

I think it is an excellent paper.

A few comments:

Para 5: it refers to "changing the global architecture". I think this language is pretty ambitious. We would recommend to say "CFS also encountered difficulties and challenges in performing its task" or something related.

When referring to the building up process of MYPOW, for example para 15 and 17-18-19, we would propose to refer explicitly to the key role of the CFS Chair in conducting informal consultations with regional groups and other stakeholders, including participants, in order to identify priorities and areas of common interest.

Para 16: It should be clear that the role of the Advisory Group is to help ensure that CFS work is guided by the needs of beneficiaries and to support and advice Members upon their request.

Para 25: there is a mention to "joint declaration by Ministers". I am not so sure that this option is viable. This is not a red line for us, but we should be cautious on the ambition level.