

APPENDIX IX**MANAGEMENT OF UNSUPPORTED COMPOUNDS
WITHOUT PUBLIC HEALTH¹ CONCERN SCHEDULED FOR PERIODIC REVIEW****For internal use by CCPR**

1. Unsupported compounds without public health concerns (PHCs) due for periodic review will be managed according to the periodic review procedures described in the Codex Procedural Manual, according to Section IV: Risk Analysis, Risk Analysis Principles Applied by the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues, especially Chapter Risk management, Role of CCPR², paragraphs 208 – 224².
2. At each Session, the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (CCPR) will consider the establishment of an Electronic Working Group (EWG) for Unsupported Compounds.
3. Consistent with current practice, the Chair of the EWG on Priorities will continue to provide the following information regarding compounds listed in Tables 2A, 2B and 3 distributed to members and observers each year:
 - i. Status of health concerns, currently presented in the “Table 2B PHC only” tab of the Scheduling and Priority Lists of Pesticides for Evaluation by the JMPR spreadsheet.
 - ii. Situation of support of the compounds and their respective CXLs
 - iii. Record and details of previous periodic evaluations (Table 3)
4. As soon as a compound is put on Table 2B (periodic review list: compounds listed under 15-year rule but not yet scheduled or listed) CCPR Members and Observers should have a close look to the compounds to see which are supported and which are unsupported.
5. Member states that notice that the Codex maximum residue limits (CXLs) for a compound are not supported and the country itself is not in a position to generate the data, should communicate such concern to the Chair EWG on Unsupported Compounds in response to the Circular Letter that the Chair of the EWG on priorities issues in September each year, which includes, among others, Tables 2A and 2B.
6. In said communication, the member state must provide detailed information about which CXLs it is interested in supporting, as well as information on national register status, the surface (ha) of the crop treated with the pesticide, international trade data or others (e.g., availability of the alternatives etc.) that justify the efforts to generate data³.
7. The Chair of the EWG on Unsupported Compounds should ask the JMPR Secretariat, which kind of data are required to conduct the reevaluations (toxicology and/or residue studies and where necessary methods of analysis). The engagement of JMPR at this early stage of the procedure is essential, both to avoid that the dossier to be prepared will be found incomplete, and to avoid unnecessary repetition of studies.
8. The Chair of the EWG on Unsupported Compounds will report for consideration by the CCPR plenary the list of pesticides and CXLs for which some member states have expressed concern about the possible revocation of CXLs due to the lack of support, a qualification of whether there is a justification to advance in the search for possible supports. CCPR shall ratify the initiation of the process of seeking support within the EWG on Unsupported compounds.
9. Within the EWG on Unsupported Compound, opportunities should be discussed by the stakeholders’ group, including especially from those members having evaluated the compounds and/or authorized uses and those members and observers having an interest in keeping the substance in the Codex system.
10. For those compounds for which support is obtained, the member (s) should inform both the Chair of the EWG on Priorities and the Chair of the EWG on Unsupported Compounds whether all or some of the CXLs will be supported and should specify each supported and unsupported CXL and the timeframe for provision of relevant data to JMPR. The timeframe proposed for generating and providing data, should not exceed four-years (four-year rule as specified in the Codex Procedures Manual).
11. For substances where support for one or more CXL for an unsupported substance is announced and support can be realized as described before, the remaining unsupported CXL will be revoked after renewal of the compound.
12. For compounds and their CXLs for which there is no support obtained according to points 5–10, CCPR should once again ask for support. If no support is given, the withdrawal of CXLs should be endorsed in the following CCPR meeting.

¹ In the context of this document “unsupported compounds without public health concern” describes compounds, for which no public health concern form has been lodged by a Member or where JMPR has not indicated any public health concern. These compounds are waiting for a periodic review after 15 years without a sponsor stating support for the compound.

² Procedural Manual (PM) in its latest version.

³ Useful information on the data expected and to be evaluated by the JMPR can be found in ‘Submission and evaluation of pesticide residue data for the estimation of maximum residues in food and feed. Third edition. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 225, Food and Agricultural Organization Rome 2016.’ the so-called FAO Manual as well as in ‘Principles and Methods for the Risk Assessment of Chemicals in Food (Environmental Health Criteria 240), World Health Organization, 2009’.