Direct and indirect estimators for data disaggregation of SDG indicators
Data disaggregation in planned domains: direct estimators

- Small Area Estimation techniques often start from and/or include direct estimators
  Good to provide a recap.

- Horvitz-Thompson (HT) Estimator: Most popular direct estimator to use if there is no auxiliary information available at the estimation stage.
Data disaggregation in planned domains: direct estimators (2)

Important Notation

Data: \( \{y_j\}, j \in s \)

- **HT estimator for the Mean**: \( \hat{Y} = \frac{\sum_{j \in s} w_j y_j}{\sum_{j \in s} w_j} \)
- **HT estimator for the Total**: \( \hat{Y} = \sum_{j \in s} w_j y_j \)

Where:

- \( w_j = \pi_j^{-1} \) is the basic **design weight**
- \( \pi_j \) is the **inclusion probability** of unit \( j \), i.e. the probability of having the unit \( j \) included in the sample \( s \in S \)
- The weights \( w_j \) are **independent** from the \( y_j \)
Data disaggregation in planned domains: direct estimators (3)

- Let’s **partition** the target population into *D* domains: \( \Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{D} \Omega_i \)

Where:
- \( \Omega_i \) (\( i = 1, ..., D \)) is the *i*-th domain with population size \( N_i \)
- \( S_i \) is the **sample of units selected from the i-th domain** having size \( n_i \)

The statistics of interest in the *i*-th domain can be formulated as:
- **Total:** \( Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} y_j = \sum_{\Omega} y_j \)
- **Mean:** \( \bar{Y}_i = Y_i / N_i \)

Data \( \{y_{ij}; j \in S_i; j = 1, ..., n_i; i = 1, ..., D \} \)

HT estimator of the mean in the *i*-th domain:
\[
\hat{\gamma} = \frac{\sum_{j \in S_i} w_{ij} y_{ij}}{\sum_{j \in S_i} w_{ij}}
\]

Where \( y_{ij} \) are the **observed values** and \( w_{ij} \) indicates the **sampling weight** of unit \( j \) in domain (area) \( i \).
The estimator $\hat{Y}_i$ is design unbiased, which means $B(\hat{Y}_i) = E(\hat{Y}_i) - \bar{Y}_i = 0$.

The variance of $\hat{Y}_i$ is given by $V(\hat{Y}_i) = (1 - \frac{n_i}{N_i}) \frac{S_i^2}{n_i}$, where $S_i^2 = \frac{\sum_{j \in s_i}(y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_i)}{n_i - 1}$ is the sample variance (needs to be estimated).

The magnitude of $V(\hat{Y}_i)$ depends on three factors: $\frac{n_i}{N_i}$; $S_i^2$; and $n_i$.

When the sample size is small (e.g. in unplanned domains), the design variance is likely to be large.

Possible solution: use auxiliary data – whenever possible – to improve the reliability of estimates (decrease Variance).

NB: There are many ways of using auxiliary information to improve estimates’ precision (e.g. Ratio estimator, Regression estimator, Calibration estimator). In this course we only focus on the use of auxiliary information to produce INDIRECT ESTIMATORS.
Quiz time!
The Horvitz-Thompson estimator for the estimation of totals and means:
A. Is the most popular direct estimator
B. Is the most popular indirect estimator
C. Can be used only when auxiliary variables are available
D. Is design-unbiased
E. Makes use of sampling weights
Let’s consider the case in which direct estimates are not reliable:

- We can «reinforce» sample surveys: borrow strength from related areas and/or related time periods.

- We need to establish a relationship between the target variable and the donors of strength: Implicit or explicit models

Different types of indirect estimators:

- **Domain Indirect:** uses values from another domain but not from another time.
- **Time Indirect:** uses values from another time but not from another domain.
- **Domain and Time Indirect:** uses values both from another domain and time.
Indirect estimation approaches can be broadly classified into:

**Model assisted Approaches:**
- The main concern is **unbiasedness**. Estimators’ properties are assessed with respect to the sampling design. This approach to indirect estimation is traditionally adopted because of its simplicity, applicability to general sampling designs, and potential of increasing estimates precision by borrowing information from similar small areas.

**Model-based Approach:**
- The finite population is treated as a random realization from a **superpopulation** and a suitable model for the variable of interest is proposed.

In this session, we focus on model-assisted approaches, while the reminder of the training will target model-based methods.
Under the model-assisted paradigm, there are two main categories of indirect predictors

**Synthetic Estimators:**
- A reliable direct estimator for a broad area, covering several small areas, is used to derive an indirect estimator for a small area.
- *Produced under the assumption that the small areas have the same characteristics as the broad area.*

**Composite Estimators:**
- A linear combination between a direct estimator and a synthetic one using a design-based approach or by assuming an explicit area or unit-level model.
- Represents a good compromise in terms of efficiency between the characteristics of the two components.
Indirect estimators: Synthetic

- **Simple assumption:** small and broad areas have the same characteristics
  - Example: the average crop yield is homogeneous in districts (small area) belonging to the same region (broad area)

- **Advantages of this approach:**
  - Simple and intuitive
  - Applicable to general sampling designs
  - Borrow strength from similar areas
  - Can be used to produce estimates for small areas with no sample observations
**Broad Area Ratio Estimator (BARE) of a Total**

\[
\hat{Y}_{i,BA} = N_i \times \frac{\sum_{j \in s} w_j y_j}{\sum_{j \in s} w_j} = N_i \times \hat{Y}_{(BA)}
\]

Where:
- \(N_i\) population size for i-th domain
- \(s\) sample
- \(w_j\) initial weight associated with j-th unit in the sample
- \(y_j\) value of the target value for j-th unit

The total value for the variable under study \(y\) for the large area is proportionally allocated in all small areas according to the population area sizes \(N_i\).
Example of BARE application

Let’s consider the SDG Indicator 2.1.2 and suppose we want to estimate the total number of people in moderate or severe food insecurity at district level but the survey direct estimates have too poor precision.

When the only available additional information is the population sizes of the districts, then indirect estimates can be obtained by applying the broad area (regions) incidence of moderate or severe food insecurity to the district-level population.
Linear Regression Estimator (LRE) of a Total

\[ \hat{Y}_{i,LRE} = N_i \left[ \bar{y}_{s(BA)} + \left( \bar{X}_i(BA) - \bar{x}_s(BA) \right) \hat{\beta} \right] \]

Where:

- \( \bar{y}_{s}, \bar{x}_s \) sample mean of target variable and auxiliary variable
- \( \bar{X}_i \) population mean of auxiliary variable
- \( \hat{\beta} \) linear regression parameters

**ADVANTAGES:**

- Easy computation: the only elements required are the local summary statistics of auxiliary variables and the parameters \( \hat{\beta} \).
- Can be used for estimation domains with no sample observations.
- Unbiased, when the assumption that small areas have the same characteristics as the broad area is fulfilled.

**NB:** Its performance depends on the relationship between the area-level parameter and the selected vector of auxiliary variables.
Example of LRE application

Still considering the estimation of the total number of people in moderate or severe food insecurity at district level, suppose we have as auxiliary information the proportion of poor people both at district and broad area level (regions).

In this situation, the relationship between the variable of interest and the auxiliary variable can be exploited to obtain indirect estimates by simply:

- deriving the parameter $\hat{\beta}$ from any model used to formalize the relationship,
- then applying $\hat{\beta}$ to the elements of the formula in the previous slide.
Indirect estimators: Synthetic (4)

**Ratio Synthetic Estimator (RSE)**

\[
\hat{Y}_{i,RSE} = X_i \times \frac{\sum_{j \in s} w_j y_j}{\sum_{j \in s} w_j x_j} = X_i \frac{\hat{Y}_{(BA)}}{\hat{X}_{(BA)}},
\]

Where:

- \( X_i \) is the known total of the auxiliary variable for the small area \( i \)
- \( \hat{Y}_{(BA)} \) is the direct survey estimate of the total of the target variable at the broad area level.
- \( \hat{X}_{(BA)} \) is the direct survey estimate of the total of the auxiliary variable at the broad area level.

This synthetic estimator uses a broad area survey estimate \( \hat{Y}_{(BA)} \) and can be adopted when the value of a single auxiliary variable, \( X \), is observed in the survey and its total is known from another source (e.g. a census, or a source that is not affected by sampling error) for each small area.
Example of RSE application

Still considering SDG Indicator 2.1.2, suppose that from the survey we can estimate the total number of poor people in the broad area (regions) and that this total is known, from another source, for each district.

It is very similar to the previous example of synthetic estimator but now the ratio between the total number of people in moderate or severe food insecurity and the total number of poor people takes the place and the role of the parameter $\hat{\beta}$. 
Post-Stratified Synthetic Estimator (PSSE)

\[ \hat{Y}_{i,PSSE} = \sum_{g} N_{ig} \cdot \frac{\hat{Y}_{g}}{\hat{N}_{g}} \]

Where:
- \( g \) cross-classifications or post-strata (e.g. \( g = 1 \) to 6 for three age groups by male and female)
- \( \hat{Y}_{g} \) direct survey national estimate (e.g. the Horvitz-Thomson estimate) of the target variable for cross-classification cell \( g \);
- \( \hat{N}_{g} \) direct survey national estimate of the population size for cross classification cell \( g \);
- \( N_{ig} \) known population size for cross classification cell \( g \) of the small area \( i \).
Example of PSSE application

Finally, let’s suppose that a variable representing individuals’ education level (with values from 0 to 3) is available in the survey, and that the population size at district-level for these four cross-classifications are known.

Then, indirect estimates can be obtained taking the cross-classifications into account.
Indirect estimators: Synthetic (6)

**RECAP:** Synthetic estimators are based on reliable estimates for a broad area including the small area of interest. They strongly rely on the assumption that small areas have similar characteristics of broad areas that include them.

**MAIN ADVANTAGES:**

- Can be applied when *sample data are not available* for the domain of interest – great advantage compared to *direct estimates*.

- Can be used even *when sampling was not involved* – broad area estimates should be based on a data source that is not affected by sampling error.

- The strength of this approach is the *simplicity* – they are applicable to general sampling designs and allow borrowing strength from areas with similar characteristics.
LIMITATIONS

- Not appropriate when the **main assumption** (i.e., matching characteristics) is **not fullfilled**.

- **Over-shrinkage problem** - estimators generally display less between-area variation than they should.

- Very important that **good auxiliary information is available** – **synthetic estimators do not take into account possible misspecification of area level variables or correction in the variables**

- Very important to take possible **selection effects** into consideration as far as possible, since they may cause systematic differences in the target variable between sample and population (*bias*). Adjustments to ensure coherence of estimates at different levels:

\[
\hat{Y}_{i, \text{adj}} = \frac{\hat{Y}_i}{\sum_i \hat{Y}_i} \hat{Y}
\]
A special case of synthetic estimator: the projection estimator

Projection Estimator: introduced by Kim and Rao as a model-assisted approach allowing to integrate data from two independent surveys

- **The first survey**, is characterized by a large sample $A_1$, but only collects auxiliary information or variables of general use (e.g. socio-economic variables);

- **The second survey** has a smaller sample $A_2$ but collects information on the target variable $y$, along with the same set of auxiliary variables available from $A_1$. 
A special case of synthetic estimator: the projection estimator (2)

The total of variable \( y \) in the disaggregation domain \( i \) can be estimated as

\[
\hat{Y}_{PR,i} = \sum_{j \in A_1} w_j m(x_j; \hat{\beta}) y_{ji}
\]
Indirect estimators: Composite Estimator (CE)

Expressed as a linear combination of a direct estimator with a synthetic estimator:

\[ \hat{Y}_{i,CE} = \phi_i \hat{Y}_{i,Dir} + (1 - \phi_i) \hat{Y}_{i,Sy} \]

Where:

- \( \hat{Y}_{i,Dir} \) is the direct estimator for the \( i \)-th small area
- \( \hat{Y}_{i,Sy} \) is a synthetic estimator for the \( i \)-th small area
- \( \phi_i \) is a suitably chosen weight, with \( 0 \leq \phi_i \leq 1 \)

Objective: balancing the bias of the synthetic estimator with the instability (high variance) of the direct estimator. Possible examples are:

- \( \hat{Y}_{i,Dir} \): HT estimator
- \( \hat{Y}_{i,Sy} \): Linear regression estimator, other synthetic estimators
Indirect estimators: Composite (2)

Fundamental step for the implementation of composite estimators: selection of $\phi_i$ (also called shrinkage factor). Various approaches are available:

- **Approach 1:** the choice of $\phi_i$ is based on the minimization of $D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{D} \text{MSE}(\hat{Y}_{i,C})$. The optimal solution is given by

  $$
  \phi^* = \frac{\sum_i \text{MSE}(\hat{Y}_{i,Sy})}{\sum_i (\text{MSE}(\hat{Y}_{i,Sy}) + V(\hat{Y}_{i,Dir}))}
  $$

  which is estimated with

  $$
  \hat{\phi}^* = 1 - \frac{\sum_i V(\hat{Y}_{i,Dir})}{\sum_i (\hat{Y}_{i,Sy} - \hat{Y}_{i,Dir})^2}
  $$

- **Approach 2:** the choice of $\phi_i$ depends on the domain sample size

  $$
  \phi_i^* = \begin{cases} 
  1 & \text{if } \tilde{N}_i \geq \delta N_i \\
  \frac{\tilde{N}_i}{(\delta N_i)} & \text{otherwise}
  \end{cases}
  $$

  Where $\tilde{N}_i$ is the sum of the design weights in the domain, $N_i$ is the known population size in the domain, and $\delta$ is subjectively chosen to control the contribution of the synthetic estimator.
ADVANTAGES:

- Can be useful in domains in which a direct estimator has a **large variance**.

- Can be useful in surveys when analysed **domains vary** very much in terms of sample size.

- When the weights depend only on the sub-sample sizes, it is possible to estimate for **many** target variables at the same time.

- *Easy to implement* and not difficult to understand by the users.

LIMITATIONS:

- Problem of how to establish the **value of the weight**.

- Problem on how to provide **measures of error** for a given small area – for example, for bias.

- **Over-shrinkage problem** - estimators generally display less between-area variation than they should.

- Do not take into consideration **errors in auxiliary variables**. Adjustments to ensure coherence of estimates at different levels: \( \hat{Y}_{d,adj} = \frac{\hat{Y}_d}{\sum_d \hat{Y}_d} \hat{Y} \)
Quiz time!
Synthetic estimators:

A. Allow assigning a broad area estimate to all the small areas included in the considered broad area

B. Are usually more precise than direct small area estimates

C. Can be affected by strong bias

D. Are based on the assumption that small areas within a given broad area are homogeneous

E. All of the above
Composite estimators:

A. Allow balancing the bias of direct estimators and the instability of synthetic estimators
B. Are, for example, BARE estimators
C. Are linear combinations of direct and synthetic estimators
D. All of the above
Thank you!