



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



World Health
Organization

CODEX
ALIMENTARIUS
INTERNATIONAL FOOD STANDARDS

CODEX ALIMENTARIUS
GUIDELINE

**GUIDELINES FOR
MONITORING THE STABILITY
AND PURITY OF REFERENCE
MATERIALS AND RELATED STOCK
SOLUTIONS OF PESTICIDES
DURING PROLONGED STORAGES
CXG 106-2025**



ADOPTED 2025

CXG 106-2025

History of the guideline

Adopted in 2025.

1 Preface

Pesticide residues in agricultural crops and food commodities have become a worldwide food safety and trade concern, which has led to enforcement of strict pesticide regulations. More than 1 200 pesticides are available globally to control pests on different agricultural crops and food commodities. Analyses of pesticides at trace levels in the food chain require the use of specific reference materials (RMs) of known chemical purity manufactured by the reference material producers (RMPs) to ensure reliability of the test results. Accurate determination of pesticide residues in agricultural crops and food commodities is important for food safety control and fixation of maximum residue limits (MRLs) of pesticides, thereby overcoming the related trade barriers. RMs with specified purity are also required for accurate qualitative and quantitative analysis of pesticide active ingredient(s) in technical products, formulations and stock solutions.

Limited shelf life, diminishing purity and high recurring cost of RMs act as major impediments to performing regular pesticide residue analysis. These problems are magnified for multi-pesticide residue analysis by testing laboratories in developing countries as they are required to allocate a large part of their funds for frequent procurement of expensive RMs. Furthermore, the use of RMs is restricted by the expiry dates specified by the RMPs in the reference material document (e.g. certificate of analysis (CoA) or product information sheet), which provides the value for purity, expiry date and measurement uncertainty of the RMs as per ISO 33401.¹ Often, laboratories cannot afford the frequent purchase of high-cost RMs for their pesticide residue control work.

Moreover, due to supply chain constraints, some laboratories may receive RMs close to their expiry date, as mentioned in the reference material document. In such situations, the laboratories are forced to buy new standards and prepare new stock solutions more frequently than necessary. This leads to enormous amount of work and increased laboratory costs. Additionally, shipping RMs by the suppliers to laboratories increases the acquisition time for procurement (a few weeks to months), creating hurdles in sustaining pesticide residue control programmes.

There are many RMs that remain stable even after the expiry dates stated in the reference material document with no significant change in purity. Some studies have also reported that if RMs are stored in better storage conditions than recommended by the manufacturer, provided that these conditions do not contradict those indicated by the RMP in the reference material document, the RMs are stable for much longer than the expiry dates indicated by the RMPs. Such RMs may technically be allowed to be used beyond their expiry dates if laboratory checks are in place to demonstrate that they are stable and continue meeting the purity requirements. However, the absence of guidance procedures for monitoring the stability and purity of RMs prevents their use beyond the expiry dates under the ISO/IEC 17025² laboratory quality system.

This document represents a crucial step towards developing comprehensive harmonized guidance enabling the laboratories to monitor the stability and purity of the pesticide RMs and their stock solutions during prolonged storage. The document aims to guide the laboratories in monitoring the stability and purity of RMs for their possible use beyond their expiry dates and for continued use of stock solutions that retain their stability and purity.

2 Scope and objective

The purpose of this document is to furnish a framework that would assist the laboratories in monitoring the stability and purity of RMs of pesticides during prolonged storage and identifying expired RMs as indicated by the reference material document of RMPs but with demonstrated continuing stability and purity through

robust analytical protocols so that such materials that retain their purity as per the reference material document even after expiry and may continue to be used as valid RMs. Another aspect of the proposed framework is to monitor the stability of the stock solutions used for pesticide residue analysis so that those solutions that are proven to be valid may be used for accurate and reliable determination of pesticide residue levels.

This document applies to RMs of pesticide standards of known purity specified by a RMP, including individual RMs, stock solutions of individual RMs, and mixed pesticide standard solutions of RMs purchased from the RMPs or prepared by the laboratories from the individual RMs procured by the laboratories from the RMPs.

These guidelines may enable the pesticide residue laboratories and pesticide quality control laboratories to overcome the constraints associated with short expiry periods of RMs as shown in the RMP documents and use them beyond their expiry dates as indicated by RMPs. After the expiration dates of RMPs, the RMs retaining the purity specified in the reference material document may be used as RMs or as quality control materials (QCM) for the analysis of pesticides, provided that these RMs are stored under desirable conditions (low temperature and dark conditions). RMs that do not remain stable and do not show acceptable purity during prolonged storage shall not be used by laboratories for pesticide residue testing/quantitative purposes, as accurate results may not be obtained.

The guidelines cover the storage conditions that shall be maintained and quantitative measurements that shall be performed to monitor the stability and purity of RMs and their stock solutions before and beyond their expiration period.

3 General criteria

The analysis shall be conducted in laboratories in compliance with the general criteria for testing laboratories laid down in ISO/IEC 17025,² with the scope relevant to the measurement concerned.

The RMs shall be procured from an RMP accredited as per ISO 17034³ to ensure analytical traceability or from a National Metrology Institute recognized by peers or designated by countries.

The stability of mixed pesticide standard solutions that may be evaluated under these guidelines include mixed pesticide standard solutions purchased from the RMP as well as mixed pesticide standard solutions prepared by pesticide residues laboratories by using RMs purchased from RMPs, who may certify the purity and stability of each of the individual components.

To ensure metrological traceability, the analytical balances used shall be calibrated with weights traceable to national/international standards.

Calibrated class A glassware or appropriate electronic/automatic pipettes traceable to national/international standards shall be used for volumetric measurements.

The instrumentation used in purity tests should have comparable or greater sensitivity/specificity to those used in the reference material document of the RM.

According to the reference material document, the equipment used for storing and monitoring RMs should be traceable to national/international standards.

ISO Standard 33405⁴ may be referred to for assessing the shelf-life of an RM

To ensure the validity of the stability and purity testing protocols provided below, gravimetric records shall be maintained for RMs (opened or unopened), both solid and liquid and their respective stock solutions during storage before and after use each time. Before recording the weight, the container should attain room temperature/ambient temperature and be wiped to remove any adhering moisture. The exposure of RM and stock solutions to ambient temperatures and light must be kept as short as absolutely necessary, limiting handling time.

The record of the storage conditions (e.g. temperature and humidity) as well as the date of use of the RM and their stock solutions shall be maintained. Also, the temperature at which the RMs and their stock solutions are opened for use shall be recorded. Data logs and control charts shall be maintained by laboratories to document and evaluate the performance of storage equipment over time.

4 Recommended storage conditions for pesticide reference materials and their stock solutions

The storage conditions of RMs are specified by RMPs in the reference material documents, as RMs are susceptible to degradation at high temperatures and other unfavourable environmental conditions. Environmental conditions (temperature and humidity, as appropriate) shall be recorded, monitored and controlled by the laboratory.

If a laboratory maintains the RMs in storage conditions more protective than those recommended by the RMPs (i.e. temperature lower than recommended without exposure to light and moisture, duration of handling, frequency of use, etc.), the rate of degradation of the RMs is significantly minimized as long as these conditions do not contradict those indicated in the reference material document by the RMP. Under such conditions, the expiry date as recommended by the RMPs may be extended as appropriate for an RM by a date allowing for storage of up to ten years or as long as the purity mentioned in the reference material document holds well ($\leq \pm 10\%$) (SANTE, 2024).⁵ Another study revealed the stability of pesticide reference standards for up to 15 years or in-stock solutions for up to ten years.

To avoid any cross-contamination or degradation of RMs, the vials may be placed in an airtight capped tube/sealed pouch (made of suitable polypropylene or high-quality plastic material) and immediately stored in the freezer/refrigerator at conditions more protective than those recommended by RMPs, preferably at subzero temperature. The stock solutions must also be stored in airtight capped glassware or any other suitable type of vessels as specified by the RMP. Storage conditions shall be monitored, controlled and recorded with appropriately calibrated equipment. Exposing glassware to elevated temperatures should be avoided.

5 Analytical protocol for monitoring the stability and purity of pesticide reference materials and individual stock solutions

Three analytical approaches may be considered for monitoring the stability and purity of individual RMs, RM stock solutions, and mixed pesticide standard solutions of RMs for the purpose of extending their use beyond the expiry date, provided their purity is proven acceptable.

In Approach 1, the stability of new (or unexpired) and old (or expired) RMs is determined simultaneously. The comparisons of peak area shall be based on averaged values from repeated runs, which mitigates other sources of variation in instrument response. Alternatively, an internal standard (IS) may be used to compare the peak area ratio of new (or unexpired) and old (or expired) RMs. If the deviation (in peak area) after expiration date indicated by RMP is found within $\pm 10\%$, or alternatively the peak area ratio deviation is within $\pm 10\%$, the analyte in the old (or expired) RM is considered to be at an acceptable level and may therefore continue to be used as a valid RM. For neat standards and stock solutions, monitoring of stability and purity may be continued regularly up to a maximum of ten years (SANTE)⁵ provided the deviation in purity from the original RMP remains acceptable. Here, a new (or unexpired) RM would be required throughout the period of comparison. Approach 1 is applicable for individual neat standards and their related stock solutions.

In Approach 2, whenever a new (or unexpired) RM is procured by any laboratory, its purity is monitored periodically before and after expiry using the same analytical conditions as mentioned in the reference material document. Here, new (or unexpired) RM need not be procured. An unexpired IS of any pesticide RM, appropriate for the method is used to account for any change in the response of the equipment. As the analyte is spiked with the IS, the selection of the IS should be based on previous experience that shows good stability over the expected storage time. The IS should not be susceptible to chemical degradation, should be insensitive to external factors such as light and moisture, chemically different from the analyte and should not interfere with the measurement of the tested analyte. This approach applies only to neat RMs accompanied by reference material documents.

In Approach 3, three different methods have been proposed to monitor the purity of mixed pesticide standard solutions. In Method 3.1, the comparisons of peak area of each pesticide RM in new (or unexpired) and old (or expired) mixture shall be based on averaged peak values from repeated runs, which mitigates other sources of variation in instrument response, by averaging the values of replicate measurements. In the Method 3.2, an IS may be used to compare the peak area ratio of each RM pesticide in new (or unexpired) and old (or expired) mixture. If the deviation (in peak area) after expiration is found within $\pm 10\%$ (Method 3.1), or the peak area ratio deviation is within $\pm 10\%$ (Method 3.2), for each pesticide RM in the mixture, the analyte in the RM is considered at an acceptable level, and may therefore continue to be used as a valid RM. In Method 3.3, whenever a laboratory acquires a new (or unexpired) mixed pesticide standard from an RMP, its signal stability should be periodically monitored before and after the expiration date, employing analytical conditions as similar as possible to those indicated in the RM documentation. In this case, it is not necessary to acquire a new (or unexpired) mixed pesticide standard from an RMP. Instead, an unexpired IS, corresponding to any suitable pesticide RM for the method, is used to compensate for possible variations in instrumental response. In this procedure, the analyte peak area (or alternatively, the peak area ratio) for every pesticide in the mixture is plotted against storage time. Subsequently, linear regression analysis is applied to assess the presence of significant changes in the analyte area (or area ratio), in accordance with the classical stability study approach established in ISO 33405.⁴ If the regression analysis determines that the data do not show a linear trend (slope close to zero), the analyte contained in the RM is considered acceptable and may continue to be used as a RM. If any of the components do not meet the $\pm 10\%$ criterion, the mixed pesticide standard solution may not be used as an RM. However, it may be noted that only limited

publications on the behaviour of the pesticides in mixtures over long-term storage are available at this time and caution should be taken when proposing to extend the life of an expired RM mixture. Mixed pesticide standard should be used for a limited time period and the stability of the analytes contained need to be demonstrated under conditions reflecting their routine use.

5.1 Approach 1: Comparing the stability of old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) pesticide reference standards (applicable to neat standards of reference materials and related stock solutions)

- a) Prepare a fresh stock solution of the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) RM standard of the appropriate concentration depending on the response of the RM in the detector. Generally, for HPLC-DADⁱⁱ/GCⁱⁱⁱ-FID,^{iv} a good response is obtained between 5 mg L⁻¹ to 100 mg L⁻¹. For single quadrupole GC-MS^v or LC^{vi}-MS, or other mass spectrometry methods, the appropriate concentration typically ranges from 0.5 to 5 mg L⁻¹, while for triple quadrupole GC-MS/MS or LC-MS/MS, 0.1 to 0.5 mg L⁻¹ or lower concentration may be more appropriate to avoid signal saturation.
- b) Analyse the standard solution of the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) RM on a proper instrument (HPLC-DAD, HPLC-UV,^{vii} GC-FID, LC-MS or GC-MS, LC-MS/MS, GC-MS/MS, or qNMR^{viii} or other mass spectrometry methods) and record the peak area. Either of the two methods described below may be employed.
- c) Method 1.1 (peak area comparison): Inject standard solutions of the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) individual RMs prepared from the stock solution at the same concentration into the instrument and record the peak area. It is recommended that the injection sequence contains at least five replicates of old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) standards and should be alternating to minimize the impact of drifting of signal response in the course of measurement. Calculate the mean value of the peak area for the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) RM of the five replicates. The %RSD of the replicate measurements should be $\leq \pm 10\%$. Calculate the percentage deviation in average peak area of the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) standard solutions using the formula below given. The mean value from the new (or unexpired) solution is taken to be 100% and is also used as a basis for calculating the percentage difference.

$$\% \text{ deviation} = \frac{|\text{Mean peak area for old (or expired) standard} - \text{Mean peak area for new (or unexpired) standard}|}{\text{Mean peak area for new (or unexpired) standard}} \times 100$$

- d) Method 1.2 (peak area ratio comparison): Spike another RM (inert and unexpired) as an IS into the standard solutions of the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) RMs prepared from the stock solution at the same concentration. Inject the solutions and record the peak area of the RM and the IS, perform a minimum of five replicate measurements of old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) standards that should be alternated to minimize the impact of drifting of signal response in the course of measurement and calculate the average ratio of RM area to IS area for the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) RMs with %RSD $\leq 10\%$. The IS peak should have a similar abundance to the RM being verified, and it should not interfere with the analysis of the target RM in terms of either retention time or molecular weight (m/z). Calculate the percentage deviation using the below given formula:

$$\% \text{ deviation} = \frac{|\text{Mean peak area ratio of old (or expired) and internal standard} - \text{Mean peak area ratio of new (or unexpired) and internal standard}|}{\text{Mean peak area ratio of new (or unexpired) and internal standard}} \times 100$$

- i High-performance liquid chromatography.
- ii Diode-array detection.
- iii Gas chromatography.
- iv Flame ionization detector.
- v Mass spectrometry.
- vi Liquid chromatography.
- vii Ultraviolet spectroscopy.
- viii Quantitative nuclear magnetic resonance.

- e) If the percentage deviation (as obtained from the above Method 1.1 or Method 1.2) shows a deviation of $\leq \pm 10\%$, the old (or expired) standard may be considered suitable for continuing use.
- f) The old (or expired) standard shall be compared with the new (or unexpired) standard at regular intervals of at least once a year, provided the recommended storage conditions are maintained.
- g) To monitor the stability of the RM over time, a plot of the percentage deviation versus time of monitoring may be made, which would help identify the deviation in stability of RM with time.

5.2 Approach 2: Verification of purity of neat standards of pesticide reference materials during prolonged storage (not suitable for verification of stock solutions)

- a) To verify the purity of the RM, a chromatographic assay shall be performed, preferably as per the analytical conditions mentioned in the reference material document by the RMP, with the capability of resolving and detecting the target analytes away from all of its potential impurities. If it is not feasible to match the exact conditions of the RMP, deviations should be documented and justified. Furthermore, if the deviation comes from the use of a different technique, the laboratory must guarantee that the technique has an equivalent or better sensitivity and specificity. RM purity is verified by comparing the purity (in terms of percent peak area) obtained through analysis with the purity mentioned in the reference material document.
- b) Prepare a fresh stock solution of the new (or unexpired) neat standards of RMs and IS (a different unexpired RM) of appropriate concentration in a suitable solvent. The IS solution should be prepared in the same solvent in which the stock solution is prepared to consider any background interference that may be present. Appropriate concentration will depend on the response of the RM using the selected detection method (see paragraph a) of Approach 1 for suggested concentration ranges).
- c) Prepare the standard solution of the RM from the stock solution and analyse it through the instrument (HPLC-DAD, HPLC-UV, GC-FID, LC-MS, GC-MS or other mass spectrometry methods in full scan mode, or qNMR) as per the analytical conditions mentioned in the reference material document. The percentage of peak area obtained through the software of the instrument is recorded as purity. Inject a blank solution of the same solvent in which the stock solution is prepared prior to this to check any background interference that may be present. A minimum of five replicate measurements shall be performed to obtain a mean value of purity, and the %RSD of the replicates should be $\leq 10\%$. The instrument shall be calibrated as per the procedures and criteria recommended by the manufacturer.
- d) Compare the mean value of verified purity (percent purity) obtained from the laboratory analysis with the reference value of purity provided in the reference material document. The certified value (reference value) listed in the reference material document is considered as the purity reference value while calculating percentage deviation in purity.

The percentage deviation in percent purity shall be calculated as:

$$\% \text{ deviation} = \frac{|(\text{Average percent area of the peak of neat standard} - \% \text{ Purity reference value})|}{\% \text{ Purity reference value}} \times 100$$

- e) To determine changes in the response of the equipment with time, spike the solution of an unexpired IS of the same concentration as RM in the standard solution of RM. Inject the solution and record the peak area of the RM and the IS and calculate the average ratio of the RM area to the IS area. The IS peak should have a similar abundance to the RM being verified, and it should not interfere with the analysis of the target RM in terms of either retention time or molecular weight (m/z). Monitoring the signal of the RM with respect to IS helps to take into account the signals that may not be visible but contribute to the percent share of the analyte on the summed area of the chromatogram.

- f) Repeat the same procedure at regular intervals of at least once a year using a freshly prepared solution of the RM and compare with the freshly prepared solution of the unexpired IS, particularly before and after the RM's expiry, to monitor its stability and purity during prolonged storage and obtain percentage deviation in the ratio of peak area.

$$\% \text{ deviation} = \frac{|\text{Mean peak area ratio of RM after expiry and IS} - \text{Mean peak area ratio of RM before expiry and IS}|}{\text{Mean peak area ratio of RM before expiry and IS}} \times 100$$

- g) After the expiry date of the RM as indicated by its RMP, if the mean value of percent purity in terms of percent peak area obtained for the RM and the reference value (as obtained from reference material document) do not differ by more than $\pm 10\%$ (the percentage deviation of less than or equal to $\pm 10\%$) in Approach 1 or the deviation (percentage) in the ratio of peak area for the RM compared to the IS is $\leq \pm 10\%$ in Approach 2, the RM may be considered suitable for continuing use as a valid RM in the laboratory.

5.3 Approach 3: Verification of stability of mixed pesticide standard solutions during prolonged storage.

- a) For methods 3.1 and 3.2, prepare a fresh stock solution/working solution of the new (or unexpired) and old (or expired) mixed pesticide standard solution of appropriate concentration in a suitable solvent. Appropriate concentration will depend on the response of the RM using the selected detection method (see paragraph a) of Approach 1 for suggested concentration ranges).
- b) In methods 3.1 and 3.2, analyse the standard solution of the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) mixed pesticide standard solution on an appropriate instrument (GC or LC with detectors of appropriate specificity including LC-MS/GC-MS in full scan, GC-MSMS/LC-MSMS in MRM mode or other mass spectrometry methods or qNMR) as per the analytical conditions mentioned in the reference material document and record the peak area. Either Method 3.1 or Method 3.2 described below may be employed.
- c) Method 3.1 (peak area comparison): Inject standard solutions of the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) mixed pesticide standard solution prepared from the stock solution at the same concentration into the instrument and record the peak area of each pesticide RM in the mixed pesticide standard solution. It is recommended that the injection sequence contains at least five replicates of new (or unexpired) and old (or expired) standards and should be alternating to minimize the drifting of signal response in the course of measurement. Calculate the mean value of the peak area of the five replicates for the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) RM. The same will be calculated for all the pesticide RMs in the mixed pesticide standard solutions. The %RSD of the replicate measurements should be $\leq 10\%$. Calculate the percentage deviation in average peak area of each pesticide RM in the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) mixed pesticide standard solutions using the formula below given:

$$\% \text{ deviation (for each pesticide RM)} = \frac{|\text{Mean peak area for old (or expired) standard} - \text{Mean peak area for new (or unexpired) standard}|}{\text{Mean peak area for new (or unexpired) standard}} \times 100$$

- d) Method 3.2 (peak area ratio comparison): Spike a different RM (inert and unexpired) as an IS into the standard solution of the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) mixed pesticide standard solutions prepared from the stock solution at same concentration. Inject the solutions and record the peak area of each pesticide RM in the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) mixed pesticide standard solutions as well as the IS by performing a minimum of five replicate measurements with %RSD \leq 10%. Calculate the average area ratio of each pesticide RM in the old (or expired) and new (or unexpired) mixed pesticide standard solutions to the IS. The IS peak should have a similar abundance to the RM being verified, and it should not interfere with the analysis of the target RM in terms of either retention time or molecular weight (m/z). Calculate the percentage deviation of each pesticide RM in the mixture using the below given formula:

$$\% \text{ deviation (for each pesticide RM) } = \frac{|\text{Mean peak area ratio of old (or expired) and internal standard} - \text{Mean peak area ratio of new (or unexpired) and internal standard}|}{\text{Mean peak area ratio of new (or unexpired) and internal standard}} \times 100$$

- e) If every pesticide RM in the mixture (as obtained from either Method 3.1 or Method 3.2 above) shows a deviation of $\leq \pm 10\%$ relative to the reference material document provided by the RMP, the old (or expired) mixed pesticide standard solution may be considered suitable for continuing use. If any of the RMs in the mixture (as obtained from either Method 3.1 or Method 3.2 above) shows a deviation of $> \pm 10\%$ relative to the reference material document provided by the RMP, the old (or expired) mixed pesticide standard solution is not suitable for continued use for the quantification of pesticides not meeting the required criteria.
- f) Method 3.3: Prepare a fresh solution of mixed pesticide standards from the unexpired RM and an IS (another unexpired RM), at an appropriate concentration in a suitable solvent. The appropriate concentration will depend on the RM response in the detector. Analyse the mixed pesticide standard solution, prepared at an appropriate concentration from the stock solution, on an appropriate instrument as described in paragraph b) of Approach 3 following analytical conditions as close as possible to those established in the RM document. Perform at least five replicate measurements to obtain a mean value of the ratio between the RM area and the IS area for each individual component. To verify measurement reproducibility, the %RSD of the replicates should be $\leq 10\%$. Repeat the same procedure at regular intervals of at least twice a year, using a new solution of mixed pesticide standards, particularly before and after the expiration date, in order to monitor its stability and purity during long-term storage. Once the RM expiration date has been reached, repeat the procedure and prepare a plot of the area or area ratio of each component versus time. After obtaining the data, perform a linear regression analysis to determine whether the model adequately fits the data obtained in accordance with the classical stability study approach established in ISO 33405.⁴ If the regression analysis indicates that the data do not show a linear trend (coefficient of determination $R^2 < 0.8$, p-value > 0.05) and slope close to zero, it may be concluded that storage time has not contributed to any change in the response obtained. Consequently, RM may be considered suitable for continued laboratory use.
- g) The monitoring of the stability of the mixed pesticide standard solution shall be performed at regular intervals of at least twice a year, provided the recommended storage conditions are maintained.
- h) To monitor the stability of the mixed pesticide standard solution over time, a plot of percentage deviation versus time of monitoring may help identify and predict the deviation in stability with time.

Definitions

Certified reference material (CRM): Reference material (RM) characterized by a metrologically valid procedure for one or more specified properties, accompanied by an RM certificate that provides the value of the specified property, its associated uncertainty and a statement of metrological traceability.

Internal standard (IS): A chemical added at a known amount to samples and/or standards in chemical analysis, including the blank and calibration standards. This substance can then be used for calibration by plotting the ratio of the analyte signal to the internal standard signal as a function of the concentrations. This ratio for the samples is then used to obtain the analyte concentrations. The internal standard used needs to provide a signal that is similar to the analyte signal in most ways but sufficiently different so that the two signals are readily distinguishable from each other.

Mixed pesticide standard solution: Reference standard of pesticide containing multiple compounds procured from a reference material producer (RMP) accredited as per ISO 17034⁴ or a mixture of pesticide standards prepared by the laboratory from individual RMs procured from RMPs to ensure analytical traceability or from a National Metrology Institute recognized by peers or designated by countries

Purity: Characteristic of a reference material which indicates the proportion of the stated component of interest in relation to the total substance. Purity is typically expressed in percentages and should be considered when preparing standard solutions.

Quality control material (QCM): Reference material used for quality control of a measurement.

Reference material (RM): Material sufficiently homogeneous and stable with respect to one or more specified properties, which has been established to be fit for its intended use in a measurement process.

Reference material document: A document that provides the relevant information about certified purity, concentration, date of expiry and measurement uncertainty of an RM, which is in compliance with the requirement in ISO 17034³ and ISO 33401.¹ Reference material documents can be in the form of a product information sheet or certificate of analysis (CoA).

Reference material producer (RMP): Body (organization or company, public or private) that is fully responsible for project planning and management; assignment of, and decision on property values and relevant uncertainties; authorization of property values; and issuance of a reference material certificate or other statement for the reference materials it produces.

Relative standard deviation (%RSD): It is expressed as the sample standard deviation divided by the sample mean multiplied by 100.

Stability: Characteristic of a reference material, when stored under specified conditions, to maintain a specified property value within specified limits for a specified period of time.

Standard solution: A chemical solution that has a precisely known concentration. Standard solutions are generally prepared by dissolving a solute of known mass into a solvent to a precise volume or by diluting a solution of known concentration with more solvent.

Stock solution: A solution of a reference material or standard of high concentration from which appropriate dilutions can be made at the time of use.

Traceability: Metrological traceability, property of a measurement result whereby the result can be related to a reference through a documented unbroken chain of calibrations, each contributing to the measurement uncertainty.

Uncertainty: Measurement uncertainty, non-negative parameter characterizing the dispersion of the quantity values being attributed to a measurand, based on the information used.

Referenced texts

- 1 International Organization for Standardization (ISO). 2024. *Reference materials – Contents of certificates, labels and accompanying documentation*. ISO 33401:2024. <https://www.iso.org/standard/84222.html>
- 2 ISO/International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC). 2017. *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*. ISO/IEC 17025. <https://www.iso.org/standard/66912.html>
- 3 ISO. 2016. *General requirements for the competence of reference material producers*. ISO 17034:2016. <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:17034:ed-1:v1:en>
- 4 ISO. 2024. *Reference Materials – Approaches for characterization and assessment of homogeneity and stability*. ISO 33405:2024. <https://www.iso.org/standard/84226.html>
- 5 European Commission Directorate General for Health and Food Safety (SANTE). 2021. *Analytical Quality Control and Method Validation Procedures for Pesticide Residues Analysis in Food and Feed*. SANTE/11312/2021 V2, Implemented by 01/01/2024. https://www.eurl-pesticides.eu/userfiles/file/EurlALL/SANTE-11312_2021-V2.pdf

Codex Alimentarius

A collection of international food standards developed to protect consumer health and ensure fair practices in the food trade. Codex standards are adopted by the Codex Alimentarius Commission, an intergovernmental body with 189 Members, established by FAO and WHO. The standards are recognized by the World Trade Organization as the benchmark for the safety of internationally traded food.

Codex Secretariat

Contacts

codex@fao.org
codexalimentarius.org
x.com/FAOWHOCodex
youtube.com/@UNFAO

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

Rome, Italy

FAO and WHO. 2026. *Guidelines for monitoring the stability and purity of reference materials and related stock solutions of pesticides during prolonged storage*. Codex Alimentarius Guideline No. CXG 106-2025. Codex Alimentarius Commission. Rome. <https://openknowledge.fao.org/handle/20.500.14283/ce0122en>

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) or the World Health Organization (WHO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.



Some rights reserved. This work is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International licence ([CC BY-NC 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/))