

CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION

E



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



World Health
Organization

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org

CL 2023/47-FA
April 2023

TO: Codex Contact Points
Contact Points of international organizations having observer status with Codex

FROM: Secretariat, Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme,
Codex Alimentarius Commission,
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla,
00153 Rome, Italy

SUBJECT: Request for information and comments on the priority list of substances proposed for
evaluation by JECFA

DEADLINE: 15 January 2024

COMMENTS: **To:** Secretariat
Codex Committee on Food Additives
China National Center for Food Safety Risk
Assessment (CFSA),
Building 2, No. 37 Guangqu Road, Chaoyang
District, Beijing 100022, China, E-mail:
ccfa@cfsa.net.cn

Copies to: Secretariat
Codex Alimentarius Commission
Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards
Programme
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla
00153 Rome, Italy
E-mail: codex@fao.org

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND COMMENTS

1. Members and Observers are invited to: i) provide comments on substances already included in the priority list of substances proposed for evaluation by JECFA, ii) submit information on new substances for inclusion in the priority list; and/or iii) confirm previous requests and data availability.
2. Information and comments should be submitted on the basis of the following attached Annexes to this Circular Letter:
 - 2.1 For submitting information on new substances, refer to:
 - Annex 1** - Criteria for the inclusion of substances in the priority list;
 - Annex 2** - Form for the submission of substances to be evaluated by JECFA.
 - 2.2 For information of the priority list, to which comments may be made, refer to:
 - Annex 3** - Priority list of substances proposed for evaluation by JECFA, forwarded to FAO and WHO for their follow-up;
 - 2.3 To confirm previous requests, refer to:
 - Annex 4** - Confirmation of previous requests and data availability.
3. Information and comments, submitted in response to this Circular Letter, will be considered at the 54th Session of the Codex Committee on Food Additives.

CRITERIA FOR THE INCLUSION OF SUBSTANCES IN THE PRIORITY LIST

(Codex Procedural Manual – *Risk Analysis Principles applied by the Codex Committee on Food Additives*)

The Codex Committee on Food Additives (CCFA) shall consider the following when preparing its priority list of substances for JECFA review:

- Consumer protection from the point of view of health and prevention of unfair trade practices;
- CCFA's Terms of Reference;
- JECFA's Terms of Reference;
- The Codex Alimentarius Commission's Strategic Plan, its relevant plans of work and *Criteria for the Establishment of Work Priorities*;
- The quality, quantity, adequacy, and availability of data pertinent to performing a risk assessment, including data from developing countries;
- The prospect of completing the work in a reasonable period of time;
- The diversity of national legislation and any apparent impediments to international trade;
- The impact on international trade (i.e. magnitude of the problem in international trade);
- The needs and concerns of developing countries; and,
- Work already undertaken by other international organizations.

FORM FOR THE SUBMISSION OF SUBSTANCES TO BE EVALUATED BY JECFA

In completing this form, only brief information is required. The form may be retyped if more space is needed under any one heading provided that the general format is maintained.

Name of Substance(s):	
Question(s) to be answered by JECFA <i>(Provide a brief justification of the request in case of re-evaluations)</i>	

1. Proposal for inclusion submitted by:
2. Name of substance; trade name(s); chemical name(s), IUPAC name, C.A.S number (as applicable):
3. Names and addresses of basic producers:
4. Identification of the manufacturer that will be providing data (Please indicate contact person):
5. Justification for use:
6. Food products and food categories within the GSFA in which the substance is used as a food additive or as an ingredient, including use level(s):
7. Is the substance currently used in food that is legally traded in more than one country? (please identify the countries); or, has the substance been approved for use in food in one or more country? (please identify the country(ies))
8. Are you aware of any current impediments in international trade due to lack of a JECFA evaluation and/or Codex standard? If so, please provide details.
9. Are you aware of risk assessments, either on-going or completed within the last 10 years, at a national or regional level for this additive? If so, please provide the name, address and contact details of the organization having performed the risk assessment.
10. Please provide details if this food additive is of particular relevance to the livelihood and food safety in developing countries
11. Please indicate the type of data that are available in the table below.

Ensure that the available data are directly relevant to the substance of interest in this request. In particular, for substances obtained from natural resources, characterization of the products in commerce and a relevant set of biochemical and toxicological data on such products are essential for JECFA to develop a specifications monograph and the related safety. Such data/information typically include: components of interest; all components of the final products; detailed manufacturing process; possible carryover of substances; etc.

	Data available? (Y/N)
Toxicological data	
(i) Metabolic and pharmacokinetic studies (please specify)	
(ii) Short-term toxicity, long-term toxicity/carcinogenicity, reproductive toxicity, and developmental toxicity studies in animals and genotoxicity studies (please specify)	
(iii) Epidemiological and/or clinical studies and special considerations (please specify)	
(iv) Other data (please specify)	
Technological data	
(i) Specifications for the identity and purity of the listed substances (specifications applied during development and toxicological studies; proposed specifications for commerce)	
(ii) Technological and nutritional considerations relating to the manufacture and use of the listed substance	
Dietary exposure assessment data	
(i) Levels of the listed substance used in food or expected to be used in food based on technological function and the range of foods in which they are used	
(ii) Estimation of dietary exposures based on food consumption data for foods in which the substance may be used.	
Other information: (please specify)	

12. Specify earliest date when data can be made available to JECFA. (Data shall only be submitted in response to a JECFA call for data; **do NOT include any data intended for JECFA to this form.**)

Annex 3

(Appendix XI of REP23/FA)

PRIORITY LIST OF SUBSTANCES PROPOSED FOR EVALUATION BY JECFA

(Substances subject to JECFA's call for data and for which no further data are required, have been removed from the Priority List)

PART A: LIST OF SUBSTANCES USED AS FOOD ADDITIVES PROPOSED FOR EVALUATION BY JECFA

No.	Substance(s)	General information	Comments about the request	Priority*
1	ADIPATES	<p>Type of request: Exposure assessment Proposed by: JECFA Supported by: CCFA53 Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: N/A</p>	<p>Basis for request: Provisions for ADIPATES in the step process of the GSFA were circulated for comment by the electronic working group (EWG) on the GSFA and discussed by the GSFA PWG at CCFA48. During that discussion it was noted that the JECFA risk assessment for Adipates was conducted in 1966 and no exposure assessment was conducted by JECFA at that time (see FA/48 CRD2).</p> <p>As a result, CCFA48 requested the Codex Secretariat issue a circular letter (CL 2016/9-FA) requesting information on use level in specific food categories be provided to the JECFA Secretariat for the purpose of exposure assessment (REP 16/FA para 59). Replies to CL 2016/9-FA were compiled in CX/FA 17/49/8, FA/49 CRD12 and FA/49 CRD19.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	1
2	Ascorbyl palmitate (INS 304)	<p>Type of request: Re-evaluation of safety, notably to address consumption by infants under 12 weeks of age Proposed by: CCNFSDU Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider: ISDI secretariat@isdi.org</p>	<p>Basis for request: CCNFSDU43 agreed that the use of ascorbyl palmitate (INS 304) as an antioxidant at 1 mg/100 mL in all types of formula covered by CXS 72-1981 was technologically justified.</p> <p>However, the additive has no adequate risk assessment by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) for infants under the age of 12 weeks. Prior to endorsement, an adequate safety evaluation in this sub-population is necessary.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	1
3	Acesulfame (INS 950), Saccharins (INS 954(i)-(iv)), Amaranth (INS 123), Annatto extracts,	<p>Type of request: Re-evaluation of exposure Proposed by: CCFA52 Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: Not applicable</p>	<p>Basis for request: Based on CRD2 of CCFA52, Recommendation 27, the JECFA has been asked the following questions:</p> <p>The WG requests that the WG on the JECFA Priority List to CCFA52 consider inclusion of the following request into the Priority List of Substances proposed for</p>	1

	norbixin based (INS 160b(ii))	Data provider: Not applicable	<p>evaluation by JECFA: Part 1: CCFA requests JECFA to comment on and discuss the following questions regarding the refined Budget Method and tiered-intake assessment approach presented by ICBA:</p> <p>a. Is the approach proposed by ICBA scientifically sound? How conservative is the dietary exposure assessment presented when applied to the sweeteners Acesulfame potassium (INS 950), Saccharins (INS 954(i)-(iv)), and the colours Amaranth (INS 123) and Annatto extracts, norbixin based (INS 160b(ii))?</p> <p>b. How appropriate is it to apply multiple refinement parameters (such as market share, the percentage of products containing the substance, etc.) into a Budget Method calculation?</p> <p>c. Are there any limitations, uncertainties, and applicability of the approach proposed by ICBA that CCFA should be made aware of?</p> <p>d. Is the approach presented by ICBA suitable for determining dietary exposure to colors and sweeteners in non-milk beverages for the purpose of comparing against the JECFA ADI to determine if a proposed maximum use level is safe?</p> <p>e. Is it appropriate for CCFA to use dietary exposure estimates provided for non-milk beverages from the refined Budget Method and the tiered-intake assessments as presented by ICBA to determine maximum use levels for sweeteners in GSFA Food Category 14.1.4 and 14.1.5, and colors in GSFA Food Category 14.1.4, to determine that the exposure would be below the established JECFA ADI?</p> <p>Part 2: CCFA requests that JECFA perform a dietary exposure estimate for Acesulfame potassium (INS 950) in food categories 14.1.4 and 14.1.5, and Saccharins (INS 954(i)-(iv)), Amaranth (INS 123), and Annatto norbixin, based (INS 160b(ii)) in food category 14.1.4 to verify whether the max use levels under consideration do not result in an exceedance of the ADI in the context of overall exposure from all uses of the additive in the diet. While in general, lower levels of the food additives will be used, the proposed maximum levels are 600 mg/kg for Acesulfame potassium (INS 950) in food categories 14.1.4 and 14.1.5 and 300 mg/kg (“on a sodium saccharin basis”) for Saccharins (INS 954(i)-(iv)), 100 mg/kg for Amaranth (INS 123) and 50 mg/kg (“on a norbixin basis”) for Annatto norbixin, based (INS 160b(ii)) in food category 14.1.4. A proposal has been made to reduce the use level for Saccharins (INS 954(i)-(iv)) to 230 mg/kg in food category 14.1.4. Any comments from JECFA on the safety of these maximum use levels would be helpful.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	
4	Bentonite (INS 558)	<p>Type of request: Establishment of specifications (lead) Proposed by: CCFA52 Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: to be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider: to be confirmed at CCFA54</p>	<p>Basis for request: In view of the <i>Code of Practice for the Prevention and Reduction of Lead Contamination in foods (CXC 56-2004)</i>, the CCCF14 recommended that the JECFA:</p> <p>i. review the lead specifications for diatomaceous earth and activated carbon and</p> <p>evaluate available data to support development of a lead specification for bentonite.</p>	3
5	Beta-apo-8'-	Type of request: Exposure assessment	Basis for request: considering Recommendation 6 of CRD 2 to	1

	carotenal (INS 160e) and beta-carotenes (INS 160a(i), 160a(ii), 160a(iii), 160a(iv))	<p>Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: December 2025 Data provider: ongoing discussion with JECFA</p>	<p>CCFA53. It was proposed by the JECFA Secretariat to consider a re-evaluation of Exposure, in particular due to the discrepancies in information on use levels in food categories of the GSFA and use levels provided to JECFA in previous assessments.</p> <p>The CCFA is seeking clear information on exposure for beta-apo-8'-carotenal and also BETA-CAROTENES separately, to be able to apply appropriate risk management strategies.</p> <p>The JECFA Secretariat indicated a willingness to consider the needs of the CCFA in the course of re-evaluating the exposure of these substances.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	
6	Black carrot extract (INS 163(vi))	<p>Type of request: Data pending – characterization and toxicological information Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: December 2027 (earliest) Data provider: NATCOL secretariat@natcol.org</p>	<p>Basis for request: JECFA prepared tentative specifications for black carrot extract as the powder form, at its 87th meeting. However, JECFA could not conclude on its safety or establish specifications. Additional characterization and toxicological data are required, namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. data regarding full characterization of the protein, carbohydrate, lipid, fibre, mineral and non-anthocyanin polyphenol components in five lots each of the liquid and powder forms of black carrot extract; and ii. at least a 90-day toxicological study on a well-characterized extract representative of the material in commerce. <p>ii. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	2
7	Butterfly Pea Flower Extract	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: IACM Supported by: Canada Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: December 2021 Data provider: IACM Sarah Codrea scodrea@iacmcolor.org</p>	<p>Basis for request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications for use as a colour.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	2

		Sensient Colors LLC Sue Ann McAvoy Sueann.macavoy@sensient.com		
8	Carob bean gum (INS 410)	Type of request: Data pending – toxicological data from studies on neonatal animals, adequate to evaluate the safety for use in infant formulas Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2016 (CCFA48) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: ISDI secretariat@isdi.org	Basis for request: Although no confirmation was provided for carob bean gum (INS 410), JECFA indicated that there was ongoing discussion with industry and that the deadline for the submission of data could be extended and therefore carob bean gum was retained on the JECFA priority list subject to confirmation of provision of data by CCFA50. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified	1
9	Diocetyl sodium sulfosuccinate (INS 480)	Type of request: Exposure assessment Proposed by: CCFA51 Year requested: 2019 (CCFA51) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: ICBA	Basis for request: The Physical Working Group on GSFA discussed exposure to this food additive, some members noted that exposure of a small child could exceed the ADI. One observer noted that they had performed a budget calculation and that the calculation could be made available upon request. The WG agreed to request JECFA review the calculation, to be submitted by the observer, as well as other exposure information that maybe available.	1
10	Flavouring substances (16 newly proposed and 88 previously submitted for safety evaluation, and 18 for revised specification; see Annex 2)	Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: International Organization of the Flavour Industry (IOFI) Supported by: United States of America Year requested: 2019 to 2023 (CCFA51 to CCFA53) Data availability: December 2021 Data provider: IOFI Sean V. Taylor, Ph.D. staylor@vertosolutions.net	Basis for request: Safety assessment or re-assessment, and establishment of specifications or revision of specifications, as applicable <i>Refer to tables of flavourings in Annex 2</i> Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified	Not applicable
	Flavouring agents: (+)Carvone (no. 380.1) and (-)-Carvone (No. 380.2)	Type of request: Data pending to finalize exposure assessment and revise the JECFA specifications Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2019 (CCFA51)	Basis for request: (see JECFA86 report or Table 2 of CX/FA 19/51/3) Additional data are required to complete the exposure assessment:	Not applicable

		<p>Data availability: December 2019 Data provider: Japan and IOFI codex@mext.go.jp</p> <p>Sean V. Taylor, Ph.D. staylor@vertosolutions.net</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (+)-carvone: data on the oral exposure from all sources; • (-)-carvone: data on the oral exposure from all sources and toxicological data. <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	
	<p>Flavouring agents:(Ethyl 2-methyl pentanoate (No.214), cis-3-Hexen-1-ol (No.315), Menthol (No.427), l-Menthyl l-lactate (No.433), Myrcene (No.1327), Maltol (No.1480), 2-pentylfuran (No.1491), 3-(2-Furyl)acrolein (No.1497), 3-(5-Methyl-2-furyl)-butanal (No.1500), 2-Furyl methyl ketone (No.1503), 3-Acetyl-2,5-dimethylfuran (No.1506), (2-Furyl)-2-propanone (No.1508), 4-(2-furyl)-3-buten-2-one (No.1511), and Furfuryl methyl ether (No.1520))</p>	<p>Type of request: revise the JECFA specifications Proposed by: CCFA 51 Year requested: 2019 (CCFA51) Data availability: April 2019 Data provider: Japan and IOFI codex@mext.go.jp</p> <p>Sean V. Taylor, Ph.D. staylor@vertosolutions.net</p>	<p>Basis for request: (see CX/FA 19/51/4 add.2) Requests reconsideration of the specifications for 16 flavouring agents that were considered at the 86th JECFA meeting (listed in either Annex 1 or Annex 2 of CX/FA 19/51/4) due to introduced gaps between the JECFA specification (some items therein) and the commercially available products for each compound.</p>	Not applicable
11	Gardenia blue (INS 165)	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: Japan Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: Gardenia Blue Interest Group (GBIG) San-Ei Gen F.F.I., Inc. (Representative</p>	<p>Basis for request: Gardenia blue is a colour intended to add or restore colour to food. In doing so it will impart blue, green, purple, or brown colours to foods, thus improving the organoleptic properties of those foods, which are otherwise uncoloured or the colour of which has been affected by processing and requires restoration. The proposed maximum use levels are based on the amount of colouring technologically required to achieve the desired effect in the different foods and</p>	2

		<p>organizer)</p> <p>Minoru Iniwa E-mail: minoru-iniwa@saneigenffi.co.jp Phone: +81-6-6333-0521</p> <p>Masayuki Nishino E-mail: mnisino@saneigenffi.co.jp Phone: +81-6-6333-0521</p> <p>Riken Vitamin Co., Ltd. (Organizer) Nobuo Dotsu</p> <p>Glico Nutrition Co., Ltd. (Organizer) Teruhisa Okabe</p>	<p>are set out in detail in the reply to CL 2021/81-FA.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	
12	Gellan gum, low-acyl clarified	<p>Type of request: Establishment of specifications Proposed by: CCNFSDU Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: EUSFI</p>	<p>Basis for request: CCNFSDU43 agreed that the proposed use of low-acyl clarified gellan gum as a thickener and stabilizer in formulas for special medical purposes intended for infants at 5 mg/100 mL limited to hydrolysed protein and/or amino acid-based liquid formula is technologically justified.</p> <p>CCNFSDU43 also agreed to request that CCFA consider including the food additive in the GSFA food category 13.1.3 “Formulae for special medical purposes for infants” once the specifications for the food additive had been assigned as “full”, noting the on-going CCFA work on alignment of the food additive provisions in CXS 72-1981 with the GSFA as well as the “tentative” specification status for this food additive.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	2
13	Glycolipids	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: IFAC Supported by: USA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: Lanxess Deutschland GmbH, Kennedyplatz 1, D- 50569 Cologne, Germany Dr. Andrea Bosse, Senior Regulatory Affairs Manager (andrea.bosse@lanxess.com)</p>	<p>Basis for request: Glycolipids enhance the quality of beverages and help ensure product safety through antimicrobial preservation. Glycolipids can prevent the deterioration of beverages caused by spoilage microorganisms, thus extending shelf-life and reducing food waste.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: Countries which refer to JECFA/Codex for national food additive provisions, including countries in Africa, the Asia-Pacific region, the Gulf Cooperation Council, and Latin and South America do not permit products containing glycolipids at present.</p>	2

14	Natamycin (INS 235)	<p>Type of request: Re-evaluation of safety and revision of specifications Proposed by: Russian Federation Year requested: 2017 (CCFA49) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: Russian Federation Codex Contact Point (codex@gse.ru)</p>	<p>Basis for request: The appropriateness of retaining natamycin in the GSFA should be re-evaluated, due to to emerging data on natamycin's role in: (i) promoting antimicrobial resistance, as well as speeding up virulence and pathogenic potential of food-borne human pathogens; and (ii) unbalancing the immunity and other bodily functions due to effects on gastrointestinal microflora. It is suggested that previous evaluations were specific to chemical toxicology and did not adequately take into account antimicrobial effects. Comments in opposition to the request note that the antimicrobial effects against a variety of Gram-positive bacteria and their spores are important in maintaining product shelf-life and ensuring food safety.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	1
	Nisin (INS 234)	<p>Type of request: Re-evaluation of safety and revision of specifications Proposed by: Russian Federation Year requested: 2017 (CCFA49) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: Russian Federation Codex Contact Point (codex@gse.ru)</p>	<p>Basis for request: The appropriateness of retaining nisin in the GSFA should be re-evaluated, due to to emerging data on nisin role in: (i) promoting antimicrobial resistance, as well as speeding up virulence and pathogenic potential of food-borne human pathogens; and (ii) unbalancing the immunity and other bodily functions due to effects on gastrointestinal microflora. It is suggested that previous evaluations were specific to chemical toxicology and did not adequately take into account antimicrobial effects. Comments in opposition to the request note that the antimicrobial effects against a variety of Gram-positive bacteria and their spores are important in maintaining product shelf-life and ensuring food safety.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	1
15	Phosphates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sodium dihydrogen phosphate (INS 339(i)) • Disodium hydrogen phosphate (INS 339(ii)) 	<p>Type of request: Re-evaluation of safety: consumption by infants under 12 weeks of age Proposed by: CCNFSDU Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: December 2025 Data provider: ISDI Secretariat@isdi.org</p>	<p>Basis for request: CCNFSDU43 agreed that the use of phosphates (INS 339(i), 339(ii) and 339(iii) and INS 340(i), 340(ii) and 340(iii)) as acidity regulators at 45 mg/100 mL as phosphorus singly or in combination and within the limits for sodium, potassium and phosphorus in section 3.1.3 (e) of CXS 72-1981 in all types of formula was technologically justified.</p> <p>However, the additives have no adequate risk assessments by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) for infants under the age of 12 weeks. Prior to</p>	1

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trisodium phosphate (INS 339(iii)) • Potassium dihydrogen phosphate (INS 340(i)) • Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate (INS 340 (iii)) <p>Tripotassium phosphate (INS 340(iii))</p>		<p>endorsement, an adequate safety evaluation in this sub-population is necessary.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	
16	Polyglycerol esters of fatty acids (INS 475)	<p>Type of request: The completeness of the information for safety assessment Proposed by: CCFA51 Year requested: 2019 (CCFA51) Data availability: December 2021 Data provider: EUSFI</p>	<p>Basis for request: The Physical Working Group on GSFA of CCFA 51 noted that there may be new information available which could raise the ADI of this food additive, request for eventual re-evaluation and a potential increase in the ADI.</p>	3
17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Polyglycerol Esters of Interesterified Ricinoleic Acid (INS 476) 	<p>Type of request Re-evaluation of safety Proposed by: FoodDrinkEurope Supported by: Colombia; European Union Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: December 2021 Data provider: None identified – basis for re-evaluation subject to available data evaluated in EFSA 2017 re-evaluation</p>	<p>Basis for request: In 2017, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has re-evaluated polyglycerol polyricinoleate (E 476) as a food additive, and considered that the available dataset give reason to revise the ADI of 7.5 mg/kg bw per day allocated by Scientific Committee for Foods (SCF) in 1978, to a new ADI of 25 mg/kg bw per day.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	1
18	<p>Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate (INS 432), Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monooleate (INS 433), Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan</p>	<p>Type of request: Re-evaluation of safety Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: EUSFI</p>	<p>Basis for request: JECFA noted during its 89th meeting that five polyoxyethylene sorbitan esters (polysorbates) were evaluated by JECFA at its 17th meeting, and specifications were established. JECFA recommends that a new call for data be issued for their full evaluation.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	1

	monopalmitate (INS 434), Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monostearate (INS 435), Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan tristearate (INS 436)			
19	Rosemary extract (INS 392)	<p>Type of request Data pending – studies required for (1) the developmental toxicity of rosemary extract; and (2) determining whether the effects noted on rodent pup thyroid hormone levels can be replicated.</p> <p>Proposed by: JECFA</p> <p>Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52)</p> <p>Data availability: December 2023</p> <p>Data provider: EUSFI</p>	<p>Basis for request: Additional studies on developmental toxicity and on noted effects on rodent pup thyroid hormone levels are required to complete the evaluation. <u>JECFA requests a deadline of data submission by December 2021 for the additional data, or its ADI will be withdrawn.</u></p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	1
20	Silicon Dioxide, Amorphous (INS 551)	<p>Type of request: Safety re-evaluation of Silicon Dioxide, Amorphous (INS 551), including toxicological evaluation, exposure assessment, and specifications</p> <p>Proposed by: IFAC</p> <p>Supported by: USA</p> <p>Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53)</p> <p>Data availability: December 2023</p> <p>Data provider: IFAC</p> <p>Association of Synthetic Amorphous Silica Producers (ASASP), a Cefic Sector Group Caroline Andersson, CAN@cefic.be</p> <p>Synthetic Amorphous Silica and Silicate Industry Association (SASSI) Joel F. Carpenter joel.f.carpenter@gmail.com</p>	<p>Basis for request: Silicon dioxide (INS 551) is permitted in a variety of Food Categories as an anticaking agent, antifoaming agent, and carrier. INS 551 provides anti-caking properties to prevent lumping of powdery foodstuffs. INS 551 also serves as a carrier to assist in the handling and applications of for use in food additives, food enzymes, flavorings, and nutrients.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: Questions regarding the particle size of silicon dioxide have affected the evaluation of the available toxicity data. Similar questions for titanium dioxide led to the withdrawal of its food additive approvals in several jurisdictions. The resulting trade disruptions are cited as significant basis for JECFA's current prioritization of its safety re-evaluation of titanium dioxide (see Replies to CL 2021/61-FA at the 52nd Session of the Codex Committee on Food Additives).</p>	1
21	Sorbitan monostearate (INS	<p>Type of request: Safety re-evaluation and revision of specifications</p>	<p>Basis for request: Previously, a request was made to revise the specifications for</p>	1

	491); Sorbitan tristearate (INS 492); Sorbitan monolaurate (INS 493), Sorbitan monooleate (INS 494); Sorbitan monopalmitate (INS 495)	Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: EUSFI	INS 491, 492 and 495 to replace the congealing range identification method as reported in the JECFA monographs for INS 491, 492 and 495 with the identification test “acid value, iodine value, gas chromatography”. However, JECFA recommends that a call for data be issued to conduct a safety re-evaluation of the group Sorbitan esters of fatty acids (INS 491 to 495). The specifications for the group can be revised pending the outcome of the safety re-evaluation. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified	
22	Steviol glycosides	Type of request: Safety evaluation Proposed by: ISC Supported by: USA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: Brendan Naulty, Chief Commercial Officer, ManusBio Inc.1762 Lovers Lane Augusta, GA. 30901 The manufacturer is represented by: Maria Teresa Scardigli, Executive Director International Stevia Council Global Office-Avenue de Tervuren 188A-1150 Brussels Belgium	Basis for request: Enzyme modified steviol glycosides (typically termed bioconversion) were evaluated at the JECFA 87th meeting (2019). The specifications generated included several methods of manufacture in Appendix3. The specification outlined the acceptable enzyme production organism and the gene source. A similar method of manufacture has been developed to produce enzyme modified steviol glycosides using 1. Alternative sources for the genes to modify the E coli to manufacture the enzymes that transform a stevia extract product to Rebaudiside M and 2. An additional enzyme. The additional manufacturing method is requested for evaluation. The novel enzyme modification production process results in an identical specification and as a result, no changes to the steviol glycoside specifications are requested or to the food categories or use levels. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified.	3
23	Sucroglycerides (INS 474)	Type of request: exposure assessment Proposed by: CCFA 51 Year requested: 2019 (CCFA51) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider: To be confirmed at CCFA54	Basis for request: During the discussion on the use of this food additive in FC 05.1.4, one member country concern that the proposed use would result in exposures which exceed the ADI, the physical Working Group on GSFA of CCFA51 to request for exposure assessment.	1
24	Sucrose esters of fatty acids (INS 473)	Type of request: Data pending - exposure assessment Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: Japan codex@next.go.jp	Basis for request: During the discussion on the use of this food additive in FC 05.1.4, one member country concern that the proposed use would result in exposures which exceed the ADI, the physical Working Group on GSFA of CCFA51 to request for exposure assessment. At the 89 th JECFA meeting, JECFA considered that more refined dietary exposures should be provided. Specifically, JECFA recommends that sponsors provide information on:	1

			<p>i. typical or mean and high use levels for foods in which the food additives are used; and</p> <p>ii. foods (or food categories) in which the use of SEFs and/or SOEs is permitted but in which they are never used.</p> <p>The information should be as specific as possible, and the foods should be classified according to the FoodEx2 classification system, or another appropriate system. JECFA recommends that the data should be presented in tabular format by mapping the foods recorded in both the FoodEx2 to the GSFA food categories. This exercise can improve mapping consistency for all meetings. <u>Given the extent of the request for information, the JECFA proposes that the data be available 2 years after the date of confirmation.</u></p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	
25	Sucrose oligoesters type I and type II (INS 473a)	<p>Type of request: Data pending - exposure assessment</p> <p>Proposed by: JECFA</p> <p>Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52)</p> <p>Data availability: December 2023</p> <p>Data provider: Japan codex@mext.go.jp</p>	<p>Basis for request: During the discussion on the use of this food additive in FC 05.1.4, one member country concern that the proposed use would result in exposures which exceed the ADI, the physical Working Group on GSFA of CCFA51 to request for exposure assessment.</p> <p>At the 89th JECFA meeting, JECFA considered that more refined dietary exposures should be provided. Specifically, JECFA recommends that sponsors provide information on:</p> <p>i. typical or mean and high use levels for foods in which the food additives are used; and</p> <p>ii. foods (or food categories) in which the use of SEFs and/or SOEs is permitted but in which they are never used.</p> <p>The information should be as specific as possible, and the foods should be classified according to the FoodEx2 classification system, or another appropriate system. JECFA recommends that the data should be presented in tabular format by mapping the foods recorded in both the FoodEx2 to the GSFA food categories. This exercise can improve mapping consistency for all meetings. Given the extent of the request for information, the JECFA proposes that the data be available 2 years after the date of confirmation.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	1
26	Tocopherol concentrate, mixed (INS307b)	<p>Type of request: Re-evaluation of safety: consumption by infants under 12 weeks of age</p>	<p>Basis for request: CCNFSDU43 agreed that the use of tocopherol concentrate, mixed (INS 307b) as an antioxidant at 1 mg/100 mL in all types of infant formula covered by CXS 72-</p>	1

		<p>Proposed by: CCNFSDU Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider: To be confirmed at CCFA54</p>	<p>1981 was technologically justified.</p> <p>However, the additive has no adequate risk assessment by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) for infants under the age of 12 weeks. Prior to endorsement, an adequate safety evaluation in this sub-population is necessary. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	
27	THAUMATIN II	<p>Type of request: Safety evaluation Proposed by: CCC Supported by: Colombia; United States of America Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: December 2021 Data provider: NOMAD Bioscience GmbH Jurijus (Yuri) Gleba, Ph.D gleba@nomadbioscience.com</p> <p>Centre for regulatory Services Inc. Kristi O. Smedley, Ph.D. smedley@cfr-services.com</p> <p>DT/Consulting Group Daniel Tusé, Ph.D. daniel@dt-cg.com</p> <p>Calorie Control Council Robert Rankin rrankin@caloriecontrol.org</p>	<p>Basis for request: THAUMATIN II protein is a non-caloric natural sweetener and flavor enhancer produced recombinantly in green plants by NOMAD Bioscience. The vast majority of commercially available thaumatin is extracted from <i>Thaumatococcus daniellii</i> trees, which are not cultivated. Natural thaumatin mixtures are obtained by extraction of the aryls of the tree's fruit, which are harvested in the wild. Unpredictable supply and environmental concerns regarding current production practices have limited the expanded use of thaumatin, especially as sweeteners. NOMAD's manufacturing process does not deplete natural resources and can be scaled to meet increasing demand for thaumatin. THAUMATIN II is NOMAD Bioscience's single thaumatin-family protein produced recombinantly in green plants such as spinach, lettuce, red beet and <i>Nicotiana benthamiana</i>; all of which can be cultivated sustainably and in large scale. NOMAD's production process yields THAUMATIN II with the identical amino acid sequence as the thaumatin II (also referred to as thaumatin 2 or thaumatin B in the literature) in commercial products. NOMAD's process yields a highly pure product that meets the existing specifications and includes some trace impurities that have been demonstrated to be safe at the levels present. NOMAD requests an opinion from JEFCA with respect to the possibility of modifying the definition and expanding the specification of the current thaumatin compositions to also include the specification of THAUMATIN II.</p> <p>Although thaumatin II (thaumatin 2) is a component of thaumatin mixtures approved for marketing in the EU and is encompassed by the specification of E957, the process used by NOMAD for manufacturing THAUMATIN II recombinantly is different than the process employed to produce E957, albeit the thaumatin 2/THAUMATIN II proteins responsible for functionality are identical. The different processes yield thaumatin 2/II with</p>	2

			<p>different impurity profiles. NOMAD's product (THAUMATIN II and its associated impurities) has received GRAS classification by US FDA and is considered safe for use in all food classes defined for E957 and at the same rates of application (GRN 738). Thaumatin produced recombinantly has not been evaluated by EFSA. As such, it is NOMAD Bioscience's intent to seek review by JECFA of NOMAD's specification and safety determination, so that other regulatory jurisdictions can rely on this assessment</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

* Priority ranking in accordance with REP18/FA, paragraph 156.

PART B: LIST OF SUBSTANCES USED AS PROCESSING AIDS PROPOSED FOR EVALUATION BY JECFA

No	Substance(s)	General information	Comments about the request
1.	Activated carbon (activated charcoal)	<p>Type of request: Revision of specifications (lead)</p> <p>Proposed by: CCFA52</p> <p>Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52)</p> <p>Data availability: to be confirmed at CCFA54</p> <p>Data provider: to be confirmed at CCFA54</p>	<p>Basis for request: In view of the <i>Code of Practice for the Prevention and Reduction of Lead Contamination in foods (CXC 56-2004)</i>, the CCCF14 recommended that the JECFA:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> review the lead specifications for diatomaceous earth and activated carbon and evaluate available data to support development of a lead specification for bentonite. <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
2.	Adenosine-5'-monophosphate deaminase from <i>Aspergillus oryzae</i>	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications</p> <p>Proposed by: Japan</p> <p>Year requested: 2018 (CCFA50)</p> <p>Data availability: December 2018</p> <p>Data provider: Shin Nihon Chemical Co., Ltd. Dr. Ashley Roberts (ashley.roberts@intertek.com)</p>	<p>Basis for request: AMP deaminase from <i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> is intended for use during food and beverage processing to increase the content of 5'-monophosphate (5'-IMP) in food, beverages or food ingredients to impart or enhance flavour.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
3.	Alpha-Amylase (JECFA95-1) from <i>Geobacillus stearothermophilus</i> expressed in <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<p>Type of request: Data pending to complete evaluation – Evaluation by JECFA95</p> <p>Proposed by: JECFA</p> <p>Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53)</p> <p>Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54</p> <p>Data provider:</p>	<p>Basis for request: The 95th JECFA established a temporary ADI “not specified” for α-amylase (JECFA95-1) from <i>G. stearothermophilus</i> expressed in <i>B. licheniformis</i>, when used in the applications specified, at the levels of use specified and in accordance with current GMP. This ADI “not specified” was made temporary because of the tentative nature of the specifications.</p>

			<p>The 95th JECFA requested the following information, by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • validated method of analysis to determine α-amylase activity, including the validation report; • unit definition for α-amylase activity based on the method of assay; and • analytical data using the validated method for at least five different batches of commercially available products. <p>Note the JECFA request for technical information by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
4.	Alpha-Amylase (JECFA95-2) from <i>Geobacillus stearothermophilus</i> expressed in <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<p>Type of request: Data pending to complete evaluation – Evaluation by JECFA95 Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider:</p>	<p>Basis for request: The 95th JECFA established a temporary ADI “not specified” for α-amylase (JECFA95-2) from <i>G. stearothermophilus</i> expressed in <i>B. licheniformis</i>, when used in the applications specified, at the levels of use specified and in accordance with current GMP. This ADI “not specified” was made temporary because of the tentative nature of the specifications.</p> <p>The 95th JECFA requested the following information, by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • validated method of analysis to determine α-amylase activity, including the validation report; • unit definition for α-amylase activity based on the method of assay; and • analytical data using the validated method for at least five different batches of commercially available products. <p>Note the JECFA request for technical information by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
5.	Alpha-amylase (JECFA95-3) from <i>Rhizomucor pusillus</i> expressed in <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	<p>Type of request: Data pending to complete evaluation – Evaluation by JECFA95 Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54</p>	<p>Basis for request: The 95th JECFA established a temporary ADI “not specified” for α-amylase (JECFA95-3) from <i>R. pusillus</i> expressed in <i>A. niger</i>, when used in the applications specified, at the levels of use specified and in accordance with current GMP. This ADI “not specified” was made temporary because of</p>

		Data provider:	<p>the tentative nature of the specifications. The 95th JECFA requested the following information, by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • validated method of analysis to determine α-amylase activity, including the validation report; • unit definition for α-amylase activity based on the method of assay; and • analytical data using the validated method for at least five different batches of commercially available products. <p>Note the JECFA request for technical information by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
6.	Amyloglucosidase (JECFA95-4) from <i>Rasamsonia emersonii</i> expressed in <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	<p>Type of request: Data pending to complete evaluation – Evaluation by JECFA95 Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider:</p>	<p>Basis for request: The 95th JECFA established a temporary ADI “not specified” for α-amylase (JECFA95-3) from <i>R. pusillus</i> expressed in <i>A. niger</i>, when used in the applications specified, at the levels of use specified and in accordance with current GMP. This ADI “not specified” was made temporary because of the tentative nature of the specifications.</p> <p>The 95th JECFA requested the following information, by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • digestibility data in order to complete the allergenicity assessment; • validated method of analysis to determine amyloglucosidase activity, including the validation report; • unit definition for amyloglucosidase activity based on the method of assay; and • analytical data using the validated method for at least five different batches of commercially available products. <p>Note the JECFA request for technical information by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
7.	Asparaginase (JECFA-95-5) from <i>Pyrococcus furiosus</i> expressed in <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	<p>Type of request: Data pending to complete evaluation – Evaluation by JECFA95 Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider:</p>	<p>Basis for request: The 95th JECFA established a temporary ADI “not specified” for α-amylase (JECFA95-3) from <i>R. pusillus</i> expressed in <i>A. niger</i>, when used in the applications specified, at the levels of use specified and in accordance with current GMP. This ADI “not specified” was made temporary because of the tentative nature of the specifications.</p>

			<p>The 95th JECFA requested the following information, by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • validated method of analysis to determine alpha-amylase activity, including the validation report; • unit definition for alpha-amylase activity based on the method of assay; and • analytical data using the validated method for at least five different batches of commercially available products. <p>Note the JECFA request for technical information by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
8.	Protease from <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i>	<p>Type of request: Safety evaluation when used as processing aid and establishment of specifications Proposed by: Japan Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: December 2023 Data provider: Atsushi Kawahara (Quality Assurance Dept. General Manager) E-mail: akawahara@hbi-enzymes.com Tel: +81-790-64-1201; Fax: +81-790-64-1202</p>	<p>Basis for request: Neutral Protease may be of benefit in the processing of all foods raw materials which naturally contain proteins. By decomposing the protein contained in the raw material, it is effective in the production of bread, infant formula, beer, malt beverages, and spirits with an alcohol content of 15% or more. It is also used to add flavor to soups and broths, sauces and like products and ready-to-eat savouries with protein digests such as yeast extract.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
9.	Beta-Amylase (JECFA95-6) from <i>Bacillus flexus</i> expressed in <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<p>Type of request: Data pending to complete evaluation – Evaluation by JECFA95 Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider:</p>	<p>Basis for request: The 95th JECFA established a temporary ADI “not specified” for beta-amylase (JECFA95-6) from <i>B. flexus</i> expressed in <i>B. licheniformis</i>, when used in the applications specified, at the levels of use specified and in accordance with current GMP. This ADI “not specified” was made temporary because of the tentative nature of the specifications.</p> <p>The 95th JECFA requested the following information, by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • validated method of analysis to determine beta-amylase activity, including the validation report; • unit definition for beta-amylase activity based on the method of assay; and • analytical data using the validated method for at least five different batches of commercially available products. <p>Note the JECFA request for technical information by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>

10.	Chymosin from <i>Camelus dromedaries</i> expressed in <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: European Union Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: December 2021 Data provider: Chr-Hansen A/S Christina Westphal Christensen dkchwe@chr-hansen.com</p>	<p>Basis for request: The chymosin catalyze the hydrolysis, at a very particular site in the amino acid chain, of κ-casein - the main protein in milk. This is the absolute first key step in all cheese-making, through which the liquid milk is coagulated (precipitated) and converted to a semi-solid form by the catalytic action of coagulants, such as chymosin. Therefore, the most important production process in which chymosin is used is the production of cheese. Moreover, chymosin can be used in the production of fermented milk products, where it can be used to increase the viscosity of the preparation. Quarg (quark) is an example of fermented milk product in which coagulants, like chymosins, are used to increase the final viscosity of the product. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
11.	Diatomaceous earth	<p>Type of request: Revision of specifications (lead) Proposed by: CCFA52 Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: to be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider: to be confirmed at CCFA54</p>	<p>Basis for request: In view of the <i>Code of Practice for the Prevention and Reduction of Lead Contamination in foods (CXC 56-2004)</i>, the CCCF14 recommended that the JECFA:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. review the lead specifications for diatomaceous earth and activated carbon and ii. evaluate available data to support development of a lead specification for bentonite. <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
12.	Endo-1,4- β -xylanase from <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> produced by <i>B. subtilis</i> LMG S-28356	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: European Union Year requested: 2016 (CCFA48) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: Puratos NV Mr. Olivier Maigret omaigret@puratos.com</p>	<p>Basis for request: The enzyme catalyzes the conversion of arabinoxylan into arabinoxylan oligosaccharides, providing technological benefits in baking. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
13.	Endo-1,4- β -xylanase from <i>Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis</i> produced by <i>B. subtilis</i> , strain LMG S-24584	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: European Union Year requested: 2017 (CCFA49) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: Puratos NV Mr. Olivier Maigret omaigret@puratos.com</p>	<p>Basis for request: The enzyme catalyzes the conversion of arabinoxylan into arabinoxylan oligosaccharides, providing technological benefits in baking. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>

14.	Endo-1,4- β -xylanase from <i>Thermotoga maritima</i> produced by <i>B. subtilis</i> , strain LMG S-27588	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: European Union Year requested: 2017 (CCFA49) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: Puratos NV Mr. Olivier Maigret (omaigret@puratos.com)</p>	<p>Basis for request: The enzyme catalyzes the conversion of arabinoxylan into arabinoxylan oligosaccharides, providing technological benefits in baking. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
15.	Glutaminase from <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: Japan Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52) Data availability: December 2021 Data provider: Nobuo Okado, Shin Nihon Chemical Co., Ltd. c/o: Intertek Shahzad Tafazoli, MAsc (Eng.), MSc, PhD +1 905 542-2900 ext. 0268</p>	<p>Basis for request: The enzyme catalyzes the conversion L-glutamine to L-glutamate, and is used in the manufacture of glutamic acid-rich yeast extracts and glutamic acid-rich protein hydrolysates. These, in turn, are added to other foods, including beverages, to impart savoury or umami taste. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
16.	Inulinase from <i>Aspergillus ficuum</i> produced by <i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> , strain MUCL 44346	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: European Union Year requested: 2017 (CCFA49) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: Puratos NV Mr. Olivier Maigret (omaigret@puratos.com)</p>	<p>Basis for request: The enzyme catalyzes the hydrolysis of inulin to produce fructo-oligosaccharides, theoretically from all food materials that naturally contain inulin. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
17.	Lactase from <i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i> expressed in <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: European Union Year requested: 2017 (CCFA49) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: Novozymes A/S Mr. Peter Hvass (phva@novozymes.com)</p>	<p>Basis for request: The lactase enzyme preparation is used as a processing aid during food manufacture for hydrolysis of lactose during processing of milk and other lactose containing dairy products, e.g. in order to obtain lactose-reduced milk products for lactose-intolerant individuals as well as dairy products with better consistency and increased sweetness due hydrolysis of lactose to form glucose and galactose. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
18.	Phosphodiesterase from <i>Penicillium citrinum</i>	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: Japan</p>	<p>Basis for request: The enzyme is used in processing yeast products by hydrolysing RNA, thereby increasing ribonucleotide levels and improving umami flavour.</p>

		<p>Year requested: 2017 (CCFA49) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: Amano Enzyme Inc. Mr. Tomonari Ogawa (tomonari_ogawa@amano-enzyme.com)</p>	<p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
19.	<p>Phospholipase A2 (PLA2) from porcine pancreas expressed in <i>Aspergillus niger</i></p>	<p>Type of request: Data pending to complete evaluation – Evaluation by JECFA95 Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider: To be confirmed at CCFA54</p>	<p>Basis for request: Because of the late submission of highly relevant toxicological data, other missing information and time constraints, the 95th JECFA was unable to complete this evaluation. The 95th JECFA recommended that the evaluation of this enzyme preparation is completed at a future meeting.</p> <p>The 95th JECFA requested the JECFA Secretariat to urge the sponsor and Codex Members to ensure that the following additional information is available for evaluation prior to requesting inclusion of this enzyme preparation in the CCFA JECFA Priority List:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • additional data to clarify the genotoxic potential of the PLA2 enzyme concentrate; • digestibility data for enzyme preparations containing both glucoamylase and PLA2; • results from five different batches of all types of PLA2 enzyme preparations using the assay to determine PLA2 activity provided in the dossier; • validation information of the alternative method of analysis used to determine PLA2 activity (this should include the method description in English); • unit definition for the PLA2 activity based on the alternative method of assay; and • analytical data using the alternative validated method for at least five different batches of all commercially available products. <p>Note the JECFA request for the JECFA Secretariat to urge the sponsor and Codex Members to ensure that the additional data requested by JECFA is available for evaluation prior to requesting inclusion of this enzyme preparation in the CCFA JECFA Priority List.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>

20.	Protease Aqualysin 1 from <i>Thermus aquaticus</i> produced by <i>B. subtilis</i> , strain LMG5 25520	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: European Union Year requested: 2017 (CCFA49) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: Puratos NV Mr. Olivier Maignret (omaignret@puratos.com)</p>	<p>Basis for request: The enzyme preparation is used as a processing aid during production of bakery products. The food enzyme catalyses hydrolyzes of the peptide bonds. The addition of enzyme provides several benefits during the production of bakery products:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Faster dough development upon mixing; - Better dough machinability; - Reduced dough rigidity; - Improved dough's structure and extensibility during the shaping or moulding step; - Uniform shape of the bakery product; - Regular batter viscosity, and - Improved short-bite of certain products like hamburger breads <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
21.	Ribonuclease from <i>Penicillium citrinum</i> RP-4	<p>Type of request: Data pending to complete evaluation – Evaluation by JECFA92 Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider: To be confirmed at CCFA54</p>	<p>Basis for request: During its recent evaluation of Ribonuclease P, the 92nd JECFA noted that ribonuclease P can also be produced by <i>P. citrinum</i> RP-4, but insufficient information was available on the enzyme concentrate produced from this strain. To evaluate the safety of ribonuclease P from <i>P. citrinum</i> RP-4, toxicological studies with well-characterized enzyme concentrate are required.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
22.	Transglucosidase/alpha-glucosidase from <i>Trichoderma reesei</i> expressing an Alpha-glucosidase gene from <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	<p>Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: European Union Year requested: 2016 (CCFA48) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: Danisco US Inc Dr. Vincent J. Sewalt (vincent.sewalt@dupont.com)</p>	<p>Basis for request: The food enzyme catalyzes both hydrolytic and transfer reactions on incubation with α-D-gluco-oligosaccharides. In molasses, non-fermentable sugars including raffinose and stachyose are converted to sucrose, galactose, glucose and fructose, which can then be fermented into alcohol. The enzyme preparation is intended for use in the production of isomalto-oligosaccharides and in the manufacture of potable alcohol, lysine, lactic acid and MSG.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>
23.	Xylanase from <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> expressed in <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<p>Type of request: Data pending to complete evaluation – Evaluation by JECFA95 Proposed by: JECFA Year requested: 2023 (CCFA53) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA54 Data provider: To be confirmed at CCFA54</p>	<p>Basis for request: The 95th JECFA requested the following information, by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • validated method of analysis to determine xylanase activity, including the validation report; • unit definition for α-amylase activity based on the method of assay; and • analytical data using the validated method for at least five different batches of commercially available products.

			Note the JECFA request for technical information by the end of 2023, to complete the safety assessment. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified
24.	Xylanase from <i>Talaromyces emersonii</i> expressed in <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	Type of request: Safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: European Union Year requested: 2014 (CCFA46) Data availability: December 2018 Data provider: DSM Food Specialties Dr. Jack Reuvers (jack.reuvers@dsm.com)	Basis for request: The enzyme is used in brewing processes to hydrolyze arabinoxylans in cereal cell walls, to reduce wort viscosity and improve filtration. The enzyme is also used in baking processes to improve dough characteristics and handling. Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified

PART C: flavourings for inclusion on the JECFA Priority List to be considered at the 53rd session of the Codex Committee on Food Additives

C.1- Sixteen (16) flavourings newly proposed for inclusion on the JECFA Priority List

CCFA History	FEMA	CAS	PRINCIPAL NAME	STRUCTURAL CLASS
New 53 rd	4948	1129-69-7	2-Hexylpyridine	II
New 53 rd	4958	2308574-23-2	4-Formyl-2-methoxyphenyl <i>l</i> -menthyl glutarate	I
New 53 rd	4959	301310-73-6; 79894-05-6	9-Dodecen-12-olide	III
New 53 rd	4960	13474-59-4	<i>trans-alpha</i> -Bergamotene	I
New 53 rd	4961	2369713-22-2	4-Methyltrideca-2 <i>E</i> ,4-dienal	I
New 53 rd	4965	1622458-32-5	<i>N</i> -(1-((4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1 <i>H</i> -benzo[<i>c</i>][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)-2-methylpropan-2-yl)isonicotinamide	III
New 53 rd	4966	6137-11-7	4-Methylheptan-3-one	II
New 53 rd	4967	483-76-1	<i>delta</i> -Cadinene	I
New 53 rd	4970	2413115-68-9	2-Methyl-1-(2-(5-(<i>p</i> -tolyl)-1 <i>H</i> -imidazol-2-yl)piperidin-1-yl)butan-1-one	III
New 53 rd	4971	18794-84-8	<i>beta</i> -Farnesene	I
New 53 rd	4972	23060-14-2	Diethyl mercaptosuccinate	I
New 53 rd	4973	2411762-60-0	3-Mercapto-3-methyl-1-pentyl acetate	I
New 53 rd	4974	23986-74-5	Germacrene D ≥85%	I
New 53 rd	4977	65210-18-6	10-Hydroxy-4,8-dimethyldec-4-enal	I
New 53 rd	4979	142062-38-2	2-(Furan-2-yl)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3,5-dithiazinane	III
New 53 rd	4980	2415657-73-5	Mixture of (8 <i>Z</i> ,11 <i>Z</i>)-heptadeca-8,11-dienal and (<i>Z</i>)-heptadec-8-enal	I

C.2- "Eighty-nine (89) flavourings previously submitted to the Codex Committee on Food Additives for inclusion on the JECFA Priority List

CCFA History	FEMA	CAS	PRINCIPAL NAME	STRUCTURAL CLASS
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	3557 (JECFA 973)	2111-75-3	<i>p</i> -Mentha-1,8-dien-7-al (Perillaldehyde)	
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4074	6321-45-5	Allyl valerate	II
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4072	20474-93-5	Allyl crotonate	II
Submitted at the 45th CCFA	4685	7370-92-5	(±)-6-Octahyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one	I
Submitted at the 45th CCFA	4673	7370-44-7	<i>delta</i> -Hexadecalactone	I
Submitted at the 45th CCFA	4682	23333-91-7	Octahydro-4,8a-dimethyl-4a(2 <i>H</i>)-naphthol	I
Submitted at the 45th CCFA	4742	917750-72-2	1-(2-Hydroxy-4-methylcyclohexyl)ethanone	III
Submitted at the 45th CCFA	4687	544409-58-7	(±)-3-Hydroxy-3-methyl-2,4-nonanedione	II
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4836	137363-86-1	10% solution of 3,4-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrothiophene-2-thiol	III
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4842	911212-28-7	2,4,5-Trithiooctane	III
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4817	38634-59-2	S-[(methylthio)methyl]thioacetate	I
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4870	17564-27-1	2-Ethyl-4-methyl-1,3-dithiolane	II
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4828	729602-98-6	1,1-Propanedithioacetate	III
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4824	1658479-63-0	2-(5-Isopropyl-2-methyl-tetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)-ethyl acetate	III
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4843	1838169-65-5	3-(Allyldithio) butan-2-one	III
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4822	61407-00-9	2,6-Dipropyl-5,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-3-carboxaldehyde	II
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4823	33368-82-0	1-Propenyl 2-propenyl disulfide	II
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4782	1679-06-7; 1633-90-5	2(3)-Hexanethiol	I
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4779	1416051-88-1	(±)-2-Mercapto-5-methylheptan-4-one	I
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4792	548740-99-4	(±)-3-Mercapto-1-pentanol	I
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4791	22236-44-8	3-(Acetylthio)hexanal	III
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4769	851768-51-9	5-Mercapto-5-methyl-3-hexanone	I
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4730	1241905-19-0	O-Ethyl S-1-methoxyhexan-3-yl carbonothioate	III
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4734	1256932-15-6	3-(Methylthio)-decanal	I
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4733	1006684-20-3	(±)-2-Mercaptoheptan-4-ol	III
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4761	75631-91-3	Prenyl thioisovalerate	I
Submitted at the 51st CCFA	4760	53626-94-1	Prenyl thioisobutyrate	I
Submitted at the 45th CCFA	4700	614-60-8	<i>o</i> -trans-Coumaric acid	III
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4622	61683-99-6	Piperonal propyleneglycol acetal	III
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4627	6414-32-0	Anisaldehyde propyleneglycol acetal	III
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4618	23495-12-7	2-Phenoxyethyl propinate	III
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4625	6314-97-2	Phenylacetaldehyde diethyl acetal	I

CCFA History	FEMA	CAS	PRINCIPAL NAME	STRUCTURAL CLASS
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4629	5468-05-3	Phenylacetaldehyde propyleneglycol acetal	III
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4620	122-99-6	2-Phenoxyethanol	III
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4619	92729-55-0	Propyl 4-tert-butylphenylacetate	I
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4314	61810-55-7	Phenethyl decanoate	I
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	2860	94-47-3	Phenethyl benzoate	I
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4438	591-11-7	<i>beta</i> -Angelicalactone	I
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4195	87-41-2	Phthalide	III
Submitted at the 45th CCFA	4768	67936-13-4	2,6,10-Trimethyl-9-undecenal	I
Submitted at the 45th CCFA	4612	645-62-5	2-Ethyl-2-hexenal	II
Submitted at the 45th CCFA	4616	13019-16-4	2-Hexylidenehexanal	II
Submitted at the 43rd CCFA	4486	5694-82-6	Citral glyceryl acetal	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4902	22122-36-7	3-Methyl-2(5 <i>H</i>)-furanone	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4915	2142634-65-7	(5 <i>Z</i>)-3,4-Dimethyl-5-propylidene-2(5 <i>H</i>)-furanone	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4784	57548-36-4	(±)-4-Hydroxy-6-methyl-2-heptanone	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4939	2180135-09-3	<i>S</i> -Methyl 5-(1-ethoxyethoxy)decanethioate	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4894	116229-37-9	2-Mercapto-3-methyl-1-butanol	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4883	556-27-4	<i>S</i> -Allyl- <i>L</i> -cysteine sulfoxide	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4935	98139-71-0	3-Methylbutane-1,3-dithiol	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4916	124831-34-1	2-Methyl-3-butene-2-thiol	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4938	2180135-08-2	<i>S</i> -Methyl 5-(1-ethoxyethoxy)tetradecanethioate	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4901	2097608-89-2	<i>O</i> -Ethyl <i>S</i> -(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)thiocarbonate	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4900	64580-54-7	Hexyl propyl disulfide	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4914	24963-39-1	bis-(3-Methyl-2-butenyl)disulfide	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4889	3877-15-4	Methyl propyl sulfide	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4930	159017-89-7	4-Isopropoxycinnamaldehyde	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4888	1945993-01-0; 828265-08-3	Mixture of 5-hydroxy-4-(4'-hydroxy-3'-methoxyphenyl)-7-methylchroman-2-one and 7-hydroxy-4-(4'-hydroxy-3'-methoxyphenyl)-5-methylchroman-2-one	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4879	21145-77-7	1-(3,5,5,6,8,8-Hexamethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)ethanone	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4892	4707-61-3	<i>cis</i> -2-Hexylcyclopropaneacetic acid	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4890	27841-22-1	3- <i>p</i> -Menthen-7-al	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4928	554-14-3	2-Methylthiophene	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4839	163460-99-9 163461-01-6	Mixture of 3- and 4-butyl-2-thiophenecarboxyaldehyde	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4813	1612888-42-2	2-(5-Isopropyl-2-methyltetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)ethanol	II

CCFA History	FEMA	CAS	PRINCIPAL NAME	STRUCTURAL CLASS
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4884	1569-60-4	6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-ol	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4827	6090-09-1	1-(4-Methyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)-ethanone	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4869	886449-15-6	4-(<i>l</i> -Menthoxyl)-2-butanone	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4844	118026-67-8	(2 <i>E</i> ,4 <i>E</i>)-2,4-Decadien-1-ol acetate	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4747	91212-78-1	(±)-2,5-Undecadien-1-ol	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4913	18478-46-1	3,7-Dimethyl-2-methyleneoct-6-en-1-ol	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4785	25234-33-7	2-Octyl-2-dodecenal	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4786	13893-39-5	2-Hexyl-2-decenal	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4929	60857-05-8	4-Methylidene-2-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)oxane	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4920	220462-51-9	1-Ethyl-2-(1-pyrrolylmethyl)pyrrole	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4832	108715-62-4	2-(3-Benzoyloxypropyl)pyridine	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4829	616-45-5	2-Pyrrolidone	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4818	1370711-06-0	<i>trans</i> -1-ethyl-2-methylpropyl 2-2-butenoate	I
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4867	18374-76-0	(3 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> ,8 <i>S</i>)-3,8-Dimethyl-5-prop-1-en-2-yl-3,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-2 <i>H</i> -azulen-1-one	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4840	38427-80-4	Tetrahydronootkatone	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4807	1078-95-1	Pinocarvyl acetate	II
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4906	36687-82-8	<i>L</i> -Carnitine tartrate	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4868	61315-75-1	4-(4-Methyl-3-penten-1-yl)-2(5 <i>H</i>)-furanone	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4896	2186611-08-3	<i>N</i> -(2-Hydroxy-2-phenylethyl)-2-isopropyl-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1-carboxamide	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4882	1857330-83-9	<i>N</i> -(4-(Cyanomethyl)phenyl)-2-isopropyl-5,5-dimethylcyclohexanecarboxamide	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4899	1622458-34-7; 2079034-28-7	<i>N</i> -(1-((4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1 <i>H</i> -benzo[<i>c</i>][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)-2-methylpropan-2-yl)-2,6-dimethylisonicotinamide	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4880	2015168-50-8	2-(4-Ethylphenoxy)- <i>N</i> -(1 <i>H</i> -pyrazol-3-yl)- <i>N</i> -(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4881	1857331-84-0	<i>N</i> -(3-Hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-2-isopropyl-5,5-dimethylcyclohexanecarboxamide	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4877	76733-95-4	(<i>E</i>)-3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-ethyl]-acrylamide	III
Submitted at the 52 nd CCFA	4835	877207-36-8	2,4-Dihydroxy- <i>N</i> -[(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)methyl]benzamide	III

C.3- Proposed additions to JECFA Priority List of nineteen (19) flavourings proposed for specifications modification

History	FEM A No.	JECF A No.	CAS No.	Principal Name	Most Recent Specification Evaluation	Status	Update
Old	3415	461	505-10-2	(3-Methylthio)propanol	2001 (Session 57)	Full	The Specific Gravity, Solubility Description and possibly Purity does not reflect the material currently in commerce
Old	3876	482	1534-08-3	S-Methyl thioacetate	2000 (Session 55)	Full	The Specific Gravity does not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	3864	487	23747-45-7	S-Methyl 3-methylbutanethioate	2000 (Session 55)	Full	The Specific Gravity does not reflect the material currently in commerce
Old	3266	498	1003-04-9	4,5-Dihydro-3(2H) thiophenone	2000 (Session 55)	Full	The Specific Gravity does not reflect the material currently in commerce
Old	3512	499	13679-85-1	2-Methyltetrahydrothiophen-3-one	2000 (Session 55)	Full	The Specific Gravity and Refractive Index do not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	3376	500	23550-40-5	4-(Methylthio)-4-methyl-2-pentanone	2000 (Session 55)	Full	The Specific Gravity and Refractive Index do not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	3897	510	75-33-2	2-Propanethiol	2001 (Session 57)	Full	The Specific Gravity and Refractive Index do not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	3478	511	109-79-5	1-Butanethiol	1999 (Session 53)	Full	The Specific Gravity and Refractive Index do not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	3240	528	137-06-4	o-Toluenethiol	2000 (Session 55)	Full	The Specific Gravity and Refractive Index do not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	3878	533	1618-26-4	bis(Methylthio)methane	2000 (Session 55)	Full	The Specific Gravity does not reflect the material currently in commerce
Old	3475	543	828-26-2	Trithioacetone	2001 (Session 57)	Full	The Specific Gravity and Refractive Index do not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	3851	554	136954-20-6	3-Mercaptohexyl acetate	1999 (Session 53)	Full	The Purity Specification requires clarity. The Specific Gravity and Refractive Index do not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	3852	555	136954-21-7	3-Mercaptohexyl butyrate	1999 (Session 53)	Full	The Specific Gravity and Refractive Index do not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	3300	560	67633-97-0	3-Mercapto-2-pentanone	2000 (Session 55)	Full	The Refractive Index does not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	2911	896	120-57-0	Piperonal	2001 (Session 57)	Full	The Melting Point does not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	3557	973	2111-75-3	p-Mentha-1,8-dien-7-al	2018 (Session 86)	Full	The Purity Specification, Acid Value and Specific Gravity do not reflect the material currently in commerce.
Old	2349	1093	622-45-7	Cyclohexyl acetate	2002 (Session 59)	Full	The Specific Gravity does not reflect the material currently in commerce.

History	FEMA No.	JECFA No.	CAS No.	Principal Name	Most Recent Specification Evaluation	Status	Update
Old	2467	1529	97-53-0	Eugenol	2005 (Session 65)	Full	The Density Range does not reflect the material currently in commerce
Old	4321	1763	116505-60-3	Pyrrolidino-[1,2e]-4H-2,4-dimethyl1,3,5-dithiazine	2007	Full	The melting point does not reflect the material in commerce

PART D: FOOD ADDITIVES TO BE DELETED FROM THE JECFA PRIORITY LIST

D.1- Food additives to be deleted from the JECFA Priority List based on lack of sponsor and data

No.	Substance(s)	General information	Comments about the request	Priority*
1	Azodicarbonamide (INS 927a)	<p>Type of request: safety assessment and establishment of specifications Proposed by: CCFA 51 Year requested: 2019 (CCFA51) Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA53 Data provider: To be confirmed at CCFA53</p>	<p>Basis for request: The Physical Working Group on Alignment noted the safety concern on this food additive and request the re-evaluation of this food additive.</p> <p>In reply to CL-2021/81-FA, FIA noted the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FIA is of support for the proposal to re-evaluate the safety assessment of Azodicarbonamide (ADA) and the priority proposal (Priority 1) for the re-evaluation of ADA. ADA is an ingredient used in flour and bread. Due to safety concerns, authorities in some markets such as European Union (EU), Japan, Singapore, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Australia and New Zealand, have chosen to apply precautionary principle and thus ban ADA in food. The European Commission has also decided to prohibit the use of ADA in food contact materials since 2005. As ADA is permitted for use in other markets, FIA is of the opinion that it is timely to review the safety of ADA to ascertain its safety. Furthermore, a harmonised approach for the authorisation of ADA will provide a level playing field for the industries, considering that flour 	2

			and bread are staple products that are traded globally.	
2	L-cysteine hydrochloride (INS 920)	<p>Type of request: safety evaluation and establishment of specifications</p> <p>Proposed by: CCFA51</p> <p>Year requested: 2019 (CCFA51)</p> <p>Data availability: to be confirmed at CCFA53</p> <p>Data provider: to be confirmed at CCFA53</p>	<p>Basis for request: (see CX/FA 19/51/6)</p> <p>It notes that two food additives, listed as flour treatment agents in CXS 152-1985 have not been added to the GSFA provisions as part of the alignment work. These are L-cysteine hydrochloride (INS 920) and potassium ascorbate (INS 303). It agrees that both cannot be added to the GSFA since they do not have a JECFA specification.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	3
3	Fulvic acid (carbohydrate derived)	<p>Type of request: Data pending – (1) toxicological data required; and (2) data on manufacturing processes and chemical characterization of the products in commerce</p> <p>Proposed by: JECFA</p> <p>Year requested: 2021 (CCFA52)</p> <p>Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA53</p> <p>Data provider: To be confirmed at CCFA53</p>	<p>Basis for request: The 89th meeting of JECFA concluded that the toxicological information provided were inadequate to complete the safety evaluation, and that the chemical and technical information were insufficient to prepare specifications. The JECFA requests that additional data be provided.</p> <p>The toxicological data required include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion; ii. repeated-dose 90-day oral toxicity in rodents; iii. two-generation reproductive toxicity or extended one-generation reproductive toxicity; iv. prenatal developmental toxicity; v. additional studies, including an in vitro micronucleus test in mammalian cells, might be required, depending on elucidation of the article(s) of commerce and the provision of full information on their composition; vi. information on the potential of the material to induce antimicrobial resistance; and, vii. Levels of use should be provided for estimating dietary exposure. <p>The characterization data required include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Data on manufacturing processes; and, ii. Chemical characterization of the article(s) of commerce. 	2

4	Tannins (oenological tannins)	<p>Type of request: Data pending to complete evaluation – Evaluation by JECFA84</p> <p>Proposed by: CCFA50</p> <p>Year requested: 2018 (CCFA50)</p> <p>Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA53</p> <p>Data provider: To be confirmed at CCFA53</p>	<p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p> <p>Basis for request: In order to complete its evaluation, JECFA requires information on:</p> <p>The following information is required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Composition of tannins derived from the full range of raw materials as well as the processes used in their manufacture; • Validated analytical method(s) and relevant quality control data; • Analytical data from five batches of each commercial product including information related to impurities such as gums, resinous substances, residual solvents, sulfur dioxide content and metallic impurities (arsenic, lead, iron, cadmium and mercury); • Solubility of the products in commerce, according to JECFA terminology; and • Use levels, natural occurrence and food products in which tannins are used. <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	2
---	-------------------------------	--	--	---

D.2- Food additives to be removed from the JECFA priority list based on lack of provision for data: These additives will not be incorporated into the GSFA

No.	Substance(s)	General information	Comments about the request	Priority*
1	Fungal amylase from <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	<p>Type of request: safety assessment</p> <p>Proposed by: CCFA 51</p> <p>Year requested: 2019 (CCFA51)</p> <p>Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA53</p> <p>Data provider: To be confirmed at CCFA53</p>	<p>Basis for request: During the discussions on the alignment of the food-additive provision in CXS 152-1985 with the relevant provisions of the GSFA, CCFA51 agreed to include the substance as flour treatment agent to the list.</p> <p>Possible issues for trade: currently unidentified</p>	2
2	Proteolytic enzyme from <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	<p>Type of request: safety assessment and establishment of specifications</p> <p>Proposed by: CCFA 51</p> <p>Year requested: 2019 (CCFA51)</p> <p>Data availability: To be confirmed at CCFA53</p> <p>Data provider: To be confirmed at CCFA53</p>	<p>Basis for request: During the discussions on the alignment of the food-additive provision in CXS 152-1985 with the relevant provisions of the GSFA, CCFA51 agreed to include the substance as flour treatment agent to the list.</p>	2

Annex 4

CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS REQUESTS AND DATA AVAILABILITY

In completing this form, the **sponsor, data provider, or supporting Member** of a request set out in Annex 3 can indicate if the request is still in effect, and if the data to support the request are currently available. The opportunity to later confirm or discontinue the requests will still be available at the in-session working group of the JECFA Priority List. In case any of the **sponsor, data provider, or supporting Member** cannot physically attend the meeting, please complete the form and please note one form per request.

And indication of “no” to any of the questions will result in the deletion of the request at the following session of the CCFA. In response to the circular letter, separate tables should be prepared for separate requests.

Confirmation of previous request and data availability	
Name of Substance (as it appears in Annex 3):	
Is the request still in effect? (yes / no)	
Are the data available? (yes / no)	<If yes, specify the earliest date on which the data can be made available>
Change to data provider? (yes/no)	<If yes, specify the new data provider including contact person>

(Data shall only be submitted in response to a JECFA call for data; do **NOT** add data intended for JECFA to this form)