



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations


SAFA

SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE SYSTEMS

TOOL

USER MANUAL VERSION 2.2.40





SAFA

SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE SYSTEMS

TOOL

USER MANUAL VERSION 2.2.40

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of FAO.

E-ISBN 978-92-5-108597-4 (PDF)

© **FAO, 2014**

FAO encourages the use, reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product. Except where otherwise indicated, material may be copied, downloaded and printed for private study, research and teaching purposes, or for use in non-commercial products or services, provided that appropriate acknowledgement of FAO as the source and copyright holder is given and that FAO's endorsement of users' views, products or services is not implied in any way.

All requests for translation and adaptation rights, and for resale and other commercial use rights should be made via www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request or addressed to copyright@fao.org.

FAO information products are available on the FAO website (www.fao.org/publications) and can be purchased through publications-sales@fao.org.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GOALS OF THE SAFA TOOL	1
Purpose of the SAFA Tool	1
The SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0)	1
Use of results	1
USER GROUPS	2
Enterprise assessors	2
Independent assessors	2
DOWNLOAD AND START OF SOFTWARE	3
Technical requirements	3
Unzip and start	3
INTRODUCING .XML DATA FILES	4
How to open .XML data files	4
How to save .XML data files	4
STRUCTURE OF THE SAFA TOOL	5
Main navigation	5
Navigation elements	5
Scroll to top	5
“Contextualization” as index	5
The allocation line for indicators	6
Glossary	7
Info boxes	7
COMPLETING THE ASSESSMENT	8
Welcome	8
Mapping	8
Enter data to mapping	8
Declaration for “small-scale producer” status and its effect	9
Contextualization	9
Procedure of Contextualization	9
Deselection of sub-themes	10
Adding rating definitions to indicators	11
Adding indicators	12
Sharing the contextualization of a SAFA	14
Indicators	14
Entering data for an indicator	14



Reporting	16
Explanation of reporting results	16
Interpretation options of Report	19
Save and print “Reporting”	19
Comparison of Results	19
Usage of results for communication	20

List of Figures

Figure 1. Contextualization as index.....	6
Figure 2. Allocation line example for the indicator E 5.3.4 Food Loss and Waste Reduction	7
Figure 3. All extra information opened in the example for indicator G2.1.1 Holistic audits	10
Figure 4. Selection and deselection of sub-themes	11
Figure 5. Adding rating definitions in the example of indicator G3.1.1 and indicators for G 3.1.....	12
Figure 6. New additional indicator for sub-theme E1.1 Greenhouse Gases.....	13
Figure 7. Indicator Input Sheet for C 2.1.1	15
Figure 8. Example of a SAFA sustainability polygon after completing the step “Indicators”	17
Figure 9. Selection of themes in the section results per theme, subtheme and indicator	17
Figure 10. Disaggregated rating of the theme Materials and Energy	18



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SAFA is an initiative led by Nadia El-Hage Scialabba, Climate, Energy and Tenure Division; thanks go to the Government of Switzerland for the generous financial assistance.

The **SAFA Tool** (version 2.2.40) is an open source, freely-available, and user-friendly software offered by FAO to implement the **SAFA Guidelines** (version 3.0) and the **SAFA Indicators** for sustainability assessment of enterprises. The SAFA Tool was beta tested in several countries; thanks go to all those stakeholders who provided feedback to FAO.

Special thanks go to the software developers: David Kahnt, Thomas Lindner and Anne Bandel.

The SAFA Guidelines, SAFA Indicators and SAFA Tool are freely downloadable from:
<http://www.fao.org/nr/sustainability/sustainability-assessments-safa>



GOALS OF THE SAFA TOOL

Purpose of the SAFA Tool

The free of charge SAFA Tool (version 2.2.40) is created by FAO to undertake sustainability assessment, as described in the SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0). The SAFA Tool guides users through the four SAFA assessment steps: “Mapping”, “Contextualization”, “Indicators” and “Reporting”. The indicators used are those offered in the Guidelines’ complement: SAFA Indicators.

The SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0)

SAFA is a holistic global framework for the assessment of sustainability along food and agriculture value chains. SAFA establishes an international reference for assessing trade-offs and synergies between all four dimensions of sustainability. It has been prepared so that enterprises, whether companies or small-scale producers, involved with the production, processing, distribution and marketing of goods have a clear understanding of the constituent components of sustainability and thus, better tackle their strength, weakness and progress towards sustainability. By providing a transparent and aggregated framework for assessing sustainability, SAFA seeks to harmonize sustainability approaches within the food value chain, as well as furthering good practices.

Use of results

SAFA is intended primarily for self-evaluation and internal communication about sustainability goals and performance. It is possible to use the SAFA report for communication with other businesses to establish a common understanding of sustainability aspects. SAFA results can be used for internal management, as well as learning and communication purposes. For credibility, it is essential that the SAFA procedures and results have a high degree of transparency. The completion of a SAFA assessment does not allow the entity to use the logo of SAFA or FAO in any way that implies endorsement or certification, as no one is verifying the claim. When a SAFA assessment is fully transparent in all its choices and customization (e.g. with regards to boundaries, data sources, indicator selection, rating), reference can be made to “Consistency with the SAFA procedures and principles”.



USER GROUPS

Enterprise assessors

When an enterprise, whether a small-scale producer or a large company, desires to undertake a SAFA, it must ensure that the entrusted “assessor” has access to the necessary information resources. The enterprise has to ensure that the assessor receives all the information needed to do the mapping, contextualization and data gathering for the indicators.

Independent assessors

Enterprises might choose to hire a third party assessor in order to benefit from expert knowledge for the assessment and/or claim reliability of the assessment (i.e. no self-interest). As any external person needs first to get familiar with the structure of the enterprise in order to be able to do the mapping correctly, the assessor might need to visit the premises of the assessed entity.



DOWNLOAD AND START OF SOFTWARE

The SAFA Tool is free of charge and can be downloaded as a ZIP file at the FAO website: www.fao.org/nr/sustainability/sustainability-assessments-safa

Technical requirements

In order to run the software the following minimum technical requirements are needed for an optimal execution of the SAFA Tool:

OPERATING SYSTEM	Windows XP Service Pack 3 Windows Vista Windows 7 Windows 8 Mac OS X 10.7 and higher
PROCESSOR	Intel Pentium 4
RAM	512 MB
MINIMUM SCREEN RESOLUTION	1024x768 Pixel
FREE DISK SPACE	200 MB

For saving files from the SAFA Tool you need write access to the file system.

For opening PDFs in the SAFA Tool, a PDF reader is needed. For example, the Adobe Acrobat Reader can be downloaded free of charge from:

<https://get.adobe.com/uk/reader/>

Unzip and start

To unzip the downloaded folder, use the zipping software delivered with the Windows system software. Open the context menu with a right click on the folder and choose “Extract all”. If needed, change the location of the folder in the following dialogue and click again on “Extract”.



After unzipping, open the SAFA Tool application folder which is called by default “SAFAtool” and double click on the file “start” to start the program. The welcome screen of the SAFA Tool opens. No further installations are necessary.



INTRODUCING .XML DATA FILES



The SAFA Tool saves an assessment into a .XML data file. This type of file works like a little database that stores the entered information. To share e.g. the contextualization of a SAFA or to compare several results the single .XML file contains all the needed information (see also “Completing the assessment”).

How to open .XML data files



To open a .XML data file from the application folder that has been saved from the SAFA Tool after entering information, open the SAFA Tool and click on the button  **Load** (or minimized ) in the upper left corner at any page. A dialogue will appear displaying your private folder. Navigate to the .XML data file that you want to load and mark it. Click “Open”. The tool will reload the welcome page of the SAFA Tool.

How to save .XML data files

In the upper left corner, two options are displayed to save the .XML data files of a SAFA:

1. **“Save As”**  **Save As** (or minimized )

opens a dialogue for giving a name to the file and choosing the desired folder for saving the file.


2. **“Quick Save”**  **Quick Save** (or minimized )

saves the status quo automatically in the SAFA Tool application folder (default “SAFAtool”). The file is named safa_self_assessment_YYYY-MM-DD_quicksave (for example safa_self_assessment_2013-12-03_quicksave). YYYY-MM-DD stands for the current year, month and day. The quick save will always overwrite any quicksave file of the same day if not renamed.



STRUCTURE OF THE SAFA TOOL


Main navigation



The main navigation follows the four implementation steps of a SAFA. The “Welcome” screen is followed by “Mapping”, “Contextualization”, “Indicators” and “Reporting”. These navigation steps are always shown in the upper part of the screen. They will be minimized when scrolling down a page. The same applies to “Load”, “Save as”, “Quick save”, “Manual” and “Glossary”. The tool is built in such a way that the user can move back and forth between the steps as soon as the required data in “Mapping” is filled out. The navigation is thus not limited to navigate in a “one way” direction.

Navigation elements

Scroll to top

As soon as a page is scrolled down the button  appears in the lower right corner. Go back to the top of the page by clicking on this button.

“Contextualization” as index

The overview of dimensions / themes / sub-themes / indicators in the step “Contextualization” can also be used for orientation and as an index to jump directly to the data input screen of a specific indicator in the step “Indicators”.



Click on the dimension fields to automatically scroll down to the dimension section. To open the data input screen of a specific indicator click on the arrow  at the right hand side of that indicator.

Figure 1. Contextualization as index



The allocation line for indicators

The step "Indicators" provides an indicator allocation line for orientation and navigation. It shows which indicator is displayed below in the data input screen, as well as to which dimension, theme and sub-theme it belongs to.

The squares symbolize indicators. White squares with coloured border are indicators which are selected as relevant, white squares with black border are additional indicators, grey squares are indicators which are deselected. The first layer of lines around the squares connect indicators of one sub-theme with each other. The second layer of lines groups the themes of a dimension. The index number of the current indicator is shown in its square. A "plus"-sign highlights the current indicator in case it is an additional indicator. Above the allocation line the according dimension, theme and sub-theme are displayed.

Figure 2. Allocation line example for the indicator E 5.3.4 Food Loss and Waste Reduction

Move the mouse over the collapsed dimension to see all grouped squares. Pointing with the mouse on one square will show the index number and name of the indicator. Click on the square of the indicator to open it.

Use the buttons [◀ Previous Question](#) and [Next Question ▶](#) above or underneath the indicator input sheet to go back and forth.

Glossary

The glossary is filled in an alphabetical order with the same content as the glossary in the SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0). Click on the button [Glossary](#) and pick the according letter of the word that you want to inquire about. To close the glossary again, click on “Close” in the upper right corner.

Info boxes

Wherever details from the SAFA guidelines (version 3.0) are essential to understand the assessment process a box with additional information can be opened. Click on [INFO ⓘ](#) next to the section for which you need more information to open the info box.

COMPLETING THE ASSESSMENT

Welcome

The step “Welcome” offers information on the process of a SAFA and gives hints on where to find more information. To proceed with the assessment, click on the button “Start a SAFA”, or click in the main navigation on “Mapping”. To open a saved assessment follow the instruction in the section “How to open .XML data files”.

Mapping

Mapping the supply chain will clarify what is being measured, where the sphere of influence and direct control of the enterprise would stop, what the organizational and operational boundaries are and what interactions take place in the production network.




Enter data to mapping

Follow the order of questions and fill them out. Navigate between the questions by scrolling and clicking into the answer fields or by using the tab-cursor.

You can return to the mapping step whenever you want. Note, that there are three fields that require an answer before proceeding with the step “Contextualization” is possible:

- » Year for which data will be collected
- » Name of entity
- » Do you consider the entity assessed a small-scale producer? (See below for details).

There are four types of data that can be entered:

1. Entering text information (): Click into the field underneath the question and start to write the answer.
2. Entering numbers (): Click into the field underneath the question and start to write the answer. Note, that you can only type numbers.
3. Choosing from a drop down (): Click on the field. A list will appear. Choose the correct answer from the list if necessary by scrolling down and click on it.
4. Uploading pictures: For defining the supply chain boundaries the tool offers an upload function for pictures in the format: .jpg, .png, .gif and a size up to 1 MB. To upload a picture click on the field “Upload a picture of the supply chain” or drag and drop the picture into the area in the mapping section. Read the info box for instruction on how



to create a supply chain map or consult the SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0). To remove the picture click on “Remove Image”.

Declaration for “small-scale producer” status and its effect

At the end of the “Mapping” section comes the question “Do you consider the entity assessed a small-scale producer?” which requires an answer. When the box “yes” is checked a further question will appear asking for a justification for the assumption.

When an entity is declared as a small-scale producer, the calculation of the scores include exemptions for the performance indicators of the dimension “Environmental Integrity”. In case that one of these indicators is not answered by the small-scale producer, the related sub-theme will not weight omissions as “unacceptable” scoring. Instead, the option “No data” can be chosen. The answer will be rated as neutral in the calculation of the final result. Read more on the calculation rules in the SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0).

Contextualization

Following the step of detailed mapping and boundary-setting, a wide range of information about the context of the entity has to be collected – meaning their geographic or regional circumstances, such as resource availability, as well as their socio-political circumstances such as labour trends, legal framework and other details.

The SAFA Tool provides the themes, sub-themes and default indicators as defined in the SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0) and the separate document “SAFA Indicators”. The purpose of contextualizing these sub-themes and indicators is to refine the measurements and ratings to be appropriate, based on the circumstances surrounding the entity assessed.

Procedure of Contextualization

First, the decision has to be made if a sub-theme is relevant and, second, the rating steps of the related indicators have to be adjusted to the context of the assessed entity(-ies). As guidance through the step “Contextualization” the tool lists the SAFA dimensions / themes / sub-themes / indicators (see figure 1 in “Navigation Elements”). Some extra information appears by clicking on the different items:

- » Theme (e.g. G1 – Corporate Ethics): a description of the theme goal appears.
- » Sub-theme (e.g. G1.1 – Mission Statement): a description of the sub-theme objective appears.
- » Indicator (e.g. G1.1.1 – Mission Explicitness): the indicator question appears, along with rating conditions.



Figure 3. All extra information opened in the example for indicator G2.1.1 Holistic audits

G2 - Accountability <click> +

Goal

In SAFA, accountability is disclosure of credible information about strategy, goals, performance to those who base their actions and decisions on this information. In SAFA, the sub-themes covered include Holistic Audits; Responsibility; and Transparency.

G 2.1 - Holistic Audits <click> + ✓

Objective

All areas of sustainability in the SAFA dimensions that pertain to the enterprise are monitored internally in an appropriate manner, and wherever possible are reviewed according to recognized sustainability reporting systems.

G 2.1.1 - Holistic Audits <click> →

Question

Does the enterprise use an internationally recognized framework for sustainability reporting such as the Global Reporting Initiative, or is social auditing being used in the enterprise?

Contextualization of Rating

The enterprise has a regular sustainability audit using a recognized tool and evidence that this is reviewed by governance body and peer reviewed. If the enterprise is a small-scale operation, it has used a systematic approach of their own, or with the assistance of an outside partner, to regularly review their sustainability performance.

Deselection of sub-themes

Begin with a review of SAFA's sub-themes, paying careful attention to the sub-theme objectives which describe the sustainability goals that should be achieved in the areas of performance.

In the tool, the deselection is only possible on the level of SAFA sub-themes. The default SAFA indicators cannot be cancelled individually. That means whenever a sub-theme is relevant, all underlying default indicators have to be answered.

Deselect a sub-theme by clicking on the checked box at the right-hand side. The check mark disappears and the related indicators get crossed through automatically. For transparency reasons it is important for third persons to understand why the assessor cancelled the sub-theme. This is why, in case of deselection, the objective of the sub-theme appears in order to recall the sub-theme's importance, and a text field is given to write a justification for the deselection. This justification will be displayed again in the reporting section.

Should the sub-theme be selected again, the previously written justification will be kept in the database; for the sake of future sub-theme reselection, due to either mistake or reconsideration of the sub-theme relevance. The justification can then be reused or modified.

Figure 4. Selection and deselection of sub-themes

E2 - Water

E 2.1 - Water Withdrawal Sub-theme deselected

Objective

Withdrawal of ground and surface water and/or use does not impair the functioning of natural water cycles and ecosystems and human, plant and animal communities.

Reason for deselection

Please, justify.

E 2.2 - Water Quality Sub-theme selected

E 2.2.1 - Clean Water Target

E 2.2.2 - Water Pollution Prevention Practices

E 2.1.3 - Ground and Surface Water Withdrawals (Performance Indicator)

Adding rating definitions to indicators

After clarifying which sub-themes are relevant, the next step of contextualization provides the basis for customizing the metrics in order to determine performance in the intermediate ranges between “best” (dark green) and “unacceptable” (red), that is: good (green), moderate (yellow), orange (limited). The assessor should refer to the local conditions by using studies, expert knowledge, etc. Consult the SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0) for further information.

To add the description of the rating steps to an indicator, click on its name (for example E 3.1.1 – Stakeholder identification). The indicator question and the rating steps appear. The steps “best” and “unacceptable” are already defined and cannot be changed. In some cases, “moderate” is already defined, too. Click into the text field of one rating step after another and write a definition for which this performance rating would be achieved. Afterwards, the field can be minimized by clicking once more on the indicator name.

In case that a sub-theme gets deselected, the already written definition for the related indicator ratings will remain in the database in case the sub-theme was deselected by mistake or the sub-theme gets reselected again in a later stage. The definitions can then be reused or modified.

For the specifics of additional indicators, see “Adding indicators”.

Figure 5. Adding rating definitions in the example of indicator G3.1.1 and indicators for G 3.1

The screenshot displays the SAFA tool interface for configuring indicator G3.1.1 - Stakeholder Identification. The interface is divided into several sections:

- Sidebar:** A tree view on the left shows the hierarchy: G3 - Participation (expanded), G 3.1 - Stakeholder Dialogue (with a '+' icon), and G 3.1.1 - Stakeholder Identification (with a right-pointing arrow icon).
- Question:** A text box containing the question: "Can the enterprise identify all material stakeholders and describe the process by which they were identified?"
- Contextualization of Rating:** A section with three rating levels, each with a colored square and a description:
 - good (green):** The enterprise has a clear commitment to stakeholder engagement and participation. It is able to describe how it identifies stakeholders and how spokespersons are identified and endorsed. It is able to list all stakeholders and identify those who are vulnerable or ordinarily unable to claim their rights.
 - Define the performance that would lead to a yellow rating... (yellow):** A text box for defining the performance for a yellow rating.
 - limited (orange):** A text box for defining the performance for a limited rating.
- Red box (bottom):** A section with a red square and two bullet points:
 - The enterprise is unable or unwilling to describe the process used for identifying stakeholders or the process of identification excludes the most vulnerable and those unable to claim their rights; OR
 - Less than 30% of stakeholders, or less than 50% of stakeholders who are among the most vulnerable and those unable to claim their rights, have been identified.

After defining the rating steps, jump directly to the input screen for the specific indicator by clicking on the arrow ➔ at the right hand side next to the indicator name. This functionality also offers the possibility to use the step “Contextualization” as an indicator index (see “Navigation elements”).

Adding indicators

Whenever it is feasible to add an extra indicator, click on the “+” next to the related sub-theme header (see figure 5). The dialog window “New additional indicator” opens (see figure 6). Define a long title and enter an indicator description. The answer type can either be text, number or percent. Write a question and choose the indicator answer type from the drop down accordingly. The short indicator title will be set automatically as the index number of the sub-theme with the plus symbol as prefix. If you want to add a third number to the index number use the field “indicator long title” and type the number manually.

All indicators in the dimensions Good Governance, Economic Resilience and Social Well-Being will be integrated into the calculation for the sub-theme rating with the weight 1. For the Environmental Integrity dimension it is important to differentiate between three indicator types and choose the according weight from the drop down:

- » Target (T) indicators = 1 point
- » Practice (R) indicators = 2 points
- » Performance (P) indicators = 3 points.

As a last step, add the description of the rating steps for the additional indicator.

The rating steps and all other data can be changed at a later stage by clicking on the indicator name in the contextualization index. Please note that changing the indicator type (text, number or percent) will cause the deletion of any data that had already been collected for this indicator.

Click on “Save” to keep changes to the indicator. Use the button “Cancel” in the upper right corner to discard changes. An indicator can be deleted by (re-)opening it and clicking on “Delete”. All data related to this additional indicator will be lost.


There is no limit for the amount of indicators that can be added.

Figure 6. **New additional indicator for sub-theme E1.1 Greenhouse Gases**

The screenshot shows a web form titled "NEW ADDITIONAL INDICATOR" with "SAVE" and "CANCEL" buttons at the top. The form contains the following fields and sections:

- Indicator Short Title:** A text field with "E 1.1" entered.
- Indicator Long Title (required):** An empty text field with a pencil icon for editing.
- Indicator Description (required):** An empty text field with a pencil icon for editing.
- Indicator Question (required):** An empty text field with a pencil icon for editing.
- Indicator Answer Type:** A dropdown menu currently set to "Text".
- Indicator Weight:** A dropdown menu currently set to "1 Point: Target (T) indicators".
- Rating:** A section titled "Contextualization of Rating" containing five rows, each with a colored square and a text label, and a pencil icon for editing:
 - Green square: best
 - Light green square: good
 - Yellow square: moderate
 - Orange square: limited
 - Red square: unacceptable

Sharing the contextualization of a SAFA

To share only the contextualization of a SAFA fill out the contextualization step and give access to the saved .XML file. In case the contextualization applies to a certain climate or region indicate this in the step “Mapping” in the section for the assessed entity. Save the file by clicking on . Choose a telling name including a date such as “SAFA_contextualization_farming_small-scale_Peru_20131203”.

In whichever way you want to share the .XML data file, do not forget to also provide the link for downloading the SAFA Tool.

Indicators

The step “Indicators” provides an input screen for collecting data from the indicator question answers, defining the accuracy score and rating the indicator performance of the assessed entity on a range from “best” to “unacceptable”. Only those indicators appear in this section that are part of a relevant sub-theme (see “Contextualization”).

Information on data types (e.g. primary and secondary data), possible data resources and collection methodologies, as well as background information on rating, can be found in the info boxes, the “Indicator information” (link next to the heading; not for additional indicators), the SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0) and the separate document “SAFA Indicators”.

Entering data for an indicator

Only one indicator can be displayed at a time. Use the allocation line above the indicator input screen for orientation and navigation (see “Navigation Elements” and Figure 2).

There are two different types of input to the indicator question: quantitative (numbers and percentages) and qualitative (descriptions of practices, targets, performance, etc.). Following the question for the specific indicator, the type of input is indicated. Note, that answering the question is optional. It serves as an aid to memory. There is no change in the rating of the indicator if the data gathering field is not filled out.

After answering the question, decide on the quality of the entered data. Choose one of the different possibilities in the drop-down menu. Depending on the selection, the Accuracy Score will be automatically calculated in the “Reporting” step. Take into account that in case of not selecting the data quality, the lowest accuracy is assumed.

The rating steps are displayed according to the contextualization defined in the previous step “Contextualization”. Select the radio button that corresponds with the rating. The final



result will be calculated automatically in the step “Reporting”. Take into account – as for the Accuracy Score – that any indicator that is not rated will be automatically scored as “unacceptable” (red). That means it is obligatory to rate all indicators that are part of a relevant sub-theme as defined in the step “Contextualization”.

There is an exemption for calculating the final result when an entity is declared in the step “Mapping” as a small-scale producer. In cases where the entity cannot give any information on the performance indicators in the dimension “Environmental Integrity”, the option “No data” can be chosen for rating the indicator. This option will be rated as neutral in the calculation of the final result. Read more on the calculation rules in the SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0).

For all comments concerning critical areas, disclosure issues, data availability, etc. use the optional comment field at the bottom.

Figure 7. Indicator Input Sheet for C 2.1.1

C 2.1.1 - Guarantee of Production Levels

Question

What are the actions and mechanisms that the enterprise has put in place to reduce the negative impact of the risks that could affect meeting the target volume of production and quality standards?

Description of actions and mechanisms

Data quality

Rating

☐

- The enterprise has a plan to guarantee the required volume of production and the compliance with quality standards in the event of facing social, environmental and economic shock; AND
- The enterprise has implemented all mechanisms included in the plan in order to achieve its objectives.

☐

good

☐

moderate


☐

limited

☐

- The enterprise has not developed any plans, nor has identified any mechanism to guarantee the required volume of production and the compliance with quality standards in the event of facing social, environmental and economic shocks; OR
- The enterprise has not advanced in implementing any mechanism to guarantee production and quality levels.

Critical areas, disclosure issues, data availability, etc.

Whenever it is necessary to go back to the contextualization of an indicator, for example, because the description of the rating steps have to be changed, click on the button . The indicator will be opened in the contextualization index with collapsed rating steps (for further information, see “Adding rating definitions to indicators”). For additional indicators, the “Edit additional indicator” screen will be opened.

Reporting

The step “Reporting” creates transparency on a single SAFA assessment result. It is a synthesis based on the information entered, including amongst others:

- » determining factors from the step “Mapping” (assessor, goal of the assessment, description of the enterprise and the assessed entity);
- » list of irrelevant sub-themes justified from the “Contextualization”;
- » the automatically calculated themes’ ratings and accuracy scores visualized in the SAFA sustainability polygon from the “Indicators” step;
- » as well as a list of all relevant indicators with ratings and accuracy scores.

Furthermore, it provides space for the user to describe the assessment process, hotspot issue details and areas for improvement.

Explanation of reporting results

In the sections “Results according to SAFA sustainability polygon” to “Results as complete indicator list”, a range of automatically generated information gives insight on the performance of the assessed entity in the different themes of a SAFA.

The SAFA sustainability polygon provides the rating per theme represented in a spider diagram over a traffic light. The accuracy score per theme is indicated in small squares behind the theme. The legend gives definitions of the ratings. All themes that are rated as “unacceptable” are marked red, or as hot spots. Themes where all sub-themes are deselected are marked grey, as not relevant. The number of deselected sub-themes can be viewed in the box “Omitted Sub-themes”.

In the section “Results per theme, sub-theme and indicator” each theme is displayed in a box coloured according to the related SAFA dimension. Hot spot themes have a red flag. The boxes of those themes where all sub-themes were deselected in the step “Contextualization” are grey.



Figure 8. Example of a SAFA sustainability polygon after completing the step “Indicators”






Figure 9. Selection of themes in the section results per theme, subtheme and indicator



To see more details on the performance of a theme, click on its box. The graph below will jump to the theme displaying the ratings of the indicators and the achieved Accuracy Score at the sub-theme level. Again, red text stands for “hot spot” and grey for “not relevant”. The labels on the right border describe the elements of the graph.

Figure 10. Disaggregated rating of the theme Materials and Energy



The “Result as complete indicators list” shows all relevant and not relevant indicators with accuracy score and rating.

Interpretation options of Report


The questions in the section “Summary and implications by assessor” at the bottom of the report page serve as guidance to recapture the assessment process, evaluate the final results and define measurements to take. Use the polygon and the evaluation graph to go through all themes and understand deficits and potentials. Fill-out this orange box in order to finalize the report.

The section “Comments” can be used to note down any information for own use, towards the verifier (if applicable) and FAO (if desired).

We encourage you to send the final .xml-file to the FAO (e-mail address provided next to comment field for FAO). Sending the .xml-file will allow you to access the SAFA database at a later stage. We will inform you via your provided e-mail address.

Save and print “Reporting”

The reporting can be saved as HTML-file that can be opened by any browser software (which is usually preinstalled) and printed from there.

To save the reporting file click next to the polygon result on the button . The HTML-file will be saved automatically in the application folder (by default “SAFAtool”) with the file name `safa_self_assessment_YYYY-MM-DD_report.html` (for example `safa_self_assessment_2013-12-13_report.html`). YYYY-MM-DD stands for the current year, month and day. Saving will always overwrite any report file of the same day if not renamed.

Comparison of Results

To compare up to three polygons based on the same contextualization (e.g. production, processing and retailing phases of the same supply chain), click on the according button in the “Comparison area” to load the .XML file of the assessment that you want to add. An extra line appears in the polygon. To add a third line click on the second eLoad XML-File” button and load the second .XML file. Refer to the legend to differentiate the lines.

Please note that you should not compare the resulting polygons of SAFA assessments that are based on different contextualizations. Through the contextualization, the baseline



for comparison gets lost. This also applies to future versions of the SAFA tool. .XML files of future versions might not be compatible with the .XML files of the SAFA tool version 2.2.40.

To remove a SAFA result from the polygon click on the “Remove” button.

Usage of results for communication

Please, refer to the SAFA Guidelines (version 3.0) to read more on the communication principle, or open the info box provided in the intro text of the step “Reporting”.





SAFA GUIDELINES

SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT OF
FOOD AND AGRICULTURE SYSTEMS





SAFA TOOL

WWW.FAO.ORG/NR/SUSTAINABILITY/SUSTAINABILITY-ASSESSMENTS-SAFA

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS (FAO)

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla - 00153 Rome, Italy