FAO SPECIFICATIONS AND EVALUATIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL PESTICIDES

BIFENTHRIN

2-methylbiphenyl-3-ylmethyl (*Z*)-(1RS,3RS)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropanecarboxylate



TABLE OF CONTENTS

BIFENTHRIN

	Page
DISCLAIMER	
INTRODUCTION	1
PART ONE	
SPECIFICATIONS FOR BIFENTHRIN	2
BIFENTHRIN INFORMATION	3
BIFENTHRIN TECHNICAL MATERIAL (MARCH 2015)	4
BIFENTHRIN WETTABLE POWDER (MARCH 2015)	5
PART TWO	
EVALUATIONS OF BIFENTHRIN	7
2014 FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT ON BIFENTHRIN	8
SUPPORTING INFORMATION	10
ANNEX 1: HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY PROPOSER	14
ANNEX 2: REFERENCES	16
2010 FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT ON BIFENTHRIN	17
2009 FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT ON BIFENTHRIN	18
SUPPORTING INFORMATION	23
ANNEX 1: HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY PROPOSER	29
ANNEX 2: REFERENCES	50

DISCLAIMER¹

FAO specifications are developed with the basic objective of promoting, as far as practicable, the manufacture, distribution and use of pesticides that meet basic quality requirements.

Compliance with the specifications does not constitute an endorsement or warranty of the fitness of a particular pesticide for a particular purpose, including its suitability for the control of any given pest, or its suitability for use in a particular area. Owing to the complexity of the problems involved, the suitability of pesticides for a particular purpose and the content of the labelling instructions must be decided at the national or provincial level.

Furthermore, pesticides which are manufactured to comply with these specifications are not exempted from any safety regulation or other legal or administrative provision applicable to their manufacture, sale, transportation, storage, handling, preparation and/or use.

FAO disclaims any and all liability for any injury, death, loss, damage or other prejudice of any kind that may arise as a result of, or in connection with, the manufacture, sale, transportation, storage, handling, preparation and/or use of pesticides which are found, or are claimed, to have been manufactured to comply with these specifications.

Additionally, FAO wishes to alert users to the fact that improper storage, handling, preparation and/or use of pesticides can result in either a lowering or complete loss of safety and/or efficacy.

FAO is not responsible, and does not accept any liability, for the testing of pesticides for compliance with the specifications, nor for any methods recommended and/or used for testing compliance. As a result, FAO does not in any way warrant or represent that any pesticide claimed to comply with a FAO specification actually does so.

¹ This disclaimer applies to all specifications published by FAO.

INTRODUCTION

FAO establishes and publishes specifications* for technical material and related formulations of agricultural pesticides, with the objective that these specifications may be used to provide an international point of reference against which products can be judged either for regulatory purposes or in commercial dealings.

Since 1999 the development of FAO specifications follows the **New Procedure**, described in the 5th edition of the "Manual on the development and use of FAO specifications for plant protection products" (FAO Plant Production and Protection Page No. 149). This **New Procedure** follows a formal and transparent evaluation process. It describes the minimum data package, the procedure and evaluation applied by FAO and the Experts of the FAO/WHO Joint Meeting on Pesticide Specifications (JMPS). [Note: prior to 2002, the Experts were of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Specifications, Registration Requirements, Application Standards and Prior Informed Consent, which now forms part of the JMPS, rather than the JMPS.]

FAO Specifications now only apply to products for which the technical materials have been evaluated. Consequently from the year 2000 onwards the publication of FAO specifications under the **New Procedure** has changed. Every specification consists now of two parts namely the specifications and the evaluation report(s):

PART ONE: The Specification of the technical material and the related formulations of the plant protection product in accordance with chapter 4, 5 and 6 of the 5th edition of the "Manual on the development and use of FAO specifications for plant protection products".

PART Two: The Evaluation Report(s) of the plant protection product reflecting the evaluation of the data package carried out by FAO and the JMPS. The data are to be provided by the manufacturer(s) according to the requirements of Appendix A, Annex 1 or 2 of the "Manual on the development and use of FAO specifications for plant protection products" and supported by other information sources. The Evaluation Report includes the name(s) of the manufacturer(s) whose technical material has been evaluated. Evaluation reports on specifications developed subsequently to the original set of specifications are added in a chronological order to this report.

FAO specifications under the **New Procedure** do <u>not</u> necessarily apply to nominally similar products of other manufacturer(s), nor to those where the active ingredient is produced by other routes of manufacture. FAO has the possibility to extend the scope of the specifications to similar products but only when the JMPS has been satisfied that the additional products are equivalent to that which formed the basis of the reference specification.

Specifications bear the date (month and year) of publication of the current version. Dates of publication of the earlier versions, if any, are identified in a footnote. Evaluations bear the date (year) of the meeting at which the recommendations were made by the JMPS.

*NOTE: publications are available on the internet at http://www.fao.org/agriculture/crops/core-themes/theme/pests/jmps/ps-new/en/ or in hardcopy from the Plant Protection Information Officer.

PART ONE

SPECIFICATIONS

BIFENTHRIN

	Page
BIFENTHRIN INFORMATION	3
BIFENTHRIN TECHNICAL MATERIAL (MARCH 2015)	4
BIFENTHRIN WETTABLE POWDER (MARCH 2015)	5

BIFENTHRIN

INFORMATION

ISO common name

Bifenthrin (ISO 1750 published)

Chemical name(s)

IUPAC 2-methylbiphenyl-3-ylmethyl (Z)-(1RS,3RS)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-

1-enyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

CA (2-methyl[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methyl 3-[(1Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoro-1-

propenyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

Synonyms

FMC 54800

Structural formulae

(Z)-(1R)-cis-

(Z)-(1S)-cis-

Molecular formula

C₂₃H₂₂CIF₃O₂

Relative molecular mass

423.0

CAS Registry number

82657-04-3

CIPAC number

415

Identity tests

GC relative retention time, IR spectrum, electron ionization mass spectrum (from GC-MS), ¹H-NMR spectrum

BIFENTHRIN TECHNICAL MATERIAL

FAO Specification 415 / TC (March 2015*)

This specification, which is PART ONE of this publication, is based on an evaluation of data submitted by the manufacturer whose names are listed in the evaluation reports (415/2009, 415/2010 & 415/2014). It should be applicable to TC produced by these manufacturers but it is not an endorsement of those products, nor a guarantee that they comply with the specifications. The specification may not be appropriate for TC produced by other manufacturers. The evaluation reports (415/2009, 415/2010 & 415/2014), as PART TWO, form an integral part of this publication.

1. Description

The material shall consist of bifenthrin together with related manufacturing impurities, in a form of a light brown to amber viscous liquid, crystalline solid, or waxy solid with a faint slightly sweet odour, free from visible extraneous matter and added modifying agents.

2. Active Ingredient

2.1 **Identity test** (AOAC INTERNATIONAL: Bifenthrin Analysis in Technical Material and Formulations by Capillary Gas Chromatography, Note 1)

The active ingredient shall comply with an identity test and, where the identity remains in doubt, shall comply with at least one additional test.

2.2 **Bifenthrin content** (AOAC INTERNATIONAL: Bifenthrin Analysis in Technical Material and Formulations by Capillary Gas Chromatography, Note 1)

The bifenthrin content shall be declared (not less than 930 g/kg) and, when determined, the mean measured content shall not be lower than the declared minimum content.

Note 1 Gas Chromatographic Determination of Bifenthrin in Technical and Selected Formulated Products: Collaborative Study. Edward J. Kikta *et al*, Journal of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Volume: 94, Issue: 2 (2011), pages 453 to 458 or through the AOAC International Official Method of Analysis website (www.aoac.org).

^{*}Specifications may be revised and/or additional evaluations may be undertaken. Ensure the use of current versions by checking at: http://www.fao.org/agriculture/crops/core-themes/theme/pests/jmps/ps-new/en/

BIFENTHRIN WETTABLE POWDER

FAO Specification 415 / WP (March 2015*)

This specification, which is PART ONE of this publication, is based on an evaluation of data submitted by the manufacturer whose name is listed in the evaluation reports (415/2009 & 415/2010). It should be applicable to relevant products produced by this manufacturer but it is not an endorsement of those products, nor a guarantee that they comply with the specifications. The specification may not be appropriate for relevant products produced by other manufacturers. The evaluation reports (415/2009 & 415/2010), as PART TWO, form an integral part of this publication.

1. Description

The material shall consist of an homogeneous mixture of technical bifenthrin, complying with the requirements of FAO specification 415/TC (March 2015), in the form of an off-white to tan powder, together with fillers and any other necessary formulants. It shall be in the form of a fine powder free from visible extraneous matter and hard lumps.

2. Active Ingredient

2.1 **Identity tests** (AOAC INTERNATIONAL: Bifenthrin Analysis in Technical Material and Formulations by Capillary Gas Chromatography, Note 1)

The active ingredient shall comply with an identity test and, where the identity remains in doubt, shall comply with at least one additional test.

2.2 **Bifenthrin content** (AOAC INTERNATIONAL: Bifenthrin Analysis in Technical Material and Formulations by Capillary Gas Chromatography, Note 1)

The bifenthrin content shall be declared (100 g/kg) and, when determined, the average content measured shall not differ from that declared by more than 10% of the declared content.

3. Relevant impurities

3.1 **Water** (MT 30.5, CIPAC Handbook J, p. 120, 2000)

Maximum: 30.0 g/kg.

4 Physical properties

4.1 **Wet sieve test** (MT 185, CIPAC Handbook K, p. 149, 2003)

Maximum: 2 % retained on a 75 µm test sieve.

4.2 **Suspensibility** (MT 184, CIPAC Handbook K, p. 142, 2003) (Notes 2 & 3)

^{*}Specifications may be revised and/or additional evaluations may be undertaken. Ensure the use of current versions by checking at: http://www.fao.org/agriculture/crops/core-themes/theme/pests/jmps/ps-new/en/

A minimum of 60% of the bifenthrin content found under 2.2 shall be in suspension after 30 min in CIPAC Standard Water D at $30 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C (Note 4).

4.3 Persistent foam (MT 47.2, CIPAC Handbook F, p.152, 1995) (Note 5)

Maximum 15 mL after 1 min.

4.4 **Wettability** (MT 53.3.1, CIPAC Handbook F, p.164, 1995)

The formulation shall be completely wetted in 3 min without swirling

5. Storage stability

5.1 Stability at elevated temperature (MT 46.3, CIPAC Handbook J, p. 128, 2000)

After storage at 54 ± 2 °C for 14 days, the determined average active ingredient content must not be lower than 95% relative to the determined average content found before storage (Note 6), and the formulation shall continue to comply with the clauses for:

- wet sieve test (4.1),
- suspensibility (4.2)
- wettability (4.4).
- Note 1 Gas Chromatographic Determination of Bifenthrin in Technical and Selected Formulated Products: Collaborative Study. Edward J. Kikta *et al*, Journal of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Volume: 94, Issue: 2 (2011), pages 453 to 458 or through the AOAC International Official Method of Analysis website (www.aoac.org).
- Note 2 The formulation should be tested at the highest and lowest rates of use recommended by the supplier, provided this does not exceed the conditions given in methods MT 184.
- Note 3 This test will normally only be carried out after the heat stability test 5.1.
- Note 4 Chemical assay is the only fully reliable method to measure the mass of active ingredient still in suspension. However, simpler methods such as gravimetric and solvent extraction determination may be used on a routine basis provided that these methods have been shown to give equal results to those of chemical assay. In case of dispute, chemical assay shall be the "referee method".

The AOAC method does not provide a method for chemical assay. The following procedure can be used to determine the remaining 10 % in the cylinder. For calculation, use the formula provided in MT 184. After removing the 9/10 top layer of the water/WP suspension, carefully remove the remaining bottom 1/10 residue and concentrate the solids either by filtration or centrifugation. Make sure to rinse the tube, lower 1/10 section only, at least twice with a small amount of water, to remove any of the remaining bottom material. Add the rinse to the filter or centrifuge tube for isolation.Dry the isolated WP to a constant weight under 50°C in a vacuum oven. After drying analyze the WP according to the method referenced in Note 1 for the WP. If the WP quantity is less than that specified in the procedure, the actual extraction volume should be proportionally adjusted.

- Note 5 The mass of sample to be used in the test should be at the highest rate of use recommended by the supplier. The test is to be conducted in CIPAC standard water D at $30 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C.
- Note 6 Samples of the formulation taken before and after the storage stability test should be analyzed concurrently after the test in order to reduce the analytical error.

PART TWO

EVALUATION REPORTS

BIFENTHRIN

		Page
2014	FAO/WHO evaluation report based on data submitted	8
	by Yangnong (TC)	0
	Supporting Information	10
	Annex 1: Hazard summary provided by proposer	14
	Annex 2: References	16
2010	FAO/WHO evaluation report based on data submitted by FMC (TC, WP)	17
2009	FAO/WHO evaluation reportbased on data submitted by FMC	18
	Supporting Information	23
	Annex 1: Hazard summary provided by proposer	29
	Annex 2: References	52

BIFENTHRIN FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2014

Recommendations

The Meeting recommended that:

- (i) The existing FAO specification for bifenthrin TC should be extended to encompass the product of Jiangsu Yangnong Chemical Co., Ltd., manufactured by Youth Chemical Co., Ltd., China.
- (ii) The existing WHO specification for bifenthrin TC should be extended to encompass the product of Jiangsu Yangnong Chemical Co., Ltd., manufactured by Youth Chemical Co., Ltd., China.

Appraisal

The data for bifenthrin were submitted in 2013 by Jiangsu Yangnong Chemical Co. (Yangnong) and evaluated in support of extension of existing FAO- and WHO specifications 415/TC (2012).

The Meeting was provided with confidential information on the manufacturing process, together with 5-batch analytical data and manufacturing specification for purity and impurities present at levels ≥1 g/kg. Mass balances were in the range of 989.4 - 993.0 g/kg.

The purity and impurity profile submitted by Yangnong to JMPS was consistent with that submitted to the pesticide registration authority in China (Zhao Yonghui, 2014).

The manufacturing process provided by Yangnong is similar to that supporting the existing FAO and WHO specification for bifenthrin TC. The Meeting noted, that Yangnong's process description also included information on the manufacturing of some starting materials. The minimum purity of bifenthrin in the TC is 980 g/kg and complies with the existing specification. The *cis/trans* and *Z/E* isomer ratios were similar to those in the reference profile.

The declared manufacturing limits for the impurities identified in the technical material were comparable to the limits in the reference profile and did not exceed the tolerances as set in the FAO/WHO Manual. No new impurities related to bifenthrin were identified. However, the Meeting questioned the completeness of the manufacturing specification with regard to the possible presence of residues of certain solvents and reagents used in the manufacturing process. The company subsequently provided additional data that led to the conclusion that the control limits of residual solvents and reagents in the proposer's product are much lower than those in the reference profile.

The Meeting concluded that the solvents and reagents used in the manufacturing process are sufficiently removed so their low concentrations render them non-relevant impurities. These compounds were determined by a GC-FID method using external standard, properly validated for linearity of response, accuracy and repeatability.

Initially, the analytical methods for the active ingredient (including identity tests) were inhouse methods, as at the time of elaboration of the data on 5 typical batches the AOAC method was not yet published. The bifenthrin content was determined by reversed phase HPLC with UV detection. The *cis/trans* isomer ratio was determined by GC-FID according to the area ratio by using peak area normalization method. The possible *trans* isomer peak was confirmed according to the MS spectra from test item after excluding the peak of active ingredient and the *E* isomer.

The Meeting requested the company to carry out a bridging study for the in-house and AOAC methods for the determination of bifenthrin content and *cis/trans* ratio. The comparison of the results of the two methods (AOAC method and Yangnong in-house method) showed a good agreement for all batches.

The batches used for the determination of the *cis/trans* ratio were different from that used for the 5-batch report, and were analyzed for the content of the active ingredient and significant impurities.. The results were consistent with those in 5-batch report.

The genotoxicity data were provided following the OECD guideline 471 with the exception, that only *S. typhimurium* and no *E. coli* had been included in the tests. The tests were performed in compliance with GLP, and the results led to the conclusion that the bifenthrin TC from Yangnong did not show genotoxicity *in vitro* under the conditions of the test.

The batches used in genotoxicity studies, identification studies, physical chemical property studies were all different from those used in 5 batch study. The proposer stated that:

- (i) The data provided have been generated from the proposer's material.
- (ii) All toxicological data generated from batches of material which were not specially purified, and in which the impurity concentrations complied with the limits.
- (iii) Current production complies with the limits of active ingredient and impurities.

The analytical details were provided to JMPS to establish the links between the hazard and purity/impurity profile data submitted. The analytical results showed that the concentrations of bifenthrin and all impurites in all the above batches complied with the manufacturing limits.

The Meeting therefore concluded that the bifenthrin TC produced by Yangnong is equivalent to the reference profile by Tier-1.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR EVALUATION REPORT 415/2014

Identity of the active ingredient

ISO common name
Bifenthrin (ISO 1750 published)

Chemical name(s)

IUPAC 2-methylbiphenyl-3-ylmethyl (Z)-(1RS, 3RS)-3-(2-chloro-3, 3, 3-

trifluoroprop-1-enyl)-2, 2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

CA (2-methyl [1, 1'-biphenyl]-3-yl) methyl 3-[(1Z)-2-chloro-3, 3, 3-trifluoro-1-

propenyl)-2, 2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

Structural formulae

(Z)-(1R)-cis-

Molecular formula

C₂₃H₂₂CIF₃O₂

Relative molecular mass

423.0

CAS Registry number 82657-04-3

CIPAC number

415

Identity tests

GC relative retention time, IR spectrum, electron ionization mass spectrum (from GC-MS), ¹H-NMR spectrum

FORMULATIONS AND CO-FORMULATED ACTIVE INGREDIENTS

Yangnong did not propose a formulation specification.

METHODS OF ANALYSIS AND TESTING

The AOAC method for determination of bifenthrin content in TC and WP was published in 2011, whereas the Yangnong 5-batch study report was completed in 2009. The analytical method for the active ingredient (including identity tests) was developed and adequately validated by the test facility under the U.S. EPA guidelines (OPPTS 830.1700 and 830.1800). The bifenthrin content is determined in the TC by reverse phase HPLC, using UV detection at 245 nm and external standardization.

The method to determine the Z/E ratio is an in-house validated method. It was determined by HPLC, using a ZORBAX SB-C8 (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m particle size) column and UV detection at 210 nm.

The method(s) for determination of impurities are based on reverse phase HPLC, using UV detection at 210 nm and external standardization.

CONTAINERS AND PACKAGING

No special requirements for containers and packaging have been identified.

EXPRESSION OF THE ACTIVE INGREDIENT

The bifenthrin content is expressed as bifenthrin.

Table 1. Chemical composition and properties of bifenthrin technical material (TC)

for impurities ≥ 1 g/kg, 5 batch analysis data		Confidential information supplied and held on file by FAO and WHO. Mass balances were 98.94 - 99.30 % and percentages of unknowns were 1.06–0.70 %.			
Declared minimum bi	fenthrin content	980 (g/kg		
Relevant impurities ≥ 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them		None)		
Relevant impurities < maximum limits for th		None)		
Stabilisers or other acmaximum limits for th		None			
Parameter	Value and conditions		Purity %	Method reference	Study number
Melting temperature range of the TC	69.4~70.0 °C		98.3	OECD 102	3513080006
Solubility in organic solvents	80-100 g/l n-heptar 25 °C	ne at	98.3	CIPAC MT 181	3513080008
	> 250 g/l p-xylene a	at 25			
	> 250 g/l 1,2-dichloro- ethane at 25 °C				
	< 10 g/l propane-2-ol at 25 °C				
	> 250 g/l acetone at 25 °C				
	> 250 g/l ethyl acet at 25 °C	tate			

ANNEX 1

HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER

Notes.

- (i) The proposer confirmed that the toxicological data included in the summary below were derived from bifenthrin having impurity profiles similar to those referred to in the table above.
- (ii) The conclusions expressed in the summary below are those of the proposer, unless otherwise specified.

Table 2: Mutagenicity profile of the bifenthrin technical material based on an *in vitro* test

Species	Test	Purity % Note ²	Guideline, duration, doses and conditions	Result	Study number
Salmonella typhimurium strains TA97a, TA98, TA100, TA102 and TA1535	Bacterial reverse mutation	98.3	OECD 471 128, 320、800、2000、 5000 µg/plate (with and without S-9 mix) 37 °C for 48 hours	Not mutagenic	2014-165-01- 01

The results of the study lead to the conclusion that bifenthrin TC produced by Yangnong does not induce mutation under the conditions of the study.

² Note: Purity is the content of pure active ingredient in the technical material, expressed as a percentage.

ANNEX 2

REFERENCES

Study number	Author(s) Year Study title. Study identification number. Report identification number. GLP [if GLP]. Company conducting the study.
Zhao Y., 2014	2014 E-mail from Mr Zhao Yonghui, deputy director of Registration Division of ICAMA sent 12 June 2014 to Mr Chen Tiechun, ICAMA, confirming the similarity of confidential data submitted for registration of Yangnong's bifenthrin in China with the data submitted to JMPS.
NC-2011- 042	2011 Determination of cis/trans ratio (Active Ingredient/trans-isomers ratio) in 5 batch bifenthrin TGAI. NC-2011-042. GLP. Nutrichem Laboratory Co. Ltd., China.
NC-2008- 022	2009 Preliminary Analysis and Enforcement Analytical Method of bifenthrin TGAI. NC-2008-022. GLP. NutrichemLaboratory Co. Ltd., China.
NC-2013- 098	2014 Determination of 3D spectra, Identification of Active Ingredient and Impurities for 5 Batches of Bifenthrin TGAI as amendment to study NC-2008-022. NC-2013-098. GLP. Nutrichem Laboratory Co. Ltd., China.
3513080006	2013 Determination of the Melting Point/Melting Range of 97% bifenthrin Technical. 3513080006. GLP. Shanghai Research Institute of Chemical Industry Testing Centre, China.
3513080008	2013 Determination of the Solubility in Organic Solvents of 97% bifenthrin Technical. 3513080008. GLP. Shanghai Research Institute of Chemical Industry Testing Centre, China.
2014-165- 01-01	2014 Bacterium Reverse Mutation Test for Bifenthrin. 2014-165-01-01. GLP.

BIFENTHRIN

FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2010

Recommendations

The Meeting recommended that:

(i) The specifications proposed by FMC for bifenthrin TC and WP as amended should be adopted by WHO and FAO, taking into account that the collaboratively tested analytical method is now published and a method for the chemical assay of the active ingredient in the suspensibility assay has been provided.

Appraisal

The analytical method for determination of bifenthrin in TC and WP was published in the Journal of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Volume 94 and is also available on the AOAC INTERNATIONAL website. Furthermore, the company provided an addendum to the analytical method for the determination of the remaining 10% in the cylinder in the CIPAC MT 184, suspensibility method.

The draft specifications were essentially in accordance with the requirements of the FAO and WHO Specification Manual, March 2006 revision. A few issues relating to the WPwere identified by the Meeting.

WP only

The necessity of limiting water content was discussed by the Meeting. The Meeting accepted that water should be limited in the WP, to minimize to potential for clumping of the powder particles during storage of the formulation. The proposed limit of 30 g/kg was accepted. The Meeting noted the exceptionally long wetting time of 3 minutes, which was explained by the proposer to be due to the hydrophobic nature of the active ingredient.

BIFENTHRIN

FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2009

Recommendations

The Meeting recommended the following:

- (i) The specifications proposed by FMC for bifenthrin TC and WP, as amended, should be adopted byWHO subject to the publication of the analytical method for bifenthrin TC and WP and amendment of the analytical method for WP for determination of the suspensibility. In the meantime, the evaluation report can be published.
- (ii) The specifications for bifenthrin TC and WP,as amended, should be adopted by FAO, subject to the publication of the analytical method for bifenthrin TC and WP and amendment of the analytical method for WP for determination of the suspensibility. In the meantime, the evaluation report can be published.

Appraisal

The Meeting considered data and supporting information submitted by FMC for the development of new FAO and WHO specifications for bifenthrin TC and WP. The data submitted were broadly in accordance with the requirements of the FAO/WHO Manual (March 2006 revision of the first edition) and supported the draft specifications for new FAO and WHO specifications.

Bifenthrin is a pyrethroid insecticide, which had been the subject of a time-limited WHO interim specification withdrawn in April 2008. A WHOPES recommendation for the use of a 10 % bifenthrin WP in public health for indoor residual spraying for malaria vector control was published in 2001. The toxicology of bifenthrinwas evaluated by the FAO/WHO JMPR in 2009. The WHO/IPCS (International Programme on Chemical Safety) has also evaluated bifenthrin in 2002.

The ISO common name, bifenthrin, denotes a compound consisting of the Z 1 *R/Scis* enantiomers of the trifluorochloromethylchrysanthemic acid esterified with the 2-methylbiphenylalcohol, together with small amounts of the respective E and *trans* forms (see below). Bifenthrin has two chiral centres, but as the configuration of the *cis*-trifluorochloromethylchrysanthemic acid is carried forward to the final product, bifenthrin contains predominantly the two *cis* stereoisomers at the cyclopropane moiety, providing the highest insecticidal activity (1). The *cis/trans* ratio in technical bifenthrin is higher than 97:3 and the Z/E ratio is higher than 99:1.

Confidential information on the manufacturing process and limits for all impurities occurring at or above 1 g/kg in the TC were provided to the Meeting. The manufacturing specification for minimum bifenthrin content of the TC was 930 g/kg. The limits for content of bifenthrin and impurities were supported by 5 batch analysis data. The manufacturing specification and their data on 5 batches have evolved over time, in the way that, among other, the minimum purity was increased (from initially 890 g/kg to 930 g/kg) and additional manufacturing sites were introduced.

The first impurity profile and specification were elaborated in 1986 for the TC produced in US. In recent years, two more production sites were introduced and the material produced characterized by analysis of 5 typical batches.

Mass balances were in the range of 98.6 to 99.1 and 97.9 to 98.8 % respectively in the batches of the two actual production sites.

The bifenthrin TC produced at the different sites were considered to meet a common manufacturing specification, even though some impurities show a considerable variability in their concentrations in the batches from the two sites analyzed. The questions of equivalence of the TC produced in the different sites was discussed and the Meeting agreed that based on the rules of the Manual on equivalence the TC produced at the different sites can be considered broadly equivalent. The methods to analyze the batches were the same for all samples and full validation was provided.

The information on the manufacturing process and impurities present in the TC was identical to that submitted in support of registration of bifenthrin in Switzerland.

The manufacturer provided information on the materials used in the hazard tests and the Meeting agreed that the hazard data were acceptable. The Meeting noted that the TC with a content of 901 g/kg, tested in the majority of toxicological and ecotoxicological studies (Annex 1, Tables 3-6), was lower than the current manufacturing specification (≥930 g/kg).

The proposer stated that no relevant impurities are present in the technical material, either > 1 g/kg or less than 1 g/kg. The question of the relevance of certain impurities was discussed by the Meeting. The stereoisomers having *trans*- and E configuration at the cyclopropane moiety or the vinyl bond, respectively, being present in technical bifenthrin were considered non-relevant as there was no indication that these stereoisomers would adversely influence the hazard when present at higher concentrations. The Meeting discussed the question of some residual solvents present in the TC – among them toluene – which had been considered relevant in other cases. In bifenthrin TC the amounts detected are so low that they can be considered as non-relevant.

However, based on the rules of the SpecificationsManual, one impurity, the anhydride of the 3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoro-1-propenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropanecarboxylic acid, for brevity called the TFP anhydride 3, was identified as a potentially relevant impurity. Considering the end points of the hazard data provided in Table 3 on acute dermal toxicity in the Buehler and Magnusson-Kligman tests on dermal sensitization and the composition of the technical materials used in these studies, the negative end point in the Buehler test and the positive end point in the Magnusson-Kligmann test were tentatively associated with a low content of TFP anhydride in the material used for the Buehler test and a higher content of TFP anhydride in the material used in the maximization test. The meeting noted, that the latter test is more challenging and tends to show more positive results with the same material used. It remained however unclear whether bifenthrin itself as a pure compound would elicit such a response in the tested animals. In order to elucidate how the residual TFP anhydride was contributing to the dermal sensitization, an additional study was recently undertaken with a low content (0.2 g/kg) of TFP anhydride. The overall result clearly showed that technical bifenthrin with such a low content of anhydride is a sensitizer too.

In conclusion, bifenthrin is a sensitizer by itself, which renders the TFP anhydride non-

³ The IUPAC chemical name of the TFP anhydride is 3-[(1Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic anhydride.

relevant, and hence the Meeting agreedthat no limit for this impurity needs to be set.

The method developed by the proposer and collaboratively validated by AOAC International to determine the content of bifenthrin in TC and in formulations (WP, EC, SC) utilizes megabore column gas chromatography with internal standard. This method allows the separation of the *cis* and *trans* isomers of bifenthrin and to the determination of the total bifenthrin content (expressed as sum of *cis* and *trans*-bifenthrin) as well as to the measurement of the ratio of *cis/trans* isomers present. However, the method for the WP does not yet provide a submethod for the determination of the suspensibility (MT 184, CIPAC Handbook K, p. 142). The suspensibility is an important clause in the specification for solid formulations forming suspensions upon dilution with water as a WP. The assay is preferably done by chemical analysis similar to that for the total content of TC or WP. This submethod which is normally a part of the method for total content in a solid formulation is not yet available.

In addition, the ratio of *cis/trans* isomers can be determined by non-enantioselective HPLC using an octadecyl-substituted silica (ODS) column (2). As the *cis/trans* isomers have diastereomeric relationship, they can easily be separated by this technique. The ratio of Z and E isomers at the vinyl bond together with the respective *cis/trans* isomers is determined using a non-enantioselective HPLC normal phase system (3), which provides full resolution of all *cis-trans* and Z/E isomers present in the technical bifenthrin. A validated method for determination of the TFP anhydride based on reversed phase HPLC-UV detection is available. The validation data show that the TFP anhydride can be determined in bifenthrin TC and show acceptable accuracy, reproducibility, and recovery and is capable to determine the impurity in a concentration range of 0.5 g/kg to 50 g/kg. The method is not validated for bifenthrin formulations.

As the AOAC method is not yet publicly available, an essential prerequisite for the publication of the specifications is missing and therefore the evaluation report only is published.

Bifenthrin is almost insoluble in water but moderately to highly soluble in organic solvents, such as hexane, ethanol, acetone, toluene, etc. It has a low volatility. It is stable under normal storage conditions and is only slowly hydrolyzed in water under neutral, acidic and basic pH conditions. The process of direct photolysis in water is slow, but in natural freshwater systems indirect photolysis may contribute significantly to the dissipation of the compound (cited after 4). Bifenthrin is strongly adsorbed on soil particles and is degraded with half-lives of typically 65 to 125 days (cited after Ref. 9). Despite this somewhat higher stability of bifenthrin in soil and water as compared to other pyrethroids, residues of bifenthrin are not expected to accumulate in soil and sediment, taking into consideration the low amounts applied and the moderate degradation rates in soil and water.

The toxicology data were elaborated using the technical active ingredient complying with the criteria given above (e.g. with a bifenthrin content of 901 g/kg, *cis/trans* ratio > 97.3, and Z/E 99: 1). Exceptions are data on skin sensitization (batch from 2004 with purity indicated) and in aquatic ecotoxicology testing, where biphenyl U-¹⁴C-labelled material having a comparable *cis/trans* ratio as the unlabelled material was used.

Bifenthrin generally shows moderate acute mammalian toxicity. The European Union, in the conclusion document on bifenthrin, also concluded that this compound is a sensitizer in the maximization test according to Magnusson and Kligman. The JMPR concluded that the results of the long-term studies in rats and mice and a series of studies designed to evaluate genotoxicity indicated that bifenthrin is unlikely to pose a mutagenic and

teratogenic hazard to humans. An ADI of 0–0.01 mg/kg bw was set based on a NOAEL of 1.0 mg/kg bw per day ina study of developmental toxicity in rats and using a safety factor of 100. The JMPR Meeting also established an ARfD of 0.01 mg/kg bw based on a threshold dose of 1.3 mg/kgbw for motor activity in a study of acute toxicity in male rats treated by gavage and using a safetyfactor of 100.

The test battery for the assessment of mutagenicity yielded again mixed results. Whereas some tests were clearly negative (such as the Ames test on different strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* with and without activation, respectively), other tests showed weak positive response or yielded inconclusive results with technical bifenthrin, such as the Mouse Lymphoma Mutagenesis Assay or the unscheduled DNA synthesis test with rat hepatocytes. The overall conclusion, as shared with the JMPR evaluation (2), was that bifenthrin does not pose a significant hazard to humans with respect to mutagenicity.

A considerable data package on ecotoxicological effects of bifenthrin was presented. Aquatic organisms like Daphnia magna, mysid shrimp and several fish species were found to be very sensitive to low levels of the compound. These levels, often in the sub-ng/l range, were determined using ¹⁴C-labelled bifenthrin (*cis/trans* ratio 98:2). The compound has been shown to bioaccumulate in fish (BCF 1060 at a concentration of less than 0.1 ng/l in a flowthrough system). Bifenthrin is therefore highly toxic to aquatic organisms except algae, where no effect concentrations in the ppm-range clearly above water solubilility were observed.

Non-target predatory insects and mites such as *Chrysoperlacarnea* or *Typhlodromuspyri*showed a high mortality at the somewhat exaggerated field rates corresponding to 60 g a.i. per ha (recommended field rate in Switzerland in agriculture: 20 - 40 g/ha). The same holds for the honey bee, *Apismellifera*. The spray deposits being dried up, the risk for honey bees is clearly reduced. In contrast, birds are not sensitive to the intake of bifenthrin, with acute toxicity (8 day feeding study) in the range of 1250 to 4450 mg/kg (LC_{50}).

Bifenthrin is used both in agriculture to control sucking and biting insects like aphids, white fly, colorado beetle in various crops and in public health applications (mainly as emulsifiable concentrates or wettable powder), against mosquitoes, houseflies, cockroaches.

Test methods for determination of physical-chemical properties of the technical active ingredient were OECD or EC, while those for the formulations were AOAC International and CIPAC methods, as indicated in the specifications.

The Meeting considered the proposed specifications were broadly in accordance with the requirements of the specification manual (FAO/WHO 2006) and thus certain clauses in the existing specifications, e.g. melting point and flash point, had been omitted and did not require further consideration.

References for the appraisal

Ref. Nr.	Authors	Year	Title
1	Chamberlain K, Matsuo N, Kanoko H and Khambay B P S	1998	Pyrethroids, in "Chirality in Agrochemicals", Ed. Norio Kurihara and Juhshi Miyamoto, Wiley, p. 32
2	Anon.	1987	FMC Test Method ACG 88, High Performance Liquid Chromatographic Analysis of FMC 54800 ("Reversed Phase")
3	Anon.	no date	FMC Corporation, Test Method ACG 89, High Performance Liquid Chromatographic Analysis of FMC 54800 ("Normal Phase")
4	Roberts T and Hutson D	1988	Metabolic Pathways of Agrochemicals, Part Two, Insecticides and Fungicides, "Bifenthrin", p. 594 to 596. The Royal Society of Chemistry

SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR EVALUATION REPORT 415/2009

EXPLANATION

The data for bifenthrin were evaluated in support of new FAO/WHO specifications.

Bifenthrin is not under patent.

Bifenthrin was first registered in the US and Europe in 1985. Bifenthrin was evaluated by the FAO/WHO JMPR in 1992 and 2009 and there are currently 27 approved CODEX maximum residue limits (MRLs) for bifenthrin. The WHO/IPCS (International Programme on Chemical Safety) has also evaluated bifenthrin (WHO Recommended Classification of Pesticides by Hazard, 2000-2002). The bifenthrin dossier was submitted to the European Commission in November 2003 in compliance with the EC Directive 91/414. Recently, EFSA published its conclusion on bifenthrin (available through http://www.efsa.europa.eu).

The draft specification and the supporting data were provided by FMC Corporation in 2001.

USES

Bifenthrin is a fourth generation pyrethroid insecticide and acaricide that affects the nervous systems of target pests. It is used in horticulture and public health against (including but not limited to) caterpillars, grasshoppers, fleas, ants, cockroaches, moths, beetles, mites, aphids, thrips, scales, termites, mosquitoes, scorpions, wasps, and spiders.

IDENTITY OF THE ACTIVE INGREDIENT

ISO common name

Bifenthrin (ISO 1750 published)

Chemical name(s)

IUPAC 2-methylbiphenyl-3-ylmethyl (Z)-(1RS,3RS)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-

1-enyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

CA (2-methyl[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methyl 3-[(1Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoro-1-

propenyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

Synonyms

FMC 54800

Structural formulae

(Z)-(1R)-cis-

(Z)-(1S)-cis-

Molecular formula

 $C_{23}H_{22}CIF_3O_2$

Relative molecular mass

423.0

CAS Registry number

82657-04-3

CIPAC number

415

Identity tests

GC relative retention time, IR spectrum, electron ionization mass spectrum (from GC-MS)

Physico-chemical properties of bifenthrin

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of pure bifenthrin

Parameter	Value(s) and conditions	Purity %	Method reference (and technique if the reference gives more than one)	Reference
Vapour pressure	2.4 x 10 ⁻⁵ Pa at 25°C	98.9	Gas Saturation Method	CGP-83-1
Melting point, boiling point and/or temperature of decomposition	Melting point: 65-70°C Bifenthrin vaporizes intact in the 215-225°C temperature range	98.5	DSC TGA-IR	P-2544
Solubility in water	Less than 0.1 micrograms per litre at pH = 2, 7, and 11 (approximately 14 ppt)	96.6	Column generator method	P-17-99-45
Octanol/water partition coefficient	Log P _{OW} >6 The extremely low water solubility of bifenthrin makes a more precise measurement of the partition coefficient nearly impossible and unnecessary.	96.5	Shake flask partitioning with HPLC analysis	P-0698
Hydrolysis characteristics	No hydrolysis was detected over a study period of 22 days at pH = 5, 7, and 9	96.5	Hydrolysis solutions stored in glass at 25°C. Analysis by HPLC	P-0701
Photolysis characteristics	This study estimates a DT50 of 24.4 to 24.8 days in the summer at 40° N and 50° N, respectively, and demonstrates that there is a pathway for the degradation of bifenthrin in water. Major transformation product-biphenyl alcohol. Quantum yield: 7.00 x 10 ⁻⁶ .	Radiolabe led bifenthrin (98.3%)	Acetonitrile (30%) in water was used as a co-solvent due to low solubility of bifenthnin. Analyses were carried out by HPLC.	P-3837
Dissociation characteristics	Bifenthrin contains no functionalities subject to reversible dissociation.	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable

Table 2. Chemical composition and properties of bifenthrin technical material

Manufacturing process, maximum limits for impurities ≥ 1 g/kg, 5 batch analysis data	Confidential information supplied and held on file by FAO and WHO. Mass balances were 95.79 –98.54 % and percentages of unknowns were 4.21 – 1.46 %.
Declared minimum bifenthrin content	930 g/kg
Relevant impurities ≥ 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them	None
Relevant impurities < 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them	None
Stabilisers or other additives and maximum limits for them	None
Melting temperature range	65-70 °C, bifenthrin vaporizes intact at temperatures between 215-225°C

HAZARD SUMMARY

Bifenthrin has been evaluated by the WHO IPCS [2000-2002, Report No. WHO/PCS/01.5] and by the FAO/WHO JMPR in 1992 and 2009. The JMPR concluded that the results of the long-term studies in rats and mice and a series of studies designed to evaluate genotoxicity indicated that bifenthrin is unlikely to pose a carcinogenic hazard to humans. An ADI was allocated on the basis of the NOAEL of 0 to 0.01 mg/kg/bw/day using a 100-fold safety factor. This result was supported by the same NOEL in the rat teratology study, although in the latter study gavage, rather than dietary administration, was used.

The IPCS hazard classification of bifenthrin is moderately hazardous, class II.

FORMULATIONS

The main formulation types available are WP (wettable powder), EC (emulsifiable concentrate), GR (granules), UL (ultra-low volume liquid), SC (suspension concentrate) and ME (micro emulsion).

These formulations are registered and sold in many countries throughout the world.

METHODS OF ANALYSIS AND TESTING

The analytical method for the active ingredient (including identity tests) is a AOAC collaboratively validated analytical method. The bifenthrin content is determined by capillary GC with FID and internal standardisation with octacosane. Validation includes TC and WP, whereas EC and SC were tested but the validation results did not meet the acceptance criteria. The method was published in the Journal of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Volume: 94, beginning of 2011. Furthermore, an addendum to CIPAC MT 184 (suspensibility) for the chemical assay of the remaining 10 % in the cylinder was provided by the company(see Note 5, WP specification). Test methods for determination of physico-chemical properties of the technical active ingredient were based on accepted procedures during the time period bifenthrin was under development, while those for the formulations were based on CIPAC methods as indicated in the specifications.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

The physical properties, the methods for testing them and the limits proposed for the WP formulation, comply with the requirements of the FAO/WHO Manual (March 2006 version of the first edition). One exception is that the material was subjected to 3 minutes wetting time instead of the 1 minute specified. The wetting time specification reported as part of the "BifenthrinWettable Powder" report for the FAO/WHO Specification was determined by the CIPAC method MT 53.3 (CIPAC Handbook F, p. 164). Bifenthrin 10 WP shall be completely wetted in 3 minutes without swirling. This value reflected the actual production values obtained from the Bifenthrin 10 WP 2003 campaign in Middleport, NY. The longer wetting time is probably due to the hydrophobic nature of the active ingredient, bifenthrin. Even though this value is higher than 1 minute, no adverse impact during application is expected. This product has been in commercial use for a decade without any significant performance issues.

CONTAINERS AND PACKAGING

No special requirements for containers and packaging have been identified.

EXPRESSION OF THE ACTIVE INGREDIENT

The bifenthrin is expressed as bifenthrin.

ANNEX 1

HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER

Notes.

- (iii) The proposer confirmed that the toxicological and ecotoxicological data included in the summary below were derived from bifenthrin having impurity profiles similar to those referred to in the table above.
- (iv) The conclusions expressed in the summary below are those of the proposer, unless otherwise specified.

Table 3. Toxicology profile of bifenthrin technical material, based on acute toxicity, irritation and sensitization

Species	Test	Duration and conditions or guideline adopted	Resul	t	Batch/Purity	Reference
Rat (M/F)	Oral	EPA 81-1 10% Corn oil. Single dose / 14 day observation 67, 55, 48, 44, 40 and 34 mg/kg b.w	LD ₅₀	55.5 mg/kg male 54.5 mg/kg combined 53.4 mg/kg female	E1276-140/ 92%	A82-756
Mouse (M/F)	Oral	EPA 81-1 10% Corn oil. Single dose / 14 day observations 50.0, 42.0, 35.0 and 25.0 mg/kg b.w	LD ₅₀	43.5 mg/kg male 42.5 mg/kg female	E2425-145/ 91.4%	A83-837
Rat (M/F)	Oral	EPA 81-1 10% Corn oil. Single dose / 14 day observation males :20, 40, 60, 80, 90 or 100 mg/kg b.w females : 40, 60, 80 or 100 mg/kg b.w	LD ₅₀	70.1 mg/kg male 56.7 mg/kg combined 53.8 mg/kg female	151A/ 91.4%	A83-859
Rat (M/F)	Oral	EPA 81-1, OECD 401 and EC method, part B1.14 day, undiluted, single dose, 14-day observation. males: 100, 150, 200 and 300 mg/kg b.w females: 75, 100, 200 or 300 mg/kg b.w	LD ₅₀	168.4 mg/kg male 186.1 mg/kg combined 210.4 mg/kg female	PL97-592/ 93.7%	A97-4681

Species	Test	Duration and conditions or guideline adopted	Result	Batch/Purity	Reference
Rabbit (M/F)	Dermal	EPA 81-2 14 day observations 24 hour exposure 2000 mg/kg	LD50> 2000 mg/kg	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1032
Rat (M/F)	Dermal	EPA 81-2 24 hour exposure 2000 mg/kg	LD ₅₀ > 2000 mg/kg Practically non-toxic	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A85-1924
Rat (M/F)	Inha- lation	OPPTS 870-1300, OECD 403, EC B2 Nose-only. 14 day observations 4 hour exposure 0.56, 0.99, and 2.3 mg/l.	LC ₅₀ 1.10 mg/L males 1.01 mg/L combined 0.8 mg/L females	PL02-0477/ 94.8%	A2003-5589
Rabbit (M/F)	Primary Eye Irritation	EPA 81-4 48 hour observations; 0.1 mL administered	Unwashed / Washed – Practically non- irritating	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1034
Rabbit (M/F)	Primary Skin Irritation	EPA 81-5 4 hour exposure 0.5 ml (undiluted)	Non-irritating (PII = 0.0)	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1033
Guinea Pig (M)	Skin Sensitiz ation	Buehler method EPA 81-6	Non-sensitizing	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1035
Guinea Pig (F)	Skin Sensitiz ation	Maximization method OECD 406 and Method B6 (Directive 96/54/EEC)	Positive	PL02-0477/ 94.8% TFP anhydride content 35 g/kg	A2002-5588
Guinea Pig (F)	Skin Sensitiz ation	Maximization method OECD 406 and Method B6 (Directive 96/54/EEC)	Positive	G3042:140/ 96.2% TFP anhydride content 0.2 g/kg	A2009-6770

Table 4. Toxicology profile of technical bifenthrin based on repeated administration (subacute to chronic)

Species	Test	Duration and conditions or guideline adopted	Result	Batch/purity	Reference
Dog (M/F)	13-week feeding – Repeated dose	Dir. 87/302/EEC, Part B Gelatine capsules 0, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0 and 20.0 mg/kg bodyweight/day	NOEL = 2.5 mg/kg/day	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-820
Rat (M/F)	28-day feeding – Repeated dose	Dietary Range-finding 0, 50, 100, 200, 300 and 400 ppm	Death at ≥ 300 ppm Tremors at 200 ppm NOEL = 100 ppm	E2425-145/ 91.4%	A83-817
Mouse (M/F)	28-day feeding – Repeated dose	Dietary Range-finding study A83-839 : 50 (500), 100, 200, 300 ppm study A83-839A : 500, 600, 750 and 1000 ppm	NOEL = 300 ppm LOEL = 500 ppm	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-839
Rat (M/F)	90-day Feeding - Repeated dose	Dietary Range-finding 0, 12, 50, 100 and 200 ppm	NOEL = 100 ppm	E2425-145/ 91.4%	A83-818
Dog (M/F)	1-year Feeding – Chronic	Dir. 87/302/EEC, Part B – chronic toxicity test Gelatin capsule 0, 0.75, 1.50, 3.00 and 5.00 mg/kg/day	NOEL = 1.50 mg/kg day LOEL = 3.0 mg/kg/day	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-821
Rat (M/F)	Chronic – Oncogenicity	EPA 83-5 2 year dietary 0, 12, 50, 100 and 200 ppm	NOEL = 50 ppm LOEL = 100 ppm Not carcinogenic	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-952
Mouse (M/F)	Chronic – Oncogenicity	EPA 83-2 18-month dietary 0 , 50, 200, 500 and 600 ppm	NOEL = 50 ppm male 200 ppm female Increased incidence of submucosal tumours (hemangiomas) of marginal statistical significance.	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-974
Rabbit (M/F)	21-day Dermal Toxicity (Repeated dose)	EPA 82-2 (1984) 6 hours / day 0, 25, 50, 100 or 500 mg/kg/day	NOEL = 100 mg/kg/day	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1041
Rat (M/F)	21-day Dermal Tox	EPA 82-2 (1984) 6 hours / day	NOEL = 50 mg/kg/day	G1295-15B/ 93.2%	A2000-5162

Species	Test	Duration and conditions or guideline adopted	Result	Batch/purity	Reference
	(Repeated dose)	5 days / week 0, 25, 50, 100, or 1000 mg/kg b.w./day			
Rat (M)	Dermal Absorption	No guideline. Applied as a dilution of a liquid formulation Achieved average dose (mg/kg b.w.) Group I: 0.18; Group II: 1.96; Group III: 19.38	Dermal absorption 10-hours after administration of test material was 55.4% of the applied dose in animals dosed w/49.2 ug/10.8 sq. cm (4.6 ug/sq. cm)	¹⁴ C-Study Lot 83022/ 95.49%	PC-0059
Rat (F)	Teratology	EPA - OPPTS 870.3700 Dietary 0, 30, 60, 90, or 200 ppm (equivalent to 0, 2.5, 5.0, 7.4, and 16.3 mg/kg b.w./day)	Maternal NOEL = 90 ppm (7.4 mg/kg/d)	PL99-0108/ 95.3%	A2000-5263
Rat (F)	Teratology	EPA 83.3 (1984) Days 6-15 of gestation 0 (vehicle), 0.50, 1.0 and 2.0 mg/kg/day Vehicle: corn oil	Not teratogenic at levels up to and including 2.0 mg/kg/day NOEL = 1 mg/kg/day	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1091
Rabbit (F)	Teratology	EPA 83.3 (1984) Days 7-19 of gestation 0 (vehicle), 2.67, 4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg/day. Individual doses were adjusted daily in order to compensate for changes in maternal body weights Vehicle: corn oil	Not teratogenic at levels up to and including 8.0 mg/kg/day NOEL = 2.67 mg/kg/day	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1092
Rat (M/F)	2-Generation Reproduction	Dietary 0, 30, 60, or 100 ppm (approximately equivalent to 0, 1.5, 3.0 and 5.0 mg/kg/day)	NOEL toxicity = 60 ppm (3 mg/kg/day); NOEL reproduction 100 ppm (5mg/kg/day)	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-977
Chicken (F)	Acute Delayed Neurotoxicity	21 day observation	Negative	E2392-105 88.35%	A83-1081
Rat (M/F)	Acute Neurotox	Undiluted (gavage), 14 day observation	NOEL = 35 mg/kg	PL97-592/ 93.7%	A97-4643

Species	Test	Duration and conditions or guideline adopted	Result	Batch/purity	Reference
		Single oral dose : 0, 10, 35 or 75 mg/kg	FOB and motor activity effects noted in animals receiving 75 mg/kg		
Rat (M/F)	28-day Feeding – Repeated dose	Neurotoxicity study rangefinding 0 or 50 ppm (10 animals/sex/group) or 100, 200, or 300 ppm (5 animals/sex/group)	NOEL = 100 ppm LOEL = 200 ppm	PL97-592/ 93.7%	A97-4699
Rat (M/F)	Subchronic Neurotoxicity	13 weeks, dietary 0 or 50 ppm (10 animals/sex/group)or 100, 200, or 300 ppm (5 animals/sex/group)	NOEL = 50 ppm (2.9 mg/kg/day males; 3.7 mg/kg/day females)	PL97-592/ 93.7%	A97-4700

Table 5. Mutagenicity profile of technical bifenthrin based on in vitro and in vivo tests

Species	Test	Conditions	Result	Batch	Reference
Salmonella typhimurium	Ames Assay 0, 10, 33, 67, 100, 33, 667, 1000, 3.333, 6.667, 10.000 μg/plate in mutation test 1 0, 375, 1.875, 3.750 and 7.500 μg/plate in mutation test 2 (in both the presence and absence of S-9 mix)	5 Strains with and without metabolic activation. Microsomes from male and female Swiss Webster mice and male Sprague Dawley rats	Not mutagenic	E2425-145/ 91.4%	A83-838
Mouse Lymphoma Cells	Mouse Lymphoma Mutagenesis Assay 0.24, 0.18, 0.13, 0.10, 0.075, 0.056, 0.042, 0.032, 0.024, 0.018 μg/ml without metabolic activation. 0.10, 0.075, 0.056, 0.042, 0.032, 0.024, 0.018, 0.03, 0.010, 0.0075 μg/ml with metabolic activation	L5178Y TK+/- Rat liver S-9	Weak positive results with and without metabolic activation	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-978
Rat (M)	In vivo Cytogenetics 30, 10 and 3 mg/kg/day for five consecutive days	5 day exposure oral by gavage	Negative	E2392-105 88.35%	A83-979
Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) (F)	In vitro Chromosome Aberration 10.00, 30.00, 60.00 and 100.00 µg/mL in DMSO	Tested to 10,000 ug/mL with and without activation	Negative	E2392-105 88.35%	A1989-3099
CHO (F)	HGPRT Assay Test 1: 2.5, 5.0, 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, 1000 μg/mL Test 2: 250, 500, 750, 1000 μg/mL (without S9), 20, 30, 40, 50μg/mL (with S9)	With and without metabolic activation	Inconclusive w/metabolic activation	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1144
CHO (F)	In vitro Gene Mutation Preliminary cytotoxicity test: range of 0.10 to 10.000 µg/ml Main study: 100, 500, 1.000, 2.500, 5.000, 10.000 µg/ml in acetone	Tested to 10,000 ug/mL with and without activation	Not mutagenic	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1105

Species	Test	Conditions	Result	Batch	Reference
Mouse Lymphoma Cells	HGPRT Gene Mutation Preliminary cytotoxicity test: 1, 5, 10, 30, 100 μg/ml Main study: 1.0, 30.0, and 60.00 μg/mL in acetone	L5178Y Dosed to the limit of solubility (500 ug/mL)	Not mutagenic	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A86-2059
Rat Hepatocytes	Unscheduled DNA Synthesis Initial cytotoxicity test: ten treatments ranging from 100 to 0.005 µg/ml UDS assay: 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 µg/mlin acetone	Tested at levels up to 100 ug/mL in DMSO	Marginally positive at one highly toxic dose. Two repeat assays yielded negative responses	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-985, A83-1043, 175408
Mouse EmbryoCells (BALB/3T3)	Cell Transformation	No metabolic activation 3 - 100 μg/mL in DMSO	Negative	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-980
Drosophila	Sex Linked Recessive Lethal – Genotox	Concentrations of 50 & 100 ug/mL	Negative	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1104
Chinese Hamster (F) Ovary (CHO)	In vitro Sister Chromatid Exchange	With and without metabolic activation up to 60 ug/mL in DMSO	Negative	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A1989-3016

Table 6. Ecotoxicology profile of technical bifenthrin

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
(water flea)	OECD 202 Acute toxicity flow- through	1.2 and 0.6 µg/L), control dilution water and solvent control dilution water (dimethyl formamide).	LC_{50} : (24hrs) - >10 μg/L (48hrs) - 1.6 μg/L NOEC < 0.60 μg/L Bifenthrin technical (purity - 88.35%, composition - 98 % cis/2% trans isomer)	E-2392-105/	BW-83- 8-1444
(water flea)	OECD 202 Acute toxicity flow-through	five concentrations of bifenthrin (0.025, 0.064, 0.12, 0.2 and 0.48 ug/L), control	LC ₅₀ (24hrs) >0.48μg/L (48hrs) - 0.11μg/L NOEC < 0.025 μg/L purity - 88.35%, composition - 98 % cis/2% trans isomer)	Path 830222-142 (¹⁴ C-)	BW-85- 2-1731
Daphnia magna, Cerodaphniadub ia, Thamnocephale splatyurus, Hexageniasp. (larvae), Caddis fly sp. (larvae), and Gammaruspulex		concentrations: 0.032; 0.056; 0.18; 0.56; 1.8 and 5.6 mg/L Hexageniasp: 48hrs exposure, concentrations: 0.056; 0.18; 0.56, 1.8 and 5.6 mg/L Caddisflysp: 48hrs exposure, concentrations: 0.056; 0.18; 0.56, 1.8 & 5.6	Daphnia:	PL00-0082, Batch B00-07	01-2424 /01

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
			Bifenthrin technical (purity 93.8%)		
Daphnia magna (water flea)	OECD 202 Chronic toxicity	Flow-through, 21-day life cycle toxicity test Groups of 40 daphnids (10 per replicate beaker) exposed to one of five nominal concentrations (0.6, 1.2, 2.5, 5.0 and 10 ng/L in water, to 50 µL/L acetone (solvent control) or to water alone. Mean measured concentrations determined by liquid scintillation counting were 0.30, 0.76, 1.3, 2.9 and 7.6 ng/L.	MATC > 0.0013 < 0.0029 μg/L NOEC - 0.0013 μg/L ¹⁴ C-labelled bifenthrin (purity 96.2 %)	E2823-2 (¹⁴ C)	ABC36980
Mysidopsisbahia (mysid)	OECD 202 Life cycle toxicity	Flow-through, 28-day life cycle toxicity Groups of 40 mysids (5 per replicate test chamber) in 20% seawater, to 0.1 mL/L acetone (solvent control) or to seawater alone (control). Nominal concentrations of bifenthrin were: 0.00, 0.79, 1.4, 2.8, 5.6 and 11.3 ng/L. Mean measured concentrations were: 0.98 (control and solvent control), 1.2, 1.3, 1.6, 2.5 and 4.7 ng/L.	MATC - 0.00125μg/L NOEC - 0.0012μg/L 14C-labelled bifenthrin technical (Phenyl-14C, purity 96.5 %)	Path 83022-142 (¹⁴ C)	A90-3318
Chlorella pyrenoidosa (green algae) Scenedesmusac utus (fresh water algae)		Two test species exposed to five concentrations of bifenthrin, ranging from 0.05 ppb to 50 ppm in 0.1% acetone. Culture medium according to guideline protocol, 25°C, continuous light, 100 µE/m²/s, 50 mL of medium in 125 mL.	Chlorella pyrenoidosa NOEC> 50 ppm Scenedesmusacutus NOEC> 10 ppm Bifenthrin (purity not specified)	Not recorded	A2010-6981
Chironomusripar ius (midge)	Acute toxicity	¹⁴ C bifenthrin applied just below the surface of an artificial water/sediment systems at the nominal concentration of 0, 0.1, 1, 10 and 100 μg/l in the rage finding test and 0, 0.1, 0.32, 1.0, 3.2 and 10 μg/l in the definitive test.	Mortality: EC_{50} - 3.96 μg/L NOEL - 0.32 μg/L Emergence ratio: EC_{50} - 3.96 μg/L NOEL - 1.06 μg/L	PL98-0360	19781

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
		Six vessels per concentration prepared, appropriate controls - 2 for the measure of the concentrations and 4 containing 20 chironomid larvae.	Development rate: EC ₅₀ >10.3 μg/L NOEL - 1.06 μg/L Bifenthrin technical (purity 94.4%)		
Eiseniafoetida (Earthworm)	Acute toxicity	Four replicates of 10 worms per treatment; total of 240 worms. Doses: 0.12 kg a.i./ha and100x (12 kg a.i./ha On days 7 and 14, worms removed for counting.	LC ₅₀ - 18.9 ppma.i. NOEC - 5.7 ppm Bifenthrin technical (purity 88.35%)	E2392-105	FCC82/85693
Apismellifera (honey bee)	Acute contact & oral toxicity	Acute contact toxicity: sprayed 20 mL solution containing bifenthrin at 50 ppm on a lot of caged bees. Two lots were tested for the product and two lots served as control. Mortality was noted after 24 hrs. Acute oral toxicity: ingestion was tested by nourishment of lots of bees by means of a micropipette at a constant volume (20μL). The bees were presented a drop of sweet water containing fixed quantities of bifenthrin. One hr after treatment the bees received honey and pure water. Three doses (0.04 μg, 0.1 μg and 0.4 μg) and one control have been tested and mortality was noted after 24 hrs.	Acute oral toxicity: LD ₅₀ (24hrs)-0.1 μg/bee. Bifenthrin (purity not stated)	Not recorded	Ph.L. SD-519-84
Poeciluscupreus (carabid beetle)	Assessment of impact	Six adults per container. 60 g/ha dose of bifenthrin, the reference (PERFEKTHION (0.85 l/ha) and the control (water and acetone) were applied to 5 replicate test arenas (volume 400 l/ha). Mortality and food consumption were assessed.	Bifenthrin - 90% mortality at the application dose of 60 g/ha. Bifenthrin technical (purity 94.4%)	PL-98-0360	19547
Thyphlodromusp yri (predatory	Assessment of impact	Bifenthrin: 60 g/ha, the reference (PERFEKTHION (212.5 ml/ha) and the control	Bifenthrin -100% mortality at the application dose of 60 g/ha	PL-98-0360	19141

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
mite)		(water and acetone) were applied on glass plates (volume 200 l/ha) and were allow to dry. Twenty 2 to 3-day old mites (protonymph stage) were placed in each arenas per treatment. Mortality was assessed 24 hrs and 7 days after introduction. Seven day after application, female and male mites were transferred and the fecundity was assessed by counting the number of eggs laid after a further 7-day period.	Bifenthrin technical (purity 94.4%)		
Chrysoperlacarn ea (green lacewing)	Assessment of impact	Bifenthrin: 60 g/ha, the reference (PERFECTKION (212.5 ml/ha) and the control (water and acetone) were applied on glass plates (volume 200 l/ha) and were allowed to dry. One larvae of <i>Chrysoperlacarnea</i> was then placed on each glass plate and fed with lepidopteran eggs. The mortality was assessed daily. When pupation had occurred pupae were removed and placed in perspexoviposition cages. Adult emergence was measured daily until no adult had emerged for 7 consecutive days.	Bifenthrin - 100% at the application dose of 60 g/ha Bifenthrin technical; (purity 94.4%)	PL-98-0360	19572
Aphidiusrhopalo siphi (aphid parasitoid)	Assessment of impact	Bifenthrin: 60 g/ha, the reference (PERFEKTHION (425 ml/ha) and the control (water and acetone) were applied to 8 glass plates (volume 200 l/ha) and were allow to dry. Ten <i>Aphidiusrhopalosiphi</i> (5 males and 5 females) were introduced into each test arena.	Bifenthrin -100% mortality. Bifenthrin technical; (purity 94.4%)	PL-98-0360	19545

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
	Assessment of impact	Determined the effect of the test item to the respiration rate of activated sludge. The respiration was measured after a contact time of 3 hrs. Two controls without test item were included in the test design. Due to the low water solubility, bifenthrin was added in the mg range.	EC ₅₀ - >1900 mg/L. Bifenthrin (purity 97.8%)		E-17-99- 47
	EEC Method C1	Rainbow trout exposed in duplicate test	LC ₅₀	E2392-105	BW-83-8-
(Rainbow trout)	Acute toxicity	chambers in a flow-through system to five concentrations for 96 hrs.	(24 hrs) - 6.2 μg/L		1446
	flow-through	Test nominal concentrations of 1.5, 0.75, 0.38, 0.19 and 0.094 µg/L bifenthrin maintained by introducing approx. 7 aquarium volumes/day of fresh test solution via modified, proportional diluter apparatus. Total hardness: CaCO ₃ of 28-30 mg/L, alkalinity as CaCO ₃ of 24 mg/L, pH 7.2-7.4, specific conductance range of 130-140 µmhos/cm.	(48 hrs) - 0.34 μg/L		
			(72 hrs) - 0.20 μg/L		
			(96 hrs) - 0.15 μg/L		
			(120 hrs) ~0.1 μg/L		
			NOEC - 0.094 μg/L		
			Bifenthrin technical (purity 88.35% composition 98% cis/ 2% trans isomers)		
,	EEC Method C1	Bluegill exposed in duplicate test chambers	LC ₅₀	E2392-105	BW-83-8
hirus (Bluegill sunfish)	Acute toxicity	in a flow-through system to five concentrations for 96 hrs.	(24 hrs) >1.0µg/L		1445
,	flow-through	Test nominal concentrations of 1.0, 0.65,	(48 hrs) - 0.65µg/L		
		0.42, 0.27 and 0,18µg/L bifenthrin maintained by introducing approx. 8.6	(72 hrs) - 0.44µg/L		
		aquarium volumes/day of fresh test solution.	(96 hrs) - 0.35µg/L		
		Test dilution water conditions were representative of a soft water quality. Total	(120 hrs) - 0.32µg/L		
		hardness: CaCO ₃ of 28-31 mg/L, alkalinity	(144 hrs) - 0.30 μg/L		
		as CaCO ₃ of 24-26 mg/L, pH 7.2-7.4, specific conductance range of 120-140	NOEC< 0.18μg/L		
		μmhos/cm.	Bifenthrin technical (purity 88.35%,		

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
			composition - 98 % cis/2 % trans isomer)		
(Painhow trout)	OECD 210 Toxicity to embryos and larvae	Flow-through with nominal test concentrations were 0.070, 0.035, 0.018, 0.0088 and 0.0044 µg/l. Unfertilised rainbow trout eggs and sperm were received individually and mixed for fertilization. 50 embryos were distributed to each of 28 incubation cups. Embryos development was observed daily. Percentage hatch calculations were based on the number of live larvae per cup after hatching compared to the number of viable embryos per cup on test day 20. To initiate the 48-hrs larvae exposure, incubation cups within each aquarium were combined on day 28, and the larvae were placed into their respective aquaria. Behaviour and appearance of larvae were observed daily and larvae were counted twice weekly. At 48 days post hatch (30 days post swimup), the larvae were anaesthetised, and percentage survival, mean total length, and average wet weight were determined.	NOEC 0.012μg/L 14C-bifenthrin (52 mCi/mM phenyl ring label, Hexane solution 10.36% a.i.)	¹⁴ C Path 830222-142	BW-85-4- 1766
Pimephalespro mela) (Fathead minnow)	EPA 72.5 Full life cycle toxicity	Flow –through full life cycle study with nominal water concentrations of 0.0050, 0.0090, 0.019, 0.038, 0.075 μg/l. 140 healthy embryos per test concentration used at the start of the test, and place in incubation cups. Number of embryos hatched in each cup recorded daily until hatching completed. Daily observations taken on eggs, embryos,	LC ₅₀ (96hr) - 0.21 μg/l (static) NOEC - 0.04 μg/l (parent survival) NOEC > 0.09 μg/l (reproduction)	¹⁴ C E2823-2	A86-2100

Species	Test		Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
		and fry. Day 30, survival and standard length of live fish determined. Day 77, fish reduced to 15 fish per replicate. At 92 and 120 days post hatch fry anesthetised, measure weighted and revived in their respective test chambers. At 121 days post hatching, 20 fish randomly selected and placed in duplicate spawning chambers of each aquarium along with 5 spawning tiles. All other fish were retained in growth chamber or frozen for residue analysis. At 150 and 151 days post hatching, fish were sexed and reduced to 5 males and 12 females in all spawning chambers. On day 198 post hatching (study day 204), fish were reduced to 4 males and 6 females. Tiles were checked for the presence of eggs. Eggs were then placed in a separate container of the appropriate test solution. Spawns of < 50 eggs were removed from the tiles and frozen for residue analysis. Spawn of > 50 eggs were placed into a growth chamber. Daily observations were done on eggs, embryos and fry. On day 56 post-hatching, the fish were measured and weighted. A part was frozen.	¹⁴ C-FMC bifenthrin (96.2% purity)		

tch Re	eference
10 13	084.008.

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
		after start of the exposure. Water conditions: temperature ranged 19.9-24.0 °C, total hardness of 0-56 mg/L as CaCO3, total alkalinity of 327-700 mg/L as CaCO3, TOC <2 mg/L, pH of 7.37-8.46, specific conductivity of 420-800 μS/cm, and dissolved oxygen concentration of 7.92-9.97 mg/L.			
Cyprinus carpio (carp)	OECD 305 C Bio- accumulation	Two groups of fish, continuously exposed to nominal concentrations (high exposure level: 0.085 ng/mL, low exposure level: 0.0085 ng/mL) of the test substance. Flow-through system which introduced about 400L of fresh test solution per day. On week 10, the addition of the test substance was terminated and only dilution water was supplied during the next two weeks (depuration phase). Concentrations of the test substance in water and whole fish were measured periodically.	Bioconcentration factor Exposure - 0.085ng/mL: 1330x Exposure- 0.0085ng/mL:1030x Elimination Exposure -0.085ng/mL: 11 days (50%) Exposure -0.0085ng/mL: 6 days (50%) 14C- bifenthrin (FMC (FMC-U14Clabeled) radiochemical purity 97%)	14C Isotope 195	2B479G

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Bobwhite quail	Acute oral toxicity	60 quails, divided (5/sex) into a control group + 5 treatment groups: 464, 681, 1000, 1470 and 2150 mg/kg. Orally administered via a syringe on test day 0. The control group received only corn oil. Body weight and food consumption were recorded at days 0, 3, 7, 14 and 21. Observations made daily. All birds found dead + 4 arbitrarily selected birds sacrificed from each group on test days 21 were subjected to a gross necropsy.	LD50 -1800 mg/kg bw bifenthrin (purity 88.35%)	E2392-105	BLAL83- QD 30
Mallard duck	None stated Acute oral toxicity	30 ducks, divided (5/sex) into a control group and 2 treatment groups: 1470 and 2150 mg/kg. Orally administered via a syringe on test day 0. The control group received only corn oil. BWand food consumption were recorded at days 0 (only body weight), 3, 7,14 and 21. Observations made daily. Four arbitrarily selected birds sacrificed on test day 21 were subjected to a gross necropsy.	LD50 >2150 mg/kg bw Bifenthrin (purity 88.35%)	E2392-105	BLAL 83- DD 23

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Bobwhite quail	OECD 205 Short-term (8- day) dietary study	150 quails, divided into 5 vehicle control groups (10/group; corn oil), 5 positive control groups (10/group, using 10, 21.5, 46.4 68.1 and 100 ppm of dieldrin) and 5 treatment groups (10/group; using 312, 625, 1250, 2500 and 5000 ppm of bifenthrin).	LC50 - 4450 ppm for bifenthrin technical (purity 88.35%)	E2392-105	BLAL83- QC34
		Test material incorporated into the diet with corn oil and fed to the birds for 5 days.	LC50 - 23 ppm for dieldrin		
		Following the 5-day test period birds were maintained on plain feed for a 3-day recovery period.			
		Food consumption recorded through-out the study			
		Birds weighed at 0 hr on day 1 and again on day 8.			
		Observations made daily.			
		All birds found dead and 4 arbitrarily selected birds from each group sacrificed at the termination of the study were subjected to a gross necropsy.			

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Mallard ducks	Short-term (8-day) dietary study	groups (10/group; using 312, 625, 1250, 2500 and 5000 ppm of bifenthrin technical). Test material incorporated into the diet with		E2392-105	BLAL83- DC34

Species	Test		Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Bobwhite quail	OECD 206 Reproduction	Dietary effects quail, 3 groups of 20 replicates (1 male and 1 female per replicate) Dose levels of 25, 50 and 75 ppm. Diet given over a 24-week period – 12 weeks prior to the start of egg production and 12 weeks during egg production. All eggs laid were collected over a 12-week period from the beginning of week 13 until the end of week 24.	No evidence of any adverse effects on the reproduction. Bifenthrin (purity 88.35%)	E2392-105	FCC57A/ 851423
Mallard duck	OECD 206 Reproduction	Dose levels of 25, 50 and 75 ppm. Diet given over a 24-week period – 12	No evidence of any adverse effects on the reproduction. Bifenthrin (purity 88.35%)	E2392-105	FCC58A/ 851430

ANNEX 2

REFERENCES

FMC or other	Year	Title
document number		
19141	2001	Technical bifenthrin: an extended laboratory evaluation of the side effects of technical bifenthrin on the predatory mite Thyphlodromuspyrii
19545	2001	Technical bifenthrin: an extended laboratory evaluation of the side effects of technical bifenthrin on the aphid parasitoid Aphidiusrhopalosiphi
19547	2001	Technical bifenthrin: an extended laboratory evaluation of the side effects of technical bifenthrin on the carabid beetle <i>Poeciliuscupreus</i>
19572	2001	Technical bifenthrin: an extended laboratory evaluation of the side effects of technical bifenthrin on the green lacewing Chrysoperlacarnea
19781	2002	¹⁴ C-bifenthrin:determination of acute toxicity (EC50) to Chironomusriparius(28 days, static)
175408	1990	Unscheduled DNA Synthesis in Primary Hepatocytes of Male Rats in vitro with bifenthrin
01-2424/01	2002	Static acute toxicity tests with the insecticide bifenthrin technical and 6 arthropod species
1084.008.135	2006	Bifenthrin:Bioconcentration study with bluegill sunfish (Lepomismacrochirus) under semi-static conditions
2B479G	1993	Bioaccumulation study of FMC 54800 with carp (Cyprinuscarpio)
A1989-3016	1989	Sister Chromatid Exchange Assay in Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells <i>in vitro</i> with bifenthrin
A1989-3099	1989	Gene Mutation Assay in Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells in vitro with bifenthrin
A2000-5162	2000	Bifenthrin technical: 21 day repeated dose dermal toxicity study in rat
A2000-5263	2000	Bifenthrin technical: Prenatal Developmental Toxicity Study in Rat
A2002-5588	2003	Bifenthrin Technical: Contact Hypersensitivity in Albino Guinea-Pigs, Maximisation Test
A2003-5589	2003	Acute nose-only inhalation toxicity study of bifenthrin technical in albino rats
A2009-6770	2009	(Bifenthrin) Guinea Pig Sensitization - Maximization Test
A2010-6981	1985	Bifenthrin toxicity to algae
A82-756	1982	Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Rats, FMC 54800
A83-1032	1983	Acute Dermal Toxicity of FMC 54800 Technical in Rabbits.
A83-1033	1983	Primary Skin Irritation of FMC 54800 Technical in Rabbits.
A83-1034	1983	Primary Eye Irritation of FMC 54800 Technical in Rabbits.
A83-1035	1983	Skin Sensitization of FMC 54800, Technical in Guinea Pigs.
A83-1041	1983	(Bifenthrin) Guinea Pig Sensitization - Maximization Test
A83-1043	1983	Unscheduled DNA Synthesis in Rat Primary Hepatocytes
A83-1081	1984	The Acute Oral Toxicity (LD50) and Neurotoxic Effects of FMC 54800 Technical to the Domestic Hen
A83-1091	1984	Teratology Study in Rats with FMC 54800 Technical
A83-1092	1984	Multi-Generation Reproduction Study with FMC 54800 Technical in Rats.
A83-1104	1984	Mutagenicity Evaluation of FMC 54800 Technical, Notebook No. E-3292-105, FMC Study No. A83/1104 in the Sex-Linked Recessive Lethal Test in <i>Drosophila Melanogaster</i>
FMC or other	Year	Title
document number		

A83-1105	1984	Chromosome Aberrations in Chinese Hamster (CHO) Cells
A83-1144	1984	CHO/HGPRT Mutation Assay in the Presence and Absence of
		Exogenous Metabolic Activation
A83-817	1983	Twenty Eight Day Range Finding in Rats with FMC 54800 Technical
A83-818	1983	Ninety Day Range Finding in Rats with FMC 54800 Technical
A83-820	1984	13-Week Sub-chronic Oral Toxicity Study in Dogs with FMC 54800
		Technical
A83-821	1985	52-Week Chronic Oral Toxicity in Dogs
A83-837	1983	Acute Oral Toxicity of FMC 54800 in Mice
A83-838	1983	Salmonella/Mammalian-Microsome Plate Incorporation Mutagenicity
		Assay (AMES Test)
A83-839	1983	Twenty Eight Day Range Finding in Mice with FMC 54800 Technical
A83-952	1986	Combined chronic oral toxicity and oncogenicity study of FMC
		54800: 2-year feeding study in albino rats
A83-959	1983	Acute Oral Toxicity of FMC 54800 in Rat
A83-974	1991	FMC 54800 Technical – Oncogenicity Lifetime Feeding Study in
		Albino Mice Histopathological Review of Selected Sections of Liver,
		Lung and Urinary Bladder
A83-977	1986	Multi-Generation Reproduction Study with FMC 54800 Technical in
		Rats.
A83-978	1983	L5178Y TH+/- Mouse Lymphoma Mutagenisis Assay
A83-979	1983	Activity of FMC 54800 technical in the sub-chronic in vivo
		cytogenetics assay in Sprague-Dawley rats
A83-980	1983	Activity of FMC 54800 technical in the Morphological Transformation
		of BALB/3T3 Mouse Embryo Cells in the Absence of Metabolic
		Activation
A83-985	1983	Unscheduled DNA Synthesis in Rat Primary Hepatocytes
A85-1923	1986	Acute Intraperitoneal Toxicity of FMC 54800 Technical in Rats
A85-1924	1985	Acute Dermal Toxicity of FMC 54800 Technical in Rats.
A86-2059	1986	Study to Determine the Ability of FMC 54800 to Induce Mutations to
		6-Thioguanine Resistance in Mouse Lymphoma L5178Y Cells Using
		a Fluctuation Assay
A86-2100	1988	Full life cycle toxicity of ¹⁴ C-FMC 54800 to fathead minnow
		(Pimephalespromelas) in a flow-through system
A90-3318	1991	Life cycle toxicity of bifenthrin (FMC 54800) to the mysid,
		Mysidopsisbahia
A97-4643	1998	FMC 54800 Technical-Acute Neurotoxicity in Rats
A97-4681	1997	FMC 54800 technical: Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Rats
A97-4699	1998	FMC 54800 Technical-Twenty-Eight Day Neurotoxicity Range-
		Finding Study in Rats
A97-4700	1998	FMC 54800 Technical – Subchronic Neurotoxicity Screen in Rats
ABC 34846	1988	Full life cycle toxicity of ¹⁴ C FMC 54800 to fathead minnow
		(Pimephalespromelas) in a flow-through system
ABC 36980	1989	Chronic Toxicity of ¹⁴ C-FMC 54800 to <i>Daphnia magna</i> under flow-
		through test conditions
BLAL83DC34	1983	8-day dietary LC50 study with FMC 54800 technical in mallard
		ducklings
BLAL83DD23	1983	Acute oral toxicity study with FMC 54800 technical in mallard
		ducklings
BLAL83QC34	1983	8-day dietary LC50 study with FMC 54800 technical in bobwhite
		quail
BLAL83QD30	1983	Acute oral toxicity study with FMC 54800 technical in bobwhite quail
BW-83-8-1444	1983	Acute Toxicity of FMC 54800 technical to Daphnia magna

FMC or other document number	Year	Title
BW-83-8-1445	1983	Acute Toxicity of FMC 54800 technical to bluegill
DW-03-0-1443	1903	(Lepomismacrochirus)
BW-83-8-1446	1983	Acute Toxicity of FMC 54800 technical to rainbow trout
DVV-03-0-1440	1903	(Salmogairdneri)
BW-85-2-1731	1985	Acute toxicity of ¹⁴ C-FMC 54800 to <i>Daphnia magna</i> under flow-
DW-03-2-1731	1905	through conditions
BW-85-3-1747	1985	The chronic toxicity of ¹⁴ C-FMC 54800 to <i>Daphnia magna</i> under flow
DVV-03-3-1747	1905	through conditions
BW-85-4-1766	1985	The toxicity of ¹⁴ C-FMC 54800 to rainbow trout (<i>Salmogairdneri</i>)
DW 03 4 1700	1303	embryos and larvae
CGP-83-1	1983	Vapor Pressure of FMC 54800
E-17-99-47	1999	Effect of bifenthrin to sewage sludge
FCC57A/851423	1986	The effects of dietary inclusion of FMC 54800 on the reproduction in
1 00077 000 1 420	1300	the bobwhite quail
FCC58A/851430	1986	The effects of dietary inclusion of FMC 54800 on the reproduction in
		the mallard duck
FCC82/85693	1985	The acute toxicity (LC50) of FMC 54800 to the earthworm
		Eiseniafoetida
na	1985	Bifenthrin toxicity to algae
P-0698	1983	Octanol water partition coefficient of FMC 54800
P-0701	1983	Hydrolysis of bifenthrin
P-17-99-45	1999	Water solubility of bifenthrin
P-2544	1991	Bifenthrin: physical and chemical characteristics
P-3837	2006	Photodegradation of Bifenthrin in Buffered Aqueous Solution at pH 7
		by Simulated Sunlight
PC-0059	1986	A Dermal Absorption Study in Rats with ¹⁴ C-FMC 54800.
Ph.L-SD-519-84	1984	Preliminary ecotoxicological tests of FMC 54800 on Apismellifera