details on the implementation of The Platform and its benefits before taking any decision to become a member b. We would also like to know if there are any pre-requisites to the authority (government) and stakeholders for The Platform membership?

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

We would like to suggest that the findings of this survey should be published and presented by The Tripartite to through a virtual platform and at the same time hold engagements session with member states.
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
UK Department of Health & Social Care

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: United Kingdom
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
• The key role of the Platform is to bring in the private sector and civil society for clear added value. Important to have clear objectives, metrics and milestones for action delivery. • Bringing together multiple stakeholders across One Health to demonstrate a coordinated, multidisciplinary approach at the highest level is a welcomed move forward. It is important to find a way for this best practice approach to be emulated in other areas, such as research, which can be impeded by siloed working. • The Terms of Reference should clarify the precise role of the Platform and how exactly it will interact with the other global governance structures and add value. • UNEP should be included. • The Platform should – where possible – learn from and take the best from existing examples of cross/inter-sectoral partnership working, particularly those managed successfully by FAO, which has a track record of managing the tensions and trade offs when bringing the private and third sectors together on issues of global concern.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
• We would welcome greater clarity on how, in terms of providing a platform for information sharing, the Platform will differ from similar groups that already exist. For example, the Global Health Security Agenda AMR Action Package, which brings together member states and the private sector roundtable. • The objectives need more specificity about how the Platform will contribute to NAP implementation, for example, making NAPs achievable and relevant to context, with an underpinning of data on antimicrobial use and resistance. • A key objective to include is on proactively uplifting work on the less developed sectors focused on plants and environment. These are often under-funded and research gaps remain, so this forum could be a valuable space to influence the prioritisation of these agendas. • The terms of reference would benefit from greater clarity about how these objectives will be achieved. What are the concrete activities that the Platform will carry out? Will this approach recognise that priorities will differ in different places of the world and in countries with different income levels, and how will those differences be tackled?
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?  
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
• We welcome the principles of transparency. We would welcome online publication of all the Platform’s products (and would appreciate further information about what these will be), including major documents, decisions and worked examples of how to implement NAPs and open access to any academic publications. • The principle of inclusivity is also positive. But, apart from highlighting that settings and priorities differ, how will these differences be tackled?

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
• UNEP should be included in the accountability process; otherwise, how the Platform cannot be accountable on environmental issues.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups. Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
• We would welcome greater clarity on how the Platform will facilitate and enable fruitful and interactive discourse in the Action and Cluster Groups. • Relevant parties that develop policy but do not sit in any of the named types of organisation should also be included. For example, National Public Health Institutes should be included as members: they often have complicated relationships with government and do not always sit within the remit of health ministries. • Patients and ‘AMR survivors’ should also be included. • Calls for membership beyond the Tripartite’s existing online channels could add value through engaging underrepresented sectors / organisations, including but not limited to smallholder farmers, healthcare professionals and patients in low- and middle-income countries. • Overall, the platform should prioritise convening voices that are not normally heard and/or sectors that do not normally work together on the issues of AMR governance. To this end, we recommend reversing the order of suggested participants, putting member states, UN agencies and other IOs at the end rather than at the beginning of the list.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
• Participants would need to have a) input into dialogue at a regional or national level b) ability to take learning back and enable local NAP delivery. • There could be requirements to encourage active participation from members. For example, membership requires participants to present on an idea/topic, share resources, share findings to GLASS; ideally an active contribution that benefits the overarching objectives of the Platform. • There should be a mechanism to ensure rotation occurs so that less frequently heard voices are given a chance to influence.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

- We would welcome greater clarity on how the topics and subtopics will be divided and prioritized. And also on the accountability of these groups, how they interact with National Public Health Institutes etc, and what their legal standing/authority would be. • Needs structures in place to ensure that the range of stakeholders is as diverse as possible and that best practice examples from countries, organisations and sectors are shared from a wide variety of settings.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

- Information sharing of practical implementation of NAPs, including mainstreaming of activities to address AMR through other, complementary initiatives. Development and promoting of policy level guidelines, awareness raising of AMR as a global issue, open access to data and information.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

- Membership should be representative of a range of country income levels, allowing for open and active discussion about the difficulties faced in implementing NAPs in countries with minimal resources. • Having these Cluster Groups remain as administrative groups rather than a more active role may help to ensure the governance of the Platform remains streamlined and straightforward. • We would welcome seeing participants in these Groups who are newer to the global AMR conversation, rather than the same faces. • It should be noted that without the active participation of UNEP in the Tripartite Joint Secretariat, the environment element of the ‘One Health’ interface will neither be properly represented nor accurately ‘monitored’ as per the current proposal, which is based on the involvement only of WHO, FAO and OIE.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
• We would welcome greater clarity on the responsibility or accountability of members to contribute to the year-round preparations of the documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions, as outlined in question 7. • Agree that members should disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities, and also wider potential conflicts of interest.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
• We would welcome greater clarity on how the Steering Committee will set priorities; we recommend they go out to consultation to understand priorities from members. We would also appreciate clarity on the legal standing of the group and its recommendations to the Tripartite Plus. • There should be co-chairs, or sub co-chairs, to ensure that the leadership is representative across sectors, gender, geography, country income level, etc. Representation across these areas should be taken into account in the rotation, as well. • It is not clear what the length of service will be for other members of the SC beyond the Chair. This should be clearly noted and rotation built in as a default. • The Platform steering committee should have a representative from UNEP, alongside the traditional ‘three representatives of the Tripartite’. Without their involvement, this Platform cannot be truly deemed ‘One Health’.
Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
• Further information on the frequency of the Plenary Sessions would be useful. It is important that information and resources are shared readily through the Platform throughout the year to enable up-to-date research and tools to be shared regularly. • Further information could be provided on how much resource will be required of members to participate in the Plenary Session. A concern is that if the Plenary Session is too resource heavy it might dissuade members from more active participation. • Need more clarity to see how useful this will be, and if attendance is limited, who is chosen and why, and how it will be ensured that outcomes are fed back into appropriate national and regional systems. • We would welcome further information on the logistics of the plenary session, including length, frequency and how outcomes will be fed to Tripartite Plus DGs and the governing bodies of the individual Tripartite Plus organisations from an accountability perspective.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
• The Platform should feed directly into the Global Leaders Group, not via the Panel (IPEA), • UNEP should be included alongside FAO, OIE and WHO. • The nature and direction of the relationships should be indicated via arrows. • National Public Health Institutes should be specifically called out in the list of members.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
The conclusions of the IPEA should be communicated with as wide of an audience as possible. • We would welcome further clarity about proposed outputs of the Panel.

No,
The Platform should feed directly into the Global Leaders Group, not via the Panel (IPEA), • UNEP should be included alongside FAO, OIE and WHO. • The nature and direction of the relationships should be indicated via arrows. • National Public Health Institutes should be specifically called out in the list of members.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
The conclusions of the IPEA should be communicated with as wide of an audience as possible. • We would welcome further clarity about proposed outputs of the Panel.

No,
The Platform should feed directly into the Global Leaders Group, not via the Panel (IPEA), • UNEP should be included alongside FAO, OIE and WHO. • The nature and direction of the relationships should be indicated via arrows. • National Public Health Institutes should be specifically called out in the list of members.
Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
• The Platform should be more ambitious and strive for more concrete and tangible long-term targets, in keeping with other UN/WHO goals, e.g. on HIV and TB. Perhaps aligned with the sustainable development goals, or other clear milestones and indicators. • A long-term objective across on the join up across human-animal-plant-environment and a multidisciplinary approach should be included. • We would welcome further clarity on how progress against objectives will be assessed, including assessment of maintaining momentum and course correction for the Platform where this might become necessary.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

It would be beneficial to set out how this Partnerships Platform would work with other multi-party funds or platforms (for example the MPTF). It will be important that this is initiative increases alignment and doesn’t simply add to a crowded space with lots of mechanisms set up, but rather works to tackle duplication and increase collaboration. The Platform should be seen as a key opportunity to hear and learn from voices who are not normally heard elsewhere and actively demonstrate how and where it sits in this crowded space.

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes
Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes - Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No further comments.
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Department for Animal Health, Veterinary Directorate, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Republic of Serbia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Should be clearly identify
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

To be recognized and nominated by CVO
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Member with relevant experience, scientific knowledge background and nominated by CVO

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines draft

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes for the first part of question. Cluster group should be maintained as administrative groups with possibility to delegate them if necessary
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: 
have to be quite clear what responsibility will be expected in the future regarding the fundings, legal status or other activities when joined the Platform

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: 
maybe to be consider having the Planery session in the time when OIE general meeting is organized
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Not clear who is Global Leader Group and Independent Panel of evidence for Action and what are they responsibility, not clear explanation in the text, also for Coordinating Team and TJS on AMR the same

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please see comment under question 19

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
to be regularly updated and review

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Health

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Republic of North Macedonia

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

ability to take action
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
/

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
/

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
/
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
/

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform:
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform:
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform:
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
/

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: 3

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

/
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
The Danish Veterinary and Food Administration

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Denmark
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Requirements to qualify for membership should include the opportunity of broad collaboration across sectors, and at the same time be balanced in relation to being able to take action.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Information sharing could be one action useful to be taken forward by Action Groups. For instance sharing of best practices, projects, reports etc. within a given topic that could lead to further discussions in a Cluster Group.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
We agree with the proposed Cluster Groups, however we believe that they should have a more active role rather than be administrative groups. Administration of the Cluster Groups could lie within the Action Groups.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We agree that members should participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, but that Action Group and Cluster Group members/participants should be at an adequately high and specific professional level within their field of work.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
“Cluster Groups” could be added as a heading to the green box on the left side.
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
<td>Our organization is currently addressing the challenge of AMR fully (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes, The Danish Veterinary and Food Administration is interested in becoming a member of the Platform.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Yes, we identify ourselves in the Cluster Group “Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations”

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Food Supply

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Brasil
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

requirements: knowledge about and relation to AMR challenge; relevance considering the need for a One Health approach, balance and inclusiveness; how should membership be agreed: through a signed term of agreement which includes the goals of the Platform, benefits, expectations, rules and guidelines (such as responsibilities, participation requirements, restrictions, privacy policy, among others).
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It is important that the actions proposed have an adequate balance considering the One Health approach and are scientifically supported to be relevant to mitigate AMR; documents prepared by the Action Groups should address different sectors and organizations involved in the AMR challenge.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Representatives of the GLG should also be part of the Steering Committee, and, considering the One Health approach, there has to be in place some kind of a mechanism that guarantees balance and representativeness of the different sectors in the Steering Committee, when choosing the leads from the Cluster Groups and the Action Groups

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Unit "One Health and AMR", Federal Ministry of Health

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Germany
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform should be open to as many stakeholders as possible. Geographic and sectoral balance is important, however, stakeholder should not be excluded from the platform because of the lack of balance. The balance should rather be achieved through the composition of the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

See Question 11. A prerequisite for participating in the platform should be the possibility of taking measures in one's own area (country, sector,...), i.e. being able to contribute in some way to the implementation of the GAP.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The action groups should orientate themselves on the GAP with the aim of advancing its implementation. At the same time, it must be ensured that the solutions developed are sufficiently differentiated. For example, there are differences between HIC and LMIC in terms of the problems to be overcome. Who is deciding on the number of Action Groups and the topics they work on?

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The exchange of information should be an important task of the platform, which should not be limited to the Action Groups but should also take place in the Cluster Groups. An important task of the Action Groups could be to find concrete solutions to existing problems, whereby best practice examples should be taken into account. When selecting the areas of work, the recommendations of the GLG and the findings of the IPEA should be considered.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
For general comments on the membership see answer to question 11. We see the cluster groups more as an administrative group, e.g. without own work plans. Activities should from our point of view primarily take place in the action groups, unless aspects are addressed that specifically affect individual cluster groups. However, existing networks (e.g. of government representatives) should be taken into account in order not to cause duplication.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
With the proposed composition of the Steering Committee, the number of action groups (three) is anticipated. Shouldn't their number be kept open? Individual action groups might only deal with a specific topic for a shorter period of time.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In general we agree with the proposed structure. However, UNEP has to be involved in the platform and should show up somewhere in the graphic. See question 17 on the number of Action Groups.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The general population should be taken into account in the communications strategy and informed about conclusions and evidence for example via social media channels.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The targets are not very specific and do not stand out sufficiently from the targets of other groups and bodies on AMR. The added value for participation should be emphasized more strongly - e.g. how existing networks and their work (e.g. EU networks, GHSA) can be integrated into the platform. The roadmap under the long-term targets should be drawn up earlier, not only after 10 years.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
Germany is implementing its national One Health AMR Strategy, the “DART”.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q) Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) Yes, Government

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below: no
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office of Switzerland, Sector of Veterinary Medicines and One Health

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Switzerland

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
One should be careful not to multiply the working groups. In addition, the format of this platform needs to be clarified.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The objectives are very relevant. Considering the current discussion within the Codex Task Force on AMR, I think this will be very difficult. If it can be achieved, it would really be a break-through. But how binding will this be?
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes, that makes sense. However, the UNEP should be welcomed. This is in the spirit of the "Tripartite plus".
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
See comment above on “Tripartite plus”. In addition, the aspect of animal welfare should not be forgotten.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

We think relevance and commitment besides the ability to take action are the essential parts.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation and information sharing.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. No,
Environment and animal welfare interests should also be represented in the Steering Committee.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Statement based on the graph in Word format of the survey.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations. Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Targets should be revised once the Platform is operational.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☆ 4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Government representatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q24</strong></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q25</strong></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q26</strong></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
National Institute for Marine Sciences and Technologies

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Tunisie

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Common topics and common strategies to start with
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical activities at country level as an initial step

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16  
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17  
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18  
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19  
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

3

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

NO
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Q1
Name:

[Redacted]

Q2
Email:

[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:

National Food Safety Agency

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

Republic of Moldova
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

List of national stakeholders should be endorsed by CVO
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Share documents, guidelines, National AMR Plans etc.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The Cluster groups should be maintained as administrative groups.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

2 - Slightly
There is a draft AMR. But technical assistance is needed to review and implement the national AMR Plan.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Government department with responsibilities for animal health, food safety and animal food and feed production.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
WorldFish - part of One CGIAR

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Malaysia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
CGIAR AMR Hub and the new CGIAR One Health Initiative will embark on similar work in close partnership with countries and international organizations like OIE, FAO and WHO.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Supporting LMIC to develop and operationalize AMR and OH strategies and action plans will be very essential.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
food producers especially small scale producers often get blamed for irresponsible use of antimicrobials. The role played by various supply chain actors and policy makers in not fulfilling their responsibilities in facilitating prudent use of Antimicrobials is often not mentioned.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But must ensure national governments and their CAs are also accountable
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Views of primary producers, front line health workers, supply chain actors must be considered

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

must be mainly commitment and ability to take action and having access to all the knowledge and resources to do it
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice, stewardship, research and development, working with national partners

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
WorldFish, CGIAR AMR Hub, CGIAR Covid Hun, CGIAR One Health Initiative would be interested in the action group work and also willing to contribute

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
WorldFish, CGIAR will be interested and can contribute to AMR and one health issues from a Aquatic food systems perspective
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Members to be allowed to share outcomes of their research and development activities - in our case from an aquatic food systems perspective

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads, and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

consider the need for food system transformation within planetary boundaries and give importance to all food systems. Often aquatic food systems get left out conveniently though it has the potential to offer solutions to global food systems transformation.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively
WorldFish is my organization. Implementing AMR and OH projects, part of CGIAR AMR Hub and CGIAR Covid Hub, part of the OH initiative of CGIAR

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
have been actively involved in this area of work for several years from a aquatic food systems perspective. Have worked closely with FAO and OIE on aspects of aquatic animal health and AMR. Implementing projects in partnerships with key institutions like Cefas UK, RVCL, ICAR, etc. Actively involved in supporting Fleming Fund OH projects and programs

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify?
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
better practices to reduce AMU, systems thinking approach to understand drivers for AMR emergence, development of novel interventions including social behaviour change communication.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Happy to share our work and published literature on OH and AMR from an aquatic food systems perspective
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Bureau of Animal and Plant Health Inspection and Quarantine, Council of Agriculture, Executive Yuan

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Chinese Taipei
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

OIE Member Countries, Relevant Research Institutes and Universities
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

We agreed with all the examples described. No other comments.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No.
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
USDA APHIS Veterinary Services

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
United States
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The objectives of the platform are relevant, though I have concerns about the word “targets” in the first objective. Targets seems much more specific than a shared global vision and narrative, and targets are likely to vary by region and sector. There is also no mention of how to take action when there are conflicting viewpoints on the best approach.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Ap- plaud the multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach. While transparency and open access are important, confidentiality of certain sensitive information is also critical for gaining access to data.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: What happens if there are differences of opinion of different Directors-General? How will different financial investments in addressing AMR across sectors be accounted for (for example, less money directed to addressing AMR in animals than to addressing AMR in humans).
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Still somewhat confused about membership - who ultimately decides on members? It is mentioned that geographic balance will be ensured, but what about balance among the various stakeholder groups?

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It seems that if one of the guiding principles is transparency, then membership should be open.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Biggest concern about action groups is duplication with work in other fora and limited resources available for participation.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines are helpful, as are practical implementation of activities. The Action Groups should determine their own types of action that they believe will be useful.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Concern about the proposed clusters as they are more about type of entity rather than the work that they are involved with. For example, private sector partners could include a private hospital system (human), a private veterinary clinic (animal), as well as a private waste management company (environment), all with different areas of focus on the topic of AMR. Perhaps clustering by sector would be more useful, though this would limit the cross-sector engagement desired by the Platform.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Still a little unclear - for government agencies, are members of the Platform individual people? Or specific agencies working on AMR?

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Not sure why the SC is limited to 5 cluster group leads and 3 action group leads - these numbers should depend on the total number of cluster groups and action groups so that all have representation on the SC.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Needs additional context as the Plenary seems to be different than all of the rest of the entities.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Overall concern about duplication with other entities, such as the Transatlantic Taskforce on AMR, and limited resources (human and financial) able to be contributed to the Platform.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Government

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: WORLD CUSTOMS ORGANIZATION

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: BELGIUM
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

..
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16 Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17 Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18 Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19 Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively
The Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), Health and Safety Program of the WCO maintains its resolve to protect consumer health and safety and continues to combat counterfeiting and piracy through a variety of activities. The WCO’s main activity is to raise awareness about Customs work in this area with towards other international organizations and by promoting capacity building activities for our Member administrations. The capacity building consists of two main components; training through workshops and education and training through operational activities, such as; Operation STOP I, operation PANGEA, online workshops and training activities, e-learning module etc.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations;

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
NO
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Federal ministry of health

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Sudan
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?  
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevant to AMR aspects
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Specific intervention depends on situational analysis

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 - Slightly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:

Food Safety Inspection Body of the Republic of Armenia

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

Republic of Armenia
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Q7  
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8  
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR globally.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of activities at country

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.
Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.
Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
MINISTRY OF ANIMAL RESOURCES

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
SUDAN
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform will be ultimately accountable to GD of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. We need clarify what are the governing bodies to which the Tripartite is accountable.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Farmers/chieftain
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Guidelines/information sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I,m avet working in animal health department one of the our responsiblites is control of animal diseases which treatments are requestedsoAMR is of important to our plans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
RIVM

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Netherlands

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreed on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Involve NGOs focusing on AMR stewardship

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Different approaches to fight AMR equally represented (surveillance, reducing inappropriate use of AB, political commitment, infection prevention in care settings, development of new AB)
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of activities at country level, advocacy to change behavior and (national) guidelines

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I don't understand the practical role and added value of cluster groups, other than ensuring equal representation. Maybe don't use this term?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Make medium-term targets more specific as soon as platform is established. What are the actions that are most needed, how to organize advocacy on all levels?

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✨ 4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, Government but also research institution (national institute for public health and the environment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Food and Veterinary Agency

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Republic North Macedonia

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The multisectoral approach is important.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The multisectoral approach is important.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

In the platform should be included associations of primary food producers, associations of societies and public organizations engaged in the treatment of humans, animals and plants against diseases, competent authorities involved in policy making and control over the implementation of measures for the protection of human, animal and plant health and the protection of environment, post primary food producers, academic institutions and laboratories.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I agree with the proposition above

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Within the cluster groups, some of the activities of the action groups related to the respective cluster could be performed.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

3 - Moderately
Existence of appropriate legislation, Implement a monitoring program to determine AMO in food of animal origin, collect data on the marketing of antimicrobials for the treatment of animals.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Government representatives

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Australian Government Department of Health

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Australia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We suggest including a statement to differentiate it from existing AMR stakeholder structures to make it more attractive to potential participants. For example, is part of its purpose to work in partnership to recommend practical ways to implement the GLG/IPEA action plans or elements of the GAP? Would linking it more closely to the action plan of the GLG and/or giving it a solutions-focused mandate provide it with a greater degree of ‘authority’?

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We suggest consideration that this group could provide practical advice on how the GLG recommendations/action plan can be implemented on the ground, barriers and the flow-on effects; and provide advice on who would be responsible for implementing elements. ‘Taking action’ should be highlighted as the first objective and changing the first objective name to ‘Promotion of shared vision’ and moving to the third objective.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This could also include a principle on ‘solutions focused’. The Platform will provide opportunities for stakeholders to contribute ideas that can result in change.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Platform needs to be differentiated from other AMR stakeholder forums and have an active role in identifying and implementing practical actions – i.e. not be just an engagement/discussion structure – with authority through being clearly connected to the work of the GLG; perhaps through its SC Chair being a member on the GLG itself.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Platform needs to be differentiated from other AMR stakeholder forums and have an active role in identifying and implementing practical actions – i.e. not be just an engagement/discussion structure – with authority through being clearly connected to the work of the GLG; perhaps through its SC Chair being a member on the GLG itself.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Agree this should move beyond the usual players and involve those currently under-represented, especially in the government (countries) sphere, and should be substantially focused on the civil/private sector stakeholders. Care is needed to ensure government representatives do not duplicate those involved in the GLG. Suggest including the Steering Committee, its role and proposed membership.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

While acknowledging this suggestion may result in a very large group, it should be open to all stakeholders with a role in AMR who wish to be involved, with the commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR, to meet the ‘inclusivity’ principle. It would be unfortunate to limit participation based on defined criteria that could inadvertently exclude important experiences/views/ideas that could shape new thinking.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To ‘drive actions’ is key.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Development of targets. Practical implementation of approaches and activities at the country or sectoral level. Identifying barriers and develop ways to overcome them.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This appears to be an administrative categorisation. Suggest these groups should have an active role in developing positions to take to the Action Groups to develop up – action being the key word. Another suggestion would be to create one group to support administrative processes.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This is an extremely complex structure. We envisage that there will be self-nominations along with the Tripartite representatives. The process involving the chair is suitable. The activities for the action groups will follow on from the planning process.

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This appears to be an annual conference. There needs to be further thought on the group’s ongoing role, rather than being solely focused on an annual event.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This structure appears to focus on the annual plenary event. We suggest that further consideration is needed on how the work of the action groups can have an ongoing effect to benefit the work of the GLG, rather than relying on information sharing/decision making at an annual plenary event, particularly if part of its rationale is to drive action.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
These are quite generic. Further consideration is needed to differentiate this group from other AMR stakeholder groups and how it can deliver outcomes not occurring through other like mechanisms. Suggest word change from Build to Boost global momentum. Suggest word change from Generate to Maintain global commitment.
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Communications should focus not only on what value the Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform will bring to AMR action, but also the perceived benefits for being involved on the platform. It appears that considerable commitment is required to have an active voice in these discussions and civil society/community groups may not have as many resources to put towards their involvement as the private sector or government organisations might.
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively
Australia would rank as 4/Actively

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Not sure,
We will give consideration to Australia’s involvement as the role of the Platform is refined.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We would be in the Government cluster group.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Food and veterinary agency

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Republic Macedonia
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

acquired prior knowledge of AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
practical implementation of activities at institutional or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:

IPH RNM

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

Republic of North Macedonia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Relevance of Institution for identifying/diagnostic of AMR, capacity for work on prevention of AMR, collaboration with other institution involving in the problem-dealing with the various aspects of AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Yes, all...best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Excelant
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
National Agency for Public Health

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Republic of Moldova
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The purpose of the Platform is very relevant because it will facilitate multi-sectorial stakeholder engagement on combating AMR in One Health approach. The Platform will be able to bring together members of the Tripartite Organizations, UN agencies, governments, civil society, private sector and academic institutions and to develop and implement a share global vision on AMR.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?  
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: 
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical 
challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the 
work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple 
disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–
environment interface (One Health). Transparency and 
open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, 
including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. 
Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on 
its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at 
national, regional and global levels across all relevant 
disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and 
inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will 
attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the 
platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The 
Platform will seek to achieve a balance between 
inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the 
voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability 
principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to 
the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing 
body.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Civil society organizations and networks, representatives of private sector, governments and multilateral agencies across One Health (ex. National Agency for Public Health, National Food Safety Agency)
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It will be useful for Action Groups to advocate and advise all stakeholders on how to address professionally the problems related to AMR. Also, to exchange, share experience on practical implementation of AMR activities. Another very important action is to share guidelines and evidence-based information. Collaboration between action group and government on creating and implementing One Health approach policies.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Cluster groups should be maintained as administrative groups.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
National members should work closely with government, to identify areas for technical assistance and implementation on AMR activities.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Governamental organizations should be also part of the action groups.
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Thank you for opportunity to participate in survey. We hope the partnership will be created and will support countries in fighting AMR.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Thank you!
Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
South Centre

Q5
Type of organization: 
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: 
54 developing countries
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

No,
Inclusiveness of the multi-stakeholder platform must be balanced with the capacity of stakeholders to participate and differentiating their roles.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
The platform should aim to advance action on AMR, but the stakeholders are so diverse with various interests and different capacity to engage that emphasising as objective of the platform a shared vision, narrative and targets may diminish the actions necessary to tackle AMR.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Generally these principles are supported but the proposed procedure for inclusivity will not provide for balance to ensure effectiveness. As a priority it is necessary to support the engagement of civil society organizations as recommended by the IAGC with financial and technical support.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As recommended by IACG the AMR governance structures should be reporting at UNGA level and not under the tripartite institutions. The tripartite institutions already have their own set ups for participation of stakeholders.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is not clear how balance will be ensured in participation by the different stakeholder groups given their diverging capacities to engage, and diverse interests. Support for civil society organizations to participate, in particular from developing countries, would be important. It is not clear how the work would be carried out under action groups and cluster groups and how different stakeholders would be able to engage on equal footing.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

do not require membership
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Greater clarity is needed on how all key stakeholders would be able to effectively contribute, will there be support for the engagement of stakeholders such as CSOs from developing countries, and what are the incentives to do so

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Foster discussions of successful interventions and practices in diverse country settings, idenaps in failed interventions, share examples of campaigns that have had evidence of impact, explore successes in sustaining efforts on surveillance, evidence of actions that have led to change of practices in animal food industry and pharmaceutical industry

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
as noted from concerns on participation
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Conflict of interests disclosure is important but conditions on participation may reduce incentives for participation. Openess and transparency should be promoted and support for stakeholders that have less human and financial resources to participate.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Preference for representatives of the tripartite not to be part of the steering committee.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In addition to the senior leadership of the tripartite, other governance bodies (GLG) and other relevant agencies and stakeholders should be present without membership requirement.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION**

**Q1**
Name:

**Q2**
Email:

**Q3**
Job title:

**Q4**
Organization/entity:
Federal administration for inspection affairs

**Q5**
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

**Q6**
Country:
Bosni and Herzegovina
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

promote the concept of “one health” through the platform

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

encourage collective engagement and establish a platform with information available to all
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
establish regional independent responsible bodies
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

the only condition for membership is the appropriate level of activity of the member
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

guidelines and practical implementation

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, the role of the cluster should be maximally active and minimally administrative

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The term of the Chair will be one year maximum.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>1 - Not at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
National Biosecurity Agency

Q5
Type of organization: 
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: 
Seychelles
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA  and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Q17 | Yes |
| Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. |

| Q18 | Yes |
| Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. |

| Q19 | Yes |
| Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? |
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✰</td>
<td>2 - Slightly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

NO
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Public Health Center of the MOH of Ukraine

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Украина
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Both those who can share useful experiences and those who need new experiences should apply for membership in the Platform. First of all, it is necessary to involve representatives of national executive bodies that implement state policy in the field of health protection (in particular in the field of public health, infection control and infection prevention); and specialists in the field of microbiological research, hospital epidemiologists and clinical pharmacists. Also, members of non-governmental organizations should be represented, as they are highly motivated.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The problem of AMR spread has been mentioned at every medical conference for decades. Advocacy and information sharing is not priority work area of the Platform but should remain among the list of Platform's actions. Some of the most important tasks today are:
- creation of a tool for assessing the consumption of antibiotics at the national level;
- integration of regional level data with the national level;
- expansion of the network of regional and national microbiological laboratories and active reporting on MDRO detection;
- dissemination of training programs in the field of infection control and prevention (ICP) and Antibiotic Stewardship directly to health care professionals, pharmacy and laboratory staff;
- making changes to legal documents in order to prevent the release of antibiotics without an electronic prescription.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully

The Public Health Center of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine is a key executive body that implements public policy in the field of public health. The tasks of PHC of the MOH of Ukraine include: - development of draft regulations (orders of ministries) and submission of proposals and amendments to regulations (orders of ministries, laws of Ukraine) in the field of public health; - conducting epidemiological research and investigations; - conducting trainings for doctors, pharmacists, and laboratory staff in the field of IPC and Antibiotic Stewardship; - conducting a broad information and educational campaign among the general population on the prevention of infectious and non-infectious diseases; - development of tools for assessing the consumption of antibiotics at the national level; - development of assessment tools spread of AMR

Q24

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
AMR Multi-stakeholder Partnership Platform public discussion survey

#201

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
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Time Spent: 
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Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
Food and Veterinary Agency

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Republic of North Macedonia

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes

The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

may adopt rules which have the same effect as the membership requirements set out as applicable, may adopt additional rules, guidance notes or standards
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Q17 | Yes |
| Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. |

| Q18 | Yes |
| Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. |

| Q19 | Yes |
| Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? |
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★ 
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
DA National Meat Inspection Service

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Philippines
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Expertise and National Government Role
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Code of Practice or Guidelines related to the Key Strategies of AMR

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Government of Kerala

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
India
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
using a one health approach to fight AMR

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
should include the Drug Regulator

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

commitment to reduce antibiotics in food systems
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

information sharing on antibiotic usage

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
there should be a cluster of drug regulators
**Q16**
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

**Q17**
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

**Q18**
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

**Q19**
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
include country drug regulator
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

all drug regulators of countries to come together to protect antibiotics for future of humanity and animal production, some arrangement like IAEA
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| ★ | 4 - Actively |

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: FAO

Q5
Type of organization: Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country: Cambodia
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
With the existing AMR Multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism could drives this platform to meet this planned objective.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
These objectives are being gained by some countries/projects. Example the MPTF on AMR.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Significant or influence that can be made on action to combat AMR (delegates from a high position in the government)
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Support the coordination mechanism and partnership at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Agree with the propose concept
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Fees or other remuneration are not be a sustain incentive, but other type of benefit/gain should be encouraged.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The composition of the structure should be completed while the direction of the communication flow might be changed/adjusted as applicable.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✫ ✫ ✫ ✫ ✫ - Actively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

[Redacted]

Q2
Email:

[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:

General Authority for Fish Resources Development (GAFRD)

Q5
Type of organization:

Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:

EGYPT
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Specialization, Action plan, Field work and feedback
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Field monitoring and stakeholders participation

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I have my research study (Master Thesis of Veterinary Science in the field of Food Safety and Control) under the Title (Incidence of Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria in Marketed Fish) 2019

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Government representative

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

NO
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Food and Veterinary Agency

Q5
Type of organization:
Government representatives, UN agencies, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q6
Country:
Republic of North Macedonia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Yes

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16  
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.  
Yes

Q17  
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.  
Yes

Q18  
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.  
Yes

Q19  
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?  
No
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

/
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

/
International and regional financial institution
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Novo Holdings

Q5
Type of organization:
International and regional financial institutions

Q6
Country:
Denmark and U.S.
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
key word is implementation
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
action please
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Not yet sure
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Action action action. Have enough reports already

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Education of legislators. More frequent standard of care protocol updates.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please include more private sector partners - big pharma, small pharma, agriculture, hospitals, etc.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Need financial targets. Sounds like the goal is to have a bunch of meetings

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Like it but would like more private/commercial input
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Novo Holdings has committed over USD 200m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: If mission will be more action-oriented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
DAI Global, LLC

Q5
Type of organization:
International and regional financial institutions

Q6
Country:
Bangladesh
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Very relevant as the concept of One Health is getting familiarized. However for doing real work and implementation this platform would be of much health. The platform is the place to share and to guidance for one health approaches.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
the platform will also be able to provide guidance and technical assistance.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Inclusivity will be including stakeholders from hard to reach areas as well

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Need to observe closely how does it work after the platform starts working, currently it seems Okay to me.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

currently not working for AMR, however is very motivated and wants to be active- this may be considered in the initial phase.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
currently it is Okay however after some time of work I may have some more ideas

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

providing technical assistance to any group /stakeholders who really need that

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Cluster group should be careful regarding inclusion of the remote or less representative areas / groups as a member
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is Okay for now

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Unless starts working, it is difficult to visualize and comment, too much theoretical for me, currently

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It seems Okey, however unless starts working it is difficult comment on relationship of different groups
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As a theory, the communication flow is fine, however in real life some government focal persons or stakeholders are very difficult to work with. In that case the communication flow needs to be contextualized.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Need to identify some indicators and good to fix some timebound targets related to activities.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes, I am keen to work as a member of the platform as I am currently providing technical assistance to the MOH&FW on AMR containment activities as surveillance lead from Fleming Fund Country grant to Bangladesh

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,

If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

International Financial Institution

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No thanks
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
FAOLA - ECTAD Programme

Q5
Type of organization:
International and regional financial institutions

Q6
Country:
Lao People's Democratic Republic
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Fully support the proposed key elements

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

none
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

None

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| ⭐ | 4 - Actively |

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

|  | Not sure |

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

|  | Not sure |

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

|  | Respondent skipped this question |
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Joint Programming Initiative on Antimicrobial Resistance - JPIAMR

Q5
Type of organization:
International and regional financial institutions

Q6
Country:
International (Sweden)
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Q7  Yes

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8  Yes

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

To qualify for membership an organization should have shown commitment and have the ability to take action. A transparent application process with well-defined criteria is recommended.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Action groups are intended to “Drive multidisciplinary actions at global, regional, and national levels ... working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans.” It is unclear what the scope of the three groups will be and whether it will encompass all aspects of the One Health AMR topics. To limit the number of Action groups to three seems premature given the complex nature of the societal challenge of AMR. It can be envisaged that the need for a specific Action group may change over time or disappear. We propose a more flexible structure with time limited mandates of Action groups of 1 to 3 years which can be renewed.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Global level actions to sharing best practice guidelines, implementation of national action plans and information sharing.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It seems very challenging to administrate all diverse stakeholder in the One Health spectrum in only five Cluster Groups. To start it should be managed as administrative groups to coordinate sharing of information and facilitate discussions.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Joint Programming Initiative on Antimicrobial Resistance, JPIAMR, is an international collaborative platform engaging 28 nations, including Argentina, Canada, Egypt, India, Japan, South Korea, Turkey and South Africa, and the European Commission to curb antimicrobial resistance (AMR) with a One Health approach. The JPIAMR coordinates national research funding and supports collaborative action for filling knowledge gaps on AMR with a One Health perspective. Our shared Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda outlines the key areas to be addressed and provides guidance for countries to align their AMR research agendas nationally and internationally. The initiative jointly invests and coordinates national funding to support transnational research, international collaboration and alignment within the scientific, research support and policy areas outlined in the shared JPIAMR SRIA. Through implementation of the six research priority topics of the SRIA, the JPIAMR supports innovative research on the early development of new therapies, stewardship of new and existing antibiotics, and strategies to monitor and prevent the spread of AMR between humans, animals, and the environment in a One Health lens, with the overall goal to decrease the burden of AMR. In the last 10 years, the JPIAMR has mobilized over 100M€ in research funding to support a portfolio of 118 projects and networks and 1,300 researchers across 71 countries. JPIAMR has also been recognised as a key international AMR initiative by different international organisations as highlighted in the UN IACG report 2019, the G7 declaration 2017, the G20 Health Ministers declaration 2018 and the EU Council Conclusions on AMR 2019.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify?
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors.
Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
#7
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
FAO

Q5
Type of organization: 
International and regional financial institutions

Q6
Country: 
Nepal

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals, and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international, and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional, and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP, and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Stakeholders that can or be affected by AMR should qualify for the membership.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Sharing of good practices

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As a Tripartite plus, may be UNEP can also be added together with FAO-OIE-WHO, if they agree.
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

None
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

4 - Actively
FAO is actively contributing in addressing the challenges of AMR at global, regional and country level in livestock, agriculture and food sector along with being an active one health

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
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Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: Assiut

Q5
Type of organization: International and regional financial institutions

Q6
Country: Egypt
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision; To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.

Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions; philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

reminder e-mail
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

no comment

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
Private Sector
PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1 Name:  

Q2 Email:  

Q3 Job title:  

Q4 Organization/entity:  
Responsible Use of Medicines in Agriculture (RUMA)

Q5 Type of organization:  
Private sector

Q6 Country:  

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform should avoid duplication of effort of the GLG, IPEA and other national activities.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes
The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Members (organisations) should be able to demonstrate their relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Sharing of best practice examples, case studies. Providing contact points for those of similar interests. For example, RUMA is focused on the use of antibiotics in the UK livestock sectors, it would be happy to share its lessons learnt with others internationally but does not have an easy to use or reach network or similar livestock orientated organisations. I think the closer people are in terms of similar activity, the more rewarding networking and sharing of ideas will be. That said it is important that we realise AMR requires a multi discipline approach (livestock, human health and environment) so awareness of high level actions, successes and lessons learnt across the whole spectrum is useful.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

From the information given it is hard to visualise what these groups will do or how they will operate in order to usefully answer this question. We would agree with the principle of cluster groups establishing and bringing together like minded organisations internationally, sharing of knowledge would be an easy function to achieve, but committing to physical actions will be dependant on so many factors.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Virtual meetings would be our preference

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
**Q23**
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>With a focus on food producing livestock in the UK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

**Q24**
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure,</td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Becoming a member in order to receive information of the Platform and its activities would be useful. But we would like to receive more information on the level of time commitment membership would involve.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

**Q25**
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure,</td>
<td>If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It would be useful to see more detail of the proposed focus for the clusters.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

**Q26**
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Kims medical college

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
India
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes

- Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health).
- Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
- Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes

- The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment and Political support
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Ok

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Nil
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Nil
#3

Collector: Web Link 1

Started: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 2:22:06 PM

Last Modified: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 2:31:52 PM

Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
LifeCare Hospitals Bungoma Limited

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
Kenya
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Nine

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: None
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: None
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Microbiologist, physicians, pathologist and Quality Officers and managers
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical Implementation

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Delacon Biotechnik GmbH

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Austria
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: The One Health is elementary to success and cannot be achieved without a multi stakeholder approach

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Agreeing on a shared vision is required as the first step to initiate the other two objectives, however this should not be identical as to agreeing on a vision, diluted to a generic level agreeable to all. What needs to be done, needs to be done, even when difficult to accept by some stakeholders. A differentiation in timelines can be an option to avoid the dilution of the vision
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: See previous comment that a shared vision should not be a diluted version of what is required. Sometimes it is necessary to ‘agree to disagree’ and take the required actions.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

commitment to positively contribute to progress is an absolute necessity for qualification. In principle no party should be excluded from membership.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Benchmarking is a very effective approach, combined with the ability to 'localize' best practices. This creates and nurtures commitment and using the principle of competition (being among the best in class) is generally accepted across all businesses.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The first four clusters are very much entwined and this bears the risk that they overpower the private sector partners, who will feel unheard and will lose commitment.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: remuneration of private sector partners needs to be available to attract qualified participation, otherwise participation can not compete with their primary business objectives

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: The challenge remaining will be to move to the required implementation of actions. I doubt whether the plenary session will be the appropriate platform to achieve this. Probably a more specified, segregated platform is better suited to achieve the goals
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The structure will not be decisive for success. A good structure where there is no positive commitment from the participants will not lead to the desired success. However, a suboptimal structure with committed participants will still deliver acceptable results, at least will be able to make the required progress.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This represents a long stretched communication line, with the risk that messages get diluted or that some stakeholders still can influence.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I could not agree more, however being realistic the devil is in the details of implementation.
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
The solutions we provide to our customers can all be considered as supporting animal health, efficiency of performance and sustainability, thereby reducing the need for antibiotics in animals with a One Health and sustainability perspective

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
private sector partners

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

NO
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Vellore Institute of Technology

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
India
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Q7 Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8 Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Must be clinical or Biology background and minimum of 10 year experience with good publication in AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Awareness program and stakeholders workshop

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: NGO's
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: NGO's
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Bridge the Clinician, Microbiologist and Pharmacist around the globe. because in India big gap in this

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

nil
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6950494">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6950494</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am the National Joint Coordinator of Indian Initiative for Management of Antibiotic Resistance-IIMAR and did the program throughout India during 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Institutions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

I am very happy to involve in all the aspects.
## PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

**Q1**
Name: [Redacted]

**Q2**
Email: [Redacted]

**Q3**
Job title: [Redacted]

**Q4**
Organization/entity: Sysmex Europe GmbH

**Q5**
Type of organization: Private sector

**Q6**
Country: Germany

---
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Including private sector to take actions to fight against AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|     | 5 - Fully  
|     | Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
|     | Sysmex Europe has been communicating very actively on AMR topic and is very much interested in this AMR multi-stakeholder partnership platform. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#8

## PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

**Q1**
Name:

**Q2**
Email:

**Q3**
Job title:

**Q4**
Organization/entity:
Antabio SAS

**Q5**
Type of organization: Private sector

**Q6**
Country:
France
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: The complementarity between the different entities needs to be clearly explained so that everyone understands what every entity is doing and where to go if needed.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Yes the objectives are relevant but, again, it is crucial to understand how this initiative is complementary to existing approaches (example: JPIAMR). Also we need not to underestimate the difficulties of finding a common narrative between the different components of the One Health approach. AMR is a common denominator but animal health, human health and plant-environment have different challenges, gaps and opportunities.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
There is an additional complexity that you do not mention: the broken economic model of AMR (antimicrobial development)

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Geographic balance is crucial but also key opinion leaders from different cultures (not only western KOLs)

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevance to AMR. Criteria for eligibility should be clearly described. The membership should be approved by the Steering Committee based on eligibility.
Q13  
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14  
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

action to promote the implementation of new reimbursement models and market incentives (and their compliance with appropriate use)

Q15  
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
Make sure the private sector also includes the small and medium biotech enterprises. Small companies carry 80% of the innovation in the AMR space.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
#10

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Thursday, August 19, 2021 2:31:08 AM

**Last Modified:** Thursday, August 19, 2021 2:46:23 AM

**Time Spent:** [Time spent]

**IP Address:** [IP address]

---
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**Q1**

Name:

[Redacted]

**Q2**

Email:

[Redacted]

**Q3**

Job title:

[Redacted]

**Q4**

Organization/entity:

AEQUOR INC.

**Q5**

Type of organization:

Private sector

**Q6**

Country:

USA

---
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Should be open to all involved in combating AMR -- finding remedies, implementing remedies, expanding One Health strategies (e.g. surface cleaners, water treatments, etc. to curb AMR spread from food, water, plumbing, etc.)
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Improve on existing guidelines -- don't reinvent the wheel. Do training videos that can be translated rapidly to all languages. Use Internet to create library of resources under 1 roof. Avoid duplication!!!!

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: People need to engage and get rewarded for the engagement. There are few incentives to get more than lip service from govs., orgs, academic institutions.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Do all meetings virtually. AMR should not be an excuse for conferences, travel, etc. PLUS, in the event of an outbreak, you will have to do everything virtually, so spend time setting up a great website and online conferencint tool that can allow thousands to participate. Having simultaneous translation would be a plus.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: You need people at the top, but not bureaucrats. You need active strategists making things happen fast.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
Too much bureaucracy. Everyone needs to be on the same page immediately if you are truly interested in controlling a pandemic -- which could erupt at any time.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
Things don’t have to be totally vetted to be acceptable. The idea that ideas and methods to curb AMR are subject to somebody’s bias is unacceptable. You need to create an open forum or this is just one more bureaucratic effort. What are the WHO, OIE and FAO waiting for? Assume the war is on and you have to create strategies and operations now!

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
Stop limiting numbers. 200 is far too few. Set 1 year targets, not 10 year targets. God help us that we live 10 years to be able to look backward. The emergency situation is already here.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Think out of the box or you will create just another box that does not meet the challenge.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
Aequor addresses AMR bacteria and fungi at all vectors of transmission -- including the environment (water, food, air, surfaces) which cannot be ignored.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I served 16 years with the U.S. State Department Foreign Service, winning 7 awards for strategic thinking and complex project management. I had the pandemic threat portfolio during the 00s. I clearly see gaps that need to be addressed fast if the public is going to be protected from insidious AMR pathogens.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Please act faster, smarter, better -- together.
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Transdisciplinary Consultants

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country: Kenya
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?  
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Development of communication tools and undertaking collaborative campaigns

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Could we also have an independent youth/student group cluster?
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>Actively participating in organising activities during the World Antimicrobial Week</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

**S**

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Private sector partners

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
#12

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, August 19, 2021 2:53:25 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, August 19, 2021 3:18:47 PM
Time Spent: [Elapsed Time]
IP Address: [IP Address]
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Consultant

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
England and Ghana
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q7</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q8</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Directly or indirectly associated with AMR and ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best practice guidelines and practical implementation recommendations

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S  3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
Destiny Pharma plc

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
UK
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Tackling AMR requires expertise and experience from a very wide range of stakeholders and so I would encourage membership from all corners.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Explore non traditional approaches to tackle AMR

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| S | 5 - Fully |

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

| Yes |

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

| Yes |

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:
Dennis Scott

Q2
Email:
drdenny@ethicalagents.co.nz

Q3
Job title:
Technical director

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ethical Agents Veterinary Marketing

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
New Zealand
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It behoves suppliers of AMs to be committed to reducing AMR.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Guidelines for professionals are a must as is education of general public

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>4 - Actively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
<td>NZVA committed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

| Yes |

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

| Not sure |

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

| No |
#16
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Name:
Roxana Trejo Gonzalez

Q2
Email:
rtrejog@abchospital.com

Q3
Job title:
Manager of Infection Control and Epidemiology

Q4
Organization/entity:
The American British Cowdray Medical Center

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Mexico
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Individual actions add to global actions with the common goal of stopping antimicrobial resistance
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The implementation of public policies at the federal level, as well as measures and guidelines in the different business areas in the control of antimicrobial resistance

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Having this opportunity to join the cause is an honor, antimicrobial resistance must be treated as a whole and globally.
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| S | 4 - Actively |

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

| Yes |

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

| Not sure |

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

| Respondent skipped this question |
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Huvepharma NV

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Belgium
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Ability to actually contribute to the discussion, science focussed
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

evidence building of one health (inter)links and assessment of potential impact of measures.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#19

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, August 23, 2021 5:36:03 PM
Last Modified: Monday, August 23, 2021 6:03:39 PM
Time Spent: [mask]
IP Address: [mask]
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Q1
Name: [mask]

Q2
Email: [mask]

Q3
Job title: [mask]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Mott MacDonald/Fleming Fund

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
United Kingdom
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q7</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q8</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Organisation active in research, policy setting, surveillance, implementation or similar in AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Guidelines, SOPs and/or guidance on developing relevant SOPs, standard setting documents

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I'm not sure. On the one hand, I can see the utility of clustering by type of organisation particularly in promoting OH representation. On the other hand, this limits the opportunity for dialogue between different types of actor, which may be equally beneficial. If their role is strictly administrative then clustering by organisation type would be fine. If their role is extended then there may be benefits to mixing organisation type.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
It's not clear how the action groups are constituted. Do these come out of the clusters? Are all members part of a cluster? Do you have to be in cluster in order to be in an action group?

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S  4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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**PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION**

**Q1**
Name:

**Q2**
Email:

**Q3**
Job title:

**Q4**
Organization/entity:

[essity]

**Q5**
Type of organization:

Private sector

**Q6**
Country:

INDONESIA

**PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM**
Q7  
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8  
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Passion. Showed by research in related field, active in related field organization, fight for policy in related matter constitution.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities at country with high urgency / pushed from world bodies to make sure it is implemented.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Emphasizing: YES.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But of course I already have representative from our company as member in the platform.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private sectors

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
NO
#25

Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
RUS industries, Aligarh

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
India

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Nothing can be fixed, except awareness and sensitivity with a desire, to correct
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It will be something happening at multiple levels and simultaneously and continuously. We cannot stop the efforts.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Mostly, a run for a few times may pin point where more efforts are needed. It can never be called complete.
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

I agree with everything, yet there is a word of caution still, what looks good theoretically , may be different practically and considering it to be an entire world, one must be evolving always rather then be fixed with ideas.Achieving the aim shall help everybody so work towards the final aim.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We are into a chemical and recycling business so not addressing the issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1 Name: 

Q2 Email: 

Q3 Job title: 

Q4 Organization/entity: Evotec 

Q5 Type of organization: Private sector 

Q6 Country: France 

PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Fine

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Public awareness through media
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Fine

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Playing a role in the fight against AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Defining target product profile for novel antibiotics

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: It seems that member will work a lot for the platform. Need remuneration

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Virtual is better

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Research is not progressing at all
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Premium Poultry Farms

Q5
Type of organization: 
Private sector

Q6
Country: 
Nigeria

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: I think this is the only way that LMICs will be able to fight this issue of AMR.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The member should have a certain level of understanding of AMR and the threats that it may pose at national, regional and global scale.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

There is need for best practice guidelines to professionals that are involved in antimicrobial prescription and administration such as doctors, veterinarians, pharmacists and all other paramedics. Another important aspect is the awareness to people who do not know the impacts of AMR. This can be achieved by telling them the danger that AMR can cause to humans either directly or indirectly.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
**Q16**
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

---

**Q17**
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

---

**Q18**
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

---

**Q19**
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
- Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
- Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans;
- Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR;
- Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
- Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy;
- Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
**Q23**

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

3 - Moderately

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

We were addressing AMR in the last two years, but currently having challenges due to bad management structure.

**Q24**

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

This is because I have experience in the use of antimicrobials especially in poultry production.

**Q25**

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,

If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The cluster that consists of private organizations.

**Q26**

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

The tripartite should try and implement the plans that will be put forward.
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
IT would be important to also receive feedback from developing countries.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Broad spectrum inclusivity would be key towards objectivity and progress.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

demonstrated experience and commitment to openly discuss the challenges surrounding AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

It makes sense to start with Good Practice Guidelines and move from there

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
communications across these cluster groups would also be essential
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: How would decisions be put into action?

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>1 - Not at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

| Yes |

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

| Not sure |

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: It will be important to consider Diagnostics in the fight against AMR.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Giving local support will be key.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Agreed and again Diagnostics are key for the AMS

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Considering also policy makers, HTA institutes

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Get members already engaged in AMR, having influence through their position & network.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Help for implementation of NAPs in critical countries. Work with pilots.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Diagnostic companies will be considered.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
For bioMérieux, Diagnostics company, the fight against AMR is the 1st priority. More than 80% of the R&D budget is focused on AMR.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private sector, to represent Diagnostic companies. Already Board and Steering Committee member of AMR Industry Alliance.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

ability to influence change, diversity og age, experience, gender, education. Knowledge of AMR, proven ability to work with One Health at heart
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

support development of AMR surveillance protocols, data use protocols, support in implementation of AMU surveillance, support to One Health practice

Yes

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

3 - Moderately
Management Agent of the Fleming Fund but none of the other projects work on AMR

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

NO
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Aviagen UK Ltd

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
UK
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9  Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Either have a major interest in the use of antibiotics in their particular sector and be involved in policy in regulating antibiotic use
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Certainly in the poultry sector efforts made to reduce antibiotic use and alternative strategies could be shared

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As members of the UK British Poultry Council Antibiotic Stewardship Scheme we are acutely aware of the need to address issues of AMR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q25

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

As a poultry primary breeding company we believe that we have a very useful input into the long term strategy for antibiotic userade
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
ABAC Therapeutics

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Spain
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Highlight the involvement and commitment of the government institutions of the different countries, in order to facilitate the implementation of the agreements and measures adopted on the platform at the national level.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform should act as a single voice, providing a concrete and uniform vision in discussion forums such as G20, G7, United Nations, which are aware of the challenge posed by AMR but lack the knowledge and experience to adopt concrete measures.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is important that it be geographically representative, and that it tries to bring together entities from the 5 continents, paying special attention to economically depressed countries, where infectious diseases have a severe impact, at all levels, affected people, the ability to implement the policy of use antimicrobials,......

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Communication and transparency is essential
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Provide knowledge and experience on the problem (Hospital, academic, business institutions - Have the ability to manage resources and implement actions - Actors involved in daily work (associations of health personnel, drug distributors (pharmacists), farmers, veterinarians,...) - Representatives of civil society - Key institutions in the field of communication and outreach. The education of the personnel in general involved in the use and management of antimicrobials, as well as in their management, including the civilian population, in improvable and necessary to face the fight against infectious diseases, even the most technologically and economically developed.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above, but they should also include the communication and divulgence of the agreements and especially identifying and providing solutions so that the agreed plans and actions end up being executed at the sectoral and local level. The great challenge is to disseminate and popularize knowledge in order to get the involvement of entities and groups capable of carrying out field work, as well as professionals and civil society in general.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16  
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
It is important that the members of the platform do not limit themselves to contributing ideas, but rather that they get involved so that those ideas become tangible realities.

Q17  
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18  
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
The plenary session should be managed in an efficient and pragmatic way, to avoid being limited to a bureaucratic and symbolic act.

Q19  
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

As commented throughout the document, the communication strategy is critical, a large part of it will depend on the involvement and commitment of the national official bodies and therefore the implementation of the measures and actions approved by the Platform at local level. In short, the most important objective, to transfer the knowledge and experience of the experts, through the appropriate institutions to the civilian population.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

That the new Platform operates in a transversal, agile, little bureaucratized way, and focused on making the actions happen and the objectives are met.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Fully. ABAC Therapeutics is focused on the discovery of new antimicrobials with a new mechanism of action, and therefore active against AMR. In addition, ABAC Therapeutic leads and holds the presidency of the Association for the Discovery of New Antibiotics in Spain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: The Association for the discovery of new antibiotics in Spain, brings together synergies between the administration, scientific groups, private companies and society.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: As president of the Association for the Discovery of New Antibiotics in Spain, the thematic group is: Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Mercy Medical Center

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
United States of America
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11  
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12  
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

specific endpoints that need to be achieved by each member
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

agree with above suggested items; practical implementation would be especially helpful

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>4 - Actively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not sure,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depends on the level of involvement required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

| Not sure |

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

| Respondent skipped this question |

Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Canadian Cattlemen's Association

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country: 
Canada

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

advocacy and information sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Zinpro Animal Nutrition Brasil

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Brazil
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Have knowledge and background about the subject and/or qualified user/who recommends the use as professional attribution.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Guidelines and educational initiatives.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
no
**Q23**
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| S | 3 - Moderately |

**Q24**
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q) 

- Not sure

**Q25**
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

- Yes

**Q26**
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

- Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Centre of Expertise and Biological Diagnostic of Cameroon

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
Cameroon
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Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Indeed relevance to AMR challenge, understanding of One Health approach, commitment, motivation/vision and ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

community mobilization, country support, heighten awareness

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
But it is difficult to know where is the cluster group at a glance. Also, it may be good to have the direction of the arrow to know the sense of the transfer of information.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Willows Veterinary Centre and Referral Service

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
UK

PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The ENOVAT network has already created a vibrant and enthusiastic network of veterinarians (and other researchers working in animal health) that would be worth involving in this new platform.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Super principles although there may be some conflicting voices rendering it hard to achieve a consensus in some situations (cf the current proposed EU ban on HPCIAs for animal use)

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should be open to all stakeholders with an interest in addressing AMR. The wider the pool of interested parties the better.
### Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

### Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Some of these suggestions are already underway and it would be best to try to build on the work already available than to reinvent the wheel. The approach adopted by ENOVAT may represent a viable model to work from?

### Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Great initiative - would be very enthusiastic to support it in any way possible
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I am involved in guideline generation in the UK (the PROTECT ME guidelines) and in Europe (via ENOVAT) and would be eager to contribute in some way to this initiative.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I could happily find myself in multiple different clusters :)

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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## Part I: Respondent Information

### Q1
Name:

### Q2
Email:

### Q3
Job title:

### Q4
Organization/entity:
IDEXX

### Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

### Q6
Country:
Canada
**Q7**

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

**Q8**

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

commitment and challenges, clinical aspects
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practise guidelines

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
| Q16 | Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. | Yes |
| Q17 | Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. | Yes |
| Q18 | Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. | Yes |
| Q19 | Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? | Yes |
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
**Q23**
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| S | 3 - Moderately |

**Q24**
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

| Yes |

**Q25**
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

| Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: private |

**Q26**
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

| Respondent skipped this question |
#49

Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Novus International

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country: Brazil

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: If based on science facts and as tool to exchange information, not as an space here anyone could make non scientific related comments.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Yes, for "Taking actions" and "Information-sharing.." items, but not for "Agreeing on a sharing vision" because vision is related with perspective. In other words, the way you see something will depends on where you are. So someone cannot say that their vision is the correct one and the knowledge should be constructed based on de scientific data shared by this tool by each individual/organization/government.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Agreed

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

They must to represent some entity that is directly related with the topic and if from civil society, with a strong technical knowledge about the topics discussed.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Agreed

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Nothing to be added

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: I don’t see any harm in grouping 2 and 3 (International financial institutions and civil society)
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
Agreed

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
I agreed basically with the whole text, except by the necessity of endorsement of the elected chair by directors-general of the tripartite. I believe that

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,  
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
Plenary Sessions must be open for all members, not only some representatives.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
By providing feed additives that helps the farmers to use less antimicrobials agents, fostering their profitabilities and promoting the usage the natural resources available in a more rational way.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Not at this moment. Thanks!
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Elanco Animal Health

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country: Ireland
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q7</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q8</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Important that the private sector is involved - including companies that supply antimicrobials to the global livestock industry.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should be granted to animal health pharmaceutical company representatives who are important stakeholders, educators and influencers in the field.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Policy recommendations for emerging markets on the regulation and control of antimicrobials

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Must ensure that recommendations and actions are pragmatic and doable for emerging market policy makers, veterinarians and livestock producers. High sounding statements and intent lead to lack of implementation - to simplicity and do-ability will be key...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Q23      | Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.  
S Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:  
5 - Fully Elanco have an Antibiotic commitment and a strategy to help livestock producers and veterinarians reduce their need for medically important antibiotics |
| Q24      | Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)  
Yes |
| Q25      | Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)  
Not sure |
| Q26      | If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:  
Respondent skipped this question |
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Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Hester Biosciences Nepal Pvt Ltd

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country: Nepal

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q7</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q8</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Should be thinking about not our generation but about our next
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Should work from ground up including from the basic marginalize group who sustain and live in the nature with cattle. Working with small scale farmers and those rural health post workers

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20  Yes
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21  Yes
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2 - Slightly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
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**Q1**
Name:

[Redacted]

**Q2**
Email:

[Redacted]

**Q3**
Job title:

[Redacted]

**Q4**
Organization/entity:

LTD "Poultry Georgia"

**Q5**
Type of organization:

Private sector

**Q6**
Country:

Georgia

---
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9 Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10 Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

According guideline/protocol- maybe :)
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Several activists- Online meeting, Conference, etc..

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20  
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21  
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22  
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
**Q23**
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| S | 3 - Moderately |

**Q24**
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

**Q25**
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

**Q26**
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Pfizer Inc.

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country: United States
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
The Tripartite Plus should be commended for its continued leadership on AMR and for supporting the establishment of platforms that are inclusive of all sectors. Only through the collective action of all stakeholders will progress against AMR be made. It will be important that the Platform not only supports alignment but also helps to drive practical, tangible actions as well as measures progress of those actions.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
These objectives are important and appropriate given the scope and complexity of AMR. As a component of the “Taking Action” pillar it will be important to establish mechanisms that support public-private partnerships, so that resources and expertise can be pooled to drive collective action. As important will also be establishing metrics to track and report on progress. For example, the recent AMR Preparedness Index issued by the Global Coalition on Aging and Infectious Disease Society of America provides an evidence-based framework to assess progress. Finally, in Taking Action, identification of a concrete short list of practical and prioritized actions will help provide focus for stakeholder implementation.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Key to supporting the guiding principles will be a governance document that establishes clear guidelines to encourage and support public-private partnerships. Frameworks should be established that support these types of partnerships, while taking into account all potential conflicts of interest and balancing biases. All efforts should be in place to ensure WHO’s FENSA mechanism supports instead of hinder this endeavor.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Accountability can be a tricky word, as the DG’s of the Tripartite will not have any authority over many of the parties that are members of platform. However, the DG’s could be responsible for tracking and reporting on progress. Would the DG’s also have oversite authority to approve all proposed activities?
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
While it is expected that the pharmaceutical sector would be included as “private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR” it is not entirely accurate description. “Affect” could be construed with a negative connotation. The sector is focused on actions to mitigate the effects of AMR and find solutions to address the challenges of AMR. Suggest aiming for a more streamlined structure, with few layers of bureaucracy. This will help achieve higher accountability and impact.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment, resources, and a proven track record of taking action to address AMR. However, it is important to also not exclude new parties who may not have a demonstrated track record, but could have a demonstrable significant future impact.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is important that Action Group not only focus on reporting, but facilitating and driving action. What responsibilities will they have to ensure and encourage action be taken? Not only should they monitor impact, but they should monitor progress based on KPIs.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

One recommendation is that there be an Action Group specifically focused on and supporting new innovative, R&D-based approaches to mitigate AMR.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
These clusters create artificial silos that will not encourage and facilitate cross-sector collaboration. It has been shown in other areas with similar complexity, e.g. climate change, that progress is best made when sectors work together to advance goals. Suggest clusters instead be around topic areas, for example awareness, data generation, stewardship, innovation, etc. A mechanism for regular and effective flow of information between clusters is important to have in place.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In addition to attending plenary sessions, suggest also allowing them to attend and support working groups and task force meetings as relevant.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Without knowing the specifics of the organizational structure, and based on feedback previously provided that the cluster groupings may not be appropriate as it will restrict cross-sector collaborations. It would be important that the leadership of each cluster group and action group are represented and not a subset. In designating a subset it is semi-arbitrarily prioritizing one area over another.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Not clear if the Plenary is best suited for debate, as these discussions should have happened in the different cluster and action groups, as well as in meetings with the Steering Committee. Should be focused more on formalizing decision making and coordination. Assuming critical decisions would be taken in specific clusters, the plenary may also have a “public” segment to account for advocacy of the work and help further awareness among the general community.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
This is quite complex. It is not clear if as designed the cluster groups are needed. Simplicity could drive a structure based on priority action areas that are inclusive of each subsector.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
Suggest also having some linkage the Global Leaders Group. It will also be important that mechanisms are established to support ongoing external communications.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
Important to early on set targets that define success. For long term targets, which include the development and novel antimicrobials, it will be important to have a mechanism in place to track progress towards innovative solutions.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| S  | 5 - Fully |

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Pharmaceutical sector

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
NUTRIMAX

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
Georgia
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q7</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q8</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

In general attitude to antibiotics and understanding of general/basic knowledge related to its usage
Q13 Yes

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Complex actions could be managed and distributed to groups involved in antibiotics usage, from producers till consumers.

Q15 Yes

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

This will be interesting team work
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
CAPON farms enterprise

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
Nigeria
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals, and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should be total in that it should be encompassing.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Totally support and moreso members should acts and represent their individual countries

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Q17      | Yes, Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Yes |
| Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. |

| Q18      | Yes, Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Yes |
| Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. |

| Q19      | Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Yes |
| Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? |
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Uses

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Ues

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S 1 - Not at all

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q) Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q) Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Fao

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Hamah, Syria
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Q7 Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8 Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Yes
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

yes

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>2 - Slightly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Feedmix Specialist Inc.

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Philippines
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Q7  Yes
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8  Yes
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Action group members should have thorough knowledge of practices that entail the use of antibiotics and how the practices would affect the development of AMR. Cluster group members should be represented by practitioners and producers who decide whether antibiotics should be applied during production and how. Hands-on knowledge among Cluster members should be mined to fully understand the extent of necessary application and refine the treatment application process.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Examine and refine guidelines on sourcing and importation of antibiotics. Because online trading has been facilitated for most commodities, there is a need to examine the entry of goods that may bypass regular procedures. Online trading requires a new set of control measures which may have been overlooked by countries.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Members should have practical knowledge to avoid putting forward recommendations which may not be practical or relevant on the ground. There should be more private sector and user-group partners.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
I think the clusters are important groups whose presence should be felt. Representation of the clusters should be strong.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans;
Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR;
Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy;
Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q)
Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify?</td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Aquaculture production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
DSM

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Austria
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Qualification and proof of activity to reduce AMR; commitment to a certain role and work. Contract can be used for the agreement of the membership.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Agree with the statements above.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
Reduction of AMR is one of the 5 strategic goals in DSM

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes, very interested

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private Sector

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Elanco Animal Health

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
UK
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
There is always talk of PPP yet the private sector is ALWAYS left out. Either work in the spirit of PPP or stop talking about it.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
Private sector vision is not taken into account its very much one sided and biased
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: This is just a caption private sector is always excluded

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: its biased
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

This is just a paper exercise. Private sector are always excluded.

Stop being biased to the private sector and treat them like a partner not someone with a hidden agenda.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

as of now none

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
Where is the private sector?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

none

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fully</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Dechra PLC

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Brazil
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S 4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Algae research center

Q5
Type of organization: 
Private sector

Q6
Country: 
India
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
All are interdependent

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Globally economical & vast knowledge
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Equality & faith

Intergrated system
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Field experience
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Good coordination

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Planning & execution

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Should be result orientated.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>3 - Moderately</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Good for humanity
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Biofeeder SAS

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
Ecuador

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Provide relevant information of homecountry that will help AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Best Management practices for aquaculture

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S
3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

NO
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Seafoodmatter

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Netherlands
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Development of an assurance model to share data near real time avoiding manipulation
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Global KDE or KPI per species

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment and agreement on sharing data and access to reports
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Transparency, without data sharing there is not progress and a common ground to move ahead, advocacy and information sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time. Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate? Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

n/c
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 - Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a client, a farm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><code>Technical group / or steering committee</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
BD (Becton Dickinson)

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
United States
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We encourage the Tripartite to commit to stronger objectives for the Platform including setting targets for improvement, measuring progress and spotlighting obstacles to collective action. Tracking and measuring need to be an integral part of the objectives along with recommending guidelines and trainings for professionals.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:

Partially agree. It is preferrable that the platform accountable to an AMR secretariat rather than three different organizations.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Private Sector members ought to have made commitments to positively impacting progress on issues related to AMR. Numerous forums exist where Private Sector members can demonstrate commitment, including the AMR Industry Alliance (https://www.amrindustryalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/AMR-Industry-Declaration.pdf) or the CDC AMR Challenge (https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/intl-activities/amr-challenge.html). For civil society/medical associations, it could having an AMR related working group/report/study. It is very important for developing countries to make sure they join the conversation as they are the main drivers of policy.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
This is an action-oriented description and we encourage the Objective statement (#2) to follow a similar approach.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Establishing goals where progress can be made and measured. For example: - population with access to clean water; - rate of increase / decrease of resistance in pathogens of risk to humans - incidence of inappropriate antibiotic use (e.g. no access to antibiotics or use of wrong antibiotic) - number of country-level programs implemented to meet AMR Action Group objectives.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We encourage the Steering Committee to have a budget and a dedicated secretariat to help coordinate and organize meetings.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>4 - Actively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

- Yes

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

- Yes,
  If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
  Private Sector

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

- No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Associations (IFPMA)

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
Switzerland
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Yes, but it is unclear how the Platform will interact and coordinate with the GLG and the IPEA. Suggest further detailing the interaction mechanism, and the high-level deliverables of the Platform.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: The objectives are abstract and may not reflect the current situation - for example, the shared vision has largely been agreed in the recent years through the WHO GAP, the IACG on AMR Recommendations, and through many other multi-stakeholder collaborations. The Partnership Platform would be better served by developing concrete approaches to take forward key actions across recognized priority areas - incentivizing innovation, enabling sustainable access, improving AMR surveillance and appropriate use, and other areas.
| Q9 | Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles? | No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
A missing guiding principle is action-oriented purpose of the group; the Platform should, through multistakeholder collaboration, develop practical, actionable approaches to take forward priority policy actions, and should avoid being just a discussion platform.

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

| Q10 | Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? | Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
More details on the oversight/accountability mechanism would be helpful. The Platform should also report into the GLG. | The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies. |
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Cluster Groups are an understandable approach in ensuring the Platform is manageable, but if these are implemented, they should be designed differently so that they actively promote cross-sector collaboration (see also feedback below). Members should also have the means to provide specific individual intra-sectoral input especially within more diverse constituencies. It is also unclear what the planned membership structure will be – are all the stakeholder groups noted in the first paragraph to be represented in equal numbers? Is there a limit to how many members of the groups noted can be Members? If so, what are the criteria for inclusion and selection? How will decisions on admission be made? Under the description of the private sector, this should recognize that some sectors, including the pharmaceutical industry, are actively contributing to actions to mitigate the effects of AMR and find solutions to address the challenges of AMR.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Prospective Members should at the very least be actively involved in addressing AMR with a track record of engagement, or have a demonstrated commitment to doing so, especially at the global level, or in regions with a high engagement impact potential, or in key underrepresented geographical regions, or regions with the highest burden of AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
It would be important to consider how actions coming out of the Platform will be supported and implemented as they are passed forward.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above, especially: • Supporting practical implementation of priority actions, especially at country level, and in connection with that; • Advocacy (especially leveraging constituency links for wide dissemination) and information sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
These clusters create artificial silos that will not encourage and facilitate cross-sector collaboration. It has been shown in other areas with similar complexity, e.g. climate change, that progress is best made when sectors work together to advance common goals. We strongly suggest clusters instead be around topic areas, for example AMR awareness, data generation, stewardship, innovation, etc. A mechanism for regular and effective flow of information between clusters is also important to have in place.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>No, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves. Taking into account the feedback under Q9 on Cluster Groups and the need to enable cross-sector collaboration, the leadership of each cluster group and action group should be represented to ensure all voices are heard. These Representatives and the Chair could also have a rotating mechanism for representation as needed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership. The (at least approximate) frequency of Plenary Sessions should be clarified, and objectives of each item presented in advance. Effort should be made to ensure Plenaries are an efficient mechanism to formalize and drive decisions &amp; work of the action groups et al. instead of discussing from scratch.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
Assuming all the elements in green are part of the Partnership Platform, the scheme suggests: • Action Groups would report directly into the Plenary and not through the Platform (whereas under Q7 it is said these would report to the Steering Committee) • Unclear coordination between GLG, IPEA, and the Platform • Disconnect of the Platform Steering Committee from the Platform constituents themselves, except through Plenaries.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
The Platform and the IPEA should work together on unpacking IPEA conclusions and defining an impactful approach to disseminating key takeaways.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
The medium-term and long-term targets seem unambitious, and it is unclear how progress would be quantified. It is unclear how these targets would be achieved. An example would be the wording on medium-term target no.2 to “generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR” – advocacy is important, but concrete policy changes should be the target. Long-term target on commitments focuses only on one policy area, i.e. stewardship. In 10 years, solutions should already be implemented, and this should further include improved IPC & leveraging of vaccines against AMR, enabling sustainable access to antibiotics, new pull incentives, and other. That action is needed across several policy areas has also been recognized in the WHO GAP, the IACG Report, and in the Global Leaders Group on AMR Action Plan. It is unclear what role the post 10-year roadmap would play. Is this intended as a post-2030 Agenda continuation? It would again be beneficial to clarify the Platform’s objectives within the context of those by the GLG, and the IPEA. For example, how will the medium-term target on “sharing and enhancing knowledge, evidence, …” link to the work of the IPEA?
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

As a general comment, it is important to realize (and continuously communicate) that while overuse and misuse of antibiotics accelerate the development of AMR (as rightly noted for example on the FAO survey website), bacterial resistance is a natural phenomenon that will always be present, and we will always need new antibiotics to address evolving threats, even with perfect stewardship.

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
IFPMA represents the research-based pharmaceutical companies and associations across the globe. The research-based pharmaceutical industry’s 2 million employees discover, develop, and deliver medicines and vaccines that improve the life of patients worldwide. Based in Geneva, IFPMA has official relations with the United Nations and contributes industry expertise to help the global health community find solutions that improve global health. For many years, IFPMA has been committed to making a difference in the fight against AMR. In 2017, IFPMA established the multi-sectoral AMR Industry Alliance to report and drive broader life sciences industry progress in tackling AMR, which it continues to Chair to this day. In 2020, IFPMA envisioned and drove the creation of a groundbreaking $1B USD AMR Action Fund with over 20 member companies investing, together with contributions and funding provided by the European Investment Bank and the Wellcome Trust, as well as technical input from the WHO. The Fund aims to bridge the antimicrobial R&D clinical funding gap, giving the world more time to implement practical and sustainable solutions to address antimicrobial market challenges.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify?
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private Sector Partner
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>Respondent skipped this question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Cepheid

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
United States of America / Global
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: The One-Health approach presented by the Platform is relevant as it supports joint working between the animal, human, environmental and food sectors. Stakeholder engagement is critical to ensure that this Platform is effective and the representatives from all sectors should be included. Cepheid is a key diagnostics player in the fight against AMR in the human health sector by providing rapid molecular diagnostic solutions that support the adoption of antimicrobial stewardship strategies including reduced reliance on empirical treatment.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Effective global and national responses to the COVID-19 pandemic have made clear the importance of information-sharing and networking. Information sharing is critical to our response to AMR. We must not only engage with all stakeholders to work together to tackle this public health issue, but also make sure we are aligned in terms of priorities and next steps.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Transparency and inclusivity are critical. Communication and regular meetings are also key to ensuring there is transparency and clarity in all of the work planned and to be delivered.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

To qualify for membership, a stakeholder must currently prioritize fighting AMR in their work and have the ability to take action to combat AMR. Private sector manufacturers should have experience with stringent regulatory review and approval for their products.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We agree with the vision for Action Groups. Specific topics and subtopics should be evidence-based to ensure that the actions they drive will have real and lasting impact.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Action Groups should develop best practice guidelines that will help countries implement their NAPs.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private sector partners should include representatives from the diagnostics industry.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:

Is an annual meeting enough? If we want decision making, would recommend two Plenary meetings a year.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
Since stakeholders are proposed to be a part of Action Groups, this chart doesn’t make sense. It currently appears as though stakeholders are only engaged in the Plenary.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
200 members is too large of a membership. In order to be effective, the membership should be divided in working groups tackling different aspects of AMR e.g., AMR prevention and testing for resistance in hospitals, antibiotics in farming and new antibiotics development.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The Platform will provide the opportunity to bring together all stakeholders to effectively tackle this public health issue. Please ensure that there is adequate representation from the diagnostics industry in this group.
### Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cepheid is committed to fighting AMR. Rapid and accurate diagnostic tests can help health care providers improve antibiotic prescribing decisions. We are currently working with a number of stakeholders to help optimize antimicrobial use in patients.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cepheid is interested in becoming a member of the Platform.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cepheid is a molecular diagnostics company that would be defined to be a member of the “Private Sector Partner.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

| Respondent skipped this question |
#71

Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Sterkspruit Veterinary Clinic

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
South Africa

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11 Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12 What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Any person or institution that has relevance to antimicrobial usage and AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above as well as a standardised method of monitoring AMR

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
<td>I would be happy to contribute expert advice on antimicrobial usage and AMR avoidance in the aquatic environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good to see AMR being addressed from the One Health perspective</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
AMR Industry Alliance

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
Switzerland

PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes, but it is unclear how the Platform will interact and coordinate with the GLG and the IPEA. Suggest further detailing the interaction mechanism.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The objectives may not completely accurately reflect the current situation, i.e., the shared vision was largely agreed in recent years through the WHO GAP, the IACG on AMR Recommendations, and through many other multi-stakeholder collaborations. Building on previous work, the Partnership Platform may seek to develop improved approaches to take forward key actions across recognized priority areas such as innovation, sustainable access, AMR surveillance and appropriate use, and other areas. The platform could also seek to understand knowledge gaps in key areas (e.g.in the environmental aspect) and to identify mechanisms to close such gaps to better inform development of relevant policy proposals to proportionately and most effectively reduce the risk of AMR.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Yes, however, the platform should provide an opportunity for all stakeholders to discuss, collaborate and identify their specific role in addressing AMR as part of the GAP and national action plans. It should also be action-oriented, ensuring the development of practical, actionable approaches to taking forward priority policy actions.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: It may be helpful to outline what the oversight mechanism will be and how accountability will be monitored.
**Q11**
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

**No,**
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Cluster groups may unnecessarily establish silos. There is a need to ensure action is taken across all stakeholders and that the groups are driven by areas in need of action. Consideration should also be given to how Members can provide specific individual intra-sectoral (or intra-cluster) input especially within more diverse constituencies. Further clarification would be helpful to determine how stakeholders will be represented, including whether all the stakeholder groups will be represented in equal numbers, and whether there will be a limit to how many members of the groups can be Members. It may also be useful to offer opportunities that allow different stakeholders operating in a common geographic area (or country income level) to exchange ideas and practices related to common topics / sub-topics of interest (in addition to between geographies and country income levels). Under the description of the private sector, this should recognize that some sectors, including the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and diagnostics industry, are actively contributing to actions to mitigate the effects of AMR and find solutions to address the challenges of AMR.

**Q12**
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership requirements may include: • Representing one of the following stakeholder groups: government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations • The stakeholder / prospective member should be actively involved in addressing AMR with a track record of engagement or have a demonstrated commitment to doing so especially at the global level, or in regions with a high engagement impact potential, or in key underrepresented geographical regions, or regions with the highest burden of AMR • Prospective member’s mission, vision and / or activities should be aligned with at least one of the objectives of the Global Action Plan on AMR • Prospective member should be willing to support multi-stakeholder and multisectoral partnerships to address AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: One consideration is how initiatives undertaken by action groups will be funded.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above, including development of multisectoral and multi-stakeholder partnerships and innovative financing mechanisms to advance activity implementation and close (e.g., by identifying and perhaps funding) key knowledge gaps where necessary to reduce the risk of AMR. Action should span the following areas: appropriate use of antibiotics to reduce the development of AMR with the support of vaccines and diagnostics; (ii) access to quality antibiotics and ensuring new ones are available to all, and improve and accelerate access to diagnostics in low- and middle-income countries; (iii) mitigating the environmental impact of manufacturing; and (iv) investing in research and science to meet public health needs with new innovative diagnostics and treatments.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Due attention should be given to ensuring that meaningful opportunities for information sharing, collaboration and partnership between stakeholder types are provided. At least one representative of each Cluster Group should participate in each Action Group. At least one representative of each Cluster Group could sit on the Steering Committee.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To maximize impact, it will be important to ensure that members are active in the Platform and its associated activities.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Consideration should be given as to the potential for rotation of Steering Committee representatives. For example, will processes be established to provide opportunity for different members from a given cluster group to put forward a new representative on the Steering Committee (e.g., every year or two years)? Consideration should be given to the possibility of a rotating Chair, e.g., to ensure the same cluster group isn’t represented during 2-3 consecutive Chair tenures. The leadership of each cluster group and action group should be represented to ensure all voices are heard.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Clear intended outcomes and participation procedures should be identified and established for the Plenary Sessions.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: 
Yes, however the diagram is unclear, including the reporting lines, i.e., it appears that action groups report into the Plenary despite the fact that Q7 indicates that these would report to the Steering Committee. Similarly the Steering Committee currently seems disconnected from the platform constituents in the left box.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: 
However, We recommend considering a similar mechanism for the GLG, given its central role in raising attention and driving action by stakeholders.
Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Question for clarification Upon which targets was 200 members determined? Consideration should be given to representation on the one hand, and potential for collaboration and impact on the other. Targets Medium-term targets should include implementable actions and initiatives with a quantifiable impact and potential to scale. The following target is very important and should represent a medium-term target rather than long-term one, given that there is opportunity to build on pre-existing commitments and actions. “Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy” It will be important to more specifically quantify the long-term targets in a manner that allows to measure progress and impact achieved. For example, what will the mortality rate (or decrease in) due to AMR be projected to be by 2030 (what is the target), similarly what will it be by 2050? A baseline could be established based on 2016 data, with associated % reduction targets to be reached in out years (e.g., 2030, 2050). Actions could then be established accordingly to reach these targets. Additional thoughts Additionally, targets could be further sub-categorized in line with key action areas grounded in the objectives of in the Global action plan on AMR, including the following dimensions: awareness / education / capacity building, policy and regulation, surveillance and research, system strengthening, R&D / innovation, access and appropriate use It would be beneficial to clarify the Platform’s objectives within the context of those by the GLG, and the IPEA. For example, how will the medium-term target on “sharing and enhancing knowledge, evidence, ...” link to the work of the IPEA?

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
The AMR Industry Alliance is one of the largest private sector coalitions established to provide sustainable solutions to curb antimicrobial resistance (AMR). The AMR Industry Alliance currently includes over 100 biotech, diagnostics, generics and research-based pharmaceutical companies and associations. Through its members, the AMR Industry Alliance drives the life-sciences industry to curb AMR across four different pillars: (i) appropriate use of antibiotics to reduce the development of AMR with the support of vaccines and diagnostics; (ii) access to quality antibiotics and ensuring new ones are available to all, and improve and accelerate access to diagnostics in low- and middle-income countries; (iii) mitigating the environmental impact of manufacturing; and (iv) investing in research and science to meet public health needs with new innovative diagnostics and treatments. As part of its Strategic Plan 2021-2025, the AMR Industry Alliance is pursuing initiatives across each of these four pillars through relevant internal Working Groups, in partnership with external stakeholders, including public, private, not-for-profit and international organizations.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private sector partner

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
#73

COMPLETED

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)
**Started:** Friday, September 17, 2021 9:54:56 PM
**Last Modified:** Friday, September 17, 2021 10:07:30 PM
**Time Spent:**
**IP Address:**

Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

**Q1**
Name:

**Q2**
Email:

**Q3**
Job title:

**Q4**
Organization/entity:
International Poultry Council

**Q5**
Type of organization:
Private sector

**Q6**
Country:
Global
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Know-how, ability to take actions, commitment
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Sharing best practices already available in frontrunner countries

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Particular attention should be paid to producers' associations, given their role in representing those who will implement in the filed any actions and the extensive know-how of their sectors

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Universities, Research institutions and Private Sector should have more chances to provide inputs to action groups to be sure that any outcome will be science base, fitting for purpose, realistic and implementable.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Short term goal should only be about how many members will be attracted, but more on the real contribution they can bring on the table.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Venus Remedies Limited

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
India
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

1. Past and present actions / success to tackle AMR 2. Future commitments to tackle AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Regular Assessments of implemented activities at country or sectoral level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q20</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate?</strong> The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q21</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets?</strong> The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q22</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any other comments?</strong> Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

4 - Actively
Venus Remedies Limited (VRL) is a research-driven Indian Pharmaceutical company with global footprints, VRL is active in research and development of Infectious Diseases domain with a focus on preserving the life of existing antibiotics using Antibiotic Resistance Breakers (ARBs). Venus is also developing a one of a kind platform technology that will allow oral delivery of IV only antibiotics, allowing for reduced hospital stay. In addition to our research endeavours, Venus is committed to tackle AMR by its stewardship initiatives, ensuring a healthier hospital ecology, judicious use of antibiotics, spreading public awareness on AMR and providing an AMR surveillance platform for capturing and sharing local resistance data. We truly believe that tackling antimicrobial resistance will require multistakeholder commitment on a global level and VRL hopes to work closely with various national and international agencies to contribute to the cause.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private Sector Partner

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Venus AMR initiatives: www.campaignplea.com www.venusremedies.com www.vmrcindia.com plea twitter account: @CampaignPlea
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
Biotechnology Innovation Organization (BIO)

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country: 

USA
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: We request additional information regarding how the Platform will coordinate with the Global Leaders Group (GLG) on AMR and Independent Panel on Evidence for Action Against AMR (IPEA). Additional clarity is needed on how this group will interact with these established global governance structures to achieve the deliverables of the new Platform.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Some objectives duplicate thoughtful work that many other multi-stakeholder global collaborations have conducted in recent years, including the IAGC on AMR Recommendations and the WHO GAP. There is broad agreement on a ‘shared vision’ for a One Health approach, including key elements that are needed on a global level to address the crisis in the antimicrobial ecosystem. We recommend the Platform develop specific objectives in recognized AMR Priority areas, including 1) incentivizing innovation, 2) enabling sustainable access to antimicrobials, and other areas. Objectives of this Partnership Platform also ought to consider and seek to align with the priorities of the GLG on AMR for 2021-2022, outlined in the ‘rolling action plan.’
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Yes, however we recommend adding a guiding principle to underscore the importance of near-term action on AMR priority areas, and the Platform’s role in driving action. It would be a missed opportunity if the Platform served as exclusively (or primarily) another forum for discussion. The Platform ought to use multistakeholder collaboration to develop and advance actionable approaches to address recognized AMR priority areas, including policy action.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: We recommend that the Platform also be accountable to the GLG. We also request additional details regarding the accountability principle - specifically regarding scope of oversight and how accountability would be operationalized.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
While BIO is generally supportive of the approach to membership and member participation, we request additional details on the planned structure of the groups to outline clear expectations and encourage active cross-sector collaboration. The proposed approach lacks specificity regarding the composition of Action and Cluster Groups – for ex.: - Does the Partnership outline how many representatives from a particular stakeholder category can participate in an Action and/or Cluster Group? Is there a limit to how many members of the same stakeholder category can be Members? - Does the WHO intend to ensure every stakeholder category listed above is represented in each Action Group? If so, are all the stakeholders to be represented in equal numbers/shares? - What are the criteria for inclusion and selection of Members of Action and Cluster Groups? What is the process for doing so?

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

To qualify for membership, stakeholders should be able to demonstrate a public commitment to addressing AMR, and illustrate actions the organization has taken related to addressing the challenge of AMR.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: We recommend additional consideration be given to how the actions of the Action Group are implemented and advanced to ensure progress on topics/subtopics is realized.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

BIO supports the actions proposed above. We also recommend additional emphasis on innovation, and actions related to creating a sustainable and viable antimicrobial ecosystem to address AMR.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: To ensure cross-sectoral collaboration, it is imperative that the Platform does not create silos of different categories/types of organizations. Instead, we recommend exploring different approaches for organizing clusters; potentially thematic cluster groups focused around different topic areas with representation from each of the member categories listed above. It will also be critical to establish a mechanism for flow of information across clusters to ensure One Health discussions are taking place within each thematic area. We also recommend that all Cluster Groups are represented in each Action Group.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: We recommend the Platform Steering Committee either include the leads of each of the Cluster and Action Groups to ensure all groups are adequately represented. If this is not possible or reasonable due to the number of groups, we recommend establishing a process to rotate which group leads sit on the Steering Committee. A mechanism to regularly rotate Representatives and the Chair should also be defined and established.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Due to the size and broad participation of the annual Plenary Sessions, we recommend the objectives of each element of the session be provided and presented in advance. We also flag for the Platform’s consideration that efficient decision-making with such a wide group of Plenary attendees may present challenges.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We believe organizational structure presented is unnecessarily complex, could be inefficient, and may not accurately represent the information previously provided about the Platform. We request refinement of the organizational structure to address the following: - The role of the cluster groups – we do not see these represented. How do cluster groups interact with Action Groups, or other elements of the structure? - It was our understanding that both Action and Cluster Groups report directly to the Steering committee, rather than Plenary. This relationship is not captured in the scheme. - Specific coordination between the GLG, IPEA and the Platform is not represented. - It was our understanding that the green bubble of stakeholders captured on the far left of the scheme comprised membership of the action and cluster groups, and the Platform as a whole. The manner this group is captured, as connected to the Plenary, is confusing.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We suggest the Platform expand this communications strategy to bring conclusions, evidence, and other work of the GLG, Tripartite and other organizations to the attention of priority stakeholders as well, rather than singularly focused on IPEA.
Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: We recommend additional specificity on medium-term and long-term targets, including how progress would be quantified. At present, it is unclear how these targets would be achieved. Additionally, medium- and long-term targets related to innovation are notably absent, with a singular focus on stewardship. We recommend targets are expanded to mention action that focuses on innovation and creating a sustainable R&D ecosystem to help address AMR. These solutions related to enabling sustainable/improved access to antimicrobials and novel pull incentives have been recognized in the WHO GAP, the IACG Report and in the GLG rolling action plan, and ought to be aligned in this Platform.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

It is essential for the Platform to continually communicate that innovation in antimicrobial R&D is a critical component of policy actions needed to combat the threat of AMR. While inappropriate use accelerates AMR, bacterial and fungal resistance is a natural phenomenon that will always be present, and we will always need new products to address evolving threats, even under perfect stewardship conditions.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
The Biotechnology Innovation Organization (BIO) is the world's largest biotechnology trade association, representing more than 1000 biotechnology companies, academic institutions, state biotechnology centers, and related organizations. The biopharmaceutical industry plays a key role in developing and bringing innovative treatments, including antibiotics, antifungals, vaccines, and new technologies such as phages, microbiome therapies, and anti-biofilm agents, to patients suffering from AMR. BIO's members include both established R&D pharmaceutical companies, as well as least 35 biotechnology companies that are the engines of innovation, developing most of the medicines in the pipeline to address AMR. BIO has long been committed to addressing the threat of AMR, and engaging with stakeholders around the world to advance innovative AMR policy solutions.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private Sector Partners

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
MSD

Q5
Type of organization: Private sector

Q6
Country:
USA

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We request additional clarification on how the Tripartite Group plans for this Platform to interact with the GLG and IPEA. Will certain subjects and issues go to one group? Will they all assess the same topics? How will coordination on discussions between these mechanisms be carried out?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
These read less as objectives, but more as mechanisms to help achieve objectives. We believe the Platform will better position itself for success by developing specific objectives in key AMR priority areas – for example incentivizing innovation, enabling sustainable access, improving AMR surveillance and appropriate use, and other areas. The GLG has taken a similar approach in its rolling action plan, and recommend this group adopt similar, aligned strategic objectives.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: MSD is supportive of these principles but recommends including a key principle to ensure this platform exists to help drive science-based action on AMR priority areas, similar to our above comments on strategic objective.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: We are aligned with this principle. We suggest that the GLG also be included in this accountability principle. We also request clarification on what this accountability would entail - oversight with the goal to ensure progress? Final approval on any activities?
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We recommend considering more clarification on the planned structure of these groups. Are there limits on how many stakeholders from a particular sector will be able to join a cluster and/or action group? Does the Tripartite Group plan for all join a cluster group if possible? Does membership to a cluster group create restrictions on joining Action Groups?

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

We recommend that stakeholders seeking to join the platform should be able to demonstrate that they are actively involved in addressing AMR, or at least have made a public commitment to do so.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We recommend more structure around how Action Groups can ensure action is actually taken, versus reporting on progress. If all members can join these Action Groups, how will this coordinate with the proposal that each Cluster Group being invited to provide members as well?

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other?

We support the above actions and recommend an Action Group is formed that focuses on innovation and creating a sustainable R&D ecosystem to help address AMR.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To best align with the One Health Objectives of the Tripartite Group, it is critical that we avoid the creation of a siloed organization that will impede the cross-sectoral collaboration needed to address AMR. We recommend considering alternative approaches to organizing the Cluster Groups, perhaps around particular priority topic areas as compared to the above sector specific approach. We recommend a robust mechanism for cross-cluster collaboration to ensure true One Health focused discussions can take place.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This is an extremely complex organization structure and it is difficult to understand the true lines of communication and reporting. For example, it is unclear what role Cluster Groups are playing organizationally. Should Cluster Groups and Action Groups have clearer lines of interaction between each other? Should both not directly report to the Steering Committee as described above and not the plenary? How exactly will the GLG and IPEA engage with the platform?

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We recommend considering a similar mechanism for the GLG, given its central role in raising attention and driving action by stakeholders.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We recommend consider more granular and specific long- and medium-term targets. For example, we note a long-term target that focuses on stewardship. What about others that focus on sustainable innovation, improved access, improved surveillance systems, prevention through leveraging vaccines in humans and animals, etc.? Considering specific, measurable objectives will also best position the platform to achieve success – the platform could consider a short-term objective of concluding a process to help set these specific medium- and long-term objectives. How will these objectives align with those of the GLG and IPEA? How will these groups seek to align objectives?
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
NO

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S 5 - Fully
For over a century, MSD has played a leading role in combating AMR, not only discovering and developing a range of medicines and vaccines that treat and prevent infectious diseases in humans and animals, but also supporting responsible use of these products. Today, MSD is one of the last remaining large pharmaceutical companies that focuses on the research and development (R&D) of new treatments for a broad range of infections. This sustained commitment to preventing and treating infectious diseases is central to fulfilling our mission to save and improve lives. MSD has been working to address AMR for years, but collective action and a shared commitment are vital to make progress against this global health threat. We are committed to a “One Health” approach, working with key stakeholders to ensure antibiotics remain an important tool in improving and maintaining human and animal health. We believe in the responsible use of antibiotics. MSD is proud to be leading industry’s progress on AMR. In 2020, MSD and a group of more than 20 leading biopharmaceutical companies launched the AMR Action Fund, a groundbreaking US$1B partnership that aims to bring two to four new antibiotics to patients by the end of the decade. As a lead investor, MSD has committed US$100 million over 10 years into the Fund to help bridge the gap between the innovative early antibiotic pipeline and patients. In 2016, MSD joined over 100 companies, organizations and trade associations to launch a joint declaration that set out bold commitments to address AMR. Additionally, MSD and 12 other leading companies came together to develop a detailed Industry Roadmap for Progress on Combating AMR which explored new opportunities for collaboration between industry and the public sector. In 2017, MSD Animal Health led the global animal health industry effort to develop the HealthforAnimals Antibiotic Commitment, which was signed onto by 200 companies and over 700,000 veterinarians. To drive and measure industry progress on AMR, we co-founded the AMR Industry Alliance, a coalition of large pharmaceutical companies, biotech companies, generic manufacturers and diagnostics developers all working together to curb AMR by committing to address four key areas: research & science, appropriate use, access and manufacturing. See more information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: R&amp;D Pharmaceutical and Animal Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Membership organization for the food service, primary and secondary processing and retail sector in the UK

Q5
Type of organization:
Private sector

Q6
Country:
UK
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: The One Health approach is key to tackling AMR and this will require stakeholders in a myriad of sectors to work together towards a common set of goals. A platform to help build understanding of the challenges faced by different sectors and the opportunities to drive change could be instrumental to build a supportive consensus to achieving this. The opportunity to share learnings across regional, national and international boundaries will also be highly beneficial. Given the movement of people and goods AMR needs to be seen as a global ecosystem challenge – a problem that arises in in one place or sector can quickly spread. In the food sector many supply-chains are global and there is opportunity to bring actors together to share best practice and see how successful initiatives can be translated into different settings (regions, countries).
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?

The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

The objectives are appropriate for the proposed platform. It is important that the platform carves out a niche that enables it to bring something new/novel to the global efforts to tackle AMR. There is a risk that unless this is carefully scoped and developed it could duplicate efforts or initiatives underway. 1) A shared vision – a fundamentally important driver of the direction of travel for the work. It is crucial that this is inclusive and recognizes the importance of maintaining AM efficacy for both human and animal health. It is important that all actors have a strong voice and that shaping of the vision is based on a nuanced understanding of both the risks and the potential solutions. 2) Information sharing and networking – this strand is where significant value could come from – perhaps the most important function of the platform in fact. There are many initiatives in both human and animal health to reduce the risk of AMR underway – sharing the learnings from these, building on existing good practice and helping each other to understand how to deploy these in a different geographical or sectorial contexts could be a truly powerful driver for change and improvement. 3) Taking Action – a co-ordination role to improve action already underway or work to identify translational approaches (from one sector to another or one geographical context to another) could have significant value but will need to be carefully managed. The proposed membership of the platform is broad so there will need to be a careful prioritization of work where stakeholders can add value to the global efforts to tackle AMR without duplicating work already underway.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: AMR is an extremely complex issue so a multidisciplinary and multisectoral approach is entirely appropriate. A whole ecosystem approach is necessary to tackle this as action in a single sector or discipline will not be enough. However with such complexity comes the risk that it may be difficult to prioritise the actions necessary or to deliver tangible outcomes and this will need to be managed carefully. Inclusivity, transparency and open access are also appropriate for such a platform to build trust, both in the stakeholders within the platform and the wider interested community of actors that this issue affects. Inclusivity is particularly important in order to ensure that whilst all voices are heard, the platform represents the consensus rather than single interests; mindful of the differing journeys various sectors are taking – this will influence mindset. Publication of the work of the platform in an open and accessible way will be important for building trust but consideration should be given to how the platform will deal with issues where publication of some information could be difficult e.g. issues of commercial sensitivity for example.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: This seems appropriate given the auspices under which the Platform is being developed.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The requirements to qualify for membership should focus on those who are contributing to tackling AMR. Given the diversity of stakeholders to be included in the platform there will be many different groups that are encompassed within this. It is also important to recognize that in some sectors there will actors who cross sectoral and geographical boundaries and who could bring added value to work of the platform. It is also vital to have a balanced approach, not linked to specific extreme political/societal polarized agendas. There is need to have a stake in the overall objective and be able to exert a level of influence in bringing about the necessary change and a need to have an agreed level of expertise in their areas. A major output from the Platform could be the opportunity to improve knowledge-transfer and disseminate good practice across sectoral, regional, national and international boundaries. The selection of members needs to reflect this aim.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
This is an appropriate vision for the action groups. However it is crucial to ensure that the workplans developed are complementary to existing initiatives rather than duplicative. The Shared Vision for the Platform should be the main driver to ensure this is the case.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Information sharing and knowledge transfer is an area where there is significant opportunity for the platform to make a real difference, however. information/guidance needs to be science led and peer reviewed. Members should be asked to provide their shared learnings to support the group and in turn these can be used to shape actions.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.:
From a structural and organizational perspective these clusters make sense, but there is a danger of creating siloes and this feels like a lost opportunity to get more cross-sectoral dialogue underway to improve each sectors understanding of both the challenges and opportunities in tackling AMR. Provided there is full visibility of members and their remit and a cross fertilization of ideas across the groups which would be best served by having a mixed group, this could work.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Members should be able to contribute to the work of the platform and that necessitates a degree of seniority within organisations with appropriate level of strategic thinking and decision-making brought to the table. The provisions around disclosures are entirely standard and appropriate for such an organization, especially given the principles of openness and transparency that are planned to be embedded. However, given the commitment to include civil society and the aim to be geographically balanced, some consideration should be given to how that participation could be supported.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: If the proposed structure of Cluster and Action Groups is adopted then the role and constitution of the Steering Committee is appropriate.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: A plenary session will be helpful in providing an opportunity to gauge progress on the work of the platform and strengthen the networking elements of it which are crucial to delivering successful outcomes.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: As discussed above this structure is organizationally sound but there could be an additional group that has cross-sectoral engagement and dialogue as its primary aim.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: Given the complex and multisectoral nature of the issue we face it is imperative that outputs from the platform are shared and taken up as widely as possible. A coherent and comprehensive communications strategy will aid that outcome enormously. Effective communication is vital, especially in an organization as large and wide-reaching as this one; consideration should therefore be given to a specific working group to develop and make sure communications are absolutely right and properly thought through.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.: The medium and long-term aims seem highly appropriate and quite ambitious. The short-term target set for recruitment to the platform could be more nuanced – there are certain sectors that are currently more engaged than others in tackling AMR so it is important to ensure that this recognized in recruitment to the platform. Ensuring a critical mass of stakeholders in each sector is more important that the total number of stakeholders. How would this collaboration fit in with existent collaborations at EU and Global level? Will it run in parallel or supersede so there is a coordinated action How do you ensure targets are set appropriately for those areas which are already on a path with AMR vs those which are only starting their journey?
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION

Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

4 - Actively
As an organization which was conceived in order to bring highlight AMR and to be actively pushing in the right direction FIIA should and is ‘active’. With major multinational retailers and food businesses as members, we have had a strategy in place to support responsible use of antibiotics with our members and work actively with manufactures, processors and farmers to do this. Following the publication of the O’Neill report we bring together major supermarkets, food service companies, manufacturers, processors and others to identify and implement practical strategies to support responsible use of antibiotics.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Yes
We believe at FIIA that we could make a valuable contribution to this platform. However, without knowing how much time and resource this might take – we would need full visibility before making a final decision.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Yes
We would identify as being part of the Private Sector cluster.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below.

Respondent skipped this question
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Q5
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Private sector

Q6
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Ability to recognize needs of animal in modern production. Field experience with usage of antibiotics.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All mentioned plus taking interviews with vets about usage of antibiotics.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fully</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
no
#81
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
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Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Poljovet d.o.o.

Q5
Type of organization: 
Private sector

Q6
Country: 
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? 
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should have live production and use of antibiotics
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of sectoral level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

| S | 2 - Slightly |

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
Respondent skipped this question
#82

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, September 23, 2021 11:32:47 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, September 23, 2021 11:46:46 AM
Time Spent: 
IP Address: 

Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Royal GD
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Q7 Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8 Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Access should be allowed for organisations that are in anyway involved in AMR.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

all of the above, plus guidelines for monitoring of AMR

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
For private sector partners it will be more difficult when their time investment is not covered by any financial compensation.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 - Fully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Q1

Name: 

### Q2

Email: 

### Q3

Job title: 

### Q4

Organization/entity:

Krajinavet d.o.o

### Q5

Type of organization: Private sector

### Q6

Country:

Bosnia and Herzegovina

---

## Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?
The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the
human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to
preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for
humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive,
international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront
of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build
more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of
the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will
be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the
Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the
Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR
(IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the
reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a
multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific
objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and
National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing
on a shared vision: To support the development and
implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and
targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder
groups across the human-animal-plant-environment
interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at
global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing
and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing
and collaboration to reach a common understanding and
enable coordination of activities between the different
sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective
engagement on diverse areas of importance by all
stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and
coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line
with other global governance mechanisms and promote
the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to
reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to
enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while
addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level;

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

They should have a more active role

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

They should have a more active role
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

S  4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

No

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR - by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human-animal-plant-environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders - by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human-animal-plant-environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4 - Actively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Respondent skipped this question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondent skipped this question
Other
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?  The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?  The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would propose to include stakeholder groups focussing on food and food security as well.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

requirements: work in an AMR-related field, agreement: active participation as a member by providing contacts, knowledge (seminars, education, publications), etc.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

providing a toolbox which enables an easy identification of relevant tools (e.g. assessment tools, guideline tools, surveillance tools) to accomplish a current activity or for the next steps

Yes

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Maybe in the medium-term targets under “Share and enhance”, the platform can provide resources to support countries financially in their efforts (e.g. funding grants, etc.).

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

2 - Slightly
with regard to the One Health approach only slightly but has started now

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Academia and research institutions

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

I think it is a great concept, since it not only involves high level experts but provides a good mixture. Would love to see it fly.
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Q1
Name:

[Redacted]

Q2
Email:

[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:

AVMA

Q5
Type of organization: Other (please specify):
Association

Q6
Country:

US
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes, it depends. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

It depends.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes, if national and regional differences are considered. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

If national and regional differences are considered.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Must be science based

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Unsure at this time
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Representation of practitioners
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Must be science based

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

agreement on science

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Clusters should include each of the bulleted items
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

No

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Insufficient detail

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform: Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform: Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform: Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

not at this time
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: 5

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

no
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Commonwealth Pharmacists Association

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
Non Governmental Organisation

Q6
Country:
United Kingdom
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Agree
Q13  Yes
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Agree

Q15  Yes
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Yes, we are fully addressing the challenge.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
#6

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, August 19, 2021 6:32:34 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, August 19, 2021 7:00:48 AM
Time Spent:
IP Address:
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
PGIMER, Chandigarh

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
Tertiary care hospital and training institute

Q6
Country:
India
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The members should have worked on the ground and have a track record of having worked and understood the problem first hand
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation of activities at regional level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✭</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I have been working on practical solutions for developing countries in the field of AMSP for 6 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: SELF

Q5
Type of organization: Consultancy

Q6
Country: Cote d'Ivoire

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Platform should be overall accountable to all the members of the United Nations.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The relevant Ministries at the member state level and the key sectors in those ministries should be targeted.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Monitoring and evaluation of the actions and reporting on progress; sourcing for funding

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Maybe cluster groups should by region and subregion with apex bodies at the continental and global levels
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Thank you
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

1 - Not at all

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

No

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No. Thanks
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Ministry of Livestock and Fisheries, Minna, Niger State, Nigeria

Q5
Type of organization: Other (please specify):
CIVIL SERVANT/Niger state government of Nigeria

Q6
Country:
Nigeria
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical
challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the
work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple
disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–
environment interface (One Health). Transparency and
open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent,
including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on
its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at
national, regional and global levels across all relevant
disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and
inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will
attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the
platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The
Platform will seek to achieve a balance between
inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the
voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability
principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to
the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing
bodies.

Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Membership should be representative, that is membership should be drawn from each region of the globe based on relevant qualification, experiences and research work on different areas of AMR.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Sensitization on judicious and responsible use of antibiotic by the end user across human, animal and plant.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆ 2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As a veterinary practitioner where alot of antibiotic is being misuse and overuse global and as an expert on antimicrobial resistance, I can play a significant role in sensitization of livestock farmers and professional colleagues on AMR.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Private practice

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
private practice

Q6
Country:
South Africa
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
A multi-disciplinary approach is essential

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Important to include small AMR groups as well as BIG corporates and organisations
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

social media awareness posts

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 - Actively World Naturopathic Federation - AMR committee and local South Africa Naturopathy Association</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure, Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Would depend on role and commitment required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Would depend on role and commitment required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Coombe Consulting

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
Animal industry and veterinary consultant

Q6
Country:
Australia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant?
The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach:
Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports.
Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I think anyone who is actively working in AMR related activities should qualify. The more inclusive the better.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above suggestions, plus identification of knowledge or capability gaps.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think private sector is too broad - I think this should be further divided into animal production industries, waste management industries, pharmaceutical industry then private sector.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.
Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
Yes

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.
NO
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I lead an antimicrobial stewardship strategy for animal industries in Australia

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: Burnet Institute

Q5
Type of organization: NGO medical research and public health and international development

Q6
Country: Papua New Guinea
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

List of the main issues impacting AMR would be useful.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles?
- Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g., civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Need a clear though broad terms of reference for membership. Need statements to address any conflicts of interest with membership. Suggest including social science professionals.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

strengthening laboratory and surveillance systems for AMR and AMU

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

For some LMIC members of the platform some funding maybe needed to support participation in the action groups

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Should UNEP or other environment organisation
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Need to include the social sciences to assist in human behaviour change
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
4 - Actively
Delivering the Fleming Fund Country Grant in PNG

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
non-government organisation working public health and international development

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Need to ensure Low and Middle income countries are represented appropriately and they may need financial support to participate
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Global Action Fund for Fungal Infections

Q5
Type of organization: 
Other (please specify): NGO

Q6
Country: 
Switzerland and UK
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? Yes The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
NGOs would appear to be omitted.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Organisations with expertise in antimicrobials (antibacterials and antifungals in particular)
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Actions should be concrete, not more statements of intent.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Specific plans to reduce unnecessary usage of antimicrobials in medicine, food production, plant usage (ie flowers, drinks) and waste effluent

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This will result in not enough cross talk. Would prefer to see issues addressed in narrow sectors. Ie aquaculture, flower and bulb production, intermediate chemistry synthesis snad discard, growth promotion in meat production etc.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
May need to have plenary sessions focused on broad, but not all topics. Ie antifungal fungicides and human antifungal medicines; use of antibiotics in food production, etc. If too big a topic is addressed in one meeting, only platitudes will result.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
You are missing a stakeholder group with governments - regulators for agchem and human NCEs.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

90% of plant diseases are fungal, so in this domain the problems of resistance in plant pathogens and human pathogenic fungi need particular emphasis.
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

4 - Actively
Accurate diagnosis of human infection is a core goal and this will minimise inappropriate use of many antimicrobials.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure,
If yes, could you please specify?
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
NGOs with a high level of technical expertise don't seem to be included.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Institute of Public Health Sremska Mitrovica

Q5
Type of organization: Other (please specify):
government medical institution

Q6
Country:
Serbia
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

relevance, commitment and ability
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

best practice guidelines and information sharing

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: AMR.Solutions

Q5
Type of organization: A communication resource for the AMR R&D community

Q6
Country: USA
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Impressive vision!

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I'd be pretty easy on the rules for participating ... relevance to AMR has many facets.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Anything in the IACG plan for action

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies; international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Yes, but avoid allowing the Action Groups to be deeply siloed ... it's easy to just talk to your colleagues. The real power here is in cross-fertilization.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Great idea ... but do work to include some from each Cluster Group in each Action Group. Cross-fertilization!
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This diagram is very helpful.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Great work!
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✨</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Civil society organizations and networks

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

[Redacted]

Q2
Email:

[Redacted]

Q3
Job title:

[Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Lilongwe Wildlife Trust

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
NGO

Q6
Country:
Malawi
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Yes
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Leadership status within an area and ability to change/impact on the ground actions
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Of course best practice guidelines, but there needs to be forums for case by case discussions for when situations fall outside of guidelines and have the ability to look at animal welfare, practicality, antibiotic availability, and appropriate antibiotic use. Other topics that will influence guidelines include accountability. Action groups should also put together a list of research topics that would help in the more practical, best welfare, etc development of guidelines.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role?

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Are NGO/Charities represented in this list and I am missing them?
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
To be honest, these plenary sessions can be useful or it can just be reinforcement of a small box of ideas. I would recommend a chance for public comment on topics from anyone to feed into a plenary session. I have seen relevant topics dismissed by senior leadership because they were closed to them. There needs to be open discussion of some of the potentially practically problematic nature of some of the guidelines and best practice recommendations this org will make.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Lots of big government but it looks like a very small space for people who are working on the ground.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I don’t know this

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Different stakeholder voices is critical, is the advocacy going to be based on voluntary adherence to guidelines, because we have seen that that hasn’t worked particularly well with covid. I guess you would have to link any implementation on a policy/government level to a designated individual/org/lobby in each country OR in an international donor that will almost demand governmental level compliance for allocation of funds or something.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

This all seems like a good idea

Page 4: PART III: GENERAL INTEREST AND CONCLUSION
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

🌟 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Good luck!
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
AHDB

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
Agriculture levy board

Q6
Country:
United Kingdom
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Involvement in and commitment to tackling AMR although any with a genuine interest should not be excluded eg consumers may not be directly involved in action to reduce the risk of AMR but may be able to make a useful contribution from a different perspective.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Prioritisation will be a challenge - scientific reviews and modelling should be used to identify where action is most likely to yield the greatest reductions in risk

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

As with COVID-19 AMR is a global problem. AMR spreads rapidly around the globe through the movement of people and products. Sharing best practice is important and will help raise global standards but some countries may need more practical help and support to reduce AMR risk. Uncontrolled production and use significantly increases the risk of AMR emergence. Action is also urgently required to contain the spread of AMR post-emergence. The 4 pillars of disease control as outlined by Sibley and Orpin also apply to minimising the threat of AMR - Biosecurity to prevent introduction; Biocontainment to control spread; Surveillance to identify threats; and Increasing resilience - sanitation, hygiene, nutrition, immunisation

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donators; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is not clear if those directly involved in front line action to reduce the threat of AMR will be adequately represented i.e specialists in infection control in hospitals; vets; those involved in disposal of antimicrobials and control of waste from production sites
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✫</td>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

- Actively involved in capturing use data on farms and in improving disease control to reduce the need for AM usage.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure, if yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interested in being involved in controlling the AMR risk from use of antimicrobials in agriculture.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: FoodPLUS (GLOBALG.A.P.)

Q5
Type of organization: Standard setting organization

Q6
Country: Germany
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
In Agriculture GAP might be confused with Good Agricultural Practices. It might fit, but takes away from the Global Action Plan that is much wider.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment and public promotion to combat AMR in whichever way is possible for the member.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All the mentioned ones, especially practical implementation guidance and activities to small-scale and poorer countries

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Cluster groups should have administrative and overview role. Active role should be with the Action Groups that act based on input from Cluster Groups.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

3 - Moderately
We address the issue in our standards for livestock and Aquaculture producers as well as compound feed manufacturing. It can be stronger and more practical and more visibility is needed. We do participate in One Health project

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)
Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)
Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private sector

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No
Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Not applicable

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
Former academic researcher and public health institution worker

Q6
Country:
Brasil
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Qualifications should be related to voluntary interest to participate...
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Implementation of activities related to antimicrobial residues

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Guidelines should be popular, it must be understandable.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: 1

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would like to contribute with information to the people in Brazil

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Milk SA

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
Representative organization of milk producers and processors

Q6
Country:
South Africa
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It must be a joint and inter-disciplinary effort to be successful as the effects on the one discipline also have influence on other disciplines and sectors.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Participants need to interact regularly and need to develop objectives and activities towards a common goal corresponding to the vision.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Anyone that in some way or another participate or is involved in combating AMR
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Somewhere there need to be a survey of the AMR problem both globally as well as country specific

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The cluster groups are fine, but the action groups should go across cluster groups to ensure knowledgeable contributions and representative contributions.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Tentative. Although I understand the practicality of the approach, if there is not some form of financial support, the participation of not well funded organizations will be limited, and therefore the participation in the Platform may be skewed.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively
We have/had a number of research projects on AMR

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Tentative yes, but platform participation will have to be virtual due to health and financial reasons

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Good luck! This is ambitious but very necessary
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Vétérinaires sans Frontières Suisse

Q5
Type of organization: NGO

Q6
Country: Switzerland
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Joint monitoring of progress; guiding standardised sectoral and intersectoral approaches
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Access to sound data
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

joint monitoring of progress

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Sessions and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

No

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
SINDAN

Q5
Type of organization: 
Other (please specify): 
UNION

Q6
Country: 
Brasil
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

xxx

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q17</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q18</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q19</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

xxxx
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

xxxx
PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name: [redacted]

Q2
Email: [redacted]

Q3
Job title: [redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Onehealth Consulting SRL

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
One Health Consultante

Q6
Country:
Spain
Q7
Yes

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
No

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
we need accountability from individual countries to make it more effective.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Technical and scientific advisory will be important to provide advisory and at the same time local support to advance in the AMR agenda.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Creating an AMR Balanced Scorecard for individual country NAPs would be very helpful to Benchmark countries, share best practices and to track the evolution of NAPs. Measuring surveillance is helpful but it is required to advance on all intervention areas.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

| Yes | Yes, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: Chairperson mandate should be limited to 2 years thus allowing other leaders to provide contribution. |
| No | No, please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below: It would be helpful to see alternatives. |
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Not sure this is a new initiative or continuation of an existing one. Anyhow, how can it add value and avoid duplication of efforts? Secondly, how will participating countries take a more binding role when it comes to implementation and execution?
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★
4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private sector

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Not a this time. Thx.
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
CHIRINA LTD

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
POULTRY COMPANY

Q6
Country:
GEORGIA
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g., civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

no
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

✓ 3 - Moderately

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Global AMR R&D Hub

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
International organisation

Q6
Country:
International (Germany)
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform should also work towards promoting and strengthening multi-sectoral research & development avoiding duplication, using resources in an efficient manner and promoting international collaboration and knowledge sharing. The Global AMR R&D Hub, as a knowledge centre for AMR, collects and presents information on AMR R&D investments across the One Health continuum as well as information on antibacterial products in the clinical development pipeline and market interventions. This information is intended for evidence-based decision making and enhancing collaboration and coordination for countries, foundations, organisations and initiatives to help set priorities and maximise the impact of resources invested in R&D to mitigate the AMR threat.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We agree that a shared vision is critical to move forward, however, we have to recognize and ensure appropriate resources and efforts are focused on implementation, which will require recognition of national, regional and local settings and alignment of existing activities. In addition, we need to set the focus on addressing global R&D priorities while respecting domestic and organizational priorities. We also see a need for raising public awareness of AMR in the One Health context to ensure that knowledge can be translated into action.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We strongly agree with the guiding principles, but would also like to highlight the necessity of ensuring that the multisectoral and multidisciplinary approaches are actually translated into action. For this we need to establish criteria for success and learn lessons from previous activities in this domain. In terms of transparency and open access and inclusivity, there should be ample opportunities for joint communication and interactions between stakeholders across the One Health spectrum.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector, and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Expertise, motivation and ability to take action
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

We agree that best practice guidelines and information sharing etc are extremely useful activities, but emphasis should be placed on practical implementation of activities of activities.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We would be interested to see how the inclusion of regulatory authorities and umbrella organizations covering stakeholders working in the field such as farmers, clinicians, veterinarians etc would fit into these groups
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The process of decision making is unclear.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

5 - Fully
The Global AMR Hub (www.globalamrhub.org) is a global knowledge centre for AMR research and development. We established a Dynamic Dashboard in March 2020 which continuously collects and presents information on more than 8bn USD AMR R&D investments across the One Health continuum, as well as information on antibacterial products in the clinical pipeline and incentives for antibiotics R&D. This information is intended for evidence-based decision making and enhancing collaboration and coordination for countries, foundations, organisations and initiatives to help set priorities and maximise the impact of resources invested in R&D to mitigate the AMR threat.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

The knowledge base on AMR has accumulated over the last decades and now is the time to translate this foundation and lessons learned into action. A One Health approach is a crucial way forward in this endeavor. To provide clarity on what One Health actually is, we suggest the generation of a common definition of this term that is accepted universally.
Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Sectorial Platform Farmer of Future

Q5
Type of organization: Other (please specify):
NNLE

Q6
Country:
Georgia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Yes

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below. 

survey, if they have interest to be part of you, their experience, readiness to implement platform
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

understand needs, gather members experience

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

Thank for survey
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private Sector Partners

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Thank you
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Philippine College of Veterinary Public Health

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
Professional Veterinary Specialist Group

Q6
Country:
Philippines
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP, and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The participation of all stakeholders across different sectors will spell the degree of success or failure of the AMR endeavour.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The objectives are noteworthy. The most important aspect is sustainability of the global efforts. Developed countries may be able to continue on the long term the initiatives taken. But, in developing countries, without the support and funding of international organizations, the efforts may die down immediately, or battle an upsingw or downswing trends in working to achieve the said objectives.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I agree that there should be transparency and open access. Reports and products can be better processed, analyzed and validated if many, if not all are actively participating. Perspectives of any given situation vary among different kinds of people.orts and products can be better analyzed and validated if many, if not all are involved.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

If possible, can we request the applicant for membership to make/sign a pledge or oath attesting to his/her commitment to regularly report or act on request or instructions issued by the platform. Likewise, the applicant should choose 1 or 2 disciplines, e.g. information dissemination/campaign, laboratory result reporting, policy crafting, etc. on which the applicant would concentrate on being an active participant.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

My basic concern is compliance. We may be able to provide many guidelines, information, practical tips, etc. but there should be a monitoring group that will be able to determine whether the guidelines are followed, the information received are passed on or shared or put to good use, the activities are undertaken, continued or sustained.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please consider some form of other connections outside of the plenary.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
What is the connection of the cluster groups and the action groups? They are connected by way of the Plenary but there is no direct connection to each other. Is it better to have a direct connection from the Steering Committee to the Cluster or Action Groups other than through the Plenary. I am just thinking of time consumed if everything goes to the Plenary first.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Please see my comment in #19.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think, these targets summarize the response to my above comments. Can we add the word measure after build in the medium-term target. If we do not measure, we will not be able to evaluate our progress.
Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The Partnership Platform will be able to hasten current efforts against AMR. Just a thought, maybe we can try to acknowledge accomplishments of the people on the ground for their AMR initiatives based on what can be considered as notable achievements. Issuance of a certificate of recognition to those deemed to be exerting extra efforts in the fight against AMR can be considered. We can have two types of recognition, one for registered member and another for the non-registered members. The qualification and criteria can be defined and refined. against AMR. Just as a long-term or medium-term,
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Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

3 - Moderately

Our organization participates in information campaign and dissemination as well as offering recommendations for policy formulation or crafting of guidelines/directives.

Q24

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Our organization belong to the private sector, to be more specific professional groups. Is the professional group classified under private sector. This question is raised because there is a specific group for academe and research.

Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
#39

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 6:47:55 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 7:52:48 AM
Time Spent: [ ]
IP Address: [ ]
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Q1
Name: [ ]

Q2
Email: [ ]

Q3
Job title: [ ]

Q4
Organization/entity: Center for Disease Dynamics, Economics & Policy (CDDEP)

Q5
Type of organization: Research Organization

Q6
Country:
India
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Minimum number of years of experience in the AMR field, should be 5 years
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Outcomes from major public health programmes such as the Fleming Fund Regional Grants and other similar programmes, in One Health/AMR space.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
where does a for/non profit organization fit? where does a research organization fit? the green box on extreme left should be more comprehensive..

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
all this is very top level. What is important is to get the messages implemented..how do we ensure that governments indeed take action to nip over the counter sales of antibiotics, how do we ensure that stewardship principles are well known to practising doctors..we have to think out of the ‘box’ and ‘high level meets’. We know that AMR is spreading because of reckless us of antimicrobials and inability to contain it..
Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

all this is very top level. What is important is to get the messages implemented...how do we ensure that governments indeed take action to nip over the counter sales of antibiotics, how do we ensure that stewardship principles are well known to practising doctors..we have to think out of the 'box' and 'high level meets'. We know that AMR is spreading because of reckless us of antimicrobials and inability to contain it..
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Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★ 5 - Fully

Q24

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,

If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Others- Research Organization

Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
Antimicrobial Resistance Fighter Coalition

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
I work in the private sector though I'm completing this survey based on experiences from prior leadership roles and as founder of the Antimicrobial Resistance Fighter Coalition.

Q6
Country:
USA
Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The purpose is clearly relevant and highly important. To effectively combat AMR actions must be taken within and across the three areas (human, animal and plant). One clarification is I’m not sure it is correct to say that antimicrobials need to be preserved as a life-saving medicine for plants. Antimicrobials utilized on plants tend to be for the purpose of pest and fungus control. I would say this is in some ways analogous to use of antibiotics as a growth promoter on animals utilized for food. In both of these circumstances, use of antimicrobials may be largely or entirely unnecessary.

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The objectives are relevant. I recommend that another objective be added, this being that the work of multi-stakeholder groups be utilized to establish and advance best practices, metrics, guidelines, policies and regulations that will advance the proper use of antimicrobials and dissuade (and possibly at some point, prohibit) misuse of antimicrobials in areas that contribute to threatening their continuing viability as a classes of life-saving medications. There is a clear opportunity in my view to connect multi-stakeholder engagement, consultation and action-planning with what can emerge as sensible and viable best practices, metrics, guidelines, policies and regulations.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I support these guiding principles and recognize that the complexity associated with AMR as a global threat and breadth of relevant constituencies and stakeholders make this a challenging undertaking. I recommend that another guiding principle be added, that being a bias for action. It will be essential for this effort to prevail beyond engagement, information sharing, consensus building and communication to identify and catalyze concrete actions that substantially advance progress in containing, combatting and ultimately reversing AMR. These concrete actions should be a direct outcome of the AMR Multi-Stakeholder Platform process and proceedings, and should include a method for prioritizing actions based on key criteria such as strength of impact, cost, global reach and complexity.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This is fine but it is incomplete in my view. Effective action on AMR will require accountabilities to be identified and assigned to a broader number of key stakeholders. As influential as the governing bodies of the Tripartite are, there are limitations in their levels of reach and influence to translate accountability into actual change (each agency does indeed have substantial influence and ability to catalyze change, though only to a point). I believe accountabilities need to extend to each primary participant group (cluster) in the Platform, including governments, the private sector, academia and even civil society. Defining these accountabilities early in the process may be instrumental in helping also define the priorities and actions that ultimately will need to be pursued and implemented.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

I agree that organization around Action Groups and Cluster Groups can be an effective way to move this effort forward. I assume the Action Groups will focus on topic areas that could either fit within or cut across the three Tripartite Group responsibility areas (human-animal-plant), and will cut across the clusters. For example, use of antimicrobials as a growth promoter in animals and for pest/fungus control in plants represents misuse across two responsibility areas (animals and plants) that threatens the continued viability of antimicrobial use in the third area (humans). It therefore cuts across all three responsibilities areas. Addressing this misuse will require effective cooperation and coordination across multiple Cluster Groups such as governments, the private sector, academia and the financial sector. It therefore will also cut across clusters. One important thing to consider is whether there are subgroups within Cluster Groups that need to be prioritized and recognized. For example, in human health, practitioners (doctors in particular) are an important subgroup. In plant health, farmers are an important subgroup. As the Cluster Groups are formed there will need to be deliberate anticipation of the subgroups that are most highly relevant. Lastly, as the Action Groups advance their work, it will be important to prioritize the issues and interventions that can have the most impact. I expect there may be an 80/20 rule that can be applied. Other relevant variables are complexity and cost of implementation. At the risk of stating the obvious, high impact interventions that are low in cost and are not overly complex should be prioritized first. An overall prioritization of actions can be established along these lines.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

I think you have captured key requirements: 1) relevance to some aspect of AMR, 2) commitment to dedicate the time and effort needed, 3) ability to take effective action to combat AMR. To this I would add alignment of motives. While each participant may have motivations that are aligned specifically to their constituency group, it will be essential to have some consistency in the overall motive for engaging, which is to tackle, contain and combat the global threat of AMR. If this is in place it will be the unifying factor that avoids this effort fractionalizing into separate groups primarily focusing on protecting the self-interests of their sector, constituency or organization. Some level of self-interest and even some level of conflict of interest is to be anticipated (and needs to be transparent). Though all involved and particularly those in leadership positions must share a common and deeply held commitment to the cause itself. In my experience, this is among the most important and powerful factors impacting the success of multi-sector/multi-stakeholder collaborations.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

I like the way the interface between the Action Groups and the Cluster Groups (and the Platform) is described. It sounds highly participative and collaborative. Reading the paragraph above also gives me a stronger sense of the level of work effort that will be required. I think it may be difficult to accomplish these tasks in the expected manner purely with volunteers who only have a portion (perhaps a fraction) of their time to devote to this effort. There will need to be dedicated and funded resources (people) to support these activities, likely for each Action Group and Cluster Group. I don't believe many people will be needed to support each Group, though their roles will need to be clearly defined, and there will need to be a high level of competence and commitment to lead and manage this work. The level of need here will be linked to how ambitious we are in our expectations of the output. If we simply want to identify key priority issues, that will be a lighter undertaking that doesn't require as much dedication. If we expect full developed action plans supported by documentation and data (as suggested in the paragraph), that will be a heavier undertaking that will require more dedication.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

1) Clear identification of the primary drivers of AMR which are associated with the Action Group's areas of focus,  2) Clear definition of the underlying factors (practices, habits, behaviors, incentives and disincentives, etc.) influencing the primary drivers, 3) Clear articulation of what needs to change in order to address the primary drivers, 4) Proposed plan of action (in outline form) that can enable the necessary changes to be implemented (these would include topics such as guidelines, practice changes, advocacy, information sharing), 5) Identification of barriers to accomplishing the necessary changes, 6) Articulation of the roles and accountabilities of major stakeholder groups (likely organized by sector), 7) Recommendation on where primary responsibilities can feasibly reside for taking these 'preliminary plans' forward.
Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think the five primary membership groups make sense. Though as mentioned earlier, representation of certain subgroups within the Cluster Groups will be essential. Two examples are medical practitioners (doctors) and farmers. Would these both fit within ‘Civil Society’? Or will doctors fit within ‘Academic and research institutions’? That is unclear to me. There may be a risk here that if these Cluster Groups are comprised too broadly (which could happen in light of the representation goals) then their relevance, influence and impact may be diluted. Thought needs to be given up front to which specific groups and subgroups will have the most ability to influence and enact change. This should not be a ‘first come – first served’ approach, it should be deliberate.

Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I concur completely with the last two sentences on transparency and no remuneration. For the first two sentences, there may be a practical trade-off between the level of the participant in their organization and the time these representatives will have available to participate. If the process is more so consultative, and with minimal/reasonable time requirements, then higher level participation may be feasible. To the extent that members are expected to devote a more substantial amount of time, recruitment will become more challenging in general, and it will be more difficult to engage high-level participants. I think it would be useful to benchmark other efforts (both within and beyond the UN system) to determine what this effort might be most similar to and what worked well (and didn’t) in the past.
Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Is it anticipated that all these lead roles will be voluntary, and part-time? If so, it might become difficult to staff and sustain leaders in these roles. Again, I would come back to how much depth is anticipated in the work. If this is more so a consultative process for input, it is more feasible, but less impactful. If it is expected that these groups will lead and drive change, then more thought needs to be given to establishing the conditions under which the right levels of talent, time commitment and focus can be obtained and sustained.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
This makes sense to me, it is straightforward, and I don't think there is a practical alternative to this type of plenary session. The question I would have is, what happens in between the plenary sessions? How will the work efforts be organized? Will those decisions be left to each Action Group and Cluster Group, or will there be an effort to achieve some level of consistency in the organizing mechanisms and cadences. How will the various groups interact between plenary sessions? These questions will need to be addressed.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I would say my answer is more so "I’m not sure" than "No". But I didn’t think it appropriate to simply answer "Yes". I have the sense that this may be a top-heavy structure, meaning there are more ‘bosses’ than ‘workers’ (forgive the analogy). Each of the three agencies will expect to have a certain level of authority, as will the Global Leaders Group, the Independent Panel, the Steering Committee and Governments. Much of the ‘legwork’ may fall on the Action Groups (each of which will have a leader who will also expect to have some authority), which unless I’m mistaken will be comprised of volunteers. This structure may insufficiently identify where the heavy lifting work will get done. That is where I think the progress really will be made. I’m sure that is anticipated but it is not clearly articulated or shown in the structure. Otherwise, I think it is fine because it captures all the elements. One other point to consider, if we compare and contrast how this is organized to how the AMR Review was organized, I think we would identify many differences. Principle among these is the additional complexity resident in this proposed form or organization. The AMR Review, led by Lord O’Neil (with Dame Sally driving so much of the activity both behind and in front of the scenes), and actively supported by the Wellcome Trust, had a much more simplified organizational structure than this. I know this isn’t an apples-to-apples comparison, and a big part of our goal is to now catalyze action around the type of issues identified in the AMR Review. Though I wonder, if the AMR Review had been organized as depicted above from the outset, would as much progress have been made in developing and issuing comprehensive reports? Reconciling these thoughts, I think there needs to be a highly competent, influential and well-funded ‘work leadership group’ supporting this structure, analogous to the role that the Wellcome Trust played for the AMR Review. And there likely needs to be a strong identified overall leader or set of leaders, analogous to the roles Dame Sally and Jim O’Neil have served.
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below;

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

No,
Again, my real answer here is “don’t know.” I agree with part of the statement indicating that the Platform should devise a communications strategy. I’m less certain of the second part of the sentence that states “...will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports.” I think the standard of achievement on ‘enabling to act’ is much higher than “bringing conclusions to the attention of priority stakeholders.” Enabling to act implies that deep thought and planning has been given to defining implementation mechanisms. If the objective is purely communication, then the recommended approach should be sufficient. If it is to mobilize action, it will be a much heavier lift.
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

This is a very ambitious undertaking. I would try to simplify it. I hesitate to say exactly how with only a few minutes of thought. But one component I think I can cite now would be to form a very strong, highly competent, core hands-on leadership group that can assume the key role of strategic and operational leadership, and does advance work identifying the major priorities, challenges and actions that need to be undertaken and pursued. We know much of this information already, and by casting the net so wide in this proposal, there is a risk that these various groups will reiterate knowledge that already exists. The Action Groups and Cluster Groups would also benefit from some form of central leadership (different from and in parallel with the leadership functionality of the Tripartite Group). This is what I referred to in our conversation as an ‘orchestration’ group/secretariat. There is a direct analogy to the conductor of an orchestra. The conductor doesn’t personally play any of the instruments, but pulls everything together, and is recognized and respected by each of the individual musicians. I think this effort needs an orchestrator/conductor, but not only a single person, rather a highly committed and sufficiently funded operational team and leadership group.
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
I’m responding here on behalf of the Antimicrobial Resistance Fighter Coalition. With respect to AeroFarms, I would answer ‘3’.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
I think I can bring useful perspective to this effort based on my unique sets of experiences.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Logically I would be identified in the Private Sector cluster group though my experiences with AMR are much broader than a typical private sector leader.

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:
No additional comments other than best wishes for moving this forward successfully.
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity:
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Q5
Type of organization: 
Other (please specify):
GOVERNMENT

Q6
Country:
Philippines
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (iPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Yes
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

COMMITMENT AND WILLINGNESS TO PARTICIPATE
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

SHARING OF BEST PRACTICES

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Yes
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Yes
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Yes
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Yes
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★

2 - Slightly

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

LET US JOIN AS ONE HEALTH IN COMBATTING AMR
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
The National Animal Health Forum NPC

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
Non Profit Company

Q6
Country:
South Africa

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9  Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10  Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment to combat AMR and Commitment to better stakeholder engagement.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All of the above and stakeholder engagement

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

The National Animal Health Forum NPC is a forum creating relationship between the livestock and animal industry, National and Provincial Government. We are willing to assist with stakeholder engagement.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| | 2 - Slightly
| | A project is in the early stages of initiation.
| | Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q24</th>
<th>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q25</th>
<th>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure, If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not for Profit Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q26</th>
<th>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
European Association of Hospital Pharmacists (EAHP)

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
Healthcare Professional Organisation

Q6
Country:
Belgium

Page 3: PART II: PROPOSED KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PLATFORM
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP, and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The platform should also involve umbrella organizations that bring together the interests of multiple different national actors.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Members need to demonstrate previous activities in the area of AMR. The platform should bring together interconnected stakeholders with a proven history of successful multi-sector cooperation.
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The following action could be envisioned: o AMR Guidelines all-encompassing and transversal. In other words, guidelines which will be ready to be implemented by various stakeholders and each stakeholder may be aware of other stakeholder’s actions and priorities.

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans;
Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR;
Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy;
Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>EAHP is working on increasing the uptake of antimicrobial stewardship teams in Europe.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Page 2: PART I: RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
NOAH (National Office of Animal Health)

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
Trade association

Q6
Country:
United Kingdom
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Efforts to build more consensus globally are very welcome.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

All those who have the ability to influence positive action on AMR.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Improvements in global harmonisation of evidenced based guidelines based on One Health principles.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

No,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

There may also be value in establishing a cross cutting Cluster Group or a mechanism to exchange views between Cluster Groups in a dynamic way.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.
Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform
Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.
Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform
Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

5 - Fully
NOAH has developed an online training platform for farmers in the UK 'Animal Medicines Best Practice', which supports action on AMR. We are also actively promoting measures to reduce the need to use antibiotics e.g. better vaccination of livestock.

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
NOAH represents 97% of the UK animal medicines sector. We have demonstrated leadership on AMR and are committed to long term improvements in animal health and welfare to reduce the need to use antibiotics.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes,
If yes, could you please specify? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Private sector

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
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Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity:
Global Antibiotic Research and Development Partnership (GARDP)

Q5
Type of organization: Other (please specify):
Not-for-profit research and development (R&D) organization

Q6
Country:
Switzerland
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Platform is relevant to the extent it is operated according to its aspirations, especially that it is truly inclusive, international, and multi-stakeholder for those perspectives from low- and middle-income countries and the breadth of its civil society – including medical associations, pharmacists, patient groups, and patient groups. It also depends on what priorities are set by the Platform, and who sets those priorities. Finally, it also depends on whether the findings and recommendations of the Platform are applied to the deliberations of the GLG and the research agenda of the IPEA.

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
These appear to be appropriate aims and objectives for the Platform. It is important also that: (a) the Platform does not merely work with those entities and organizations already or solely focused on AMR, but seeks to work with those not yet engaged on AMR but with the capacity or reach to have an impact, and (b) that the Platform does not merely confirm existing approaches to AMR amongst the largest and most well-resourced stakeholders but functions also as a platform for engaging and illuminating perspectives and approaches that otherwise are ignored, not understood, or not known.
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Entities and organizations should either have an existing relevance to AMR or can make a case to take action to combat AMR. Arguably, the second category is more important since success in addressing the many dimensions of AMR depends as much on the investments of those who are at the forefront of implementation as it does upon implementation by those who are indirectly affected or can have an impact. The Platform should also consider assuring some minimal commitment of those who join – the quality of participation should matter as much or more than the quantity of participants.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
See our concerns about divisions into action and cluster groupings.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The suggested activities appear appropriate. The Action Groups should especially be able to focus both on best practice guidelines and practical guidance – informed by their own activities – that can serve as a model for others. Action Groups may also want to develop their own training programs that can be used more widely to put into practice the knowledge and information that is collected and disseminated by each group.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The proposed cluster groups appear appropriate but please see the concerns highlighted above, though it should also reflect that some organisations such as GARDP that harness public private partnerships cut across several clusters. Each Cluster Group should be able to define its own activities and role according to what it may believe is necessary and useful for its wider approach to AMR. One concern is that the creation of too many groups – e.g., both Action Groups and Cluster Groups – could lead to either ‘fatigue’ with these Platforms amongst entities or could be too resource-intensive for specific groups, and especially civil society organizations and networks, to participate fully and equally. As such, groups should only be set up if there is a need to do so and if it serves a function (this applies also to Action Groups).
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We would note a few additional issues to consider: 1. Where there is a clear conflict of interest, members that have such conflicts of interest should avoid participation in discussions, or at least such conflicts should be shared. 2. A form of remuneration may be necessary for groups and organizations that operate with little to no resources but may be especially critical in providing perspectives from countries and settings that larger, well-resourced organizations, neither have nor appreciate.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
While the composition appears to be well balanced between each of the cluster, action groups and agencies, we hope the representation is appropriate from a geographic, gender, and functional expertise, as well as the perspective of LMICs, academia, research organizations, and civil society.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The Plenary Session appears to be appropriate. However, we question whether a single Plenary Session each year will be sufficient to ensure that all relevant topics are covered and that the discussions of the multistakeholder group are keeping up with a field that is fast moving — in part due to the scrutiny and awareness raised by COVID-19. It may be better to have several plenary sessions — each with a thematic focus that differs — and hold them across the calendar year (with each plenary being shorter in duration).
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The main concern overall is the complexity of such a structure and the risk for WHO and the Tripartite to be absorbed by the organisation of events, meetings and committees and not be able to focus on normative and policy work and country support. Overall, it may be better to choose between action and cluster groupings (both may be too much).

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
While the information flow between different entities is probably sufficient, the one shortcoming may be that there is not adequate information shared with external parties, and especially key opinion makers and the media. Recent efforts on climate change do not just rest on sharing scientific consensus and policy guidance with the same parties working within the global climate framework, but with the effective communication of scientific consensus and action to media. While journalists may not necessary be included in the platform itself, there needs to be greater inclusion of the media into any communications externally to ensure the various entities’ work reaches a broader audience.
Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We agree with the targets but also feel there should be additional targets added. First, as to the short-term target of attracting members, it may be that the Platform needs to define the targets for different stakeholder voices with more specificity to ensure adequate balance, and to also set targets for non-AMR stakeholders that should be engaged to integrate AMR into their operational, programmatic, or public-facing activities. Second, there should be a long-term target not only of ensuring responsible use, but also to ensure that there is an appropriate focus on the development of new or repurposed antimicrobials that are required alongside sustainable use- and access ensured for these, especially in LMICs where burden may be highest. Third, there should be a medium-term goal for increased investment in AMR – especially financial or technical investment – by different stakeholders. Fourth, there should be a specific target that seeks to measure awareness raising and external communication with media and other opinion makers that helps to build on the work of the GLG, IPEA and Multistakeholder platform.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

This is a highly complex endeavour, key to success will probably reside in 1) lessen the complexity that may be generated by both the Action and Cluster Groups for example; and 2) the need to invite smaller and more country level organisations, especially from LMICs, and be willing to provide some support for the latter.
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Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

☆

5 - Fully

Q24

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
GARDP is interested in principle but would like to see the final proposal.
Q25

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: [redacted]

Q2
Email: [redacted]

Q3
Job title: [redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: FIIA

Q5
Type of organization: Industry Group

Q6
Country: UK
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Q7
Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8
Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Commitment and ability to take action
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Practical implementation at country level

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16

Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17

Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18

Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19

Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Yes

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Yes

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals

Q5
Type of organization:
Other (please specify):
NGO - animal welfare charity

Q6
Country:
England and Wales
Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9
Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Yes
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform's membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members' participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

The RSPCA thinks all organisations with a genuine commitment to, and business interest in, the group's remit should be admitted. This should involve a genuine desire for open, informed discussion and debate as well as a knowledge of the topics being discussed by the group.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

In the RSPCA’s experience, action groups work well when there is a clearly defined goal with a timeframe to achieve it. Action groups should be outcome focused, enabling small groups of experts in the subject matter to come together and develop a response which can be given the final sign off by the group as a whole. The production of best practice guidelines, advocacy, and information sharing, as well as practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level (as relevant), would all be considered appropriate actions for the Action Group.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives; UN agencies; international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
The RSPCA believes this ensures Action Groups can remain small and effective whilst representing the views of the relevant members of the platform, without duplication. It seems like it will ease the load on individual representatives by those making up the clusters taking turns at participating in Action Groups as appropriate. This also streamlines the action groups to ensure there is no duplication in discussions and where individuals within a cluster vary in opinion on a point it can be discussed in the cluster among the experts of that area, before being discussed with the broader memberships represented in the action group. It seems logical that these remain administrative, largely, but the RSPCA would envisage there would be some activity when deciding representatives at the action group level and ensuring those representatives are informed of the breadth of views of the cluster.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence of all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
Arrow directions would make this diagram clearer, but we believe the cluster groups (represented on the left hand side) should feed into the Plenary and the Action groups should come out of the plenary, rather than into it (it is unclear if this is how the structure is intended or if action groups are also feeding into the Plenary).

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform's reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.
Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
It is unclear how communication flow is likely to look, but if the Platform is held in high regard and is seen to develop feasible proposals and take advice on AMR and make real headway on achieving the goals in practice then the RSPCA is confident that the Platform will be given the attention it would deserve by governments, thus allowing a two-way flow of communication and action.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform's performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
Yes
Q22
Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

NO
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Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

4 - Actively
We are members of the UK group Responsible Use of Medicines in Agriculture Alliance

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

No,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
If RUMA were represented we would be happy to be represented on the group through them.

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: FAO LCP

Q5
Type of organization: Other (please specify): FAO project

Q6
Country: Mongolia
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

No

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant?
The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?

Yes

Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.

Yes
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

No comments
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Core curricula and course content on AMR

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

No comments
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

★ 5 - Fully

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Not sure

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

No

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

No
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Q1
Name:

Q2
Email:

Q3
Job title:

Q4
Organization/entity:
World Farmers' Organisation

Q5
Type of organization:
Farmers' Organisation

Q6
Country:
Italy
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Yes

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.

Yes
Q9
Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles? Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10
Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11
Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members’ participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12
What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
As long as the representatives of the different people working in practice (farmers, organizations etc.) are included and involved.

It is important to have a stakeholders impact analyses, to cope up with the need to implement actions effectively. The most challenging part is not only developing solutions but also bring it into practice and having good cooperation with the ones that have to action on the ground.
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

Seek for cross-fertilization with ongoing/implemented projects (q.e European H2020), consultations with relevant stakeholders to gather needs, expectations and solutions, create space for peer-to-peer exchanges.

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.

Yes,

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

We would like to stress again the need to connect policy making with the practice, the field where it has to happen/change.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.
Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?

Yes,
Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:
We want to stress the importance of stimulating commitment of the stakeholders involved, on which depends the success of the Platform.

Q20
Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21
Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.
**Q22**

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

WFO Policy on Antimicrobial Use and Resistance in Livestock. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) threatens the effective prevention and treatment of infections in humans and animals. Resistant bacteria and infectious diseases do not respect borders and are present in all parts of the world. Global action is needed to preserve the long-term availability of effective treatment of infections for future generations. AMR is of great importance to human and animal health and a One Health approach at global, regional and national level is crucial for tackling AMR. Close cooperation between stakeholders in human and veterinary medicine is needed and everyone must take part in the fight against AMR. WFO will support and encourage farmers, veterinarians, specialists in livestock production, and food specialists to join forces and combat AMR together to minimize the risk of it spreading, while taking into consideration the human, animal and environmental dimensions. [https://www.wfo-oma.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/WFO-Policy-on-AMR.pdf](https://www.wfo-oma.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/WFO-Policy-on-AMR.pdf)

---
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**Q23**

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 - Actively</td>
<td>WFO Policy on Antimicrobial Use and Resistance in Livestock. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) threatens the effective prevention and treatment of infections in humans and animals. Resistant bacteria and infectious diseases do not respect borders and are present in all parts of the world. Global action is needed to preserve the long-term availability of effective treatment of infections for future generations. AMR is of great importance to human and animal health and a One Health approach at global, regional and national level is crucial for tackling AMR. Close cooperation between stakeholders in human and veterinary medicine is needed and everyone must take part in the fight against AMR. WFO will support and encourage farmers, veterinarians, specialists in livestock production, and food specialists to join forces and combat AMR together to minimize the risk of it spreading, while taking into consideration the human, animal and environmental dimensions. <a href="https://www.wfo-oma.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/WFO-Policy-on-AMR.pdf">https://www.wfo-oma.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/WFO-Policy-on-AMR.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Q24**

Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q25**

Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Respondent skipped this question
Q26

If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
Q1
Name: [Redacted]

Q2
Email: [Redacted]

Q3
Job title: [Redacted]

Q4
Organization/entity: Assocon

Q5
Type of organization: livestock producers association

Q6
Country: Brazil
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP; and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform’s guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform’s accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondarily, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

commitment, leadership, influencer, activity impact to address the challenge of AMR
Q13

Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Yes

Q14

What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

education, best practices sharing

Q15

Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners.

Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform's Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform's strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform

Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally.

Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform

Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance.

Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform

Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

I’d just want to congrats about this initiative.
Q23
Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 - Fully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eight-Point Antibiotic Stewardship Plan, action plans to avoid AMR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below:

Q24
Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)

Yes

Q25
Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)

Yes

Q26
If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1
Name: 

Q2
Email: 

Q3
Job title: 

Q4
Organization/entity: 
Turkey Farmers of Canada

Q5
Type of organization: 
Producer Organization

Q6
Country: 
Canada
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Q7

Is the purpose of the Platform relevant? The purpose of the Platform is to bring together stakeholders across the human-animal-plant-environment interface, assisting to preserve antimicrobials as lifesaving medicines for humans, animals and plants. It will serve as an inclusive, international and multi-stakeholder platform at the forefront of the efforts to strengthen a shared global vision, build more consensus, and contribute to the implementation of the Global Action Plan on AMR (GAP). The Platform will be consistent with, and complementary to, the work of the Global Leaders Group on AMR (GLG) and the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA).

Q8

Are the objectives of the Platform relevant? The overall aim of the Platform is to contribute to the reduction and control of the impact of AMR through a multi-stakeholder, inclusive approach. The specific objectives of the Platform will contribute to the GAP and National Action Plans (NAPs) and are as follows: Agreeing on a shared vision: To support the development and implementation of a shared global vision, narrative and targets on AMR – by engaging all relevant stakeholder groups across the human–animal–plant–environment interface to contribute to the implementation of the GAP at global, regional and national levels. Information-sharing and networking: To provide a venue for information-sharing and collaboration to reach a common understanding and enable coordination of activities between the different sectors. Taking action: To encourage collective engagement on diverse areas of importance by all stakeholders – by strengthening collective actions and coordination in areas of priority and common interest in line with other global governance mechanisms and promote the opportunity for stakeholders to work collaboratively to reduce the impact of AMR in support of the GAP, and to enable stakeholder involvement in a coherent way while addressing the main issues impacting upon progress.
Q9

Are you supportive of the Platform's guiding principles?
Multisectoral and multidisciplinary systems approach: Recognizing the complexity, and political and technical challenges of AMR and its multidisciplinary nature, the work of the Platform will reflect involvement of multiple disciplines and sectors across the human–animal–plant–environment interface (One Health). Transparency and open access: The work of the Platform will be transparent, including its priorities, work plan and Plenary reports. Inclusivity: The Platform will seek inputs and feedback on its work from the GLG, IPEA and key stakeholders at national, regional and global levels across all relevant disciplines, sectors and geographies in a balanced and inclusive way. The reports and products of the Platform will attempt to reflect the position of the many members of the platform and not reflect the position of a single group. The Platform will seek to achieve a balance between inclusiveness and effectiveness. It will ensure that the voices of all relevant stakeholders are heard.

Q10

Are you supportive of the Platform's accountability principle? The Platform will be ultimately accountable to the Directors-General of the Tripartite and their governing bodies.
Q11

Are you satisfied with the proposed approach to membership and members' participation? Membership of the Platform is open to government representatives; UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; international and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; civil society organizations and networks relevant to AMR; academic and research organizations across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR; private sector partners representing sectors that affect, or are affected by, AMR. The Platform’s membership shall include sectors or disciplines that are underrepresented in the other global governance mechanisms for AMR, e.g. civil society, private sector and financial institutions. Members of the Platform will focus on bringing in local and sectoral knowledge and power to support collective actions to tackle AMR. A call for membership will be open on the relevant Tripartite online channels and members will be admitted to ensure geographic balance and representation sufficient to address the challenges of AMR. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items. Members’ participation in the Platform for the purpose of achieving joint action will be channeled through: Primarily, Action Groups developed to drive forward specific topics and subtopics involving different Cluster Groups; Secondly, Cluster Groups that should ensure similar stakeholders are able to voice their views through the cluster and be represented in the Action Groups.

Q12

What should be the requirements to qualify for membership and how should membership be agreed? For example, relevance to some aspect of the challenge of AMR, commitment and ability to take action to combat AMR. Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

...
Q13
Do you agree with the vision for Action Groups? Action Groups will put forward specific topics and subtopics and drive actions. They are open to participation by all members of the Platform and each Cluster Group will be invited to provide members to work in Action Groups. Action Groups will formulate work plans and shall report their progress to the Steering Committee (described below). They will use the evidence and scientific knowledge produced by the IPEA, the Tripartite and relevant research and academic institutions to identify a roadmap for actions, and implement and monitor the impact of actions. Action Groups will work year-round to prepare documentation, data and other evidence for the Plenary Sessions.

Q14
What type of action do you consider could be usefully taken forward by Action Groups, for example: best practice guidelines; advocacy and information sharing; practical implementation of activities at country or sectoral level; other? Please provide additional comments and/or suggestions in the space below.

...  

Q15
Do you agree with the proposed Cluster Groups? And should they be maintained as administrative groups or should have a more active role? To ensure broad representation across the One Health spectrum relevant to AMR, members will fall into the following five Cluster Groups: Government representatives, UN agencies, international, intergovernmental and regional organizations; International and regional financial institutions, philanthropic donors; Civil society organizations and networks; Academic and research institutions, including their networks and coalitions; Private sector partners. Membership of the Platform, Action and Cluster Groups will have due regard to geographical, gender and sectoral balance across the One Health spectrum. The Tripartite Joint Secretariat for AMR will actively monitor levels of representation. The Platform may invite other interested organizations relevant to its work to observe entire sessions or specific agenda items.
Q16
Do you think that the proposed roles and responsibilities of members are appropriate? Members will be entitled to attend meetings of the Platform and will be encouraged to participate in the Plenary Sessions. Members will participate at an adequately high-level of their organizations, will be eligible to participate in Action Groups that may be formed and contribute to their work. Members will be required to disclose details of their legal status, governance, membership, sources of funding and activities. The Platform members will receive no fees or other remuneration for their time.

Q17
Do you think that the proposed role and constitution of the Platform’s Steering Committee is appropriate? The Platform Steering Committee will be the executive arm of the Platform, setting priorities, facilitating the outcomes from the Plenary Session and the Action Groups, and endorsing actions. It will be composed of a Chair, three representatives of the Tripartite, five Cluster Group leads and three Action Group leads. The Chair will be elected by the other members of the Steering Committee (SC) at the annual Plenary Session and endorsed by the Directors-General of the Tripartite. The term of the Chair will be two years maximum. The Chair will also be the Chair of the annual Plenary Sessions. The Chair will oversee the Platform’s strategic and financial plans, long-term objectives and expected outputs, and oversee the work plan devised by the Action Groups and facilitate their activities. The Cluster Group leads and Action Group leads will be elected by the Action Groups and Cluster Groups themselves.

Q18
Do you agree with the proposed role of the Plenary Session? The Plenary Session is the central area for debate, coordination, decision-making, lesson learning, exchange of best practices, and convergence by all stakeholders at a global level on AMR-related issues. The Platform as a whole is intended to come together in the Plenary Session annually, in either virtual or physical meetings. The Plenary Session will be attended by the Tripartite senior leadership.

Q19
Do you think the proposed structure is appropriate?
Q20

Is this communication flow with governments and other stakeholders appropriate? The Platform should devise a communications strategy that seeks to bring the IPEA conclusions and evidence to the attention of priority stakeholders in a way that will enable them to act on the Platform’s reports. Through the Coordinating Team (CT), as part of the Tripartite Joint Secretariat (TJS) on AMR, the Platform will avail and communicate with the GLG for AMR, IPEA, the Tripartite and other organizations.

Q21

Do you agree with the following short-, medium- and long-term targets? The Platform’s performance will be measured by key input, process and output indicators that assess the follow-up and impact of its policies and reports. The work plan and metrics of these indicators will be agreed upon by the SC as early as possible. Short-term targets – one year from the establishment of the Platform
Attract over 200 members representative of different stakeholder voices and a balance across regions globally. Medium-term targets – three to five years from the establishment of the Platform Drive multidisciplinary action at global, regional and national levels through Action Groups working on key issues of multi-sectoral interest and developing action plans; Build global momentum and generate high-level advocacy to combat AMR; Share and enhance knowledge, evidence and innovation to underpin key AMR actions, policy recommendations and guidance. Long-term targets – 10 years from the establishment of the Platform Generate global commitment to use antimicrobials in a responsible and prudent way to ensure antimicrobials will keep their efficacy; Keep the momentum going by developing a clear roadmap facilitated by the Tripartite and the governance structures driving multidisciplinary action at global and national levels to control AMR.

Q22

Any other comments? Please enter your answer in the space below, or enter NO if you have no other comments.

...
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Q23

Is your organization currently addressing the challenge of AMR? (optional Q) Please rate the question on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being fully and 1 being not at all.

Respondent skipped this question
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you interested in becoming a member of the Platform? (optional Q)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you identify yourself in one of the clusters? (optional Q)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you have any additional comments or suggestions, provide them in the space below:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>