

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

Launching

REMOTE SENSING DETERMINATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION

Algorithms, strengths and weaknesses, uncertainty and best fit-for-purpose



Evapotranspiration (ET)

- keystone climate variable linking the water, energy and carbon cycles
- represents nearly always the largest share of water outflow (consumption) from agricultural and other vegetated lands

Quantifying ET in space and time is therefore extremely relevant for several purposes in water resources management from national water accounting all the way to farm irrigation





Satellite remote sensing (RS) represents the most suitable method to capture the large variability in ET over extensive areas and over time

Though, the determination of **ET** through **RS** comes with several challenges, while ample and diversified **RS** models and platforms are provided

Users concerns with operational issues, including: appropriate spatial and temporal scales for given applications; accuracy; criteria for selecting **RS ET** data sources that best fits a given purpose; testing and validation of RS ET data

Objective of this publication is addressing these challenges and users' concerns



Two major enterprises undertaken:

- a series of 25 webinars
- the present publication (based on the webinars outcomes + further insights + enriched literature review >220 refs)

Lead Authors

Pasquale Steduto	Former FAO Chief of Water Service and Delivery Manager of Regional Initiative on Water Scarcity for the Near East and North Africa
Richard G. Allen	University of Idaho Kimberly, Idaho, USA
Ayse Kilic	University of Nebraska- Lincoln Lincoln, Nebraska, USA

Contributing Authors

P	Martha C. Anderson	USDA Agricultural Research Service - Hydrology and Remote Sensing Laboratory Beltsville, Mariland, USA
2-	Wim Bastiaanssen	IrriWatch Maurik, The Netherlands
	Chandrashekhar Biradar	ICARDA (International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas) Cairo, Egypt
0	Arnaud <u>Caiserman</u>	University of Central Asia Khorog-Dushanbe, Tajikistan
	Richard <u>Crago</u>	Bucknell University Lewisburg, Pennsylvania, USA
0	Mohamed Abdalla El-Sheikh	FAO-SD (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations—Country Office of Sudan) Khartoum, Sudan



grum eLEAF Wageningen, The Netherlands IWMI (International Water Management Institute) Colombo District Western Sri
IWMI (International Water Rebelo Management Institute) Colombo District Western Sri
Colombo District Western Sri
Lanka
USGS Earth Resources
enay Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado, USA
AOAD
haier (Arab organization for
Agricultural Development) Khartoum, Sudan
eiman University of Jordan Amman, Jordan
- asumi University of Miyazaki Miyazaki Japan
Wu Chinese Academy of Science Beijing, China



Content

Introduction





- The necessity to enter the new era of RS-ET
- Challenges
- How to respond

Background on the RS-ET Models











- Theory
- Strengths
- Weakness

(b) Two-source model



The RS-ET Models

- **SEBAL** (Surface Energy Balance Algorithm for Land)
- **METRIC** (Mapping ET at high Resolution with Internalized Calibration)



ALEXI - DisALEXI (Atmosphere-Land Exchange Inverse)

SSEBop (Simplified Surface Energy Balance – Operational)

PT-JPL (Priestley-Taylor from Jet Propulsion Lab)

ETLook ETMonitor ETWatch









RS ET models are then compared

- Distinctive *features* and peculiarities (e.g., strengths, weakness, sensitivities)
- Output *spatial* and *temporal scales* (10, 30, 70, 375, 1000 m; 1, 2-5, 8 days)
- Input variables (e.g., LST, NDVI, albedo, cloud cover; elevation; wind ; etc.)
- Satellite data source (e.g., Landsat, VIIRS, MODIS, ECOSTRESS, Sentinel, etc.)
- Major applications (e.g., national water accounting, district water balance; on-farm irrigation; etc.)



RS ET Databases/Portals

FEWSN (USGS Famine Early Warning Systems Network, with ET data from SSEBop)

WaPOR (The FAO Water Productivity Open-access portal, with ET data from ETLook)

GloDET (Global Daily ET; with ET data from ALEXI)







EEFlux (Earth Engine ET Flux; with ET data from METRIC)

OpenET (with ET data from an ensemble of models, currently including SEBAL, METRIC, SSEBop, ALEXI-DisALEXI, PT-JPL and SIMS)



OPEN = T



RS ET database/portals are then compared

- Minimum spatial and temporal resolutions (30, 100, 250, 1000 m; 1, 10 days)
- Geographical coverage (e.g., by project, basin, regional, continental, etc.)
- Latency (e.g., 2-3 days, etc.)
- Major *use* (e.g., drought monitoring, national water accounting, water management; irrigation; water productivity; etc.)



Some Field Applications

Experience from the NENA Region

Iran with the use of SEBAL and METRIC
Jordan with the use of SEBAL
Syria with the use of WaPOR
Tunisia with the use of ALEXI





(Satellite Irrigation Management Support)





Uncertainty Review on RS ET Determination

Methods addressing uncertainty analysis

Overview of the RS ET Models' uncertainty

Ways of inimizing errors







Different sources of error affecting the RS ET models:

- cloudiness and contamination of the atmosphere
- variability of the underlying land surface
- wind patterns
- vapor pressure of the atmosphere
- uncertainty of surface roughness
- atmospheric stability
- propagation and compounding of uncertainties due to computational procedures such as data fusion, data sharpening, could masking, gap-filling and time integration/interpolation.
- others (e.g., calibration of parameters)

Chapter on the acceptable limits of uncertainty depending on purpose



Prospects for the near future



Towards higher spatial, temporal and spectral resolutions, and higher accuracy

ECOsystem Spaceborne Thermal Radiometer Experiment on Space Station



Sentinel Satellite Constellation







Concluding Remarks

The purpose for which the ET data are going to be used is the primary determinant in the selection of a suitable RS ET models and Platforms (>> scales; one/two-sources; acceptable uncertainty, etc.)

In turn, the assumptions, theory and data sources will be the further determinants in the selection of a suitable RS ET models and Platforms (>> representation of local conditions; exposure to errors, etc.)

The likelihood to obtain accurate ET with any RS model is with mostly uniform landscape (e.g., flat and extensive field crops) and fairly even meteorological conditions (e.g., clear sky, moderate wind, etc.)

The likelihood to obtain accurate ET is reduced with irregular landscape (e.g., variable elevation, slope, aspect, vegetation cover) and substantially uneven meteorological conditions (e.g., cloudiness, fluctuating wind speed, etc.). The ET accuracy will depend on the specific model and how well it is able to capture the local conditions (uncertainty varies in space and time)



- It is part of the research nature to describe and project models in the most positive ways and present comparisons that show the most promising results
- Though, estimation of error and bias is imperative to the acceptance and adoption of remotely derived estimates of ET into operational practice
- Therefore, a way to proceed is to always perform some tests, comparing model and/or platform ET data with some 'references' (e.g., trusted field measurements of ET; inter-comparison with higher-standard models, etc.), considering that these 'references' have in turn their own limitations
- We need to appreciate that the complexity of the systems we are dealing with cannot be a justification for not becoming more aware of such RS technologies, their benefits, challenges, and limitations
- We cannot proceed blindly into the decision-making process involving the sustainable management of such a precious resource as water



The new missions and technology of satellites are unfolding at a rapid pace so that RS applications are broadening, accuracy is increasing, and spectral, temporal and spatial resolutions are becoming more granular

Thus, the future of RS ET determination appears to be bright, offering continuously new opportunities

It is upon the user to move on these opportunities wisely



The Publication can be downloaded at the following links www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc8150en http://www.fao.org/3/cc8150en/cc8150en.pdf https://doi.org/10.4060/cc8150en

Recording of the Webinar series can be found at the following links https://www.fao.org/in-action/water-efficiency-nena/webinars/rs-et/es/

Visit the "FAO \rightarrow Publications" or the "Water Scarcity Initiative" web sites



Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



MILIN



THANK YOU

