COMMUNITY STRUCTURE AND BIOMASS OF SEAGRASS BEDS IN THE ANDAMAN SEA. I. MANGROVE-ASSOCIATED SEAGRASS BEDS'

Sombat Poovachiranon and Hansa Chansang Phuket Marine Biological Centre Department of Fisheries, Phuket, Thailand

ABSTRA CT

The Asean-Australia Marine Science Project "Living CoastalResources" includedstudieson Mangrove associatedseagrass beds at 10 stations. Two speciesofseagrasses werefound at almost all transects: Enhalus acoroides (33.4% coverage) and Halophila ovalis (18.2% coverage). Fivespecies occurred sparsely: Thalassia hemprichii, Cymodocea rotundata, Halodule uninervis, Halodulepinifolia and Halophila beccarii. The overall grass coverage was 63%. Thatseagrass biomass rangedfivm 55-1941 g w. w m² corresponding to 32-297g d.w. m². Leafand root-rhizome biomasses of the 7species were estimated Thalassia hemprichiihad the highest above-ground and below-groundbiomass.

1. INTRODUCTION

Seagrasses **are common** in shallow marine waters throughout tropical and temperate regions of the world (den Hartog, 1970; McRoy and Helfferich, 1977; Phillips and McRoy, 1980). However, in a recent 840-page treatise on seagrass biology (edited by Larkum, *et at.*, 1989) only 8% of the pages dealt with tropical seagrasses. This indicates how poorly known these tropical resources are. Recently more information has been published on seagrasses of Southeast Asia and Indo-West Pacific region [Alcala, 1991 (Ed.); Chou and Wilkinson, 1992 (Eds.) and Pollard, *et at.*, 1993 (Eds.)].

Based on estimated below-ground biomass for many seagrasses, Zieman and Wetzel (1980) and Thayer, *et* at. (1984) suggested that roots and rhizomes contributed substantial amounts of organic matter derived from the production of roots and rhizomes of seagrass.

Biomass values of above-ground and below-ground for seagrasses are summarized by Lewis, *et at.* (1985) and Virnstein and Howard (1987). But limited information is

Reprinted with kind permission of the Phuket Marine Biological Centre Research Bulletin, Phuket, Thailand.

122 Community-basedFisheries Management inPhang-nga Bay

available on production and utilization of organic matter originating from roots and rhizomes of seagrass in the Asean region. Similarly, only a few studies on community structure, primary production and biomass of seagrasses have been reported from this region (Estacion and Fortes, 1988; Azkab, 1991; Rollon and Fortes, 1991). Seagrass habitat utilization by groups of animals, such as fishes and crustaceans, was investigated and reviewed by Dolar (1991), Sudara, et al. (1991), and Vergara and Fortes (1991).

Investigations of grass beds of the Andaman Sea were conducted under the ASEAN-Australia cooperative programme on marine science. Three types of seagrass beds have been identified along the Andaman Sea coast of Thailand (Chansang and Poovachiranon, 1994): 1) mangrove-associated seagrass beds; 2) seagrass beds on shallow **sandy** bottom; and 3) seagrass beds associated with coral reefs. This study provides information **on** seagrass species composition, biomass and zonation of mangrove-associated seagrass in the Andaman Sea. The aim is to increase the knowledge of seagrass contributions to coastal **ecosystems in** Thailand.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Site description

The Andaman Sea west coast of Thailand is approximately 740 km long. The shoreline is characterised by mangroves, river mouths and sandy beaches. Fringing reefs are commonly present around islands or rocky outcrops. The climate of the area is under monsoonal influence. There are two dominant seasons, viz., a rainy season dominated by southwest monsoon (May-October) and a dry season when Northeast Monsoon predominates (November to April). The tide is semidiurnal with a range from 1.1-3.2 m. Tide was measured at mean low water (MLW) and mean high water (MHW) at various stations along the Andaman Sea from 1988-1992. Mean sea level is about 2.2 m (calculated from Tide Table of the Hydrographic Department, Royal Thai Navy).

Ten mangrove-associated seagrass beds were studied (Fig. 1). Four sites were in Phuket province: Banthayai (station 2), Banlaemphappha (station 3); Ao-Chalong (station 4) and Thachatchai (station 8); two study sites in Krabi province at Ao-Thalane (station 5) and Ao-Nang (station 6); three sites in Phang-nga province at Banpakklongyid (station 7), Yaoyai-1 Island (station 9) and Ao-Tonong (station 10). One site was in Trang provice at Talibong-1 Island (station 1). The grass beds in Phang-nga province represent the greatest area of mangrove-associated seagrass beds among the 10 stations.



Fig. 1. Mangrove-associated seagrass beds along the Andarnan coast of Thailand. Numbered circles show 10 stations of this study.

124 Community-based Fisheries Management in Phang-nga Bay

2.2 Transect profile and biomasstudy

The line tansect method of Dartnall and Jones (1986) was used for transect profile, percentage seagrass species coverage, and seagrass biomass. Most grass beds were exposed during low ride. Transects started at mangrove edges and ran perpendicular to the shoreline. Transect depth profiles were measured at maximum high tide at 50 m intervals using small buoys placed on the transect during low tide. Mean water depth for each species was obtained by averaging the range of depth distribution at each site. The shore profile was calculated in relation to MHW using time of day and tidal data for the Andamnn Sea.

Four quadrats (50 x 50cm) were sampled at random along the transects (unless otherwise indicated). Leaves, roots and rhizomes were collected by hand inside each quadrat. The samples were rinsed, cleaned of adhering debris and sorted to species. The shoots of each species were weighed and material of the same species combined from the four samples. Fifty shoots from each species were seperated into leaves, rhizomes and roots for biomass study. Each portion was blotted, weighed wet (w.w.), and dried at 30°C to constant weight (d.w.). The above and below ground biomasses were weighed for each station and species. Finally, percentage species coverge and species composition were estimated along transect lines. Intervals for these estimates were selected after visual inspection of the grass bed.

3. RESULTS

3.1 The individual transects

Mangrove-associated grass beds are characterised by relatively narrow bands of grass bed adjacent to mangroves fringing bays or sheltered shorelines. The upper part of a grass bed is intertidal. Sediments are either homogenously composed of very fine to medium sand, or non-homogenous changing along the transect in the offshore direction from very fine sand to fine sand or mud, or from fine sand to coarse sand.

Fig. 2 shows characterstic shore profiles and species composition expressed as the percentage seagrass cover of beds associated with mangrove communities. Each grass bed profile stretched 250-650 m and had different topogaphy (slope) and substratum.

Station 1: 250 m transect. The grass bed started 50 m from the mangrove at 1.5 m depth. The edge of the bed reached a depth of 2.3 m at MHW. The bed was dominated by Enhalus accoroides occurring continously to the end of the seagrass bed. E. accoroides had 70% coverage in the area between 100 and 150 m. Sparse patches of Halophila ovalis mixed with E. accorides occurred at 50 and 200 m. The substrata were fine to very fine sand.

Station 2: 260 m transect. Seagrass covered approximately 60% of the area. The depth varied from 1.8 to 2.0 m in the upper part of the transect (50- 100 m). From 100 m and seawards, the depth increased from 2-4.65 m at the end of transect. The vegetation was composed of mixed stands of *Cymodocea rotundata, Thalassia hemprichii and Halophila ovalis* in the 50-100 m interval. In the area between 100 and 260 m, the beds comprised *Enhalus acoroides, Cymodocea rotundata, Thalassia bemprichii and Halophila ovalis.* These species had coverage of 18.6, 17.9, 15 and 8.5% respectively. Homogeneous, medium sand substratum dominated this grass bed.

Stations 3 and 4: 260-270 m transects. Seagrass beds of these stations were similar in terms of length, slope and species composition. Only one species, *Enbalus acoroides*, had a patchy occurrence in the upper and lower tidal zones at a maximum depth of 2.8 m (station 3). It was infrequent at station 4, where patches occurred at a maximum depth of 2.1 m. Seagrass coverage was 47.4% on very fine sand substratum in the area between 100 and 260 m at station 3. The coverage was 4% on very fine sand to muddy substratum in the area between 130 and 270 m at station 4.

Station 5: 350 m transect. A pure stand of *Halopbila ovalis* stretched across the total transect from shallow water to the maximum depth of 2.3 m. Coverage ranged from 50-90% (mean 79.17%.). The substratum was fine sand.

Station 6: 400 m transect. Seagrass beds extended from the mangrove line and 200 m seawards to a water depth of 2.4 m. Depth increased to 2.5 m in the area between 200 and 400 m. Cymodocea *rotundata* was the most common species (56% coverage) followed **by** *Halophilo ovalis (25%), Thalassia bempricbii* (7%), and *Halodule pinifolia (7%)*. The substratum was fine sand.

Station 7: 470 m transect. *Tbalassia bempricbii* was common in the upper part. Coverage was 20 to 50%. Water depth increased from 1.3 m to 1.8 m in the area between 50 and 200 m. *Tbafassia bempricbii, Halopbila ovalis, Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enbalus acoroides* were found in this area. Depth increased progressively to 4.8 m at MHW over the stretch from 200 m to the end of the transect. Seagrass occurred to the maximum depth of 4.8 m. *Halophih ovalis* grew in a mixed community with *Enhalus acoroides* and *Tbalassia bempricbii*. The coverage of *Halopbila ovalis* ranged from 1 to 40%. The coverage of *Cymodocea rotundata* ranged from 5-1 0% in the area between 70 and 170 m. *Enhalus acoroides* occurred in two areas: one zone between 100 and 120 m; the other one between 270 and 390 m. Coverage ranged from 15-67%. The substratum type changed from medium sand to coarse sand offshore.

Station 8: 500 m transect. Seagrasses were moderately abundant. Depth increased from 1.5-2.4 m in the area between 50 and 200 m, but decreased again to 1.8 m at 250 m, after which it increased to 2.8 m at 400 m. The depth decreased again at the outer edge of





Figure 2. Mangrove-associated seagrass beds along the Andaman Sea coastShore profiles of 10 seagrass beds (left) and percentage cover (right). The following abbrivations has been used to show the percentage cover of individual species: $\blacksquare = Cymodocea \ rotundata$, $\square = Enhalus \ acoroides$, $\blacksquare = Halophila \ beccarii$, $\blacksquare \ Halophila \ ovalis$, $\blacksquare = Halodule \ pinifolia$, $Hu = Halodule \ uninervis$, and $\blacksquare = Thalassia \ hemprichii$.

1 28 Community-basedFisheries Management in Phang-nga Bay

the seagrass bed. Patches of *Enhalus acoroia'es* and *Halophila ovalis* were distributed throughout the area. Patches of *Thalassia hemprichii* occurred in areas between 130 m and 150 m. The coverage varied accordingly: *Enhalus acoroides (15-60%), Halophila ovalis* (10-40%), and *Thalassia hemprichii* (5-10%). Substratum was hornogenous, medium sand.

Station 9: 640 m transect. Water depth increased gradually from 1.0 m to 3.0 m along the stretch from 50 m to the edge of the seagrass bed. In the upper tidal zone, approximately at 20-50 m, *Holophila beccarii* (40% coverage) occurred together with *Halophila ovalis*. Otherwise, the bed comprked abundant *Halophila ovalis* (10-95% coverage) until 350 m. *Halophila ovalis Thalassia hemprichii, Enhalus acoroia'es* and *Halodule uninervis* were found in the area between 150 and 200 in. Further seawards, Enhalus acoroides became more abundant (20-90% coverage). Infrequent patches of *Enhalus acoroides* were noted further seawards from the outer edge of this seagrass bed. Substratum was a mixture of fine sand and very fine sand.

Station 10: 850 in transect. Depth increased from 1.5 in (at 50 in) to 3.3 in at the outer edge of the bed. A monospecific stand of *Enhalus acoroides* (73% coverage) began at 400 m and stretched to the end of the grass bed. However, a sparse stand of *Halophila ovalis* (1% coverage) occurred in the area between 600 and 650 in. Substratum was coarse sand in the upper intertidal and changed to medium sand in the upper subtidal zone.

3.2 Species coverage

The overall seagrass coverage was 62%, leaving 38% of the area without vegetation. *Enhalus acoroides* was most abundant (34% coverage). *Halophila ovalis* covered 17% of the total area of grass beds while *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Thalassia hemprichii* covered 4 and 6%, respectively. The 3 species *Halophila beccarii, Halodulepinifoloa,* and *Halodule uninervis* were rare in the mangrove-associated seagrass beds and covered 0.8, 0.7, and 0.2%, respectively.

3.3 Distribution of seagrasses and water depth

Chansang and Poovachiranon (1994) showed that depth distribution of seagrass species was correlated with secchi-depth for all types of grass beds in the Andaman Sea. *Halophila beccarii* was only found close to the mangrove edge *in* the upper tidal zone at a depth of \perp m (Fig. 3). The following 7 species occurred to the end of individual transects: *Halophila ovalis* and *Enhalus acoroides* from the intertidal zone to >4.0 in depth (sparse stands of Halophila ovalis at a maximum depth of 4.8 m). E. acoroides formed dense meadows in deeper water (maximum depth 4.7 m) but patches occurred in the intertidal zone. Patchy stands of *Halodule uninervis* occurred in the lower tidal zone (1.9 in). This species was not found deeper than 2.1 in. *Halodule pinifolia* occurred in shallow water at 2.4 m

water depth. It was only found at one site (station 6). Cymodocea rotundata and Thalassia hemprichii were abundant in shallow water (maximum depth 3.3 m).

3.4 Mean total biomass

The lowest mean total biomass was 557 g w.w. m^2 (Station5), and the highest one was 1941 g w.w. m^{-2} (Station 10) corresponding to 31.6 and 296.7 g d.w. m^{-2} , respectively (Fig. 4A). The mean biomass at station 4 was 247.3 g d.w. m^{-2} , while stations 7, 3, 9 and 2 had 105, 109.9, 132.1 and 172.3 g d.w. m^2 respectively. The remaining sites had mean biomasses less than 100 g d.w. m^2 , viz., station 5, 1, 6 and 8 with 31.6, 77.4, 97 and 97.3 g d.w. m^2 , respectively. Grass beds with high biomass were dominated by Enhalus acoroides which occurred in abundance at station 10 (Fig. 2). E. acoroides is the largest seagrass species recorded in the Indo-Pacific. Low biomass was found when small Halophila ovalis occurred in a pure stand, e.g., at station 5.

3.5 Comparison of above-ground and below-ground biomass

Fig. 4 B and C show the mean total biomass per unit area of above-ground and below-ground biomass. Individual species of seagrass are listed in Table 1 which shows the mean biomass per unit area of leaves (above-ground biomass), roots, and rhizomes (below-ground biomass). The relative proportions of leaves, roots and rhizomes were calculated for individual species and grouped into the following three categories:

1. Relatively high above-ground, and low below-ground biomass

Halophila ovafis (total biomass 25.08gd.w. m⁻²). A relatively high above-ground biomass (56%). Rhizomes comprised 28%.



Fig. 3. Mangrove-associatedet scagrass beds along the Andaman Sea coast. Encountered species of seagrass as a function of mean depth. Bars indicate depth range. Cr = Cymoducen rotundata, Ea = Enhalus acoroides, Hh = Halophila beccarii, Ho = Halophila ovalis, Hp = Halodule pinifolia, Hu = Halodule uninervis, and Th = Thalassia hemprichii.

130 Community-based Fisheries Management in Phang-nga Bay

2. Relatively low above-ground, and high below-ground biomass

Halodule pinifolia (total biomass 26.03 g d.w. m²) 22% above-ground biomass. Rhizomes dominated the below-ground biomass (48%). Enhalus acoroides (total biomass 136.62 g d.w. m²) 29% above-ground biomass. This species had the highest rhizome biomass (64%). Halodule uninervis (total biomass 2.97 g d.w. m²) 36% above-ground biomass. Rhizomes dominated the below-ground biomass (39%). Halophila beccarii (total biomass 13.67 g d.w. m²) 40% above-ground biomass. Rhizomes comprised 44 % of the total biomass.

3. Above-ground biomass relatively similar to below-ground biomass

Thahssia hemprichii (total biomass 239.77 gd w m^2) 46% above-ground biomass. Below-ground biomass was dominated by roots (39%). This was in contrast to the other seagrasses where root biomass was low, relative to the biomass of rhizomes. Cymodocea roturdata (total biomass 94.2 g d.w. m²) 47% above-ground biomass. Rhizomes comprised 37%.

3.6 Shoot number

Fig. 4D shows the mean shoot number estimated per unit area for individual species of seagrass. The pure stand of **Halophila oval is** at station 5 had a very high mean shoot number. Very low shoot densities were found at stations 3, 4, and 10 which comprised pure stands of Enhalus acoroides.

Table 1. Biomass(g d.w. m²) and ratio of leaf, root and rhizome of the species.

Species	Biomass (g d.w. m ²)			Ratio
	Leaf	Root	Rhizome	Leaf: Root: Rhizome
Cymodocea ro tundata	44.00	15.55	34.65	3:1:2
Enhalus acorodies	56.75	13.97	125.90	4:1:3
Halophila becrarii	5.44	2.16	6.07	3:1:3
Halophila ovalis	13.91	4.06	7.11	3: 1:2
Halodule pinifolia	5.72	7.8 1	12.50	1:1:2
Halodule uninervis	1.07	0.73	1.17	1:1
Thalassia hemprichii	105.8	94.49	40.00	3:2:1



Fig. 4. Mangrove-associated seagrassbeds along the Andaman Sea coast. Estimates at station 1-10 (A) Mean total biomass \pm SD (gd.w. m²). (B) Mean above-ground biomass \pm SD (gd.w. m²). (C) Mean below-ground biomass \pm SD (gd.w. m²). (D) Mean shoot number m2.

4. DISCUSSION

Depth distribution of seagrass species is limited by light availability (Fig. 3). Seagrass was only found in shallow (usually turbid) water adjacent to mangroves in the Andaman Sea. In comparison, beds were found at water depths >10 m along the north east Australian coast between Cape York and Hervey Bay (Lee Long, et *al.*, 1993). This discrepancy is presumably due to differences in water transparency.

The present study showed sediment conditions ranging from mud to coarse sand. However, the muddy substratum at station 4 may reflect environmental disturbance since the site was located in a bay which was dredged for tin ore in 1980- 198 1. The coverage and biomass of seagrasses were also very low at this station. Pethpiroon (pers. comm.) visited the site during 1974-1975 and found luxurious growth of seagrass in that area. Apart from this station, most seagrasses were found in fine to medium sand substrata despite being adjacent to mangrove areas. *Enhalus acoroides* was the most common species in mangrove associated grass beds (Fig. 2). It occurred in 8 of 10 stations and had the highest coverage (34%). *Halophili ovalis* ranked second. It was found in 7 of 10 stations (16.5% coverage). These two species also had the widest range of depth distribution (Fig. 3) which implies that they can tolerate exposure at low tide.

The temperate seagrass *Zostera marina* displays considerable variation in above-ground (l-100 g d.w. m²) and below-ground (5-1600 g d.w. m²) biomasses. This wide range is caused by variation in environmental parameters as well as different sampling methods and design (Thayer, *et al.*, 1984; Kenworthy and Thayer, 1984). Total biomass of seagrass ranged from 31.6 to 296.7 g d.w. m² in this study. The maximum biomass was low compared to Indonesia, where biomass ranged from 236.8 to 676 g d.w. m² (Azkab, 1991), and other parts of Asia with 2.1 to 862.7 g d.w. m² (Kiswara, 1992).

Above-ground mean biomass of *Enhalus acoroides* ranged from 23 to 86 g d.w. m^2 in this study (stations 3 and 10), which was similar to other studies in the region. Fortes (1992) found leaf biomass to be 10.60-85.23 g d.w. m^2 in *Enhalus acoroides*, and 0.18-25.41 gd.w.m² in *Tbalassia hemprichii*. Coles, et al. (1989) reported maximum above-ground biomass of 158.3 g d.w. m^2 in the Gulf of Carpentaria, and 99.6 g d.w. m^2 between Cape York and Cairns.

With the exception of *Tbalassia bempricbii*, below-ground portion weighed more than the above than above-ground portion. It was especially pronounced in *Enhalus acoroides* and *Halodule spp.* which were the most common species. Thus the below-ground biomass constitutes a significant pool of organic matter which can contribute to nutrient cycles in the sediment.

In most of our surveys, variability was higher with leaf standing crop than with roots and rhizomes. We speculate that this is related to grazing, physical damage, non-lethal human disturbance, and that leaves have faster turnover rate than roots and rhizomes.

Thalassia hemprichii communities showed an epiphyte contribution of about 37% of the total annual mean net production (seagrass plus epiphyte) at one site, and 19% at another one in Papua New Guinea (Heijis, 1984). In comparison, only small amounts of macroalgae and epiphytes were observed at seagrass beds in the Andaman Sea. We are unable to explain this difference. Further studies are needed on environmental parameters and ecological factors, such as the presence of grazers.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Staff of PMBC is thanked for assistance during field surveys and in laboratory. This work was supported by the ASEAN-Australia Economic Cooperative Programme in Marine Science, Project II: Living Resources in Coastal Areas. Excellent cooperation with the National Environmental Board of Thailand is gratefully acknowledged. We appreciate the assistance and comments by Dr. Mark S. Fonseca, Dr. D.W. Klumpp, Dr. D.M. Dexter, and Professor Jorgen Hylleberg.

6. REFERENCES

- AIcala, A.(Ed). 133 1. Proceedings of the Regional Symposium on Living Resources in Coastal Areas. Marine Science Institute, University of the Philippines, Quezon City, Philippines. pp. 333-385.
- Azkab, M.H. 199 1. Study on seagrass community structure and biomass in the southern part of Seribu Islands. In: A.C. Alcala (Ed.). Proceedings of the Regional Symposium on Living Resources in Coastal Areas, 30 January- 1Februaty 1989, Manila, Philippines. Marine Science Institute, Universityofthe Philippines, Quezon City, Philippines. pp. 353-362.
- Chansang, H. and S. Poovachiranon. 1994. The distribution and species composition of seagrass bed along the Andaman Sea coast of Thailand. Phuket Marine Biological Center Research Bulletin 59: 43-52.
- Chou, L.M. and CR. Wilkinson (Eds.). 1992. Third ASEAN Science and Technology Week Conference Proceedings. Marine Science: Living Coastal Resources. Department of Zoology, National University of Singapore and National Science and Technology Board, Singapore. Vol. 6: 223-3 17.
- Coles, R.G., I.R. Poiner, and H. Kirkman. 1989. Regional studies Seagrasses of North-Eastern Australia. In: Larkum, A.W.D., A.J. McComb and S.A. Shepherd (Eds.). Biology of Seagrasses. A treatise on the biology of seagrass with special reference to the Australian region, pp. 261-278.

134 Community-base fisheries Management in Phang-nga Bay

- Dartnall, A.J. and M. Jones (Eds.). 1986. A manual of survey methods: living resources in coastal areas. ASEAN-Australia Cooperative Program on Marine Science Handbook. Australian Institute of Marine Science Townsville. 167p.
- den Hartog, C. 1970. The seagrasses of the world. North-Holland Publishing Amsterdam. 275p.
- Dolar, M.L.L. 199 1. A survey on the fish and crustacean fauna of the seagrass bed in north Bais Bay, Negros Oriental, Philippines. In: A.C. Alcala (Ed.). Proceedings of the Regional Symposium on Living Resources in Coastal Areas, 30 January-I February 1989, Manila, Philippines. Marine Science Institute, University of the Philippines, Quezon City, Philippines. pp. 367-377.
- Estacion, J.S. and M.D. Fortes. 1988. Growth rates and primary production *of Enhalusacoroiles* (L.F.) Royle from Lag-it, North Bais Bay, Philippines. Aquatic Botany 29:347-356.
- Fortes, M.D. 1992. Comparative study ofstructure and productivity ofseagrass communities in the ASEAN region. In: Chou, L.M. and C.R. Wilkinson (Eds.). Third ASEAN Science and Technology Week Conference Proceedings. Marine Science: Living Coastal Resources. Department of Zoology, National University of Singapore and National Science and Technology Board, Singapore 6: 223-228.
- Heijs, F.M.L. 1984. Annual biomass and production of epiphytes in three monospecific seagrass communities of *Thahssia hemprichii* (Ehrenb.) Aschers. Aquatic Botany29: 195-218.
- Kenworthy, W.J. and G.W. Thayer. 1984. Production and decomposition of the roots and rhizomes of seagrass, *Zostera marina* and *Thalassia testudinum*, in temperate and subtropical marine ecosystems. Bulletin of Marine Science 35(3): 364-379.
- Kiswara, W. 1992. Community structure and biomass distribution of seagrasses at Banten Bay, West Java-Indonesia. In: Chou, L.M. and C.R. Wilkinson (Eds.).Third ASEAN Science and Technology Week Conference Proceedings. Marine Science: Living Coastal Resources. Department of Zoology, National University of Singapore and National Science and Technology Board, Singapore 6: 24 1- 250.
- Larkum, A.W.D., A.J. McComb, and S.A. Shepherd (Eds.). 1989. Biology of Seagrasses. A Treatise on the Biology of seagrasses with Special Reference to the Australian Region. Elsevier, Amsterdam. 840
- Lee Long,WJ., J.E. Mellors and RG. Coles. 1993. Seagrasses between Cape York and Hervey Bay, Queensland, Australia. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 44: 19-31.
- Lewis III, R.R., M.J. Durako, M.D. Moffler, and R.C. Phillips. 1985. Seagrass meadows of Tampa Bay: A Review. In: S.F.Treat, J.L. Simon, R.R. Lewis III and R.L. Whitman (Eds.). Proceedings Tampa Bay area Scientific Information Symposium. Florida Sea Grant College., Report No. 65, pp. 21 O-246.
- McRoy, C.P. and C. Helfferich. 1977. Seagrass ecosystems, a scientific perspective. Marcel Dekker, New York. **314** p.

- Philips, R.C. and C.P. McRoy. 1980. Handbook of seagrass biology, an ecosystem perspective. Garland STPM Press, New York. 353 p.
- Pollard, PC., I. Kioke; H. Mukai and A. Robertson (Eds.). 1993. Tropical Seagrass Ecosystems; Structure and Dynamics in the Indo-West Pacific. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 44: 233 p.
- Rollon, R.N. and M.D. Fortes. 1991. Structural affinities ofseagrass communities in the Philippines. In: A.C. Alcala (Ed.). Proceedings of the Regional Symposium on Living Resources in Coastal Areas, 30 January-I February 1989, Manila, Philippines. Marine Science Institute, University of the Philippines, Quezon City, Philippines. pp. 333-346.
- Sudara, S., S. Nateekanjanalarp, T. Tamrongnawasawat, S. Satumanatpan and W. Chindonnirat. 1991. Survey offauna associated with the seagrass community in Aow Krabane, Chanthaburi, Thailand. In:-A.C. Alcala (Ed.). Proceedings of the Regional Symposium on Living Resources in Coastal Areas, 30 January-1 February 1989, Manila, Philippines. Marine Science Institute, University of the Philippines, Quezon City, Philippines. pp.347-352.
- Thayer, G.W., W.J. Kenworthy and MS. Fonseca. 1984. The ecology of eelgrass meadows along the Atlantic coastal of North America: A community profile. U.S. Fish wildlife Serv., Biol. Serv. Program FMS/ OBS. 147 p.
- Vergara, S.G. and M.D. Fortes. 199 1. A survey ofichthyofauna from five seagrass sites in the Philippines. In: A.C. Alcala (Ed.). Proceedings of the Regional Symposium on Living Resources in Coastal Areas, 30 January-I February 1989, Manila, Philippines. Marine Science Institute, Universityof the Philippines, Quezon Citv, Philippines. pp. 385-396.
- Virnstein, R. W. and R. K. Howard. 1987. Motile epifauna of marine macrophytes in the Indian River Lagoon, Florida. II. Comparisons between drift algae and three species of seagrass. Bulletin of Marine Science 41(1): 13-26.
- Zieman, J.C. and R. G. Wetzel. 1980. Methods and rates of productivity in seagrasses. In: R C Phillips and C.P. Mcroy, (Eds). Handbook of seagrass biology, an ecosystem perspective. Garland STPM Press, New York, pp. 87-116.