

Crop yield response to water



Cover illustrations:

Margherita Bongiovanni

(Formerly professor of Design and Art History,
and Fine Art, Ministry of Education, Rome, Italy)

Copies of FAO publications can be requested from:

SALES AND MARKETING GROUP

Office of Knowledge Exchange, Research and Extension

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla,

00153 Rome, Italy.

Email: publications-sales@fao.org

Fax: +39 06 57053360

Web site: www.fao.org

Crop yield response to water

FAO
IRRIGATION
AND
DRAINAGE
PAPER

66

by

Pasquale Steduto

(FAO, Land and Water Division, Rome, Italy)

Theodore C. Hsiao

(University of California, Davis, USA)

Elias Fereres

(University of Cordoba and IAS-CSIC, Cordoba, Spain)

Dirk Raes

(KU Leuven University, Leuven, Belgium)

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of FAO.

ISBN 978-92-5-107274-5

All rights reserved. FAO encourages reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product. Non-commercial uses will be authorized free of charge, upon request. Reproduction for resale or other commercial purposes, including educational purposes, may incur fees. Applications for permission to reproduce or disseminate FAO copyright materials, and all queries concerning rights and licences, should be addressed by e-mail to copyright@fao.org or to the Chief, Publishing Policy and Support Branch, Office of Knowledge Exchange, Research and Extension, FAO, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy.

© FAO 2012

Foreword

Sustainable management and utilization of natural resources is part of the *Global Goals* of FAO Member Countries and essential to the mandate of FAO.

The latest FAO assessment of the state of the world's land and water resources clearly indicated that these resources, already scarce today, will be increasingly scarce as we move into the future, threatening food security. In fact, the outstanding food demand projected for the next decades, due to the world population growth and to the anticipated shift in consumption patterns, will face very limited opportunities for further land expansion and the finite availability of fresh water resources. Such a food demand may be satisfied only if we are able to act effectively and sustainably on both sides of the *food equation*, i.e., *production* and *consumption*, and on the inter-linkages between these two variables, including trade, distribution and access.

Efforts are being made by FAO to address major issues on the *production side*, on the fairness of trade, on the *consumption side* (reduction of post-harvest losses and food waste; promoting nutritious and healthy diets) and other emerging challenges. Among these emerging challenges are: *food price volatility*, revealing the vulnerability of some countries in their dependency on imports, leading to increase production inside their national boundaries; *climate change*, causing greater uncertainties on rainfall patterns, thus requiring higher levels of adaptation and increased resilience of the local production systems; *transboundary rivers* and *competing demands* for land and water resources by other sectors of society and by ecosystems.

Under such circumstances, and looking into the future food demand, it is imperative that agriculture improve the efficiencies of use of the limited resources and ensure substantial *productivity* gains. In the case of water, scarcity is a major threat to the sustainability of food production in many areas of the world. The effective management of water in rainfed and irrigated agriculture is thus a major knowledge-based pathway to increase *productivity* and farmers' income. To combine increased productivity with sustainable management of natural resources, without repeating the mistakes made in the past, will be a challenge.

With the contribution of numerous experts, professionals and scientific institutions around the world, including a few *Institutes of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR)*, "*Crop yield response to water*" is published at a time of high demand for assistance by member countries in order to implement effective water management strategies and practices that are environmentally safe and climate-resilient, and enhance sustainable water productivity and yield of their farming systems, therefore alleviating the risks of food insecurity.



José Graziano da Silva
Director-General
Food and Agriculture Organization
of the United Nations

Preface

The FAO *Land and Water Division* is engaged extensively in the enhancement of global agricultural performance. A part of this effort is the production of landmark publications and guidelines that address food production and water use problems using analytical methods that often serve as standards worldwide.

In the face of growing water scarcity, declining water quality, and the uncertainties of climate change, improving the efficiency and productivity of crop water use, while simultaneously reducing negative environmental impact, is of utmost importance in responding to the increasing food demand of the growing world population. To this end, irrigated and rainfed agriculture must adopt more knowledge-intensive management solutions.


Moreover, competing demands for water from other economic sectors and for ecosystem services will continue to grow. As agriculture is by far the largest consumer of water, efficiency and productivity gains in this sector would free significant amounts of water for other uses.

Abstracting from the scientific understanding and technological advances achieved over the last few decades, and relying on a network of several scientific institutions, FAO has packaged a set of tools in this *Irrigation and Drainage Paper* to better assess and enhance crop yield response to water. These tools provide the means to sharpen assessment and management capacities required to: sustainably intensify crop production; close the yield-gap in many regions of the world; quantify the impact of climate variability and change on cropping systems; more efficiently use natural resources; and minimize the negative impact on the environment caused by agriculture. These tools are invaluable to various agricultural practitioners including, but not limited to: water managers and planners; extension services; consulting engineers; governmental agencies; non-governmental organizations and farmers' associations; agricultural economists and research scientists.

Representing FAO's state-of-the-art work in water and crop productivity, it is our hope that this publication provides easy access to, and better understanding of, the complex relationships between water and food production and, in this way, help improve the management of our precious water resources.



Alexander Müller
Assistant Director-General
Natural Resources and Environment



Parviz Koohafkan
Director
Land and Water Division

Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	VI
ACRONYMS OF INSTITUTIONS	VIII
LIST OF PRINCIPAL SYMBOLS AND ACRONYMS	X
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. YIELD RESPONSE TO WATER: THE ORIGINAL FAO WATER PRODUCTION FUNCTION	6
3. YIELD RESPONSE TO WATER OF HERBACEOUS CROPS: THE AQUACROP SIMULATION MODEL	16
3.1 <i>AquaCrop</i> : concepts, rationale and operation	17
3.2 <i>AquaCrop</i> applications	50
3.3 <i>AquaCrop</i> parameterization, calibration, and validation guide	70
3.4 Herbaceous Crops	89
Wheat	92
Rice	104
Maize	114
Soybean	124
Barley	134
Sorghum	144
Cotton	154
Sunflower	164
Sugarcane	174
Potato	184
Tomato	192
Sugar Beet	202
Alfalfa	212
Bambara Groundnut	222
Quinoa	230
Tef	238
4. YIELD RESPONSE TO WATER OF FRUIT TREES AND VINES: GUIDELINES	246
4.1 Fruit Trees and Vines	297
Olive	300
Citrus	316
Apple	332
Plum	348
Almond	358
Pear	376
Peach	392
Walnut	410
Pistachio	416
Apricot	432
Avocado	442
Sweet cherry	450
Grapevine	460
Kiwifruit	488
5. EPILOGUE	498

Acknowledgments

This publication has relied on inputs from a large number of people and institutions in various forms, including expert consultations, contributions at project workshops, experimental data and authorship.

Universities and national and international research institutions from many regions of the world have provided data and insights, which forms part of the vast amount of information and knowledge condensed in this state-of-the-art publication *Crop yield response to water*.

Particularly significant has been the involvement of key CGIAR Centres, specifically IRRI, ICARDA, ICRISAT, CIMMYT and CIP, and the FAO/IAEA Joint Division. Working together with the colleagues from these Centres has strengthened the institutional partnership and enhanced the synergy towards filling the gaps between scientific research and field implementation, theoretical knowledge and field practice, investigation and actual operation.

Most of these scientists, experts and colleagues are listed in this publication either as editors, authors or as scientists who have contributed with data and tests for the model *Aquacrop*. We are grateful to all of them for their highly valuable inputs.

We would like to thank the following experts who were involved in the initial stage of this endeavour: John Passioura (CSIRO, Canberra, Australia), Luigi Cavazza (University of Bologna, Italy), Hugh Turrall (formerly IWMI, Colombo, Sri Lanka), John Annandale (University of Pretoria, South Africa), Dogo Seck (CERAAS, Dakar, Senegal), Lamourdia Thiombiano (FAO, Sub-Regional Office for Central Africa, Libreville, Gabon), Netij Ben-Mechlia (INAT, Tunis, Tunisia), Claudio Stockle (Washington State University, Pullman, United States), Florent Maraux (CIRAD, Montpellier, France), François Tardieu (INRA, Montpellier, France), Tsugihiko Watanabe (RIHN, Tokyo, Japan), Hiroshi Nakagawa (Ishikawa Prefectural University, Ishikawa, Japan), Long Nguyen (Joint FAO/IAEA Division, Vienna, Austria), Tim Wheeler (University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom), Jan Doorenbos and Amir Kassam (formerly FAO, Land and Water Division, Rome, Italy) and Gerardo van Halsema (Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands). Their inputs have been determinant in correctly setting the initial condition of this work.

The support of colleagues at FAO at different stages has been indispensable for this publication. Special thanks go to Martin Smith, who started the process and later overviewed the various chapters, providing inputs and feedback to the editors to improve harmonization among the chapters and between the present and past FAO publications. Martin was ably assisted by Giovanni Munoz and Robina Wahaj. Shortly after the initial phase, an intense period of work started and we are particularly grateful to Gabriella Izzi and Lee K. Heng who managed the extensive experimental data set collected for calibration, maintained the liaison between all the collaborators, and contributed considerably to the various calibration and tests of *Aquacrop*. After one year of diligent effort at FAO, Lee moved to the FAO/IAEA Joint Division in Vienna, but continued to cooperate enthusiastically on model calibration and testing. Gabriella moved to the World Bank after two years of intense work on the model at FAO. More recently, support has been provided by Michela Marinelli, Patricia Mejías Moreno

and Jippe Hoogeveen. We thank them for their continuous help and critical exchange in the completion of this product.

The design and accurate execution of the layout and editing of the manuscript are critical for this publication, requiring meticulous attention to the numerous details in the text, graphs, pictures, drawings, equations, symbols and citations. For their professional and immensely patient devotion to these tasks, we would like to thank the FAO collaborators Gabriele Zanolli, Paolo Mander, Rosemary Allison and Jim Morgan. A very special recognition goes to Margherita Bongiovanni for providing artistic, beautiful and accurate botanical illustrations of the crops.

Finally, we thank the former Assistant Director-General of Agriculture and Consumer Protection Department, Ms Louise Fresco, for her early endorsement and support of the initiative, and both the Land and Water Division, Mr Parviz Koohafkan, and the Assistant Director-General of Natural Resources Management and Environment Department, Mr Alexander Müller, for their strong and continuous support of the 'Water' programme making possible this publication and the development of the model *Aquacrop*.

Without this collective and interdisciplinary effort, this outcome could not have been achieved.

Acronyms of institutions

CER:	Canale Emiliano Romagnolo, Italy
CNR:	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
CMMYT:	Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maíz y Trigo, Mexico
CIP:	Centro Internacional de la Papa, Peru
CONICET:	Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina
CRA:	Consiglio per la Ricerca e la Sperimentazione in Agricoltura, Italy
CRI:	Cotton Research Institute, Uzbekistan
CSIRO:	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Australia
DSIR:	Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, India
EEAD-CSIC:	Estación Experimental Aula Dei - Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Spain
EMBRAPA:	Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, Brazil
FAO:	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Italy
GRI:	Golan Research Institute, Israel
GRC:	Geisenheim Research Centre for Viticulture and Grapevine Breeding, Germany
IAM-B:	Mediterranean Agronomic Institute of Bari, Italy
IAEA:	International Atomic Energy Agency, Austria
IAS-CSIC:	Instituto de Agricultura Sostenible - Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Spain
ICARDA:	International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas, Syria
ICREA:	Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, Spain
ICRISAT:	International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, India
INIA:	Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, Chile
INRA:	Institute National de la Recherche Agronomique, France
INTA:	Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, Argentina
IRD CEFE-CNRS:	Institut de Recherche pour le Développement Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle & Evolutive - Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France
IRRI:	International Rice Research Institute, Philippines
IRTA:	Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentaries, Spain

ISPA:	Istituto di Scienze delle Produzioni Alimentari, Italy
IVIA:	Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias, Spain
KESREF:	Kenya Sugar Research Foundation, Kenya
LARI:	Lebanese Agricultural Research Institute, Lebanon
LLNL:	Laurence Livermore National Laboratory, USA
SARDI:	South Australian Research and Development Institute, Australia
SASRI:	South African Sugarcane Research Institute, South Africa
SIA:	Servicio de Investigación Agraria, Spain
USDA-ARS:	United States Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service, USA
WB:	World Bank, USA
VLIR-UOS:	Flemish Inter-University Council, Belgium
ZIS:	Zhanghe Irrigation System, China

List of principal symbols and acronyms

B	Dry biomass, of shoot for non-root crops, and of shoot plus storage root or tuber for root crops [tonne/ha or kg/m ²]
C _a	Mean atmospheric CO ₂ concentration for the actual year [ppm]
C _{a,o}	Mean atmospheric CO ₂ concentration for the year 2000 [ppm]
cc _o	Canopy size of the average seedling at 90% emergence [cm ²]
CC	Green canopy cover [percent or fraction]
CC*	Green canopy cover adjusted for micro advection [percent or fraction]
CC _{meas}	Canopy cover measured [percent or fraction]
CC _{sim}	Canopy cover simulated [percent or fraction]
CC _o	Initial canopy cover, canopy cover at 90% emergence [percent or fraction]
CC _{pot}	Potential canopy cover [percent or fraction]
CC _x	Maximum green canopy cover [percent or fraction]
CDC	Canopy decline coefficient [percent or fraction of canopy decline per unit time]
CGC	Canopy growth coefficient [percent or fraction of canopy growth per unit time]
CN	Curve number or surface runoff coefficient
[CO ₂]	Carbon dioxide concentration of the atmosphere [ppm]
CWSI	Crop water stress index
d	Day
d _p	Plant density [plants per unit surface]
d _{ref}	Reference plant density [plants per unit surface]
D _r	Soil water depletion of the root zone [mm]
DAE	Days after emergence [day]
DAP	Days after planting [day]
DI	Deficit irrigation
DP	Deep percolation [mm per unit time]
DU	Distribution uniformity
E	Soil evaporation [mm per unit time]
E _{dz}	Surface evaporation from the rest of the soil surface outside the emitter wetting pattern [mm per unit time]
E _{Stage I}	Soil evaporation at Stage I (wet soil surface) [mm per unit time]
E _{Stage II}	Soil evaporation at Stage II (drying soil surface) [mm per unit time]
E _{wz}	Surface evaporation from the soil wetted by the emitters [mm per unit time]

ECe	Electrical conductivity of the saturated soil-paste extract [dS/m]
ECe _n	Electrical conductivity of the saturated soil-paste extract: lower threshold (at which soil salinity stress starts occurring) [dS/m]
ECe _x	Electrical conductivity of the saturated soil-paste extract: upper threshold (at which soil salinity stress has reached its maximum effect) [dS/m]
ET	Evapotranspiration [mm per unit time]
ET _a	Actual evapotranspiration [mm per unit time]
ET _c	Crop evapotranspiration under standard conditions [mm per unit time]
ET _x	Maximum evapotranspiration [mm per unit time]
ET _o	Reference evapotranspiration [mm per unit time]
f _{age}	Reduction coefficient describing the effect of ageing on K _{c,Trx} [1/d]
f _{cc}	Fraction of the orchard ground surface occupied by the cover crop
f _{CO2}	CO ₂ factor for atmospheric CO ₂ normalization
f _{HI}	Adjustment factor for HI _o
FC	Field capacity
FI	Full irrigation
FDR	Frequency domain reflectometry
FTSW	fraction of transpiring soil water
g _s	Stomatal conductance [m/s]
G	Ground cover fraction of the tree canopy
GDD	Growing degree days [°C d]
GIR	Gross irrigation requirement [mm or m ³ /ha per unit time]
GIS	Geographical information systems
HI	Harvest index [percent or fraction]
HI _o	Reference harvest index [percent or fraction]
I	Infiltration [mm per unit time]
K _c	Crop coefficient
K _{cb}	Basal crop coefficient representing K _c for a dry soil surface having little evaporation but full transpiration
K _{cc}	Cover crop coefficient
K _{ext}	Radiation extinction coefficient
K _p	Pan coefficient (for the pan evaporation method to determine ET _o)
K _{r,t}	Empirical coefficient relating the ET _c of an orchard of incomplete cover to that of a mature orchard
K _{sat}	Saturated hydraulic conductivity [mm per unit time]
K _{s,e}	Empirical soil evaporation coefficient
K _y	Yield response factor

$K_{c,Tr}$	Crop transpiration coefficient
$K_{c,Tr x}$	Crop transpiration coefficient for when the canopy fully covers the ground ($CC = 1$) and stresses are absent
K_e	Soil evaporation coefficient for fully wet soil surface
K_{e_x}	Soil evaporation coefficient for fully wet and non-shaded soil surface
K_r	Evaporation reduction coefficient
K_s	Stress coefficient
$K_{s_{b,c}}$	Cold stress coefficient for biomass production
$K_{s_{pol,c}}$	Cold stress coefficient for pollination
$K_{s_{pol,h}}$	Heat stress coefficient for pollination
$K_{s_{aer}}$	Water stress coefficient for water logging (aeration stress)
$K_{s_{exp,w}}$	Water stress coefficient for canopy expansion
$K_{s_{sen}}$	Water stress coefficient for canopy senescence
$K_{s_{sto}}$	Water stress coefficient for stomatal closure
LAI	Leaf area index [m^2 leaf area/ m^2 soil surface]
LAI_{ref}	LAI of the same crop planted at a reference density
LWP	Leaf water potential [MPa]
NIR	Net irrigation requirement [mm per unit time or m^3/ha per unit time]
p	Fractional depletion of TAW
p_{upper}	Upper threshold of p (no water stress: $K_s = 1$)
p_{lower}	Lower threshold of p (maximum water stress: $K_s = 0$)
P	Precipitation or rainfall [mm]
PAR	Photosynthetically active radiation [μmol per m^2 of surface per s]
PRD	Partial root drying
PWP	Permanent wilting point
RDI	Regulated deficit irrigation
RAW	Readily available soil water in the root zone [mm]
REW	Readily evaporable water at the top of the soil profile [mm]
RUE	Radiation use efficiency [Kg of biomass per MJ of intercepted solar radiation]
RO	Surface runoff [mm per unit time]
SDI	Sustained (or continuous) deficit irrigation
t	Time [GDD or d]
T	Air temperature [$^{\circ}C$]
T_{base}	Base temperature (below which crop development does not progress) [$^{\circ}C$]
T_c	Canopy temperature [$^{\circ}C$]
$T_{max} = T_x$	Daily maximum air temperature [$^{\circ}C$]

$T_{\min} = T_n$	Daily minimum air temperature [°C]
$T_{n,cold}$	Minimum air temperature at upper threshold for cold stress affecting pollination [°C]
$T_{x,heat}$	Maximum air temperature at lower threshold for heat stress affecting pollination [°C]
T_{opt}	Crop optimal daily temperature [°C]
T_{upper}	Upper temperature (above which crop development no longer increases with an increase in air temperature) [°C]
Tr	Crop transpiration [mm per unit time]
Tr_{cc}	Cover Crop transpiration [mm per unit time]
Tr_x	Maximum crop transpiration (for a well watered crop) [mm per unit time]
TAW	Total Available soil Water (between FC and PWP), equivalent to the soil water holding capacity in the root zone [mm/m]
TDR	Time domain reflectometry
TE	Transpiration efficiency [Kg of biomass per unit of water transpired]
VPD	Air vapor pressure deficit [kPa]
wz	Fraction of the soil surface wetted by the emitters
Wr	Soil water content of the root zone expressed as an equivalent depth [mm]
WP	Crop biomass water productivity [tonne of biomass per ha and per mm of water transpired or kg of biomass per m ³ of water transpired]
WP*	WP normalized for ET _o and air CO ₂ concentration [tonne/ha or kg/m ²]
WP _{B/ET}	WP as the ratio of biomass to ET [kg/m ³]
WP _{B/Tr}	WP as the ratio of biomass to Tr [kg/m ³]
WP _{fresh Y/ET}	WP as the ratio of yield measured as fresh biomass to ET [kg/m ³]
WP _{lint/ET}	WP as the ratio of lint (of cotton) to ET [kg/m ³]
WP _{sucrose/ET}	WP as the ratio of sucrose (for sugar cane) to ET [kg/m ³]
WP _{Y/ET}	WP as the ratio of yield (as dry matter) to ET [kg/m ³]
WP _{Y/Tr}	WP as the ratio of yield (as dry matter) to Tr [kg/m ³]
X	Irrigation depth [mm]
X _R	Required irrigation depth [mm]
Y	Yield [tonne/ha or kg/ha]
Y _a	Actual yield [tonne/ha or kg/ha]
Y _x	Maximum yield [tonne/ha or kg/ha]
Z _e	Effective rooting depth [m]
Z _x	Maximum effective rooting depth [m]
Z _n	Minimum effective rooting depth [m]
θ	Volumetric soil water content [m ³ of water / m ³ of soil]
Ψ _{stem} = SWP	Stem water potential [MPa]
Ψ _{leaf} = LWP	Leaf water potential [MPa]